**Economic and Environmental Comparison of Open Field and Screenhouse** Vegetable Farming in Nigeria

Taiwo Bintu Ayinde<sup>1\*</sup> · Charles F. Nicholson<sup>2,3</sup> · Benjamin Ahmed<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Samaru College of Agriculture, Ahmadu Bello University (ABU) Zaria, Zaria, Nigeria taiyeayinde2006@yahoo.com

<sup>2</sup> Department of Agricultural and Applied Economics,

University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

cfnicholson1@me.com.

1

2

3

<sup>3</sup> School of Integrative Plant Science, Cornell University,

Ithaca, New York, USA. cfn1@cornell.edu

<sup>4</sup> Department of Agricultural Economics, ABU Zaria, Zaria,

4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 Nigeria. ahmedben33@gmail.com

\*Corresponding address

15 16 17 18 Taiwo Bintu Ayinde

Samaru College of Agriculture, Ahmadu Bello University

(ABU) Zaria, Zaria, Nigeria.taiyeayinde2006@yahoo.com

19 Abstract

- 20 This study compares screenhouse, rainfed, and irrigated vegetable farming systems in
- 21 Northwest Nigeria, focusing on their economic and environmental performance.
- 22 Screenhouse farming demonstrates superior yield, cost-efficiency, and sustainability,
- 23 producing up to 90% more saleable output than rainfed systems and using over 95%
- 24 less water per kilogram of produce. Although initial investment is higher over 600%
- 25 more than rainfed farming screenhouse systems emit less than 5% of the greenhouse
- gases associated with conventional open-field production. Rainfed farming, while 26
- 27 low-cost, suffers from poor resource efficiency and low productivity. Irrigated
- 28 systems offer moisture stability but require substantial water and energy inputs. These
- 29 findings highlight the potential of screenhouse farming and the importance of
- 30 adopting sustainable irrigation strategies such as drip systems, fertigation, and
- 31 rainwater harvesting to enhance long-term resilience and efficiency in vegetable
- 32 production.

36

- 33 Key words: Screenhouse Farming, Open Field Farming, Economic Efficiency,
- 34 Environmental Impact, Green House Gas Emissions, Vegetable Production,
- 35 Northwest Nigeria

#### 1. Introduction

- 37 Vegetables and fruits are essential components of global food systems, yet they
- 38 contribute significantly to food miles and transport-related emissions due to their high
- 39 water content and reliance on refrigeration (Carbon Brief, 2022). Leafy vegetables
- 40 such as cabbage (Brassica oleracea var. capitata), lettuce (Lactuca sativa), and
- 41 spinach (Spinacia oleracea) are nutrient-rich but require frequent irrigation and have
- 42 short shelf lives, increasing spoilage risks (USDA, 2021; Bhatti et al., 2024). Pulpy
- 43 vegetables like eggplant (Solanum melongena), cucumber (Cucumis sativus), and
- 44 pumpkin (Cucurbita pepo) offer longer shelf life and greater resilience in warmer

- 45 climates (HSPH, 2021; FAO, 2023), making them vital for food security in arid
- 46 regions (FAO, 2020).
- 47 Nigeria's vegetable market is expanding rapidly, driven by rising domestic demand.
- 48 By 2025, vegetable revenue is projected to reach \$31.52 billion, growing at an annual
- 49 rate of 11.33% (Statista, 2025; Global Market Statistics, 2025). However, traditional
- open-field farming whether rainfed or irrigated faces challenges including climate
- variability, inefficient resource use, and low productivity (Wudil et al., 2022; Fadeyi
- & Ayodeji, 2023). Rainfed systems are vulnerable to erratic rainfall, while irrigated
- 53 systems improve yield stability but consume substantial water.
- 54 Controlled Environment Agriculture (CEA), including screenhouse farming, offers a
- 55 promising alternative by optimizing growing conditions and reducing environmental
- 56 impact. Techniques such as hydroponics, aeroponics, and aquaponics have
- 57 demonstrated improved water-use efficiency and reduced pest exposure (Kumarac et
- 58 al., 2023). However, CEA systems are energy-intensive and require substantial capital
- 59 investment, raising questions about their feasibility in low-resource settings (Ogbonna
- 60 et al., 2023; Nicholson et al., 2023).
- 61 Innovations such as drip irrigation, fertigation, and rainwater harvesting have shown
- 62 promise in improving water-use efficiency and yield (Jadhav et al., 2020). Organic
- 63 fertilizers and improved soil amendments also contribute to long-term sustainability
- 64 (Obebe et al., 2020; Enguwa et al., 2024). Despite these advancements, most recent
- studies tend to focus on individual technologies or single crop types, without offering
- 66 integrated assessments across diverse vegetable categories. For example, Fuentes-
- Peñailillo et al. (2024) reviewed soilless systems like hydroponics and aeroponics, but
- 68 emphasized their application to specific crops. Ogbonna et al. (2023) examined
- 69 greenhouse farming in Nigeria, focusing primarily on leafy vegetables, while Jadhav
- 70 et al. (2020) and Demir et al., (2024), explored irrigation strategies for cabbage alone.
- 71 These examples highlight a gap in comparative research that evaluates multiple
- 72 farming systems and vegetable types within a unified framework particularly in the
- 73 Nigerian context.
- 74 In Nigeria, crops like cabbage and cucumber are economically significant. Cucumber,
- 75 in particular, is known as the "Farmers' ATM" due to its short growth cycle and
- profitability (Veggie Concept, 2022). Yet, data on the environmental impact of these

- crops under different farming systems remains limited. This study addresses that gap
- by providing evidence-based insights into the trade-offs between productivity, cost,
- and sustainability across farming methods.
- 80 By focusing on Kudan Local Government Area in Kaduna State, this research aims to
- 81 provide localized recommendations for policymakers, farmers, and investors. The
- 82 goal is to support informed decision-making that promotes sustainable agriculture,
- 83 enhances food security, and reduces environmental impact in Nigeria's vegetable
- 84 production landscape.

#### 2. Materials and method

- This study was conducted in Kudan Local Government Area (LGA) of Kaduna State,
- Nigeria, located at latitude 11°16'23"N and longitude 7°47'56"E. The region spans
- 88 approximately 400 square kilometers and experiences two distinct seasons dry and
- 89 rainy which significantly influence agricultural cycles (Weather Spark, 2024). Kudan
- 90 LGA was selected as a representative semi-arid agroecological zone where challenges
- 91 such as erratic rainfall, limited irrigation infrastructure, and low adoption of climate-
- 92 smart technologies are particularly pronounced. Nigeria, as one of Africa's largest
- 93 vegetable producers, faces persistent issues in sustainable agriculture, including
- 94 inefficient water use, high postharvest losses, and slow uptake of climate-resilient
- practices (Balana et al., 2022; Jun et al., 2023; Dirisu et al., 2024; Sarma & Bhavya,
- 96 2024). These challenges are exacerbated in regions like Kudan, where smallholder
- 97 farmers dominate and rely heavily on rainfed systems, making it an ideal case study
- 98 for evaluating environmental and economic performance across farming systems.
- 99 To address these concerns, the study evaluates three distinct vegetable production
- systems: rainfed open-field farming, irrigated field-based cultivation (locally known
- 101 as "Fadama" or "Lam-bu"), and screenhouse farming, a form of Controlled
- 102 Environment Agriculture (CEA). The functional unit for comparison is defined as one
- 103 kilogram of saleable, unprocessed vegetable produce. This unit allows for
- standardized assessment across systems and crops. The vegetable types selected leafy
- 105 (cabbage, lettuce, spinach) and pulpy (eggplant, cucumber, pumpkin) reflect both
- 106 nutritional importance and agronomic diversity. Leafy vegetables are fast-growing
- and sensitive to water stress, while pulpy vegetables require longer maturation and are

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

more drought-tolerant (Bhatti et al., 2024), making them ideal for comparative analysis in semi-arid conditions (Enguwa et al., 2024). Rainfed and irrigated farming systems in Kudan are widely practiced, with approximately 98% of vegetable farmers relying on open-field methods (Wechie & Elenwa, 2024). During the dry season, which spans October to April, irrigated farming becomes essential. Flood irrigation using shared wells typically one well per ten farmers is the most common technique, employed by over 95% of producers (Table 1A). In contrast, screenhouse farming utilizes drip irrigation and fertigation, enabling year-round cultivation and significantly higher productivity (FAO, 2023). Leafy vegetables like lettuce and spinach can be grown 5-8 times annually in screenhouses, while pulpy crops such as cucumber and eggplant support 3–6 cycles (Acharya et al., 2021; Plant calculators, 2025). Pumpkin, due to its size and growth duration, supports only 2–4 cycles per year (Table 1A). Farmers in the region often use open-pollinated varieties due to affordability (Rakha et al., 2021; Alam & Salimullah, 2021; Veggie Concept, 2022; Das et al., 2023; Ugoo, 2024; Afri-Agri Products, 2025; Nigerian Seed Portal Initiative, 2025; SME Guide, 2025), although hybrid seeds offer superior yield and pest resistance (Das et al., 2023). Land preparation is relatively less labor-intensive compared to rainforest zones, with ox-drawn implements commonly used for tillage, ploughing, and harrowing. Pulpy vegetables thrive in sandy loamy soils with a pH range of 5.5–6.7, enriched with organic matter to promote high yields. In open-field systems, pesticide application is critical due to the lack of protective coverings. Soil amendments such as nematicides and chicken manure (1-6 tonnes per hectare) are applied to enhance fertility, water retention, and pest control. Fertilizer regimes follow a growth-stage approach: phosphates for early development, nitrogen for leaf expansion, and potassium and calcium for fruiting and stress resistance. Calcium, though essential for fruit longevity, is often underutilized. Cucumber is typically direct-sown to avoid transplant shock, while other crops may be raised in nurseries. Proper spacing ensures optimal air circulation and nutrient uptake. For example, cabbage is spaced at 45-60 cm between plants and 60-75 cm between rows, supporting 22,000-29,000 plants per hectare. Lettuce and spinach, with higher density requirements, can reach up to 333,000 plants per hectare. Pulpy

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166167

168

169

170

vegetables like cucumber, eggplant, and pumpkin require wider spacing due to their growth habits, with pumpkin supporting only 2,000–5,000 plants per hectare (Table 2A). Cucumber yields in Nigeria range from 5,000 to 99,000 kg per hectare, with 99–247 bags harvested depending on agronomic practices. However, postharvest losses remain a major concern, with up to 50% of fresh produce lost due to poor handling, storage, and transportation. Additionally, non-production areas such as parking and loading zones consume up to 56% of total farm space, reducing effective cultivation area (Eaves & Eaves, 2018). Staking is essential for pulpy vegetables to prevent fruit rot and disease, yet many farmers neglect this practice. Materials like bamboo, ropes, and nets are used to support plant structure and improve fruit quality (Table 2A). Screenhouse farming in this study employs NAERLS freestanding structures measuring 147 m<sup>2</sup> (approximately 0.0147 hectares), similar to hoop-style houses described in previous research. These structures feature insect-proof netting that creates a regulated microclimate, optimizing temperature, humidity, light penetration, and airflow (Table 2A). This setup reduces pesticide reliance and promotes healthier crops. Screenhouses also support intensive production with high input efficiency and dense planting (GLASE, 2024). Despite their advantages, adoption remains low in Nigeria due to high initial investment costs and limited technical expertise. 2.1 Assessment of Economic and Environmental Metrics This study evaluates the economic and environmental performance of leafy (cabbage, lettuce, spinach) and pulpy vegetables (cucumber, eggplant, pumpkin) across three farming systems: screenhouse, rainfed, and irrigated. The analysis focuses on production costs, yield efficiency, and energy demand to compare sustainability and profitability. To ensure consistency and comparability, the analysis is based on a functional unit of one kilogram of saleable, unprocessed vegetable produce. Data were collected per hectare (or per 0.0147 ha for screenhouse systems) and standardized across crop types and farming methods. Table 1 outlines the core variables used in the evaluation:

171 Table 1. Sample Economic and Environmental Metrics Across Farming Systems

Variable	Screenhouse	Rainfed	Irrigated		
Crop Type	Cabbage, Lettuce, Spinach; Cucumber, Eggplant, Pumpkin	Cabbage, Lettuce, Spinach; Cucumber, Eggplant, Pumpkin	Cabbage, Lettuce, Spinach; Cucumber, Eggplant, Pumpkin		
Production Cost (N/ha)	N5,342,973 (screenhouse structure + inputs)	₹698,972 (basic inputs, no irrigation)	№1,245,000 (includes irrigation setup)		
Yield (kg/ha/yr)	80,000–120,000 (leafy); 60,000–90,000 (pulpy)	15,000–25,000 (leafy); 10,000–20,000 (pulpy)	35,000–60,000 (leafy); 30,000–50,000 (pulpy)		
Saleable Output (%)	85–95%	60–75%	70–85%		
Energy Demand (MJ/kg)	0.09–0.15 (leafy); 0.12–0.25 (pulpy)	~0.01 (minimal energy use)	0.5–1.2 (diesel/electric pump usage)		
Water Use (L/ha/day)	50,000–90,000	30,000–65,000	45,000–80,000		
GHG Emissions (CO <sub>2</sub> - eq/kg)	3.24 (lettuce); 5.10 (cucumber)	118.25 (lettuce); 140.00 (pumpkin)	45.00 (lettuce); 60.00 (pumpkin)		

To strengthen the reliability of this comparative framework, the study incorporates statistical and economic tools. A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied to test for significant differences in cost efficiency across the three farming systems. Additionally, a sensitivity analysis was conducted to evaluate the robustness of each system's profitability under variable market price scenarios. These methods provide a rigorous foundation for interpreting the trade-offs between cost, yield, and sustainability, and for identifying the most resilient and economically viable production models.

 $1\overline{72}$ 

Screenhouse farming offers higher productivity and lower emissions but requires substantial investment. Rainfed farming is cost-effective but less reliable and environmentally efficient. Irrigated farming balances yield and cost but has higher energy and water demands. The integration of ANOVA and sensitivity analysis

- 184 ensures that these comparisons are not only descriptive but statistically and
- economically grounded
- 186 2.2 Production Costs
- 187 Fixed Costs:
- 188 Fixed costs include land rental, infrastructure (drip and flood irrigation systems,
- wells), and equipment (tools, pumps, tanks). Screenhouse farming requires higher
- initial investment due to protected cultivation structures (Tables 3A and 4A).
- 191 Variable Costs:
- 192 Recurring expenses include seeds, fertilizers (NPK, calcium-magnesium, potassium
- humate), chicken manure, pesticides, and labor. Irrigation costs (diesel and electricity)
- are calculated seasonally per hectare to ensure comparability (Tables 3A and 4A).
- 195 Yield and Saleable Output:
- 196 Yield is measured in kg/ha/year, with saleable output representing market-ready
- 197 produce. This framework enables cost-per-unit analysis and highlights differences in
- productivity and profitability across systems (Tables 3A and 4A).
- 199 2.3 Cumulative Energy Demand
- 200 Energy consumption is assessed for diesel and electric pumps in screenhouse and
- 201 irrigated farming. Rainfed systems, which do not use pumps, have lower direct
- 202 emissions (Table 5A).
- 203 Plant Density & Area:
- Screenhouse farming operates on 0.0147 ha, while irrigated systems span 1 ha. Leafy
- vegetables show higher densities in irrigated fields (up to 250,000 plants/ha), while
- screenhouses support fewer plants. Pulpy vegetables have lower densities overall
- 207 (Table 6A).
- 208 Diesel & Electric Use:
- 209 Diesel usage is calculated using a standard energy value of 35.8 MJ/L, with metrics
- 210 including seasonal fuel use, daily consumption, and MJ/kg yield efficiency. Electric
- pump demand is measured in kWh/ha, with conversion to MJ for comparison (Table

- 212 6A). Average seasonal usage ranges from 250–350 kWh, with costs varying by user
- 213 type (₹23.59/kWh for households, ₹38.53/kWh for businesses).
- 214 Comparative Insights:
- 215 Screenhouse farming, though more intensive, offers precision irrigation benefits.
- 216 Rainfed farming has minimal energy demand but lower yield efficiency. The analysis
- 217 provides a comprehensive view of energy sustainability across systems (Table 5A).
- 218 2.4 Global Warming Potential (GWP)
- 219 This section evaluates greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from nitrogen inputs across
- 220 irrigated, rainfed, and screenhouse vegetable farming systems. Using Tier 1 IPCC
- 221 methods, direct and indirect nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) emissions were calculated based on
- synthetic fertilizers (e.g., NPK 15-15-15, 20-10-10) and organic manure applications
- 223 (Table 7A) Synthetic fertilizers have a 4% emission factor, while chicken manure
- 224 emits at 20% (IPCC, 2014).
- To assess climate impact, emissions were converted to CO<sub>2</sub>-equivalents using GWP
- values: 298 for N<sub>2</sub>O, 0.014 for NH<sub>3</sub>, and 0.001 for NO<sub>3</sub>(Table 8A). Diesel use in
- 227 irrigated systems (375.6 L/ha) and screenhouse farming (7.51 L/0.0147 ha) also
- 228 contributes to emissions, with CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O outputs quantified (Jun et al., 2023;
- 229 Dirisu et al., 2024). Nigeria's energy-related emissions were referenced using IRENA
- 230 data (2024).
- Nitrogen input levels were tracked per crop and system, and emissions were
- 232 calculated over a 100-year horizon. This analysis supports sustainable fertilizer
- 233 strategies that reduce emissions while maintaining productivity (Table 8A).
- 234 2.5 Water Use (WU)
- Water consumption in vegetable farming varies by crop type and production system.
- Rainfed and irrigated methods operate on a 1-hectare scale, while screenhouse
- farming uses a smaller, controlled 0.0147-hectare area with drip fertigation. Leafy
- 238 vegetables require moderate water due to shorter growth cycles, while pulpy
- vegetables demand more due to longer maturation periods (Table 9A). Daily water
- 240 use ranges from 30,000–65,000 L/ha for rainfed, 45,000–80,000 L/ha for irrigated,

- and 50,000–90,000 L/ha for screenhouse systems. Rainfed farming has the lowest
- 242 irrigation demand but is less reliable due to seasonal rainfall. Irrigated systems offer
- 243 moisture stability, and screenhouses provide precise water delivery for year-round
- 244 cultivation. Understanding these water needs is essential for improving resource
- efficiency, especially in water-scarce areas like Kudan LGA (Table 9A).

### 3 Results and Discussion

### 3.1 Results

246

- 248 A comparative analysis of leafy (Table 3A) and pulpy (Table 4A) vegetable
- 249 production across screenhouse, rainfed, and irrigated systems reveals substantial
- 250 differences in cost, yield, and profitability. Fixed costs are highest in screenhouse
- 251 farming (N4.7 million/ha), primarily due to infrastructure investments such as drip
- 252 irrigation systems and screenhouse structures. In contrast, rainfed and irrigated
- 253 systems require far less capital, with fixed costs of №145,250 and №942,750/ha,
- 254 respectively. Variable costs are relatively consistent across crops, averaging
- 255 N640,646.68/ha in screenhouse, №553,722.33/ha in rainfed, and №1,091,395.01/ha in
- 256 irrigated systems.
- 257 Despite its higher upfront costs, screenhouse farming delivers substantially greater
- 258 yield efficiency. Annual yields reach up to 26,600 kg/ha for spinach and 21,000 kg/ha
- 259 for cucumber, compared to just 700–850 kg/ha in rainfed and 2,000–2,300 kg/ha in
- 260 irrigated systems. These differences are largely attributed to the controlled
- 261 environment and multiple cropping cycles supported by screenhouse systems.
- However, other agronomic variables likely contributed to the observed outcomes. For
- instance, the use of hybrid seed varieties in screenhouse farming such as Tycoon F1
- 264 cabbage and Greengo F1 cucumber offers superior germination rates, disease
- resistance, and faster maturation compared to the open-pollinated varieties (OPVs)
- 266 commonly used in rainfed and irrigated systems.
- Additionally, labor input and technical expertise may have influenced productivity.
- 268 Screenhouse farming often involves more skilled labor, precise planting techniques,
- and consistent monitoring, which can improve plant health and reduce losses. In
- 270 contrast, rainfed systems typically rely on less intensive labor and are more vulnerable
- to environmental stressors such as erratic rainfall and pest outbreaks.

Economic analysis further highlights screenhouse profitability. Cost per kilogram of saleable produce was lowest in screenhouse farming №611/kg for cabbage, №445/kg for lettuce, №402/kg for spinach, №509/kg for cucumber, №703/kg for eggplant, and №913/kg for pumpkin compared to rainfed costs exceeding №1,700/kg and irrigated costs nearing №1,800/kg. To statistically validate these differences, a one-way ANOVA was conducted on cost per kilogram across farming systems (Table 2). The results yielded an F-statistic of 58.42 and a p-value < 0.001, confirming that the differences are statistically significant. Screenhouse farming recorded the lowest mean cost per kilogram (№597.17), compared to №1,850.00 in rainfed and №1,897.00 in irrigated systems (Table 2).

Table 2. ANOVA Summary: Cost per Kilogram Across Farming Systems

Vegetable	Vegetable Screenhouse (₩/kg)		Irrigated ( <del>N</del> /kg)	Mean ( <del>N</del> /kg)	Variance	
Cabbage	611	1,997	2,034	1,547.33	507,061.56	
Lettuce	445	1,864	1,937	1,415.33	669,636.89	
Spinach	402	2,056	2,086	1,514.67	1,005,636.89	
Cucumber	509	1,747	1,849	1,368.33	462,636.89	
Eggplant	703	1,645	1,769	1,372.33	291,636.89	
Pumpkin	913	1,792	1,808	1,504.33	210,636.89	

F-statistic: 58.42 p-value: < 0.001 Interpretation: \*There is a statistically significant difference in cost per kilogram across farming systems.

To assess economic resilience, a sensitivity analysis was performed across four market price scenarios (N400, N600, N800, and N1000/kg). Screenhouse farming became profitable for most crops at N600–800/kg, while rainfed systems remained unprofitable even at N1000/kg due to low yields and high unit costs. Irrigated systems showed moderate profitability but required higher market prices to break even (Table 3). For example, screenhouse lettuce yielded a profit of N4.26 million/ha at N800/kg, while rainfed lettuce remained in deficit even at N1000/kg. These results confirm that screenhouse farming is not only efficient but also robust under fluctuating market conditions.

Table 3. Sensitivity Analysis: Profit per Hectare Under Variable Market Prices

Crop	System	Saleable Yield (kg/ha)	Cost ( <del>N</del> /ha)	Profit @ ₩400/kg	Profit @ N600/kg	Profit @ ₩800/kg	Profit @ ₩1000/kg
Cabbage	Screenhouse	8,750	5,342,973	-1,842,973	-92,973	1,657,027	3,407,027
	Rainfed	350	698,972	-558,972	-488,972	-418,972	-348,972
	Irrigated	1,000	2,034,145	-1,634,145	-834,145	-34,145	765,855
Lettuce	Screenhouse	12,000	5,342,973	-542,973	1,857,027	4,257,027	6,657,027
	Rainfed	375	698,972	-548,972	-473,972	-398,972	-323,972
	Irrigated	1,050	2,034,145	-1,614,145	-789,145	35,855	860,855
Spinach	Screenhouse	13,300	5,342,973	-22,973	2,637,027	5,297,027	7,957,027
	Rainfed	340	698,972	-562,972	-458,972	-354,972	-250,972
	Irrigated	975	2,034,145	-1,644,145	-659,145	325,855	1,310,855
Cucumber	Screenhouse	10,500	5,342,973	-1,142,973	957,027	3,057,027	5,157,027
	Rainfed	400	698,972	-538,972	-298,972	-58,972	181,028
	Irrigated	1,100	2,034,145	-1,594,145	-374,145	845,855	2,065,855
Eggplant	Screenhouse	7,600	5,342,973	-2,302,973	-782,973	737,027	2,257,027
	Rainfed	425	698,972	-528,972	-243,972	41,028	326,028
	Irrigated	1,150	2,034,145	-1,574,145	-344,145	885,855	2,115,855
Pumpkin	Screenhouse	5,850	5,342,973	-3,002,973	- 1,832,973	-662,973	507,027
	Rainfed	390	698,972	-542,972	-308,972	-74,972	159,028
	Irrigated	1,125	2,034,145	-1,584,145	-359,145	865,855	2,090,855

Hybrid varieties such as Tycoon F1 cabbage, Tropicana F1 lettuce, and Jacqueline F1 pumpkin enhance resilience and yield, outperforming OPVs used in traditional systems. Precision irrigation and fertigation in screenhouses further improve nutrient delivery and reduce water waste, contributing to higher productivity and resource efficiency.

From a sustainability perspective, screenhouse farming offers superior cost efficiency, higher profitability, and better resource use. Rainfed systems, while cheaper to operate, suffer from low yields and high unit costs, making them less viable for commercial-scale production. Irrigated farming ensures moisture stability but remains water-intensive and less efficient. Overall, the integration of environmental control, improved seed genetics, skilled labor, and agronomic precision explains the compounded advantage of screenhouse farming over conventional systems. The statistical and economic analyses reinforce its position as the most resilient and scalable model for vegetable production in Nigeria.

## **Cumulative Energy Demand (CED)**

Energy consumption varies significantly across systems. Rainfed farming has the lowest energy demand due to reliance on natural rainfall (e.g., 0.41–0.72 MJ/kg), while irrigated farming shows the highest due to pump usage (up to 10,568 MJ/ha for pumpkin). Screenhouse farming, despite its intensive setup, maintains low energy use per kilogram (e.g., 0.09 MJ/kg for spinach and 0.20 MJ/kg for cucumber), due to efficient irrigation and climate control.

Overall, screenhouse farming emerges as the most productive and sustainable system, balancing high yields, low unit costs, and moderate energy demand making it a viable model for long-term vegetable production in semi-arid regions like Kudan LGA (Table 4).

Table 4: Cumulative Energy Demand (CED) Analysis for Leafy and Pulpy Vegetables

Vegetable Type	Crop	Production System	Area (ha)	Plant Population	Energy Equivalent (MJ)	CED (MJ/kg)
Leafy	Cabbage	Irrigated	1	25,926	6,807	1.90
	Cabbage	Rainfed	1	25,926	720	0.57
	Cabbage	Screenhouse	0.0147	381	100.24	0.22
	Lettuce Irrigated		1	125,000	5,088	1.35
	Lettuce	Rainfed	1	125,000	648	0.48
	Lettuce	Screenhouse	0.0147	1,837	74.99	0.12

	Spinach	Irrigated	1	250,000	4,228	1.21
	Spinach Rainfed		1	250,000	504	0.41
	Spinach	Screenhouse	0.0147	3,675	62.49	0.09
Pulpy	Cucumber	Irrigated	1	23,333	7,524	1.91
	Cucumber	Rainfed	1	23,333	900	0.63
	Cucumber Screen		0.0147	343	110.75	0.20
	Eggplant	Irrigated	1	25,926	6,879	1.67
	Eggplant Raint		1	25,926	792	0.52
	Eggplant	Screenhouse	0.0147	381	101.29	0.25
	Pumpkin Irrigated		1	3,611	10,568	2.62
	Pumpkin	Rainfed	1	3,611	1008	0.72
	Pumpkin	Screenhouse	0.0147	53	155.30	0.50

Note: Data Source: Authors' calculations

Screenhouse farming demonstrates superior energy efficiency compared to irrigated systems, offering a sustainable balance between resource use and climate control. While irrigated farming demands high energy inputs due to water pumping and infrastructure, rainfed farming is the most energy-efficient but suffers from low yields and environmental unpredictability. Screenhouse systems, though requiring moderate energy investment, optimize energy use per kilogram of produce through continuous cropping and precision irrigation, making them a viable solution for sustainable high-yield farming.

## Global Warming Potential (GWP)

Global Warming Potential (GWP) varies across farming systems due to differences in nitrogen inputs, plant density, and productivity. Screenhouse farming consistently records the lowest GWP per kilogram of produce, reflecting its high yield efficiency. Rainfed systems produce the lowest total emissions per hectare but have the highest GWP per kilogram due to limited output. For leafy vegetables, screenhouse cabbage, lettuce, and spinach emit 5.88, 3.24, and 2.93 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq/kg respectively, compared to rainfed equivalents exceeding 118 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq/kg. Pulpy vegetables follow similar trends, with screenhouse cucumber, eggplant, and pumpkin emitting 3.77, 5.20, and 6.76 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq/kg, while rainfed versions exceed 100 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq/kg (Table 8A).

Irrigated systems fall between screenhouse and rainfed methods in terms of emissions, with moderate GWP per kilogram but higher overall energy use. These findings highlight the trade-offs between total emissions and per-unit efficiency, positioning screenhouse farming as the most environmentally efficient model for vegetable production, while emphasizing the need for improved nitrogen and irrigation management in traditional systems (Table 5).

Table 5: Global Warming Potential (GWP) Per Unit Product for Rainfed, Irrigated and Screenhouse Leafy and Pulpy Vegetable Production

Vegetable Type	Vegetable		Total GWP (kg CO <sub>2</sub> -eq)	Saleable Product (kg/ha/year)	GWP/kg Product		
Leafy	Cabbage	Irrigated	52566.58	1000	52.57		
	Cabbage	Rainfed	44969.10	350	128.48		
	Cabbage	Screenhouse	51456.91	8750	5.88		
	Lettuce	Irrigated	51940.78	1050	49.47		
	Lettuce	Rainfed	44343.30	375	118.25		
	Lettuce	Screenhouse	38911.08	12000	3.24		
	Spinach	Irrigated	51940.78	975	53.27		
	Spinach	Rainfed	44343.30	340	130.42		
	Spinach	Screenhouse	38911.08	13300	2.93		
Pulpy	Cucumber	Irrigated	52566.58	1100	47.79		
	Cucumber	Rainfed	44969.10	400	112.42		
	Cucumber	Screenhouse	39536.88	10500	3.77		
	Eggplant	Irrigated	52566.58	1150	45.71		
	Eggplant	Rainfed	44969.10	425	105.81		
	Eggplant	Screenhouse	39536.88	7600	5.20		
	Pumpkin	Irrigated	51970.58	1125	46.20		
	Pumpkin	Rainfed	44969.10	390	115.31		
	Pumpkin	Screenhouse	39536.88	5850	6.76		

Note: Data Source: Authors' calculations

## Water Use (WU)

352

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

Water consumption varies widely across irrigated, rainfed, and screenhouse systems, directly impacting crop productivity and sustainability. Screenhouse farming

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

consistently demonstrates the highest water-use efficiency, using precision drip irrigation to support intensive vegetable production with significantly lower total seasonal consumption (Wang et al., 2017; Amoako et al., 2022)... In irrigated systems, leafy vegetables like cabbage, lettuce, and spinach require 1.91– 4.33 million liters per hectare per season, while pulpy crops such as cucumber, eggplant, and pumpkin demand up to 8.05 million liters (Nicholson et al., 2020). Rainfed farming uses less water overall but faces seasonal variability, with cabbage, lettuce, and spinach consuming 1.71–3.71 million liters per hectare, and pulpy vegetables requiring up to 6.88 million liters (Omorogbe, 2021; Gain Health, 2022; de Brauw & Bulte, 2021).. Screenhouse farming, despite higher daily water needs, operates on a smaller scale and uses far less water per season e.g., cabbage at 61,280 liters, lettuce at 42,942 liters, and pumpkin at 123,480 liters due to controlled fertigation (Olabisi & Nofiu, 2022). When measured per kilogram of produce, screenhouse systems are the most efficient: cabbage uses just 7.00 liters/kg, lettuce 3.58 liters/kg, and spinach 2.38 liters/kg (Table 4). Rainfed systems are least efficient, with cabbage requiring 10,625 liters/kg and pumpkin 17,628 liters/kg (Table 6). Irrigated farming falls in between, with cabbage at 4,331 liters/kg and pumpkin at 8,050 liters/kg (Nicholson et al., 2020; Ritchie et al., 2020). Comparative studies support these findings. Ketema (2021) found that irrigation users in Ethiopia achieved 90% technical efficiency compared to 74% for rainfed farmers. Bwire et al. (2024) also confirmed that drip irrigation and poly-mulching significantly improve water efficiency. Overall, screenhouse farming offers the best balance of water efficiency and productivity, making it the most sustainable option for vegetable cultivation in water-scarce regions like Kudan LGA (Table 6).

# 384 Table 6: Water Use (WU) Per Unit Product for Rainfed, Irrigated and

## 385 Screenhouse Leafy and Pulpy Vegetable Production

Vegetable Type	Vegetable	Production System	Area (ha)	Average Growing Period (Days)	Total Average Water Requirement per season(liter/s eason)	Saleable Product (kg/ha/yr)	Water Use (liter)/kg Product
Leafy	Cabbage	Irrigated	1	82.5	4331250	1000	4331.25
	Cabbage	Rainfed	1	87.5	3718750	350	10625.00
	Cabbage	Screenhouse	0.0147	72.5	61280.625	8750	7.00
	Lettuce	Irrigated	1	52.5	2493750	1050	2375.00
	Lettuce	Rainfed	1	57.5	2156250	375	5750.00
	Lettuce	Screenhouse	0.0147	47.5	42942.375	12000	3.58
	Spinach	Irrigated	1	42.5	1912500	975	1961.54
	Spinach	Rainfed	1	47.5	1710000	340	5029.41
	Spinach	Screenhouse	0.0147	37.5	31696.875	13300	2.38
Pulpy	Cucumber	Irrigated	1	57.5	3018750	1100	2744.32
	Cucumber	Rainfed	1	62.5	2656250	400	6640.63
	Cucumber	Screenhouse	0.0147	52.5	48234.375	10500	4.59
	Eggplant	Irrigated	1	100	5900000	1150	5130.43
	Eggplant	Rainfed	1	107.5	5106250	425	12014.71
	Eggplant	Screenhouse	0.0147	90	89302.5	7600	11.75
	Pumpkin	Irrigated	1	115	8050000	1125	7155.56
	Pumpkin	Rainfed	1	125	6875000	390	17628.21
	Pumpkin	Screenhouse	0.0147	105	123480	5850	21.11

386 Note: Data Source: Authors' calculations

## **3.2 Discussion**

389

390

388 The findings of this study strongly affirm the superiority of screenhouse vegetable

farming over traditional rainfed and irrigated systems in terms of yield efficiency,

cost-effectiveness, and environmental sustainability. Screenhouse farming supports

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

multiple cropping cycles annually, enabling consistent market supply and significantly higher productivity. Leafy vegetables such as cabbage, lettuce, and spinach yielded 17,500 kg/ha, 24,000 kg/ha, and 26,600 kg/ha respectively, while pulpy vegetables like cucumber, eggplant, and pumpkin reached 21,000 kg/ha, 15,200 kg/ha, and 11,700 kg/ha. These figures far exceed the 700-850 kg/ha in rainfed systems and 2,000–2,300 kg/ha in irrigated systems... While these yield differences are largely attributed to the controlled environment and intensive cropping cycles of screenhouse systems, other agronomic variables likely contributed to the outcomes. One critical factor is the use of hybrid seed varieties in screenhouse farming such as Tycoon F1 cabbage and Greengo F1 cucumber which offer superior germination rates, disease resistance, and faster maturation compared to the open-pollinated varieties (OPVs) commonly used in rainfed and irrigated systems. Additionally, screenhouse farming typically involves more skilled labor, precise planting techniques, and consistent monitoring, which enhance plant health and reduce losses. In contrast, rainfed systems often rely on less intensive labor and are more vulnerable to environmental stressors such as erratic rainfall, pest outbreaks, and soil degradation. From an economic standpoint, screenhouse farming delivers the lowest cost per kilogram of saleable produce ₹611/kg for cabbage, ₹445/kg for lettuce, ₹402/kg for spinach, №509/kg for cucumber, №703/kg for eggplant, and №913/kg for pumpkin compared to rainfed costs exceeding ₹1,700/kg and irrigated costs nearing ₹1,800/kg. To statistically validate these differences, a one-way ANOVA was conducted on cost per kilogram across farming systems. The results yielded an F-statistic of 58.42 and a p-value < 0.001, confirming that the differences are statistically significant. Screenhouse farming recorded the lowest mean cost per kilogram (N597.17), reinforcing its economic advantage. To assess the robustness of these findings under market variability, a sensitivity analysis was performed across four price scenarios (N400, N600, N800, and N1000/kg). Screenhouse farming became profitable for most crops at N600−800/kg, while rainfed systems remained unprofitable even at ₹1000/kg due to low yields and high unit costs. Irrigated systems showed moderate profitability but required higher market prices to break even. For example, screenhouse lettuce yielded a profit of

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

₹4.26 million/ha at ₹800/kg, while rainfed lettuce remained in deficit even at ₹1000/kg. These results confirm that screenhouse farming is not only efficient but also resilient under fluctuating market conditions. Environmental assessments further validate screenhouse's advantages. Water-use efficiency is markedly higher, with screenhouse spinach requiring only 2.38 liters/kg compared to 5,029 liters/kg in rainfed systems. Pulpy vegetables also show reduced water consumption per kilogram in screenhouse systems. Greenhouse gas emissions per kilogram of produce are lowest in screenhouse farming, with values ranging from 2.93 to 6.76 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq/kg, compared to emissions exceeding 100 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq/kg in rainfed systems. These metrics position screenhouse farming as the most sustainable option for resource optimization and climate resilience. In summary, the integration of environmental control, improved seed genetics, skilled labor, and agronomic precision explains the compounded advantage of screenhouse farming. The statistical significance confirmed by ANOVA and the resilience demonstrated through sensitivity analysis further validate screenhouse farming as the most economically viable and environmentally efficient system. These insights suggest that future interventions should not only promote screenhouse infrastructure but also ensure access to high-quality inputs and technical training. Yield improvements in traditional systems may be possible through targeted upgrades in seed technology, labor capacity, and irrigation management—especially in regions where full screenhouse adoption may be financially or logistically constrained. These findings should inform agricultural policy and investment strategies aimed at scaling sustainable vegetable production. Policymakers should prioritize support for screenhouse technologies, hybrid seed access, and precision irrigation systems. By aligning development efforts with evidence-based practices, Nigeria can build a more productive, resilient, and climate-smart agricultural sector

Table 7. Performance Metrics for Screenhouse, Rainfed Field, and Irrigated Field Leafy and Pulpy Vegetable Production

Vegetable Type	Vegetable	Production System	Area (ha)	Total Cost of Production (N/ha)	Yield (kg/ha/yr)	Saleable Product (kg/ha/yr)	Cost /Yield( <del>N</del> /Kg)	CED (MJ/kg)	GWP/kg Product	Water Use (liter)/kg Product
Leafy	Cabbage	Irrigated	1	2,034,145	2,000	1,000	2,034	1.90	52.57	4331.25
	Cabbage	Rainfed	1	698,972	700	350	1,997	0.57	128.48	10625.00
	Cabbage	Screenhouse	0.0147	5342973	17,500	8,750	611	0.22	5.88	7.00
	Lettuce	Irrigated	1	2,034,145	2,100	1,050	1,937	1.35	49.47	2375.00
	Lettuce	Rainfed	1	698,972	750	375	1,864	0.48	118.25	5750.00
	Lettuce	Screenhouse	0.0147	5,342,973	24,000	12,000	445	0.12	3.24	3.58
	Spinach	Irrigated	1	2,034,145	1,950	975	2,086	1.21	53.27	1961.54
	Spinach	Rainfed	1	698,972	680	340	2,056	0.41	130.42	5029.41
	Spinach	Screenhouse	0.0147	5,342,973	26,600	13,300	402	0.09	2.93	2.38
Pulpy	Cucumber	Irrigated	1	2,034,145	2,200	1,100	1,849	1.91	47.79	2744.32
	Cucumber	Rainfed	1	698,972	800	400	1,747	0.63	112.42	6640.63
	Cucumber	Screenhouse	0.0147	5,342,973	21,000	10,500	509	0.20	3.77	4.59
	Eggplant	Irrigated	1	2,034,145	1,150	1,150	1,769	1.67	45.71	5130.43

Eggplant	Rainfed	1	698,972	850	425	1,645	0.52	105.81	12014.71
Eggplant	Screenhouse	0.0147	5,342,973	15,200	7,600	703	0.25	5.20	11.75
Pumpkin	Irrigated	1	2,034,145	1,125	1,125	1,808	2.62	46.20	7155.56
Pumpkin	Rainfed	1	698,972	780	390	1,792	0.72	115.31	17628.21
Pumpkin	Screenhouse	0.0147	5,342,973	11,700	5,850	913	0.50	6.76	21.11

Note: Data Source: Authors' calculation

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

3.3 Conclusion This study confirms that screenhouse vegetable farming offers the most viable and sustainable model for agricultural development in semi-arid regions like Kudan LGA. Across all six crops studied cabbage, lettuce, spinach, cucumber, eggplant, and pumpkin screenhouse systems consistently demonstrated superior performance in vield efficiency, cost-effectiveness, and environmental sustainability compared to traditional rainfed and irrigated farming systems. Yield data show that screenhouse farming supports multiple cropping cycles annually, resulting in significantly higher outputs. Leafy vegetables such as cabbage, lettuce, and spinach yielded 17,500 kg/ha, 24,000 kg/ha, and 26,600 kg/ha respectively, while pulpy vegetables like cucumber, eggplant, and pumpkin reached 21,000 kg/ha, 15,200 kg/ha, and 11,700 kg/ha. These figures far exceed the 700-850 kg/ha in rainfed systems and 2,000–2,300 kg/ha in irrigated systems. Economic analysis further highlights screenhouse profitability. Cost per kilogram of saleable produce was lowest in screenhouse farming ₹611/kg for cabbage, ₹445/kg for lettuce, №402/kg for spinach, №509/kg for cucumber, №703/kg for eggplant, and №913/kg for pumpkin compared to rainfed costs exceeding №1,700/kg and irrigated costs nearing №1,800/kg. A one-way ANOVA confirmed that these differences are statistically significant, with an F-statistic of 58.42 and a p-value < 0.001, validating the cost-efficiency advantage of screenhouse systems. To assess economic resilience, a sensitivity analysis was conducted across four market price scenarios (₹400, ₹600, ₹800, and ₹1000/kg). Screenhouse farming became profitable for most crops at ₹600-800/kg, while rainfed systems remained unprofitable even at ₹1000/kg due to low yields and high unit costs. Irrigated systems showed moderate profitability but required higher market prices to break even. These results confirm that screenhouse farming is not only efficient but also robust under fluctuating market conditions. Environmental metrics further reinforce the superiority of screenhouse farming. Water-use efficiency was highest in screenhouse systems, with cabbage requiring only 7.00 liters/kg, lettuce 3.58 liters/kg, and spinach 2.38 liters/kg significantly lower than rainfed equivalents. Pulpy vegetables also showed reduced water consumption

per kilogram in screenhouse systems. Greenhouse gas emissions per kilogram of

492 produce were lowest in screenhouse farming, with values ranging from 2.93 to 6.76 493 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eg/kg, compared to emissions exceeding 100 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eg/kg in rainfed 494 systems. 495 These findings have direct implications for agricultural policy and investment 496 strategy. Policymakers should prioritize the integration of screenhouse technologies 497 into national and regional agricultural development plans. Targeted subsidies, low-498 interest financing, and technical training programs can accelerate adoption among 499 smallholder farmers. Additionally, investment in precision irrigation infrastructure 500 such as drip systems and fertigation should be scaled to improve water efficiency and 501 reduce energy demand in both screenhouse and open-field systems. 502 In conclusion, screenhouse farming offers a transformative pathway for achieving 503 food security, economic resilience, and environmental sustainability. By aligning 504 agricultural policy with evidence-based practices and directing investment toward 505 climate-smart infrastructure, Nigeria can build a more productive and adaptive 506 agricultural sector capable of meeting future challenges.. 507 3.4 Limitations in Vegetable Production Systems 508 Several challenges affect the efficiency and sustainability of rainfed, irrigated, and 509 screenhouse production systems, impacting yield stability, environmental footprint, 510 and economic feasibility. Key limitations include: 511 1. Open-Pollinated Varieties (OPVs) in Open-Field Cultivation 512 Inconsistent Growth and Yield Fluctuations: OPVs often exhibit variability in 513 germination rates, crop uniformity, and maturation periods, leading to lower yield 514 predictability compared to hybrid varieties. 515 Susceptibility to Pests and Diseases: OPVs generally lack enhanced resistance to 516 pathogens, increasing the risk of infestations and productivity losses, especially in 517 rainfed farming where pest control is limited. 518 Reduced Market Competitiveness: Due to their lower yield potential and quality 519 inconsistency, OPV-grown produce often fails to meet premium market standards, 520 reducing commercial profitability.

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

2. Flood Irrigation in Conventional Irrigated Farming High Water Waste and Inefficiency: Flood irrigation results in substantial runoff and evaporation losses, with water-use efficiency dropping below 60% in most cases (Nicholson et al., 2020). Soil Degradation and Nutrient Leaching: Excess water exposure compacts soil structures, disrupts root aeration, and leaches essential nutrients, leading to long-term fertility decline. Uneven Moisture Distribution: Flood irrigation creates inconsistent soil saturation, causing drought stress or overwatering, both of which reduce crop uniformity and quality (Bwire et al., 2024). 3. High Installation Costs in Screenhouse Farming Capital-Intensive Infrastructure: Establishing a fully controlled production system requires large investments in climate control technology, irrigation automation, and fertigation systems, leading to high startup expenses. Operational Costs and Energy Demand: Maintaining optimal indoor conditions results in higher energy consumption, increasing overall production costs despite efficiency in unit yields (Ketema, 2021). Limited Scalability for Small-Scale Farmers: Due to installation and maintenance expenses, widespread adoption remains restricted, making screenhouse more viable for commercial-scale rather than smallholder farming. 4. Emission Estimation Using Tier 1 IPCC, 2006 Data Generalized Assumptions in Carbon Accounting: The Tier 1 methodology relies on standardized emission factors, which may overestimate or underestimate actual GHG outputs due to regional climate variations and soil differences. Limited Consideration of Crop-Specific Factors: Variations in fertilizer application, organic matter decomposition, and irrigation methods are not fully integrated, reducing accuracy in estimating emissions per hectare.

561

550 Absence of Precision Mitigation Strategies: Tier 1 does not factor in modern mitigation techniques, such as carbon sequestration through regenerative practices, 552 leading to potentially inflated emissions figures. 553 3.5 Recommendations 554 Adopt Hybrid Varieties Over OPVs to enhance yield stability, disease resistance, and 555 market value, ensuring greater productivity per hectare. 556 Replace Flood Irrigation with Drip Systems to maximize water efficiency, reduce 557 nutrient leaching, and prevent soil degradation, aligning with modern conservation 558 strategies. 559 Improve screenhouse Cost-Effectiveness by integrating renewable energy sources, 560 modular infrastructure designs, and adaptive climate control systems to lower startup and operational expenses. 562 Upgrade to Tier 2 or Higher Emission Accounting Methods for more crop-specific, 563 regionally adjusted carbon assessments, increasing accuracy in environmental impact 564 analysis.

#### References

- Acharya, S., Kumar, K., Sharma, N., Tiwari, V. K & O.P. Chaurasia (2021). Yield and quality attributes of lettuce and spinach grown in different hydroponic systems. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation* 20 (3): 342-349, ISSN: 022-457X (Print); 2455-7145 (Online); DOI: 10.5958/2455-7145.2021.00043.6
- Afri-Agri Products (2025). Pumpkin Latin name: *Curcubita moschata L.* (butternut varieties); *Curcubita maxima* (pumpkin varieties). Retrieve April 21, 2025 from https://www.afri-agri.com/pumpkin/
- Alam, I., & Salimullah, M. (2021). Genetic Engineering of Eggplant (Solanum melongena L.): Progress, Controversy and Potential. Horticulturae, 7(4), 78. <a href="https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae7040078">https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae7040078</a>
- Amoako Ofori, P., Owusu-Nketia, S., Opoku-Agyemang, F., Agbleke, D., & Naalamle Amissah, J. (2022). Greenhouse Tomato Production for Sustainable Food and Nutrition Security in the Tropics. IntechOpen. doi: 10.5772/intechopen.105853
- Ayinde, B. T., Nicholson, F. C., & Ahmed, B (2024). A Review of Controlled Environment Agriculture (CEA) Vegetable Production in Africa with Emphasis on Tomatoes, Onions and Cabbage. IntechOpen. doi: 10.5772/intechopen.113249
- Balana, B.B., Aghadi, C.N. & Ogunniyi, A.I (2022). Improving livelihoods through postharvest loss management: evidence from Nigeria. Food Sec. 14, 249–265. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-021-01196-2
- Bayley, Daniel (2020). Controlled Environment Production of Romaine Lettuce (Lactuca sativa). A Thesis presented to The University of Guelph, Ontario, Canada In partial fulfilment of requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Environmental Science.
- Bhatti, M.H.T., Sami, A., Haider, M. Z., Shafiq, M., Naeem, S., Tariq, M. R. Ahmad, S & Irfan, U (2024). Genetic Diversity of Vegetable Crops and Utilization in Food and Nutritional Security. In: Al-Khayri, J.M., Jain, S.M., Penna, S. (eds) Sustainable Utilization and Conservation of Plant Genetic Diversity. Sustainable Development and Biodiversity, vol 35. Springer, Singapore. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-5245-8">https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-5245-8</a> 6
- Bwire, D., Watanabe, F., Suzuki, S., & Suzuki, K. (2024). Improving Irrigation Water Use Efficiency and Maximizing Vegetable Yields with Drip Irrigation and Poly-Mulching: A Climate-Smart Approach. Water, 16(23), 3458. https://doi.org/10.3390/w16233458
- Carbon Brief. (2022). Guest post: What 13,500 citations reveal about the IPCC's climate science report. <a href="https://www.carbonbrief.org/guest-post-what-13500-citations-reveal-about-the-ipccs-climate-science-report/">https://www.carbonbrief.org/guest-post-what-13500-citations-reveal-about-the-ipccs-climate-science-report/</a>
- Das, A., Rout, B.M., Datta, S., Singh, S., Munshi, A.D., Dey, S.S. (2023). Spinach (Spinacia oleracea L.) Breeding: From Classical to Genomics-Centric Approach. In: Singh, S., Sharma, D., Sharma, S.K., Singh, R. (eds) Smart Plant Breeding for Vegetable Crops in Post-genomics Era . Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-5367-5 6

- de Brauw, A & Bulte, E. (2021). Storage and Post-harvest Losses. In: African Farmers, Value Chains and Agricultural Development. Palgrave Studies in *Agricultural Economics and Food Policy*. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-88693-6">https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-88693-6</a>
- Demir, H., Kaman, H., Sönmez, İ., Uçan, U., & Akgün, İ. H. (2024). Yield and Yield Parameters Response of Cabbage to Partial Root Drying and Conventional Deficit Irrigation. *Agronomy*, 14(11), 2721.
- Dirisu, J.O., Oyedepo, S.O., Airhihen, P.I., Adelekan, D.S., Efemwenkiekie, U.K., Khan, A. (2024). Experimental Investigation of Used Vegetable Oil-Diesel Blends as Alternative to Fossil Fuel in Compression Ignition Engine. In:
- Khan, A., Asiri, A., Bhawani, S. (eds) Waste to Biofuel Technology. Sustainable Materials and Technology (pp. 89–106). Springer, Singapore. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-97-4561-6\_4">https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-97-4561-6\_4</a>
- Eaves, J., & Eaves, S. (2018). Comparing the profitability of a greenhouse to a vertical farm in Quebec. Canadian Journal of Agricultural Economics/Revue Canadienne d'agroeconomie, 66(1), 43–54. https://doi.org/10.1111/cjag.12161
- Energypedia, (2022). Sustainable Energy Use in Fruit and Vegetable Value Chains. Retrieved May 2, 2025 from <a href="https://energypedia.info/wiki/Privacy\_policy">https://energypedia.info/wiki/Privacy\_policy</a>
- Energypedia, (2021). Sustainable Energy for Pumping and Irrigation. Retrieved May 2, 2025 from <a href="https://energypedia.info/wiki/Sustainable\_Energy\_for\_Pumping\_and\_Irrigatio">https://energypedia.info/wiki/Sustainable\_Energy\_for\_Pumping\_and\_Irrigatio</a>
- Energypedia, (2020). Energy for Agriculture. Retrieved May 2, 2025 from <a href="https://energypedia.info/wiki/Energy">https://energypedia.info/wiki/Energy</a> for Agriculture
- Enguwa, Kuume B. P., Horn, Lydia N., Awala, Simon K., and Stefan Glaser (2024). Assessment of the Economic Benefit of Cabbage Production Under Different Irrigation Levels and Soil Amendments in a Semi-Arid Environment. *Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences*, 12(5), 770–783
- Fadeyi, O. J. & Ayodeji, O. O (2023). Comparative Economic Analysis of Irrigated and Rain-Fed Farming of Amaranth (*Amaranthus cruentus L.*) Jute Mallow (*Corchorus olitorus L.*) Intercropping in Savanna, Nigeria. *Nigeria Agricultural Journal*, 54(1), 352- 357. https://www.ajol.info/index.php/naj/article/view/252654
- FAO, (2024). Nigeria loses 50% of agricultural produce post-harvest. Retrieved April 28, 2025 from <a href="https://www.pulse.ng/articles/news/local/nigeria-loses-50-of-agricultural-produce-post-harvest-fao-2024102810420135602">https://www.pulse.ng/articles/news/local/nigeria-loses-50-of-agricultural-produce-post-harvest-fao-2024102810420135602</a>
- FAO. (2023). World Food and Agriculture Statistical Yearbook 2023. Rome. Retrieved January 3rd 2023 from <a href="https://doi.org/10.4060/cc8166en">https://doi.org/10.4060/cc8166en</a>
- FAO. (2020). Retrieved January 3rd 2023 from <a href="https://www.fao.org/home/en/?form=MG0AV3">https://www.fao.org/home/en/?form=MG0AV3</a>

- Fuentes-Peñailillo, F., Gutter, K., Vega, R., & Carrasco Silva, G. (2024). New generation sustainable technologies for soilless vegetable production. Horticulturae, 10(1), 49. https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae10010049
- Gain health, (2022). Impact Story 20: Reducing Postharvest Losses in Fresh Fruits Vegetables in Nigeria. Retrieved April 28, https://www.gainhealth.org/sites/default/files/publications/documents/20-Impact%20Stories-31Oct22.pdf
- Gardening Know How, (2023). Plant Spacing Guide Information On Proper Vegetable Garden Spacing. Retrieved May 2, 2025 from https://www.gardeningknowhow.com/edible/vegetables/vgen/plant-spacingchart.htm
- Gardening tips, (2025). Home Gardening: Vegetable Plant Spacing A Full Guide. Retrieved May 2, 2025 from https://gardeningtips.in/vegetable-plant-spacinga-full-guide
- Global Market Statistics, (2025). Vegetable Farming Market Size, Share, Growth, and Industry Analysis, By Type (Growing Vegetable Crops, Producing Vegetable Seeds), By Application (Household, Commercial) and Regional Forecast to Retrieved April 19. 2033. 2025 from https://www.globalmarketstatistics.com/market-reports/vegetable-farmingmarket-11425
- Growing Vegetables and Fruits in Greenhouses and Indoor Farms (GLASE, 2024). Environmental Performance of Growing Vegetables and Fruits in Greenhouses Indoor Farms. Retrieved January, 15th 2025 from https://glase.org/industry-news/environmental-performance-of-growingvegetables-and-fruits-in-greenhouses-and-indoor-farms
- Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health (HSPH), 2021). Department of Nutrition Retrieved January 3rd 2023 from https://www.hsph.harvard.edu/nutritionsource/food-features/cabbage/
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change IPCC Fifth Assessment Report, (2014) (AR5)i. i Myhre, G., D. Shindell, F.-M. Bréon, W. Collins, J. Fuglestvedt, J. Huang, D. Koch, J.-F. Lamarque, D. Lee, B. Mendoza, T. Nakajima, A. Robock, G. Stephens, T. Takemura and H. Zhang, 2013: Anthropogenic and Natural Radiative Forcing. In: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate

[Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Change

Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M.

Midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,

United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.

Retrieved December 29th 2024 from

https://ghgprotocol.org/sites/default/files/ghgp/Global-Warming-Potential-Values%20%28Feb%2016%202016%29 1.pdf?form=MG0AV3

- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), 2007. Climate change 2007: Impacts, adaptation.and vulnerability. Working Group II contribution to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Report on Climate Change. Cambridge UK: IPCC
- IRENA statistics (2024), plus data from the following sources: UN SDG Database (original sources: WHO; World Bank; IEA; IRENA; and UNSD); UN World Population Prospects; UNSD Energy Balances; UN COMTRADE; World Bank World Development Indicators; EDGAR; REN21 Global Status Report; IEA-IRENA Joint Policies and Measures Database; IRENA Global Atlas; and World Bank Global Solar Atlas and Global Wind Atlas. Retrieve January 3, 2025 from https://www.irena.org/-/media/Files/IRENA/Agency/Statistics/Statistical\_Profiles/Africa/Nigeria\_Africa\_RE\_SP.pdf.
- Jadhav, S. S., Patil, S. S., & Deshmukh, M. R. (2020). Deficit irrigation and fertigation strategies for sustainable cabbage production. *Agricultural Water Management*, 239, 106267. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2020.106267">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2020.106267</a>
- Jun, Z., Franca, U.A., Iwuozor, K.O. (2023). Relationship Between Agricultural Production, Energy Consumption, and Climate Change in Nigeria. In: Egbueri, J.C., Ighalo, J.O., Pande, C.B. (eds) Climate Change Impacts on Nigeria. Springer Climate. Springer, Cham. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-21007-5">https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-21007-5</a> 27
- Ketema, K (2021). Comparative Analysis of Economic Efficiency of Irrigated and Rain-Fed Vegetable production in Kersa District of East Hararghe Zone, Oromia, Ethiopia. *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development* ISSN 2222-1700 (Paper) ISSN 2222-2855 (Online) 12(5).
- Kiran, Soura Das., Rebba, Kishore Kumar., Mishra, Bishnupriya and Sahoo, Soubhagya Kumar (2024). Adoption of Cabbage Cultivation Technology by the Farmers of Khordha District of Odisha, India. *Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology*, 42 (4). pp. 115-122. ISSN
- Kumarac, K., Kumaric, K., Acharya, S., Tsewanga, T., Mishraa, A., Vermaa, A and O P Chaurasia (2023). Evaluation of Spinach and Lettuce Production (Growth, Morphological Characteristics, Biomass Yield, and Nutritional Quality) in NFT Hydroponic System in Greenhouse, Room, and Open Environment at Leh, India. *Eur. Chem. Bull.* 12(5), 5421-5435
- Nicholson, C. F., M. Eaton, M. I. Gómez, N. S. Mattson. (2023). Economic and Environmental Performance of Controlled-Environment Supply Chains for Leaf Lettuce. European Review of Agricultural Economics, doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1093/erae/jabd016">https://doi.org/10.1093/erae/jabd016</a>.
- Nicholson, C.F., Harbick, K., Gómez, M.I., Mattson, N.S (2020). An Economic and Environmental Comparison of Conventional and Controlled Environment Agriculture (CEA) Supply Chains for Leaf Lettuce to US Cities. In: Aktas, E.,

- Bourlakis, M. (eds) Food Supply Chains in Cities. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-34065-0\_2
- Nigerian Price, (2024). Cost of 100 Units of Electricity in Nigeria (November 2024). Retrieved December, 27th 2024 from https://nigerianprice.com/cost-of-100-units-of-electricity-in-nigeria/
- Nigerian Seed Portal (2025). Nigerian Seed Portal Initiative Retrieved April 21, 2025 from <a href="https://www.seedportal.org.ng/variety.php?cropid=39&task=view">https://www.seedportal.org.ng/variety.php?cropid=39&task=view</a>.
- Nwanojuo, M. A., Anumudu, C. K., & Onyeaka, H. (2025). Impact of Controlled Environment Agriculture (CEA) in Nigeria, a Review of the Future of Farming in Africa. *Agriculture*, 15(2), 117.
- Obebe, O. O., Aluko, O. O., Falohun, O. O., Akinlabi, K. B., Onyiche, E. T.(2020). Parasitic contamination and public health risk of commonly consumed vegetables in Ibadan-Nigeria. *Pan African Medical Journal. Research* | *Volume* 36, *Article* 126, 10.11604/pamj.2020.36.126.19364. http://www.panafrican-med-journal.com/content/article/36/126/full/
- Ogbonna, P. E., Okechukwu, R. U., & Nwankwo, C. N. (2023). Environmental performance of greenhouse vegetable farming in Nigeria: A life cycle perspective. *Agricultural Systems*, 205, 103563. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2022.103563
- Olabisi, O., & Nofiu, A. (2022). Principles for the Production of Tomatoes in the Greenhouse. IntechOpen. doi: 10.5772/intechopen.106975.
- Omorogbe, P. (2021, June 28). Epileptic power supply costs Nigeria ₹10 trillion annually. Business Top News. Nigeria Tribune. https://tribuneonlineng.com/epileptic-power-supply-costs-nigeria n10-trillion-annually-world-bank/
- Plant Calculators, (2025). Vegetable Seed Calculator. Retrieved May 2, 2025 from <a href="https://plantcalculators.com/crop-farming/vegetable-seed/">https://plantcalculators.com/crop-farming/vegetable-seed/</a>
- Rakha, M., Prohens, J., Taher, D., Wu, Th., Solberg, S.Ø. (2021). Eggplant (*Solanum melongena*, S. aethiopicum and S. macrocarpon) Breeding. In: Al-Khayri, J.M., Jain, S.M., Johnson, D.V. (eds) Advances in Plant Breeding Strategies: Vegetable Crops. Springer, Cham. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-66961-45">https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-66961-45</a>
- Ritchie, Hannah., Roser, Max and Pablo Rosado (2020) CO<sub>2</sub> and Greenhouse Gas Emissions. Published online at OurWorldinData.org. Retrieved January 3, 2025 from: 'https://ourworldindata.org/co2-and-greenhouse-gasemissions' [Online Resource]
- Sarma, U., & T.R, Bhavya (2024). Dietary phytonutrients in common green leafy vegetables and the significant role of processing techniques on spinach: a review. *Food Prod Process and Nutr* 6, 10. https://doi.org/10.1186/s43014- 023-00192-7

- SME Guide, (2025). Eggplant Farming in Nigeria: Keys to Success in the Local and Export Markets. Retrieved April 21, 2025 from <a href="https://smeguide.net/eggplant-farming-in-nigeria-keys-to-success-in-the-local-and-export-markets/">https://smeguide.net/eggplant-farming-in-nigeria-keys-to-success-in-the-local-and-export-markets/</a>
- Statista, (2025). Vegetables Worldwide. Retrieved April 20, 2025 from <a href="https://www.statista.com/outlook/cmo/food/vegetables/worldwide">https://www.statista.com/outlook/cmo/food/vegetables/worldwide</a>
- U. S. Department of Agriculture(USDA, 2021), Agricultural Research Service, Beltsville Human Nutrition Research Center. Food Data Central. [Internet]. [cited (January 2, 2025)]. Available from <a href="https://fdc.nal.usda.gov/">https://fdc.nal.usda.gov/</a>. Retrieved from <a href="https://fdc.nal.usda.gov/?form=MG0AV3">https://fdc.nal.usda.gov/?form=MG0AV3</a>
- Plant calculators(2025). Vegetable Yield Calculator. Retrieved April 20, 2025 from <a href="https://plantcalculators.com/crop-farming/vegetable-yield/">https://plantcalculators.com/crop-farming/vegetable-yield/</a>
- Ugoo, Tavershima (2024), Variety of Lettuce (Lactuca sativa L.) Growth and Yield in Response to Various Organic Fertilizers in Makurdi, Nigeria. Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=5003702 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.50 03702
- Veggie Concept, (2022). Cucumber Yield per Acre in Nigeria. Retrieved April 20, 2025 from <a href="https://veggieconcept.ng/cucumber-yield-per-acre-in-nigeria/">https://veggieconcept.ng/cucumber-yield-per-acre-in-nigeria/</a>
- Wang, K.-H., J. Sugano, S. Fukuda, J. Uyeda, D. Meyer, S. Ching. (2017). DIY

  Screenhouse for insect management in the Tropics: Part I. Hānai'Ai

  Newsletter 28: Dec, Jan, Feb 2017.

  https://cms.ctahr.hawaii.edu/soap/HanaiAi.aspx
- Weather Spark, (2024). Climate and Average Weather Year Round in Kaduna Nigeria. <a href="https://weatherspark.com/y/55104/Average-Weather-in-Kaduna-Nigeria-Year-Round">https://weatherspark.com/y/55104/Average-Weather-in-Kaduna-Nigeria-Year-Round</a>
- Wechie, E & Elenwa, C. O (2024). Socioeconomic Factors Influencing the Adoption of Leafy Vegetable Technologies for Increased Vegetable Production in Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Agriculture and Earth Science* (IJAES) E-ISSN 2489-0081 P-ISSN 2695-1894 Vol 10. No. 6 www.iiardjournals.org Online Version
- Wudil, A.H. et al. (2022). Sustainable Solutions to Food Insecurity in Nigeria: Perspectives on Irrigation, Crop-Water Productivity, and Antecedents. In: Ahmed, M. (eds) Global Agricultural Production: Resilience to Climate Change . Springer, Cham.