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1. Summary

The design and synthesis of a series of theophylline derivatives containing 1,2,3-triazole moieties is presented. The corrosion inhibition activities of these new triazole-theophylline compounds were evaluated by studying the corrosion of API 5L X52 steel in 1 M HCl media. The results showed that an increase in the concentration of the theophylline-triazole derivatives also increases the charge transference resistance (R\textsubscript{ct}) value, enhancing inhibition efficiency and decreasing the corrosion process. The electrochemical impedance spectroscopy under static conditions studies revealed that the best inhibition efficiencies (~90%) at 50 ppm are presented by the all-substituted compounds. According to the Langmuir isotherm, the compounds 4 and 5 analyzed exhibit physisorption-chemisorption process, with exception of the hydrogen 3, bromo 6 and iodo 7 substituted compound, which exhibit chemisorption process. Finally, SEM-EDS analysis of the steel was performed after submerging it in 1M HCl in the presence of different concentrations of the organic inhibitor. The corrosion process in the studied material decreases considerably, as expected, by increasing the concentration of the organic inhibitors, reaching a peak in corrosion inhibition at a concentration of 50 ppm.

2. Introduction
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Steel corrosion remains as one of the most significant problem to industry. This naturally occurring phenomenon, that takes place at the metal-solution interface, substantially decrease the life of the equipment and facilitates the dissolution of environmentally toxic metal from the components [1-3]. In this regard, several organic molecules are recognized as corrosion inhibitors for several metals and alloys [4-6]. The adsorption of organic molecules at the metal surface both disrupts the properties of the metal/solution interface, effectively inhibiting the corrosion process [7], and eliminates the need for expensive and toxic inhibitor compounds [8]. It has been found that molecules with lone electron pairs and/or $\pi$-electrons in their structure show great affinity to be adsorbed into the metallic material [9-12]. Thus, heterocycles containing nitrogen, oxygen, sulphur, and unsaturation in its structure are excellent candidates to be evaluated as corrosion inhibitors. In this context, nitrogen-containing heterocycles have been regarded as the most effective corrosion inhibitors of steel in acid solutions [13, 14]. Additionally to their biological activities [15-21], nitrogen-containing heterocycles derived from xanthine, such as caffeine has demonstrated to possess great activity as corrosion inhibitors for a series of metals and alloys, although theophylline and theobromine promote corrosion under certain conditions [22, 23]. Recently, theophylline has been studied as a corrosion inhibitor using an API 5L X70 steel and proved effective at low concentrations [24]. On the other hand, the triazole derivatives are another class of nitrogen-containing heterocycles that have drawn attention for their potential applications in pharmaceutics, coordination chemistry and as corrosion inhibitors. Several reports have highlighted the capabilities of these compounds to strongly absorb on metal surfaces, achieving an adequate corrosion inhibition efficiency at low concentrations [25-32]. A typical pathway to enhance the corrosion inhibition efficiency of a given heterocycle is to modify its structure with various substituents or functional groups. Moreover, a synergistic effect could be achieved if those substituents possess corrosion inhibition activity by themselves. Accordingly, this work presents the design and synthesis of new theophylline derivatives bearing 1,2,3-triazole moieties, which are interesting, low-cost, and easy to prepare compounds. These novel compounds were tested as corrosion inhibition species to establish structure-activity relationships and to gain further insight into their adsorption properties and the steel protection.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Synthesis of the theophylline-triazole inhibitors

3.1.1. 1,3-dimethyl-7-((prop-2-in-1-gyl)-3,7-dihydro-1H-purine-2,6-dione (2). Compound 2 was synthesized following the procedure described by Ruddarraju et al [21]. A mixture of theophylline (1) (1.98 g, 11 mmol) and potassium carbonate (1.990 g, 14.4 mmol) in DMF (30 mL) were stirred vigorously at room temperature for 20 minutes. Then, propargyl bromide (1.68 mL, 22.2 mmol) was added and temperature was increased at 85 °C with vigorous stirring for another 2 h. Then, the mixture was poured in cold water. The compound was recovered as a white powder: yield 80%, m.p. 220 °C. 1H NMR (500.13 MHz, CDCl3): $\delta$ (ppm)= 2.60 (1H, t, J=2.61 Hz, H12), 3.41 (3H, s, N1-CH3), 3.60 (3H, s, N3-CH3), 5.17 (2H, dd, J= 2.6, 0.62 Hz, H10), 7.83 (1H, t, J=0.53 Hz, H8). 13C NMR (125.77 MHz, CDCl3): $\delta$= 27.96 (N1-CH3), 36.44 (C10), 75.43 (C11), 76.07 (C12), 106.71 (C5), 114.02 (C8), 148.92 (C4), 151.60 (C2), 155.23 (C6). FT-IR/ATR vmax/cm-1: 3243.55, 3111.71, 2946.11, 2871.41, 1714.29, 1651.15, 1543.95, 1477.34, 1437.21, 1373.59, 1232.32, 1190.89, 1025.01, 977.45, 744.20.

3.1.2. 7-((1-benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl) methyl)-1,3-dimethyl-3,7-dihydro-1H-purine-2,6-dione (3). A mixture of compound 2 (206 mg, 1mmol), sodium ascorbate (40 mg, 0.2 mmol), sodium azide (78 mg, 1.2 mmol), benzyl chloride (0.14 mL, 1.2 mmol), and Cu/Al mixed oxide (40 mg) in 6 mL of ethanol/water (3:1) were stirred at 80 °C for 30 min with microwave radiation. After this time, the Cu/Al mixed oxide is recovered by centrifugation and the supernatant is poured in 20 mL of water, extracted with dichloromethane, and dried over sodium sulfate.
3.1.3. 7-((1-(4-fluorobenzyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl) methyl)-1,3-dimethyl-3,7-dihydro-1H-purine-2,6-dione (4). Compound 4 was synthesized following the procedure described previously for compound 3, from compound 2 and 4-fluorobenzyl chloride. Compound 4 is obtained, after chromatographic purification (CH$_2$Cl$_2$:EtOH 95:5), as a white powder: yield 78%, m.p. 169-171 °C. $^1$H NMR (500.13 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ = 3.38 (3H, s, N1-CH$_3$), 3.56 (3H, s, N3-CH$_3$), 5.49 (2H, s, H13), 5.56 (2H, s, H10), 7.26 (2H, m, H15), 7.36 (3H, m, H17, H16), 7.75 (1H, s, H12), 7.81 (1H, s, H8). $^{13}$C NMR (125.77 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ = 27.98 (N1-CH$_3$), 29.81 (N3-CH$_3$), 41.45 (C10), 53.58 (C13), 106.45 (C5), 123.39 (C12), 129.98 (C16), 130.06 (C16), 141.35 (C8), 142.65 (C11), 148.99 (C4), 151.59 (C2), 155.44 (C6), 161.69 (C14 or C17), 164.17 (C14 or C17). FT-IR/ATR vmax/cm$^{-1}$: 3144.88, 3116.27, 3000.48, 2960.31, 1691.08, 1651.91, 1549.09, 1512.06, 1456.51, 1226.98, 1023.54, 786.67, 750.59, 615.31, 522.38. HRMS (ESI-TOF) (calculated for C$_{19}$H$_{16}$N$_{3}$O$_2$: 370.1422; found: 370.1419.

3.1.4. 7-((1-(4-chlorobenzyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl) methyl)-1,3-dimethyl-3,7-dihydro-1H-purine-2,6-dione (5). Compound 5 was synthesized following the procedure described for compound 3, from compound 2 and 4-chlorobenzyl chloride. Compound 5 is obtained, after chromatographic purification (CH$_2$Cl$_2$:EtOH 95:5), as a light green powder: yield 76%, m.p. 194-196 °C. $^1$H NMR (500.13 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ = 3.39 (3H, s, N1-CH$_3$), 3.56 (3H, s, N3-CH$_3$), 5.47 (2H, s, H13), 5.56 (2H, s, H10), 7.20 (2H, d, J = 8.42 Hz, H15), 7.34 (2H, d, d = 8.42 Hz, H16), 7.77 (1H, s, H12), 7.82 (1H, s, H8). $^{13}$C NMR (100.61 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ = 27.98 (N1-CH$_3$), 29.81 (N3-CH$_3$), 41.45 (C10), 53.58 (C13), 106.45 (C5), 123.53 (C12), 129.38 (C15), 129.44 (C16), 132.72 (C14), 135.01 (C17), 141.36 (C8), 142.77 (C11), 149 (C4), 151.58 (C2), 155.44 (C6). FT-IR/ATR vmax/cm$^{-1}$: 3096.88, 3052.15, 2960.28, 1688.25, 1650.83, 1555.24, 1406.79, 1220.94, 1082.17, 1045.89, 978.31, 848.97, 785.63, 770.92, 608.01, 494.81. HRMS (ESI-TOF) (calculated for C$_{19}$H$_{16}$N$_{3}$O$_2$Cl + H$: 386.1127; found: 386.1124.

3.1.5. 7-((1-(4-bromobenzyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl) methyl)-1,3-dimethyl-3,7-dihydro-1H-purine-2,6-dione (6). Compound 6 was synthesized following the procedure described for compound 3, from compound 2 and 4-bromobenzyl bromide. Compound 6 is obtained, after chromatographic purification (CH$_2$Cl$_2$:EtOH 95:5), as a white powder: yield 63%, m.p. 199-201 °C. $^1$H NMR (500.13 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ = 3.39 (3H, s, N1-CH$_3$), 3.56 (3H, s, N3-CH$_3$), 5.45 (2H, s, H13), 5.56 (2H, s, H10), 7.13 (2H, d, J = 8.65 Hz, H15), 7.49 (2H, d, d = 8.65 Hz, H16), 7.76 (1H, s, H12), 7.80 (1H, s, H8). $^{13}$C NMR (125.77 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ = 27.96 (N1-CH$_3$), 29.79 (N3-CH$_3$), 41.46 (C10), 53.62 (C13), 106.45 (C5), 123.09 (C17), 123.49 (C12), 129.69 (C15), 132.33 (C16), 133.24 (C14), 141.31 (C8), 142.81 (C11), 149 (C4), 151.56 (C2), 155.42 (C6). FT-IR/ATR vmax/cm$^{-1}$: 3134.60, 3095.80, 3049.46, 2960.09, 1687.10, 1650.07, 1546.77, 1540.68, 1405.55, 1220.14, 1103.66, 1030.53, 978.62, 847.88, 607.67, 488.92. HRMS (ESI-TOF) (calculated for C$_{20}$H$_{16}$N$_{3}$O$_2$Br + H$: 430.0621; found: 430.0618.

3.1.6. 7-((1-(4-iodobenzyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl) methyl)-1,3-dimethyl-3,7-dihydro-1H-purine-2,6-dione (7). Compound 7 was synthesized following the procedure described for compound 3, from compound 2 and 4-iodobenzyl bromide. Compound 7 is obtained, after chromatographic purification (CH$_2$Cl$_2$:EtOH 95:5), as a white powder: yield 68%, m.p. 181-184 °C. $^1$H NMR (400.13 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ = 3.39 (3H, s, N1-CH$_3$), 3.56 (3H, s, N3-CH$_3$), 5.44 (2H, s, H13), 5.56 (2H, s, H10), 7.00 (2H, d, d = 8.37 Hz, H15), 7.76 (1H, s, H12), 7.82 (1H, s, H8). $^{13}$C NMR (100.61 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ = 28.02 (N1-CH$_3$), 29.84 (N3-CH$_3$), 41.46 (C10), 53.73 (C13), 94.76 (C17), 106.44 (C5), 123.54 (C12), 129.88 (C15), 133.88 (C14), 138.29 (C16), 141.35 (C8), 142.72 (C11), 148.98 (C4), 151.58 (C2), 128.09 (C15), 128.89 (C17), 129.15 (C16), 134.23 (C14), 141.32 (C8), 142.52 (C11), 148.93 (C4), 151.58 (C2), 155.40 (C6). FT-IR/ATR vmax/cm$^{-1}$: 3144.78, 3116.27, 3000.48, 2960.31, 1691.08, 1651.91, 1549.09, 1512.06, 1456.51, 1226.98, 1023.54, 786.67, 750.59, 615.31, 522.38. HRMS (ESI-TOF) (calculated for C$_{20}$H$_{16}$N$_{3}$O$_2$: 370.1422; found: 370.1419.
155.43 (C6). FT-IR/ATR $v_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$: 3143.55, 3115.45, 2958.37, 1690.45, 1651.19, 1548.13, 1456.04, 1218.88, 1006.66, 750.30, 615.40, 498.95. HRMS (ESI-TOF) (calculated for C$_{17}$H$_{17}$N$_7$O$_2$I + H$^+$): 478.0483; found: 478.0478.

3.2. Corrosion inhibition tests

3.2.1 API 5L X52 steel

API 5L X52 steel was used for the corrosion inhibition studies. This type of steel has a metallographic preparation with the following nominal composition (wt%): C, 0.025; Mn, 1.65; Si, 0.26; Ti, 0.015; V, 0.001; Nb, 0.068; Mo, 0.175; S, 0.0025; Al, 0.045; Ni, 0.08; Cr, 0.07; Cu, 0.21; and balance iron.

3.2.2 Inhibitor solutions

A 0.01 M solution of each theophylline-triazole inhibitor 3–7 in DMF was prepared. Then, concentrations of 5, 10, 20 and 50 ppm of the inhibitor were added to the 1 M HCl corrosive solution.

3.2.3. Characterization of surfaces by SEM-EDS

The API 5L X52 steel surface was prepared both without (blank) and with inhibitor; a 50-ppm concentration was used for a 24 h immersion time. After that experiment, the steel was washed with distilled water, dried, and the surface analysed using a Zeiss SUPRA 55 VP electronic sweep microscope at 10 kV with a 300x secondary electron detector.

3.2.4. Electrochemical evaluation

The potential was stabilized at 20 °C for approximately 1800 s before electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) test. EIS: a sinusoidal potential of ±10 mV was applied in a frequency interval of $10^{-2}$ Hz to $10^{4}$ Hz, in an electrochemical cell with three electrodes using Gill Ac. The working electrode was API 5L X52 steel, while reference electrode and counter electrode were Ag/AgCl saturated with chloride potassium and graphite respectively. The electrode surface was prepared using conventional metallography methods over an exposed area of 1 cm$^2$. After EIS measurements, the potentiodynamic polarization curves of 5 and 50 ppm of inhibitors were obtained. The measurements covered a range from -500 mV to 500 mV regarding the open circuit potential (OCP), with a sweep velocity of 66.07 mV min$^{-1}$ using the ACM Analysis software for data interpretation.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1 EIS Electrochemical evaluation

After obtaining the Nyquist diagrams of the compounds at different concentrations, the results were adjusted using the equivalent electric circuits (Fig. 2), to obtain the resistances: $R_s$ is the solution resistance, $R_{ct}$ is the resistance to charge transferance and $Q$ is the constant phase element, $R_{mol}$ is the molecules resistance. The value of inhibition efficiency ($\eta$) can be obtained using the following equation [33-34]:

$$\eta \; (\%) = \left( 1 - \left( \frac{1}{R_{p}} \right)_{\text{inhibitor}} \right) \times 100 \left( \frac{1}{R_{p}} \right)_{\text{blank}}$$ (1)

Fig. 3 corresponds to the Nyquist diagram for the system without inhibitor, which depicts a depressed semicircle reaching a maximum $Z_{mol}$ value of 30 $\Omega$ cm$^2$ (adjusted with electrical circuit Figure 2a). In Figs. 4a-4e the Nyquist diagrams of each of the theophylline-triazoles are shown. As can be seen, the diameter of the semicircle increases proportionally with the inhibitor’s concentration. According to the shape of the semicircle, two time constant (using the circuit Figure 2b) can be attributed, the charge transferance resistance and the second
to adsorbed molecules resistance [35-37]. It can be observed that the $Z_{\text{real}}$ value presents a large variation. Based on these results, it can be inferred that the presence of a halogen substituent in para position of the aromatic ring modulate the inhibition capacity of the compound.

In the electrochemical parameters obtained from the adjustment of experimental data with the equivalent circuit shown previously, it is possible to notice that the value of the capacitance of the electrochemical double layer ($C_{\text{dl}}$) decreases when more concentration of the inhibitor is added, due to the gradual displacement of water molecules with the theophylline-triazole inhibitor molecules in the working electrode, which decreases the number of active sites and consequently delays the corrosion phenomenon [38-39]. The charge transference resistance ($R_{\text{ct}}$) also increases when more concentration of the inhibitor is added in every case. Finally, the value of the inhibition efficiency reached a maximum of 94% of effectivity at 50 ppm for the compound containing chloride in its chemical structure.

In Fig. 5, a comparative of inhibition efficiency values for each theophylline-triazole derivative is shown. It is important to mention that, for the lowest concentration measurements (5 ppm), the best inhibition efficiency is presented by compound 7.

At lower inhibitor concentrations (5 ppm) the adsorbability effect was observed to follow the order F (compound 4) < Cl (compound 5) < Br (compound 6) < H (compound 3) < I (compound 7). The later can be attributed to the larger size and the great polarizability on I, facilitating electron pair bonding and effectively enhancing the inhibiting power. However, the presence of the halogen attached to the benzene ring does not show a clear trend in the improvement of the inhibition efficiency at the highest inhibitor concentration (50 ppm), because it is not the only fragment that is interacting with the metal surface [39]. At the highest concentration (50 ppm), the best inhibition efficiency is presented by compound 5 ($\eta$ (%) = 94), followed closely by compound 3 and 6 ($\eta$ (%) ~ 91). The best inhibition (compound 5) can be attributed to the increased electron affinity of Cl, that could create a partially negative charge that can interact more efficiently with the positively charged metal surface, enhancing the overall adsorption of the molecule and thus, increasing its corrosion inhibition efficiency.

Also, worth to mention is the fact that the corrosion inhibition efficiency of all the theophylline-triazole derivatives is higher than the corrosion inhibition observed in the underivatized theophylline [23] but is comparatively lower than other 1,2,3-triazole derivatives reported earlier [28-30].

**Potentiodynamic polarization evaluation**

The potentiodynamic polarization curves of the API 5L X52 steel immerse in HCl 1M in absence and presence of triazoles 1,4-disubstituted (5 and 50 ppm) are shown in Fig. 6. The parameters as the corrosion potential ($E_{\text{corr}}$), Tafel anodic pendant (ba), cathodic pendant (bc), and corrosion current density ($i_{\text{corr}}$) obtained from the curves are shown in Table 2.

The inhibition efficiency ($\eta_{\text{pot}}$) is calculated with the following equation [40-42]:

$$
\eta_{\text{pot}}(\%) = \frac{i_{\text{corr blank}} - i_{\text{corr inhibitor}}}{i_{\text{corr blank}}} \times 100
$$

Where $i_{\text{corr}}$ is the corrosion current density in absence (blank) and presence (inhibitor) of the inhibitor.

In Table 2, the electrochemical parameters are summarized. The corrosion current density decrements can be attributed to the adsorption of the organic compound on the metal surface in the acidic medium, which generates a protecting barrier that effectively blocks the active sites on the metal [43]. On the other hand, the corrosion potential ($E_{\text{corr}}$) is lesser than 85 mV, which suggests that it belongs to the mixed type with cathodic predominance for the two concentrations studied [44].
It is worth noting that the inhibition efficiency was also calculated by this technique for two concentrations of each organic compound (summarized in Table 2), which closely correlate with the results obtained by the EIS technique.

**Adsorption Isotherm**

Among all the adsorption mechanism descriptions reported in the literature [45-48], the most common model to describe this process is Langmuir’s isotherms (equation 3). The corresponding adjustment for this model was performed, and the adjustment parameters are shown in Table 3.

\[
\frac{C}{\theta} = \frac{1}{K_{ads}} + C
\]  

(3)

Where C is the concentration, θ is the coating degree and K_{ads} is the adsorption constant.

The value of K_{ads} is related with the Gibbs free energy value (\(\Delta G^°_{ads}\)) and is related to the following equation [49]:

\[
\Delta G^°_{ads} = -RT \ln (55.5 \ K_{ads})
\]  

(4)

Where the numeric value of 55.5 is the molar concentration of water in an acid solution, R is the constant of ideal gasses and T is the absolute temperature of the system.

The calculated values of the thermodynamic adjustment are also shown in Table 3. Several authors mention that the values of \(\Delta G^°\) ads around -40 KJ/mol or more negative are consistent with the charge interchange between the metal and the organic compound, so the reaction is defined as a chemisorption, while the values of \(\Delta G^°\) ads lower than -20 KJ/mol produce only an electrostatic interaction (physisorption) [50-51].

According to these results, the compounds 3, 6 and 7 presents chemisorption process and, for the rest of the compounds, a physisorption-chemisorption type process is observed [52-54].

**SEM-EDS Surface analysis**

The surfaces of the API 5L X52 steel with and without inhibitor (fig. 8), were characterized by SEM- EDS to corroborate the effectiveness of the inhibitor by evaluating the electrochemical response. Figure 8a shows the surface of polished steel, while in figure 8c, shows the steel surface after 24 hours of immersion in a solution of HCl 1M. As can be seen, the metallic surface presents damage due to the presence of chloride ions in the corrosive solution, supported by EDS and shown in Fig. 8d. Finally, figures 8e and 8f shows the morphology of the metallic surface in presence of the best organic inhibitors found in this research (compounds 5 and 7). These results suggest that the inhibitors form protective films of the surface of the API 5L X52 steel effectively diminishing corrosion. In this case, the corrosive species (Cl\(^{-}\)) are not observed in the chemical analysis (Figures 8f and 8h).

5. Conclusion

The theophylline-triazole derivatives synthesized by heterogeneous catalysis were obtained in good yields. These compounds were evaluated as corrosion inhibitors in API 5L X52 steel, demonstrating that the inhibition activity of the theophylline-triazole derivatives is greater than the inhibition activities of any xanthine derivatives as theophylline, and theobromine.

The best inhibitors are the ones bearing a chloride (compound 5) and iodine (compound 7) substituents at the para position of the aromatic ring. The best inhibition activity is reached at a concentration of 50 ppm (η of 94%). Compound 4 is the least efficient as a corrosion inhibitor, containing halogen fluoride. As expected, the presence of an electron withdrawing atom in the phenyl ring decreases the overall efficiencies as corrosion inhibitors of the compounds. This trend is more noticeable at lower inhibitor concentrations.
The adsorption study for compounds 3-7 showed that the corrosion inhibition process follows the Langmuir isotherm, with a combined physisorption-chemisorption process for compounds 3, 6 and 7. Finally, the inhibitors that carried out chemisorption process are compounds 4 and 5.
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Table 1. Electrochemical parameters of 1,2,3-triazoles 1,4-disubstituted in API 5L X52 steel immerse in HCl 1M

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inhibitor</th>
<th>C (ppm)</th>
<th>Rs (Ω cm²)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Cdl (μF cm²)</th>
<th>Rd (Ω cm²)</th>
<th>Rmol (Ω cm²)</th>
<th>η (%)</th>
<th>±SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>blank</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>310.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>221.9</td>
<td>154.0</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>230.7</td>
<td>227.6</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>87.6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>205.1</td>
<td>286.1</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>195.4</td>
<td>343.1</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>228.9</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>192.8</td>
<td>112.4</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>193.4</td>
<td>168.1</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>182.7</td>
<td>210.4</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>86.9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>247.3</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>58.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>214.8</td>
<td>68.1</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>64.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>170.8</td>
<td>265.7</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>89.9</td>
<td>492.8</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>94.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>174.4</td>
<td>134.5</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>79.9</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>129.4</td>
<td>169.8</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>123.2</td>
<td>248.4</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>89.2</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>108.2</td>
<td>335.3</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>172.7</td>
<td>201.8</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>85.5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>153.4</td>
<td>224.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>86.6</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>158.1</td>
<td>272.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>89.1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>153.2</td>
<td>328.2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Electrochemical parameters obtained by means of polarization curves for 1,2,3-triazoles 1,4-disubstituted in API 5L X52 steel immerse in HCl 1M

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C</th>
<th>Ecorr</th>
<th>bc</th>
<th>ba</th>
<th>icorr</th>
<th>ηpol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inhibitor</th>
<th>(ppm)</th>
<th>(mV) vs Ag/AgCl</th>
<th>(mV)</th>
<th>(mV)</th>
<th>(mA/cm²)</th>
<th>(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blank</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-421.2</td>
<td>106.5</td>
<td>84.6</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-450.8</td>
<td>107.0</td>
<td>54.3</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>87.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-470.1</td>
<td>109.5</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>86.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-448.0</td>
<td>163.4</td>
<td>80.4</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>76.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-439.9</td>
<td>158.8</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>87.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-447.6</td>
<td>146.8</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>79.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-441.0</td>
<td>110.2</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>71.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-413.4</td>
<td>122.1</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>94.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-427.2</td>
<td>136.2</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>93.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-410.8</td>
<td>161.2</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>90.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-425.3</td>
<td>132.2</td>
<td>69.5</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>88.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.** Adjustment of thermodynamic data with the Langmuir isotherm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Ln Kads</th>
<th>δG° ads (kJ mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>Linear Regression Equation (M)</th>
<th>R²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>-41.7</td>
<td>C / θ =1.0759C +0.000002</td>
<td>0.9982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>-38.1</td>
<td>C / θ =1.0788C +0.000009</td>
<td>0.9979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>-37.8</td>
<td>C / θ =0.9749C +0.000001</td>
<td>0.9965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>-41.7</td>
<td>C / θ =1.0700C +0.000002</td>
<td>0.9998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>-43.4</td>
<td>C / θ =1.0895C +0.000001</td>
<td>0.9989</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure and table captions

**Figure 1** Synthesis of 1,2,3-triazoles in presence of Cu(Al)O

**Figure 2** Equivalent electric circuit used in the system with (b) and without inhibitor (a)

**Figure 3** Nyquist diagram without inhibitor in immerse API 5L X52 steel

**Figure 4** Nyquist diagrams of theophylline-triazoles in immerse API 5L X52 steel at different inhibitor concentrations. Diagrams a), b), c), d) and e) corresponds to compounds 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 respectively

**Figure 5** Variation of the inhibition efficiency of the theophylline-triazole derivatives 3-7 as a function of its concentration for API 5L X70 steel submerged in 1 M HCl by EIS technique.

**Figure 6** Potentiodynamic polarization curves of 1,2,3-triazoles 1,4-disubstituted in API 5L X52 steel immerse in 1M of HCl

**Figure 7** Adjustment of the thermodynamic analysis of the theophylline-triazole derivatives by using a) Langmuir model and b) in API 5L X52 steel immerse in HCl 1M

**Figure 8** Images of SEM-EDS of API 5L X52 steel for a) polished steel, c) immerse in HCl 1M and in presence of 20 ppm of e) compound 5 and g) compound 7
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-Cl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-Br</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element</td>
<td>Freedom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rs</td>
<td>Fixed(X)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q-T</td>
<td>Fixed(X)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q-P</td>
<td>Fixed(X)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rct</td>
<td>Fixed(X)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Data File:**

**Circuit Model File:**

**Mode:** Run Simulation / Freq. Range (0.001 - 1000000)

**Maximum Iterations:** 100

**Optimization Iterations:** 0

**Type of Fitting:** Complex

**Type of Weighting:** Calc-Modulus

---

**a)**
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**b)**
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