# DYCOVE: A Python package for coupling dynamic vegetation processes with hydro-morphodynamic models

Nelson Tull<sup>1,2</sup>\* and Muriel Z. M. Brückner<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Louisiana State University <sup>2</sup>Center for Computation & Technology, Louisiana State University \*Correspondence: neltull@lsu.edu

This is a non-peer-reviewed preprint submitted to EarthArXiv.

This manuscript has been submitted for publication in the Journal of Open Source Software (JOSS). Please note the manuscript has yet to be formally accepted for publication. Subsequent versions of this manuscript may have slightly different content. If accepted, the final version of this manuscript will be available via the "Peer-reviewed Publication DOI" link on the right-hand side of this webpage. Please feel free to contact the authors with feedback.

### **Abstract**

Vegetation growth in coastal environments plays an important role in shaping coastal morphology (Kirwan et al., 2016; Kleinhans et al., 2018; Mariotti & Fagherazzi, 2010; Schwarz et al., 2018; Temmerman et al., 2005, 2007). Hydrodynamic and morphodynamic (numerical) models are used widely for understanding the processes that impact coastal systems, and they inform management strategies for coastal protection and ecosystem preservation. In these models, vegetation effects are incorporated via friction, with increasing roughness effects for taller and denser vegetation that alters flow velocities and sediment transport. However, roughness is commonly assumed as being constant, a key limitation as vegetation is not static in space and time: processes such as colonization, growth, mortality and species interactions determine dynamic patterns of biomass that depend on environmental conditions. As a result, dynamic vegetation representations are necessary to determine their interactions with local hydrodynamics and morphodynamics in numerical models. This work presents an open-source Python modeling framework DYCOVE (DYnamic COastal VEgetation) that is dynamically coupled with the numerical models Delft3D Flexible Mesh (DFM) and ANUGA, with capabilities to be expanded to other models. DYCOVE represents life-cycle dynamics of multiple vegetation species in coastal environments through detailed ecological processes that are functions of environmental conditions, resulting in spatial and temporal updates of friction effects in the numerical model. The model reproduces realistic vegetation patterns and roughness distributions, a prerequisite to test how vegetation complexity affects hydro-morphodynamics in coastal systems. DYCOVE is designed to prioritize straightforward modeling of coastal vegetation dynamics, accessibility for all user levels, and smooth implementation of additional ecological and biological processes relevant to the study of biophysical interactions.

#### Statement of Need

As the scientific community has become increasingly aware of the relevance of biophysical feedbacks for coastal change, there has been a growing focus on understanding the role of vegetation in coastal protection and ecosystem function (e.g., salt marshes) and hence their incorporation in predictive models (Brückner et al., 2019; Gourgue et al., 2022; Jean Louis & Hiatt, 2025; Wright et al., 2018). With this interest in mind, we present a dynamic vegetation model that is coupled with commonly used hydro-morphodynamic modeling packages.

DYCOVE's vegetation logic originated from a MATLAB model (Brückner et al., 2019) coupled with Delft3D-FLOW (Lesser et al., 2004) that successfully reproduced observed vegetation distributions of estuarine salt marshes without the need of calibration. This original model was one of the first to incorporate detailed ecological processes and biophysical feedbacks in large-scale hydro-morphodynamic numerical models, but it relies on proprietary software (MATLAB) and is limited in its coupling capability with other numerical models.

DYCOVE is an advanced Python-implementation of the original model, which is now object-oriented, completely open-source, and has been reorganized and documented in a way that will allow easy implementation of dynamic vegetation processes across coastal models.

## Background

DYCOVE (Tull & Brückner, 2025) incorporates coastal vegetation in physics-based numerical models through a dynamic coupling framework, where vegetation is distributed based on habitat parameters and provides spatially-varying roughness. The response of the physics-based model to this roughness leads to alteration of the environmental conditions, e.g., inundation, flow velocities, etc., that define habitat, and vegetation is updated during the next coupling, resulting in a biophysical feedback-loop.

Vegetation dynamics are simulated through rules for colonization, growth, senescence, and mortality. Colonization occurs during a defined time step when wetted cells receive a user-defined vegetation fraction, after which vegetation ages and grows linearly up to specified maximum dimensions, with optional winter stem height to represent aboveground biomass mortality. Senescence is modeled via a maximum age, supporting both annual and perennial vegetation, and optional life stages allow vegetation properties to change as plants mature. Mortality is a dose-effect relationship where vegetation fractions are gradually removed if habitat conditions are not optimal. The removed vegetation fraction depends linearly on the strength of the mortality pressure.

The vegetation module is coupled to the numerical model at an interval that we define as the Ecological Time Step (ETS), which is typically set to one tidal cycle (Brückner et al., 2019). At the end of each ETS, the numerical model provides time-varying quantity information (inundation fraction, flow velocities, and bed level changes) that drives vegetation colonization and mortality. Vegetation attributes are passed back to the numerical model via the Baptist formulation (Baptist et al., 2007), which calculates a Chézy roughness value:

$$C_{v} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(C_{b}^{-2} + \frac{C_{d}mD}{2g}\min(h, h_{v}))}} + \frac{\sqrt{g}}{\kappa} \ln \frac{\max(h, h_{v})}{h_{v}}$$

where  $C_v$  is the vegetated Chézy coefficient  $[\mathrm{m}^{1/2}\mathrm{s}^{-1}]$ ,  $C_b$  is the Chézy coefficient for alluvial bed roughness  $[\mathrm{m}^{1/2}\mathrm{s}^{-1}]$ ,  $C_d$  is the vegetation drag coefficient, m is stem density  $[\mathrm{m}^{-2}]$ , D is stem diameter  $[\mathrm{m}]$ , h is flow depth  $[\mathrm{m}]$ ,  $h_v$  and stem height  $[\mathrm{m}]$ , g is gravitational acceleration  $[\mathrm{m}/\mathrm{s}^2]$ , and  $\kappa$  is the von Kármán constant. To achieve meaningful changes in vegetation over the course of a simulation, we "accelerate" vegetation processes by a constant scaling factor, using the same logic as the MORFAC parameter in Delft3D (Ranasinghe et al., 2011).

## Model Implementation

DYCOVE currently supports coupling to DFM and ANUGA, and therefore relies on installation of one (or both) of those models along with the DYCOVE source code. As such, DYCOVE is geared toward users who are familiar with one of these models or have an existing model to which they would like to add dynamic vegetation processes.

Users who have little to no experience with vegetation modeling, DFM, or ANUGA can take advantage of the DYCOVE-ANUGA workflow that can be installed directly from GitHub and have one of the provided working examples running within a matter of minutes. On the other hand, support for DFM carries the benefit of familiarity to many users in the coastal modeling community, while also having a morphodynamic component that is an important aspect of ecological modeling in coastal environments (Brückner et al., 2019).

Regardless of the underlying numerical model, DYCOVE is implemented with just a few lines of code, without any need to modify the model setup users may already have with DFM or ANUGA. The modularity of DYCOVE's underlying numerical model classes will allow for straightforward integration of other hydromorphodynamic models in the future. For example, pyDeltaRCM (Moodie et al., 2021) is an open-source and well-documented option with a morphology component that could be added to DYCOVE without a major code refactoring.

## Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the Center for Computation and Technology for funding this work. We also thank Vindhyawasini Prasad and Anderson Amaya Saldarriaga for their help in testing the package and providing ideas.

### References

Baptist, M., Babovic, V., Rodriguez Uthurburu, J., Keijzer, M., Uittenbogaard, R., Mynett, A., & Verwey, A. (2007). On inducing equations for vegetation resistance. *Journal of Hydraulic Research*, 45(4), 435–450. https://doi.org/10.1080/00221686.2007.9521778

Brückner, M. Z. M., Schwarz, C., Dijk, W. M. van, Oorschot, M. van, Douma, H., & Kleinhans, M. G. (2019). Salt marsh establishment and eco-engineering effects in dynamic estuaries determined by species growth and mortality. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 124(12), 2962–2986. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JF005092

Gourgue, O., Van Belzen, J., Schwarz, C., Vandenbruwaene, W., Vanlede, J., Belliard, J.-P., Fagherazzi, S., Bouma, T. J., Van De Koppel, J., & Temmerman, S. (2022). Biogeomorphic modeling to assess the resilience of tidal-marsh restoration to sea level rise and sediment supply. *Earth Surface Dynamics*, 10(3), 531–553. https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-10-531-2022

- Jean Louis, M., & Hiatt, M. (2025). Modelling the effects of vegetation distribution and density on hydrological connectivity and water age in a river delta. *Ecohydrology*, 18(2), e2741. https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.2741
- Kirwan, M. L., Temmerman, S., Skeehan, E. E., Guntenspergen, G. R., & Fagherazzi, S. (2016). Overestimation of marsh vulnerability to sea level rise. Nature Climate Change, 6(3), 253–260. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate29
- Kleinhans, M. G., Vries, B. de, Braat, L., & Oorschot, M. van. (2018). Living landscapes: Muddy and vegetated floodplain effects on fluvial pattern in an incised river. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 43(14), 2948–2963. https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.4437
- Lesser, G. R., Roelvink, J., Kester, J. van, & Stelling, G. (2004). Development and validation of a three-dimensional morphological model. *Coastal Engineering*, 51 (8-9), 883–915. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coastaleng.2004.07.014
- Mariotti, G., & Fagherazzi, S. (2010). A numerical model for the coupled long-term evolution of salt marshes and tidal flats. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 115(F1). https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JF001326
- Moodie, A. J., Hariharan, J., Barefoot, E., & Passalacqua, P. (2021). \*py-DeltaRCM\*: A flexible numerical delta model. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 6(64), 3398. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.03398
- Ranasinghe, R., Swinkels, C., Luijendijk, A., Roelvink, D., Bosboom, J., Stive, M., & Walstra, D. (2011). Morphodynamic upscaling with the MORFAC approach: Dependencies and sensitivities. *Coastal Engineering*, 58(8), 806–811. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coastaleng.2011.03.010
- Schwarz, C., Gourgue, O., Van Belzen, J., Zhu, Z., Bouma, T. J., Van De Koppel, J., Ruessink, G., Claude, N., & Temmerman, S. (2018). Self-organization of a biogeomorphic landscape controlled by plant life-history traits. *Nature Geoscience*, 11(9), 672–677. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-018-0180-y
- Temmerman, S., Bouma, T. J., Govers, G., Wang, Z. B., De Vries, M. B., & Herman, P. M. (2005). Impact of vegetation on flow routing and sedimentation patterns: Three-dimensional modeling for a tidal marsh. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 110 (F4). https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JF000301
- Temmerman, S., Bouma, T. J., Van De Koppel, J., Van der Wal, D., De Vries, M. B., & Herman, P. M. (2007). Vegetation causes channel erosion in a tidal landscape. *Geology*, 35(7), 631–634. https://doi.org/10.1130/G23502A.1
- Tull, N., & Brückner, M. Z. M. (2025). DYCOVE version 0.1.0 [Computer software]. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18004302
- Wright, K., Hiatt, M., & Passalacqua, P. (2018). Hydrological connectivity in vegetated river deltas: The importance of patchiness below a threshold. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45(19), 10–416. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018 GL079183