



Non-peer reviewed preprint submitted to EarthArXiv

'WetlandMapper: A Python package for automatic wetland mapping, dynamics classification, and cover-type characterisation from multispectral time-series data'

Manudeo Singh

Department of Geography and Earth Sciences, Aberystwyth University, Aberystwyth,
Wales, UK

manudeo.singh@aber.ac.uk

Keywords: Python, remote sensing, wetlands, wetland dynamics, wetland cover types, time series, xarray, Google Earth Engine

Quick install: `pip install wetlandmapper`

GitHub link: <https://github.com/manudeo/wetlandmapper>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18967176>

Documentation: <https://wetlandmapper.readthedocs.io/>

This is a non-peer reviewed pre-print submitted to EarthArXiv.

1 WetlandMapper: A Python package for automatic 2 wetland mapping, dynamics classification, and 3 cover-type characterisation from multispectral 4 time-series data

5 **Manudeo Singh** ¹✉

6 **1** Department of Geography and Earth Sciences, Aberystwyth University, Aberystwyth, Wales, UK 
7 Corresponding author

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#) 
- [Repository](#) 
- [Archive](#) 

Editor: [Open Journals](#) 

Reviewers:

- [@openjournals](#)

Submitted: 01 January 1970

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright,
and release the work under a
Creative Commons Attribution 4.0
International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).

8 Summary

9 WetlandMapper is an open-source Python package that operationalises two peer-reviewed
10 remote-sensing frameworks for automated wetland analysis from multispectral satellite data.
11 The package is available on PyPI (`pip install wetlandmapper`) and archived at Zenodo
12 ([Singh, 2026](#)).

13 The first framework is a **wetland dynamics classification** method ([Singh & Sinha, 2022a](#)) that
14 maps floodplain wetlands at basin scale from a multi-temporal MNDWI time series and classifies
15 each wetland pixel into one of six temporal dynamics classes: *Persistent*, *New*, *Intensifying*,
16 *Diminishing*, *Lost*, and *Intermittent*.

17 The second framework is a **Wetland Cover Type (WCT) classification** method ([Singh et al.,](#)
18 [2022](#)) that combines the Modified Normalised Difference Water Index (MNDWI), Normalised
19 Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), and Normalised Difference Turbidity Index (NDTI) to
20 characterise the biophysical surface composition of wetland pixels into stable, ecologically
21 interpretable cover types including open clear water, turbid water, aquatic vegetation, and
22 moist soil.

23 These two modules address complementary monitoring questions: the dynamics module
24 characterises *when and how* wetland inundation is changing over time, while the WCT module
25 characterises *what* is present at the wetland surface at any given time. Both operate on xarray
26 DataArray objects ([Hoyer & Hamman, 2017](#)), enabling dask-backed parallel processing of large
27 raster archives. Users may supply their own pre-processed imagery or retrieve analysis-ready
28 surface-reflectance data directly from Google Earth Engine (GEE; [Gorelick et al. \(2017\)](#)) via
29 an integrated optional acquisition submodule that supports all Landsat missions (4, 5, 7, 8,
30 and 9), Sentinel-2, and shapefile-path or GeoJSON area-of-interest inputs.

31 Statement of Need

32 Wetlands cover roughly 5–8percent of Earth's land surface and deliver ecosystem services valued
33 at tens of trillions of dollars annually, yet global wetland area has declined by approximately
34 35percent since 1970 ([Davidson, 2014](#); [Ramsar Convention Secretariat, 2018](#)). Operational
35 monitoring at basin to continental scales — tracking both inundation dynamics and biophysical
36 surface conditions — is essential for conservation management, restoration prioritisation, and
37 international reporting obligations under the Ramsar Convention.

38 Existing tools for remote sensing-based wetland analysis suffer from one or more of the following

39 limitations: (1) they characterise only binary inundation extent and do not resolve temporal
 40 dynamics or surface cover composition (Pekel et al., 2016); (2) they are embedded in proprietary
 41 platforms (ArcGIS Model Builder, GEE JavaScript API) that resist integration into scripted,
 42 reproducible workflows; (3) they address either dynamics or cover characterisation but not both
 43 within a single interoperable framework; and (4) their methods are distributed as single-use
 44 scripts rather than tested, documented software libraries with version histories.

45 WetlandMapper addresses all four gaps. It provides a fully Pythonic, open-source library that
 46 unifies the dynamics-classification method of Singh & Sinha (2021) and Singh & Sinha (2022a)
 47 — previously dependent on ArcGIS — and the WCT method of Singh et al. (2022) —
 48 previously distributed only as GEE JavaScript code and an ArcGIS toolbox — while adding a
 49 flexible data-ingestion pathway that supports both user-supplied imagery and automated GEE
 50 retrieval. Both methods require no labelled training data and operate on any multispectral
 51 archive from which MNDWI, NDVI, and NDTI can be computed.

52 State of the Field

53 The JRC Global Surface Water dataset (Pekel et al., 2016) characterises long-term open-water
 54 occurrence globally at 30 m resolution but does not distinguish wetland surface types. Machine-
 55 learning approaches achieve high classification accuracy but require labelled training data that
 56 are rarely available at regional scales (Mahdavi et al., 2018; Slagter et al., 2020). SAR-based
 57 methods handle cloud cover but require specialist pre-processing workflows (Tsyganskaya et al.,
 58 2018) and site-specific thresholding. The methods unified in WetlandMapper occupy a practical
 59 middle ground — no training labels, applicable to any cloud-free multispectral archive, and
 60 producing ecologically interpretable outputs — and WetlandMapper makes them accessible in
 61 a reusable, tested Python library for the first time.

62 Software Design and Methods

63 Wetland Dynamics Classification

64 This module implements the basin-scale inventory and hydrodynamics framework of Singh &
 65 Sinha (2022a). Given a multi-temporal MNDWI raster stack, each time step is first thresholded
 66 to a binary water-presence layer:

$$W_t = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \text{MNDWI}_t > \tau \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

67 where $\tau = 0$ by default (positive MNDWI indicates a water-dominated pixel; Xu (2006)).
 68 Three summary statistics are then derived across the full time series of length T , split by a
 69 configurable temporal window n :

$$W_{\text{percent}} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T W_t}{T} \times 100, \quad W_{\text{historic}} = \sum_{t=1}^n W_t, \quad W_{\text{recent}} = \sum_{t=T-n+1}^T W_t$$

70 The temporal change signal $\Delta W = W_{\text{recent}} - W_{\text{historic}}$ is used together with W_{percent} and
 71 two user-adjustable wet-frequency thresholds ($\theta_{\text{wet}}, \theta_{\text{persis}}$) to assign each pixel to one of six
 72 dynamics classes:

Class	Primary condition
Persistent	$W_{\text{percent}} \geq \theta_{\text{persis}}$
New	$\Delta W = +n$

Class	Primary condition
Intensifying	$W_{\text{percent}} \geq \theta_{\text{wet}}; 0 < \Delta W < n$
Diminishing	$W_{\text{percent}} \geq \theta_{\text{wet}}; -n < \Delta W < 0$
Lost	$\Delta W = -n$
Intermittent	$W_{\text{percent}} \geq \theta_{\text{wet}}; \text{no directional trend}$
Non-wetland	$W_{\text{percent}} < \theta_{\text{wet}}$

73 The entire classification is implemented using vectorised `xr`.where operations, enabling chunked
74 parallel execution via `Dask` with no Python-level pixel iteration.

75 Wetland Cover Type Classification

76 This module implements the multi-index WCT framework of Singh et al. (2022). Three
77 spectral indices are computed from the same multispectral image:

$$\text{MNDWI} = \frac{G - \text{SWIR}}{G + \text{SWIR}}, \quad \text{NDVI} = \frac{\text{NIR} - R}{\text{NIR} + R}, \quad \text{NDTI} = \frac{R - G}{R + G}$$

78 MNDWI delineates the extent of surface water; NDVI quantifies vegetation presence and
79 density; NDTI quantifies water turbidity. Their combined spectral signatures partition wetland
80 pixels into five biophysically distinct cover types. Two classification implementations are
81 provided: the original quartile-based combination code method (`classify_wct_ema`), and an
82 improved continuous-threshold variant (`classify_wct`) that allows sub-quartile calibration for
83 different sensors or seasons.

84 Data Acquisition and Temporal Aggregation

85 The optional `wetlandmapper.gee` submodule supports all five Landsat missions (4, 5, 7, 8,
86 9) and Sentinel-2. A "LandsatAll" option automatically merges available missions for any
87 requested date range with harmonised band names, enabling long-record analyses from 1982
88 to the present day. An optional `use_slc_off` parameter controls whether Landsat 7 images
89 acquired after the 2003 Scan Line Corrector failure (which cause ~22percent data gaps per
90 scene) are included. Areas of interest may be provided as a GeoJSON dict, a shapefile path, or
91 a GeoJSON file path; multi-feature shapefiles are dissolved to a single boundary automatically.

92 Server-side temporal compositing reduces data transfer volume for long time series: users may
93 request one median composite per year, month, or meteorological season (DJF / MAM / JJA
94 / SON) rather than every individual scene. The same temporal aggregation functionality is
95 also available client-side via `aggregate_time()`, which operates on any `xarray DataArray` or
96 `Dataset` regardless of data source.

97 Dependencies and Installation

98 `WetlandMapper` requires Python ≥ 3.9 . Core dependencies are `numpy` (Harris et al., 2020),
99 `xarray` (Hoyer & Hamman, 2017), and `rioxarray` (Snow & others, 2022). The GEE submodule
100 additionally requires `earthengine-api`, `rasterio`, `xee`, `dask`, and `geopandas`. Installation:

```
101 pip install wetlandmapper           # core
102 pip install "wetlandmapper[gee]"    # with GEE + shapefile support
103 pip install "wetlandmapper[plot]"   # with visualisation utilities
```

104 Detailed platform-specific installation instructions, including GEE authentication setup, are
105 provided in `INSTALL.md` in the repository.

106 Usage

107 A minimal end-to-end example for each workflow:

```
import xarray as xr
from wetlandmapper import compute_mndwi, classify_dynamics
from wetlandmapper import compute_indices, classify_wct_ema
from wetlandmapper.gee import fetch

# --- Dynamics: fetch annual composites from all Landsat missions ---
mndwi = fetch("study_area.shp", "1984-01-01", "2023-12-31",
              sensor="LandsatAll", temporal_aggregation="annual")
dynamics = classify_dynamics(mndwi, nYear=3,
                             thresholdWet=25, thresholdPersis=75)
dynamics.rio.to_raster("wetland_dynamics.tif")

# --- WCT: single composite → 5 biophysical cover types
# --- ds_composite is an xarray multispectral dataset (a Landsat .tiff)
ds_composite = xr.load_dataset('Landsat.tiff')
indices = compute_indices(ds_composite, green_band="B3", red_band="B4",
                          nir_band="B5", swir_band="B6")
wct = classify_wct_ema(indices)
wct.rio.to_raster("wetland_cover_types.tif")
```

108 A Jupyter notebook demonstrating both workflows on synthetic data, with full GEE acquisition
109 and interactive visualisation sections, is included at `notebooks/demo_wetlandmapper.ipynb`.
110 Full API documentation is available at <https://wetlandmapper.readthedocs.io>.

111 Research Impact and Context

112 The dynamics module is derived from the methodology of Singh & Sinha (2022a), where it
113 was applied to over 3,000 floodplain wetlands in the Ramganga Basin, north India, using a
114 Landsat time series spanning 1994–2019.

115 That study demonstrated that frequency-based temporal aggregation can effectively distinguish
116 permanently inundated, seasonally active, and recently changed wetlands at basin scale,
117 providing a validated inventory framework applicable to wetland restoration prioritisation
118 (Singh & Sinha, 2022b).

119 The WCT module is derived from Singh et al. (2022), where the MNDWI–NDVI–NDTI
120 combination was validated across three Ramsar-listed wetlands in contrasting geomorphic and
121 climatic settings — Kaabar Tal (Ganga floodplain), Chilika Lagoon (coastal), and Nal Sarovar
122 (semi-arid) — demonstrating that the WCTs are stable in space and time, meaning comparable
123 biophysical conditions correspond to the same WCT irrespective of geographic location.

124 By unifying both workflows in a single, tested Python package with flexible data ingestion,
125 WetlandMapper enables application of these frameworks globally in fully scripted, reproducible
126 workflows, without dependence on proprietary GEE or ArcGIS environments.

127 Acknowledgements

128 The author acknowledges the ISRO–IIT Kanpur Space Technology Cell and WWF-India for
129 funding the original research underlying both methods, and the Google Earth Engine team for
130 providing cloud-computing access. The author is a Newton International Fellow funded by The
131 Royal Society, London, and gratefully acknowledges this support. The facilities and support
132 provided by Aberystwyth University are also duly acknowledged.

133 **AI Assistance**

134 Development of this software package was assisted by Claude (Anthropic), an AI language
135 model, for tasks including code scaffolding, packaging configuration, continuous integration
136 setup, docstring writing, and debugging. The scientific methodology, classification algorithms,
137 spectral index thresholds, and validation are based entirely on the author's prior peer-reviewed
138 work (Singh et al., 2022; Singh & Sinha, 2021, 2022a), and all scientific content, design
139 decisions, and results presented here are the author's own.

140 **References**

- 141 Davidson, N. C. (2014). How much wetland has the world lost? Long-term and recent
142 trends in global wetland area. *Marine and Freshwater Research*, 65(10), 934–941. <https://doi.org/10.1071/MF14173>
- 144 Gorelick, N., Hancher, M., Dixon, M., Ilyushchenko, S., Thau, D., & Moore, R. (2017).
145 Google Earth Engine: Planetary-scale geospatial analysis for everyone. *Remote Sensing of*
146 *Environment*, 202, 18–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.06.031>
- 147 Harris, C. R., Millman, K. J., Walt, S. J. van der, & others. (2020). Array programming with
148 NumPy. *Nature*, 585(7825), 357–362. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2649-2>
- 149 Hoyer, S., & Hamman, J. J. (2017). Xarray: N-D labeled arrays and datasets in Python.
150 *Journal of Open Research Software*, 5(1), 10. <https://doi.org/10.5334/jors.148>
- 151 Mahdavi, S., Salehi, B., Granger, J., Amani, M., Brisco, B., & Huang, W. (2018). Remote
152 sensing for wetland classification: A comprehensive review. *GIScience & Remote Sensing*,
153 55(5), 623–658. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15481603.2017.1419602>
- 154 Pekel, J.-F., Cottam, A., Gorelick, N., & Belward, A. S. (2016). High-resolution mapping
155 of global surface water and its long-term changes. *Nature*, 540(7633), 418–422. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature20584>
- 157 Ramsar Convention Secretariat. (2018). *Global wetland outlook: State of the world's wetlands*
158 *and their services to people*. Ramsar Convention Secretariat. <https://www.global-wetland-outlook.ramsar.org>
- 160 Singh, M. (2026). *WetlandMapper: Automatic wetland mapping, dynamics classification, and*
161 *cover-type characterisation from multispectral time-series data* (Version v1.0.0). Zenodo.
162 <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18967177>
- 163 Singh, M., Allaka, S., Gupta, P. K., Patel, J. G., & Sinha, R. (2022). Deriving wetland-cover
164 types (WCTs) from integration of multispectral indices based on Earth observation data.
165 *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 194(12), 878. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-022-10541-7>
- 167 Singh, M., & Sinha, R. (2021). Hydrogeomorphic indicators of wetland health inferred from
168 multi-temporal remote sensing data for a new Ramsar site (kaabar tal), India. *Ecological*
169 *Indicators*, 127(1), 107739. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2021.107739>
- 170 Singh, M., & Sinha, R. (2022a). A basin-scale inventory and hydrodynamics of floodplain
171 wetlands based on time-series of remote sensing data. *Remote Sensing Letters*, 13(1),
172 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2150704X.2021.1980919>
- 173 Singh, M., & Sinha, R. (2022b). Integrating hydrological connectivity in a process–response
174 framework for restoration and monitoring prioritisation of floodplain wetlands in the
175 Ramganga basin, India. *Water*, 14(21), 3520. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w14213520>
- 176 Slagter, B., Tsendbazar, N.-E., Vollrath, A., & Reiche, J. (2020). Mapping wetland
177 characteristics using temporally dense Sentinel-1 and Sentinel-2 data: A case study in the

- 178 St. Lucia wetlands, South Africa. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and*
179 *Geoinformation*, 86, 102009. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2019.102009>
- 180 Snow, A. D., & others. (2022). *Rioxarray: Geospatial xarray extension powered by rasterio*.
181 Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4570456>
- 182 Tsyganskaya, V., Martinis, S., Marzahn, P., & Ludwig, R. (2018). SAR-based detection of
183 flooded vegetation – a review of characteristics and approaches. *International Journal of*
184 *Remote Sensing*, 39(8), 2255–2293. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2017.1420938>
- 185 Xu, H. (2006). Modification of normalised difference water index (NDWI) to enhance open
186 water features in remotely sensed imagery. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 27(14),
187 3025–3033. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01431160600589179>

DRAFT