A mathematical morphology approach to the identification of drought events in space and time

H. Vernieuwe^a, B. De Baets^a, N.E.C. Verhoest^{b,*}

 ^aKERMIT, Department of Data Analysis and Mathematical Modelling, Ghent University, Coupure links 653, 9000 Ghent, Belgium
 ^bLaboratory of Hydrology and Water Management, Ghent University, Coupure links 653, 9000 Ghent, Belgium

Abstract

Drought events occur worldwide and possibly incur severe consequences. Trying to understand and characterizing drought events is of primordial importance in order to improve the preparedness for coping with future events. In this paper, drought events are characterized by exploiting their spatiotemporal nature. Operators borrowed from mathematical morphology are applied to represent drought events as connected components in space and time. Characteristics reflecting the affected area, duration, and intensity are extracted from the proposed representation of a drought event. As an illustration, drought events are identified on the basis of a 35-year data set of daily soil moisture values covering Australia.

Keywords: mathematical morphology, drought identification, space and time, connected component

1 1. Introduction

Drought events are caused by a lack of precipitation over a large area and a long period of time. On-site, it is relatively easy to tell whether or not one is experiencing a drought event. Yet, properly defining a drought event is not an easy task. A drought event can be regarded as a creeping hazard with no clear start and ending, it furthermore moves around and changes in space and time. Drought events occur worldwide and sometimes have severe

Non-peer reviewed preprint submitted to EarthArXiv and Elsevier

^{*}Corresponding Author

Email addresses: hilde.vernieuwe@ugent.be (H. Vernieuwe),

bernard.debaets@ugent.be (B. De Baets), niko.verhoest@ugent.be (N.E.C. Verhoest)

socio-economic consequences. At the worst, they cause catastrophes such as
famines and may be the immediate reason of severe conflicts or even wars.
In order to improve the preparedness for future drought event occurrences
by e.g. adjusting or drawing up water management plans, it is essential to
first try to understand and characterize these phenomena.

In this paper, an attempt is undertaken to characterize drought events 13 by exploiting their spatio-temporal nature. Operators borrowed from math-14 ematical morphology (Serra, 1986) are first applied such that drought events 15 can be represented as connected components in space and time. Next, of the 16 resulting events, characteristics describing the affected area, duration and 17 intensity are extracted. This drought event representation and characteriza-18 tion can serve as a support for water managers and users in the field. Future 19 research will then focus on the comparison of an ongoing drought event with 20 historical drought events on the basis of the delineated characteristics. 21

In scientific literature, much effort has already been made to try to quan-22 titatively characterize drought events. However, capturing all aspects of a 23 drought event is still a difficult task, as it concerns a moving and chang-24 ing phenomenon. Tallaksen and Van Lanen (2004) pointed out that a 25 drought event is a spatio-temporal phenomenon of which the space- and 26 time-components are to be considered. Yet, only recently an increasing 27 number of studies have reported on the characterization of drought events 28 as a spatio-temporal connected component. Andreadis et al. (2005) were 29 among the first to introduce a spatial identification procedure and took 30 31 into account the fact that multiple drought events at one time step can merge into a larger drought event at a subsequent time step. Similarly, they 32 consider that one single drought event can break up into multiple smaller 33 drought events. Sheffield et al. (2009) also employed this spatial identifica-34 tion procedure for drought area identification, whereas Lloyd-Hughes (2011) 35 further elaborated on this procedure and extended it from the spatial do-36 main to the space-time domain to extract coherent space-time structures. 37 Herrera-Estrada et al. (2017) also employed the method of Andreadis et al. 38 (2005) to try to track the movement of drought events across regions. In a 39 parallel path of trying to understand the impact of climate extremes on ter-40 restrial ecosystems and corresponding land-atmosphere fluxes, Zscheischler 41 et al. (2013) identified large spatio-temporal contiguous extreme events by 42 identifying connected components through the use of a flood-fill algorithm. 43 Generally, whenever drought events are characterized, one relies upon 44 drought indices such as the popular standardised precipitation index (McKee 45 et al., 1993) or the Palmer drought severity index (Palmer, 1965) in order 46 to be able to evaluate drought characteristics of interest (Mishra and Singh, 47

2010). Next, relationships between drought characteristics such as severity-48 intensity-duration or severity-area-duration are generally established (see, 49 among others, the work of Sridhar et al. (2008); Mishra et al. (2009)), some 50 by means of copulas (e.g. Wong et al. (2010)), such that the dependence 51 between characteristics can be summarized, thus facilitating a frequency 52 analysis of the events by means of return periods (see e.g. Halwatura et al. 53 (2015); Reddy and Ganguli (2011); Serinaldi et al. (2009)). Nevertheless, one 54 has to bear in mind that all drought indices have their own advantages and 55 shortcomings (Sheffield et al., 2004; Sheffield and Wood, 2011). Applications 56 of these indices may hence also suffer from these inadequacies (Sheffield 57 et al., 2004). Furthermore, Sheffield and Wood (2007, 2011) suggest to use 58 percentile values of a drought variable in order to overcome inconsistencies 59 between severity classes assigned by different drought indices and to provide 60 a sound probabilistic grounding for a drought index. As percentile values 61 represent probabilities of occurence, using percentile values also allows for a 62 straightforward comparison between values at different locations (Sheffield 63 and Wood, 2007, 2011). 64

Sheffield et al. (2004) and Sheffield and Wood (2007) state that soil 65 moisture is a useful drought index. It is a key variable in the hydrological 66 cycle as it controls the majority of processes in the hydrological cycle such as 67 evaporation, runoff, infiltration and drainage. It also reflects the impact of 68 meteorological variables such as temperature and radiation. Furthermore, 69 soil moisture values in the top layer of the soil are related to short-term 70 71 precipitation, whereas soil moisture values in the root zone indicate the amount of water available for plant growth, and soil moisture values in the 72 deeper soil layers represent how much water is available for recharge to 73 aquifers and rivers (Sheffield et al., 2004). 74

Hence, in the present study, drought characteristics are determined on 75 the basis of percentile values of soil moisture. Furthermore, a long time 76 series of spatial soil moisture data is required, such that the spatial and 77 temporal components of a drought event can be captured. A time series 78 of ca. 35 years of daily values of GLEAM-estimated (Miralles et al., 2011; 79 Martens et al., 2017) soil moisture data at a resolution of 0.25° meets these 80 requirements and is employed in this study. As Australia is regularly af-81 fected by drought events, data covering Australia were selected from this 82 global data set. It was decided to focus on daily values in contrast to the 83 generally-used monthly values, as in this way drought events that last less 84 than one month and are terminated by a single storm (Byun and Wilhite, 85 1999; Sheffield et al., 2004) can still be detected. Furthermore, drought 86 events are identified in space and time using operators from mathematical 87

morphology (Serra, 1986). Further, characteristics reflecting the spatial and temporal components and the severity level of the drought events are determined. In order to make a small comparison to the general approach of using monthly data, the method employing operators from mathematical morphology to identify drought events in space and time will also be applied to weekly data, such that drought events with a minimal duration of *ca.* one month will be retained.

Section 2 first elaborates on the data and the study region chosen for this research. Section 3 then explains the data pre-processing, the selection of the threshold used as a basis for identifying drought events and illustrates the basics of mathematical morphology and its application in the identification of drought events. Section 4 further elaborates on the determined characteristics of the resulting drought events. Section 5 then formulates the conclusions that are drawn from the results.

102 2. Data and study region

In order to be able to characterize an ongoing drought event, one has 103 to be able to compare it to historical drought events. To that end, a long 104 time series of historical data is required. Ideally, such time series should 105 be available at large scales in order to also capture the spatial character-106 istics of the events. With the emergence of satellite remote sensing data 107 in the late seventies, obtaining information at a high temporal and spatial 108 resolution has become easier. The Global Land Evaporation Amsterdam 109 Model (GLEAM) (Miralles et al., 2011) maximally benefits from the use 110 of satellite-derived observations to estimate terrestrial evaporation and soil 111 moisture. Its resulting data sets of evaporation and soil moisture have al-112 ready been used in modelling studies or in evaluations w.r.t. other data 113 sets (see e.g. Tobin and Bennett (2017); Roy et al. (2017); Majozi et al. 114 (2017); Lopez et al. (2017); McCabe et al. (2016); Liu et al. (2016); Lorenz 115 et al. (2014); Trambauer et al. (2014)). The data set employed in this paper 116 (the GLEAM v3.0a data set (Martens et al., 2017)) spans a period of 35 117 years (from 1/1/1980 till 31/12/2014) of global daily root-zone soil mois-118 ture values at a 0.25° resolution. The data has been estimated on the basis 119 of satellite-observed soil moisture, vegetation optical depth and snow water 120 equivalents, reanalysis air temperature and radiation and a multi-source pre-121 cipitation product (Martens et al., 2017) and shows a slightly higher quality 122 compared to other GLEAM data sets when evaluated against in situ mea-123 sured soil moisture data. The depth of the root zone employed in GLEAM 124 is a function of the land-cover type and can consist of up to three layers 125

(0-10 cm, 10-100 cm and 100-250 cm). Three (resp. two) layers are taken
into account for the fraction of tall (resp. small) vegetation, whereas only
the first layer is taken into account for the bare soil fraction.

As Australia is vulnerable to the effects of climate change, in particular to 129 the expected drying trend for the next 50-100 years (McCarthy et al., 2001), 130 daily data covering Australia were selected from this GLEAM data set. At 131 present, substantial agricultural areas are affected by periodic drought events 132 and Australia contains large areas of arid and semi-arid land. Unlike in 133 many other drought characterization studies, it was decided not to convert 134 the daily values to monthly values as these daily values will allow for the 135 detection of drought periods lasting less than one month. As pointed out 136 by Byun and Wilhite (1999), an affected drought region can return to normal 137 conditions with only one day's rainfall. 138

139 3. Data pre-processing and drought identification

As one of the drought characteristics that will be determined throughout this paper is linked to the spatial component of the event, the soil moisture values were first reprojected to the Lambert Azimuthal Equal Area coordinate system such that areas can be accurately calculated. These reprojected data, with a resolution of 27.442 km \times 29.079 km, are then further used as the basis for the drought characterization in the remainder of the paper.

146 3.1. Selection of the drought threshold

As pointed out by Sheffield and Wood (2011), characterizing a drought 147 event is a challenging task because it varies in many dimensions: its spatial 148 components describe the area it covers, its temporal component reflects the 149 time it persists and its intensity changes both in space and time. Ideally, the 150 characterization of a drought event should reflect all of these components 151 and should furthermore be transferable across regions and through time. 152 Therefore, the suggestion of Sheffield and Wood (2007) and Sheffield and 153 Wood (2011) to use a percentile level as threshold for defining a drought 154 event is followed in this paper. A value below the threshold then indicates 155 that drought conditions are met. A value of 10% was chosen, which then 156 reflects that drought conditions are observed 10% of the time. This value 157 can also be regarded as the value that separates moderate from severe and 158 more extreme drought events (Andreadis et al., 2005). Furthermore, to take 159 into account that a drought event is not restricted to a single location and 160 to allow that the soil moisture values of the neighbouring locations also take 161

part in determining the soil moisture value of the threshold, a neighbour-162 hood was identified around the location under consideration. In this research 163 study, three different sizes of neighbourhoods N, *i.e.* a 3×3 -, 5×5 -, and 164 7×7 -neighbourhood, were used. In this way, a smoother transition between 165 the soil moisture values of the thresholds for neighbouring pixels is estab-166 lished. In order to set the soil moisture value corresponding to the percentile 167 threshold of 10% for the pixel at hand, the empirical cumulative distribution 168 function of all soil moisture values observed within this neighbourhood was 169 established. In this way, determining a threshold corresponding to the 10th 170 percentile indicates that the soil moisture value at the location should drop 171 below the soil moisture value corresponding to the 10th percentile of the 172 entire neighbourhood before it is regarded as dry. This idea can easily be 173 extended when one aims at taking into account larger neighbourhoods such 174 as regions with the same land cover. 175

176 3.2. Applicaton of mathematical morphology

After selecting only those pixels with a value below the 10th percentile 177 value a time series of binary maps that indicate which locations possibly 178 belong to a drought event are obtained (see Figure 1 for an example of such 179 a map for a 3×3 -neighbourhood). It is clear that applying the threshold 180 results in a scattered pattern. Single pixels are denoted as dry, while larger 181 dry regions contain pixels that are not denoted to be dry. This also occurs in 182 the time-dimension. Pixels can be denoted as dry for one time step, whereas 183 for some following time steps, they are denoted as not dry, followed by being 184 denoted as dry in the time steps thereafter. Hence, a processing procedure is 185 required in order to smooth away these irregularities. A method that is well 186 suited for this purpose is mathematical morphology (Serra, 1986), whose 187 operations aim at simplifying images by retaining the essential shape char-188 acteristics and removing irrelevancies (Haralick et al., 1987). Applications 189 and extensions of mathematical morphology w.r.t. image filtering, image 190 segmentation, etc. have already been reported in the processing of remote 191 sensing data (Soille and Pesaresi, 2002) and medical image analysis (Dufour 192 et al., 2013). 193

By using the basic operators from mathematical morphology, *i.e.* erosion and dilation, the salt-and-pepper noise, *i.e.* the holes within the larger drydenoted regions and the smaller dry-denoted regions can be filled or removed. To apply these operators, a structuring element should first be determined, the size of which influences the size of the dry-denoted regions that will be removed and the holes that will be filled. As a drought event has a spatiotemporal character, it is chosen to employ a structuring element that has



Figure 1: Possible drought locations (red) after thresholding a percentile map

space-time dimensions. To this end, the thresholded maps of the time series are placed one after the other, and a three-dimensional structuring element can hence be applied to this series. Different sizes of structuring elements were used of which the smallest a $3 \times 3 \times 3$ - and the largest a $7 \times 7 \times 7$ -box. The first two dimensions indicate the spatial size of the structuring element, the last one indicates the number of time steps that is taken into account.

207

For reasons of simplicity, the erosion and dilation operators are first explained in two dimensions. Consider the space E of all pixels, of which the set A of dry-denoted pixels at a particular time step is a subset. With each point x of the space E, a two-dimensional structuring element B(x), e.g. a square, is associated. Figure 2(a) shows the space E, the set A of drydenoted pixels indicated in grey and a 3×3 structuring element (red). The ²¹⁴ erosion ϵ of A and dilation δ are then:

$$\epsilon(A) = \{ x \in E \mid B(x) \subseteq A \}, \tag{1}$$

$$\delta(A) = \{ x \in E \mid B(x) \cap A \neq \emptyset \}.$$
(2)

The application of the above operators on A is performed as follows. The 215 structuring element is positioned with its center at each pixel x of E, *i.e.* 216 B(x). Regarding the erosion operator, a grey pixel x will remain grey if 217 the pixels covered by the structuring element, positioned at x, are a subset 218 of A. Regarding the dilation operator, a white pixel x becomes grey if the 219 intersection of the pixels covered by the structuring element positioned at 220 x with A is not empty. Figures 2 and 3 illustrate these two morphological 221 operators. An original map, for which the dry-denoted pixels (the set A) are 222 indicated in grey, is given in Figure 2(a) together with the 3×3 structuring 223 element (red). In Figure 2(b), the structuring element is positioned at the 224 pixel corresponding to its central pixel, indicated in light grey. As by this 225 positioning, the pixels covered by the structuring element do not make up 226 a subset of dry-denoted pixels of the original map, this pixel remains white, 227 *i.e.* not dry, after the erosion process. Similarly, the pixel corresponding to 228 the structuring element's central pixel in Figure 2(c) will remain grev, *i.e.* 229 dry, after the erosion process, as for this pixel, the set of pixels covered by 230 the structuring element is a subset of the original set. Figure 2(d) shows 231 the final result after positioning the structuring element at all pixels of the 232 space E. Analogously, Figure 3 illustrates the application of the dilation 233 operator. 234

The compositions $\gamma = \delta \circ \epsilon$ and $\phi = \epsilon \circ \delta$ are called the morphological opening and closing, respectively. By first applying a morphological opening followed by a morphological closing, an open-close filter is obtained and the salt-and-pepper noise can be removed in the following sequential way:

$$\epsilon(A) = \{ x \in E \mid B(x) \subseteq A \},\tag{3}$$

$$\gamma(A) = (\delta \circ \epsilon)(A) = \{ x \in E \mid B(x) \cap \epsilon(A) \neq \emptyset \}, \tag{4}$$

$$(\delta \circ \gamma)(A) = \{ x \in E \mid B(x) \cap \gamma(A) \neq \emptyset \},$$
(5)

$$(\phi \circ \gamma)(A) = (\epsilon \circ \delta \circ \gamma)(A) = \{ x \in E \mid B(x) \subseteq (\delta \circ \gamma)(A) \}.$$
(6)

For applying the above-described operators in the three dimensions of the time series of thresholded images, the space E now consists of all pixels at all time steps in this time series, and A is the time series of dry-denoted pixels. The three-dimensional structuring element, e.g. a $3 \times 3 \times 3$ -box, is then positioned at each pixel x in E, and the operators can be applied as

H	+						
口							
\mathbb{H}		_	_	_			
\vdash	+						

(a)









(d)

Figure 2: Map and structuring element (a), two steps (b) and (c) picked out of the erosion process and result (d) of the erosion process.





(c)

Figure 3: Two steps (a) and (b) picked out of the dilation process on the image in Fig 2(a) and result (c) of the dilation process.

described before. By first applying the erosion operator (see Eq. (3)), dry-244 denoted regions that are strictly smaller than the structuring element are 245 removed. Larger dry-denoted regions are diminished and existing holes will 246 be, initially, enlarged. By applying the dilation operator (see Eq. (4)) in the 247 second step, the diminished regions are enlarged and the enlarged holes are 248 diminished. The application of the dilation and erosion operator in the third 249 and fourth step, respectively (see Eq. (5) and Eq. (6)), aims at removing 250 holes that are strictly smaller than the structuring element. 251

The resulting dry-denoted regions that are connected in space and time are then regarded as separate drought events. An operational definition of a drought event is thus obtained:

A single drought event is defined as a connected component in space and time after application of the morphological operators.

Figure 4 illustrates the resulting drought events obtained at November 257 the 2nd, 2012, after applying an open-close filter to the thresholded time 258 series with a $3 \times 3 \times 3$ -box. Different colors are used to illustrate the different 259 drought events. This figure clearly shows that at the given time step, the 260 green-coloured drought event is not spatially contiguous. However, as in 261 former or later time steps, the currently isolated green parts merge, these 262 parts belong to the same drought event. For the GLEAM data set spanning 263 35 years, 1859 drought events were identified in this way. The smallest and 264 shortest drought event of these identified events corresponds to the size of the 265 chosen structuring element. It should be noted however, that by enlarging 266 the size of the structuring element to e.g. a $5 \times 5 \times 5$ -box, fewer drought events 267 will be identified of which the smallest drought event will hence correspond 268 to the size of this applied structuring element. Furthermore, as the dilation 269 operator is part of the procedure to identify drought events, it is inevitable 270 that pixels that are originally denoted as not dry, *i.e.* their value is higher 271 than the threshold, will become part of the identified drought event. 272

273

It is furthermore to be noted that by applying a larger structuring el-274 ement, e.g. a $7 \times 7 \times 7$ -box, larger parts of a possible drought event will 275 be eliminated when the structuring element does not fit between the holes, 276 *i.e.* the salt noise, in the event. This means that in the application of the 277 erosion operator (Eq. (3)), the structuring element does not entirely overlap 278 the dry-denoted pixels resulting in the removal of these pixels. For instance, 279 after application of an open-close filter with a $7 \times 7 \times 7$ -box on the thresh-280 olded time series for the date corresponding to Figure 1, no drought event 281



Figure 4: Drought events identified after application of an open-close filter to the thresholded time series. Different colours indicate different drought events. From the drought events identified, only one day is shown as illustration.

was identified. Such a result is not desired as Figure 1 clearly shows that a quite large contiguous area, apart from some isolated pixels, is dry. This side-effect can be alleviated by gradually eliminating the noise components by using an open-close filter sequentially, starting from a small structuring element in the first iteration and by enlarging the structuring element for subsequent iterations. Such filter is called an alternating sequential filter (ASF) (Serra and Vincent, 1992):

$$ASF_{i} = (\phi_{i} \circ \gamma_{i}) \circ (\phi_{i-1} \circ \gamma_{i-1}) \circ \ldots \circ (\phi_{1} \circ \gamma_{1}), \qquad (7)$$

in which i represents the i-th iteration, γ_1 and ϕ_1 represent the opening, 289 respectively closing operator with the smallest structuring element. ASF_1 290 hence corresponds to the open-close filter using a $3 \times 3 \times 3$ -box. ASF-filters 291 were applied in order to compare the results of directly applying a larger 292 structuring element to the results of gradually enlarging the structuring 293 element, *i.e.* by applying ASF₂ and ASF₃ respectively with a $3 \times 3 \times 3$ -294 and a $5 \times 5 \times 5$ - and a $3 \times 3 \times 3$ -, a $5 \times 5 \times 5$ - and a $7 \times 7 \times 7$ -box. 295 Figure 5 illustrates the obtained result after applying ASF_2 and ASF_3 on 296 the time series resulting from a 3×3 -neighbourhood. It can be seen that 297 the larger drought event (green-coloured in Figure 4) also appears in the 298 result after applying ASF_3 . This favours the application of an ASF-filter 299 instead of directly applying an open-close filter with a larger structuring 300 element. The splitted drought event, purple-coloured in the top panel of 301 Figure 5, is identified by ASF_2 , however, its upper part is too small to be 302 identified by ASF_3 . Furthermore, it is noted that the larger drought event 303 (green-coloured in Figure 4) has been split in smaller events (green- and 304 purple-coloured in Figure 5) after application of an ASF. The next sections 305 will further elaborate on the influence of the size of the structuring elements 306 or the filter used (open-close vs. ASF). 307

308

309 4. Drought characteristics

For each drought event identified by the above-described method, characteristics reflecting its spatial and temporal components and its intensity level are determined. With respect to the spatial component, one needs a characteristic that summarizes the extent reached by the event. The maximal area covered by the drought event could characterize the affected area. However, it might be more informative to aggregate the τ largest daily areal extents. In order to be in line with the size of the structuring elements



Figure 5: Drought events identified after application of an alternating sequential filter with a $3 \times 3 \times 3$ - and $5 \times 5 \times 5$ -box (top panel) and a $3 \times 3 \times 3$ -, a $5 \times 5 \times 5$ - and a $7 \times 7 \times 7$ -box (bottom panel). From the identified drought events, only one day is shown as illustration.

that were employed in the previous section, it was chosen to retain as many 317 largest daily areal extents as the size τ of the structuring element in the 318 time dimension. With respect to the characteristic reflecting the temporal 319 component, as is already performed in many studies and is quite straightfor-320 ward, the drought duration is chosen. In order to summarize the intensity 321 reached by the drought event, the daily percentile values q were first con-322 verted to survival percentiles, *i.e.* 1-q, to express the intensities. In this way, 323 higher values correspond to a higher intensity. Similarly as for the affected 324 area, the τ largest daily intensity values were aggregated. The aggregation 325 is performed using an ordered-weighted-averaging (OWA) operator (Yager, 326 1988). 327

The OWA operator shows a great flexibility to model a wide variety of 328 aggregators (Ahn, 2006) as by using a different weighting vector, a differ-329 ent type of aggregation is performed. The OWA operator has already been 330 used in data mining applications (Torra, 2004), decision making (Vigier 331 et al., 2017), regression problems (Yager and Beliakov, 2010), classifica-332 tion (Mohammed et al., 2016) and outlier reduction (Beliakov et al., 2016). 333 An OWA operator $F: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ of arity n has a weighting vector $\mathbf{W} =$ 334 $(w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n)^T \in [0, 1]^n$ associated with it such that $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1$, and 335 takes the following form: 336

$$F(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i b_i ,$$
 (8)

with b_j the *j*-th largest element of $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\}$.

If one would only be interested in the maximum (resp. the minimum) 338 of the values, the vector of associated weights would be $\mathbf{W} = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ 339 (resp. $\mathbf{W} = (0, 0, \dots, 1)$). When all weights are equal, the OWA operator 340 corresponds to the arithmetic mean. Corresponding to the weighting vector, 341 a measure of orness of the aggregation is associated, which reflects the degree 342 to which the aggregation behaves like an or-operator. An orness of 1, (resp. 343 0), corresponds to taking the maximum, (resp. the minimum) of the values. 344 An orness of 0.5 corresponds to the arithmetic mean. In the current study, 345 it was chosen to give more weight to the larger daily areal extents, therefore, 346 an orness of 0.75 was used. The method of Fullér and Majlender (2001) was 347 then used to obtain weights corresponding to these orness-values assuring 348 that the dispersion of the weights is maximal, *i.e.* the degree to which all 349 information in the aggregation is taken into account is maximal. Table 1 350 lists these weights for OWA operators of arity 3, 5 and 7. 351

Table 2 lists the number of completed drought events obtained after



Figure 6: Cumulative distribution functions of the obtained drought characteristics for different sizes of neighbourhoods (N), structuring elements (SE) and morphological operators. (a) Affected area, (b) Drought duration and (c) Drought intensity.

orness=0.75												
au	3	5	7									
w_1	0.6162	0.4594	0.3637									
w_2	0.2676	0.2608	0.2390									
w_3	0.1162	0.1480	0.1556									
w_4	-	0.0840	0.1012									
w_5	-	0.0477	0.0659									
w_6	-	-	0.0429									
w_7	-	-	0.0279									

Table 1: Weights used by the OWA operators of different arities corresponding to the length τ of the structuring element B in the time dimension.

application of the morphological operators using different sizes of the struc-353 turing elements on data stemming from different neighbourhood sizes as de-354 scribed in the previous section. Also, the corresponding values for the first 355 quartile, the median, the third quartile and the maximum for each of the 356 characteristics determined on these events are listed. Figure 6 furthermore 357 shows the cumulative distribution functions of these obtained drought char-358 acteristics. From Table 2, it can be seen that the size of the neighbourhood 359 does not majorly influence the number of identified drought events, however, 360 as to be expected, the larger the size of the structuring element, the lower 361 the number of events that are identified. Furthermore, the largest drought 362 events obtained with the smaller structuring element have a larger affected 363 area than the largest drought events obtained with the larger structuring el-364 ements (see Table 2). Similarly, the longest drought becomes shorter when 365 larger structuring elements are used. This is probably due to the fact that 366 the structuring element has to fit entirely, in space and time, within the time 367 series of thresholded maps, before a pixel being dry is retained. This also 368 holds for the tail ends of the drought events, in which smaller structuring 369 elements more easily fit, resulting in the fact that the largest and longest 370 drought event is identified when a smaller structuring element is used. 371

Furthermore, as the use of smaller structuring elements also results in the identification of more, smaller and shorter drought events, the majority of the drought events has a smaller affected area when they are identified by smaller structuring elements. A similar observation can be made w.r.t. the duration of the events. Figure 6 shows that the drought events identified

Table 2: Number of completed drought events for different sizes of neighbourhoods (N) and structuring elements (SE) and values corresponding to the first quartile (Q_1) , the median, the third quartile (Q_3) and the maximum for the affected area, duration and intensity of the events. Results obtained on the basis of daily data are given in the top panel, results on the basis of weekly data are given in the bottom panel.

Daily data														
		affected area (km^2)					duration (days)				intensity (-)			
Ν	\mathbf{SE}	# events	Q_1	median	Q_3	max	Q_1	median	Q_3	\max	Q_1	median	Q_3	max
	$3 \times 3 \times 3$	1859	7.1810^3	1.2010^4	2.7110^4	1.0710^{6}	5	11	23	333	0.9798	0.9914	0.9979	1.0000
	$3 \times 3 \times 5$	1391	7.1810^3	1.2810^4	2.8710^4	0.9010^{6}	8	15	31	333	0.9815	0.9927	0.9981	0.9999
	$3 \times 3 \times 7$	1157	7.1810^3	1.2810^4	2.9510^4	0.9010^{6}	10	19	38	333	0.9826	0.9935	0.9983	0.9998
	$5 \times 5 \times 3$	470	12.0010^4	2.910^{4}	5.5910^4	0.5710^{6}	I 5	12	26	191	0.9918	0.9972	0.9996	1.0000
3×3	$5 \times 5 \times 5$	328	2.3910^4	3.410^4	6.0610^4	0.5710^{6}	9	18	39	191	0.9930	0.9981	0.9996	0.9999
	$5 \times 5 \times 7$	270	2.3910^4	3.3510^4	6.6210^4	0.5710^{6}	11	21.5	42	191	0.9932	0.9981	0.9996	0.9998
	$7 \times 7 \times 3$	97	4.4710^4	6.2410^4	9.5810^4	0.2210^{6}	8	16	31	88	0.9978	0.9994	0.9999	1.0000
	$7 \times 7 \times 5$	76	4.4710^4	6.3310^4	9.5410^4	0.2210^{6}	9.5	20.5	39.5	96	0.9980	0.9995	0.9998	0.9999
	$7 \times 7 \times 7$	63	5.0310^4	6.3010^4	9.5710^4	0.2210^{6}	13.25	25	45	110	0.9983	0.9994	0.9997	0.9998
	ASF_2	348	2.7510^4	4.0710^4	8.0810^4	0.8610^{6}	10	19.5	41	191	0.9939	0.9983	0.9997	0.9999
	ASF_3	118	5.5810^4	7.6210^4	1.2010^{5}	1.010^{6}	15	26.5	45	155	0.9983	0.9994	0.9997	0.9998
	$5 \times 5 \times 3$	389	2.0010^4	2.8710^4	6.1610^4	0.3410^{6}	5	12	29	194	0.9909	0.9982	0.9996	1.0000
5×5	$5 \times 5 \times 5$	285	1.9910^4	3.1910^4	6.9910^5	0.3410^{6}	9	18	32.25	194	0.9945	0.9984	0.9996	0.9999
	$5 \times 5 \times 7$	222	1.9910^4	3.6310^4	7.5010^4	0.3410^{6}	12	23	41	194	0.9956	0.9988	0.9996	0.9998
	$7 \times 7 \times 3$	1034.4710^4	5.9810^4	7.8210^4	0.1410^{6}	5.25	11	25.75	156	0.9967	0.9994	0.9999	1.0000	
7×7	$7 \times 7 \times 5$	74	4.4710^4	5.5910^4	7.8210^4	0.1410^{6}	9	17	34	156	0.9970	0.9995	0.9998	0.9999
	$7 \times 7 \times 7$	60	4.4710^4	5.0310^4	7.9010^4	0.1410^{6}	11	20.5	45	156	0.9969	0.9995	0.9997	0.9998
			1			Weekly	data							
			1	affected a	rea (km^2)		duration (weeks)				intensity (-)			
Ν	SE	# events	Q_1	median	\mathbf{Q}_{3}	max	Q_1	median	Q_3	max	Q_1	median	Q_3	max
3×3	$3 \times 3 \times 3$	660	7.1810^3	1.3110^4	3.5710^4	8.8010^5	3	5	9	48	0.9871	0.9951	0.9990	0.9999

with ASF_3 generally have a larger affected area. Regarding the identified 377 drought intensities, it can be seen that the intensity values generally be-378 come larger, *i.e.* only the more severe drought events are retained, for larger 379 structuring elements. It should furthermore be mentioned that the values 380 of 1.000 in Table 2 are in fact smaller than 1, but appear as such because 381 of the rounding. Table 2 furthermore shows that more drought events are 382 identified after applying an ASF than after applying an open-close filter with 383 a comparable structuring element (*i.e.* ASF_2 vs. a $5 \times 5 \times 5$ -box and ASF_3 384 vs. a $7 \times 7 \times 7$ -box). Furthermore, as expected, the affected areas become 385 larger after application of an ASF. These observations might indicate that 386 by using smaller structuring elements, drought events might be too easily 387 withheld, whereas the use of larger structuring elements might be too strict. 388 The identification of drought events by means of ASF_2 or ASF_3 hence might 389 serve as a golden mean. Zhao and Lu (2017) also reported in their medical 390 image analysis study that using ASF_2 obtains good results for most images. 391 Yet, these drought events are still the result of an operational procedure 392 such that identified droughts may not entirely correspond to the opinion of 393 water managers or on-site experience. 394

Generally, characterization of drought events is performed on the basis 395 of monthly data (Byun and Wilhite, 1999). In order to compare the above-396 described results to what could be obtained for monthly data, for each pixel 397 its weekly average of soil moisture data was calculated. To these weekly 398 data, a threshold of 10% was chosen for a 3×3 -neighbourhood, and an 399 open-close filter with a $3 \times 3 \times 3$ -box was applied. By doing so, dry-denoted 400 areas need a minimum duration of three weeks (more or less comparable to 401 one month) before they will be identified as a drought event. Table 2 shows 402 that only 660 events were identified compared to 1859 on the basis of daily 403 data. Naturally, the majority of the events has a longer duration compared 404 to the events identified on a daily basis. The longest drought event has a 405 comparable duration. With respect to the affected areas and the intensities, 406 comparable values as for the smaller structuring elements are obtained. 407

408 5. Conclusions

It has been shown in this paper that mathematical morphology can be used to operationally identify drought events taking into account their spatial and temporal nature. This has been illustrated using the GLEAM data set, spanning a period of 35 years of daily root-zone soil moisture values at a 25° resolution. Daily data covering Australia have been selected on the basis of which soil moisture values corresponding to the 10th percentile of a

neighbourhood have been used as threshold to determine whether drought 415 conditions are possibly met. Operators from mathematical morphology em-416 ploying a structuring element in space and time dimensions have then been 417 applied to this spatio-temporal data series to operationally identify drought 418 events. Different sizes of neighbourhoods and structuring elements have 419 been used to identify drought events of which characteristics reflecting their 420 spatio-temporal nature have been determined. Drought affected area, dura-421 tion and intensity have been calculated. In order to summarize the affected 422 area covered by and the intensity level of the drought event, an ordered-423 weighted-averaging operator was used taking into account as many values 424 as the time dimension of the structuring element used. 425

Results show that the largest and longest drought event was obtained 426 by using the smallest structuring element. However, larger structuring ele-427 ments generally identify larger, longer, and more severe drought events. Yet, 428 less events are obtained with the larger structuring elements. This might 429 indicate that smaller structuring elements identify drought events too eas-430 ily whereas larger structuring elements are too strict in the identification 431 of drought events. Results showed that a golden mean might be offered by 432 applying an alternating sequential filter. However, one has to be aware that 433 these identified drought events are the result of an operational identifica-434 tion procedure, from which the resulting drought events may not entirely 435 correspond to the on-site experience or to the opinion of water managers. 436

In future work, it will be illustrated how the spatio-temporal characteristics of drought events as identified on the basis of mathematical morphology can be used to relate an ongoing event to historical drought events and estimate its severity by taking into account the dependence between its characteristics.

442 Acknowledgements

This work has been performed in the framework of the STEREO-project SR/00/302, financed by the Belgian Science Policy.

445 References

B.S. Ahn. On the properties of OWA operator weights functions with constant level of orness. *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, 14(4):511–515,
2006.

K.M. Andreadis, E.A. Clark, A.W. Wood, A.F. Hamlet, and D.P. Lettenmaier. Twentieth-century drought in the conterminous United States. *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 6(6):985–1001, 2005.

G. Beliakov, S. James, T. Wilkin, and T. Calvo. Robust OWA-based aggregation for data with outliers. In *IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems*, pages 1874–1879, 2016.

H.R. Byun and D.A. Wilhite. Objective quantification of drought severity
and duration. *Journal of Climate*, 12(9):2747–2756, 1999.

A. Dufour, O. Tankyevych, B. Naegel, H. Talbot, C. Ronse, J. Baruthio,
P. Dokládal, and N. Passat. Filtering and segmentation of 3D angiographic data: Advances based on mathematical morphology. *Medical Im- age Analysis*, 17:147–164, 2013.

R. Fullér and P. Majlender. An analytic approach for obtaining maximal
entropy OWA operator weights. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 124:53–57, 2001.

D. Halwatura, A.M. Lechner, and S. Arnold. Drought severity-durationfrequency curves: a foundation for risk assessment and planning tool for
ecosystem establishment in post-mining landscapes. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 19:1069–1091, 2015.

R.M. Haralick, S.R. Sternberg, and X. Zhuang. Image analysis using mathematical morphology. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Ma- chine Intelligence*, 9(4):532–550, 1987.

J.E. Herrera-Estrada, Y. Sathod, and J. Sheffield. Spatiotemporal dynamics
of global drought. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44, 2017.

W.B. Liu, L. Wang, J. Zhou, Y.Z. Li, F.B. Sun, G.B. Fu, X.P. Li, and Y.F.
Sang. A worldwide evaluation of basin-scale evapotranspiration estimates
against the water balance method. *Journal of Hydrology*, 538:82–95, 2016.

B. Lloyd-Hughes. A spatio-temporal structure-based approach to drought
characterisation. *International Journal of Climatology*, 32(3):406–418,
2011.

O. Lopez, R. Houborg, and M.F. McCabe. Evaluating the hydrological consistency of evaporation products using satellite-based gravity and rainfall
data. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 21(1):323–343, 2017.

C. Lorenz, H. Kunstmann, B. Devaraju, M.J. Tourian, N. Sneeuw, and
J. Riegger. Large-scale runoff from landmasses: a global assessment of
the closure of the hydrological and atmospheric water balances. *Journal*of Hydrometeorology, 15(6):2111–2139, 2014.

N.P. Majozi, C.M. Mannaerts, A. Ramoelo, R. Mathieu, A.E. Mudau, and
W. Verhoef. An intercomparison of satellite-based daily evapotranspiration estimates under different eco-climatic regions in South Africa. *Remote*Curving 0(4) 2017

488 Sensing, 9(4), 2017.

B. Martens, D.G. Miralles, H. Lievens, R. van der Schalie, R.A.M. de Jeu,
D. Fernández-Prieto, H.E. Beck, W.A. Dorigo, and N.E.C. Verhoest.
GLEAM v3: satellite-based land evaporation and root-zone soil moisture. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 10:1903–1925, 2017.

- M.F. McCabe, E. Ershadi, C. Jimenez, D.G. Miralles, D. Michel, and E.F.
 Wood. The GEWEX landflux project: evaluation of model evaporation
 using tower-based and globally gridded forcing data. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 9(1):283–305, 2016.
- J.J. McCarthy, O.F. Canziani, N.A. Leary, D.J. Dokken, and K.S. White.
 Working group II: Impacts, adaptation, and vulnerability. Technical report, International Panel on Climate Change IPCC: Third Assessment Report, 2001.
- T.B. McKee, N.J. Doesken, and J. Kleist. The relationship of drought frequency and duration to time scales. In *Proceedings of the Eight Conference* on Applied Climatology, American Meteorological Society, pages 179–184, Anaheim CA, USA, 1993.
- D.G. Miralles, T.R.H. Holmes, R.A.M. De Jeu, J.H. Gash, A.G.C.A.
 Meesters, and A.J. Dolman. Global land-surface evaporation estimated
 from satellite-based observations. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*,
 15:453-469, 2011.
- A.K. Mishra and V.P. Singh. A review of drought concepts. Journal of
 Hydrology, 391:202-216, 2010.
- A.K. Mishra, V.P. Singh, and V.R. Desai. Drought characterization: a
 probabilistic approach. Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk As sessment, 23:41–55, 2009.

- E.A. Mohammed, C.T. Naugler, and B.H. Fagler. Breast tumor classification
 using a new OWA operator. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 61:302–313, 2016.
- W.C. Palmer. Meteorologic drought. Research Paper 45, US Department of
 Commerce, Weather Bureau, 1965.
- M.J. Reddy and P. Ganguli. Application of copulas for derivation of drought
 severity-duration-frequency curves. *Hydrological processes*, 26(11):1672–
 1685, 2011.
- T. Roy, H.V. Gupta, A. Serrat-Capdevila, and J.B. Valdes. Using satellite based evapotranspiration estimates to improve the structure of a simple
 conceptual rainfall-runoff model. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*,
 21(2):879–896, 2017.
- F. Serinaldi, B. Bonaccosro, A. Cancelliere, and S. Grimaldi. Probabilis tic characteriation of drought properties through copulas. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth*, 34:596–605, 2009.
- J. Serra. Introduction to mathematical morphology. Computer vision, Graphics and Image Processing, 35(3):283–305, 1986.
- J. Serra and L. Vincent. An overview of morphological filtering. Circuits,
 Systems and Signal Processing, 11(1):47–108, 1992.
- J. Sheffield and E.F. Wood. Characteristics of global and regional drought,
 1950–2000: An analysis of soil moisture data from off-line simulation of
 the terrestrial hydrological cycle. Journal of Geophysical Research, 112:
 D17115, 2007.
- J. Sheffield and E.F. Wood. Drought Past Problems and Future Scenarios.
 Earthscan, London, 2011.
- J. Sheffield, G. Goteti, F. Wen, and E.F. Wood. A simulated soil moisture
 based drought analysis for the United States. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 109:D24108, 2004.
- J. Sheffield, K.M. Andreadis, E.F. Wood, and D.P. Lettenmaier. Global and
 continental drought in the second half of the twentieth century: Severityarea-duration analysis and temporal variability of large-scale events. *Jour- nal of Climate*, 22(8):1962–1981, 2009.

- P. Soille and M. Pesaresi. Advances in mathematical morphology applied
 to geoscience and remote sensing. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 40(9):2042–2055, 2002.
- V. Sridhar, K.G. Hubbard, J. You, and E.D. Hunt. Development of the soil
 moisture index to quantify agricultural drought and its "user friendliness"
 in severity-area-duration assessment. *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 9(4):
 660–676, 2008.
- L. M. Tallaksen and H. A. J. Van Lanen. Drought as a natural hazard: Introduction. In L. M. Tallaksen and H. A. M. Van Lanen, editors, *Hydrological Drought Processes and Estimation Methods for Streamflow and Groundwater*, Developments in Water Science, pages 3–17. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2004.
- K.J. Tobin and M.E. Bennett. Constraining SWAT calibration with remotely
 sensed evapotranspiration data. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, 53(3):593–604, 2017.
- V. Torra. OWA operators in data modeling and reidentification. *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, 12(5):652–660, 2004.
- P. Trambauer, E. Dutra, S. Maskey, M. Werner, F. Pappenberger, L.P.H. van
 Beek, and S. Uhlenbrook. Comparison of different evaporation estimates
 over the African continent. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 18(1):
 193–212, 2014.
- H.P. Vigier, V. Scherger, and A. Terceno. An application of OWA operators
 in fuzzy business diagnosis. *Applied Soft Computing*, 54:440–448, 2017.
- G. Wong, M.G. Lambert, M. Leonard, and A.V. Metcalfe. Drought anal ysis using trivariate copulas conditional on climatic states. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 15(2):129–141, 2010.
- R.R. Yager. Ordered weighted averaging aggregation operators in multi criteria decision making. *IEEE Transactions on Systems Man and Cyber- netics*, 18:183–190, 1988.
- R.R. Yager and G. Beliakov. OWA operators in regression problems. *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, 18(1):106–113, 2010.
- W. Zhao and H. Lu. Medical image fusion and denoising with alternating sequential filter and adaptive fractional order total variation. *IEEE Trans*-
- actions on Instrumentation and Measurement, 9(66):2283–2294, 2017.

- 580 J. Zscheischler, M.D. Mahecha, S. Harmeling, and M. Reichstein. Detection
- and attribution of large spatiotemporal extreme events in earth observa-
- tion data. *Ecological Informatics*, 15:66–73, 2013.