GLOBAL WATER TRANSFER MEGAPROJECTS: A SOLUTION FOR THE WATER-FOOD-ENERGY NEXUS?

Oleksandra Shumilova[1,2,3*], Klement Tockner[1,2,#], Michele Thieme[4], Anna Koska[1], Christiane Zarfl[5]

[1] Leibniz-Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries (IGB), Forschungsverbund Berlin e.V., Germany
[2] Institute of Biology, Freie Universität Berlin, Germany
[3] Department of Civil, Environmental and Mechanical Engineering, Trento University, Italy
[4] WWF-US, Washington, DC 20037, USA
[5]Center for Applied Geosciences, Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen, Germany
[#]Present address: Austrian Science Fund, FWF, Vienna, Austria

* Correspondence: Oleksandra Shumilova, shumilova@igb-berlin.de

Abstract (350 words max)

Globally, freshwater is unevenly distributed, both in space and time. Climate change, land use alteration, and increasing human exploitation will further increase the pressure on water as a resource for human welfare and on inland water ecosystems. Water transfer megaprojects (WTMP), i.e. large-scale engineering interventions to divert water within and between catchments, represent an approach in coping with increasing water scarcity. These projects are most commonly associated with large-scale agricultural and energy development schemes, and many of them serve multiple purposes. Despite numerous case studies that focus on the social, economic and environmental impacts of individual projects, a global inventory of existing and planned WTMP is lacking.

We carried out the first comprehensive global inventory of WTMP that are either planned or under construction. We collected key information (e.g. location, distance, volume, costs, purpose) on 33 existing and 76 future (planned or under construction) WTMP. If realized, the future projects will transfer a total volume of 1,923 km^3 per year across a total distance exceeding more than twice the length of Earth's equator. The largest WTMP planned or under construction are located in North America, Asia and Africa. The predicted total investments in these WTMP will exceed 2.6 trillion US$. Among future projects, 43 will serve purposes of agriculture development, 14 transfer water for hydropower development and 10 combine both purposes.

Our results show that WTMP will create artificial connections between river basins, alter the global hydrological cycle, and change the natural functions and services freshwaters provide for humans and nature. The results also emphasize the need to include these projects in global hydrological models, in strategies related to the water-energy-food nexus, and in developing internationally agreed criteria to assess the ecological, social and economic consequences these projects may cause.

Keywords:

water transfer, megaprojects, hydrological balance, water-food-energy nexus, diversion

1. Introduction

Water is an essential resource for human well-being and the functioning of ecosystems. At the same time, increasing water scarcity is among the biggest challenges humanity is facing (WEF, 2015). By 2030, the world will experience a 40% water deficit under a business-as-usual scenario (2030 WRG, 2009). The global distribution of freshwater is uneven both in space and time (Gupta and van der Zaag, 2008), and becomes further exacerbated through changes in total precipitation, seasonality, interannual variability, and the magnitude and frequency of extreme meteorological events (Schewe et al., 2014; Rockström et al., 2014). Water quality is deteriorating, too, due to industrial, agricultural and municipal pollution, further constraining water resources for humans and nature alike (UNESCO-WWAP, 2017).

While the availability of freshwater remains relatively constant, the demand is growing. This increasing demand is tightly linked to providing food and energy security to growing human populations (UNESCO-WWAP, 2014; UNSD, 2016). Water and energy are necessary for all stages of food production, from irrigation to processing. Currently, agricultural activities account for 70% of the total global freshwater withdrawal and for 30% of the global energy consumption (FAO, 2011). Energy is used for water extraction, treatment and distribution for agricultural and domestic purposes. At the same time, water is required for power generation, cooling and the production of biofuels. Hence, the so-called “water-food-energy nexus” was identified by the World Economic Forum as a key development challenge for the increasing human population (WEF, 2011). By 2050, the human population is projected to reach 9.8 billion (UN, 2017), with 66% living in urban areas (UN, 2014). In addition, the food demand will increase by 50% (FAO, IFAD, UNICEF, WFP and WHO, 2017), the energy demand by up to 61% (WEC, 2013), and the water demand by 55% (UNESCO-WWAP, 2014). Therefore, ensuring sufficient water resources, in the required quality, and sustainable energy and food supply chains are considered essential for sustaining human wellbeing.

High water demand increases the risk that water of the required amount and quality will not be available at the time and place it is needed (Gupta and van der Zaag, 2008). This calls for large-scale engineering solutions to store, redistribute and treat water resources. Such megaprojects are often high-risk projects because they require major financial investments, demand long time frames from planning to completion, and may have major socio-economic and environmental ramifications (Flyvbjerg, 2014; Sternberg, 2016). In the water sector, megaprojects include transfer projects, large dams, desalination plants, treatment plants, and ecosystem restoration schemes (Sternberg, 2016; Tockner et al., 2016). Megaprojects are often initiated as an expression of national and political power and expected to trigger economic and social development (Sternberg, 2016). Concurrently, the social, economic and environmental consequences receive much less attention in the decision-making process (WWF, 2007; Sternberg, 2016; Zhuang, 2016).

Water transfer megaprojects (WTMP) may play an important role in sustaining the water-food-energy nexus, as they can provide water for irrigation, domestic supply, energy production, navigation, and industrial development (Sternberg, 2016). In general, water transfer is defined as “the transfer of water from one geographically distinct river basin to another, or from one river reach to another”; hereafter called “donor” and “recipient” system, respectively (Davies et al., 1992; Gupta and van der Zaag, 2008). According to the International Commission on Irrigation and Dams (ICID, 2005), water transfer accounted for 540 km^3 a^{-1} or 14% of the global water withdrawals in the beginning of this century. Global water withdrawal through transfer schemes is expected to increase by 25% until 2025 (Gupta and van der Zaag, 2008), primarily through an expansion of water transfer schemes. In the USA, for example, the number of interbasin water transfers (primarily ordinary transfer projects) has increased by an order-of-magnitude, from 256 in 1985/1986 to 2,161 in 2017 (Dickson and Dzombak, 2017).

Since 1991, a continuous increase in publications on various environmental, societal and economic consequences of interbasin water transfers can be observed (Zhang et al., 2015; WWF, 2007; Zhuang, 2016 and examples therein). On the one hand, water transfer schemes can reduce the pressure on groundwater resources (Poland, 1981), improve water quality (Hu et al., 2008; Rivera-Monroy et al., 2013), and support ecosystem restoration measurements (Snedden et al., 2007; Dadaser-Celik et al., 2009). On the other hand, they may waste water resources due to evaporative losses and a poor state of infrastructure (Davies et al. 1992), cause salinization due to reduced water flow (Zhuang et al., 2016), increase nutrient concentrations due to inputs from nutrient-rich basins (Fornarelli and Antenucci, 2011; Jin et al., 2013), facilitate the spreading of pollutants and invasive species (Murphy and Rzeszutko, 1977; O'Keeffe and DeMoor, 1988; Snaddon and Davies, 1998; Clarkson, 2004), and alter and decrease biodiversity (Grant et al., 2012; Lin et al., 2017). From the societal point of view, large-scale water transfer schemes may cause conflicts among human societies living in the donating and receiving basins. Due to increased water supply residents in receiving basins may benefit from boosted agriculture and industry development, while environmental deterioration in water donating basins may lead to reduction in income and force local communities to change the traditional place of leaving (Sternberg, 2016; Yu et al., 2018). Inappropriate planning of water transfer schemes can also lead to major economic failures, for example when high construction costs lead to increased water prices that exceed the paying ability of target groups (Sternberg, 2016).

Comprehensive data and information on the global extent of future WTMP are lacking so far (Tockner et al., 2016). Design, construction, and commencement of megaprojects require time, money and technical skills (Flyvbjerg, 2014). WTMP that are currently in the planning or construction stages may require decades until completion. However, knowing their distribution and key characteristics will help coping with the challenges humans and freshwater ecosystems are facing, and support appropriate strategies for managing water resources and ecosystem processes.

The aim of this study was to collate data and information about WTMP that are currently planned or under construction globally, and to be completed by about 2050.

The key research questions are:

(1) What is the global distribution of WTMP planned or under construction?
(2) How much water will be transferred across which distances?
(3) What are the estimated costs of future WTMP?
(4) Which purposes will future WTMP fulfill, particularly in meeting the water-food-energy nexus?

In addition, we collected information on the distribution and key characteristics of existing WTMP, in order to put existing and future WTMP into context. Finally, we discuss the consequences WTMP may cause in affecting humans and nature alike.

2. Methods

2. 1. Definition of water transfer megaprojects

Water transfer projects include any type of infrastructure that transfers water from one river catchment to another, from one river reach to another, or from any freshwater body (rivers, lakes, groundwater sources) to a place where it will be utilized by humans (Davies et al., 1992;

Gupta and van der Zaag, 2008). **Megaprojects** are generally defined based on actual construction costs, with a threshold of about one billion US$ per project (Flyvbjerg, 2014). We extended that definition for **water transfer megaprojects** to include projects that meet one of the following criteria: construction costs amount to one billion US$ or more, distance of transfer is 190 km or more, or volume of water transferred exceeds 0.23 km^3 a^{-1}. To set these criteria we first selected a sample of 15 WTMP planned or under construction with the estimated construction cost of 1±0.5 billion US$. Then, we calculated the median water transfer distance and volume of these projects (Table S1). These criteria were used to identify existing megaprojects, too.

2.2. Data collection sources and criteria

We collected data and information on all megaprojects based on peer-reviewed publications, official web-sites of water transfer projects, environmental impact assessments, reports of non-governmental organizations, and information available in online newspapers. Data and information were collected between January and December 2017. We searched for the English terms “water transfer”, “water diversion”, “water megaproject”, and “water redistribution schemes”, using various search engines (www.webofscience.com; www.googlescholar.com; www.google.com). In order to improve the data quality, we used multiple sources for each project for cross-validation (the full list of information sources for projects planned and under construction is provided in the Supplementary Material).

For each project, we compiled the following data and information: geographic location of the project (continent, country), project status (planned, under construction), donor and recipient system, total water transfer distance, total water transfer volume (i.e. maximum annual capacity), estimated construction cost (future WTMP), and main purpose(s) of the project. In case information sources provided different values on water transfer distance, volume and cost, we used the largest values found in the literature. We visualized the location of each project using QGIS software (version 2.12). Identification of the location and course of the planned WTMP was based on available project plans, terrain topography, or depicted as the shortest connection between donating and receiving water body in case no other information was available.

3. Results

3. 1. Geographic distribution of existing and future WTMP

A total of 33 existing WTMP were identified, with 17 projects located in North America and 10 in Asia (Fig. 1, Table S2). A total of 76 WTMP are either under construction (34) or in the planning phase (42) (Fig. 2; Table S3). The majority of future WTMP will be located in North America (34 projects) and Asia (17) (Fig. 2; Table 1). In Europe, only three WTMP are expected, of which two are under construction.

3.2. Water volume and distance of existing and future WTMP

For existing WTMP, the water transfer volume ranged from 0.06 to 51 km^3 a^{-1} (median: 2.4 km^3 a^{-1}), with a combined water transfer volume of 203 km^3 a^{-1} (Table S2). The “James Bay Project” (Canada; 51 km^3 a^{-1}) and the “Goldfields Water Supply Scheme” (Australia; 33 km^3 a^{-1}) transfer the largest volumes. For future WTMP, the estimated water volume transferred will range from 0.05 to 317 km^3 a^{-1} (median: 2.2 km^3 a^{-1}), with a combined water transfer volume of 1,923 km^3 a^{-1} (Table 1). The planned “North American Water and Power Alliance” (NAWAPA) megaproject is estimated to transfer 193 km^3 a^{-1} across the entire continent, and the “Great Recycling and Northern Development (GRAND) Canal of North America” will transfer 317 km^3 a^{-1}.

The water transfer distance of existing WTMP ranged from 0.4 to 2,820 km (median: 367 km) with a combined length of 12,913 km (Table 1). The longest distance of water transfer amounts to 2,820 km for the “Great Manmade River” (Libya) and the California State Water Project (USA; 1,128 km). The calculated water transfer distance of future WTMP will range from 3.2 km to 14,900 km (median: 482 km) (Table S3). The combined length of all megaprojects planned (51,720 km) or under construction (26,420 km) will amount to 88,140 km. Thereof, the “National River Linking Project” (India), which is under construction, will stretch a total length of 14,900 km, and the planned “NAWAPA” megaproject (North America) will cover 10,620 km.

3.3. Estimated costs of future WTMP

The construction costs of future WTMP range from 0.095 to 1,500 billion US$ per project (median: 4.25 billion US$) (Table 1). The construction of all 76 WTMP will require a combined investment of around 2.7 trillion US$. On its own, the construction of “NAWAPA” is estimated to cost 1.5 trillion US$. Regarding the projected costs per km of water transfer, the most expensive projects currently in the planning phase are the “California Water Fix and Eco Restore” project (USA; 479 million US$ per km), the “Mid-Barataria Sediment Diversion” project (USA; 375 million US$ per km), and the Acheloos River diversion project (Greece; 339 million US$ per km). Regarding the costs of transfer in relation to the water volume transferred, i.e. per millions of m^3 a^{-1}, the calculated prices are the highest for the channel connecting Lake Baikal (Russia) with the Chinese city Lanzhou (325 million US$ per million m^3 a^{-1}), the pipeline connecting the underground aquifer in eastern Nevada with Las Vegas (USA; 97 million US$ per million m^3 a^{-1}), and the Kimberley-Perth canal (Australia; 73 million US$ per million m^3 a^{-1}), all of which are in the planning phase.

3.4. Purposes of WTMP

Among the existing WTMP, twelve projects provide water for irrigation, seven for hydropower generation, four for both purposes, and one project serves ecosystem restoration (Table S2). Among future projects, 43 projects will transfer water for agriculture development, 14 for hydropower generation, and ten for both purposes (Fig. 3). Furthermore, six future WTMP will meet the needs of the mining industry, four will support ecosystem restoration, and three projects will serve as navigation canals.

4. Discussion

4.1. Global scale inventory on WTMP

In this paper, we present the most comprehensive global synthesis on future WTMP, which are expected to be completed by around 2050, as well as on the key characteristics of each of these projects. The inventory shows that all WTMP will become a global phenomenon. They are planned across all continents and in countries that are both developed and developing.

Building massive water transfer infrastructures people are creating “artificial rivers” on Earth. The 76 future WTMP will transfer a calculated total volume of 1,923 km^3, along a combined distance exceeding twice the length of Earth’s equator. For comparison: the mean annual flow at the mouth of the Rhine River, one of the longest (total length: 1,250 km) and economically most important rivers in Europe, amounts to 72 km^3 a^{-1} (Uehlinger et al., 2009). While the median water transfer distance per individual project will be around one third of the Rhine River length, 17 projects will exceed the length of river Rhine. In respect to flow, about 30 new Rhine Rivers are in the planning or construction phase globally. The scale of these interventions allows considering them as transformations in the global water cycle. The total volume of transferred water will account for up to 48 % of the total global water withdrawal (based on the present total withdrawal rate of around 4,000 km^3 $year^{-1}$ (FAO, 2010)), and to about 5 % of the total global continental discharge to oceans (Table 2). Indeed, we may expect an even greater increase because our analysis includes megaprojects only. For example, in the USA we identified 8 existing megaprojects, while a recent inventory of the total number of inter-basin transfer projects in the country includes 2,161 projects (Dickson and Dzombak, 2017; Table S2).

One of the characteristic of future WTMP revealed by our inventory is that a significant number of projects (15 in total) is transboundary and will transfer water across longer distances compared to existing projects. The median water transfer distance of future WTMP will exceed the values of existing projects by more than 100 km, although the median water transfer volume of existing and future WTMP is very similar (2.4 versus 2.2 km^3 a^{-1}, respectively). Among the 76 future projects, 23 will transfer water further than 1,000 km, compared to two out of 33 existing projects. This highlights the need to consider WTMP as integral parts of the global hydrosystem network, and to consider transferred water volumes in global hydrological models.

Currently we are lacking solid data on existing and future WTMP for individual countries. There is no dedicated agency responsible for maintaining a database on water transfer projects, not even in countries where water transfer already is an important component of water supply, such as in the United States and China (Dickson and Dzombak, 2017; Yu et al., 2018). Furthermore, we lack internationally agreed standards to evaluate water transfer project performance and impacts on people and ecosystems, as it is available for large dams (Roman, 2017; World Commission on Dams, 2000; HSAP, 2010).

Although our dataset contains the most comprehensive information that we were able to collect, the quality and completeness of information should be treated with caution. For example, there is heterogeneity in information on projects' characteristics. Also, only English search terms were applied for data acquisition, which potentially may lead to an incomplete representation of existing and future projects in certain regions, in particular in Asia and Latin America.

Several of the future projects included in the inventory are so-called "zombie-projects" (Gleick et al., 2014). They were once proposed, declined for some reason, but then brought back to life. For example, the NAWAPA project in North America was first proposed in 1954 and discussed again in 2010s (Nuclear NAWAPA XXI, 2013). Another example is the Sibaral Project (2,500 km of water transfer from Siberian rivers to the Aral Sea), which was proposed during the Soviet Union era and recently discussed among various actors in Central Asia and Russia (Pearce, 2004). Such projects are connected with massive environmental, social, and economic interventions and are often unjustified. Their realization, however, cannot be omitted as extreme droughts, natural disasters, famines can open so-called "windows-of-opportunities" for a definite decision on their construction (Tockner et al., 2016).

Data on expected costs of WTMP show that these projects will require enormous investments, which can be even underestimated. The construction costs of all future WTMP will need more than 2.7 trillion US$, which exceeds the calculated investments for constructing 3,700 large hydropower dams, either planned or under construction (Zarfl et al., 2015). The median costs of a single WTMP (4.5 billion US$) can comprise a significant proportion of the annual GDP of individual countries (for comparison, the annual GDP of Greece is 196 billion US$ (World Economic Outlook Database, 2017)). In China, the estimated expenses on water diversion projects, both completed and planned until 2015, account for around 1% of the country's GDP in 2014, corresponding to more than 150 billion US$ (average costs per project: 3.5 billion US$; Yu et al., 2018). High costs, however, can lead to financial failures of megaprojects (Sternberg, 2016). For example, the Central Arizona Project (USA), completed in 1992, provided water for farmers with very high irrigation fees, but investments in the project have still not been covered (Sternberg, 2016). Estimated expenses of WTMP increase while projects are under construction. The costs of the Sao Francisco irrigation project (Brazil), currently under construction, have increased from initially 4.5 to more than 10 billion US$, and may further increase until completion – and running costs are not yet included (Roman, 2017). Expenses on water transfer may compete with other societal requirements. For example, 4% of the GDP of Saudi Arabia are dedicated to sustaining water resources, compared to 8% for health and social affairs (Ministry of Finance, Saudi Arabia, 2013).

4.2. WTMP within the context of the water-food-energy nexus

WTMP offer an engineering solution meeting increasing water needs (Gupta and van der Zaag, 2008) and are part of national water management strategies and plans. The development of future WTMP is mainly driven by geographical limitations in water availability (e.g., large water volumes planned to be transferred from water secure areas to arid regions) as well as by existing deficits in water supply, thereby limiting future economic development (e.g., transfer schemes to provide water for mining schemes in Chile and Australia). Future WTMP are also proposed to facilitate the economic linkage of regions (e.g., navigation canals in South America and Africa). Some projects aim to provide water supply for particular cities (e.g., water transfer from the aquifer in East Nevada to Las Vegas, water transfer from Lake Baikal to the Chinese city Lanzhou). Currently, 12% of the largest cities in the world (with a population larger than 750,000 people) are dependent on inter-basin water transfer, and the number of cities relying on transferred water is increasing (McDonald et al., 2014). In the next decades, further expansion of urban infrastructure is expected, particularly in developing countries with relatively few financial resources (McDonald et al., 2014). The fastest growing large cities dependent on water transfer are located in China, India, and Mexico (McDonald et al., 2014).

Future WTMP will play a significant role in supporting the water-food-energy nexus. The majority of projects will support the agricultural sector. The Aquatacama Project (Chile), which will transfer around 1.5 $km^3\ a^{-1}$ over a distance of 2,500 km from the South to the North, is expected to double the area of agricultural land and food production in the country (Dourojeanni et al., 2013). Very large-scale projects in North America as NAWAPA, PLHINO and PLHIGON will jointly form a single water transfer network, boosting food production in Mexico. The area of irrigated land in Mexico will increase by 75% and grain production will be doubled (Small, 2007). Finally, the South-to-North water transfer project in China provides water for agriculture and domestic use in the densely populated areas in Northern China. A number of projects will also serve multiple purposes including providing water for agriculture, energy supply and domestic purposes. For example, Turkey, a country with the second largest hydropower potential in Europe (following Norway; Yuksel, 2015), demonstrates how water transfer schemes will support both the energy and the agricultural sector. Within the Southeastern Greater Anatolian Project (GAP), for example, 22 dams and 19 hydroelectric power plants (total installed capacity: 7,500 MW) will be constructed along the Tigris and Euphrates Rivers. After completion, the project will produce 27 billion kWh of energy annually and irrigate 1.8 million ha of land, with a total length of irrigation channels of 1,032 km (Yuksel, 2015). In Egypt and Sudan, within the scope of the New Nile Project, a 2,500 km long canal will be built to provide water for agriculture and to generate 18 gigawatt of electricity (Ahram, 2014).

However, WTMP can cause undesirable social-economic consequences, particularly when projects with underestimated costs and overestimated benefits are approved (Flyvbjerg, 2007). Water usage can be unsustainable when water is transferred to promote agriculture in water-poor areas. For example, this is the case for the Central Arizona Project (USA), which supports water-intensive cotton growth in the semiarid Phoenix region. Another example of poor-grounded water transfer is the Great Manmade River Project (Libya), which transfers groundwater from the Sahara to the Mediterranean coast, facilitating the migration of people to the desert, further increasing the pressure on scarce water resources (Sternberg, 2016). In addition, many of the future WTMP are transboundary and are planned in countries that are less stable politically and economically. This may lead to international disputes in water issues (Tockner et al., 2016).

4.3. Impacts on freshwater ecosystems

Although environmental impacts of individual inter-basin transfer projects have been analyzed in multiple studies (Zhuang et al., 2016), the impact of megaprojects in general is difficult to predict due to their large scale.

With the help of WTMP humans will redistribute large volumes of water between distantly located catchments and thus change the hydrological balance. Intense water withdrawals can lead to a flow reduction in donating basins. For example, the annual flow of the Yellow River in China was reduced by 10% in 2013, compared to the average flows within the last 60 years due to average withdrawal of 3.3 km^3 a^{-1} (Yu et al., 2018). In many cases, however, extraction of streamflow from the donating basins is not significant. For example, half of the inter-basin transfer schemes that existed in the US in 1973-1982 extracted 0.04%, and 78% of the projects less than 1% of streamflow from the donating basins (Emanuel et al., 2015). Overall, water transfer between wet and dry catchments will lead to a flow homogenization at regional and continental scales, but solid data to underpin this observation are still missing (McDonald et al., 2014).

In some cases, concerns about the environmental consequences of future WTMP have already been raised. For example, the “Acheloos Diversion” project (Greece; under construction) that was named a “Modern Greek Drama” (Tyralis et al., 2017) may cause irreversible damage to highly valuable ecosystems containing internationally protected species (WWF, 2007). The Sao Francisco irrigation project (Brazil) is expected to increase desertification and cause salinization of irrigated soils due to increased evapotranspiration (Stolf et al., 2012). However, by constructing some of the future WTMP ecological losses shall be prevented, for example by implementing the “Transaqua” project the shrinking Lake Chad is intended to be refilled, or by following the “Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan” the hydrology of one of the most important wetlands globally shall be stabilized (Ifabiyi 2013; CERP, 2015).

Overall, the effects on freshwater ecosystems need to be estimated individually for each project. In general, the extent of the effects will depend on the physical and biological characteristics of the donating and recipient systems, the type of the connecting structure (pipelines or open canals), the volume of water transferred and the frequency of transfers (Soulsby et al., 1999; Gibbins et al., 2001; Fornarelli and Antenucci, 2011). The current inventory on future WTMP can serve to identify evolving changes and potential impacts on freshwaters by overlapping the WTMP data with further datasets (e.g. with hot-spots of biodiversity, water quality in donating and receiving basins).

5. Conclusions

Within the next decades, we may expect a massive boom in the construction of WTMP. As water scarcity becomes a global phenomenon, WTMP are currently considered to be a solution to meet the increasing water demands in both developed and developing countries. These projects may play a fundamental role in balancing the water-food-energy nexus, thereby sustaining food production, producing hydropower, and supporting industry. Even projects which seem to be unrealizable and unjustified can become implemented under certain economic and political conditions.

The lack of solid data does not allow to fully evaluate environmental, social, and economic potential impacts of such projects so far. The size of these WTMP suggests, however, that their impacts will cover regional and continental scales and will be irreversible. Thus, before implementing these risky engineering solutions, the efficiency of water usage needs to be reconsidered. Measures as using recycled water, improving piping and distribution in existing urban systems, and increasing the efficiency of irrigation for agricultural purposes should come first in addressing the challenges of water shortage.

Overall, the results of the inventory of WTMP emphasize the need to include these projects in global hydrological models and to develop internationally agreed criteria for their multiple assessments. Otherwise, we are facing an engineered water future, which may constrain alternative solutions to cope with an increasingly uneven distribution, both in space and time, of the global water resources. We need to manage our hydrological systems as hybrid systems – as resources for human use as well as highly valuable ecosystems, for the benefit of people and nature alike.

Author Contributions Statement

OS, KT and CZ designed the study. OS, AK and CZ collected information. OS compiled the manuscript and all co-authors contributed to the text.

Acknowledgments

This work had been carried out within the SMART Joint Doctorate Programme ‘Science for the MAnagement of Rivers and their Tidal systems’, funded by the Erasmus Mundus programme of the European Union (http://www.riverscience.it). The authors thank Dominik Reiner for his support in cross-checking the compiled data on future WTMP.

Conflict of Interest Statement

Authors declare no conflict of interests.



Fig. 1. Global distribution of existing water transfer megaprojects (purple lines) (N=33). Blue lines show major world rivers.



Fig. 2. Global distribution of future water transfer megaprojects that are under construction (red lines) or in the planning phase (green lines) (N_{total}=76). Blue lines show major world rivers.



Fig. 3. Distribution of future WTMP according to their purposes: water supply for purposes of agriculture (green lines, N=43), hydropower development (red lines, N=14) or both (red-green stripped lines, N=10). Blue lines show major world rivers.

Table 1. Summary information (per continent) on water transfer megaprojects, either planned or under construction.

Continent	Number of projects	Total water transfer distances[1] (km)	Total water transfer volume[2] ($km^3\ a^{-1}$)	Total cost of all projects combined[3] (billion US$)
North America	34	30,240	1346	1,936
Asia	17	28,450	321	522
Africa	9	6,600	233	130
Australia	7	8,720	12.9	72
South America	6	11,780	8.2	36
Europe	3	2,350	1.9	12
Total	**76**	**88,140**	**1,923**	**2,708**

[1] One project in Australia has missing information on distance, 11 in North America; [2] Four projects have missing information on total water transfer volume (3 in North America, 1 in South America, 1 in Asia); [3] 14 projects have missing information on cost (10 in North America, 1 in Asia, 1 in Europe). All missing values were substituted with respective median values.

Table 2. Water volumes transferred in future WTMP versus volumes of continental water withdrawals and total discharge to oceans (per continent).

Continent	**Water volumes transferred through future WTMP ($km^3\ a^{-1}$)**	**Continental water withdrawals ($km^3\ a^{-1}$)**		**Continental discharge to oceans[3] ($km^3\ a^{-1}$)**
		Total in 2000[1]	**Through IBT in 2005[2]**	
North America	1,346	705	300	5,890
Asia	321	2,357	146	13,090
Africa	233	235	11	4,520
Australia	12.9	32	1	1,320
South America	8.2	182	3	11,715
Europe	1.9	463	79	2,770
Sum	**1,923**	**3,974**	**540**	**39,305**

[1] Shiklomanov (2000); [2] ICID (2005); [3] Fekete et al. (2002). Abbreviations: IBT – inter-basin transfer

References

2030 WRG (2009). 2030 Water Resources Group. Charting our water future: Economic frameworks to inform decision-making. Available online at: https://www.mckinsey.com/~/media/mckinsey/dotcom/client_service/sustainability/pdfs/charting%20our%20water%20future/charting_our_water_future_full_report_.ashx

Ahram, A. (2014, May 25) Egypt wants to Diverting the Congo River to the Nile. Available online at: http://www.diretube.com/articles/read-egypt-wants-to-diverting-the-congo-river-to-the-nile_4754.html

Clarkson, R.W. (2004). Effectiveness of electrical fish barriers associated with the Central Arizona Project. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 24, 94–105. doi: 10.1577/M02-146

CERP (2015). Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan. Everglades National Park Service. Available online at: https://www.nps.gov/ever/learn/nature/cerp.htm

Dadaser-Celik, F., Coggins, J.S., Brezonik, P.L., and Stefan, H.G. (2009). The projected costs and benefits of water diversion from and to the Sultan Marshes (Turkey). *Ecological Economy* 68, 1496–1506. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolecon.2008.10.012

Davies, B.R., Thoms, M., and Meador, M. (1992). The ecological impacts of inter-basin water transfers and their threats to river basin integrity and conservation. *Aquatic Conservation: Maritime and Freshwater Ecosystems* 2, 325–349. doi: 10.1002/aqc.3270020404

Dickson, K.E., and Dzombak, D.A. (2017). Inventory of interbasin transfers in the United States. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association* 53, 1121–1132. doi: 10.1111/1752-1688.12561

Dourojeanni, A., Jadue, N., León, G., Osborne, K., and Serra, D. (2013). Aquatacama Project: preliminary socio-economic analysis. Fundacion Chile. Available online at: http://www.acquatacama.cl/sites/default/files/AQUATACAMA%20REPORT%20FINAL%20-%20F.%20Chile.pdf

Emanuel, R.E., Buckley, J.J., Caldwell, P.V., McNulty, S.G., and Sun, G. (2015). Influence of basin characteristics on the effectiveness and downstream reach of interbasin water transfers: displacing a problem. *Environmental Research Letters* 10, 124005–124013. doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/10/12/124005

FAO (2010). *Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Water withdrawal by sector, around 2010.* Available online at: http://www.fao.org/nr/water/aquastat/tables/WorldData-Withdrawal_eng.pdf

FAO (2011). *Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations: The state of the world's land and water resources for food and agriculture(SOLAW) – Managing systems at risk.* Available online at: http://www.fao.org/3/a-i1688e.pdf

FAO, IFAD, UNICEF, WFP and WHO. (2017). *The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2017. Building resilience for peace and food security.* Available online at: http://www.fao.org/3/a-I7695e.pdf

Fekete, B.M., Vörösmarty, C.J., and Grabs, W. (2002). High-resolution fields of global runoff combining observed river discharge and simulated water balances. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 16, 1–6. doi: 10.1029/1999GB001254

Flyvbjerg, B. (2007). Policy and planning for large-infrastructure projects: problems, causes, cures. *Environ Plann B Plann Des 34,* 578–597

Flyvbjerg, B. (2014). What you should know about megaprojects and why: an overview. *Project Management Journal* 45, 6–19. doi: 10.1002/pmj.21409

Fornarelli, R., and Antenucci, J.P. (2011). The impact of transfers on water quality and the disturbance regime in a reservoir. *Water Research* 45, 5873–5885. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2011.08.048

Gibbins, C.N., Soulsby, C., Jeffries, M.J., and Acornley., R. (2001). Developing ecological acceptable river flow regimes: a case study of Kielder reservoir and the Kielder water transfer system. *Fisheries Management and Ecology* 8, 463–485. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2400.2001.00274.x

Grant, E.H.C., Lynch, H.J., Muneepeerakul, R., Arunachalam, M., Rodriguez-Iturbe, I., et al. (2012). Interbasin water transfer, riverine connectivity, and spatial controls on fish biodiversity. *PLoS ONE* 7:e34170. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0034170

Gleick, P., Heberger, M., Donnelly, K. (2014). Zombie water projects. In: Gleick P (ed) The world's water volume 8: the biennial report on freshwater resources. Island Press/Center for Resource Economics, Washington/Covelo/London, pp 123–146

Gupta, J., and van der Zaag, P. (2008). Interbasin water transfers and integrated water resources management: Where engineering, science and politics interlock. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth* 33, 28–40

Hu, W., Zhai, S., Zhu, Z., and Han, H. (2008). Impacts of the Yangtze River water transfer on the restoration of Lake Taihu. *Ecological Engineering* 34, 30–49. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoleng.2008.05.018

HSAP (2010). Hydropower Sustainability Assessment Protocol. Available online at: http://www.hydrosustainability.org/Protocol/The-Protocol-Documents.aspx

ICID (2005). International Commission on Irrigation and Drainage. Experiences in inter-basin water transfers for irrigation, drainage or flood management (3rd draft 15 August 2005). Unpublished report. Available online at: http://www.icid.org/ibwt_draft_aug05.pdf

Ifabiyi, I.P. (2013). Recharging the Lake Chad: The hydro-politics of national security and regional integration in Africa. *African Research Review* 7, 196–216. doi: 10.4314/afrrev.v7i3.15

Jin, Z., Chen, L., Li, F., Pan, Z., and Jin, M. (2015). Effects of water transfer on water quality and estimation of the pollutant fluxes from different sources into West Lake, Hangzhou City, China. *Environmental Earth Science* 73: 1091–1101. doi: 10.1007/s12665-014-3456-6

Ministry of Finance, Saudi Arabia (2013) Recent economic developments and highlights of fiscal years 1434/1435 (2013) & 1435/1436. Retrieved: January 13, 2017, from www.mof.gov. sa/english/downloadscenter/pages/budget.aspx

McDonald, R.I., Weber, K., Padowski, J., Flörke, M., Schneider, C. et al. (2014). Water on an urban planet: urbanization and the reach of urban water infrastructure. *Global Environmental Change* 27, 96–105. doi: /10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.04.022

Murphy, T.J., and Rzeszutko, C.P. (1977). Precipitation inputs of PCBs to Lake Michigan. *Journal of Great Lakes Research* 3, 305–312. doi: 10.1016/S0380-1330(77)72262-2

Nuclear NAWAPA XXI (2013). Nuclear NAWAPA XXI: Gateway to the Fusion Economy. 21st century science and technology special report. Available online at: http://21stcenturysciencetech.com/Nuclear_NAWAPA_XXI/Nuclear_NAWAPA_sm.pdf

Lin, M.L., Lek, S., Ren, P., Li, S.H., Li, W. et al. (2017). Predicting impacts of South-to-North water transfer project on fish assemblages in Hongze Lake, China. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* 33, 395–402. doi: 10.1111/jai.13251

O'Keeffe, J.H., and DeMoor, F.C. (1988). Changes in the physico-chemistry and benthic invertebrates of the Great Fish River, South Africa, following an interbasin transfer of water. *Regulated Rivers Research and Management* 2, 39–55. doi: 10.1002/rrr.3450020105

Poland, J.F. (1981). The occurrence and control of land subsidence due to ground-water withdrawal with special reference to the San Joaquin and Santa Clara Valleys, California. [PhD dissertation]. Stanford University, USA

Pearce, F. (2009). Russia reviving massive river diversion plan. *New Scientist, 9 February 2004.* Available online at: www.newscientist.com/article/dn4637. Accessed 22 July 2018

Roman, P. (2017). The São Francisco interbasin water transfer in Brazil: Tribulations of a megaproject through constraints and controversy. *Water Alternatives* 10, 395–419. Available online at: http://www.water-alternatives.org/index.php/alldoc/articles/vol10/v10issue2/361-a10-2-11/file

Rockström, J., Falkenmark, M., Allan, T., Folke, C., and Gordonet, L. (2014). The unfolding water drama in the Anthropocene: towards a resilience-based perspective on water for global sustainability. *Ecohydrol.* 7, 1249–1261. doi: 10.1002/eco.1562

Rivera-Monroy, V.H., Branoff, B., Meselhe, E.A., McCorquodale, A., Dortch, M., et al. (2013). Landscape-level estimation of nitrogen loss in coastal Louisiana wetlands: potential sinks under different restoration scenarios. *Journal of Coastal Research* 67, 75–87. doi: 10.2112/SI_67_6

Schewe, J., Heinke, J., Gerten, D., Haddeland, I., Arnell, N. W., et al. (2014). Multimodel assessment of water scarcity under climate change, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 111, 3245–3250, doi:10.1073/pnas.1222460110

Small, D. (2007). U.S. and Mexico: Cooperate On Great Water Projects. Executive Intelligence Review, 34:64-73 Available online at: http://www.larouchepub.com/eiw/public/2007/eirv34n47-48-20071207/eirv34n47-48-20071207.pdf

Snaddon, C.D., and Davies, B.R. (1998). A preliminary assessment of the effects of a small South African inter-basin water transfer on discharge and invertebrate community structure. *Regulated Rivers: Research and Management* 14, 421–441. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1099-1646(1998090)14:5<421::AID-RRR509>3.0.CO;2-L

Snedden, G.A., Cable, J.E., Swarzenski, C., and Swenson, E. (2007). Sediment discharge into a subsiding Louisiana deltaic estuary through a Mississippi River diversion. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 71, 181–193. doi: 10.1016/j.ecss.2006.06.035

Soulsby, C., Gibbins, C.N., and Robins, T. (1999). Inter-basin water transfers and drought management in the Kielder/Derwent system. *Journal of the Chartered Institution of Water and Environmental Management* 13, 213–223. doi: 10.1111/j.1747-6593.1999.tb01037.x

Sternberg, T. (2016). Water megaprojects in deserts and drylands. *International Journal of Water Resources Development* 32, 301–320. doi:10.1080/07900627.2015.1012660

Stolf, R., Piedade, S.M.D., Da Silva, J.R., Da Silva, L.C.F., and Maniero, M.A. (2012). Water transfer from Sao Francisco River to semiarid northeast of Brazil: technical data, environmental impacts, survey of option about the amount to be transferred. *Engenharia Agricola* 32, 998–1010. doi: 10.1590/S0100-69162012000600000

Shiklomanov, I.A. (2000). Appraisal and assessment of world water resources. *Water Int* 25, 11–32. doi: 10.1080/02508060008686794

Tockner, K., Bernhardt, E.S., Koska, A., and Zarfl, C. (2016). ”A global view on Future Major Water Engineering Projects”, in *Society-Water-Technology,* ed. R. F. Hüttl, O. Bens, C. Bismuth, S. Hoechstetter (Springer: Heidelberg, Germany), 47–64

Tyralis, H., Tegos, A., Delichatsiou, A., Mamassis, N., and Koutsoyiannis, D. (2017). A perpetually interrupted interbasin water transfer as a modern Greek drama: assessing the Acheloos to Pinios interbasin water transfer in the context of integrated water resources management. *Open Water* 1, 113–128. Available online at: https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/openwater/vol4/iss1/11

Uehlinger, U., Wantzen, K.M., Leuven, R.S.E.W., and Arndt, H. (2009). ”The Rhine River Basin” in *Rivers of Europe* (Academic Press: London), 199–245

UN (2014). *World Urbanization Prospects: The 2014 Revision, Highlights*. Available online at: https://esa.un.org/unpd/wup/publications/files/wup2014-highlights.pdf

UN (2017). *World Population Prospects: The 2017 Revision, Key Findings and Advance Tables.* Available online at: https://esa.un.org/unpd/wpp/publications/Files/WPP2017_KeyFindings.pdf

UNSD (2016). *United Nations Sustainable Development. Sustainable Development Goals: 17 Goals to Transform Our World.* Available online at:

http://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/

Yu, M., Wang, C., Liu, Y., Olsson, G., and Wang, C. (2018). Sustainability of mega water diversion projects: Experience and lessons from China. *Science of the Total Environment* 619-620, 721–731. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.006

Yuksel, I. (2015). South-eastern Anatolia Project (GAP) factor and energy management in Turkey, *Energy Reports* 1, 151-155. doi: 10.1016/j.egyr.2015.06.002

WEF (2015). *World Economic Forum: Global Risks 2015, 10th Edition.* Available online at: http://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_Global_Risks_2015_Report15.pdf

WEF (2011). *World Economic Forum: Global Risks 2011, 6th Edition.* Available online at: http://reports.weforum.org/wp-content/blogs.dir/1/mp/uploads/pages/files/risk-report-barometers-2011.pdf

World Comission on Dams (2000). Dams and developemnt. A new framamework for decision-making. Available online at: https://www.internationalrivers.org/sites/default/files/attached-files/world_commission_on_dams_final_report.pdf

World Economic Outlook Database (2017). Report for Selected Countries and Subjects. International Monetary Fund. Available online at: https://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/weo/2017/02/weodata/index.aspx

WEC (2013). *World Energy Scenarios: Composing energy futures to 2050.* Available on-line at: https://www.worldenergy.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/09/World-Energy-Scenarios_Composing-energy-futures-to-2050_Full-report.pdf

UNESCO-WWAP (2014). *The United Nations World Water Development Report: Water and Energy*. Available online at: http://unesdoc.unesco.org/images/0022/002257/225741E.pdf

UNESCO-WWAP (2017). *The United Nations World Water Development Report: Wastewater: The Untapped Resource.* Available online at: http://unesdoc.unesco.org/images/0024/002471/247153e.pdf

WWF (2007). *Pipedream? Inter basin water transfer and water shortages.* Available online at: http://d2ouvy59p0dg6k.cloudfront.net/downloads/pipedreams_ibts_final_report_27_june_2007_1.pdf

Zarfl, C., Lumsdon, A.E., Berlekamp, J., Tydecks, L., and Tockner, K. (2015). A global boom in hydropower dam construction. *Aquatic Science* 77, 161–170. doi: 10.1007/s00027-014-0377-0

Zhang, L., Li, S., Loáiciga, H.A., Zhuang, Y., and Du, Y. (2015). Opportunities and challenges of interbasin water transfers: a literature review with bibliometric analysis. *Scientometrics* 105, 279–294. doi: 10.1007/s11192-015-1656-9

Zhuang, W. (2016). Eco-environmental impact of inter-basin water transfer projects: a review. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 23, 12867–12879. doi: 10.1007/s11356-016-6854-3

Supplementary Material

GLOBAL WATER TRANSFER MEGAPROJECTS:
A SOLUTION FOR THE WATER-FOOD-ENERGY NEXUS?

Oleksandra Shumilova[*], Klement Tockner, Michele Thieme, Anna Koska, Christiane Zarfl

* Correspondence: Oleksandra Shumilova, shumilova@igb-berlin.de

Table S1. Projects under construction or in the planning phase with a cost of 1±0.5 billions US$ (N=15). For the information on used references see List of references

Project Name	**Continent of location**	**Country**	**Project status**	**Total water transfer distance, km**	**Total water transfer volume, km^3 a^{-1}**	**Total estimated cost, billion US$**
Great Melen Project	Asia	Turkey	Construction	190	1.18	1.18
Gerede Project	Asia	Turkey	Construction	30	0.23	0.9
Ankara Water Supply Project (Kizilirmak plan)	Asia	Turkey	Construction	128	0.284	1.3
The Disi Water Conveyance Project	Asia	Jordan	Construction	325	0.1	1.1
Mzimvubu Water Project	Africa	South Africa	Construction	257	0.71	1.17
Mokolo and Crocodile River (West) Water Augmentation Project	Africa	South Africa	Construction	190	0.242	1.26
New Nile Project	Africa	DR Congo, Egypt, Sudan, South Sudan	Planning	2500	110	1.2
The uMkhomazi Water Project	Africa	South Africa	Planning	40.5	0.054	1.3
Delaware Aqueduct Bypass Tunnel Project	North America	USA	Construction	136	0.694	1.19
Mid-Barataria Sediment Diversion	North America	USA	Planning	3.2	66.225	1.2
Navajo-Gallup Water Supply Project (NGWSP)	North America	USA	Construction	450.6	0.046	1
The Southern Delivery System (SDS) Project	North America	USA	Construction	80	0.207	1.45
Connors River Dam and Pipeline Project with pipeline to Alpha	Australia	Australia	Planning	398	0.0745	1.1
Nathan Dam and Pipelines	Australia	Australia	Planning	220	0.066	1.4

Table S2. List of existing water transfer megaprojects (n=33).

Project name	Start of project operation	Continent	Country	Donor	Recipient	Transboundary status: international (I)/ national (N)	Total water transfer distance, km	Total water transfer volume, $km^3 a^{-1}$	Estimated project cost, billion US$	Purposes of water transfer
Eastern National Water Carrier	1987	Africa	Namibia	Okawango River, Van Bach Dam	Grootfontein	N	394	0.063	0.150	Domestic supply
Orange river Transfer Scheme	1987	Africa	South Africa	Orange River basin	Great Fish and Sundays Rivers	N	97	1.7	NA	Flood control; hydropower; domestic supply
Great Manmade River	1989	Asia	Libya	depth quifer of Sahara	Mediterranean cost of Libya	N	2820	2.372	25	Irrigation
Indira Gandhi Canal	1958	Asia	India	Harike Barrage at Harike (confluence of Satluj and Beas Rivers)	Thar Desert (Rajasthan state)	N	649	10.608	NA	Domestic supply; irrigation
Irtysh-Karaganda Canal	1968	Asia	Kazahstan	River Bela and Shiderta	Karaganda	N	450	2.365	NA	Irrigation
Irtysh–Karamay–Ürümqi Canal	2008	Asia	China	Irtysh River	Cities of Karamay and Ürümqi	I	562	NA	NA	Irrigation
National Water Carrier of Israel	1964	Asia	Israel	Galilee Sea	North of Israel	N	130	0.620	NA	Domestic supply; irrigation
Periyar Vaigai Irrigation Project	1984	Asia	India	Periyar River	Vaigai River	N	331	1.293	NA	Irrigation
Tarim River Restoration Project	2007	Asia	China	Lake Bosten and Daxihaizi reservoir	Tarim and Lake Taitema	N	358	NA	1.29	Restoration
Yin Da Ru Qin Project	1995	Asia	China	Datong River	Qinwangchuan region	N	884	4.430	NA	Domestic

					(Gansu province)					supply
Jiang Shui Bei Diao Project	1980	Asia	China	Yangtze River	Lake Weishan	N	400	3.300	NA	Domestic supply
Telugu Ganga Project	2004	Asia	India	Krishna River	Chennai city	N	406	0.1	NA	Domestic supply
Goldfields Water Supply Scheme	1903	Australia	Australia	Helena River	Coolgardie and Kalgoorlie communiteis	N	530	32.85	NA	Domestic supply; irrigation; hydropower; mining industry
Snowy river Scheme	1949	Australia	Australia	Murray-Darling River basin	Snowy River	N	100	1.1	0.63	Irrigation, hydropower
North Crimean Canal	1975	Europe	Ukraine	River Dnieper	Kerch	N	402.5	NA	NA	Domestic supply; irrigation
Tagus-Segura Transfer	1978	Europe	Spain	Upper Tagus River (Tagus Basin)	Talave Dam (Mundo River, Segura basin)	N	286	0.305	NA	Irrigation; domestic supply
All-American Canal	1942	North America	USA	Colorado River	Imperial Valley	N	130	23.355	NA	Domestic supply; irrigation
California State Water Project	1962	North America	USA	Lake Oroville	South California (San Francisco bay area)	N	1128	3.330	9	Domestic supply; irrigation
Central Arizona Project	1992	North America	USA	Lake Havasu	Central and Southern Arizona	N	540	1.85	5	Irrigation; domestic supply
Colorado River Aqueduc	1939	North America	USA	Colorado River at Lake Havasu	Southern California	N	389	1.5	0.220	Domestic supply
Cutzamala System	1970	North America	Mexico	Cutzamala River in the Balsas basin	Great Mexico City	N	154	0.479	1.3	Domestic supply
First Los Angeles Aqueduc and Mono Basin Extension	1913	North America	USA	Owens River	San Fernando Reservoir (Lower Van Norman Reservoir)	N	375	0.39	0.0245	Domestic supply
Second Los Angeles Aqueduc	1970	North America	USA	junction of the North and South Haiwee reservoirs (south of Owens Lake)	Los Angeles	N	220	0.135	0.089	Domestic supply

Teno-Chimbarango Canal	1970	South America	Chile	Mataquito river basin (River Teno)	Rapel River basin (Estero Chimbarongo River)	N	13.66	2.049	NA	Irrigation; hydropower
James Bay Project (La Grande Project, Phase 1)	1984	North America	Canada	Eastmain, Opinaca and Caniapiscau Rivers	reservoirs on La Grande River	N	400	51.404	13.7	Hydropower
Churchill River Diversion	1977	North America	Canada	Churchill River	Nelson River	N	40	24.44	NA	Hydropower
Churchill Falls diversion	1970	North America	Canada	Rivers Naskaupi and Kanairktok	Churchill River	N	45	10.407	NA	Hydropower
Central Valley Project (CVP)	1933	North America	USA	Trinity, American, San Joaquin, Sacramento	Central and Southern parts of California	N	600	8.638	NA	Irrigation, municipal supply, hydropower generation, flood control, recreation
Long Lake Diversion	1941	North America	Canada	Kenogami River	Lake Superior (through Long Lake)	N	0.4	1.42	NA	Hydropower, pulpwood transportation
Ogoki River Diversion	1943	North America	Canada	Ogoki River	Lake Superior (through Nipigon Lake)	N	8.5	3.6	NA	Hydropower, pulpwood transportation
Saint Joseph Lake Diversion	1958	North America	Canada	St-Joseph Lake (source of Albany River)	Root River (Nelson River)	N	7	2.7	NA	Hydropower
Nechako-Kemano Diversion	1954	North America	Canada	Nechako Reservoir	Kemano River	N	18	3.6	NA	Hydropower
Lake Michigan to Chicago diversion	1848-1900-1910	North America	USA	Lake Michigan	Chicago	N	NA	2.9	NA	Municipal supply, Industrial supply, irrigation

Table S3. List of water transfer megaprojects planned or under construction (n=76). A full list of information sources for each project is given in the appendix.

Project name	**Start of construction/ year of project proposal***	**Expected completion date**	**Continent**	**Country**	**Status (under construction (C) / planning phase (P))**	**Donor**	**Recipient**	**Transboundary status: international (I)/ national (N)**	**Total water transfer distance, km**	**Total water transfer volume, $km^3 a^{-1}$**	**Estimated project cost, billion US$**	**Purposes of water transfer**
El Salam Project	2015	open	Africa	Egypt	C	Nile River, Bahr Hadous Drain, El Serw Drain	Sinai desert	N	242	4.45	2	Irrigation
Jonglei Canal	1978	2032	Africa	South Sudan, Sudan, Egypt	C	White Nile	White Nile (in Egypt and Sudan)	I	360	4.7	NA	Irrigation
Lesotho Highlands Water Project	1989	2024	Africa	Lesotho	C	Senqu/Orange River	Vaal River basin (Gauteng region)	N	200	2.2	8	Hydropower; domestic supply
Mokolo and Crocodile River (West) Water Augmentation Project	2009	2018	Africa	South Africa	C	Mokolo River, Crocodile River, Lephalale River	Lephalale, power plants and mines	N	190	0.242	1.3	Domestic supply; irrigation; mining industry
Mzimvubu Water Project	2014	2020	Africa	South Africa	C	Tsitsa River, Mzimvubu river	Eastern Cape province	N	257	0.71	1.2	Hydropower; irrigation; domestic supply
New Nile Project	1980s	open	Africa	DR Kongo, Egypt, Sudan, Souht Sudan	P	Congo River	Nile River	I	2500	110	1.2	Irrigation
New Valley	1997	2020	Africa	Egypt	C	Lake Naser	Sahara desert	N	310	10.5	90	Irrigation

Project / Toshka Project												
Transaqua Project	2009	open	Africa	Chad, Central African Republic, DR Kongo, Niger, Nigeria, Kamerun	P	Ubangi River	Lake Chad	I	2500	100	23	Navigation; restoration; irrigation, hydropower
uMkhomazi Water Project	2018	2023	Africa	South Africa	P	uMkhomazi, uMlaza and uMngeni River catchments	Durban and Pietermaritzburg	N	40.5	0.054	1.3	Domestic supply; irrigation
Ankara Water Supply Project (Kizilirmak plan)	2007	2027	Asia	Turkey	C	Kizilirmak River	Ankara	N	128	0.284	1.3	Domestic supply; municipal supply
Gerede Project	1999	2024	Asia	Turkey	C	Gerede River	Ankara	N	30	0.23	0.9	Domestic water supply, groundwater stabilization
Great Melen Project	2008	open	Asia	Turkey	C	Melen River	Istanbul	N	190	1.18	1.18	Domestic supply
Central Yunnan Water Transfer Project	2013	2023	Asia	China	C	Lake Qiandao	Xianlin/Hangzhou	N	900	3.42	11.7	Domestic supply, irrigation
Disi Water Conveyance Project / Disi-Mudawwara project	1996	2017	Asia	Jordan	C	Disi aquifer	Amman	N	325	0.1	1.1	Domestic supply
Herlen-Gobi Water Transfer Project	NA	open	Asia	Mongolia	P	Orhon River	Tavan Tolgoi and Oyu Tolgoi	N	540	0.047	0.44	Mining industry supply;
Irtysh-Ishim canal	2013	2023	Asia	Kazahstan	P	Irtysh River	Ishim River	N	340	NA	3.3	Irrigation; domestic supply
Konya Plain Project	NA	2018	Asia	Turkey	C	Göksu river	Konya Plain	N	17	0.414	2.56	Domestic supply;

												irrigation
Lake Baikal to China transfer	2017	open	Asia	Russia, China	P	Lake Baikal	Lanzhou	I	2000	0.08	26	Drinking water supply
National River Linking Project	2002	2037	Asia	India, Bhutan, Nepal	C	37 rivers (main donors: Brahmaputra, Mahanadi, Godavari)	rivers in South India	I	14900	174	168	Irrigation, hydropower, domestic supply;
Orhon-Gobi Water Transfer Project	NA	open	Asia	Mongolia	P	Shivee Ovoo, Shainsand, and Zamin-Udd	Tsagaan Suvarga copper mine	N	740	0.078	0.55	Mining industry supply, irrigation
Pahang-Selangor Raw Water Transfer Project	2000	expected in 2017	Asia	Malaysia	C	Kelau River	Langat River, cities of Selangor, Kuala Lumpur and Putrajaya	N	63	0.55	1	Drinking supply
SibAral Project / Soviet Union River Diversion Project	1940, 2010	open	Asia	Russia, Kazahstan	P	Ob, Irtysh Rivers	Aral Sea	I	2500	27	40	Restoration
Southeastern Greater Anatolian Project (GAP)	1989	2018	Asia	Turkey	C	Euphrates and Tigris Rivers basin	Southeastern Anatolia	N	1032	52.9	32	Irrigation, hydropower
South-to-North Water Transfer Project	2002	2050	Asia	China	C	Chang Jiang, Han, Tongtianhe, Yalongjiang, Daduhe Rivers	Yellow River basin	N	2746	44.8	80	Irrigation; domestic supply; hydropower
Tibet to Xinjiang tunnel	2017	open	Asia	China	P	Yarlung Tsangpo River in southern Tibet (Brahmaputra)	Taklamakan desert in Xinjiang	N	1000	15	150	Drinking water supply
Yettinahole Diversion Project	2015	open	Asia	India	P	Yettinahole and Kumaradhara	Hassan, Ramanagara, Chickmagalur, Bangalore rural, Tumkur, Kolar and Chikkaballapur	N	1000	0.672	2	Domestic supply
Bradfield Scheme	2007	open	Australia	Australia	P	Tully, Herbert and Burdekin Rivers	Queensland	N	NA	7.353	10	Irrigation; domestic supply

Connors River Dam and Pipeline Project with pipeline to Alpha	2012	open	Australia	Australia	C	Connors River	Bowen and Galilee basins	N	398	0.0745	1.1	Mining industry supply
Kimberley–Perth Canal	2005	open	Australia	Australia	P	Fitzroy River	Perth	N	3700	0.2	14.5	Domestic supply
Kimberley–Perth Pipeline	2005	open	Australia	Australia	P	Fitzroy River	Perth	N	1900	0.2	11.9	Domestic supply
Marshal's plan canal	2016	open	Australia	Australia	P	Kimberley region	Pilbara, Mid-West and Goldfields (mining sites)	N	220	1	24	Mining industry supply
Nathan Dam and Pipelines	2006	open	Australia	Australia	P	Dawson River	Maranbah, Alpha	N	220	0.066	1.4	Irrigation
Water diversion from Northern Queensland	2010	open	Australia	Australia	P	North Queensland	Sydney, Adelide, Melbourne	N	1800	4	9	Irrigation; domestic supply
Alqueva Project	2007	2020	Europe	Portugal	C	Loureiro dam (Guadiana basin)	Alvito reservoir (Sado basin)	N	2000	1	1.7	Irrigation; hydropower
Acheloos River diversion project	1993	open	Europe	Greece	C	Acheloos River	Thessaly plain	N	17.4	0.6	5.9	Irrigation
Languedoc-Roussillon-Catalogne (LRC) aqueduct (Rhône water transfer)	2002	open	Europe	France, Spain	P	Rhône River	Barcelona	I	330	0.475	NA	Drinking water supply
Alaska Subsea Pipeline	1991	open	North America	USA	P	Stikine and Copper Rivers	Shasta Lake	N	3400	5.0	120	Irrigation, municipal supply
Bear River Pipeline within The Bear River Development Project	2015	2035	North America	USA	P	Bear River	Box Elder, Cache, Weber, Davis and Salt Lake Counties	N	80	0.271	2	Domestic supply
California Water Fix and Eco Restore Project	2011	open	North America	USA	P	Sacramento River	intake stations for the State Water Project and the Central Valley Project	N	48	60.440	23	Domestic supply
Canadian Water	1966	open	North	Canada	P	Several Canadian rivers	Western United	I	NA	184.5	NA	Irrigation,

Export (Kuiper Plan)			America			like Peace, Atha Basca and Saskatchewan (Mackenzie drainage)	States (area of Great Plains or from Lake Winnipeg to Great Lakes)					municipal water supply, hydropower
Central North American Water Project (CeNAWP)	1968	open	North America	Canada	P	Mackenzie, Churchill, Nelson rivers	Great Bear Lake, Great Slave Lake, Lake Athabasca, Lake Winnipeg, Great Lakes	I	NA	184.5	NA	Irrigation, municipal water supply, hydropower
Columbia South West	1964	open	North America	USA	P	Columbia River	Southwest USA	N	NA	16.0	NA	Irrigation, Municipal supply, Industrial supply
Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan	2000	2050	North America	USA	C	Kissimmee River	Everglades	N	380	NA	10.5	Flow restoration; irrigation; domestic supply
Delaware Aqueduct Bypass Tunnel Project	2013	2020	North America	USA	C	Randout, Neversink, Pepacton, Cannonsville reservoirs	Westbranch Reservoir	N	136	0.694	1.19	Municipal supply
Eastern Nevada to Las Vegas pipeline	2014	open	North America	USA	P	Underground aquifer in east Nevada	Las Vegas	N	482	0.155	15	Domestic supply
Flaming Gorge Pipeline	2012	open	North America	USA	P	Green River in Southwest Wyoming	Denver and Fort Collins in Colorado	N	900	0.308	9	Domestic supply
Gingras Project "Northern Water"	2009	open	North America	USA	P	Broadback, Waswanipi and Bell Rivers	Ottawa River, St. Lawrence River	N	NA	25.3	15	Drinking, Hydropower, flood control
Great Lakes-Pacific Waterways Plan	1963	open	North America	USA	P	Skeena, Nechako, Fraser Rivers of British Columbia; Peace, Athabasca, Saskatchewan Rivers of Prairie Provinces	Great Lakes	I	NA	143.0	NA	NA
Great Recycling and Northern	1959, 1984	open	North America	USA	P	James Bay	Georgian Bay, USA, Mexico	I	791	317	100	Irrigation

Development (GRAND) Canal of North America												
High Plains Water Transfer Alternatives	1982	open	North America	USA	P	Middle and Lower Missouri, tributaries of lower Mississippi, Sabine River	Central and Western Nebraska, eastern Colorado; Western Kansas; northern Texas; western New Mexico	N	NA	13.5	3.6 (1982)	Irrigation
Hydraulic Plan of the Northeast Gulf (PLHIGON)	1999	open	North America	Mexiko	P	Grijalva-Usumacinta,Papaloapan, Coatzacoalcos, and Tonalá	North Mexico	N	1400	9.5	NA	Irrigation, hydropower, flood control
Integrated pipeline Project Q-48	2014	2020	North America	USA	C	Lake Palestine	Lake Benbrook, Cedar Creek Lake, Richland-Chambers Reservoirs	N	241	0.483	1.6	Domestic supply
Kansas Aqueduct	1978, 2015	open	North America	USA	P	Missouri	Westerm Kansas	N	600	4.9	28	Irrigation; domestic supply
Klymchuk project "The 1% solution"	2001	open	North America	Canada, USA	P	Nelson river	New York and other area in the south-east USA	I	1014	5	1.966	Drinking water supply
Lake Powell pipeline	2006	2026	North America	USA	P	Lake Powell	Washington County, Kane County	N	223	0.106	1.8	Domestic supply
Magnum Diversion Scheme	1960s	open	North America	Canada	P	Peace, Athabasca, Sascatchewan rivera	Souris River, Missisipi River	I	NA	5.75	NA	Irrigation, municipal water supply, hydropower
McGregor River Diversion Scheme	1976 (suspended in 1978)	open	North America	Canada	P	Fraser River	Parsnip River	N	NA	6.24	NA	Hydropower, flood control
Mid-Barataria Sediment Diversion	2019	2026	North America	USA	P	Missisipi River	Barataria Basin	N	3.2	66.225	1.2	Sediment diversion, restoration
Missouri River	2013	2033	North	USA	P	Missouri River	Denver	N	810	0.740	8.6	Domestic

Pipeline			America									supply
Multinational Resources Proposal	1992	open	North America	Canada, USA	P	North Thompson River	Columbia River, pipeline to California	I	482	NA	3.8 (1992)	Drinking water supply
Navajo-Gallup Water Supply Project (NGWSP)	2012	2024	North America	USA	C	San FeJuan River, Cutter reservoir	Navajo, Jicarilla, Gallup	N	450.6	0.046	1	Domestic supply
New York's City Tunnel No. 3	1970	2021	North America	USA	C	Hillview Reservoir	New York	N	97	11.388	6	Municipal supply
North American Water And Power Alliance (NAWAPA) / NAWAPA XXI	1950, 2010	open	North America	USA	P	Yukon/Mackenzie basin	USA Southwest, northern Mexico	I	10620	193.656	1500	Irrigation, domestic supply
North American Waters (NAWAMP)	1966	open	North America	Canada, USA	P	Yukon and Mackenzie Rivers	Hudson Bay, Prairies, Great Lakes and all of United States	I	NA	1850	NA	various
Plan Hidráulico del Noroeste (PLHINO)	1960, 2007	open	North America	Mexiko	P	San Pedro, Acaponeta, Baluarte, Presidio, and Piaxtla	Yaqui River	N	1100	7	NA	Irrigation
Seattle-California pipeline	2015	open	North America	USA	P	Seattle	California	N	1200	NA	30	Irrigation, domestic supply
Smith Plan (The Western States Water Augmentation Concept)	1968	open	North America	Canada	P	Liard basin	Fraser, Columbia and Kootenay rivers (Western USA)	I	NA	61.6	NA	Irrigation, municipal water supply, hydropower
Snake-Colorado Project	1963	open	North America	USA	P	Snake River	Colorado River, South Pacific and Coastal Plane	N	NA	3	NA	Irrigation, municipal supply
Southern Delivery System (SDS) project	2011	2025	North America	USA	C	Arkansas River, Pueblo Reservoir	Colorado Springs, Fountain, Poeblo West and Security (cities)	N	80	0.207	1.5	Domestic supply
Texas Water Plan	1985	open	North America	USA	P	Lower Mississippi, Eastern Texas	West Texas, Rio Grande, Texas Gulf Coast, Eastern New	N	NA	21.5	50.3 (1985)	Irrigation, municipal supply,

							Mexico					industrial supply
Yampa River Pumpback Project		open	North America	USA	P	Yampa River water near Maybell Colorado	Front Range and Denver	N	402	0.37	3.9	Domestic supply; irrigation
ALTO MAIPO Hydroelectric Project" (PHAM)	2012	open	South America	Chile	C	Maipo River	Minera Los Pelambres (mining site)	N	70	0.079	2.1	Irrigation, hydropower
Aquatacama	NA	2025	South America	Chile	P	Rapel, Maule, BíoBío Rivers	Arica city	N	2500	1.482	15	Mining industry supply, irrigation, municipal supply
Hidrovia Amazonica	2014	2034	South America	Brasilia, Peru	P	Amazon	River Maranon, River Huallaga, River Ucayali	I	2687	3.868	0.095	Navigation
Hidrovia Project	1997	open	South America	Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Paraguay, Uruguay	P	Paraguay	Parana	I	3400	NA	4	Navigation
Sao Francisco Irrigation Project	2007	2025	South America	Brasil	C	Sao Francisco	Sertao	N	720	2	4.5	Irrigation
Via Hidrica del Norte	-	2024	South America	Chile	P	Rapel, Maule, BíoBío Rivers	Northern Chile	N	2400	0.789	10.5	Mining industry supply, irrigation, municipal supply

* If two years are given the respective WTMP was omitted after a first proposal and then reconsidered.

Appendix:

List of information sources used to collected data on water transfer megaprojects under construction or planned

AFRICA

El Salam Project

Hafez AI (2005) Investigations of El-Salam Canal, Project in Northen Sinai, Egypt. Phase I: Environmental baseline, soil and water quality studies. Ninth International Water Technology Conference, Sharm El-Sheikh, Egypt pp. 953-970

Othman AA, Rabeh SA, Fayez M, Monib M, Hegazi NA (2012) El-Salam canal is a potential project reusing the Nile Delta drainage water for Sinai desert agriculture: microbial and chemical water quality. J Adv Res 3:99–108

Jonglei canal

Background: Sudan's Jonglei Canal Project. Retrieved from: http://www.worldpress.org/jonglei.htm

Keys P. (2011, March 30) Egypt’s Jonglei Canal Gambit. Water security. Retrieved from: https://watersecurity.wordpress.com/2011/03/30/egypts-jonglei-canal-gambit/

Lupai J (2007, May 28) The Jonglei Canal Project in Southern Sudan: why the hurry and for whose benefit is it? Sudan Tribute. Retrieved from: http://www.sudantribune.com/spip.php?article22060

Salman MA (2011) The new state of South Sudan and the hydropolitics of the Nile Basin. Water International 36:154-166

Tockner K, Bernhardt ES, Koska A, Zarfl C (2016) A global view on Future Major Water Engineering Projects. In: Hüttl RF, Bens O, Bismuth C, Hoechstetter S (Eds). Society-Water-Technology. Springer: Heidelberg, Germany, pp. 47–64

Lesotho Highlands Water Project

Mwangi, O. 2007. Hydropolitics, ecocide and human security in Lesotho: a case study of the Lesotho Highlands Water Project. Journal of Southern African Studies, 33(1): 3–17

WWF (2007) Pipedream? Inter basin water transfer and water shortages. WWF Global Freshwater Programme, World Wide Fund For Nature, Switzerland

A Brief History of Africa’s Largest Water Project (2005, January) International rivers. Retrieved from: https://www.internationalrivers.org/resources/a-brief-history-of-africa%E2%80%99s-largest-water-project-3664

Lesotho Highlands Water Project. Project website. Retrieved from: http://www.lhwp.org.ls/

Mokolo and Crocodile River (West) Water Augmentation Project

Barradas S (2015, April 24) Mokolo and Crocodile River (West) Water Augmentation Project, South Africa. Retrieved from: http://www.engineeringnews.co.za/print-version/mokolo-and-crocodile-river-west-water-augmentation-project-south-africa-2015-04-24

Molekoa L (2011, April 21) Mokolo and Crocodile river water augmentation project, South Africa. Retrieved from: http://www.engineeringnews.co.za/print-version/crocodile-mokolo-water-augmentation-project-cmwap-south-africa-2008-12-12

Republic of South Africa. Department of water and sanitation. Mokolo and Crocodile River (West): Water Augmentation Project (MCWAP). Retrieved from: https://www.dwa.gov.za/projects/mcwap/overview.aspx

Mzimvubu Water Project

Republic of South Africa. Department of water and sanitation. Mzimvubu water project. Retrieved from: https://www.dwa.gov.za/mzimvubu/

Petterson D (2016, August 1) Update on the Mzimvubu Water Project. Retrieved from: http://www.infrastructurene.ws/2016/08/01/update-on-the-mzimvubu-water-project/#

New Nile Project

Ahram A (2014, May 25) Egypt wants to Diverting the Congo River to the Nile. Retrieved from: http://www.diretube.com/articles/read-egypt-wants-to-diverting-the-congo-river-to-the-nile_4754.html

Egypt’s 'Lost Dream' of Linking Congo, Nile Rivers (2013, April 14) Al-Monitor. The pulse of Middle East. Retrieved from: http://www.al-monitor.com/pulse/ar/originals/2013/10/egypt-congo-river-water-nile.html#ixzz54GjbCi1e

New Valley Project / Toshka Project

Scilling DR (2013, January 10) Egypt’s $90 Billion South Valley Project. Industry Tap. Retrieved from: http://www.industrytap.com/egypts-90-billion-south-valley-project/539

The Report: Egypt 2017 (2017) Ambitious plans in Egypt to improve food security involve reclaiming desert land. Retrieved from: https://oxfordbusinessgroup.com/analysis/greening-desert-ambitious-plans-improve-food-security-involve-reclaiming-vast-tracts-desert-land

Transaqua Project

Ifabiyi IP (2013) Recharging the Lake Chad: The hydro-politics of national security and regional integration in Africa. African Research Review 7:196–216

Tarumbwa-Strid P (2012) The Transaqua Project: Beginning of an African Rebirth. Retrieved from: http://larouchepub.com/eiw/public/2012/eirv39n23-20120608/45-47_3923.pdf

Kürschner-Pelkmann K (2013, June 1) Der Tschadsee schrumpft, die Probleme wachsen. Entwicklungspolitikonline. Retrieved from: http://www.epo.de/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=10646:der-tschadsee-schrumpft-die-probleme-wachsen&catid=251:analysen&Itemid=100211

uMkhomazi Water Project

Republic of South Africa. Department of water and sanitation. Introduction to the uMkhomazi Water Project, Phase 1 (uMWP1). Retrieved from: https://www.dwa.gov.za/Projects/uMkhomazi/default.aspx

Frankson L (2015, July 22) Umkhomazi Water Project underway. Retrieved from: http://www.infrastructurene.ws/2015/07/22/umkhomazi-water-project-underway/

ASIA

Ankara Water Supply Project (Kizilirmak plan)

Karakaya F, Evrendilek F, Gonenc E (2014) Interbasin Water Transfer Practices in Turkey. J Ecosys Ecograph 4:149.

Islar M, Boda C (2014) Political Ecology of Inter-Basin Water Transfers in Turkish Water Governance. Ecology and Society 19:15

Franz C, Tigrek S, Kibaroglu A (2012) Water supply crisis in Ankara: review and comparison of the “1995 Master Plan Report on Ankara Water Supply Project”. Scientific Research and Essays 7:288-299

Gerede Project

Karakaya F, Evrendilek F, Gonenc E (2014) Interbasin Water Transfer Practices in Turkey. J Ecosys Ecograph 4:149.

Franz C, Tigrek S, Kibaroglu A (2012) Water supply crisis in Ankara: review and comparison of the “1995 Master Plan Report on Ankara Water Supply Project”. Scientific Research and Essays 7:288-299

Great Melen Project

Karakaya F, Evrendilek F, Gonenc E (2014) Interbasin Water Transfer Practices in Turkey. J Ecosys Ecograph 4:149.

Islar M, Boda C (2014) Political Ecology of Inter-Basin Water Transfers in Turkish Water Governance. Ecology and Society 19:15

Van Leeuwen CJ, Sjerps R (2015b) Istanbul: the challenges of integrated water resources management in Europa’s megacity. Environ Dev Sustain doi: 10.1007/s10668-015-9636-z

Tigrek S, Kibaroglu A (2011) Strategic role of water resources for Turkey. Pages 27-43 in A. Kibaroglu, W. Scheumann, and A. Kramer, editors. Turkey’s water policy: national frameworks and international cooperation. Springer, Berlin, Germany. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-19636-2_2

Central Yunnan Water Transfer Project

Chen S (2017, October 29) Chinese engineers plan 1,000km tunnel to make Xinjiang desert bloom. South China Morning Post. Retrieved from: http://www.scmp.com/news/china/society/article/2116750/chinese-engineers-plan-1000km-tunnel-make-xinjiang-desert-bloom

Jakereznick (2013, June 25) Yunnan’s 68 Billion RMB drought solution: The Central Yunnan Water Transfer Project. Green Guanxi. Retrieved from: https://greenguanxi.wordpress.com/2013/06/25/yunnans-68-billion-rmb-drought-solution-the-central-yunnan-water-withdrawal-project-part-1/

Jing G, Zheng C (2012, January 1) China’s thirst for water transfer. Chinadialogue. Retrieved from: https://www.chinadialogue.net/article/show/single/en/4722

Disi Water Conveyance Project / Disi-Mudawwara project

Namrouqa H (2015, May 2) Jordan, Saudi Arabia sign deal to protect shared aquifer. The Jordan Times. Retrieved from: http://www.jordantimes.com/news/local/jordan-saudi-arabia-sign-deal-protect-shared-aquifer

Greater Amman, Jordan. Retrieved from: http://www.water-technology.net/projects/greater_amman/

de Chatel F (2016) Tackling Water Scarcity in Jordan. Revolve Water. Retrieved from: http://www.revolve-water.com/jordan-water-scarcity/

Herlen-Gobi and Orhon-Gobi Water Transfer Projects

Enkgbaatar B (2014) Presentation. Mining infrastructure investment support project. Retrieved from: https://www.slideshare.net/ulziimyagmar/0220212014-presentation-mining-infrastructure-investment-support-project-b-enkhbaatar

“Orhon-Gobi” project proposal (2009) Retrieved from: http://www.watercenter.mn/

Walton T (2010) Southern Gobi Regional Environmental Assessment. Mongolia Discussion Papers, East Asia and Pacific Sustainable Development Department. Washington, D.C.: World Bank

Simonov E, Wickel B (2017) Kherlen River: the Lifeline of the Eastern Steppe. Executive summary, water Infrastructure impacts checklist and community survey. Retrieved from: http://www.transrivers.org/pdf/2017KherlenexENG.pdf

Groundwater Assessment of the Southern Mongolia (SGR). Preliminary Conclusions. September 2008. Retrieved from: http://hispagua.cedex.es/sites/default/files/especiales/Trasvases%20Asia/groundwater_mongolia.pdf

Irtysh-Ishim canal

Kazakhstan considering a water canal to link the Irtysh River to the Ishim River across Astana (2013, October 07) TengriNews. Retrieved from: https://en.tengrinews.kz/industry_infrastructure/Kazakhstan-considering-a-water-canal-to-link-the-Irtysh-23149/

Konya Plain Project

Karakaya F, Evrendilek F, Gonenc E (2014) Interbasin Water Transfer Practices in Turkey. J Ecosys Ecograph 4:149.

Berktay A, Nas B, Demirbas A (2006) Contribution of Konya plain projects to the national development in Turkey's water-related energy, Energy Explor Exploit 24:87–94

Konya Plain Project an upgrade for central Anatolian cities (2015, May 3) DAILY SABAH. Retrieved from: https://www.dailysabah.com/turkey/2015/05/03/konya-plain-project-an-upgrade-for-central-anatolian-cities

Lake Baikal to China transfer project

Simonov E (2017, April 3) China revives Siberian river diversion project. Retrieved from: https://www.thethirdpole.net/2017/04/10/lake-baikal-pipeline-threatens-critical-ecosystem/

National River Linking Project

Shukla AC, Vandana A (2005) Anatomy of interlinking rivers in india: a decision in doubt. Program in Arms Control, Disarmament, and International Security, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. Retrieved from: https://www.ideals.illinois.edu/bitstream/handle/2142/42/Shukla-AsthanaOP.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y

Bansal S (2014, September 14) National River Linking Project: Dream or disaster? Indian Water Portal. Retrieved from: http://www.indiawaterportal.org/articles/national-river-linking-project-dream-or-disaster

Amarasinghe U (2012) The National River Linking Project of India. Some contentious issues. IWMI-Tata Water Policy Program. Retrieved from: http://www.iwmi.cgiar.org/iwmi-tata/PDFs/2012_Highlight-16.pdf

Pahang-Selangor Raw Water Transfer Project

ICID (2005) Experiences in inter-basin water transfers for irrigation, drainage or flood management (3rd draft 15 August 2005). Unpublished report. International Commission on Irrigation and Drainage ICID-CIID, New Delhi. URL: http://www.icid.org/ibwt_draft_aug05.pdf

Interstate water transfer project hits snag. (2014, September 3) Retrieved from: http://www.mysinchew.com/node/58281

Pahang-Selangor raw water transfer to flow by 2017, says Adnan Yaakob. (2011, June 4) Retrieved from: http://www.themalaymailonline.com/malaysia/article/pahang-selangor-raw-water-transfer-to-flow-by-2017-says-adnan-yaakob#G1j2j6q6dX7ZYi4y.99

SibAral Project / Soviet Union River Diversion Project

Micklin P (2011) The Siberian Water Transfer Scheme. In: P. Micklin et al. (eds.) The Aral Sea, Springer Earth System Sciences. pp. 381-403

Pearce F (2004, February 9) Russia reviving massive river diversion plan. New Scientist. Retrieved from: https://www.newscientist.com/article/dn4637-russia-reviving-massive-river-diversion-plan/

Badescu V et al (2011) Aral Sea partial restoration I: A Caspian water importation macroproject. International Journal of Environment and Waste Management 7: 161-182

Southeastern Greater Anatolian Project (GAP)

Yuksel I (2015). South-eastern Anatolia Project (GAP) factor and energy management in Turkey, Energy Reports 1:151-155

Sternberg (2016) Water megaprojects in deserts and drylands. International Journal of Water Resources Development 32:301–320

South-North Water Transfer Project

Webber M, Crow-Miller B, Rogers S (2017) The South-North Water Transfer Project: Remaking the geography of China. Regional Studies

South-North Water Transfer Project. Retrieved from: https://www.internationalrivers.org/campaigns/south-north-water-transfer-project

WWF (2007) Pipedream? Inter basin water transfer and water shortages. WWF Global Freshwater Programme, World Wide Fund For Nature, Switzerland

Barnett J, Rogers S, Webber M, Finlayson B, Wang M (2015, November 18) Sustainability: Transfer project cannot meet China's water needs. Nature. Retrieved from: http://www.nature.com/news/sustainability-transfer-project-cannot-meet-china-s-water-needs-1.18792

South-to-North Water Diversion. Office of the South-to-North Water Diversion Project Comission of the State Council. Retrieved from: http://www.nsbd.gov.cn/zx/english/erp.htm

South-to-North Water Diversion Project, China. Retrieved from: http://www.water-technology.net/projects/south_north

Wong S (2007) China Bets on Massive Water Transfers to Solve Crisis. International rivers. Retrieved from: https://www.internationalrivers.org/resources/china-bets-on-massive-water-transfers-to-solve-crisis-1899

Yu M, Wang C, Liu Y, Olsson G, Wang C (2018) Sustainability of mega water diversion projects: Experience and lessons from China. Science of the Total Environment 619-620:721-731

Tibet to Xinjiang tunnel

Balachandran M (2017, October 30) China’s planning a 1,000km tunnel to divert water away from one of India’s largest rivers. Quartz India. Retrieved from: https://qz.com/1114843/chinas-grand-plan-for-the-brahmaputra-a-1000km-tunnel-to-divert-water-away-from-tibet/

Yettinahole Diversion Project

Herald S (2016, September 3) Yettinahole Project costs 13,000 crores to provide water. India Herald. Retrieved from: http://www.apherald.com/Politics/ViewArticle/149706/Yettinahole-Project-costs-13-000-crores-to-provide-water

Krishnadas M, Jumani S (2017, January 24) Why Diverting Yettinahole River is Both Ecologically Damaging and Economically Unsound. The Wire. Retrieved from: https://thewire.in/102253/yettinahole-karnataka-bengaluru-chikkaballapur/

PM News Bereau (2014, March 6) Netravati River diversion project moves forward despite opposition. Project Monitor. Retrieved from: http://www.projectsmonitor.com/daily-wire/netravati-river-diversion-project-moves-forward-despite-opposition/

AUSTRALIA

Bradfield Scheme

Australia's Next Great National Projects. http://www.peoplesmandate.iinet.net.au/Bradfield_The_Greatest_Scheme_of_all_2000.pdf The Bradfield scheme. Shaping the Nation's Future. Vision 2000. Retrieved from:

McCarthy M (2016, December 19) 'Populist' and 'never to happen': critics brush off One Nation's calls to drought-proof western Queensland. Retrieved from: http://www.abc.net.au/news/rural/2016-12-19/qld-conservationists-criticise-one-nations-bradfield-plan/8132284

Connors River Dam and Pipeline Project with pipeline to Alpha

Moranbah to Alpha Pipeline Project. Industry Briefing (2011, June 22) SunWater Limited. Retrieved from: http://www.sunwater.com.au/__data/assets/pdf_file/0003/7284/Industry_Briefing_-_Moranbah_to_Alpha_Pipeline_Project.pdf

Queensland Government. Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning. (2017, December 6) Connors River Dam and Pipelines. Project overview. Retrived from: http://www.statedevelopment.qld.gov.au/assessments-and-approvals/connors-river-dam-and-pipelines.html

Planning for water security: the Connors River Dam Pipeline (2016, March 16) The Australian pipeliner. Retrieved from: https://www.pipeliner.com.au/2016/03/16/planning-for-water-security-the-connors-river-dam-pipeline/

Kimberley–Perth Canal

Liberal MP pushes referendum on Kimberley - Perth canal (2011, August 11) WA News. Retrieved from: http://www.watoday.com.au/wa-news/liberal-mp-pushes-referendum-on-kimberley--perth-canal-20110810-1ilwz.html

Canal company yet to assess environmental issues (2005, February 7) ABC News. Retrieved from: http://www.abc.net.au/news/2005-02-07/canal-company-yet-to-assess-environmental- issues/632608

Fickling D (2004, November 17) World's longest canal could solve Perth's water problems. The Guardian. Retrieved from: https://www.theguardian.com/world/2004/nov/17/australia.davidfickling

Kimberley–Perth Pipeline

Perth WA (2006) Options for bringing water to Perth from the Kimberley : an independent review / commissioned by Department of the Premier and Cabinet, Government of Western Australia. Retrieved from: https://trove.nla.gov.au/work/19687829?selectedversion=NBD40347873

Marshal's plan canal

Bartlett L (2016, October 22) The WA water plan that could answer Malcolm Turnbull’s infrastructure agenda. PerthNow. Retrieved from: http://www.perthnow.com.au/news/opinion/liam-bartlett/liam-bartlett-the-wa-water-plan-that-could-answer-malcolm-turnbulls-infrastructure-agenda/news-story/d7cc70f536c6e0ce3962c651b42dd943

Nathan Dam and Pipelines

Nathan Dam and Pipelines Project. Project update (2017, June) SunWater. Retrieved from: http://www.sunwater.com.au/__data/assets/pdf_file/0015/22308/Nathan_Dam_Newsletter_June_2017.pdf

Queensland Government. Department of State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning. (2017, December 6) Nathan Dam and Pipelines. Project overview. Retrieved from: http://www.statedevelopment.qld.gov.au/assessments-and-approvals/nathan-dam-and-pipelines.html

Water diversion from Northern Queensland

Engineer proposes $9b plan to divert North Queensland river water to parched areas via canal (2010, October 10) Courier Mail. Retrieved from: www.couriermail.com.au/news/queensland/engineer-proposes-9b-plan-to-divert-north-queensland-river-water-to-parched-areas-via-canal/news-story/9f07bf429da98974a2be5b187b3a78c3?sv=20132b97b5d0e573473feb5a402335a9

EUROPE

Alqueva Project

de Melo JJ, Janeiro C (2005) Alqueva dam and irrigation project: hard lessons learned from good and bad assessment practice. Retrieved from: http://rioslivresgeota.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/2005IAIA_AlquevaDam.pdf

Aqueva. Changing Ecologies of the Montado Landscape Alentejo, Portugal. (2015, May) Department of Landscape Architecture and Environmental Planning. The Portuguese Studies Program, University of California, Berkeley. Retrieved from: http://ced.berkeley.edu/downloads/research/AlquevaReportLA205-2015.pdf

Empresa de Desenvolvimento e Infraestruturas do Alqueva. Retrieved from: http://www.edia.pt/en/alqueva/multiple-purposes/187

Acheloos River diversion project

WWF (2007) Pipedream? Inter basin water transfer and water shortages. WWF Global Freshwater Programme, World Wide Fund For Nature, Switzerland

Acheloos River Diversion Project, Greece. Retrieved from: http://www.water-technology.net/projects/acheloos/

Acheloos dams. Large dam database in Greece. Retrieved from: http://users.itia.ntua.gr/nikos/dams/list%20of%20dams/r_Acheloos/acheloos%20dams.htm

Languedoc-Roussillon-Catalogne (LRC) aqueduct (Rhône water transfer)

Gorostiza, S., March H., Sauri D. (2017) Flows from beyond the Pyrenees. The Rhône River and Catalonia's search for water independence. Political Geography, 60:132-142.

NORTH AMERICA

Alaska Subsea Pipeline

Forest B, Forest P (2012) Engineering the North American waterscape: the high modernist mapping of continental water transfer projects. Political Geography 31:167–183.

U.S. Congress, Office of Technology Assessment, Alaskan Water for California? The Subsea Pipeline Option Background Paper, OTA-BP-O-92 (Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office, January 1992). Retrieved from: http://ota.fas.org/reports/9203.pdf

Bear River Pipeline within The Bear River Development Project

Bowen, Collins & Associates and HDR Engineering, "Bear River Pipeline Concept Report Volume 1" (2014) Water. Paper 16. Retrieved from: https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/govdocs_water/16

The Bear River Diversion (2015, October 19) Utah Rivers Council. Retrieved from: http://utahrivers.org/cause/the-bear-river-project/

Weiser M (2016, August 19) Bear River: The biggest dam project you’ve never heard of. Neews Deeply. Retrieved from: https://www.newsdeeply.com/water/articles/2016/08/29/bear-river-the-biggest-dam-project-youve-never-heard-of

California Water Fix and Eco Restore

Fixing California’s Water System. Project’s website: https://www.californiawaterfix.com/

Cowin M (2013) The Bay Delta Conservation Plan: An Overview and Local Perspectives. Western City. Retrieved from: http://www.westerncity.com/Western-City/July-2013/The-Bay-Delta-Conservation-Plan/

Canadian Water Export (Kuiper Plan)

de Silva A (1997)The Sale of Canadian Water to the United States: A Review of Proposals, Agreements and Policies. Environment Probe. Retrieved from: https://environment.probeinternational.org/1997/08/18/sale-canadian-water-united-states-review-proposals-agreements-and-policies/

Forest B, Forest P (2012) Engineering the North American waterscape: the high modernist mapping of continental water transfer projects. Political Geography 31:167–183.

Central North American Water Project (CeNAWP)

de Silva A (1997)The Sale of Canadian Water to the United States: A Review of Proposals, Agreements and Policies. Environment Probe. Retrieved from: https://environment.probeinternational.org/1997/08/18/sale-canadian-water-united-states-review-proposals-agreements-and-policies/

Forest B, Forest P (2012) Engineering the North American waterscape: the high modernist mapping of continental water transfer projects. Political Geography 31:167–183.

Columbia South West Project

ICID (2005) Experiences in inter-basin water transfers for irrigation, drainage or flood management (3rd draft 15 August 2005). Unpublished report. International Commission on Irrigation and Drainage ICID-CIID, New Delhi. URL: http://www.icid.org/ibwt_draft_aug05.pdf

Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan

Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan (CERP) Everglades National Park Service. Retrieved from: https://www.nps.gov/ever/learn/nature/cerp.htm

Central and Southern Florida Project. Report to Congress 2015. Comprehensive Everglades restoration plan. Retrieved from: https://evergladesrestoration.gov/content/cerpreports/cerp_2015_rpt_to_congress.pdf

Delaware Aqueduct Bypass Tunnel Project

Navarro M (2010, November 19) Bypass Planned for Leaky New York Aqueduct. New York today. Retrieved from: http://www.nytimes.com/2010/11/20/nyregion/20aqueduct.html?_r=1

Work to Begin on $1B Repair of NYC Aqueduct Tunnel (2013, January 20) Retrieved from: https://www.nbcnewyork.com/news/local/Work-Begins-1-Billion-Repair-Aqueduct-Tunnel-187652541.html

Delaware Aqueduct Rondout-West Branch Bypass Tunnel Project, United States of America. Retrieved from: http://www.water-technology.net/projects/delaware-aqueduct-rondout-west-branch-bypass-tunnel/

Eastern Nevada to Las Vegas pipeline

Lohan T (2012, September 18) 5 Crazy Schemes We May Turn to For More Water. Alternet. Retrieved from: http://www.alternet.org/water/5-crazy-schemes-we-may-turn-more-water

Flaming Gorge Pipeline

Wockner G (2012, October 10) $9 Billion Flaming Gorge Pipeline Would Further Drain the Colorado River System. Retrieved from: https://www.huffingtonpost.com/gary-wockner/flaming-gorge-pipeline_b_1195154.html

Gingras Project

Gingrass F. P. (2009) Un projet réaliste, durable et rentable pour exploiter l'or bleu québécois. Retrieved from: http://www.iedm.org/files/juillet09_fr.pdf

Great Lakes-Pacific Waterways Plan

van der Leeden, F., Troise, F.L., Todd, D.K. (1990) The water encyclopedia. Second edition. CRC Press.

Forest B, Forest P (2012) Engineering the North American waterscape: the high modernist mapping of continental water transfer projects. Political Geography 31:167–183.

Golubev, G., Grin, G., Melnikova, G., Whetstone G. (1978) Bibliography on interregional water transfers. Water Supply and Management, 2, 187-211.

Great Recycling and Northern Development (GRAND) Canal of North America

Gramble (1989) Commentary. The Grand Canal Scheme. J Great Lakes Res 15:531-533

Milko R (1986) Potential ecological effects of the proposed Grand Canal Diversion project on Hudson and James bays. ARCT 39:316–326

A Brief History of the Great Recycling and Northern Development (Grand) Canal Project (2006, December 2) Retrieved from: https://waterwars.wordpress.com/2006/12/02/a-brief-history-of-the-great-recycling-and-northern-development-grand-canal-project/

High Plains Water Transfer Alternatives

ICID (2005) Experiences in inter-basin water transfers for irrigation, drainage or flood management (3rd draft 15 August 2005). Unpublished report. International Commission on Irrigation and Drainage ICID-CIID, New Delhi. URL: http://www.icid.org/ibwt_draft_aug05.pdf

Hydraulic Plan of the Northeast Gulf (PLHIGON)

PLHINO/PLHIGON: Watering Mexico’s Desert (2013, August 13) Retrieved from: http://www.larouchepub.com/eiw/public/2013/eirv40n34-20130830/16_4034.pdf

Small D (2007) U.S. and Mexico: Cooperate On Great Water Projects. Executive Intelligence Review, 34:64-73 Retrieved from: http://www.larouchepub.com/eiw/public/2007/eirv34n47-48-20071207/eirv34n47-48-20071207.pdf

Integrated pipeline Project Q-48

Integrated Pipeline Project. Monthly executive summary (2016, June) Dallas Water Utilities. Retrieved from: http://www.trwd.com/wp-content/uploads/March2016_FINAL_web.pdf

Webb D. Integrated Pipeline project to furnish water for 1.5 million new DFW residents. Retrieved from: http://www.cedarcreeklake.com/lake-life--Integrated-Pipeline-project-to-furnish-water-for-15-million-new-DFW-residents/1050

Kansas Aqueduct

Kansas Aqueduct Coalition. Official website: http://www.kansasaqueductcoalition.com/

Walton B (2013, October 24) Kansas and Army Corps Revive Study to Ship Water from Missouri River to Ogallala Aquifer. WaterNews. Retrieved from: http://www.circleofblue.org/2013/world/kansas-army-corps-study-aqueduct-missouri-river-ogallala-aquifer/

Helling D (2015, January 14) Kansas aqueduct cost is pegged at $18 billion. Retrieved from: http://www.kansascity.com/news/politics-government/article6504177.html

Klymchuk project "The 1% solution”

Klymchuk D (2008) Water exports. The 1% solution. Frontier Center for public policy. Backgrounde, N62. Retrieved from: https://fcpp.org/pdf/FB062_Water_JUNEF.pdf

Lake Powell pipeline

The Lake Powell pipeline: A Key to Our Long-Term Water Future. Project website: http://lpputah.org/

Lake Power Water Database. Retrieved from: http://lakepowell.water-data.com/

Lake Powell Pipeline. Retrieved from: http://www.wcwcd.org/wp-content/themes/wcwcd/pdf/LakePowellPipeline.pdf

Magnum Diversion Scheme

de Silva A (1997)The Sale of Canadian Water to the United States: A Review of Proposals, Agreements and Policies. Environment Probe. Retrieved from: https://environment.probeinternational.org/1997/08/18/sale-canadian-water-united-states-review-proposals-agreements-and-policies/

Forest B, Forest P (2012) Engineering the North American waterscape: the high modernist mapping of continental water transfer projects. Political Geography 31:167–183.

McGregor River diversion

ICID (2005) Experiences in inter-basin water transfers for irrigation, drainage or flood management (3rd draft 15 August 2005). Unpublished report. International Commission on Irrigation and Drainage ICID-CIID, New Delhi. URL: http://www.icid.org/ibwt_draft_aug05.pdf

Seagel GC, Pugh MF (1981) A perspective on water transfer: McGregor diversion project, Canadian Water Resources Journal, 6, 76-88.

Mid-Barataria Sediment Diversion

Schleifstein M (2013, September 18) Louisiana could begin building Mid-Barataria sediment diversion by late 2015. Retrieved from: http://www.nola.com/environment/index.ssf/2013/09/louisiana_could_begin_building.html

Schleifstein M (2017, July 31) Historic vote moves 2 Mississippi River sediment diversions toward construction. Retrieved from: http://www.nola.com/environment/index.ssf/2015/10/historic_vote_moves_two_missis.html

Anchor S (2014) $1 billion cost estimate prompts Louisiana to rethink coastal project. FOX8 Local First. Retrieved from: http://www.fox8live.com/story/26693147/1-billion-cost-estimate-prompts-louisiana-to-rethink-coastal-project

Missouri River Pipeline

Wockner G (2015, February 17) Missouri River Pipeline Bill Highlights Fiscal Irresponsibility of Colorado Water Plan. Save the Colorado. Retrieved from: http://www.savethecolorado.org/blog/press-release-missouri-river-pipeline-bill-highlights-fiscal-irresponsibility-of-colorado-water-plan/

Multinational Resources Proposal

Little S.P. (1996) Canada's Capacity to Control the Flow: Water Export and the North American Free Trade Agreement, Pace International Law Review, volume 8, 127. Retrieved from: http://digitalcommons.pace.edu/pilr/vol8/iss1/3

Navajo-Gallup Water Supply Project (NGWSP)

Navajo-Gallup Water Supply Project (NGWSP), New Mexico, United States of America. Retrieved from: http://www.water-technology.net/projects/navajo-gallup-water-supply-project-ngwsp-new-mexico-us/

Navajo-Gallup Water Supply Project. Planning Report and Final Environmental Impact Statement. (2009) U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation. Retrieved from: https://www.usbr.gov/uc/envdocs/eis/navgallup/FEIS/vol1/Volume1.pdf

New York's City Tunnel No. 3

New York City Tunnel No. 3, United States of America. Retrieved from: http://www.water-technology.net/projects/new-york-tunnel-3/

Rush J (2015, May 27) A Look at New York’s City Tunnel No. 3. Tunnel Business Magazine. Retrieved from: https://tunnelingonline.com/look-new-yorks-city-tunnel-3/

North American Water And Power Alliance (NAWAPA) / NAWAPA XXI

Nuclear NAWAPA XXI: Gateway to the Fusion Economy (2013) 21st century science and technology special report. Retrieved from: http://21stcenturysciencetech.com/Nuclear_NAWAPA_XXI/Nuclear_NAWAPA_sm.pdf

Nijhuis M (2015, September 18) The Forgotten Project That Could Have Saved America From Drought. BuzzFeed News. Retrieved from: https://www.buzzfeed.com/nijhuis/pipe-dreams-the-forgotten-project-that-could-have-saved-amer?utm_term=.ayBGlaw33#.xd0rb2W00)

NAWAPA XXI Animated Overview (2012, April 14) Retrieved from: http://archive.larouchepac.com/nawapaxxi/overview

North American Waters (NAWAMP)

Forest B, Forest P (2012) Engineering the North American waterscape: the high modernist mapping of continental water transfer projects. Political Geography 31:167–183.

Plan Hidráulico del Noroeste (PLHINO)

Small D (2007) U.S. and Mexico: Cooperate On Great Water Projects. Executive Intelligence Review, 34:64-73 Retrieved from: http://www.larouchepub.com/eiw/public/2007/eirv34n47-48-20071207/eirv34n47-48-20071207.pdf

Seattle-California pipeline

Lilley J (2015, May 9) William Shatner proposes $30 billion water pipeline from Seattle to drought-stricken California. Natural News. Retrieved from: https://www.naturalnews.com/049646_drought_pipeline_William_Shatner.html

Smith Plan (The Western States Water Augmentation Concept (WSWAC))

de Silva A (1997)The Sale of Canadian Water to the United States: A Review of Proposals, Agreements and Policies. Environment Probe. Retrieved from: https://environment.probeinternational.org/1997/08/18/sale-canadian-water-united-states-review-proposals-agreements-and-policies/

Snake-Colorado Project

ICID (2005) Experiences in inter-basin water transfers for irrigation, drainage or flood management (3rd draft 15 August 2005). Unpublished report. International Commission on Irrigation and Drainage ICID-CIID, New Delhi. URL: http://www.icid.org/ibwt_draft_aug05.pdf

Ghassemi F., White, I. (2007). Inter-basin Water Transfer: Case Studies from Australia, United States, Canada, China, and India International hydrology series. Cambridge University Press.

Southern Delivery System (SDS) project

Southern Delivery System (SDS) Water Project, Colorado, United States of America. Retrieved from: http://www.water-technology.net/projects/southern-delivery-system-water-project/

SDS. Water for generations. Project’s website: http://www.sdswater.org

Texas Water Plan

ICID (2005) Experiences in inter-basin water transfers for irrigation, drainage or flood management (3rd draft 15 August 2005). Unpublished report. International Commission on Irrigation and Drainage ICID-CIID, New Delhi. URL: http://www.icid.org/ibwt_draft_aug05.pdf

Yampa River Pumpback Project

Friends of the Yampa. Retrieved from: http://friendsoftheyampa.com/yampa-river-pumpback/

Wockner G (2017, June 23) In Colorado Water Plan, Proposed Yampa River Pumpback Alive, Would Further Drain the Colorado River. Save the Colorado. Retrieved from: http://savethecolorado.org/colorado-water-plan-proposed-yampa-river-pumpback-would-further-drain-the-colorado-river/

SOUTH AMERICA

ALTO MAIPO Hydroelectric Project" (PHAM)

Harris M (2017, July 14) Chilean court sides with developer after builder stops work at 531-MW Alto Maipo hydroelectric project. Retrieved from: http://www.hydroworld.com/articles/2017/07/chilean-court-sides-with-developer-after-builder-stops-work-at-531-mw-alto-maipo-hydroelectric-project.html

Fact sheet: Chile’s Alto Maipo Hydroelectric Project (PHAM) Retrieved from: http://www.ciel.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/02/FactSheet_AltoMaipo_Chile.pdf

AES Gener sees costs rising further at Chilean hydropower project (2017, August 1) Reuters. Retrieved from: https://www.reuters.com/article/us-aes-gener-hydro/aes-gener-sees-costs-rising-further-at-chilean-hydropower-project-idUSKBN1AG2MX

Alto Maipo Hydroelectric Porject, Chile. Geodata Underground solutions. Retrieved from: http://www.geodata.it/it/hydro/73-hydro/cile/252-alto-maipo-hydroelectric-project-chile

Aquatacama

Dourojeanni A, Jadue N, León G, Osborne K, Serra D (2013) Aquatacama Project: preliminary socio-economic analysis. Fundacion Chile. Retrieved from: http://www.acquatacama.cl/sites/default/files/AQUATACAMA%20REPORT%20FINAL%20-%20F.%20Chile.pdf

Dossier de Prensa Presentación Final: Estudio preliminar Proyecto Aquatacama. Retrieved from: http://www.acquatacama.cl/sites/default/files/ResumenProyecto.pdf

Sena B (2016, June 28) Chile's Aquatacama water pipeline project still in the works. BN Americas. Retrieved from: http://www.bnamericas.com/en/news/waterandwaste/chiles-aquatacama-water-pipeline-project-still-in-the-works1

Hidrovia Amazonica

El ambicioso proyecto de la Hidrovía Amazónica que busca impulsar el transporte entre las comunidades de la selva de Perú y Brasil (2017, Julio 7) Retrieved from: http://www.bbc.com/mundo/noticias-america-latina-40531430

Hidrovía Amazónica - Segunda Convocatoria. Retrieved from: http://www.proyectosapp.pe/modulos/JER/PlantillaProyecto.aspx?ARE=0&PFL=2&JER=8332

Arrendondo IA (2017, July 6) Primera etapa de las hidrovías en la selva peruana estará operativa en el 2020. Retrieved from: http://elcomercio.pe/economia/primera-etapa-hidrovias-selva-peruana-estara-operativa-2020-440284

Hidrovia Project

Silveira M. International environmental law: The South American Hidrovia Parana – Paraguay. Retrieved from: http://www.chasque.net/rmartine/hidrovia/Envxtrad.html

Hughes J, Husza PC (1997) The South American Hidrovia Debate. Choices. Retrieved from: http://ageconsearch.umn.edu/record/131380/files/SAHidroviaDebate.pdf

Paraguay-Paraná Hidrovia. International Rivers. Retrieved from: http//www.internationalrivers.org/campaigns/paraguay-paraná-hidrovia

Huszar PC (1998) Overestimated benefits and underestimated costs: the case of the Paraguay—Paraná navigation study, Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal, 16:295-304.

Sao Francisco Irrigation Project

Stolf R, Piedade SMD, Da Silva JR, Da Silva LCF, Maniero MA (2012) Water transfer from Sao Francisco River to semiarid northeast of Brazil: technical data, environmental impacts, survey of option about the amount to be transferred. Engenharia Agricola 32:998-1010

Mance H (2012, April 12) Brazil's huge river diversion project divides opinion. BBC News. Retrieved from: http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/8575010.stm

Osava M (2011, October 11) BRAZIL: Costly Water for the Poor Northeast. Inter Press Service. Retrieved from: http://www.ipsnews.net/2011/10/brazil-costly-water-for-the-poor-northeast/

Via Hidrica del Norte

Las aguas del sur de Chile calman la "sed minera" del norte. Instituto de Ingenieros de minas de Chili. Retrieved from: http://www.iimch.cl/index.php/noticias/14-actualidad2015/773-las-aguas-del-sur-de-chile-calman-la-sed-minera-del-norte

Abarca JA (2013, April 12) Vía Hídrica del Norte de Chile: Nueva alternativa para proveer de agua al árido norte de Chile. BN Americas. Retrived from: https://www.bnamericas.com/es/entrevistas/aguasyresiduos/santiago-manzano-antonio-dominguez-y-juan-pablo-gutierrez-euro-engineering-group

Situación Hídrica Nacional (2015) Retrived from: http://www.infraestructurapublica.cl/wp-content/uploads/2016/11/F.Prieto_Presentacion-Via-Hidrica-del-Norte.pdf

El otro proyecto de carretera hídrica que está sobre la mesa (2013, April 29) Futuro Renovable. Retrieved from: http://www.futurorenovable.cl/el-otro-proyecto-de-carretera-hidrica-que-esta-sobre-la-mesa/