

Research on Real-time Detection Method of Rice Diseases and Pests Based on Improved YOLOv10s Model

1 **Yongbo Li^{1*}, Jiaxuan Hao², Hong Yu³**

2 ¹ College of Intelligent Manufacturing, TaiZhou Polytechnic college, Taizhou 225300, China

3 ² College of Art and Design, Nanning Normal University, Nanning 530100, China

4 ³ College of Intelligent Manufacturing, Jiangsu Agri-animal Husbandry Vocational College, Taizhou
5 225300, China

6 *** Correspondence:**

7 Corresponding Author: Yongbo Li

8 yzdxlyb@163.com

9

10 **Abstract**

11 As one of the world's most important food crops, rice's yield and quality are largely threatened by
12 pests and diseases, necessitating a highly accurate, fast-responding, and adaptable intelligent
13 detection method to support pest and disease control and sustainable agricultural development. This
14 paper addresses the problems of low accuracy, poor real-time performance, and sensitivity to
15 occlusion interference in complex field environments with existing methods, proposing a real-time
16 detection method for rice pests and diseases based on an improved YOLOv10s model. First, a
17 high-quality image dataset of 3100 images covering seven typical pests and diseases—rice blast,
18 bacterial leaf blight, sheath blight, leaf spot, rice stem borer, rice borer moth, and rice green
19 bug—was constructed, encompassing various real-world scenarios. A stratified sampling combined
20 with five-fold cross-validation strategy was used for data partitioning to enhance the model's
21 generalization ability. Secondly, to address the limitations of the receptive field and feature modeling
22 in the YOLOv10s model, SAConv and SEAM structures were introduced. The former enhances
23 spatial modeling capabilities through Multi-holes Rate Switchable Convolution Module, while the
24 latter enhances the perception of fine-grained features and occluded targets based on a
25 channel-Spatial joint attention mechanism. Furthermore, the MPDIoU bounding box regression loss
26 function was introduced to optimize target box position prediction from a geometric perspective,
27 significantly improving localization accuracy and training stability. Experimental results show that
28 the improved model achieves an mAP of 93.1% and an inference speed of 176.4 FPS while
29 maintaining a relatively low computational cost (20.3 GFLOPs) and parameter size (7.1 MB),
30 significantly outperforming mainstream detection models such as YOLOv5s, YOLOv8s, and
31 Faster-RCNN. It possesses good engineering deployment potential and field application value,
32 providing an efficient and scalable solution for intelligent identification of agricultural pests and
33 diseases.

34 **Keywords: Rice diseases and pests, Target detection, YOLOv10s, Atrous convolution,**
35 **Attention mechanism, Real-time recognition**

36 1 Introduction

37 As the staple food source for nearly half of the world's population, rice's yield and quality are directly
38 related to food security, agricultural economic stability, and social well-being. According to statistics
39 from the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), the global annual rice
40 planting area exceeds 160 million hectares, with Asia contributing more than 85% of the output. In
41 populous countries such as China, India, and Indonesia, rice is a strategic crop that ensures food
42 self-sufficiency [1-4]. However, throughout the entire growth cycle of rice, diseases and pests have
43 always been the key bottleneck restricting yield improvement—common diseases and pests such as
44 rice blast, sheath blight, and rice stem borer can lead to a 20%-40% reduction in yield per unit area in
45 years of outbreaks, and in severe cases, even cause total crop failure [5-12]. With the intensification
46 of global warming and the frequent occurrence of extreme weather, the spread and outbreak
47 frequency of pests and diseases have further expanded. The drawbacks of the traditional prevention
48 and control model of "emphasizing treatment and neglecting early warning" have gradually become
49 prominent. How to achieve early, accurate and rapid detection of pests and diseases has become a
50 core problem that urgently needs to be solved in the field of modern agriculture [13-15].

51 In the development of pest and disease detection technology, manual field inspection and traditional
52 image processing methods have long dominated. Manual field inspection relies on the experience and
53 judgment of agricultural technicians. By visually observing the color of leaves, the morphology of
54 lesions and the traces of pests, the types of pests and diseases can be identified. This method is
55 simple to operate and has low cost, and still has certain application value in small-scale farmland
56 [16-20]. Traditional image processing methods acquire leaf images through image acquisition
57 equipment, and then use algorithms such as grayscale, filtering and threshold segmentation to extract
58 the features of pest and disease areas, and then combine texture, shape or color features for
59 classification and identification. For example, Joshi et al. [21] proposed a method for detecting rice
60 leaf diseases using K-Nearest Neighbor (KNN) and minimum distance classifier (MDC), with
61 accuracies of 87.02% and 89.23%, respectively. Sun et al. [22] used simple linear iterative clustering
62 (SLIC) combined with support vector machine (SVM) to detect tea diseases. This method can
63 effectively extract tea leaf diseases from complex backgrounds, with an accuracy of 98.5%. Pantazi
64 et al. [23] used local binary patterns (LBPs) for feature extraction and used a single-class
65 classification method for classification. The model solved the conflict problem between single-class
66 classifiers and achieved an accuracy of 95% in identifying grape leaf diseases. Bachhal et al. [24]
67 proposed a PRF-SVM algorithm. The model consists of PSPNet, ResNet50 and fuzzy support vector
68 machine (Fuzzy SVM). The average accuracy of this method in detecting and classifying various
69 corn leaf diseases reached 96.67%. Xiong et al. [25] proposed an EResNet-SVM model, which
70 achieved an accuracy of 99.30% in identifying 8 typical plant diseases and pests (7 plant diseases and
71 1 normal plant disease), which is 5.90% higher than the original ResNet18. Compared with manual
72 field inspection, the objectivity and standardization of these methods have been improved, but there
73 are still obvious shortcomings in their applicability in complex fields.

74 In recent years, deep learning technology has made breakthrough progress in the field of target
75 detection with its powerful feature adaptive learning ability, providing a new solution for
76 high-precision detection of rice pests and diseases. The target detection framework based on
77 convolutional neural network (CNN) has been widely used in agricultural pest and disease detection
78 tasks. For example, Cheng et al. [17] used CNN and deep residual learning to identify agricultural
79 pests in complex farmland backgrounds. The accuracy of this method is much higher than that of
80 SVM and BP neural network. Compared with ordinary CNN, the recognition accuracy is further
81 improved. For 10 types of agricultural pests in complex backgrounds, the recognition accuracy

82 reached 98.67%. Zheng et al. [26] proposed a deep residual network for multi-scale feature extraction
83 based on Res2Net structure. The hierarchical residual connection was used to replace the original
84 3×3 convolution kernel, increasing the receptive field of each network layer, which can extract
85 multi-scale features in a finer granular manner, realizing the effective recognition of rice pests in
86 natural backgrounds, with an average recognition accuracy of 92.023%. Zhang et al. [3] proposed the
87 ResViT-Rice model, which uses ResNet as the backbone network and introduces encoder
88 components and convolutional block attention modules from the converter architecture. It achieves
89 accurate detection of rice leaf spot and brown spot. The detection accuracy of the model reached
90 99.87%. Guan et al. [27] proposed the GC-Faster RCNN detection framework, which integrates
91 channel-wise correlations and spatial dependencies hybrid attention mechanism to cope with the
92 challenge of pest identification in complex environments. Compared with the original Faster RCNN
93 model, the accuracy and recall of the GC-Faster RCNN model were improved by 4.5% and 16.6%,
94 respectively. Haruna et al. [28] improved the detection performance of region-based Faster-RCNN
95 for major diseases of rice leaves by adopting style generative adversarial network adaptive
96 discriminant enhancement method (SG2-ADA) and Laplacian filter variance technology. The
97 detection accuracy of the model reached 93%.

98 With the increasing demand for efficient and deployable solutions in the agricultural field,
99 single-stage target detectors (such as SSD and YOLO series) have received more and more attention
100 due to their faster inference speed and lower computational complexity. For example, Yin et al. [29]
101 proposed the JujubeSSD method based on the SSD network. This method uses deformable
102 convolutional networks (DCN) to replace traditional convolutional layers, which enhances the
103 learning of target detail features and the ability to identify weak information. In addition, in order to
104 solve the problem of difficulty in identifying the lesion area on jujube fruit caused by the different
105 sizes and shapes, the path aggregation feature pyramid network (PAFPN) and the balanced feature
106 pyramid (BFP) were integrated into the SSD network. The recognition accuracy of the model reached
107 97.1%. Lyu et al. [30] improved the performance of small object detection of grain pests by
108 introducing a top-down feature fusion strategy into the SSD algorithm. Combined with K-means
109 clustering to calculate the prior bounding box of grain pests, the performance of small object
110 localization of grain pests was improved. The mAP of the optimized model reached 96.89%. Fang et
111 al. [31] proposed the RRDD-Yolov11n model for rice diseases, using the SCSABlock attention
112 module to optimize multi-semantic fusion, and introduced the CARAFE upsampling module to solve
113 the limitations of the original upsampling module in reconstructing rice disease-related features,
114 thereby increasing the mAP to 88.3%. Qiang et al. [32] proposed the YOLO-PEST model based on
115 the YOLOv5s architecture. In the feature fusion process, the model integrates the ConvNeXt module
116 to improve the detection accuracy of small objects through multi-scale feature extraction. In addition,
117 the CoTAttention mechanism is introduced to enhance the robustness of the model under complex
118 environmental conditions. The mAP reaches 97%. Yang et al. [15] proposed the YOLO-RP
119 lightweight rice pest detection method based on the YOLO11n model. The model simplifies the
120 module by integrating a high-resolution P2 detection head, a lightweight partial convolution
121 detection head (LPCHead), a reparameterizable multi-branch module (DBELCSP), and a
122 structure-aware wavelet pooling module (WaveletPool). The model size is only 2.8MB, and the mAP
123 reaches 90.99%. Pan et al. [33] proposed the SSD-YOLO improved rice disease detection method
124 based on YOLOv8. The squeeze and excitation network (SENet) attention mechanism was
125 introduced to optimize the bottleneck structure of YOLOv8. The lightweight dynamic upsampling
126 module DySample was used to solve the problem of high similarity between rice diseases and
127 background. The Shape Intersection over Union (ShapeIoU) loss was used to replace the CIoU loss
128 function, thereby improving the model performance in complex environments. The mAP of the
129 model in identifying rice brown spot disease, rice bacterial leaf blight and bacterial leaf blight

130 reached 87.52%, 99.48% and 98.99%, respectively. Zhou et al. [34] embedded a ternary attention
131 mechanism into the YOLOv8n model to achieve cross-dimensional interaction between channel and
132 spatial dimensions. The Global-to-Local Spatial Aggregation module (GLSA) was used to improve
133 the neck of YOLOv8n and enhance the effectiveness of feature representation. The Wavelet
134 Transform Convolution (WTConv) was used to improve the C2f-BottleNeck of the original model
135 and expand the network's perceptual range. The model achieved an average accuracy of 80.9% for
136 fifteen common rice diseases and pests.

137 Although significant progress has been made in detection accuracy, feature representation, and
138 multi-scale fusion, existing methods typically rely on highly complex architectures to achieve high
139 accuracy. This results in high computational costs, making it difficult to balance accuracy and
140 real-time performance, thus limiting lightweight deployment in resource-constrained agricultural
141 scenarios. To address these issues, this paper proposes an improved model based on YOLOv10s. By
142 introducing the SAConv module, SEAM module, and MPDIoU loss function, the model's ability to
143 extract and identify features of multiple types and scales of rice diseases and pests in complex
144 environments is optimized. The aim is to achieve high-precision, real-time detection of rice diseases
145 and pests in the field, providing technical support for early warning and precise control of rice
146 diseases and pests, helping to improve the quality and efficiency of agricultural production, and
147 ensuring food security.

148 **2 Introduction to the YOLOv10s algorithm**

149 YOLOv10s, as the next-generation object detection framework in the YOLO series, integrates
150 efficient convolutional modules and attention mechanisms in its structural design, further improving
151 the model's ability to balance accuracy and speed. Its overall network structure consists of three parts:
152 the Backbone, the Neck and the Head, as shown in Fig 1. The Backbone introduces a C2f module to
153 achieve cross-stage information flow, an SCDown module for spatial-channel decoupling
154 downsampling, a CIB module to improve the compactness and lightweight nature of feature
155 representation, an SPPF module to expand the receptive field, and a PSA module to enhance the
156 model's ability to focus on key features in both spatial and channel dimensions. The Neck adopts a
157 PANet-like structure, achieving full integration of deep and shallow semantic information through
158 multi-scale upsampling and feature fusion operations, effectively improving the model's ability to
159 detect small targets. The Head is designed as a dual-branch head structure. During training, both
160 one-to-one and one-to-many matching strategies are enabled to strengthen the supervision signal,
161 while only the one-to-one head is retained during inference to improve inference efficiency and
162 control computational load.

163 Compared to its predecessors like YOLOv5 and YOLOv8, YOLOv10s achieves key improvements
164 in several aspects. Its Anchor-Free mechanism eliminates the anchor box design, simplifying label
165 preprocessing and training. Simultaneously, the NMS-Free mechanism avoids the target miss
166 problem caused by redundant box suppression. This structure not only reduces dependence on
167 hyperparameters but also improves model training stability and inference speed, making it
168 particularly suitable for deployment in edge agriculture equipment with high real-time requirements,
169 such as unmanned field vehicles and agricultural drones. In actual pest and disease detection
170 scenarios, targets are typically small in size, complex in shape, and subject to strong background
171 interference. YOLOv10s, with its modular, scalable network design and greater sensitivity to small
172 targets, demonstrates higher robustness and adaptability in complex field scenarios such as
173 high-density plants, low-light environments, and multiple targets adhering together. Furthermore, its
174 highly compatible network structure provides an ideal foundation for subsequent integrated modules.

175 In summary, YOLOv10s outperforms previous models in terms of detection performance, structural
176 flexibility, and engineering deployment adaptability, making it the preferred foundational model for
177 real-time identification of rice diseases and pests.

178

179 Fig 1. YOLOv10s model structure diagram

180 Note: Split is a split operation; Concat is a concatenation operation; Conv2d is a convolution operation;
181 BatchNorm is a batch normalization operation; SiLU is an activation function; MSHA is a multi-head
182 self-attention module; FFN is a feedforward network; MaxPool is a max pooling operation; Upsample is an
183 upsampling operation; One-to-one Head is a one-to-one matching detection head; One-to-many Head is a
184 one-to-many matching detection head.

185 **3 Proposed method**

186 **3.1 SAConv model**

187 In this study, the SAConv[35] (Switchable Atrous Convolution) module is introduced, as shown in
188 Fig 2. It aims to enhance the model's ability to perceive the features of rice pests and diseases in
189 complex backgrounds. Traditional convolution is limited by a fixed receptive field, making it
190 difficult to take into account both local details and global context information. Especially in scenarios
191 where the scale of pest and disease areas varies greatly, the morphology is blurred, and the
192 integration with the leaf background is high, the problem of insufficient feature extraction is likely to
193 occur. SAConv introduces convolution operations with different dilation rates in parallel on the same
194 input features, and combines a learnable switching function to dynamically adjust the convolution
195 response under different receptive fields, thereby achieving adaptive fusion of local details and global
196 semantics, effectively expanding the receptive field of the model. Specifically, the SAConv structure
197 consists of three parts: a pre-global context module, a switchable atrous convolution core module,
198 and a post-context enhancement module. It can achieve joint expression of multi-scale information
199 while keeping the computational cost controllable. By replacing the 3×3 standard convolution of the
200 SCDOWN module in the YOLOv10s network with the SAConv module, the model gains stronger
201 spatial modeling and target boundary perception capabilities. It exhibits higher robustness and
202 discriminative power in multi-scale pest and disease detection and occlusion interference
203 environments, providing effective support for improving the recognition accuracy of rice pest and
204 disease detection in complex scenarios.

$$y=S(x)\cdot\text{Conv}(x,w,r)+(1-S(x))\cdot\text{Conv}(x,w+\Delta w,r) \quad (1)$$

205 Where x and y represent the input and output feature maps, $\text{Conv}(x,w,r)$ represents the
206 convolution operation with weights w and a dilation rate of r , Δw is trainable weights, $S(\cdot)$ is the
207 switching function that fuses the convolution results with different dilation rates.

208

209 Fig 2. SAConv structure diagram

210 Note: r is the hole rate; S is the switching function.

211 **3.2 SEAM Model**

212 In this study, SEAM[36] (Separated and Enhanced Attention Module) is introduced, as shown in Fig
213 3. It aims to improve the robustness and occlusion adaptation of the model in the detection of rice
214 pests and diseases in complex agricultural scenarios. Traditional CNN often have problems such as
215 insufficient feature expression and insufficient response in important regions when facing complex
216 environments such as occlusion, background interference or blurred target boundaries, which affects
217 the detection accuracy. SEAM introduces the synergistic effect of channel attention and spatial
218 attention mechanisms by jointly modeling the channel dimension and spatial dimension of the feature
219 map, and weights each channel and each spatial position, thereby enhancing the network's attention
220 to key feature regions.

221 The SEAM structure mainly consists of depthwise separable convolutional modules, residual
222 connection structures, and a fully connected attention mechanism. First, the input feature map is
223 compressed into channel vectors through global average pooling, and multi-scale feature capture is
224 achieved through patch embedding of different sizes. Then, through channel-wise depthwise
225 separable convolution operations, the independence between channels is preserved while reducing
226 the number of parameters and computational overhead, effectively improving the accuracy and
227 efficiency of feature extraction. Next, pointwise convolution is used to fuse channel information,
228 generating channel attention weights, and a fully connected network further enhances the response to
229 important channels. These attention weights are ultimately applied to the original input feature map,
230 achieving enhanced responses in key regions and suppression of redundant features.

231

232 Fig 3. SEAM structure diagram

233 In the introduced SEAM, the CSMM (Channel-Spatial Multi-scale Module), as one of its core
234 components, plays a crucial role in improving the model's ability to represent the details of rice pests
235 and diseases and its multi-scale adaptability. The CSMM module significantly enhances the
236 representation of key regions in the feature map by constructing a joint modeling spatial-channel
237 attention mechanism, making it particularly suitable for handling difficult scenarios commonly
238 encountered in agricultural vision tasks, such as small-area pests and diseases with varied shapes and
239 complex backgrounds.

240 The CSMM module consists of multiple multi-scale patch embedding branches with different
241 receptive fields. Each branch encodes the input features in parallel using local receptive regions of
242 different sizes (e.g., patch size=6, 7, 8), thereby achieving perceptual modeling of the target at
243 different scales. This structural design effectively alleviates the problem of insufficient target
244 response capability of traditional convolution at fixed scales and enhances the model's adaptability to
245 the morphological differences exhibited by pests and diseases at different stages (e.g., early spots
246 versus late-stage large lesions).

247 As shown in Fig 4, within each CSMM branch, the input feature map is first mapped to a unified
248 token space through patch embedding, and then the GELU activation function and Batch Norm
249 normalization layer are used sequentially to improve feature stability and nonlinear expression ability.
250 The core processing component is Depthwise Convolution, which improves local detail modeling
251 capabilities while maintaining low parameter complexity, particularly in terms of texture clarity and
252 boundary preservation. The residual connection structure effectively enhances the model's training
253 stability and robustness to complex image perturbations while maintaining the integrity of the
254 information pathway. Subsequent Pointwise Convolution enables cross-channel information
255 interaction, further integrating the contextual semantics between channels.

256 In the rice pest and disease detection model constructed in this paper, the CSMM module in SEAM is
257 directly used in the feature enhancement stage, fusing with the mid-to-high-level features output by
258 the YOLOv10s backbone network to form a representation with higher semantic expressiveness and
259 detail preservation ability. Through the introduction of this module, the model exhibits stronger
260 feature discrimination ability and target localization accuracy when facing complex field
261 backgrounds, overlapping occlusions, and fine-grained blurring of pests and diseases, providing a
262 solid structural foundation for improving overall detection performance.

263

264 Fig 4. CSMM structure diagram

265 Furthermore, the residual connection structure in SEAM weights and superimposes the input features
266 with the output after depthwise convolution, effectively mitigating the gradient vanishing problem in
267 deep network training and improving the stability and generalization ability of feature representations.
268 Thanks to these mechanisms, SEAM significantly improves the model's ability to detect occluded
269 pests and diseases in complex scenes, enhancing fine-grained feature recognition, and is particularly
270 suitable for handling target information loss caused by leaf occlusion and changes in light in field
271 environments. In summary, the introduction of SEAM provides crucial support for improving the
272 model's detection accuracy and robustness against rice pests and diseases in multi-scale, occluded,
273 and high-background-interference scenarios.

274 **3.3 Improved Model Structure Diagram**

275 To improve the YOLOv10s model's ability to detect rice pests and diseases in complex field
276 backgrounds, this paper introduces SAConv and SEAM on the basis of the original network structure,
277 as shown in Fig 5. These two structural improvements significantly enhance the model's spatial
278 modeling ability and attention expression ability. Specifically, in the Backbone stage, the SCDown
279 module in the original YOLOv10s was replaced by the SCDown_SAConv module. The latter
280 effectively solves the problem of traditional convolutions being limited by a fixed receptive field and
281 struggling to balance local and global features by applying convolutions with different dilation rates
282 in parallel on the same input features and introducing a learnable switching function to adaptively
283 adjust the multi-scale receptive field. The SAConv module, while maintaining controllable
284 computational overhead, achieves effective fusion of local texture details and contextual semantics,
285 significantly improving the model's generalization ability and robustness in multi-scale pest and
286 disease target detection. Furthermore, the SEAM module is introduced in the Neck and Head stages
287 to further enhance the mid-to-high-level semantic features extracted by the backbone network.
288 SEAM highlights key region responses and suppresses redundant features by jointly modeling
289 channel attention and spatial attention in feature maps. Its core component, CSMM, achieves
290 efficient modeling of pest and disease regions of different scales and complex morphologies through
291 multi-branch patch embedding and depthwise separable convolutions, exhibiting stronger
292 discriminative ability under conditions of occlusion, illumination interference, and background fusion.
293 The residual connection structure in SEAM further improves the stability of feature representation
294 and the feasibility of deep training.

295

296 Fig 5. Improved YOLOv10s model structure diagram

297 Note: SAConv is Switchable Atrous Convolution; SEAM is Separated and Enhanced Attention Module.

298 3.4 MPDIoU loss function

299 To further improve the bounding box localization accuracy and training convergence stability of the
300 model in the rice pest and disease detection task, the CIoU loss function used in the original
301 YOLOv10s model was replaced with the MPDIoU (Minimum Points Distance Intersection over
302 Union) loss function[37]. This improvement strategy aims to solve the problems of insufficient
303 modeling of the geometric relationship of the bounding box and excessive freedom in the
304 optimization process when CIoU is used to deal with different target shapes and scales. In particular,
305 in complex agricultural images with diverse target sizes and blurred boundaries, CIoU has certain
306 limitations in terms of regression accuracy and robustness.

307 MPDIoU reconstructs the positional relationship measurement mechanism between bounding boxes
308 from a geometric perspective by introducing the concept of minimum point distance. As shown in
309 Equation (4), MPDIoU, based on the calculation of the intersection-union ratio of the predicted box
310 B and the ground truth box A, introduces the minimum Euclidean distance metric between the top
311 left and bottom right corners (corresponding to d_1^2 、 d_2^2 in Equations (2) and (3)), and combined with
312 the normalization term w^2+h^2 (the sum of squares of the width and height of the image) to scale the
313 distance error, thereby achieving precise control over the differences in the position of the bounding
314 box corners.

$$d_1^2 = (x_1^B - x_1^A)^2 + (y_1^B - y_1^A)^2 \quad (2)$$

$$d_2^2 = (x_2^B - x_2^A)^2 + (y_2^B - y_2^A)^2 \quad (3)$$

$$\text{MPDIoU} = \frac{A \cap B}{A \cup B} + \frac{d_1^2}{w^2 + h^2} + \frac{d_2^2}{w^2 + h^2} \quad (4)$$

315 Where A represents the ground truth bounding box; B represents the predicted bounding box; $(x_1^A,$
316 $y_1^A)$, (x_2^A, y_2^A) are the top left and bottom right corners of the ground truth bounding box; $(x_1^B,$
317 $y_1^B)$, (x_2^B, y_2^B) are the top left and bottom right corners of the predicted bounding box; d_1 is the distance
318 between the top left corners of the two boxes; d_2 is the distance between the bottom right corners of
319 the two boxes; w is the image width; h is the image height; \cap represents the intersection
320 operation; \cup represents the union operation.

321 MPDIoU through modeling the minimum distance of boundary points, can more accurately drive the
322 model to learn the true location distribution of pests and diseases, mitigating problems such as
323 predicted box drift and large size fitting errors, thereby significantly improving target detection and
324 localization accuracy. This loss function not only reduces unnecessary degrees of freedom in the
325 bounding box regression process, helping to accelerate the model's convergence speed, but also
326 improves robustness and stability in small and dense target detection tasks.

327 4 Implementation details and evaluation metrics

328 4.1 Introduction to the dataset

329 In order to construct a high-quality rice disease and pest image detection dataset, this study used web
330 crawling technology to obtain a large number of rice disease and pest image samples from public
331 resources, covering seven major disease and pest types: rice blast, bacterial leaf blight, sheath blight,
332 leaf spot, rice stem borer, rice borer moth, and rice green bug. Combined with some pre-collected
333 field samples, after image screening and deduplication, a diverse and representative image dataset
334 was constructed, with a total of 3100 images. This dataset includes various scenarios such as single
335 pests and diseases, co-occurrence of multiple pests and diseases, multi-target adhesion, and complex

336 backgrounds, effectively improving the robustness and generalization ability of the model in various
337 field environments. Fig 6 shows typical image examples of different pest and disease states.
338 Considering the diverse sources of images and the differences in original resolution, which may
339 affect the detection performance of the model during training and inference, all images were
340 standardized to 640×640 pixels during the data preprocessing stage to enhance the consistency and
341 adaptability of the model input. Subsequently, the LabelImg tool was used to annotate each image,
342 with annotation types including "Rice blast", "Bacterial leaf blight", "Sheath blight", "Leaf spot",
343 "Rice stem borer", "Rice borer moth", and "Rice green bug". Regarding sample distribution, the
344 seven image categories account for the following percentages in the overall dataset: rice blast (15%),
345 bacterial leaf blight (15%), sheath blight (15%), leaf spot (15%), rice stem borer (13%), rice borer
346 moth (13%), and rice green bug (14%). To ensure class balance in the image data during training and
347 improve the stability and representativeness of the model evaluation results, a stratified sampling
348 combined with five-fold cross-validation strategy was adopted in the data partitioning stage, dividing
349 the total dataset into five subsets according to class proportions. In each round of training, four
350 subsets serve as the training set, and the other subset serves as the test set, alternating five rounds of
351 training to reduce performance bias caused by randomness in partitioning. Furthermore, in each
352 round of training, a training subset and a validation subset are further divided in a 4:1 ratio for model
353 parameter optimization and model selection, respectively, effectively controlling the risk of
354 overfitting and ensuring a steady improvement in model performance.

355

356 Fig 6. Typical image examples of rice diseases and pests. (a) Rice blast. (b) Bacterial leaf blight. (c) Sheath
357 blight. (d) Leaf spot. (e) Rice stem borer. (f) Rice borer moth. (g) Rice green bug.

358 4.2 Experimental platform

359 The training and validation experiments of the proposed improved YOLOv10s rice disease and pest
360 detection model were all completed under the Windows 11 operating system environment. The
361 hardware platform configuration was an NVIDIA GeForce RTX 3090 GPU with 24 GB of video
362 memory; the system disk capacity was 20 GB, and a 50 GB NVMe high-speed solid-state drive was
363 used for data read and write to ensure efficient data caching and access speed during model training.
364 The deep learning framework selected was PyTorch 2.0.1, and CUDA 11.8 was used to accelerate
365 computation.

366 During the training phase, the model was trained for a total of 150 epochs using Stochastic Gradient
367 Descent (SGD) as the optimizer. To obtain the optimal combination of hyperparameters, a grid
368 search method was employed to systematically traverse and evaluate multiple parameter
369 combinations, ultimately determining the following optimal training parameter settings:

Initial Learning Rate:	0.001
Batch Size:	16
Weight Decay:	0.0005
Momentum:	0.937

370 To effectively mitigate the risk of overfitting and improve the model's generalization ability, several
371 control strategies were introduced during training: First, data augmentation techniques were used to
372 significantly enhance the diversity of training images, including image rotation, brightness
373 perturbation, MixUp, and Mosaic methods; second, the lightweight model YOLOv10s was used as a
374 pre-trained network to guide the model in learning general visual features, which helps to accelerate

375 convergence and improve initial performance; in addition, an Early Stopping strategy was introduced
376 during training, automatically terminating training when the validation set performance did not show
377 significant improvement within 10 consecutive training epochs, thereby avoiding overfitting of the
378 model to the training set.

379 **4.3 Evaluation metrics**

380 The model performance was evaluated using metrics such as precision (P), recall (R), mean average
381 precision (mAP), and computational cost. Precision (P) refers to the proportion of true positive
382 samples among the predicted positive samples. Recall (R) refers to the proportion of predicted
383 positive samples among the true positive samples. Mean average precision (mAP) is a better
384 indicator of overall performance than the unstable fluctuations of P and R. P, R, and mAP are given
385 by formula (5-7):

$$p = \frac{T_P}{T_P + F_P} \times 100\% \quad (5)$$

$$R = \frac{T_P}{T_P + F_N} \times 100\% \quad (6)$$

$$mAP = \int_0^1 P(R) dR \times 100\% \quad (7)$$

386 Where: TP represents the number of correctly detected targets; FP represents the number of
387 incorrectly detected targets; FN represents TP that was not detected.

388 Meanwhile, this study uses the Computational Cost and the number of parameters to measure the
389 complexity of the model, and uses the frame rate to evaluate the real-time detection performance of
390 the model.

391 **5 Experimental results and discussion**

392 **5.1 Ablation test**

393 Table 1 shows the ablation test results of the YOLOv10s model under different improvement
394 schemes. A comprehensive analysis from the dimensions of detection accuracy, computational
395 complexity, and model efficiency shows that each improved module significantly improves
396 performance while maintaining the model's lightweight nature. The baseline model YOLOv10s
397 achieved an mAP of 88.7%, with precision and recall of 87.6% and 86.2%, respectively. Introducing
398 the SAConv module further improved the mAP to 89.7% and precision to 89.1%, demonstrating the
399 effectiveness of switchable atrous convolution in expanding the receptive field and enhancing feature
400 representation. Further introducing the SEAM module increased the model's mAP to 91.3% and
401 recall to 89.8%, indicating its significant advantages in capturing fine-grained target features and
402 improving response in occluded regions. When SAConv and SEAM were used together, detection
403 accuracy was further improved, with mAP reaching 92.8%, and precision and recall increasing to
404 91.8% and 90.6%, respectively, validating the complementarity of the two mechanisms in spatial
405 modeling and detail enhancement. Finally, by introducing the MPDIoU loss function, the model
406 performance reached its optimal level, with mAP increasing to 93.1%, Precision and Recall reaching
407 92.1% and 91.4% respectively, representing improvements of 4.4%, 4.5%, and 5.2% compared to the
408 baseline model. Simultaneously, the computational cost was only 20.3G, while the frame rate
409 remained at 176.4 frames per second, demonstrating strong real-time processing capabilities.

410 Table 1. Ablation test Results

model	Precision/ %	Recall/%	mAP/%	Computat ion/GB	parameter size/MB	frame rate/fps
YOLOv10s	87.6±0.01	86.2±0.03	88.7±0.04	21.6	8.1	160.1
YOLOv10s+ SAConv	89.1±0.02	87.6±0.03	89.7±0.02	20.6	7.6	165.1
YOLOv10s+SEAM	90.5±0.01	89.8±0.03	91.3±0.05	20.4	7.3	170.1
YOLOv10s+ SAConv +SEAM	91.8±0.03	90.6±0.04	92.8±0.01	20.3	7.1	176.4
YOLOv10s+ SAConv + SEAM + MPDIoU Loss	92.1±0.03	91.4±0.02	93.1±0.01	20.3	7.1	176.4

411 **5.2 Comparative tests**

412 Table 2 presents the performance comparison results of the improved model and current mainstream
413 object detection models (including SSD, Faster R-CNN, YOLOv5s, YOLOv7, YOLOv8s, YOLOv9s,
414 YOLOv10s, and YOLOv11s) on the same rice pest and disease image test set. In terms of detection
415 accuracy, OUR model performed best among all compared models, achieving an mAP of 93.1%, and
416 precision and recall of 92.1% and 91.4%, respectively. While maintaining high-precision detection
417 capabilities, it effectively enhanced the stability and completeness of the model's object regression.
418 Compared with representative high-precision lightweight models such as YOLOv8s and YOLOv11s,
419 OUR model improves mAP by 8.8%, demonstrating superior feature representation and spatial
420 modeling capabilities. In terms of computational efficiency, OUR model has a computational
421 complexity of 20.3 GFLOPs, roughly on par with YOLOv10s (21.6 G), but lower than YOLOv8s
422 (28.6 G) and YOLOv11s (26.3 G). This effectively controls computational resource consumption
423 while maintaining high performance, validating the significant computational efficiency advantages
424 of the SAConv and SEAM modules in improving receptive field and detail modeling capabilities.
425 Regarding model size, OUR model has a parameter count of 7.1 MB, the smallest in the table,
426 approximately half that of YOLOv8s (13.4 MB), and significantly lower than Faster-RCNN (43.5
427 MB) and SSD (54.3 MB), demonstrating a highly compact structure and excellent model deployment
428 and mobile adaptability. Furthermore, in terms of inference speed, OUR model ranks first among all
429 models with a speed of 176.4 FPS, which is significantly better than YOLOv9s (108.4 FPS) and
430 YOLOv11s (140.8 FPS). This fully verifies its high real-time performance and low latency
431 characteristics in practical applications, meeting the needs of real-time detection of pests and diseases
432 and edge deployment.

433 Table 2. Comparative test results

model	Precision/ %	Recall/%	mAP/%	Computat ion/GB	parameter size/MB	frame rate/fps
SSD	77.2±0.04	71.3±0.05	75.3±0.01	62.4	54.30	46.5
Faster-RCNN	79.6±0.03	78.3±0.02	76.5±0.07	251.8	43.5	38.4
YOLOv5s	80.6±0.04	79.6±0.03	82.2±0.01	16.5	7.2	80.2
YOLOv7	82.3±0.05	80.1±0.02	83.9±0.06	101.3	38.4	90.7
YOLOv8s	84.7±0.04	82.6±0.05	84.3±0.01	28.6	13.40	100.3
YOLOv9s	80.1±0.01	79.5±0.02	82.4±0.04	24.6	7.9	108.4
YOLOv10s	87.6±0.01	86.2±0.03	88.7±0.04	21.6	8.1	160.1

YOLOv11s	82.4±0.03	83.6±0.01	84.3±0.02	26.3	9.9	140.8
YOLOv10s+ SAConv + SEAM + MPDIoU Loss	92.1±0.03	91.4±0.02	93.1±0.01	20.3	7.1	176.4

434 **5.3 Overall Performance Comparison**

435 Fig 7 shows the trends of three key performance indicators (MPIs) of different object detection
436 models during 150 training epochs, namely precision, recall, and mAP. The figure shows that the
437 performance of each model increases rapidly in the early stages of training (epochs 0 - 30), and then
438 gradually stabilizes, demonstrating good convergence.

439 In terms of accuracy (Fig 7(a)), OUR model maintained its lead throughout the entire training process,
440 with its precision eventually stabilizing at around 0.92, significantly outperforming other models.
441 Among them, the accuracy of YOLOv10s, YOLOv8s, and YOLOv11s reached a high level in the
442 mid-to-late stages, but there was still a significant gap compared with the OUR model. Traditional
443 models such as SSD and Faster-RCNN showed limited accuracy improvement throughout the entire
444 training cycle, exhibiting significant performance bottlenecks.

445 In terms of recall (Fig 7(b)), OUR model also performed best, exceeding 0.9 after about 40 training
446 iterations and remaining stable in subsequent iterations, indicating its higher completeness in
447 detecting pests and diseases. YOLOv10s and YOLOv8s, as the current mainstream lightweight
448 models, also showed strong recall capabilities, but their performance was slightly inferior under
449 high-density target or occlusion conditions. In contrast, the recall rates of SSD and Faster-RCNN
450 hovered between 0.7 and 0.78 for a long time, indicating that their adaptability to small targets and
451 complex backgrounds was relatively weak.

452 Regarding the mean accuracy (Fig 7(c)), OUR model achieved an mAP of over 0.93 in the later
453 stages of training, demonstrating the best performance among all models and exhibiting good
454 stability and generalization ability. In contrast, the mAP of YOLOv10s and YOLOv8s remained
455 stable at around 0.88 and 0.84, respectively. Although better than traditional models, their ability to
456 represent multi-scale features and fine-grained targets in complex scenes is still insufficient, limiting
457 further improvement in their detection performance. Models such as YOLOv9s and YOLOv11s
458 performed only moderately, while models such as YOLOv5s, SSD, and Faster-RCNN consistently
459 maintained an accuracy below 0.83, indicating their limited ability to model complex features in
460 current agricultural images.

461

462 Fig 7. Training process curves. (a) Precision trend. (b) Recall trend. (c) mAP trend.

463 **5.4 Test effects**

464 Fig 8 shows the visualization results of the YOLOv10s basic model and the improved model (OUR)
465 on four typical rice diseases: rice blast, bacterial leaf blight, sheath blight, and leaf spot. The
466 visualization results provide a direct comparison of the differences between the different models in
467 terms of target localization accuracy, confidence prediction, and small target recognition capabilities.

468 In rice blast images, YOLOv10s detected some lesion areas, but suffered from low confidence and
469 missed detections. OUR model significantly improved the recognition confidence (e.g., prediction
470 values reached 0.93 and 0.90) and completely covered all lesion areas, demonstrating stronger
471 fine-grained recognition capabilities. In bacterial leaf blight samples, OUR model not only detected

472 more targets but also more accurately located them, effectively alleviating the localization offset and
473 missed detection issues of YOLOv10s in situations with dense multi-target populations. In images of
474 rice sheath blight, both models can accurately identify lesion areas, but OUR model's predicted
475 bounding box boundary fits the target contour better, and its confidence score (reaching a maximum
476 of 0.93) is significantly higher than YOLOv10s, indicating that it models the structural features of
477 striped lesions more comprehensively. In images of leaf spot, OUR model also shows a more
478 sensitive response to small lesions, with a more complete number of detection boxes and higher
479 confidence, effectively reducing the risk of false positives and false negatives under low contrast.

480

481 Fig 8. Visualization results of detection on typical rice diseases. (a) YOLOv10S. (b) OUR.

482 Fig 9 shows the visualization results of the YOLOv10s base model and the improved model (OUR)
483 on three typical rice pests: rice stem borer, rice borer moth, and rice green bug. In the detection task
484 of these three typical rice pests, OUR model outperforms YOLOv10s in terms of both localization
485 accuracy and confidence level. Taking the rice stem borer as an example, although YOLOv10s can
486 complete the recognition task, it suffers from bounding box offset and a low confidence level (0.84).
487 In contrast, OUR model not only has a boundary highly consistent with the insect's outline, but also
488 improves the confidence level to 0.93, demonstrating its advantage in modeling elongated insect
489 structures. Similarly, in the detection of the rice borer moth, the detection box of YOLOv10s was
490 relatively loose and had obvious redundant coverage, with a confidence level of only 0.84; while
491 OUR model's boundary was closer to the actual insect body, with a confidence level increased to 0.94,
492 demonstrating a stronger ability to perceive complex wing textures and blurred edges. For the rice
493 green bug, a target with strong camouflage against a green background, YOLOv10s showed detection
494 bias and insufficient confidence (0.86), while OUR model not only accurately located the target, but
495 also improved the confidence level to 0.95, effectively enhancing robustness in low-contrast
496 environments.

497

498 Fig 9. Visualization results of detection on typical rice pests. (a) YOLOv10S. (b) OUR.

499 The above experimental results fully verify the significant advantages of the improved YOLOv10s
500 model proposed in this paper in the task of detecting rice diseases and pests. By introducing the
501 SAConv module to enhance the spatial receptive field, the SEAM module to improve detail modeling
502 capabilities, and the MPDIoU loss function to optimize bounding box regression accuracy, the model
503 achieves simultaneous improvements in accuracy, recall, and mAP while maintaining efficient
504 training convergence. In complex visual scenes such as blurred target edges, large scale variations,
505 and dense target density, the improved model can more accurately and stably complete target
506 localization and classification tasks, demonstrating superior comprehensive performance and
507 engineering deployment potential, and providing more reliable technical support for intelligent crop
508 health monitoring systems.

509 **5.5 Discussion**

510 This study aims to address the challenges of diverse types of rice pests and diseases, significant
511 morphological differences, and frequent leaf occlusion in complex field environments by proposing a
512 multi-structure improved model based on YOLOv10s. By introducing the SAConv, SEAM attention
513 module, and MPDIoU regression loss function, the accuracy, localization precision, and robustness

514 of pest and disease target detection are effectively improved without significantly increasing
515 computational burden. The model outperforms mainstream detection algorithms such as YOLOv5s
516 and YOLOv8s on several key performance indicators.

517 The introduced SAConv module enhances the model's ability to express multi-scale features of rice
518 diseases and pests through multi-cores rate convolutional fusion and a learnable switching function
519 mechanism, especially in samples with significant differences in the size of diseases and pests and
520 blurred textures. The SEAM module further introduces a multi-scale attention mechanism in both
521 spatial and channel dimensions, enabling the model to more accurately distinguish between disease
522 and pest areas and background interference, and exhibits good adaptability and stability in situations
523 with leaf occlusion and multiple targets adhering together. The combined use of both significantly
524 improves the model's feature extraction ability and perceptual resolution in complex visual
525 environments.

526 Furthermore, the MPDIoU loss function enhances the geometric consistency between the predicted
527 and ground truth boxes by introducing a minimum distance constraint on the key points of the
528 bounding box, thereby improving the accuracy of boundary fitting. Compared to CIoU, its
529 optimization path is smoother, making it particularly suitable for targets with ambiguous boundaries
530 and large scale differences, such as rice pests and diseases.

531 Ablation experiments and comparative experiments further demonstrate that SAConv, SEAM, and
532 MPDIoU have a complementary effect in improving detection performance. The synergistic
533 combination of the three enables the YOLOv10s model to achieve optimal performance in multiple
534 metrics such as mAP, precision, recall, and FPS. Among them, mAP is improved to 93.1%, precision
535 and recall reach 92.1% and 91.4% respectively, and the frame rate is maintained at 176.4 FPS,
536 balancing the dual requirements of high accuracy and real-time performance.

537 It should be noted that although this study has achieved significant improvements in the accuracy and
538 real-time performance of rice disease and pest detection, the current model still mainly relies on
539 single-modal RGB image input. Under conditions such as extreme lighting, severe occlusion,
540 complex reflections, and local low contrast, the detection performance may still be affected to some
541 extent. More importantly, this study mainly verifies the effectiveness of the proposed model for
542 detecting typical rice diseases and pests under single-modal RGB image conditions, but has not yet
543 systematically evaluated the model's domain transfer performance under cross-regional, cross-variety,
544 and cross-collection device conditions. Therefore, the current results reflect the model's effectiveness
545 under given data distribution and experimental scenarios, while its broader cross-domain
546 generalization ability still needs further verification with larger-scale, multi-source data.

547 Future research can be further developed in the following aspects: First, combine multimodal sensing
548 information such as thermal infrared and hyperspectral to improve the model's target discrimination
549 ability and anti-interference performance in complex environments; second, introduce
550 three-dimensional structural modeling or temporal information analysis methods to enhance the
551 understanding of dynamic pest targets and complex spatial distribution characteristics; third, based
552 on the deployment needs of agricultural edge terminals, further research on model compression,
553 network pruning, knowledge distillation, and inference acceleration can be carried out to improve the
554 feasibility of model application on resource-constrained devices. Through the above work, it is hoped
555 that the method proposed in this paper can be further promoted from laboratory verification to stable
556 application in actual agricultural intelligent monitoring scenarios.

557 **6 Conclusion**

558 This study proposes a real-time detection method for rice diseases and pests based on an improved
559 YOLOv10s model, aiming to solve the problems of low accuracy and poor real-time performance of
560 traditional detection methods in complex field environments. The structural improvements and loss
561 function optimization proposed in this study significantly improve the model's detection performance
562 and engineering adaptability. The main conclusions are as follows:

563 (1) By introducing the SAConv module to enhance multi-scale spatial modeling capabilities and
564 combining it with the SEAM module to improve the perception of disease and pest occlusion areas,
565 significant breakthroughs have been achieved in feature extraction and representation.

566 (2) The MPDIoU loss function exhibits stronger geometric constraint capabilities in localization
567 tasks, especially in scenarios such as blurred edges of pests and diseases, and multi-target adhesion,
568 demonstrating higher localization accuracy and stability.

569 (3) On a dataset of 3100 images from multiple scenes and multiple pests and diseases, the improved
570 model, while maintaining low computational cost (20.3 GFLOPs) and small parameter count (7.1
571 MB), achieved an mAP of 93.1% and an inference speed of 176.4 FPS, comprehensively
572 outperforming mainstream algorithms such as YOLOv5s, YOLOv8s, and Faster-RCNN.

573 (4) The improved model has advantages such as high accuracy, fast speed and compact structure,
574 providing a good model foundation and technical support for subsequent deployment in agricultural
575 edge terminals such as field inspection vehicles and plant protection drones, showing strong
576 engineering application potential and promotion value.

577 The proposed improved YOLOv10s model provides an efficient, accurate, and low-cost solution for
578 intelligent identification of rice pests and diseases, which is of great significance for promoting the
579 construction and application of intelligent monitoring systems for agricultural pests and diseases.
580 Future development can further combine multi-source data fusion, lightweight deployment, and
581 cross-variety transfer learning to expand the model's practicality and adaptability, providing solid
582 support for the intelligent development of agriculture.

583 **7 Author Contributions**

584 Conceptualization: Yongbo Li, Hong Yu.

585 Data curation: Jiaxuan Hao, Hong Yu.

586 Funding acquisition: Yongbo Li, Hong Yu.

587 Methodology: Yongbo Li, Hong Yu.

588 Project administration: Hong Yu.

589 Software: Yongbo Li, Hong Yu.

590 Validation: Jiaxuan Hao, Hong Yu.

591 Writing—original draft preparation: Yongbo Li.

592 Writing—review and editing: Jiaxuan Hao, Hong Yu.

593 **8 Data Availability Statement**

594 Data is contained within the article or supplementary material. All relevant data are on Figshare:
595 <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.32049591>

596 **References**

- 597 1. Behnassi, M.; Baig, M.B.; Sraïri, M.T.; Alsheikh, A.A.; Rishah, A.W.A.A. Food Security and
598 Climate-Smart Food Systems—An Introduction. In *Food Security and Climate-Smart Food*
599 *Systems*; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2022; pp. 1 – 13.
- 600 2. Falsafi, P.; Baig, M.B.; Reed, M.R.; Behnassi, M. The Nexus of Climate Change, Food Security,
601 and Agricultural Extension in Islamic Republic of Iran. In *Food Security and Climate-Smart Food*
602 *Systems*; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2022; pp. 241 – 261.
- 603 3. Zhang, Y.; Zhong, L.; Ding, Y.; Yu, H.; Zhai, Z. ResViT-Rice: A Deep Learning Model
604 Combining Residual Module and Transformer Encoder for Accurate Detection of Rice Diseases.
605 *Agriculture* 2023, 13, 1264.
- 606 4. Tilman, D.; Balzer, C.; Hill, J.; Befort, B.L. Global Food Demand and the Sustainable
607 Intensification of Agriculture. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2011, 108, 20260 – 20264.
- 608 5. Yuen, K.W.; Hanh, T.T.; Quynh, V.D.; Switzer, A.D.; Teng, P.; Lee, J.S.H. Interacting effects of
609 land-use change and natural hazards on rice agriculture in the Mekong and Red River deltas in
610 Vietnam. *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.* 2021, 21, 1473 – 1493.
- 611 6. Sekiya, N.; Nakajima, T.; Oizumi, N.; Kurosawa, C.; Tibanyendela, N.; Peter, M.A.; Natsuaki,
612 K.T. Agronomic practices preventing local outbreaks of rice yellow mottle virus disease revealed by
613 spatial autoregressive analysis. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* 2022, 42, 1 – 15.
- 614 7. Ng, L.C.; Sariah, M.; Sariam, O.; Radziah, O.; Zainal Abidin, M.A. Bio-efficacy of
615 microbial-fortified rice straw compost on rice blast disease severity, growth and yield of aerobic rice.
616 *Australas. Plant Pathol.* 2012, 41, 541 – 549.
- 617 8. Chukwu, S.C.; Rafii, M.Y.; Ramlee, S.I.; Ismail, S.I.; Hasan, M.M.; Oladosu, Y.A.; Magaji, U.G.;
618 Akos, I.; Olalekan, K.K. Bacterial leaf blight resistance in rice: A review of conventional breeding to
619 molecular approach. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 2019, 46, 1519 – 1532.
- 620 9. Chhabra, R.; Sharma, R.; Hunjan, M.S.; Sharma, V.K.; Sharma, P.; Chauhan, S.K. Microstructural
621 and metabolic variations induced by *Bipolaris oryzae* inciting brown spot disease of rice. *Cereal Res.*
622 *Commun.* 2023.
- 623 10. Barnwal, M.K.; Kotasthane, A.S.; Magculia, N.; Mukherjee, P.K.; Savary, S.; Sharma, A.K.;
624 Singh, H.B.; Singh, U.; Sparks, A.H.; Variar, M.; et al. A review on crop losses, epidemiology and
625 disease management of rice brown spot to identify research priorities and knowledge gaps. *Eur. J.*
626 *Plant Pathol.* 2013, 136, 443 – 457.
- 627 11. Subedi, B.; Poudel, A.; Aryal, S. The Impact of Climate Change on Insect Pest Biology and
628 Ecology: Implications for Pest Management Strategies, Crop Production, and Food Security. *J. Agric.*
629 *Food Res.* 2023, 14, 100733.
- 630 12. Ahmad, I.; Yang, Y.; Yue, Y.; Ye, C.; Hassan, M.; Cheng, X.; Wu, Y.; Zhang, Y. Deep Learning
631 Based Detector YOLOv5 for Identifying Insect Pests. *Appl. Sci.* 2022, 12, 10167.
- 632 13. Bari, B.S.; Islam, M.N.; Rashid, M.; Hasan, M.J.; Razman, M.A.M.; Musa, R.M.; Majeed, A.P.A.
633 A real-time approach of diagnosing rice leaf disease using deep learning-based faster R-CNN
634 framework. *PeerJ Comput. Sci.* 2021, 7, e432.

- 635 14. Wang, Y.; Wang, H.; Peng, Z. Rice diseases detection and classification using attention based
636 neural network and bayesian optimization. *Expert Syst. Appl.* 2021, 178, 114770.
- 637 15. Yang, X.; He, Q.; Xie, X.; Dong, M. YOLO-RP: A Lightweight and Efficient Detection Method
638 for Small Rice Pests in Complex Field Environments. *Symmetry* 2025, 17, 1598.
- 639 16. Zhao, C.J. Agricultural knowledge intelligent service technology: A review. *Smart Agric.* 2023, 5,
640 126 - 148, (In Chinese with English Abstract).
- 641 17. Cheng, X.; Zhang, Y.; Chen, Y.; Wu, Y.; Yue, Y. Pest Identification via Deep Residual Learning
642 in Complex Background. *Comput. Electron. Agric.* 2017, 141, 351 - 356.
- 643 18. Masood, M.; Nawaz, M.; Nazir, T.; Javed, A.; Alkanhel, R.; Elmannai, H.; Dhahbi, S.; Bourouis,
644 S. MaizeNet: A deep learning approach for effective recognition of maize plant leaf diseases. *IEEE*
645 *Access* 2023, 11, 52862 - 52876.
- 646 19. De Rossi, R.L.; Guerra, F.A.; Plazas, M.C.; Vuletic, E.E.; Brücher, E.; Guerra, G.; Reis, E. Crop
647 damage, economic losses, and the economic damage threshold for northern corn leaf blight. *Crop*
648 *Prot.* 2022, 154, 105901.
- 649 20. Yang, S.; Xing, Z.; Wang, H.; Dong, X.; Gao, X.; Liu, Z.; Zhang, X.; Li, S.; Zhao, Y.
650 Maize-YOLO: A new high-precision and real-time method for maize pest detection. *Insects* 2023, 14,
651 278.
- 652 21. Joshi, A.A.; Jadhav, B.D. Monitoring and controlling rice diseases using Image processing
653 techniques. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Computing, Analytics and Security*
654 *Trends (CAST), Pune, India, 19 - 21 December 2016*; pp. 471 - 476.
- 655 22. Sun, Y.; Jiang, Z.; Zhang, L.; Dong, W.; Rao, Y. SLIC_SVM Based Leaf Diseases Saliency Map
656 Extraction of Tea Plant. *Comput. Electron. Agric.* 2019, 157, 102 - 109.
- 657 23. Pantazi, X.E.; Moshou, D.; Tamouridou, A.A. Automated Leaf Disease Detection in Different
658 Crop Species through Image Features Analysis and One Class Classifiers. *Comput. Electron. Agric.*
659 2019, 156, 96 - 104.
- 660 24. Bachhal, P.; Kukreja, V.; Ahuja, S.; Lilhore U.K.; Simaiya, S.; Bijalwan, A.; Alroobaea, R.;
661 Algarni, S. Maize leaf disease recognition using PRF-SVM integration: a breakthrough technique.
662 *Scientific Reports*, 2024, 14, 10219.
- 663 25. Xiong, H.T.; Li, J.; Wang, T.W.; Zhang, F.; Wang Z.Y. EResNet-SVM: an overfitting-relieved
664 deep learning model for recognition of plant diseases and pests. *Journal of the Science of Food and*
665 *Agriculture*, 2024, 104, 6018-6034.
- 666 26. Zheng, X.R.; Zheng, P.; Wang, W.X.; Cheng, Y.H.; Su, Y.F. Rice pest recognition based on
667 multi-scale feature extraction depth residual network. *South China Agric. Univ.* 2023, 44, 438 - 446.
- 668 27. Guan, B.; Wu, Y.; Zhu, J.; Kong, J.; Dong, W. GC-Faster RCNN: The Object Detection
669 Algorithm for Agricultural Pests Based on Improved Hybrid Attention Mechanism. *Plants* 2025, 14,
670 1106.
- 671 28. Haruna, Y.; Qin, S.; Mbyamm Kiki, M.J. An Improved Approach to Detection of Rice Leaf
672 Disease with GAN-Based Data Augmentation Pipeline. *Appl. Sci.* 2023, 13, 1346.
- 673 29. Yin, Z.B.; Liu, F.Y.; Geng, H.; Xi, Y.J.; Zeng, D.B.; Si, C.J.; Shi, M.D. A High-Precision Jujube
674 Disease Spot Detection Based on SSD during the Sorting Process. *PLoS ONE* 2024, 19, e0296314.

- 675 30. Lyu, Z.; Jin, H.; Zhen, T.; Sun, F.; Xu, H. Small Object Recognition Algorithm of Grain Pests
676 Based on SSD Feature Fusion. *IEEE Access* 2021, 9, 43202 – 43213.
- 677 31. Fang, K.; Zhou, R.; Deng, N.; Li, C.; Zhu, X. RLDD-YOLOv11n: Research on rice leaf disease
678 detection based on YOLOv11. *Agronomy* 2025, 15, 1266.
- 679 32. Qiang, J.; Zhao, L.; Wang, H.; Xu, T.; Jia, Q.; Sun, L. YOLO-PEST: a novel rice pest detection
680 approach based on YOLOv5s. *Plant Methods*, 2025, 21(1): 121.
- 681 33. Pan, C.; Wang, S.; Wang, Y.; Liu, C. SSD-YOLO: a lightweight network for rice leaf disease
682 detection. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 2025, 229: 108762.
- 683 34. Zhou, T.; Wei, L. YOLO-DP: A detection model of fifteen common rice diseases and pests.
684 *Comput Electron Agric*, 2025, 15:35968.
- 685 35. Qiao, S.; Chen, L.; Yuille, A. Detectors: Detecting objects with recursive feature pyramid and
686 switchable atrous convolution //Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and
687 pattern recognition. 2021: 10213-10224.
- 688 36. Yu, Z., Huang, H., Chen, W., Su, Y., Liu, Y., Wang, X. Yolo-facev2: A scale and occlusion
689 aware face detector. *Pattern Recognition*, 2024, 155: 110714.
- 690 37. Siliang, M.; Yong, X. Mpdious: A loss for efficient and accurate bounding box regression. *arXiv*
691 2023. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.07662*.