Towards a global interpretation of dual nitrate isotopes in surface waters

3

4 Venkiteswaran JJ¹, Boeckx P², Gooddy DC³

6 Ontario, Canada, jvenkiteswaran@wlu.ca, orcid: 0000-0002-6574-7071

- 7 ² Isotope Bioscience Laboratory ISOFYS, Ghent University, Coupure Links 653, 9000 Gent,
- 8 Belgium, orcid: 0000-0003-3998-0010
- 9 ³ British Geological Survey, Maclean Building, Crowmarsh, Oxfordshire OX10 8BB, United
- 10 Kingdom, orcid: 0000-0002-6015-1332

11

12 Keywords: nitrate, isotopes, lakes, rivers



^{5 &}lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Geography and Environmental Studies, Wilfrid Laurier University, Waterloo,

14 Abstract:

- 15 Modern anthropogenic activities have significantly increased nitrate (NO₃⁻) concentrations in surface
- 16 waters. Stable isotopes (δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O) in NO₃⁻ offer a tool to deconvolute some of the human-made
- 17 changes in the nitrogen cycle. They are often graphically illustrated on a template designed to identify
- 18 different sources of NO_3^- and denitrification. In the two decades since this template was developed,
- 19 δ^{15} N- and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ have been measured in a variety of ecosystems and through the nitrogen cycle. 20 However, its interpretation is often fuzzy or complex. This default is no longer helpful because it does
- not describe surface water ecosystems well and biases researchers towards denitrification as the NO_3^-
- removal pathway, even in well oxygenated systems where denitrification is likely to have little to no
- influence on the nitrogen cycle. We propose a different scheme to encourage a better understanding of
- 24 the nitrogen cycle and interpretation of NO_3^- isotopes. We use a mechanistic understanding of NO_3^-
- 25 formation to place bounds on the oxygen isotope axis and provide a means to adjust for different
- environmental water isotope values, so data from multiple sites and times of year can be appropriately
- 27 compared. We demonstrate that any interpretation of our example datasets (Canada, Kenya, United
- 28 Kingdom) show clear evidence of denitrification or a mixture of NO₃⁻ sources simply because many
- 29 data points fall outside of arbitrary boxes which cannot be supported once the range of potential δ^{18} O-
- 30 NO_3^- values has been considered.

31 Highlights:

32 33

34

35

36

- Interpretation of surface water δ^{15} N- and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ requires a more complex framework than currently employed
- Surface water processes alter δ¹⁵N- and δ¹⁸O-NO₃⁻ in different ways than the traditional groundwater-denitrification model rendering such frameworks obsolete
- Mechanistic understanding of NO₃⁻ cycling in surface waters means that the range of δ¹⁸O-NO₃⁻ is constrainable and can be made comparable between sites and across time via
 concurrent measurements of δ¹⁸O-H₂O and δ¹⁸O-O₂
- 40

41 Introduction:

- 42 Stable isotopes (δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O) in nitrate (NO₃⁻) have been commonly measured for more than 4
- 43 decades (see Heaton (1986) and papers therein). Methods have evolved from off-line AgNO₃
- 44 precipitation (e.g., Chang et al. 1999; Silva et al. 2000), to chemical and microbial reduction to N₂O
- 45 and subsequent continuous flow isotope ratio mass spectrometry analyses (Sigman et al. 2001;
- 46 McIlvin and Altabet 2005). Since NO_3^{-1} is a very common global pollutant, contributes to
- 47 eutrophication of surface waters (Vitousek et al. 1997) and is the most common groundwater pollutant
- 48 (Spalding and Exner 1993), a key application of NO_3^- isotopes was to identify NO_3^- sources. Through
- 49 combining a number of individual studies, this lead to publication of a δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ vs δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻
- 50 schematic biplot with suggested ranges for different 'sources' of NO_3^- (Kendall 1998). It has been
- 51 modified a few times (e.g., Kendall et al. 2008; Xue et al. 2009; Kendall et al. 2015) but the
- 52 fundamental concept remained the same. Its application for interpreting NO_3^- isotopes has become
- 53 widespread but this figure is not really fit for this purpose and is commonly over-interpreted. Here, we
- 54 discuss the assumptions inherent in this figure and key improvements needed for improved
- 55 understanding of NO_3^- isotopes in surface waters.

57 Background

58 The schematic biplot figure was originally designed for interpreting groundwater data where NO₃⁻ 59 isotope values of different NO₃⁻ sources are preserved except by (chemo)denitrification (e.g., Böttcher 60 et al. 1990; Aravena et al. 1993; Aravena and Robertson 1998). Some researchers identified that 61 forests receiving a lot of nitrogen deposition export NO_3^- in streams and this NO_3^- does not retain the 62 atmospheric deposition isotope values (e.g., Spoelstra et al. 2001; Pardo et al. 2004). This was early 63 evidence that measured NO₃⁻ isotopes in surface water showed that they should be carefully used for 64 source identification because of various biological alterations along their flowpath. As method 65 improvements allowed more NO₃⁻ isotope data to be generated, a schematic figure that recognized 66 biotic and abiotic processing of NO₃⁻ between its sources and sampling point needed to be developed. 67 Knowledge of isotope fractionation during NO_3 production and consumption was summarized in 68 Kendall (1998) vet, despite the many figures in this chapter, one figure described as "simplified" has 69 become the ubiquitous interpretation scheme. This figure visually summarizes a compilation of NO_3^{-1} 70 isotope data with boxes by "dominant sources of nitrate" and encourages researchers to think only 71 about one process, denitrification, although this process may be uncommon in well oxygenated lake 72 surfaces or streams and rivers. In this way, we need a better schematic figure that explicitly

recognizes the differences between NO_3^- sources and processes that produce and consume NO_3^- .

74

75 The "nitrogen axis" had been used as the primary differentiator between sources. However, given the

76 wide range of possible δ^{15} N values in manure/sewage and soils (e.g., 30% range in soil alone, Craine

et al. 2015), and the obvious fact that nitrogen will be biologically cycled in those systems, source

78 identification cannot be done with boxes on a figure. Moreover a system with three NO₃⁻ sources and

only one measurement, δ^{15} N, is underdetermined. Measuring locally appropriate sources of nitrogen

80 as potential initial δ^{15} N values is the appropriate way to constrain this axis instead of relying on the

broad assumption that a single set of boxes, derived from a limited number of measurements, areglobally appropriate (Bateman and Kelly 2007). Without locally appropriate values, the borders

globally appropriate (Bateman and Kelly 2007). Without locally appropriate values, the borders between NO₃⁻ sources become very blurred on the δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ axis (e.g., Kendall et al. 2015) and this

84 provides no useful resolution in the measured surface water data and no direct ability to identify

85 sources.

86

87 In some cases, nitrogen from fertilizers and legumes will be mixed into the soil nitrogen pool (e.g.,

88 Oelmann et al. 2007) before NO_3^- is exported to surface waters (e.g., Deutsch et al. 2006). In such

89 cases the exported δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ values will be controlled largely by the soil nitrogen pool and land-use

90 history, rather than a single year of precipitation and fertilizer input (e.g., Loo et al. 2017). In this

91 scenario the soil nitrogen averages all of its nitrogen inputs and NO₃ subsequently exported from the

92 soil to surface water maintains this average unless there is direct input of isotopically district NO_3 to

93 the surface waters. Hence the large overlap in the NO_3^{-1} sources boxes that does not contribute to

- 94 source identification (e.g., Kendall et al. 2015).
- 95

96 The "oxygen axis" has groups that can be defined *a priori*: (i) high δ^{18} O values from NO₃⁻ produced in

97 the atmosphere where the δ^{18} O value depends strongly on latitude (Michalski et al. 2012); and (ii) low

98 δ^{18} O values where the δ^{18} O value depends strongly on the δ^{18} O of H₂O where the NO₃⁻ is formed

99 (Snider et al. 2010). The δ^{18} O value of NO₃⁻ produced by autotrophic and heterotrophic nitrification

100 can be bounded in two ways. First, canonical two-step nitrification (from NH_4^+ to NH_2OH to NO_2^- to

101 NO_3^{-}) adds one O atom from O_2 in the first step and one O atom from H_2O in each of the next two

56

102 steps (Hollocher et al. 1981; Andersson et al. 1983; Aleem et al. 1965; Hollocher 1984; DiSpirito and 103 Hooper 1986). Isotope fractionation during these steps occurs but is not always expressed, such as 104 when NO_2^{-1} is fully consumed (Buchwald and Casciotti 2010; Casciotti et al. 2010; Snider et al. 2010). 105 Abiotic equilibrium of oxygen may occur between H₂O and NO₂⁻ and increase the δ^{18} O value of the 106 NO_2^- (Casciotti et al. 2007). In surface soils, the pore gas $\delta^{18}O-O_2$ value is very likely near the atmospheric value of +23.5‰ (vs SMOW). However, in productive aquatic ecosystems, the diel 107 variability of δ^{18} O-O₂ values can be large (e.g., 26% range in Gammons et al. 2011, 23% range in 108 109 Venkiteswaran et al. 2015, 18‰ range in Hotchkiss and Hall, Jr 2014, 14‰ range in Wassenaar et al. 110 2010, and 13‰ range in Parker et al. 2005) though this range can be estimated by one set of diel samples during the most productive part of the year and analyzed via a variety of techniques (e.g., 111 112 Barth et al. 2004; Wassenaar and Koehler 1999). Second, incubation experiments with various levels of δ^{18} O-H₂O indicate that the contribution of δ^{18} O-H₂O values to the final δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ value is often 113 114 much greater than the minimum two-thirds and sometimes close to 1 (Snider et al. 2010). Thus the 115 range of δ^{18} O values of NO₃⁻ produced *in situ* can be bounded by knowledge of δ^{18} O-O₂ and δ^{18} O-H₂O 116 values: a minimum of the δ^{18} O-H₂O value and a maximum of $\frac{1}{3} \times \delta^{18}$ O-O₂ + $\frac{2}{3} \times \delta^{18}$ O-H₂O. However 117 abiotic exchange of oxygen between H_2O and NO_2^- may increase this theoretical minimum value. 118 When the diel range in δ^{18} O-O₂ values is considered the maximum δ^{18} O values of NO₃⁻ produced *in situ* will vary by upwards of 10% (i.e., $\frac{1}{3}$ of the diel range of δ^{18} O-O₂ values, e.g., 9% in Gammons et 119 al. 2011, 8‰ in Venkiteswaran et al. 2015, 6‰ in Hotchkiss and Hall, Jr 2014, 5‰ in Wassenaar et 120 121 al. 2010, and 4‰ range in Parker et al. 2005). Data in Silver Bow Creek, Montana, USA exhibit 122 synchronous diel δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ and δ^{18} O-O₂ cycles (Gammons et al. 2011). 123

124 Site descriptions:

125 To highlight the need to include nitrogen cycling in surfaces waters into our working interpretation of

126 NO_3^- isotopes, we selected six rivers from Canada, Kenya, and the United Kingdom each with

127 different climate regions, seasonal variation in flow, and δ^{18} O-H₂O values.

128

129 The Grand River, Ontario, Canada is the largest river draining into the Canadian side of Lake Erie.

130 There are five cities, 30 wastewater treatment plants, and extensive modern agriculture along the

131 300km river in its 6800km² basin (Venkiteswaran et al. 2015). Climate is humid continental with a

warm summer (Köppen–Geiger classification Dfb), average temperature is around 9°C and mean

precipitation is 915mm. Samples were collected weekly to monthly from March 2015 to March 2016

from three sites: two sites upstream of the first major city and first large wastewater treatment plant

and one below two cities and two large wastewater treatment plants. These sites offer the opportunity

to sample from the river largely affected by diffuse non-point sources and after two large point

- sources (Hood et al. 2014; Venkiteswaran et al. 2018). All sites are in the middle of the Grand River
- 138 and were sampled at baseflow.
- 139

140 The Nzoia, Nyando, Sondu Rivers drain from Kenya into the east side of Lake Victoria. Kenyan

drainage comprises 40% of the inflows to Lake Victoria (COWI 2002) and is therefore a significant

source of the increasing nutrient concentrations in the lake (Juma et al. 2014). Eight sites on the Nzoia

143 River, 11 sites on the Nyando River, and five sites in the Sondu River were sampled from January to

April 2015. Sampling sites were selected based on access to the river and upstream land use. Climate

145 in western Kenya is tropical rainforest and tropical monsoon (Köppen–Geiger classifications Af and

146 Am). 147

- 148 The UK study sites compare nitrogen sources from peri-urban and rural river floodplains. Climate is
- 149 maritime (Köppen–Geiger classification Cfb). Site 1 focuses on a peri-urban section of the River
- 150 Thames in the vicinity of the city of Oxford in the southern UK. The mean annual flow of the Thames
- upstream of the study area is $18.48 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ (Marsh and Hannaford, 2008). The baseflow index for the
- 152 river at this location is 0.67, reflecting the influence of influent groundwater, sourced from the 153 limestone aquifers located in the headwaters, and the extensive floodplain gravel aquifers. During the
- summer a significant component of flow is supported by effluent from Wastewater Treatment Works
- 155 (WwTW) (Bowes et al., 2010). Five sites upstream and downstream of a WwTW were selected along
- 156 the Thames and sampled in April and September 2016 for NO_3^- isotopes at steady-state flow. Site 2 is
- 157 on the River Lambourn in Berkshire. Chalk streams such as this are widespread across southern
- 158 England (Allen et al., 2010). They are characterised by a high baseflow index (>0.9) and a shallow
- 159 hyporheic zone. The primary source of nitrogen therefore comes from NO_3^- in groundwater due to
- 160 fertilizer use. Samples where collected at steady-state flow.

161 Methods:

- 162 Canadian samples for NO₃⁻ isotopes were collected in HDPE bottles and filtered in the field to
- 163 0.45µm. Samples were kept cold and dark until returned to the lab where they were frozen until
- analysed. Samples for H₂O isotopes were collected in HDPE bottles without headspace. Canadian
- analyses were performed at the Environmental Isotope Laboratory at the University of Waterloo. NO₃⁻
- 166 isotope samples were analysed via the chemical denitrifier method where NO_3^- is reduced to N_2O with
- cadmium and sodium azide (McIlvin and Altabet 2005). The resultant N₂O gas was analysed on an
- 168 IsoPrime continuous flow isotope ratio mass spectrometer (now Elementar, Cheadle Hulme, UK) with
- a precision of $\pm 0.3\%$ for δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ and $\pm 0.5\%$ for δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻. Water isotopes were measured on a a
- 170 Los Gatos (Los Gatos Research, San Jose, USA) water isotope analyser with a precision of $\pm 0.2\%$ for 171 δ^{18} O-H₂O.
- 171 172
- 173 Kenyan samples were filtered to 0.45µm and stored below 4°C in 1L HDPE bottles. Kenyan analyses
- were performed at the Ghent University Stable Isotope Facility (UGent-SIF). NO_3^- isotopes were
- analysed by the bacterial denitrification method (Xue et al., 2009) and the resulting N_2O gas analyzed
- 176 with a SerCon trace gas preparation unit coupled to a SerCon 20-20 isotope ratio mass spectrometer
- 177 (SerCon, Crewe, UK).
- 178
- 179 UK samples were also filtered to 0.45 µm and stored below 4°C in 1L HDPE bottles. Isotope
- 180 preparation and analysis for UK samples was carried out at the NERC Isotope Geosciences
- 181 Laboratory (Keyworth, UK). NO₃⁻ was separated on anion resins and prepared as AgNO₃ using the
- 182 method of Silva et al. (2000) and δ^{15} N analysed by combustion in a Flash EA coupled to a Delta Plus
- 183 XL mass spectrometer (ThermoFinnigan, Bremen, Germany) with precision (1 SD) typically <0.8‰.
- 184 δ^{18} O was analysed by thermal conversion to CO gas at 1400°C in a TC–EA online to a Delta Plus XL
- 185 mass spectrometer with precision (1 SD) typically <1.2‰.

186 Results and Discussion:

- 187 On the traditional biplot, our data from Canada, Kenya, and the United Kingdom fall in a wide swath
- **188** (Figure 1A). Data from each country has a wider range of δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ values than δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values.
- 189 Additionally, data from each country has a positive relationship between δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ and δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ (2-
- 190 tailed parametric p < 0.006 for each country). But this relationship also contains seasonal changes in
- 191 ambient δ^{18} O-H₂O values, temperature, and nitrogen sources and processes that confound direct

192 comparison of the data.

193

194 This means that without additional independent information, there are several possible explanations

- 195 for the data that are more complex than simply assigning a source of NO₃ based on the δ^{15} N values or
- 196 assigning a single process based on a simplistic pattern in the δ^{18} O- vs $_3^-$ and δ^{15} N-NO $_3^-$ values. For
- 197 example, varying contributions of the δ^{18} O-H₂O values, two or more sources of nitrogen, uptake and
- 198 release of varying amounts of ammonium and NO_3^- , and denitrification in varying combinations may
- 199 have produced the observed patterns in our data. It is critical to avoid wrongly invoking denitrification
- 200 as the primary explanation for individual points on the traditional biplot as this risks suggesting nitrogen removal from the ecosystem when other explanations for the data need to be considered.
- 201 202
- 203 Certainly, any interpretation that our data show clear evidence of denitrification or a mixture of NO_3
- 204 sources because many data points fall outside of arbitrary boxes with the traditional δ^{18} O axis (Fig.
- 205 1A) cannot be supported once the range of potential δ^{18} O-NO₃ values has been considered (Fig. 1B).
- 206 Moreover, almost all measured δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values fall within the range of expected δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values
- based on nitrification with variable amount of H₂O exchange (Fig. 1B). Thus, the theoretical range of 207
- 208 δ^{18} O-NO₃ values should be generated for each field site rather than a single catch-all approach.
- 209 Globally, δ^{18} O-H₂O values of surface water vary widely along a meteoric water line, but they can be
- 210 predicted by latitude and databases such as waterisotopes.org though direct measurement is much
- 211 simpler than NO₃⁻ isotopes. Additionally, to make δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ data comparable between seasons and
- sites, δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ data should be displayed vs the δ^{18} O-H₂O value from the same sample (i.e., same 212
- 213 location and time) rather than vs SMOW. This is akin to the way δ^{18} O-PO₄³⁻ values are plotted relative
- 214 to their temperature-specific equilibrium point with δ^{18} O-H₂O (e.g., Davies et al. 2014, Paytan et al.
- 215 2002) in order to remove the influence of difference δ^{18} O-H₂O values (Figure 1B). Here the 216
- differences in δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values between countries is much reduced and most δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values are
- 217 near the upper-end of the δ^{18} O-NO₃ values predicted from microbial transformation of nitrogen. There is a positive relationship between δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ and δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ in the Kenya and UK data ($p < 10^{-4}$) but not 218
- 219 Canada (*p*>0.4).
- 220

221 Some variability due to watershed size and seasonality can also be considered with this approach.

- 222 First, as watershed size increases above a river sampling point the average duration the nitrogen
- 223 spends in the watershed increases and thus the likelihood that the sampled NO_3^- had been assimilated
- and released multiple times approaches 100%. Second, initial δ^{18} O-NO₃ values entirely depend on the 224
- 225 ambient δ^{18} O-H₂O and δ^{18} O-O₂ at the time of nitrification and not the δ^{18} O value of the NO₃⁻ added to
- 226 the watershed at some point upstream if the nitrogen has been cycled at least once. Thus changes in
- 227 δ^{18} O-H₂O between seasons or throughout watersheds are accounted for by reporting δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻
- 228 relative to the H_2O . The implication here is that identifying the source of the NO_3 cannot be done with 229 δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values.
- 230

231 Increases in δ^{15} N- and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values, which are often interpreted as evidence of denitrification 232 with closed-system assumptions (e.g., Böttcher et al. 1990), cannot be uniquely separated from

- 233 multiple processes that recycle nitrogen in surface waters. Necessarily, this requires us to move
- beyond looking only for denitrification in our δ^{15} N- and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ data and towards how multiple 234
- 235 processes and sources interact to produce the values measured in surface waters. Likely, this will
- 236 ultimately require development of process-based NO₃⁻ isotope models for surface waters and will be
- 237 informed by measurements of other nitrogen species, transformation processes and associated isotope
- 238 enrichment factors (e.g., Venkiteswaran et al. 2018).
- 239

- 240 Only once the appropriate range of initial δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values has been determined, can processes such
- 241 nitrification, denitrification, and NO₃⁻ assimilation be considered. Here, the δ^{15} N- and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻
- values in the environment will be pulled in multiple directions at the same time. The magnitude of
- change depends on multiple factors that are difficult or impossible to statically display in a biplot: (1)
- 244 mineralization of organic nitrogen and subsequent nitrification may decrease δ^{15} N- and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ 245 values depending on if there is a difference between the δ^{15} N value of organic nitrogen and NO₃⁻ and
- values depending on if there is a difference between the δ^{15} N value of organic nitrogen and NO₃⁻ at the δ^{18} O contributions of O₂ and H₂O; (2) ammonia and NO₃⁻ uptake and release by riverine
- 247 periphyton and macrophytes may have differing impacts since isotope fractionation during ammonia
- 248 uptake is non-linearly dependant on concentration (Fogel and Cifuentes 1993; Hoch et al. 1992) and
- 249 denitrification in riparian zones and anoxic river and lake sediments may increase δ^{15} N- and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻
- 250 values if there is residual NO₃⁻ to measure. In all cases, changes in the δ^{15} N- and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values are
- 251 more complex than a single arrow for denitrification suggests (Kendall 1998). A recent review has
- summarised the modelling approaches and isotope fractionation factors necessary to interpret
- 253 measured δ^{15} N- and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values in soils (Denk et al. 2017). With this process-based
- understanding it is clear that a single vector or slope on a biplot for denitrification is inappropriate for
- surface waters.
- 256

257 Summary and Conclusions:

258 In order to move beyond the simple source apportionment assumptions commonly made in NO₃⁻

isotope biplots and to explicitly acknowledge that there are a variety of processes that alter the δ^{15} Nand δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values *in situ* we therefore recommend:

- Measuring δ¹⁸O-H₂O values at the same time as δ¹⁸O-NO₃⁻ values and report δ¹⁸O-NO₃⁻ values vs δ¹⁸O-H₂O instead of V-SMOW to make appropriate comparisons with time and across sites;
- Combining δ¹⁸O-H₂O and δ¹⁸O-O₂ values to develop appropriate site-specific ranges of δ¹⁸O-NO₃⁻ produced *in situ*; and
- Measuring locally relevant δ¹⁵N source values to significantly reduce the range of δ¹⁵N values of nitrogen input to aquatic systems.

268 Acknowledgements

- 269 This work was completed under the auspices of the International Atomic Energy Agency's
- 270 Coordinated Research project 'Isotopes to Study Nitrogen Pollution and Eutrophication of Rivers and
- 271 Lakes' (F32007). Samples in Canada were collected under the auspices of the Natural Science and
- 272 Engineering Research Council (NSERC) grant number STPGP-447692-2013. Samples in the UK
- 273 were funded by the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) National Capability resources
- 274 devolved to the British Geological Survey. DCG publishes with permission of the Executive Director,
- 275 British Geological Survey (NERC).



278 Figure 1 (a): Nitrate isotope biplot of data from three sites in the middle of the Grand River, Ontario,

279 Canada; 11 sites in the Nyando River, Kenya; eight sites in the Nzoia River, Kenya; five sites in the

280 Sondu River, Kenya; eight sites in the River Lambourn near Boxford, United Kingdom; and 11 sites

281 in the River Thames near Oxford, United Kingdom. Comparisons are difficult between seasons at one

site and still more difficult between sites because of the variability in δ^{18} O-H₂O since the δ^{18} O-NO₃

axis is reported relative to the typical standard SMOW.

284 (b): Nitrate isotope biplot of the same data where the δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ axis is reported relative to the ambient

285 δ^{18} O-H₂O values in the river at the time of sampling, as per recommendation A. The grey bands

indicates NO₃⁻ produced with a range of δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values based on a mixture of δ^{18} O-O₂ and δ^{18} O-

287 H₂O values. The minimum value is where the δ^{18} O-H₂O is entirely retained in the δ^{18} O-NO₃ value and

without isotope fractionation associated with abiotic oxygen exchange (Casciotti et al. 2007). The

289 light grey band covers the range expected when δ^{18} O-O₂ values are lowest during the day. The dark 290 grey band extends the range expected when δ^{18} O-O₂ values are greatest during the night

- 291 (Venkiteswaran et al. 2015). Thus the δ^{18} O value of newly producted NO₃⁻ in these rivers may cycle
- through these ranges on a diel basis. Here, data are more clearly expressed relative to the appropriate
- environmental conditions that recognize that nitrogen is biologically cycled and will be largely
- imprinted with the ambient δ^{18} O-H₂O value with a minor contribution from the variable δ^{18} O-O₂
- value. A parsimonious interpretation here is that many data from Kenya and the UK exhibit the range of known contributions of the δ^{18} O-H₂O values, i.e., from two-thirds to one. Most Canadian and some
- 207 Kenvan and UK data approach the theoretical maximum δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ before a requirement of
- 297 Renyan and OK data approach the theoretical maximum of O-NO₃ before a requirement 298 denitrification must be considered.
- 299

300 References

301

- Aleem MIH, Hoch GE, Varner JE. 1965. Water as the source of oxidant and reductant in bacterial
 chemosynthesis. Biochemistry, 54, 869–873, DOI: 10.1073/pnas.54.3.869
- Allen DJ, Darling WG, Gooddy DC, Lapworth DJ, Newell AJ, Williams AT, Allen D, Abesser C.
- 306 2010. Interaction between groundwater, the hyporheic zone and a Chalk stream: a case study from the
- 307 River Lambourn, UK. Hydrogeology Journal 18(5): 1125-1141, DOI: 10.1007/s10040-010-0592-2 308
- Andersson KK, Hooper AB. 1983. O₂ and H₂O are each the source of one O in NO₂⁻ produced from NH₃ by Nitrosomonas: ¹⁵N-NMR evidence. FEBS Lett. 164, 236–240, DOI: 10.1016/0014-
- 311 5793(83)80292-0
- 312
- Aravena R, Evans ML, Cherry JA. 1993. Stable Isotopes of Oxygen and Nitrogen in Source
 Identification of Nitrate from Septic Systems. Groundwater, 31: 180-186, DOI: 10.1111/j.1745-
- 315 6584.1993.tb01809.x 316
- Aravena R. Robertson WD. 1998. Use of Multiple Isotope Tracers to Evaluate Denitrification in
 Ground Water: Study of Nitrate from a Large-Flux Septic System Plume. Groundwater, 36: 975-982,
 DOI: 10.1111/j.1745-6584.1998.tb02104.x
- 320
- Bateman AS, Kelly SD. 2007. Fertilizer nitrogen isotope signatures, Isotopes in Environmental and
 Health Studies, 43:3, 237-247, DOI: 10.1080/10256010701550732
- Barth JAC, Tait A, Bolshaw M (2004) Automated analyses of ¹⁸O/¹⁶O ratios in dissolved oxygen from
 12-mL water samples. Limnol Oceanogr Meth 2:35–41, DOI: 10.4319/lom.2004.2.35
- 326327 Böttcher J, Strebel O, Voerkelius S, Schmidt H-L. 1990. Using isotope fractionation of nitrate-
- 327 Bottener J, Streber O, Voerkends S, Schindt H-L. 1990. Using isotope fractionation of initiate-328 nitrogen and nitrate-oxygen for evaluation of microbial denitrification in a sandy aquifer,
- 329 Journal of Hydrology, 114, 413-424, DOI: 10.1016/0022-1694(90)90068-9
- 330
- Bowes MJ, Neal C, Jarvie HP, Smith JT, Davies HN. 2010. Predicting phosphorus concentrations in
- 332 British rivers resulting from the introduction of improved phosphorus removal from sewage effluent.
- 333 Science of The Total Environment 408(19): 4239-4250, DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2010.05.016.
- 334
- 335 Buchwald C, Casciotti KL. 2010. Oxygen isotopic fractionation and exchange during bacterial nitrite
- 336 oxidation Limnol. Oceanogr. 55, 1064–1074, DOI: 10.4319/lo.2010.55.3.1064
- 337
- 338 Casciotti KL, Böhlke JK, McIlvin MR, Mroczkowski SJ, Hannon JE. 2007. Oxygen Isotopes in
- 339 Nitrite: Analysis, Calibration, and Equilibration. Anal. Chem. 79(6), 2427–2436, DOI:
- **340** 10.1021/ac061598h

341 342 Casciotti KL, McIlvin M, Buchwald C. 2010. Oxygen isotopic exchange and fractionation during 343 bacterial ammonia oxidation. Limnol. Oceanogr. 55(2), 753-762, DOI: 10.4319/lo.2010.55.2.0753 344 345 Chang CCY, Langston J, Riggs M, Campbell DH, Silva SR, Kendall C. 1999. A method for nitrate 346 collection for δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O analysis from waters with low nitrate concentrations. Can. J. Fish. 347 Aguatic Sci., 56, 1856-1864, DOI: 10.1139/f99-126 348 349 COWI Consulting Engineers, 2002. Integrated water quality/Limnology study for Lake Victoria. Lake Victoria Environmental Management Project, Part II Technical Report. 350 351 352 Craine JM, Elmore AJ, Wang L, Augusto L, Baisden WT, Brookshire EN, Cramer MD, Hasselquist 353 NJ, Hobbie EA, Kahmen A, Koba K (2015) Convergence of soil nitrogen isotopes across global 354 climate gradients. Scientific Reports 5:8280, DOI: 10.1038/srep08280 355 356 Davies CL, Surridge DWJ, Gooddy DC (2014) Phosphate oxygen isotopes within aquatic ecosystems: 357 Global data synthesis and future research priorities. Sci Total Environ 496:563–575, DOI: 358 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.07.057 359 360 Denk TRA, Mohn J, Decock C, Lewicka-Szczebak D, Harris W, Butterbach-Bahl K, Kiese R, Wolf 361 B. 2017. The nitrogen cycle: A review of isotope effects and isotope modeling approaches. Soil 362 Biology and Biochemistry. 105, 121-137, DOI: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.11.015. 363 364 Deutsch B, Mewes M, Liskow I, Voss M. 2006. Quantification of diffuse nitrate inputs into a small river system using stable isotopes of oxygen and nitrogen in nitrate. Organic Geochemistry, 37(10): 365 366 1333-1342, DOI: 10.1016/j.orggeochem.2006.04.012. 367 368 DiSpirito AA, Hooper AB. 1986. Oxygen exchange between nitrate molecules during nitrite oxidation 369 by Nitrobacter. J. Biol. Chem. 1986, 261, 10534-10537. 370 371 Fogel M L, Cifuentes LA. 1993. Isotope Fractionation during Primary Production. In Organic 372 geochemistry; Engel, H. M., Macko, S. A., Eds.; Plenum Press: New York; pp 73–98. 373 374 Gammons CH, Babcock JN, Parker SR, Poulson SR. 2011. Diel cycling and stable isotopes of 375 dissolved oxygen, dissolved inorganic carbon, and nitrogenous species in a stream receiving treated 376 municipal sewage. Chem. Geol. 283(102), 44-55, DOI: 10.1016/j.chemgeo.2010.07.006 377 378 Hannaford J, Marsh TJ. 2008. High-flow and flood trends in a network of undisturbed catchments in 379 the UK. International Journal of Climatology 28(10): 1325-1338, DOI: 10.1002/joc.1643 380 381 Heaton THE. 1986. Isotopic studies of nitrogen pollution in the hydrosphere and atmosphere: A review. Chem Geol 59:87-102, DOI: 10.1016/0168-9622(86)90059-X 382 383 384 Hoch MP, Fogel ML, Kirchman DL. 1992. Isotope Fractionation Associated with Ammonium Uptake 385 by a Marine Bacterium. Limnol. Oceanogr., 37(7), 1447-1459, DOI: 10.4319/lo.1992.37.7.1447 386 387 Hollocher TC. 1984. Source of the oxygen atoms of nitrate in the oxidation of nitrite by Nitrobacter 388 agilis and evidence against a P-O-N anhydride mechanism in oxidative phosphorylation. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 233, 721-727, DOI: 10.1016/0003-9861(84)90499-5 389 390 391 Hollocher TC, Tate ME, Nicholas DJD. 1981. Oxidation of ammonia by Nitrosomonas europaea. J.

- **392** Biol. Chem. 256, 10834–10836.
- 393 394 Hood JLA, Taylor WD, Schiff SL. 2014. Examining the fate of WWTP effluent nitrogen using $\delta^{15}N$ –
- **395** NH₄⁺, δ^{15} N–NO₃⁻ and δ^{15} N of submersed macrophytes. Aquat. Sci. 76(2), 243-258, DOI:
- **396** 10.1007/s00027-013-0333-4
- 397
- **398** Hotchkiss ER. Hall Jr, RO. 2014. High rates of daytime respiration in three streams: Use of $\delta^{18}O_{O2}$ and
- **399** O₂ to model diel ecosystem metabolism. Limnol. Oceanogr. 59(3), 798-810, DOI:
- **400** 10.4319/lo.2014.59.3.0798
- 401
- Juma DW, Wang H, Li F. 2014. Impacts of population growth and economic development on water
 quality of a lake: case study of Lake Victoria Kenya water. Environ. Sci. Pollut. R. 21: 5737–46, DOI:
 10.1007/s11356-014-2524-5
- 405
- 406 Kendall C. 1998. Tracing Nitrogen Sources and Cycling in Catchments. In: Kendall C, McDonnell JJ
 407 (eds) Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, pp 519–576
- 408
- 409 Kendall C, Elliott EM, Wankel SD. 2008. Tracing Anthropogenic Inputs of Nitrogen to Ecosystems.
- In Stable Isotopes in Ecology and Environmental Science (eds) R. Michener and K. Lajtha, doi:
 10.1002/9780470691854.ch12
- 412
- Kendall C, Young MB, Silva SR, Kraus TEC, Peek S, Guerin M. 2015. Tracing nutrient and organic
 matter sources and biogeochemical processes in the Sacramento River and Northern Delta: proof of
- 414 matter sources and biogeochemical processes in the Sacramento River and Northern Delta: proof of
 415 concept using stable isotope data. U.S. Geological Survey, Data Release, DOI: 10.5066/F7QJ7FCM
- 416
- Loo SE, Ryan MC, Zebarth BJ, Kuchta SH, Neilsen D, Mayer B. 2017. Irrigated Crops: A Cautionary
 Vadose Zone Tale. Journal of Environmental Quality, 46: 528–536, DOI: 10.2134/jeq2016.08.0294
- 419
 420 McIlvin MR, Altabet MA. 2005. Chemical Conversion of Nitrate and Nitrite to Nitrous Oxide for
 421 Nitrogen and Oxygen Isotopic Analysis in Freshwater and Seawater. Analytical Chemistry 2005 77
 422 (17), 5589-5595, DOI: 10.1021/ac050528s
- 423

424 Michalski G, Bhattacharya SK, Mase DF. 2012. Oxygen isotope dynamics of atmospheric nitrate and
425 its precursor molecules. In: Baskaram M (ed) Handbook of environmental isotope geochemistry,
426 Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp.613–635

- 426 Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, pp 613–635427
- 428 Oelmann Y, Kreutziger Y, Bol R, Wilcke W. 2007. Nitrate leaching in soil: Tracing the NO3–
- 429 sources with the help of stable N and O isotopes. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 39(12): 3024-3033,
 430 DOI: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2007.05.036.
- 431
- 432 Pardo LH, Kendall C, Pett-Ridge J, Chang CC. 2004. Evaluating the source of streamwater nitrate 433 using δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O in nitrate in two watersheds in New Hampshire, USA. Hydrol. Process., 18: 2699-434 2712. DOI: 10.1002/hyp.5576
- 435
- 436 Parker SR, Poulson SR, Gammons CH, DeGrandpre MD. 2005. Biogeochemical Controls on Diel
- 437 Cycling of Stable Isotopes of Dissolved O2 and Dissolved Inorganic Carbon in the Big Hole River,
- 438 Montana. Environmental Science and Technology 39(18), pp 7134–7140, DOI: 10.1021/es0505595 439
- Paytan A, Kolodny Y, Neori A, Luz B. 2002. Rapid biologically mediated oxygen isotope exchange
 between water and phosphate, Global Biogeochem Cy, 16, DOI: 10.1029/2001GB001430
- 442
- 443 Sigman DM, Casciotti KL, Andreani M, Barford C, Galanter M, Böhlke JK. 2001. A Bacterial
 - 444 Method for the Nitrogen Isotopic Analysis of Nitrate in Seawater and Freshwater. Analytical
 - 445 Chemistry 2001 73 (17), 4145-4153, DOI: 10.1021/ac010088e
 - 446

- 447 Silva S, Kendall C, Wilkison D, Ziegler A, Chang CC, Avanzino R. 2000. A new method for
- collection of nitrate from fresh water and the analysis of nitrogen and oxygen isotope ratios. J.
- 449 Hydrol., 228, 22-36, DOI: 10.1016/S0022-1694(99)00205-X
- 450
- Snider DM, Spoelstra J, Schiff SL, Venkiteswaran JJ. 2010. Stable oxygen isotope ratios of nitrate
 produced from nitrification: ¹⁸O-labelled water incubations of agricultural and temperate forest soils.
- 453 Environ Sci Technol 44:5358–5364 DOI: 10.1021/es1002567
- 454
- 455 Spalding RF, Exner ME. 1993. Occurrence of nitrate in groundwater: A review. Journal of
- 456 Environmental Quality, 22, 392–402, DOI: 10.2134/jeq1993.00472425002200030002x.
- 457
- Spoelstra J, Schiff SL, Elgood RJ, Semkin RG, Jeffries DS. 2001. Tracing the Sources of Exported
 Nitrate in the Turkey Lakes Watershed Using ¹⁵N/¹⁴N and ¹⁸O/¹⁶O isotopic ratios. Ecosystems 4: 536-
- 459 Nitrate in the Turkey Lakes Watershed Us
 460 544, DOI: 10.1007/s10021-001-0027-y
- 461
- Venkiteswaran JJ, Schiff SL, Ingalls BJ. 2018. Quantifying the Fate of Wastewater Nitrogen
- 463 Discharged to a Canadian River. EarthArXiv, doi:10.17605/OSF.IO/MQ2GN.
- 464
- 465 Venkiteswaran JJ, Schiff SL, Taylor WD. 2015. Linking aquatic metabolism, gas exchange, and
- 466 hypoxia to impacts along the 300-km Grand River, Canada. Freshwat Sci 34:1216-1232, DOI:
- **467** 10.1086/683241 **468**
- 469 Vitousek PM, Aber JD, Howarth RW, Likens GE, Matson PA, Schindler DW, Schlesinger WH,
- 470 Tillman DG. 1997. Human alteration of the global nitrogen cycle: sources and consequences. Ecol
 471 Appl 7, 737-750, DOI: 10.1890/1051-0761(1997)007[0737:HAOTGN]2.0.CO;2
- 472
- 473 Wassenaar LI, Koehler G. 1999. An On-Line Technique for the Determination of the δ^{18} O and δ^{17} O of
- 474 Gaseous and Dissolved Oxygen. Anal Chem 71:4965–4968, DOI: 10.1021/ac9903961
- 475
- 476 Wassenaar LI, Venkiteswaran JJ, Schiff SL, Koehler G. 2010. Aquatic community metabolism
- 477 response to municipal effluent inputs in rivers quantified using diel δ^{18} O values of dissolved oxygen.
- **478** Can J Fish Aquat Sci 67:1232-1246, DOI: 10.1139/F10-057
- 479
- 480 Xue D, Botte J, De Baets B, Accoe F, Nestler A, Taylor P, Van Cleemput O, Berglund M, Boeckx P.
- 481 2009. Present limitations and future prospects of stable isotope methods for nitrate source
- 482 identification in surface- and groundwater. Water Res. 43:1159–1170, DOI:
- 483 10.1016/j.watres.2008.12.048
- 484