

1

2 An edited version of this paper was published by AGU. Copyright 2018 American Geophysical  
3 Union

4

5 When citing this work, please cite the final edited version:

6 *Keating, K., Binley, A.M., Bense, V.F., Van Dam, R.L., and Christiansen, H.H., 2018. Combined*  
7 *geophysical measurements provide evidence for unfrozen water in permafrost in the Adventdalen*  
8 *valley in Svalbard. Geophysical Research Letters. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2017GL076508>"*

9

10

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

**Title:**

Combined geophysical measurements provide evidence for unfrozen water in permafrost in the Adventdalen valley in Svalbard

**Published in:** *Geophysical Research Letters*

*12 April 2018*

**Authors:**

Kristina Keating<sup>1</sup>, Andrew Binley<sup>2</sup>, Victor Bense<sup>3</sup>, Remke L. Van Dam<sup>4,5</sup>, Hanne H. Christiansen<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Earth and Environmental Science, Rutgers University – Newark, 101 Warren Street, Smith Hall Room 135, Newark, NJ 07102, USA

<sup>2</sup>Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YQ, UK

<sup>3</sup>Department of Environmental Sciences, Wageningen University, PO Box 47, 6700AA Wageningen, Netherlands

<sup>4</sup> Department of Civil Engineering, Centro Federal de Educação Tecnológica de Minas Gerais (CEFET-MG), CEP 30510-000, Belo Horizonte, Brazil

<sup>5</sup> Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA

<sup>6</sup>Arctic Geology Department, The University Centre in Svalbard, P.O. Box 156, 9171 Longyearbyen, Norway

**Key Points:**

- Surface nuclear magnetic resonance and controlled source audio-magnetotelluric measurements used to map permafrost in Adventdalen, Svalbard
- Measurements provided direct *in situ* detection of unfrozen water in permafrost
- Up to 10% unfrozen water content was detected using surface nuclear magnetic resonance in measurements made below the marine limit

**Index terms** (up to 5): 0702 Permafrost, 0794 Instruments and techniques, 0925 Magnetic and electrical methods (5109)

**Key words:** arctic, coastal, permafrost, Svalbard, SNMR, CSAMT

43 **Abstract**

44 Quantifying the unfrozen water content of permafrost is critical for assessing impacts of  
45 surface warming on the reactivation of groundwater flow and release of greenhouse gasses from  
46 degrading permafrost. Unfrozen water content was determined along a ~12 km transect in the  
47 Adventdalen valley in Svalbard, an area with continuous permafrost, using surface nuclear  
48 magnetic resonance and controlled source audio-magnetotelluric data. This combination of  
49 measurements allowed for differentiation of saline from fresh, and frozen from unfrozen pore  
50 water. Above the limit of Holocene marine transgression no unfrozen water was detected,  
51 associated with high electrical resistivity. Below the marine limit, within several kilometers of  
52 the coast, up to ~10% unfrozen water content was detected, associated with low resistivity values  
53 indicating saline pore water. These results provide evidence for unfrozen water within  
54 continuous, thick permafrost in coastal settings, which has implications for groundwater flow  
55 and greenhouse gas release in similar Arctic environments.

56

57

## 58 **1 Introduction**

59 It is often assumed that permafrost, defined as any Earth material that remains below 0°C  
60 for two consecutive years (French, 2007), indicates that the pore water is frozen. However,  
61 permafrost in sediments may have a substantial unfrozen water content, for instance in coastal  
62 environments with saline intrusion, when the sediment and original pore fluids are littoral or  
63 marine in origin, or in warm permafrost, i.e., permafrost at or just below 0°C (e.g., Overduin et  
64 al., 2012; Romanovsky & Osterkamp, 2000). While frozen ground is considered an impermeable  
65 barrier for groundwater movement, partially frozen ground may allow for considerable flow,  
66 which has significant implications for heat and mass transport processes (e.g., Bense et al., 2009;  
67 Boike et al., 1998; Romanovsky & Osterkamp, 2000; Walvoord & Kurylyk, 2016). As such,  
68 understanding the ice/water content of permafrost is critical for modelling permafrost evolution  
69 and predicting the effect of climate change on the degradation of permafrost and consequent  
70 impact on the carbon cycle and groundwater-surface water exchange processes (Bense et al.,  
71 2012).

72 Currently, there is a lack of data documenting the unfrozen hydrogeologic characteristics  
73 of permafrost, which severely limits the potential to accurately model hydrologic processes in  
74 permafrost landscapes (Walvoord & Kurylyk, 2016). The thickness and location of permafrost is  
75 typically determined from measurements of temperature or by modelling, which do not directly  
76 relate to the unfrozen water content. Furthermore, in warm permafrost the unfrozen water  
77 content can be substantial, up to ~20% of the total porosity for soils at -1°C depending on the soil  
78 and pore-fluid composition (Romanovsky & Osterkamp, 2000). Cores collected from permafrost  
79 environments are typically moved to freezers held at e.g. -12°C prior to analysis (Gilbert, 2014).  
80 This may cause components of the core that were unfrozen at *in situ* conditions to freeze prior to

81 analysis making it difficult to quantify the unfrozen water content in the laboratory. In contrast,  
82 geophysical measurements made in a borehole can provide *in situ* information about the physical  
83 state of pore water (e.g., Kass et al., 2017; Minsley et al., 2016; Romanovsky & Osterkamp,  
84 2000). Such measurements can provide the unfrozen water content; however, the data are limited  
85 to borehole locations. To provide data for larger scale permafrost models, more spatial  
86 information is needed.

87         Surface-based geophysical measurements can be used to characterize the depth and  
88 distribution of permafrost; an overview of large scale permafrost mapping can be found in  
89 (Walvoord & Kurylyk, 2016). Geophysical investigations have primarily consisted of electrical  
90 and electromagnetic measurements in environments where permafrost is assumed to be frozen,  
91 including in mountainous and high-latitude settings. In these environments, permafrost has a  
92 high resistivity ( $>\sim 1000 \Omega\text{m}$  in the absence of clay) and unfrozen ground has low resistivity  
93 ( $<\sim 500 \Omega\text{m}$ ; Minsley et al., 2012). Examples include airborne electromagnetic measurements  
94 (e.g., Minsley et al., 2012), direct current resistivity (e.g., Hilbich et al., 2008; Hubbard et al.,  
95 2013), and magnetotellurics (e.g., Koziar & Strangway, 1978). In coastal environments and with  
96 groundwater brines, the interpretation of electrical resistivity data becomes more complex as  
97 both frozen and unfrozen sediments can have low resistivity, e.g.  $< 200 \Omega\text{m}$  as observed by  
98 Mikucki et al. (2015) in the McMurdo Dry Valleys in Antarctica, Overduin et al. (2012) in  
99 Alaska, and by Ross et al. (2007) in the Adventdalen valley, Svalbard. This makes it difficult to  
100 use electrical resistivity measurements alone to understand the physical state of pore water as  
101 either frozen, partially frozen, or liquid.

102         Surface nuclear magnetic resonance (SNMR), which is sensitive to unfrozen water  
103 content, is emerging as a geophysical method that, alongside electrical resistivity measurements,

104 can be used to investigate permafrost environments (Behroozmand et al., 2015; Parsekian et al.,  
105 2013). Due to the impact of the subsurface electrical resistivity structure on the SNMR signal,  
106 electrical or electromagnetic geophysical measurements are typically collected together with  
107 SNMR measurements (Behroozmand et al., 2015). Previous studies have successfully  
108 demonstrated the use of SNMR to determine the thickness of taliks, a layer or body of unfrozen  
109 ground that occurs in permafrost, and to determine the depth of permafrost (Parsekian et al.,  
110 2013).

111 In this study, we used controlled source audio magnetotelluric (CSAMT) and SNMR  
112 measurements to map the physical state of permafrost and distinguish frozen from unfrozen  
113 water in the permafrost in the Adventdalen valley in Svalbard at 78°N. The SNMR  
114 measurements were used to determine the unfrozen water content, whereas the CSAMT  
115 measurements, which are sensitive to changes in the electrical resistivity, were used in the  
116 inversion of the SNMR measurements, and to distinguish saline from fresh pore water and frozen  
117 from unfrozen ground. To the best of the authors' knowledge, this study is the first to  
118 successfully employ CSAMT and SNMR to detect unfrozen water content within continuous  
119 permafrost.

120

## 121 **2 Site description**

122 Field data were collected in the Adventdalen valley (hereafter only called Adventdalen)  
123 in Svalbard at 78°N. This flat-bottomed river valley is partly infilled with Holocene marine,  
124 deltaic, fluvial and periglacial sediments, in a typical coastal Arctic high relief landscape with  
125 continuous permafrost of -3°C to -6°C at 10 m depth (Christiansen et al., 2010; Gilbert, 2018)  
126 (Figures 1 and 2). Typically, the upper 3-4 m of sediment is aeolian with a relatively high

127 amount of syngenetic ground ice in the permafrost, all of which accumulated since 3 ka ago in  
128 the middle of Adventdalen, after the underlying deltaic sediments became subaerially exposed  
129 (Gilbert, 2018). Sediments below this depth are primarily deltaic with epigenetic permafrost and  
130 a generally low ground ice content (Gilbert, 2018). The upper deltaic sediments consist of  
131 approximately even amounts of silt and sand, with less than 5% clay, and were deposited in delta  
132 top and delta front facies assemblages. The deepest studied sediments in one core below 35 m  
133 are finer-grained with up to 10% clay and around 15 % sand; deposited in glaciomarine and  
134 prodelta environments (Gilbert, 2014, 2018). Raised marine deposits, indicating the upper  
135 Holocene marine limit, in Adventdalen, dated to ~10 ka, occur at 70 m a.s.l. in its outer part and  
136 at 62 m a.s.l. in the inner part (Lønne & Nemeč, 2004; Lønne, 2005). Adventdalen features  
137 typical periglacial landforms including pingos and ice-wedges. Thermal profiles from borehole  
138 records show that in Adventdalen the permafrost is typically 80 to 100 m thick, and is assumed  
139 to thin to 0 m at the shore. In the mountains surrounding the valleys the permafrost can reach a  
140 thickness of 400 m (Humlum, 2005; Svensson, 1970). The active layer in Adventdalen is ~1m  
141 thick (Figure 2; Christiansen, 2005).

142         The average gravimetric ice content, determined in a 60 m continuous core extracted  
143 from the UNIS-CO<sub>2</sub> borehole (Figure 1) and placed directly in a -12 °C freezer, generally ranges  
144 from 20 to 40% (Gilbert, 2018). However, the near surface terrestrial sediments (from depths <  
145 5m) can have gravimetric ice contents up to 160%, due to the presence of ice-wedges and/or the  
146 formation of syngenetic permafrost in the terrestrial sediments (Gilbert, 2018).

147

148

149

### 150 **3 Geophysical methods**

151 SNMR and CSAMT measurements were collected both along and across Adventdalen  
152 (Figure 1) from 23 March to 2 April 2013. During this time, the ground was snow covered, the  
153 active layer was frozen (Figure 2), and the valley was accessible by snow mobile enabling  
154 effective surveys across the entire valley bottom.

155 CSAMT measurements were collected at 13 locations using a Geometrics Stratagem EH4  
156 system with a frequency range from 11.7 Hz to 100 kHz. Information at depth was obtained by  
157 recording data from natural signals; information from shallow depths was obtained by recording  
158 data from a high frequency 400 Am<sup>2</sup> controlled source several hundred meters from the receiver.  
159 The electrodes were arranged with 40 m spacing. To ensure good electrical contact with the  
160 ground, electrode sites were predrilled to approximately 20cm and a saline solution was poured  
161 over the electrodes prior to the collection of each CSAMT dataset and supplemented as needed  
162 during the data collection. The CSAMT data were inverted using IPI2win (Bobachev, 2002) to  
163 create a blocky 1D model at each receiver station. Datasets with high noise (due to problems  
164 with maintaining electrode contact in frozen ground) were discarded.

165 SNMR measurements, which are directly sensitive to hydrogen protons in water, were  
166 collected at 15 locations using a 70x70 m square loop with the Vista Clara GMR system.  
167 Although in theory SNMR measurements are sensitive to hydrogen in both ice and unfrozen  
168 water (Kleinberg & Griffin, 2005), the fast relaxation time of ice means that it cannot be detected  
169 using NMR equipment with long “deadtimes”, i.e., time between the excitation pulse and the  
170 first data point, such as in SNMR instruments. The remote location meant that the anthropogenic  
171 noise was limited to snow mobiles and a 50 Hz power line located along the road indicated in  
172 Figure 1. When possible, the snow mobile engines were turned off during the SNMR data



173 collection and SNMR measurements were made away from the power line. Between 16 and 20  
174 stacks were collected at each location. The pulse duration was set to 40 ms resulting in a  
175 maximum pulse moment of 14.19 A·s.

176 To account for variations in the magnetic field, its strength was measured using a proton  
177 precession magnetometer during the SNMR measurements. In Adventdalen the magnetic field  
178 declination is 7.5° and the inclination is 82°. The total magnetic field strength varied from 54 674  
179 nT to 54 819 nT across all measurement locations; the maximum variation during a single  
180 measurement was 130 nT (for site SNMR04), while the average variation during individual  
181 measurements was 41 nT. The Larmor frequency,  $f_0$ , is calculated from the magnitude of Earth's  
182 magnetic field,  $B_E$ , using  $f_0 = \gamma_H B_E / 2\pi$ , where  $\gamma_H$  is the gyromagnetic ratio for protons in water  
183 ( $\gamma_H / 2\pi = 42.577$  MHz/T). The SNMR excitation pulse is tuned to the Larmor frequency, which  
184 allows for the selective excitation of hydrogen protons. The variation in the Larmor frequency  
185 during the course of a single measurement ranged from 0.2 to 2.3 Hz for most SNMR profiles,  
186 but was higher for SNMR03 (3.7 Hz) and SNMR04 (5.5 Hz); this variation is within the  
187 acceptable range of frequency offsets for accurate inversion of SNMR data (Walbrecker et al.,  
188 2011).

189 The SNMR data were first processed using the GMR processing software (Walsh, 2008)  
190 and filtered with a 100 Hz bandpass filter. Individual records with high noise levels (primarily  
191 due to snow mobiles) were removed prior to stacking the datasets. The filtered and stacked  
192 SNMR datasets were inverted using an open-source NMR processing package (MRSMATLAB;  
193 Müller-Petke et al., 2012). This package uses a QT inversion scheme, which simultaneously fits  
194 all pulse moments, signal amplitudes, and relaxation times, to determine the water content and  
195 relaxation time profiles (Müller-Petke and Yaramanci, 2010). The data were fit assuming that

196 relaxation started following the applied pulse, i.e., not accounting for relaxation during pulse  
197 (RDP); this approach was used because, for signals with short relaxation times (< the length of  
198 the applied pulse), accounting for RDP can result in over- or under-estimation of the total water  
199 content (Grombacher et al., 2017; Walbrecker et al., 2009). Four-layer blocky inversion models  
200 were used for all data sets. Uncertainty is shown by displaying models that fit the data  
201 approximately equally well as the best fit, i.e., have a similar chi-squared statistic; 6 to 12  
202 equivalent models are shown for each profile. When possible, the SNMR data were inverted  
203 using the resistivity structure determined from a collocated CSAMT measurement; when there  
204 was no collocated CSAMT measurement at the SNMR location, the resistivity structure  
205 determined from the nearest noise-free CSAMT measurement was used.

206

#### 207 **4 Results**

208 Results from the SNMR and CSAMT measurements are shown for the down-valley  
209 profile in Figure 3 and the two across-valley profiles in Figure 4. The inverted resistivity images  
210 show a trend towards higher resistivity at the top of the valley. Near the coast the resistivity is  
211 low, reaching a minimum of  $\sim 1 \Omega\text{m}$ . A number of inversions of the CSAMT data show vertical  
212 profiles with significant contrasts in resistivity, some with thin low resistive layers, e.g.  
213 CSAMT13. These profiles represent a best fit to the data but, as in all inverse models, alternative  
214 models with near equivalent misfit exist. Using CSAMT13 as an example, the best fit model  
215 (RMS misfit 9.7%) contains a  $1\Omega\text{m}$  layer between 9.4m and 12.2m, however, using the  
216 equivalence modeling option in IPI2win a minimum resistivity of  $0.7\Omega\text{m}$  between 10.1 and  
217 12.2m and a maximum resistivity of  $5.1\Omega\text{m}$  between 4.4m and 21.4m are computed (with RMS  
218 misfits of 10.6% and 11.7%, respectively). Despite such variation in near equivalent models the

219 data confirm the presence of a shallow low resistivity layer, which we attribute to the presence of  
220 unfrozen saline pore water.

221 The depth of investigation for the SNMR measurements, shown as a red line in each  
222 inverted SNMR plot in Figures 3 and 4, is much shallower than typically expected for  
223 measurements collected with a 70 m square loop, < 50 m below the surface in some locations.  
224 The shallow depth of investigation is likely due to the low resistivity of the sediment near the  
225 coast, and the high magnetic field inclination (Berhoozmand et al., 2015; Hertrich, 2008). In  
226 Figures 3 and 4, the uncertainty associated with the inversion is shown by displaying models (as  
227 thin grey lines) that fit the data approximately as well as the model of best fit.

228 Substantial variation can be seen in the water content in the down-valley profile. Near the  
229 coast, a clear signal from unfrozen water was observed in the SNMR data, with maximum  
230 unfrozen water contents ranging from 2 to 10% in each sounding. In SNMR12, SNMR10,  
231 SNMR11 and SNMR08, the peak water content is in a single layer in the top 20 m below the  
232 surface. No unfrozen water content was detected in the SNMR measurements collected near the  
233 upper Holocene marine limit at ~62 m a.s.l. (Lønne & Nemeč, 2004; SNMR06 and SNMR07 in  
234 Figure 3). The base of the permafrost, which would be indicated by higher unfrozen water  
235 content at depth, was not observed in any of the SNMR datasets, as the measurements did not  
236 penetrate below 80 m in the lower valley bottom. The relaxation times associated with the  
237 unfrozen water content in the down-valley profile were short and ranged from 8 to 50 ms.

238 Less variation is seen in the across-valley profiles. In the across-valley profile 1, located  
239 closer to the coast, all profiles show a maximum unfrozen water content between 3.5 and 10%,  
240 with the exception of SNMR02, which was located on the northern side of Adventdalen and  
241 shows no unfrozen water content. The resistivity sounding (CSAMT04) at the northern side of

242 the profile also indicates a more resistive subsurface in comparison to the valley center. The  
243 modeled resistivities at CSAMT04 are, however, less than 30  $\Omega\text{m}$ , which may be attributed to  
244 silt/clay contributions. For the across-valley profile 2, which is located further up the valley, less  
245 unfrozen water was detected and little variation is seen across the valley (between 1.5 and 6%).  
246 Again, the base of the permafrost was not observed in any of the SNMR datasets. As with the  
247 mean log relaxation times in the down-valley profile, the mean log relaxation times associated  
248 with the unfrozen water content in the across-valley profiles were short and ranged from 8 to 47  
249 ms for across-valley profile 1 and from 11 to 42 ms for across-valley profile 2.

250

## 251 **5 Discussion**

252 Based on the temperature profiles collected in the boreholes in Adventdalen (Figure 2), it  
253 would be assumed that the pore water within the permafrost is frozen; however, the SNMR  
254 results show that the permafrost near the coast contains unfrozen water. The SNMR profiles  
255 suggest that the unfrozen water content is as high as 10%. The low resistivity values associated  
256 with the unfrozen water content further suggests that the pore water is saline, depressing the  
257 freezing point of the pore water. The SNMR results shown were collected sufficiently far from  
258 the power lines and contain very little noise (SNMR 13 was collected near the power lines and  
259 had high noise levels, but was not used in our interpretation), and we can thus be confident in our  
260 findings. We note, however, that the exact shape of the unfrozen water content profiles (Figures  
261 3 & 4) is affected by the inversion approach and thus some features, such as the thickness of the  
262 layer of higher water content in SNMR03, cannot be determined exactly, as indicated by the  
263 models showing uncertainty.

264 Furthermore, we note that SNMR inversions are strongly affected by the subsurface  
265 resistivity structure. The threshold for when the subsurface resistivity affects the SNMR  
266 inversion is a function of loop size; when the resistivity falls below this threshold, i.e., 70  $\Omega\text{m}$  for  
267 this study, it will impact the SNMR inversion (Braun & Yaramanci, 2008). Thus, for the SNMR  
268 data collected near the coast with low resistivity value, errors in the resistivity structure can  
269 impact the resulting SNMR profile. If the true resistivity is lower than determined here, then the  
270 SNMR profile would have a shallower depth of investigation and a larger maximum water  
271 content. Similarly, if the true resistivity is higher than determined here, then the SNMR profile  
272 would have deeper depth of investigation and a smaller maximum water content. Examples  
273 demonstrating the potential effect of errors in the resistivity structure are shown in Figure S1 for  
274 SNMR profiles SNMR03 and SNMR12.

275 Additionally, the unfrozen water content profiles provide a generalized overview of the  
276 subsurface that does not capture the complexity associated with small-scale periglacial  
277 subsurface landforms such as ice layers (Gilbert, 2018) and ice-wedges. However, the results  
278 shown here do provide a conceptual overview of the patterns and distribution of the unfrozen  
279 water content in Adventdalen, at the scale of a coastal valley in a typical Arctic setting.

280 The relatively shallow depth of investigation observed in the SNMR measurements (< 50  
281 m below the surface in some locations), will limit future use of SNMR to image the permafrost  
282 base in Adventdalen. In a typical survey the pulse length (to a maximum of 40 ms, the pulse  
283 length used in this study) and loop size can be enlarged to increase the depth of investigations.  
284 We thus recommend that in future applications of SNMR in Adventdalen, the loop size be  
285 increased.

286           The resistivity values measured near the coast were very low (with a minimum of ~1  
287  $\Omega\text{m}$ ). Although electrical measurements from permafrost environments can show very high  
288 resistivity (e.g., Minsley et al., 2012), the values measured in our study are consistent with direct  
289 current electrical resistivity measurements collected from a saline permafrost environment in  
290 Barrow, Alaska, USA (Overduin et al., 2012) and previously in Adventdalen by Harada and  
291 Yoshikawa (1998), who observed a resistivity of  $7.5\Omega\text{m}$  at a depth of 30 m, and Ross et al  
292 (2007), who observed resistivities from ~10 to  $400\Omega\text{m}$  associated with two pingos (Hytté and  
293 Longyear Pingos). More recently, based on electrical resistivity imaging, Kasprzak et al. (2017)  
294 postulated the existence of unfrozen saline pore water near coastal zones in southern Svalbard.  
295 From our SNMR measurements we are able to confirm that such low resistivity values can  
296 indeed be attributed to the existence of unfrozen saline pore fluid.

297           The results from the SNMR and CSAMT data, showing unfrozen water content  
298 associated with low resistivity in substantial quantity and significant depths, are consistent with  
299 the sedimentological and cryospheric paleoenvironmental interpretations of the formation and  
300 evolution of permafrost in Adventdalen (Gilbert, 2018). Comparing the unfrozen water content  
301 detected by SNMR to laboratory measurements of the ice content in the 60 m  $\text{CO}_2$  core from  
302 Adventdalen (location shown in Figure 1), which is in the range of 20 to 40% (Gilbert, 2014), we  
303 conclude that the permafrost in the lower Adventdalen is partially unfrozen. This assessment is  
304 consistent with the epigenetic origin of the permafrost, which developed after delta progradation  
305 down-valley filled Adventdalen with sediments following deglaciation since the early Holocene  
306 (Gilbert, 2018). Permafrost formation commenced and extended down-fjord through  
307 Adventdalen, when the fluvio-deltaic fjord-fill was subaerially exposed, and only the top  
308 syngenetic part of the permafrost below contained excess ice in a suite of cryofacies indicating

309 ground-ice segregation and segregation intrusion (Gilbert, 2018). The lack of excess ice further  
310 down valley indicates that the source of moisture was limited to the saline pore water of the  
311 sedimentary deposits with no significant replenishment (Gilbert, 2018).

312 These results will also help predict permafrost degradation under the influence of  
313 ongoing climate warming in polar regions (Hansen et al., 2014; Isaksen et al., 2007). This is  
314 particularly important since unfrozen sediments can delay deep freezing, impact the sediment  
315 structure, permit groundwater upwelling to surface water bodies and/or may affect microbial  
316 activity thereby impacting greenhouse gas emissions (Grosse et al., 2011; Shur et al., 2005).

317

## 318 **6 Conclusions**

319 This study is the first to successfully map unfrozen water content in a coastal permafrost  
320 environment in the Arctic using SNMR and CSAMT. The SNMR measurements identified  
321 substantial unfrozen water content (up to ~10%) in the lower valley, near the coast in  
322 Adventdalen, Svalbard; the unfrozen water content decreased with distance from the coast as the  
323 age of the permafrost increased. No unfrozen water was detected above the upper marine limit.  
324 The CSAMT measurements supported the SNMR results. Low resistivities were observed in the  
325 lower valley; above the marine limit in the upper part of the valley, the resistivity was higher  
326 ( $>1000 \Omega\text{m}$  in some locations).

327 The results of this study clearly demonstrate the utility of combining SNMR and CSAMT  
328 measurements to map the unfrozen water content in continuous permafrost. Combining the  
329 results presented here with thermal and geochemical data, including the pore water salinity, as  
330 well as the overall sedimentological and cryostratigraphical model for Adventdalen will allow  
331 development of a full assessment of the ice-content and thermal state of permafrost in

332 Adventdalen, Svalbard. Such a model is necessary to understand groundwater flow and its  
333 impact on periglacial features, such as pingos, and will allow us to quantify the potential release  
334 of greenhouse gasses.

335

336

337

### 338 **Acknowledgements**

339           The authors would like to thank Casey McGuffy and Sara Cohen for their support in the  
340 field. Funding for this research was provided by the Svalbard Science Forum and The University  
341 Centre in Svalbard. Travel support for Casey McGuffy was provided by the Rutgers Center for  
342 Global Advancement and International Affairs and the Newark Faculty of Arts and Sciences. We  
343 thank Mike Müller-Petke for discussions about MRSMATLAB. The geophysical data supporting  
344 this research is available through the Research Directory at Lancaster University  
345 (<https://doi.org/10.17635/lancaster/researchdata/212>). Permafrost thermal data from  
346 Adventdalen is available online through the NORPERM database at the Geological Survey of  
347 Norway ([http://geo.ngu.no/kart/permafrost\\_svalbard/?lang=English](http://geo.ngu.no/kart/permafrost_svalbard/?lang=English)).

348

### 349 **References**

350 Behroozmand, A., Keating, K., & Auken, E. (2015). A review of the principles and applications  
351 of the NMR technique for near-surface characterization. *Surveys in Geophysics*, 36(1) 27-85.  
352 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10712-014-9304-0>



353 Bense, V. F., Ferguson, G., and Kooi, H. (2009). Evolution of shallow groundwater flow systems  
354 in areas of degrading permafrost. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *36*, L22401.  
355 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GL039225>

356 Bense, V. F., Kooi, H. , Ferguson, G., and Read, T. (2012). Permafrost degradation as a control  
357 on hydrogeological regime shifts in a warming climate. *Journal of Geophysical Research*,  
358 *117*, F03036. doi:10.1029/2011JF002143

359 Bobachev, C., (2002). IPI2Win: A windows software for an automatic interpretation of  
360 resistivity sounding data, Ph.D. thesis, Moscow State University.

361 Boike, J., Roth, K., & Overduin, P. P. (1998). Thermal and hydrologic dynamics of the active  
362 layer at a continuous permafrost site (Taymyr Peninsula, Siberia). *Water Resources*  
363 *Research*, *34*(3), 355–363. <https://doi.org/10.1029/97WR03498>

364 Braun, M., & Yaramanci, U. (2008). Inversion of resistivity in magnetic resonance sounding.  
365 *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, *66*(3–4), 151–164.  
366 <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jappgeo.2007.12.004>

367 Christiansen, H. H. (2005). Thermal regime of ice-wedge cracking in Adventdalen, Svalbard.  
368 *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes*, *16*, 87–98. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ppp.523>

369 Christiansen, H. H., Etzelmüller, B., Isaksen, K., Juliussen, H., Farbrøt, H., Humlum, O., ...  
370 Ødegård, R. S. (2010). The thermal state of permafrost in the Nordic area during the  
371 International Polar Year 2007-2009. *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes*, *21*, 156-181.  
372 <https://doi.org/10.1002/ppp.68>

373 French, H. M. (2007) *The Periglacial Environment*. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

374 Gilbert, G. L. (2014). *Sedimentology and geocryology of an Arctic fjord head delta (Adventdalen,*  
375 *Svalbard)*, (Master's thesis). Oslo: University of Oslo & The University Centre in Svalbard.

376 Gilbert, G. L. (2018). *Cryostratigraphy and sedimentology of high-Arctic fjord-valleys*, (Ph.D  
377 Thesis). Longyearbyen: University of Bergen & University Centre in Svalbard.

378 Grombacher, D., Behroozmand, A. A., & Auken, E. (2017). Accounting for relaxation during  
379 pulse effects for long pulses and fast relaxation times in surface nuclear magnetic resonance.  
380 *Geophysics*, 82(6), JM23-JM36. <https://doi.org/10.1190/geo2016-0567.1>

381 Grosse, G., Harden, J., Turetsky, M. McGuire, A. D., Camill, P., Tarnocai, C., ... Striegl, R. G.  
382 (2011), Vulnerability of high-latitude soil organic carbon in North America to disturbance.  
383 *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 116, G00K06. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JG001507>

384 Hansen, B. B., Isaksen, K. Benestad, R. E., Kohler, J., Pedersen, Å. Ø., Loe, L.E., ... Varpe, Ø.  
385 (2014). Warmer and wetter winters: characteristics and implications of an extreme weather  
386 event in the High Arctic. *Environmental Research Letters*, 9, 114021. [https://doi.org](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/9/11/114021)  
387 [/10.1088/1748-9326/9/11/114021](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/9/11/114021)

388 Harada, K., & Yoshikawa, K. (1998). Permafrost age and thickness at Moskuslagoon,  
389 Spitsbergen. *PERMAFROST – Seventh International Conference (Proceedings), Yellowknife,*  
390 *Canada, Collection Nordicana*, 55, 427-431.

391 Hertrich, M. (2008). Imaging of groundwater with nuclear magnetic resonance. *Progress in*  
392 *Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy*, 53, 227–248.  
393 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnmrs.2008.01.002>

394 Hilbich, C., C. Hauck, M. Hoelzle, M. Scherler, L. Schudel, I. Völksch, D. Vonder Mühl, and R.  
395 Mäusbacher (2008), Monitoring mountain permafrost evolution using electrical resistivity

396 tomography: A 7-year study of seasonal, annual, and long-term variations at Schilthorn,  
397 Swiss Alps, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 113, F01S90, doi:10.1029/2007JF000799

398 Hubbard, S. S., Gangodagamage, C., Dafflon, B., Wainwright, H., Peterson, J., Gusmeroli, A.,  
399 ... Wullschleger, S. D. (2013). Quantifying and relating land-surface and subsurface  
400 variability in permafrost environments using LiDAR and surface geophysical datasets.  
401 *Hydrogeology Journal*, 21(1), 149–169. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-012-0939-y>

402 Humlum, O. (2005). Holocene permafrost aggradation in Svalbard. In C. Harris, & J.B. Murton  
403 (Eds), *Cryospheric systems: Glaciers and Permafrost*, *Geological Society Special*  
404 *Publications*, (Vol. 242, pp. 119-130). Bath, UK: Geologic Society of London.  
405 <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.2005.242.01.11>

406 Irons, T., Kass, M. A., & others, 2012, LemmaWeb, Lemma v1, <https://lemmasoftware.org>.

407 Isaksen, K., Sollid, J. L., Holmlund, P., & Harris, C. (2007). Recent warming of mountain  
408 permafrost in Svalbard and Scandinavia. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 112, F02S04.  
409 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JF000522>

410 Kasprzak, M., Strzelecki, M. C., Traczyk, A., Kondracka, M., Lim, M., & Migala, K. (2017). On  
411 the potential for a bottom active layer below coastal permafrost: the impact of seawater on  
412 permafrost degradation imaged by electrical resistivity tomography (Hornsund, SW  
413 Spitsbergen). *Geomorphology*, 293, 347–359.  
414 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2016.06.013>

415 Kass, M. A., Irons, T. P., Minsley, B. J., Pastick, N. J., Brown, D. R. N., & Wylie, B. K. (2017).  
416 In situ nuclear magnetic resonance response of permafrost and active layer soil in boreal and  
417 tundra ecosystems. *The Cryosphere Discussion*. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-2016-256>

418 Kleinberg, R. L., & Griffin, D. D. (2005). NMR measurements of permafrost: unfrozen water  
419 assay, pore-scale distribution of ice, and hydraulic permeability of sediments. *Cold Regions*  
420 *Science and Technology*, 42(1), 63–77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2004.12.002>

421 Koziar, A., & Strangway, D. W. (1978). Permafrost mapping by audiofrequency  
422 magnetotellurics. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 15(10), 1539–1545.  
423 <https://doi.org/10.1139/e78-159>

424 Lønne, I. (2005) Faint traces of high Arctic glaciations: an early Holocene ice-front fluctuation in  
425 Bolterdalen, Svalbard. *Boreas*, 34, 308–323. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1502-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1502-3885.2005.tb01103.x)  
426 [3885.2005.tb01103.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1502-3885.2005.tb01103.x)

427 Lønne, I., & Nemec, W. (2004). High-Arctic fan delta recording deglaciation and environment  
428 disequilibrium. *Sedimentology*, 51, 553-589. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3091.2004.00636.x)  
429 [3091.2004.00636.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3091.2004.00636.x)

430 Mikucki, J. A., Auken, E., Tulaczyk, S., Virginia, R. A., Schamper, C., Sørensen, K. I., ... Foley,  
431 N. (2015) Deep groundwater and potential subsurface habitats beneath an Antarctic dry  
432 valley. *Nature Communications*, 6, 6831. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms7831>

433 Minsley, B. J., Abraham, J. D., Smith, B. D., Cannia, J. C., Voss, C. I., Jorgenson, M. T., ...  
434 Ager, T. A. (2012). Airborne electromagnetic imaging of discontinuous permafrost.  
435 *Geophysical Research Letters*, 39(2), L02503. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL050079>

436 Minsley, B. J., Pastick, N. J., Wylie, B. K., Brown, D. R. N, & Kass, A. M. (2016). Evidence for  
437 nonuniform permafrost degradation after fire in boreal landscapes. *Journal of Geophysical*  
438 *Research: Earth Surface*, 121(2), 320-335. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JF003781>

439 Müller-Petke, M., Braun, M., Hertrich, M., Costabel, S., & Walbrecker, J. (2016) MRSmatlab -  
440 A software tool for processing, modeling, and inversion of magnetic resonance sounding  
441 data. *Geophysics*, 81, WB9-WB21. <https://doi.org/10.1190/geo2015-0461.1>

442 Müller-Petke, M., & Yaramanci, U. (2010). QT inversion—Comprehensive use of the complete  
443 surface NMR data set. *Geophysics*, 75(4), WA199-WA209.  
444 <https://doi.org/10.1190/1.3471523>

445 NORPERM (Norwegian Permafrost Database) (2016). Online ground temperature data from  
446 Svalbard. <http://www.tspnorway.com/> [accessed 7 December 2016]

447 Norsk Polarinstitut. (2017). Online map data. <http://toposvalbard.npolar.no/> [accessed 12  
448 October 2017]

449 Overduin, P. P., Westermann, S., Yoshikawa, K., Haberlau, T., Romanovsky, V., & Wetterich,  
450 S. (2012). Geoelectric observations of the degradation of nearshore submarine permafrost at  
451 Barrow (Alaskan Beaufort Sea). *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 117,  
452 F02004. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JF002088>

453 Parsekian, A. D., Grosse, G., Walbrecker, J. O., Müller-Petke, M., Keating, K., Liu, L., ...  
454 Knight, R. (2013). Detecting unfrozen sediments below thermokarst lakes with surface  
455 nuclear magnetic resonance. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 40(3), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1002/grl.50137>

457 Romanovsky, V. E., & Osterkamp, T. E. (2000). Effects of unfrozen water on heat and mass  
458 transport processes in the active layer and permafrost. *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes*,  
459 11(3), 219-239. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1099-1530\(200007/09\)11:3<219::AID-  
460 PPP352>3.0.CO;2-7](https://doi.org/10.1002/1099-1530(200007/09)11:3<219::AID-PPP352>3.0.CO;2-7)

461 Ross, N., Brabham, P. J., Harris, C., & Christiansen, H. H. (2007). Internal structure of open  
462 system pingos, Adventdalen, Svalbard: the use of resistivity tomography to assess ground-ice  
463 conditions. *Journal of Environmental & Engineering Geoscience*, 12(1), 113-126.  
464 <https://doi.org/10.2113/JEEG12.1.113>

465 Shur, Y., Hinkel, K. M., & Nelson, F. E. (2005). The transient layer: Implications for  
466 geocryology and climate-change science. *Permafrost Periglacial Processes*, 16(1), 5–17.  
467 <https://doi.org/10.1002/ppp.518>

468 Walbrecker, J. O., Hertrich, M., & Green, A. G. (2009). Accounting for relaxation processes  
469 during the pulse in surface NMR data. *Geophysics*, 74(6), G27–G34.  
470 <https://doi.org/10.1190/1.3238366>

471 Walbrecker, J. O., Hertrich, M., & Green, A. G. (2011) Off-resonance effects in surface nuclear  
472 magnetic resonance. *Geophysics*, 77(2), G1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1190/1.3535414>

473 Walsh, D. O. (2008). Multi-channel surface NMR instrumentation and software for 1D/2D  
474 groundwater investigations. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 66(3-4), 140–150.  
475 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jappgeo.2008.03.006>

476 Walvoord, M. A., & Kurylyk, B. L. (2016). Hydrologic impacts of thawing permafrost—A  
477 review. *Vadose Zone Journal*, 15(6), vzj2016.01.0010.  
478 <https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2016.01.0010>

479

480

481 **Figure Captions**

482 **Figure 1:** Terrain model of the Adventdalen valley showing the locations of the CSAMT and  
483 SNMR measurements. The road is shown as a light brown line. The model is from the  
484 Norwegian Polar Institute; <http://toposvalbard.npolar.no> (Norsk Polarinstitutt, 2017). The inset is  
485 from Google Earth.

486

487 **Figure 2:** Annual ground thermal conditions in the permafrost in the Adventdalen valley. Data  
488 are from the valley bottom borehole AS-B2 for the hydrological year 1 September 2012 to 31  
489 August 2013. The black lines show the maximum and minimum average daily temperature  
490 during this year; the red lines show the maximum and minimum average daily temperature  
491 during the study period 23 March to 2 April 2013; the horizontal line denotes the interpolated  
492 depth of the active layer (NORPERM, 2016).

493

494 **Figure 3:** Inverted SNMR and CSAMT depth profiles of unfrozen water content and resistivity  
495 for the down-valley profile (locations as shown in Figure 1) for data collected in Adventdalen,  
496 Svalbard. Horizontal red lines indicate the approximate depth of investigation of the SNMR  
497 measurements. Labels above the profiles indicate which CSAMT measurement was used in the  
498 inversion of the SNMR data, but only collocated or independent CSAMT measurements are  
499 shown. The thin grey lines on the SNMR profiles indicate the uncertainty in the inversion and  
500 are models that fit the data approximately as well as the model of best fit (thick blue line). The  
501 spacing between the measurements made in the upper-valley indicates that these measurements  
502 were made further apart (not to scale).

503 **Figure 4:** Inverted SNMR and CSAMT depth profiles of unfrozen water content and resistivity  
504 for the across-valley profiles (locations as shown in Figure 1) collected in Adventdalen,

505 Svalbard. Horizontal red lines indicate the approximate depth of investigation of the SNMR  
506 measurements. Labels above the profiles indicate which CSAMT measurement was used in the  
507 inversion of the SNMR data, but only collocated CSAMT measurements are shown. The thin  
508 grey lines on the SNMR profiles indicate the uncertainty in the inversion and are models that fit  
509 the data approximately as well as the model of best fit (thick blue line).

510

511