# Detecting lightning infrasound using a high-altitude balloon

# Oliver D. Lamb<sup>1</sup>, Jonathan M. Lees<sup>1</sup>, Daniel C. Bowman<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Geological Sciences, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA <sup>2</sup>Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico, USA

# Key Points:

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8	• First lightning infrasound detected using free-flying balloon at stratospheric al-
9	titudes over Tasman Sea in May 2016.
10	• Infrasonic signals matched with a few lightning strokes within 100 km range of bal-
11	loon as it flew over at least two thunderclouds.
12	• Only a fraction of the expected infrasound signals were detected, and the cause
13	of this remains unclear.

Corresponding author: Oliver Lamb, olamb@email.unc.edu

#### 14 Abstract

Acoustic waves with a wide range of frequencies are generated by lightning strokes dur-15 ing thunderstorms, including infrasonic waves (0.1 to 20 Hz). The source mechanism for 16 these low frequency acoustic waves is still debated and studies have so far been limited 17 to ground-based instruments. Here we report the first confirmed detection of lightning 18 generated infrasound with acoustic instruments suspended at stratospheric altitudes us-19 ing a free-flying balloon. We observe high-amplitude signals generated by lightning strokes 20 located within 100 km of the balloon as it flew over the Tasman Sea on 17 May 2016. 21 The signals share many characteristics with waveforms recorded previously by ground-22 based instruments near thunderstorms. The ability to measure lightning activity with 23 high-altitude infrasound instruments has demonstrated the potential for using these plat-24 forms to image the full acoustic wavefield in the atmosphere. Furthermore, it validates 25 the use of these platforms for recording and characterizing infrasonic sources located be-26 yond the detection range of ground-based instruments. 27

#### <sup>28</sup> Plain-language summary

Lightning generates sound waves across a wide range of frequencies, including be-29 low the threshold for human hearing at 20 Hz. How these waves at less than 20 Hz, also 30 known as infrasound waves, are generated during a lightning stroke is currently an area 31 for debate. So far, measurements of lightning infrasound waves have been limited to mi-32 crophones fixed to the ground and models have shown that only a small section of sound 33 waves actually reach the ground. Here we show lightning infrasound that has been de-34 tected using microphones suspended over a thunderstorm using a balloon flying at 32 35 km height. This opens up the possibility of using balloons in future studies to make bet-36 ter measurements of infrasound waves generated by lightning activity and in turn, give 37 a better idea of how they are generated. It also shows how balloons can be used to record 38 infrasound waves far away from land and therefore beyond the detection limit of ground-39 based microphones. 40

# 41 **1** Introduction

Acoustic signals with frequencies between 0.02 to 20 Hz are classified as infrasound 42 and are not audible to humans. A wide variety of sources have been found to generate 43 infrasound, including: volcanoes, earthquakes, avalanches, tsunami, meteors, aurora, thun-44 derstorms, wind-mountain interactions, supersonic aircraft, rockets, and chemical and 45 nuclear explosions [Campus and Christie, 2010]. Infrasonic signals can travel hundreds 46 to thousands of kilometers through the atmosphere, sampling areas from the Earth's sur-47 face up to the thermosphere. A variety of institutions maintain arrays for monitoring 48 purposes, such as volcano observatories [Fee and Matoza, 2013] or the International Mon-49 itoring System [Christie and Campus, 2010]. The vast majority of infrasound studies cur-50 rently use ground-based instrument arrays and networks. Infrasound instruments deployed 51 on the ground may be subject to high-levels of background noise which may obscure the 52 signals of interest, or the signals may arrive distorted due to topographic or atmospheric 53 propagation effects [e.g. Lacanna and Ripepe, 2013; Kim and Lees, 2014]. Furthermore, 54 the intensity of the acoustic wavefield may be as much as 50% greater directly above the 55 source compared that at a similar horizontal distance [Blackstock, 2000]. 56

A series of studies have recently taken place to explore how to fill this gap in our ability to monitor the atmospheric acoustic wavefield. These experiments have tested the use of microphones suspended underneath free-floating balloons to record infrasound at high-altitude [e.g. *Bowman and Lees*, 2015, 2017; *Bowman and Albert*, 2018]. Balloon deployments conducted as part of the NASA High-Altitude Student Platform (HASP) program described evidence of the ocean microbarom as well as other signals of unknown provenance [*Bowman and Lees*, 2015]. A follow-up experiment showed that the ocean microbarom was often detectable in the stratosphere but not at ground-level, either due
to low noise at the balloons, an elevated acoustic duct, or both [Bowman and Lees, 2017].
In 2017, four microphone-bearing solar balloons were launched concurrently and successfully detected and located a chemical explosion on the ground [Bowman and Albert, 2018].
So far, none of these experiments have confirmed the detection of other infrasonic signals from sources such as lightning storms.

Acoustic emissions from lightning, described as thunder, can produce a broadband 70 range of frequencies. The audible component of thunder (20-20,000 Hz), as well as en-71 72 ergy in the infrasonic range, is understood to come mostly from shock waves produced by the rapid expansion of a lightning channel due to current flow and heating [Few et al., 73 1967]. In addition, numerous studies have observed infrasound generated by cloud-to-74 ground (CG) and intracloud (IC) lightning flashes [e.g. Balachandran, 1983; Assink et al., 75 2008; Farges and Blanc, 2010; Arechiga et al., 2014]. The lightning signal is often a dis-76 crete pulse characterized by an initial compression followed by a rarefaction with max-77 imum amplitudes in the range of 0.05 to 5 Pa and a spectral peak in the range of 0.2 to 78 2 Hz [Dessler, 1973; Bohannon et al., 1977; Assink et al., 2008; Campus and Christie, 79 2010]. Multiple production mechanisms have been postulated for the infrasonic acous-80 tics detected during lightning storms. This includes rapid intensification of the electric 81 field just prior to the flash, ohmic heating of the air by charge flowing into the channel, 82 and interaction between the positive and negative charge layers in the storm cloud [Dessler, 83 1973; Bohannon et al., 1977; Pasko, 2009]. Furthermore, the acoustic wavefield gener-84 ated by this mechanism was predicted to be orientated vertically, restricting the hori-85 zontal detection range of lightning infrasound [Dessler, 1973; Pasko, 2009]. Validation 86 of the production mechanism and acoustic wavefield has been confounded by the diffi-87 culty in locating the charge layers in the storm cloud, as well as characterizing the struc-88 ture of the parent lightning flash. Advancements in location algorithms and instruments 89 deployments such as the Lightning Mapping Array (LMA) have produced observations 90 that refute the previously proposed production mechanisms [Arechiga et al., 2014]. In-91 stead, the observations suggest that the infrasonic signals from lightning flashes may be 92 produced by electrostatic interaction of charge deposited in the streamer zone of a light-93 ning channel; that is, acoustic compression waves may be generated by electrostatic forces 94 causing air within the streamer zone to expand [Arechiga et al., 2014]. Lightning infra-95 sound has also been detected at ranges of up to 150 km from the source, contrary to pre-96 vious predictions of a vertically orientated acoustic wavefield [e.g. Farges and Blanc, 2010]. 97

Here we report on detections of lightning infrasound recorded by a high-altitude 98 balloon flying over the Tasman Sea on 17 May 2016. We present evidence for signals recorded 99 from at least two groups of lightning flashes during a 6 hour period. Measurements sug-100 gest that the detection of lightning infrasound was limited by the distance and atmo-101 spheric conditions between source and receiver. A few example signals from lightning 102 strokes are isolated and their waveform characteristics are briefly discussed. These ob-103 servations, the first of their kind reported, suggest that microphones deployed on high-104 altitude balloons can offer additional insights into the production mechanisms of light-105 ning infrasound. 106

#### 107 **2 Data**

An acoustic sensor package was included as a piggyback payload on the NASA Ul-108 tra Long Duration Balloon (ULDB) flight launched from Wanaka, New Zealand on 16 109 May 2016. The ULDB landed in Peru on 2 July 2016 for a total flight duration of 46 days. 110 The ULDB balloon position and height was recorded using an onboard GPS unit, and 111 records show the full flight included a full circumnavigation of the southern hemisphere 112 Bowman et al., 2017. The acoustic sensor package recorded data for the first 20 days 113 of the flight, and the ocean microbarom was recorded throughout as well as other sig-114 nals of unknown provenance [Bowman and Lees, 2018]. 115

The sensor package contained three InfraBSU microphones [*Marcillo et al.*, 2012]: one control and a pair with reversed polarities. The reversed polarity sensor was achieved by placing the mechanical sensor on the opposite port. The reversed polarity microphone pair were combined into a single channel via:

$$M = \frac{M_{+} - M_{-}}{2} \tag{1}$$

where M is the data analyzed in this article, and  $M_{+}$  and  $M_{-}$  are the data from the mi-120 crophones with positive and negative polarities, respectively. The control sensor was a 121 microphone that was disabled by removing the mechanical filter entirely. This acoustic 122 sensor trio was designed to robustly distinguish between true pressure fluctuations and 123 spurious signals, such as electronic interference [Bowman et al., 2017]. Data was recorded 124 at 200 samples per second at 64x gain using an Omnirecs Datacube digitizer. The mi-125 crophones were not calibrated to the pressure and temperature conditions experienced 126 during the flight, but their primary effect should be to lower the corner period of the sen-127 sors [Bowman et al., 2017]. The acoustic waveforms presented here are high-pass filtered 128 at 0.6 Hz in order to remove high-amplitude signals contributed from the ocean micro-129 barom [Bowman and Lees, 2018], atmospheric gravity waves generated by thunder cloud 130 convection [Blanc et al., 2010], and balloon oscillations [Anderson and Taback, 1991]. 131 (Unfiltered signals recorded by the acoustic package can be seen in Figure S1 in supple-132 mentary information.) The microphones and digitizer were each powered by separate Lithium 133 battery packs, and contained within high density styrofoam shipping boxes for thermal 134 insulation. Internal temperatures within the digitizer ranged from -26 to 7  $^{\circ}$ C during the 135 flight. 136

The lightning stroke detections and location data used in this article were detected 137 and recorded by the World Wide Lightning Location Network (WWLLN). The WWLLN 138 is an instrument network capable of locating and timing lightning strokes at long range 139 (thousands of kilometers) to within <10 km and <10 µs [Hutchins et al., 2012]. The net-140 work uses very-low-frequency radio wave (3-30 kHz) receivers distributed around the globe 141 to identify the time of group arrival for individual lightning waveforms, or sferics. The 142 network is capable of detecting both CG and IC discharges, but the latter are typically 143 underrepresented in detection databases as they produce weaker electromagnetic pulses 144 [Behnke and McNutt, 2014]. As of 2010, the estimated detection efficiency for the net-145 work was  $\sim 11\%$  for all strokes and >30% for more powerful strokes [Hutchins et al., 2012]. 146 These values may seem low, but the WWLLN was not designed to detect all lightning 147 strokes but instead to provide a global overview of lightning activity [Dowden et al., 2008]. 148 Other lightning stroke datasets may exist from other global detection networks, but were 149 not available for the analysis presented herein. 150

#### <sup>151</sup> **3 Observations**

The ULDB was launched from Wanaka, New Zealand just before 0000 UTC on 17 152 May 2016 started flying east as it ascended. Once the craft approached and breached 153 30 km altitude, it turned to the west and flew out over the Tasman Sea and towards Aus-154 tralia (Fig. 1). During this period, the WWLLN detected intense lightning activity from 155 multiple thunderstorms approaching New Zealand from the west (Fig. 1, Movie S1 in 156 supporting information). From 0800 to 1400 UTC, 2994 strokes were detected and lo-157 cated by the WWLLN across the Tasman Sea, of which 2554 were located within 500 158 km of the ULDB (Fig. 2a). At approximately 0945 and 1200 UTC the ULDB passed di-159 rectly over or near lightning activity which correlates with an increase in acoustic activ-160 ity recorded at the ULDB (Fig. 2a, b). Acoustic signals are recorded with peak-to-peak 161 amplitudes of up to 0.05 Pa and a broadband range of frequencies from 0.6 to 20 Hz (Fig. 162 2b, c). 163

As the acoustic sensor package on the ULDB was fundamentally a single element station, back-azimuths and slowness vectors cannot be calculated to locate sources of de-



Figure 1. Map of the Tasman Sea with the locations of lightning detected by the WWLLN from 0600 to 1800 UTC on 17 May 2016, where color represents the progression of time (see colorbar). Also plotted is the path of the ULDB balloon after it was launched from Wanaka, New Zealand (red dotted line), and it's location at 0600, 1200 and 1800 UTC on 17 May 2016 (red triangles). (For an animated version of this figure, see Movie S1 in supporting information.)

tected signals. To estimate detection ranges, ray tracing was used to model infrasonic 175 propagation paths between lightning and the ULDB. Ray tracing was performed using 176 classical geometric acoustics techniques and a plane wave assumption, calculated within 177 the open source GeoAc ray tracing software [Blom and Waxler, 2012]. Rays were launched 178 at intervals of  $1^{\circ}$  from a point source at a height of 4 km. This source height was based 179 on previously used heights for modeling lightning infrasound [Pasko, 2009; Farges and 180 Blanc, 2010. Atmospheric profiles were derived from the 12z Global Forecast System 181 (GFS) analysis model run, located at the latitude/longitude coordinates for the ULDB 182 at 1200 UTC on 17 May 2016 (Fig. S2 in supplementary information). For a source at 183 4 km height and a receiver at 32 km height, direct arrivals from the source should only 184 be expected <110 km horizontal distance in all directions (Fig. 3). At this distance, 34 185 lightning strokes were recorded when the ULDB flew near a storm at approximately 1200 186 UTC (Fig. 4a). 10 strokes were recorded within 100 km during the earlier storm at 0945 187 UTC (Fig. S3 in supplementary information). 188

To match infrasonic signal peaks and specific lightning strokes, we compute the time 193 needed for waveforms from each stroke within a limited distance to arrive at the ULDB. 194 We take a simplified approach and assume that the atmosphere can be approximated 195 with a bulk acoustic wave speed of 300 ms<sup>-1</sup>. Furthermore, all acoustic waveforms ar-196 riving at the ULDB platform are assumed to be direct arrivals from the source. Out of 197 34 lightning strokes within 100 km of the balloon between 1130 and 1230 UTC, multi-198 ple events appear to match directly with peaks in recorded acoustic amplitudes (Fig. 4b). 199 Here we present three matches which occur at 1145, 1148, and 1152 UTC (Fig. 4c, d, 200 and e). Other possible matches occur at 1143, 1156 and 1205 UTC but source-signal pairs 201 cannot be distinguished due to multiple closely spaced source strokes or infrasonic ar-202



Figure 2. (a) Horizontal distances for each stroke within 500 km of the balloon's location at the time of the stroke, from 0800 to 1400 UTC on 17 May 2016. (b) High-pass filtered (0.6 Hz) infrasound over the same time period as recorded at the ULDB. (c) Frequency spectrogram of the waveform plotted in (b).

rivals. None of the 10 detected strokes directly match with infrasonic signals during the
 earlier storm at 0945 UTC (Fig. S3 in supplementary information).

The energy density of an expanding acoustic shock wave from a lightning stroke can be estimated from the peak frequency of the recorded waveform [*Few*, 1969]. For a given acoustic waveform with peak frequency,  $f_p$ :

$$f_p = 0.63c_0 \sqrt{P_0/E}$$
 (2)

where  $c_0$  is the local speed of sound (300  $ms^{-1}$ ),  $P_0$  is the atmospheric pressure 215 (60 kPa for a source at 4 km altitude), and E is the energy per unit length [*Few*, 1969]. 216 For each matched waveform plotted in Fig. 4c, d and e, we find peak frequencies of 2.65, 217 1.27, and 5.27 Hz, respectively (Fig. S4a in supplementary info). Using equation (2) we 218 find a positive linear relationship between the energy densities calculated from the acous-219 tic waveforms and the energies detected for the lightning strokes by the WWLLN (Fig. 220 S4b in supplementary info). We also find no relationship between the calculated energy 221 densities and the stroke-balloon distance (Fig. S4c in supplementary info). 222



Figure 3. Ray-tracing propagation for an acoustic source (red star) at 4 km height along
East-West (a) and North-South (b) profiles using realistic atmospheric conditions derived from
the Global Forecast System. The red dotted line at 32 km indicates the approximate height of
the ULDB balloon on 17 May 2016.

# 4 Discussion and Conclusions

Here we have presented evidence that lightning infrasound was observable by acous-224 tic instruments suspended at stratospheric altitudes by free-flying balloons. Several wave-225 forms were matched with detected lightning strokes through a simple time delay approach 226 (Fig. 4c, d, e). Our matches are supported by a positive linear relationship between the 227 WWLLN energy estimation for the matched lightning strokes and the energy densities 228 calculated from the acoustic waveforms (Fig. S4 in supplementary info). Here, we have 229 assumed that the signals represent direct arrivals between the source and receiver. To 230 test this assumption, we searched for eigenray solutions using the GeoAc software pack-231 age. Direct arrivals for all three waveforms are found for the distances and azimuths to 232 their associated lightning strokes (Fig. S5 in supplementary info). However, the arrival 233 times calculated using the eigenray paths described earlier do not readily match with the 234 recorded arrival times of signals (Fig. S4 in supplementary information). It is worth not-235 ing that there were a number of signals recorded that do not readily match with any light-236 ning strokes detected by the WWLLN, and vice versa (Fig. 4a, b and Fig. S3 in sup-237 plementary info). If the estimated detection rates of the WWLLN are correct [11-30%;238 Hutchins et al., 2012, then there may have been as many as 100-300 lightning strokes 239 within 100 km of the ULDB. This number of high-amplitude signals was not recorded 240 at the ULDB (Fig. 4b), therefore the total number signals recorded at the ULDB un-241 derrepresents the true total of lightning strokes that occurred within range of the bal-242 loon. This is similar to detection rates of lightning infrasound by ground-based instru-243 ments [e.g. Farges and Blanc, 2010]. Complex atmospheric conditions in thunderclouds 244 likely refract the generated acoustic waves away from the receiver [Jones and Bedard, 245 2015. Additionally, it is possible that not all lightning strokes generate measurable in-246 frasound. This may be attributed to very low signal-to-noise ratios, especially for smaller 247 lightning strokes or those located further from the instrument than the rest of the thun-248



Figure 4. (a) Horizontal distances for each stroke within 100 km of the balloon from 1130 to 1230 UTC on 17 May 2016. each stroke is sized by the stroke energy, and colored by the bearing from the balloon to the stroke location. (b) High-pass (0.6 Hz) filtered acoustic waveform as recorded at the ULDB over the same time period. Vertical dotted lines indicate calculated time of arrivals for strokes in panel (a), colored by the bearing. Letters on bottom indicate locations of plotted example waveforms in panels c, d and e. (c,d,e) Example acoustic signals (top) from lightning strokes and their respective spectrograms (below).

derstorm cloud [Farges and Blanc, 2010]. This latter process is illustrated by a higher number of signals matches occurring during the storm approach towards the ULDB instead of during their divergence (Fig. 4b). A relatively low-frequency signal is recorded during an earlier storm at 0945 UTC (Fig. S3 in supplementary info). The low-frequency characteristic of this signal suggests an alternative source to lightning [e.g. meteors, transient luminous events; *Farges and Blanc*, 2010; *Edwards*, 2010], or that the original lightning signal was altered by absorption and/or directivity between the source and receiver.

For the ray propagation and eigenray modeling we have assumed a point source for the lightning infrasound at 4 km altitude. Infrasound sources from lightning have been

mapped up to 12 km altitude in the thundercloud [Anderson et al., 2014; Arechiga et al., 258 2014]. Furthermore, the mapped current flow within lightning strokes suggests the source 259 geometry can resemble complex, dendritic structures [Anderson et al., 2014]. To test whether 260 our source shape and height assumption was viable, we have repeated the ray tracing 261 modeling but with a source at 32 km height instead, the ULDB flying altitude. In re-262 verse, this can be seen as all possible locations for sources whose acoustic waveforms will 263 be recorded at the receiver. Results suggest that a receiver at 32 km should record signals from heights up to 12 km and take-off angles between  $45-90^{\circ}$  within a 100 km hor-265 izontal range in all directions (Fig. S6 in supplementary information). Therefore, the source 266 configuration used for the raypath modeling was reasonable for the lightning infrasound 267 described here. 268

A key assumption here was that the atmospheric conditions during the generation 269 of the lightning infrasound was relatively simple and stratified. Thunderstorms require 270 unstable air to form and persist, and often include significant vertical wind shear due 271 to thermal plumes. The wind and temperature profiles used for ray path modeling here 272 was likely a highly simplified representation of reality. Ray tracing from sources directly 273 below thermal plumes such as those found within thunderstorm clouds have shown how 274 they may act as vertical waveguides and thus can greatly distort the acoustic wavefield 275 [Jones and Bedard, 2015]. Ray paths are found to either converge or diverge at the top 276 of the thermal plume dependent on the height and width of the plume [Jones and Be-277 dard, 2015]. Therefore the ray-paths and eigenrays presented here are likely an oversim-278 plified representation of the true paths taken by the acoustic waves before their detec-279 tion at the ULDB. Future studies of acoustic wavefields generated by lightning infrasound 280 must take into account the complex refraction patterns induced by vertical columns of 281 wind shear within thunderclouds. 282

The measured waveforms do not display the compression-rarefaction-compression 283 shape that had been modeled as generated by a rapid intensification and discharge of 284 the electric field in the thundercloud [Pasko, 2009]. It must be noted that the waveforms 285 modeled by *Pasko* [2009] are of 0.1-1 Hz frequency and the acoustic wavefield was strongly 286 oriented in the vertical direction [Dessler, 1973]. The detection of lightning infrasound 287 from strokes at more than several tens of kilometers from the ULDB does not support 288 the theory that acoustic wavefields generated by lightning infrasound are strictly oriented 289 vertically. Instead, the waveforms here share amplitude, frequency and range detection 290 characteristics with previously recorded lightning infrasound signals which were attributed 291 to charge deposition in the lightning channels [e.g. Farges and Blanc, 2010; Arechiga et al., 292 2014]. The electrostatic forces caused by the charge deposition may cause the air in the 293 streamer zone to expand, producing an acoustic compression wave whose period corre-294 sponds to the size of the streamer zone [Arechiga et al., 2014]. This is in addition to the 295 rapid air expansion generated by extreme heating of the lightning channel that produces 296 audible and infrasonic acoustic waves [Few et al., 1967]. 297

The observations here of lightning infrasound recorded by a high-altitude balloon 298 over the Tasman Sea in May 2016 are fortuitous. Yet, they also demonstrate the poten-299 tial for using these platforms to expand our understanding of how infrasound may be gen-300 erated by lightning strokes. While the observations presented here support the charge 301 302 deposition mechanism postulated by Arechiga et al. [2014], we cannot yet rule out other possible mechanisms. Previous studies of these processes have thus far used only ground-303 based instruments which only offer an approximately two-dimensional view of the acous-304 tic wavefield. Future studies which incorporate both balloon- and ground-based instru-305 ments will have an opportunity to capture a three-dimensional view of the infrasonic waves 306 generated during lightning storms. Furthermore, simultaneous deployments of multiple 307 instrument-bearing balloons are able to calculate back-azimuths to each infrasound source 308 [e.g. Bowman and Albert, 2018], as well as provide the elevation angle of incoming sources 309 [see Supplemental information in *Bowman and Lees*, 2018]. The lightning infrasound sig-310

nals presented here are derived from strokes occurring >100 km from any significant landmass where ground-based instruments would be located, meaning these signals may never have been recorded otherwise. Therefore, balloon-based instruments offer a way to record signals from events which may not otherwise have been recorded by established infrasound instrument networks. As well as oceanic thunderstorms, this may include Transient Luminous Events [e.g. sprites; *Farges and Blanc*, 2010], meteors [e.g. *Edwards*, 2010], and supersonic auroral arcs [e.g. *Pasko*, 2012].

# 318 Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the NASA Balloon Program Office and the NASA Columbia Sci-319 entific Ballooning Facility for hosting our instrumentation on the 2016 Ultra Long Du-320 ration Balloon Mission. The authors also wish to thank the World Wide Lightning Lo-321 cation Network (http://wwlln.net), a collaboration including over 50 institutions, for pro-322 viding the lightning data used in this paper. The acoustic data presented here is acces-323 sible at datadryad.org under the following doi: 10.5061/dryad.40877s4. This work was 324 supported by National Science Foundation Grant AGS-1551999. Sandia National Lab-325 oratories is a multi-mission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology 326 and Engineering Solutions of Sandia, LLC., a wholly owned subsidiary of Honeywell In-327 ternational, Inc., for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Admin-328 istration under contract DE-NA0003525. The views expressed here do not necessarily 329 reflect the views of the United States Government, the United States Department of En-330 ergy, or Sandia National Laboratories. We would like to thank Jelle Assink and the anony-331 mous reviewers whose comments and suggestions helped improve this manuscript. 332

# 333 References

- Anderson, J. F., J. B. Johnson, R. O. Arechiga, and R. J. Thomas (2014), Map ping thunder sources by inverting acoustic and electromagnetic observations,
   *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 119(23), 13,287–13,304, doi:
   10.1002/2014JD021624.
- Anderson, W. J., and I. Taback (1991), Oscillation of High-Altitude Balloons, *Jour*nal of Aircraft, 28(9), 606–608.
- Arechiga, R., M. Stock, R. Thomas, H. Erives, W. Rison, H. Edens, and J. Lapierre
   (2014), Location and analysis of acoustic infrasound pulses in lightning, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 41(13), 4735–4744, doi:10.1002/2014GL060375.
- Assink, J. D., L. G. Evers, I. Holleman, and H. Paulssen (2008), Characterization
   of infrasound from lightning, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 35(15), 1–5, doi:
   10.1029/2008GL034193.
- Balachandran, N. K. (1983), Acoustic and electric signals from lightning, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 88(C6), 3879–3884, doi:10.1029/JC088iC06p03879.
- Behnke, S. A., and S. R. McNutt (2014), Using lightning observations as a volcanic eruption monitoring tool, *Bulletin of Volcanology*, 76(847), doi:10.1007/s00445-014-0847-1.
- Blackstock, D. (2000), *Fundamentals of Physical Acoustics*, John Wiley and Sons.
- Blanc, E., A. Le Pichon, L. Ceranna, T. Farges, J. Marty, and P. Herry (2010),
  Global Scale Monitoring of Acoustic and Gravity Waves for the Study of the Atmospheric Dynamics, in *Infrasound Monitoring for Atmospheric Studies*, edited by
  A. Le Pichon, E. Blanc, and A. Hauchecorne, pp. 647–664, Springer Netherlands,
  Dordrecht.
- Blom, P., and R. Waxler (2012), Impulse propagation in the nocturnal boundary layer: Analysis of the geometric component, *The Journal of the Acoustical Society* of America, 131(5), 3680–3690, doi:10.1121/1.3699174.
- Bohannon, J. L., A. A. Few, and A. J. Dessler (1977), Detection of Infrasonic Pulses
- from Thunderclouds, Geophysical Research Letters, 4(2), 49–52.

362	Bowman, D. C., and S. A. Albert (2018), Acoustic Event Location and Back- ground Noise Characterization on a Free Flying Infrasound Sensor Network
364	in the Stratosphere. <i>Geophysical Journal International</i> . 213, 1524–1535, doi:
365	10.1093/gji/ggy069.
366	Bowman, D. C., and J. M. Lees (2015). Infrasound in the middle stratosphere mea-
367	sured with a free-flying acoustic array, Geophysical Research Letters, $42(22)$ ,
368	10,010–10,017, doi:10.1002/2015GL066570.
369	Bowman, D. C., and J. M. Lees (2017), A Comparison of the Ocean Microbarom
370	Recorded on the Ground and in the Stratosphere, Journal of Geophysical Re-
371	search: Atmospheres, 122(18), 9773–9782, doi:10.1002/2017JD026474.
372	Bowman, D. C., and J. M. Lees (2018), Upper atmosphere heating from
373	ocean-generated acoustic wave energy, Geophysical Research Letters, doi:
374	10.1029/2018GL077737.
375	Bowman, D. C., J. M. Lees, J. A. Cutts, E. F. Young, K. T. Seiffert, M. Boslough,
376	and S. J. Arrowsmith (2017), Geoacoustic Observations on Drifting Balloon-Borne
377	Sensors, in Infrasound Monitoring for Atmospheric Studies, edited by A. Le Pi-
378	chon, E. Blanc, and A. Hauchecorne, 2 ed.
379	Campus, P., and D. Christie (2010), Worldwide Observations of Infrasonic Waves, in
380	and A. Hauchagerna, pp. 185–234. Springer Netherlands
381	Christia D. R. and P. Campus (2010). The IMS Infrasound Network: Design
382	and Establishment of Infrasound Stations in Infrasound Monitoring for Atmo-
384	spheric Studies, edited by A. Le Pichon, E. Blanc, and A. Hauchecorne, pp. 29–75.
385	Springer Netherlands.
386	Dessler, A. J. (1973), Infrasonic thunder, J. Geophys. Res., 78(12), 1889–1896, doi:
387	10.1029/JC078i012p01889.
388	Dowden, R. L., R. H. Holzworth, C. J. Rodger, J. Lichtenberger, N. R. Thomson,
389	A. R. Jacobson, E. Lay, J. B. Brundell, T. J. Lyons, S. O'Keefe, Z. Kawasaki,
390	C. Price, V. Prior, P. Ortéga, J. Weinman, Y. Mikhailov, O. Veliz, X. Qie,
391	G. Burns, A. Collier, O. Pinto Junior, R. Diaz, C. Adamo, E. R. Williams, S. Ku-
392	mar, G. B. Raga, J. M. Rosado, E. E. Avila, M. E. Clilvera, T. Ulich, P. Gorham,
393	T. J. G. Shanahan, T. Osipowicz, G. Cook, and Y. Zhao (2008), World-Wide
394	Lightning Location Using VLF Propagation in the Earth-Ionosphere Waveguide, IEEE Antennas and Displayed in Magazina $50(5)$ , 40, 60
395	IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine, 50(5), 40–60.
396	in Infrasound Monitoring for Atmospheric Studies edited by A. Le Dishon
397	E Blanc and A Hauchecorne pp. 361–414 Springer Netherlands Dordrecht
399	doi:10.1007/978-1-4020-9508-5 12.
400	Farges, T., and F. Blanc (2010). Characteristics of infrasound from lightning and
401	sprites near thunderstorm areas. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics.
402	115(A6), doi:10.1029/2009JA014700.
403	Fee, D., and R. S. Matoza (2013), An overview of volcano infrasound: From hawai-
404	ian to plinian, local to global, Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research,
405	249, 123–139, doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2012.09.002.
406	Few, A., A. Dessler, D. Latham, and M. Brook (1967), A dominant 200-hertz peak
407	in the acoustic spectrum of thunder, Journal of Geophysical Research, $72(24)$ ,
408	6149-6154.
409	Few, A. A. (1969), Power Spectrum of Thunder Divergence, Journal of Geophysical
410	<i>Research</i> , 74 (28), 6926–6934, doi:10.1029/JC074i028p06926.
411	Hutchins, M. L., R. H. Holzworth, C. J. Rodger, and J. B. Brundell (2012), Far-
412	r leid power of lightning strokes as measured by the world wide lightning location
413	network, souther of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 29(0), 1102–1110,

414 doi:10.1175/JTECH-D-11-00174.1.

415	Jones, R. M., and A. J. Bedard (2015), Infrasonic ray tracing applied to small-scale
416	atmospheric structures: Thermal plumes and updrafts/downdrafts, The Journal of
417	the Acoustical Society of America, 137(2), 625–632, doi:10.1121/1.4906175.
418	Kim, K., and J. M. Lees (2014), Local Volcano Infrasound and Source Localization
419	Investigated by 3D Simulation, Seismological Research Letters, 85(6), 1177–1186,
420	doi:10.1785/0220140029.
421	Lacanna, G., and M. Ripepe (2013), Influence of near-source volcano topography on
422	the acoustic wavefield and implication for source modeling, Journal of Volcanology
423	and Geothermal Research, 250, 9–18, doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2012.10.005.
424	Marcillo, O., J. B. Johnson, and D. Hart (2012), Implementation, characterization,
425	and evaluation of an inexpensive low-power low-noise infrasound sensor based on a
426	micromachined differential pressure transducer and a mechanical filter, Journal of
427	Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 29(9), 1275–1284, doi:10.1175/JTECH-D-
428	11-00101.1.
429	Pasko, V. P. (2009), Mechanism of lightning-associated infrasonic pulses from

thunderclouds, *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres*, 114(8), 1–10, doi: 10.1029/2008JD011145.

- <sup>432</sup> Pasko, V. P. (2012), Infrasonic waves generated by supersonic auroral arcs, *Geophys*-
- 433 *ical Research Letters*, 39(19), 1–5, doi:10.1029/2012GL053587.