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ABSTRACT

Salt welds form due to salt expulsion and thinning by mechanical (e.g. salt flow) and/or chemical (e.g. salt dissolution) processes. Despite being ubiquitous in salt-bearing sedimentary basins, where they may trap large volumes of hydrocarbons, little is published on weld thickness and composition. We here use 3D seismic reflection, borehole, and biostratigraphic data from the Atwater Valley protraction area of the northern Gulf of Mexico to constrain the thickness and composition of a tertiary salt weld. Seismic data image an ‘apparent weld’ (sensu Wagner and Jackson, 2011) at the base of a Plio-Pleistocene minibasin that subsided into allochthonous salt. Borehole data indicate the weld is actually ‘incomplete’, being c. 24 m thick, and containing an upper 5 m thick halite and a lower 15 m thick halite, separated by a 4 m thick mudstone. The age and origin of the intra-weld mudstone is unclear, although we speculate it is either: (i) Late Jurassic, representing material transported upwards from the autochthonous level within a feeder, and subsequently trapped as allochthonous salt thinned and welded, or, perhaps more likely; (ii) Pliocene, representing a piece of salt carapace reworked from the top of and eventually trapped in, the now locally welded sheet. We show 3D seismic reflection data may not resolve salt weld thickness, with the presence of relatively thin remnant salt lending support to models of welding based on viscous flow. Furthermore, the halite-dominated character of the weld supports the hypothesis that tectonic purification may occur during salt flow.

INTRODUCTION

Salt welds are ubiquitous in salt-bearing sedimentary basins, forming due to expulsion of salt from
below or between minibasins (Jackson and Cramez, 1989). Based on their structural position and attitude, welds are described as ‘primary’ (i.e. subhorizontal, joining strata originally above and below autochthonous salt), ‘secondary’ (i.e. subvertical, joining minibasins originally situated either side of squeezed and now-evacuated salt diapirs, or ‘tertiary’ (i.e. subhorizontal, joining strata originally above and below allochthonous salt (Jackson and Cramez, 1989). Depending on how much salt they contain (or are inferred to contain), welds are also described as ‘complete’ (i.e. contains no remnant salt; cf. Jackson and Cramez, 1989), ‘incomplete’ (i.e. contains up to 50 m of remnant salt), ‘discontinuous’ (i.e. contains complete and incomplete parts), or ‘apparent’ (i.e. appears free of salt at a particular scale of observation (Wagner, 2010; Hudec and Jackson, 2011; Wagner and Jackson, 2011; Rowan et al., 2012; Jackson et al., 2014).

Salt welds are economically important because, depending on their thickness and composition, they may represent barriers to fluid flow, and thus trap hydrocarbon accumulations (Rowan, 2004). Furthermore, weld thickness and composition reveal much about salt rheology and patterns of internal deformation (see below; see also Kupfer, 1968; Wagner and Jackson, 2011; Jackson et al., 2014). Despite their importance and ubiquity, we have a rather poor understanding of the thickness or composition of subsurface welds; in some basins, this reflects a lack of borehole penetrations or, in relatively densely drilled basins, such as the Gulf of Mexico, restricted access to these data. Where these data are available, such as in the Santos Basin, offshore Brazil they indicate that even high-quality 3D seismic reflection data may be unable to resolve the thickness or composition of welds. These data also show that primary welds may lack true ‘salt’ (e.g. halite and potash), and instead contain carbonate, anhydrite, and sandstone (Jackson et al., 2014; see also fig. 17 in Wagner and Jackson, 2011). This lithological partitioning suggests preferential expulsion of the more mobile halite and potash salt from the autochthonous layer into flanking diapirs during viscous flow and welding, and/or preferential dissolution of these components, which, in addition to being the most mobile, are also the most soluble. This process, which is termed ‘differential purification by movement’ by Kupfer (1968), implies that successive periods of salt flow, for example as salt ascends from autochthonous to allochthonous levels, will lead to relative enrichment in the more mobile components (e.g. halite, potash salts) of the original salt layer. This observation supports analytical and numerical models based on viscous-thinning of the salt during welding (Wagner and Jackson, 2011), which conclude it is difficult to fully remove salt from a weld by viscous flow alone because of boundary drag along the salt contacts (see also Cohen and Hardy, 1996; Hudec and Jackson, 2007). More specifically, the models of Wagner and Jackson (2011) suggest that a weld may contain anywhere from <1 m to up to c. 50 m of remnant salt, although they recognize that model-based predictions of remnant evaporite thickness in salt welds has hitherto been difficult to test due to a lack of data from natural welds (see Wagner, 2010; Hoetz et al., 2011; Liro and Holdaway, 2011; Rowan et al., 2012).
We here use 3D seismic reflection, borehole and biostratigraphic data from Block 8 in the Atwater Valley protraction area of the Gulf of Mexico (Fig. 1) to characterise the subsurface expression of a tertiary salt weld. 3D seismic reflection data allow us to define the geophysical expression and structural context of the weld, whereas borehole data allow us to constrain weld thickness and composition. Biostratigraphic data allow us to establish the age of weld-flanking strata, and to place the development of the weld in the regional salt-tectonic framework. More specifically, our data allow us to test the following two hypotheses: (i) that allochthonous salt, and laterally equivalent tertiary welds, should be relatively enriched in the more mobile lithologies typically encountered at autochthonous levels; and (ii) that only several tens of metres of remnant salt should remain in a seismically defined weld. We then discuss the implications of our results for petroleum systems development in sedimentary basins.

**GEOLOGICAL SETTING**

The Gulf of Mexico formed in response to Triassic-Early Cretaceous rifting (e.g., Pindell and Dewey, 1982; Kneller and Johnson, 2011; Hudec et al., 2013b). Extension and subsidence allowed establishment of a restricted marine seaway, within which the Louann salt (Middle Jurassic) was deposited (e.g., Hazzard et al., 1947; Humphris, 1978; Salvador, 1987; Kneller and Johnson, 2011; Hudec et al., 2013a). Since then, in the northern Gulf of Mexico, this salt layer flowed to form a complex array of salt diapirs and sheets, canopies and welds, largely in response to loading of the autochthonous and then allochthonous salt levels by Mesozoic to Cenozoic sediments, now preserved in predominantly clastic-filled minibasins (Fig. 1B) (e.g., Diegel et al., 1995; Peel et al., 1995; Rowan, 1995; Pilcher et al., 2011).

Our study area is located in Block 8 of the Atwater Valley protraction area, on the south-western flank of the Mississippi Fan, northern Gulf of Mexico (Fig. 1). Water depths range from 650 to 1150 m. Here, the salt-tectonic framework is dominated by: (i) Miocene and older rocks contained in primary minibasins that overlie autochthonous salt; (ii) a series of diapiric feeders that separate primary minibasins, and that connect upward to feed salt sheets within a regionally extensive canopy extending southwards to the Sigsbee Escarpment; and (iii) Plio-Pleistocene rocks contained in secondary minibasins that subsided into allochthonous salt and that locally welded to underlying primary minibasins (Fig. 1B).

**DATASET**

**Seismic Data**

The seismic volume used in this study is a subset of a large regional 3D survey, composed of multiple
narrow-azimuth 3D seismic data sets acquired in 1995-1998 and subsequently reprocessed as a single survey in 2008. This subset covers an area of approximately 550 km² in the southwestern Mississippi Canyon (MC) and northwestern Atwater Valley (AT) protraction areas in the east-central Gulf of Mexico (Fig. 1A). 3D Kirchhoff prestack depth migrated (KPSDM) data migrated using an isotropic velocity model, with a sample rate of 10 m, record length of 15 km, and final bin size of 25m x 25 m, were used for interpretation. The data were processed to zero phase, and all seismic displays in this paper follow a polarity convention in which, for a zero-phase wavelet, a positive reflection coefficient is represented by a central trough (plotted white on a variable-density display).

**Borehole Data**

We used data from exploration borehole AT-8 #1 ST, which was drilled in 1997 in the eastern part of the study area (Fig. 2). The borehole penetrated clastic overburden within a supra-salt, secondary minibasin, before penetrating the studied weld and terminating in underlying clastic rocks within the underlying, sub-salt, primary minibasin (minibasin terminology after Pilcher et al., 2011). Conventional borehole measurements include differential caliper, bulk density, sonic, gamma ray and neutron porosity, which together allowed us to interpret the lithology of the weld, in addition to the sub- and suprasalt strata. Biostratigraphic data were also available in this borehole, allowing us to constrain the age of strata above and below the weld.

**METHODOLOGY**

**Well-Seismic Tie and Seismic Interpretation**

We mapped three key seismic horizons; (i) base allochthonous salt; (ii) top allochthonous salt; and (iii) top suprasalt mudstone (see below), in addition to the seabed (Figs 3). By mapping base allochthonous salt we were able to identify structural lows at the base of the sheet, which may represent feeders (Fig. 3A; see also Supplementary Material 1) (see Jackson and Hudec, 2017). Mapping top (Fig. 2B) and base (Fig. 2A) salt allowed us to construct a salt isopach (Fig. 2C), from which we identified areas of thin or welded salt. An overburden isopach, generated from our top salt and seabed maps, revealed the location of secondary minibasins and their relationship to the identified salt weld (Fig. 2D).

**Well-log Interpretation**

We established the thickness and composition of the salt weld via petrophysical analysis of wireline log data (cf. Jackson et al., 2014). We used a combination of logs to broadly differentiate between: (i) relatively coarse-grained, likely sandstone-prone lithologies; (ii) relatively fine-grained, likely
mudstone-dominated lithologies; and (iii) non-clastic lithologies (e.g. evaporites) (Figs 4 and 5) (Rider and Kennedy, 2011). We show that halite is characterised by relatively low GR values (60 - 75 API), low DT values (101 – 125 μs/ft), and low RHOB values (1.99 – 2.11 g.cm⁻³). The anomalously high DT values for halite, which are more typically 65-75 μs/ft, may reflect poor hole conditions at this level; our interpretation is supported by the pronounced increase in the caliper change values from c. 0.5 inch within the overlying and underlying clastics, to >1 inch within the upper and lower halite (Fig. 4B). Sandstone present above and below the salt is also characterised by low GR values (50 - 70 API), but has moderate DT values (110 – 130 μs/ft) and RHOB values (1.99 – 2.23 g.cm⁻³). Mudstone is differentiated from sandstone based on its relatively high GR values (50 – 110 API), moderate to high DT values (116 – 130 μs/ft) and RHOB values (2.14 – 2.31 g.cm⁻³). We used the caliper log to identify poor borehole conditions, which may signify intervals of strata deformed due to flow of salt (left-hand log track in Fig. 4A and B) (cf. Hilchie, 1968; Theys, 1999).

Biostratigraphic Analysis

We calibrated biostratigraphic data from AT-8 #1 ST (Fig. 6; see also Supplementary Material 2) to the biostratigraphic chart of the Gulf of Mexico Offshore Region, provided by the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Regulation and Enforcement from the United States Department of Interior (https://www.data.boem.gov/Paleo/Files/biochart.pdf; webpage accessed 15th November 2017; see also Supplementary Material 3). This chart covers regional and local markers, foraminiferal, planktonic and benthic markers, in addition to regional and local calcareous nannoplanktonic markers, spanning the Jurassic to Quaternary.

SEISMIC ANALYSIS OF THE SALT-TECTONIC STRUCTURE

Here we provide a brief description of the salt-tectonic structure of the study area and the structural context of the weld, focusing on three main structural units: (i) sub-salt minibasins; (ii) allochthonous salt; and (iii) supra-salt minibasins.

Sub-salt Minibasins

Due to migration noise and residual multiples generated by overlying allochthonous salt, sub-salt minibasins are not well imaged in our seismic data. Locally, however, where overlying salt is relatively thin, we observe relatively continuous, variable amplitude, sub-horizontal to gently dipping reflections (Fig. 3). These reflections are truncated below allochthonous salt (or its equivalent weld; see below) across a base salt unconformity that is typically steepest near inferred feeders (labelled ‘F’ in Fig. 3; see also below). In the northeastern part of the study area, immediately north of AT-8 #1 ST, gently south-
dipping strata within a sub-salt minibasin are truncated northward below more steeply dipping strata in
a secondary minibasin; we interpret the contact between these two minibasins as a secondary weld
(labelled ‘S’ in Fig. 3E) (sensu Jackson and Cramez, 1989). AT-8 #1 ST penetrates the upper c. 1832
m of a sub-salt minibasin beneath supra-salt minibasin 5; well-log (Fig. 4) and biostratigraphic (Fig. 6)
data (Fig. 4) indicate the sub-salt basin contains a conformable succession of Late Miocene (Messinian)
to Late Pliocene (Gelasian) deep-water clastics.

**Allochthonous Salt**

Several allochthonous salt bodies are present within the study area. The tops and bases of these bodies
are characterised by regionally mappable, high-amplitude peak (positive) and trough (negative)
reflections, respectively. The salt is itself characterised by very chaotic, low-amplitude reflections (Figs
3 and 7). The main allochthonous salt body is up to 5500 m thick, broadly U-shaped within the area
imaged by our seismic data, and encircles at least the southern end of the minibasin penetrated by AT-
8 #1 ST (Fig. 2C). Base salt is very rugose, being defined by at least five sub-circular structural lows
that are up to 8 km in diameter and with up to 2 km of relief (Figs 2A and 3); although subsalt seismic
imaging is poor, based on (i) their geometric similarity to features observed elsewhere in the Gulf of
Mexico (e.g. Pilcher et al., 2011); (ii) their development at the base of a large allochthonous salt body;
and (iii) their development adjacent to clearly truncated sub-salt strata, we interpret these features as
the tops of diapiric feeders that rose from autochthonous levels, between and thus defining the sub-salt
minibasins, and which fed the overlying allochthonous salt canopy (Jackson and Hudec, 2017).
Allochthonous salt appears to be locally welded beneath the northern part of minibasin 5 (Fig. 2D); we
describe the geophysical expression, and thickness and composition of this weld below. Apart from an
anomalous, biostratigraphically defined age-relationship immediately above it (see detailed description
below), the salt is immediately underlain and overlain by Early Pleistocene (Gelasian) strata,
constraining sheet emplacement to this time (Fig. 6).

**Supra-salt Minibasins**

At least five supra-salt minibasins, which are up to 12 km wide and 6.5 km thick, overlie the
allochthonous salt (i.e. minibasins 1-5) or its equivalent tertiary weld (i.e. northern part of minibasin 5)
(see labels in Fig. 2D). Biostratigraphic data indicate an overall downward increase in sediment age
within minibasin 5, with Holocene strata passing downwards into earliest Pleistocene (Gelasian) strata
(Fig. 6). However, we note an anomalous age-depth relationship in the interval 4359-4394 m, just above
the salt weld described more below. Here, a c. 34 m thick interval of anomalously old, Zanclean (4377
and 4394 m) to Piacenzian (4359 m) strata, which is logically overlain by younger, Gelasian strata, is
also underlain, beneath the weld, by younger, Gelasian strata (e.g. samples at 4526 and 4599 m) (Fig.
6). The significance of this anomalous age-depth relationship is discussed further below in the context of the temporal evolution of the studied weld.

BOREHOLE EXPRESSION OF THE WELD AND SUPERJACENT STRATA

A salt weld, which we will later show is ‘apparent’, at least where penetrated, (terminology after Wagner and Jackson, 2011; see also Jackson et al., 2014), is developed in the east-central part of the study area, beneath minibasin 5 (Fig. 2E, 3B and E). The weld is defined by two closely spaced seismic reflections, the uppermost being a positive (white) event defining a downward increase in impedance; this seismic response is consistent with acoustically soft, clastic-dominated sedimentary rocks in supra-salt minibasin overlying acoustically harder, evaporite-dominated rocks within the weld (Fig. 7; see also P-wave log in Fig. 4 and data in Fig. 5). Immediately adjacent to AT-8 #1 ST, the weld dips c. 28° southward and is thus conformable with underlying and overlying, broadly south-dipping reflections (Figs 3E and 6B). Directly below the weld, a c. 180 m thick package of relatively discontinuous, locally chaotic reflections is present, which is underlain by more continuous reflections (Fig. 7). North of AT-8 #1 ST, the weld dips northward, ultimately connecting to a moderately northward-dipping (c. 30°) secondary weld separating two primary minibasins (labelled ‘S’ in Figs 3E and 7B). East and west of AT-8 #1 ST, the weld connects to the two allochthonous salt bodies (Fig. 3B).

AT-8 #1 ST penetrates the central part of minibasin 5 and its underlying weld (Figs 2E, 3B, 3E, and 7). Well-log data allow us constrain the petrophysical expression, thickness and composition of the weld at this position (Fig. 4). At the approximate depth of the weld, as defined by our seismic mapping, and tied to well control using a regional anisotropy-depth function for correction of seismic depths to well depths, well log data indicate two anomalously low density, high neutron, low gamma ray intervals are present, separated by a 4 m thick mudstone (4412–4436 m; Fig. 4B). These upper and lower, anomalously low-density intervals, which are 5 m and 15 m thick respectively, plot toward the top-left of a standard neutron–density crossplot (the purple and pink points in Fig. 5), thus are petrophysically and, we infer below, compositionally distinct from the over- and underlying, clastic-dominated sequences. We also observe significant increases in the resistivity log measurements in these intervals (4–16 ohm.m; Fig. 4B), in addition to a relative increase in the ‘change in caliper’ measurement, which implies a ‘softer’ lithology that caused enlargement of the borehole during drilling (between 4412–4416 and 4425–4436 m; Fig. 4B).

Based on its well log signature, in addition our understanding of the broader salt-tectonic framework of the northern Gulf of Mexico, we interpret the petrophysically distinct intervals encountered between 4412-4436 m contain halite. An alternative interpretation is these intervals represent gas-bearing clastic rocks; however, we dismiss this interpretation based on the absence of gas indicators at deeper or
shallower levels within the borehole. It is important to note that no biostratigraphic data were recovered in this interval, thus the age of the intra-weld mudstone is unknown.

Our petrophysical analysis indicates the c. 180 m thick package of discontinuous seismic reflections directly below the weld is mudstone-dominated, having similar petrophysical characteristics to shallow and deeper mudstones (i.e. relatively high gamma-ray, high density and moderate porosity; Figs 4 and 5).

INTERPRETATION AND DISCUSSION

Salt-tectonic context of the weld

The studied salt weld forms part of a regionally extensive salt canopy sourced from deep (Jurassic) Louann salt, lying within the ‘amalgamated salt-stock-canopy province’ of Pilcher et al. 2011 (Fig. 1B). Previous regional studies suggest canopy emplacement in Atwater Valley occurred during the Middle to Late Miocene, most likely in response to regional shortening and the extrusion of salt from diapiric feeders (Peel et al., 1995). However, our new data, more specifically our observation that the weld and laterally equivalent canopy of inflated salt overlie Early Pleistocene (Gelasian) strata (Fig. 6), suggest canopy emplacement, at least in this part of Atwater Valley, was considerably later (i.e. Early Pleistocene). The difference in timing of canopy emplacement as suggested by Peel et al. (1995) and presented here may reflect: (i) our access to higher-quality seismic reflection and biostratigraphic data, with the latter in particular providing tighter age constraints on the age of sub- and suprasalt strata; and (ii) the fact that canopy emplacement is indeed protracted, with initial diapir breakout and spreading occurring in some areas during the Middle to Late Miocene, but with the canopy not reaching our study area until the Early Pleistocene, several millions years later.

In detail, the age-depth relationship associated with the weld, where Zanclean (early Pliocene) and Piacenzian (late Pliocene) strata just above the weld are encased in younger, Early Pleistocene (Gelasian) strata, is anomalous (Fig. 6). In the absence of a salt weld and its laterally adjacent sheets, we might simply interpret this age inversion to indicate erosion and redeposition of Pliocene strata within younger Pleistocene strata. However, given its structural and stratigraphic association with allochthonous salt, we can refine this interpretation and suggest the Pliocene strata were initially deposited as part of a thin roof capping the canopy, during and after break-out from one or more of its feeders, before being eroded and redeposited within younger Pleistocene strata.

The age and origin of the 4 m thick, intra-weld mudstone, which, despite being thin, accounts for 17% of the total weld fill, may also be explained by a similar mechanism to that responsible for the supra-
weld age inversion. In this case, the mudstone initially represented relatively young (i.e. Pliocene) ‘carapace’ material carried atop an advancing salt sheet, later being trapped as the sheet welded. The mudstone was then been overridden by salt from the same or different sheet, which then itself thinned and welded. An alternative interpretation is that the mudstone is much older. For example, it could be the same age as the salt (i.e. Jurassic), having ascended from autochthonous levels within a feeder before being reworked from atop or trapped within an advancing salt sheet that eventually welded.

The geophysical and geological expression of salt welds

We have shown that good quality seismic reflection data can constrain the gross position of a subhorizontal tertiary salt weld. Seismic data alone do not, however, constrain the completeness or composition of the weld studied here, with borehole data indicating a few tens of metres of halite-dominated stratigraphy (cf. Jackson et al., 2014). Interpreting a complete weld, or the composition of an incomplete weld may, therefore, be extremely challenging in the absence of borehole data; incomplete welds might be erroneously interpreted as being complete, when in reality, a thin veneer of impermeable rock remains between adjacent country rocks. The results of our study support the recommendation of Jackson et al. (2014), who suggested that, until borehole data unequivocally demonstrate the absence of evaporite between flanking strata, the term ‘apparent weld’ be used to describe seismically defined welds.

Our observation that a c. 20 m thick sequences remains in the Atwater Valley salt weld is consistent with the predictions of analytical and numerical models presented by Wagner and Jackson (2011), which suggest natural salt welds formed by viscous flow alone may contain anywhere from \(<1 \text{ m to up to} \ c. \ 50 \text{ m of remnant salt. Our data, and that from the Campos (Wagner and Jackson, 2011) and Santos basins (Jackson et al., 2014), thus support the hypothesis of Wagner and Jackson (2011) that viscous flow is a good analytical approximation of the physical processes occurring during salt thinning and welding, but that viscous flow alone is unlikely to result in complete evacuation of a salt layer. However, it is important to note that a borehole, irrespective of the quantity and quality of data it provides, is only a 1D sample point; it is possible that, away from this sample point, the weld may be locally complete.

Composition of salt welds

Our borehole data indicate the incomplete tertiary weld penetrated by AT-8 #1 ST is dominated by halite, and that other evaporite (e.g. anhydrite, potash salts) and non-evaporite lithologies, such as carbonate, are absent (Figs 4 and 5). The composition of the weld differs strongly to that encountered in primary welds in the other salt-bearing sedimentary basins. For example, the Parati Weld, Santos
Basin, offshore SE Brazil, which is 22 m thick, is halite-poor, being dominated by carbonate (40%) and anhydrite (40%), with minor amounts of sandstone (16%) and marl (4%). Similarly, halite-poor sequences are observed in incomplete primary welds penetrated by boreholes in the Campos Basin, offshore Brazil (see fig. 17 in Wagner and Jackson, 2011). In that location, 75 boreholes have penetrated multi-layered evaporites in the Retiro Member of the Lagoa Feia Formation (Aptian) (or its nonmarine equivalent). Forty-one of these boreholes penetrate evaporite-bearing sequences that are <100 m thick; of these, only 10 boreholes contain halite and anhydrite, with the remaining 31 boreholes containing only anhydrite.

The compositional variability encountered in salt welds may reflect several factors, such as compositional variations in the autochthonous salt or preferential dissolution of more soluble salts (e.g. halite and bittern salts). For example, autochthonous salt comprising solely halite will yield only halite welds, regardless of structural position within the salt-tectonic system (e.g. primary, secondary and tertiary). Such a case has been informally proposed for the Gulf of Mexico. However, in cases where the autochthonous salt is demonstrably heterogeneous, variations in weld composition may instead reflect a rheological and, ultimately, compositional control on the rate and degree of expulsion of different lithologies during salt thinning. For example, low viscosity, more mobile lithologies, such as halite and potash salt, occurring in thick autochthonous salt in sufficiently large quantities, may be preferentially expelled from thinning salt before relatively high viscosity, less mobile lithologies, such as carbonate, anhydrite and sandstone. As such, during welding, salt becomes relatively enriched in these less mobile, non-halite/potash salt lithologies, which, due to the effects of boundary drag along the upper and low salt contacts, becomes trapped in the weld as the salt thinned (compare ‘differential purification by movement’; Kupfer, 1968; see also Wagner and Jackson, 2011 and Jackson et al., 2014).

Salt structures flanking welds, be they diapirs or autochthonous bodies, should thus be relatively enriched in mobile halite and potash salts, and lack non-halite lithologies; this hypothesis is directly proven by borehole data (Jackson et al., 2014; 2015), and at least given some indirect support from seismic facies analysis (Van Gent et al., 2011; Fiduk and Rowan, 2012; Strozyk et al., 2012).

The differential purification by movement model, which only applies to compositionally heterogeneous systems, suggests increasing compositional fractionation of salt should occur as the salt-tectonic system evolves; more viscous, less mobile, and/or denser units are typically stranded within the autochthonous level, trapped in primary welds, or stranded near the basal root of diapirs, whereas less viscous and/or less dense units form the cores of these diapirs and, potentially, genetically related, allochthonous sheets and canopies. As such, supra-sheet minibasins forming above allochthonous salt sheets or canopies should subside into ‘purer’ salt dominated by halite and potash salts and, accordingly, underlying tertiary welds should be relatively rich in these rock types. Our data support this model, with a halite-rich weld being observed at relatively shallow levels in this salt-tectonic system. However, due to a lack
of deep borehole data and post-depositional salt flow, we do not know the composition of autochthonous salt in the Gulf of Mexico, thus we cannot prove the halite-rich nature of the weld described here simply reflects strain-induced compositional fractionation.

Observations from exposed salt-tectonic systems show that the structurally shallow levels of some diapirs may contain very large (i.e. up to several kilometres in diameter) clasts of rock clearly denser than the encasing salt (e.g. igneous rock, anhydrite; Dalgano and Johnson, 1968; Kent, 1979; Richter-Bernberg, 1980; Gansser, 1992; Lawton and Amato, 2017). These clasts were carried up several kilometres from autochthonous levels within the rising salt and have not yet sunk, with numerical and physical models indicating this occurs because salt rise rate exceeds the descent rate of the denser clast (e.g. Koyi & Schott, 2000; Koyi, 2001; Chemia et al., 2008). Observations from natural examples, and numerical and physical models thus challenge the ‘differential purification by movement’ model, showing that rheology alone may not control vertical changes in composition within salt-tectonics systems. More specifically, numerical models suggest that sedimentation rate, salt viscosity, diapir width, and stratigraphic position of the dense layer within the initial autochthonous layer may also control the ability of rising salt to entrain, transport, and suspend relatively dense clasts (Chemia et al., 2008).

**Implications for petroleum systems development in salt basins**

This observation, along with the fact that various lithologies may remain in an incomplete weld, may directly impact hydrocarbon prospectivity in salt-tectonic basins. For example, the sealing capacity of an incomplete weld containing very low-permeability halite is likely to be higher than an incomplete weld containing permeable layers of carbonates and clastics. We may therefore speculate that primary welds, which may contain relatively little low-permeability halite and thus higher proportions of more permeable non-evaporitic lithologies, may be poorer seals and thus more susceptible to leakage. Additional data from primary, secondary, and tertiary welds, in addition to information on the hydrocarbon presence (or absence) in sub-salt strata, is required to test this hypothesis.

**CONCLUSIONS**

3D isotropic Kirchhoff prestack depth migrated (KPSDM) seismic data image the salt-tectonic structure and reveal the geophysical expression of a tertiary salt weld in the Atwater Valley protraction area of the Gulf of Mexico. This weld formed at the base of a Plio-Pleistocene minibasin that subsided into a thick allochthonous salt canopy fed by several diapiric feeders. Seismic reflection data suggest the weld is devoid of salt and is thus ‘complete’, although borehole data demonstrate the weld is in fact ‘incomplete’, being c. 24 m thick and containing an upper 5 m thick halite and a lower 15 m thick halite,
separated by a 4 m thick mudstone. The origin and age of the intra-weld mudstone is unclear; it may be
Late Jurassic, representing material transported upwards from the autochthonous level within a feeder,
or Pliocene, representing carapace material incorporated into the canopy prior to thinning and welding.
We show that even relatively modern 3D seismic reflection data may not resolve salt weld thickness,
with the presence of a relatively thin layer of salt supporting analytically derived models of welding
based on viscous flow. Furthermore, the halite-dominated character of the weld supports the hypothesis
that tectonic purification occurs during flow of salt from autochthonous to allochthonous levels. In
terms of hydrocarbon exploration, understanding the thickness and composition of material left in salt
welds is important, with data presented here and in other studies suggesting that, for the same given
thickness, the seal potential of tertiary welds may be higher than that of primary (or secondary) welds
due to them being relatively enriched in low-permeability halite.
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FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1. (A) Simplified map showing the location of the study area. The location of the geoseismic profile (after Pilcher, et al. 2011) shown in Fig. 1B is indicated. (B) Broadly NNW-trending geoseismic profile showing the approximate structural position and tectono-stratigraphic context of the study area. p=primary weld; t=tertiary weld. Note that no secondary welds are shown on this profile. TMM=top Middle Miocene; TPL=top Pliocene. The location of the profile is shown in Fig. 1A. Note that this line lies c. 50 km west of the study area (see Fig. 1A).

Fig. 2. (A) base salt depth map; (B) top salt depth map; (C) salt isopach; (D) post-salt isopach; and (E) a simplified salt-tectonic features map. 1-5 in (D) refers to minbasins described in the text. Locations of mapped seismic horizons shown in (A) and (B) are shown in Fig. 3.

Fig. 3. Broadly E-trending seismic profiles through the (A) north, (B) centre, and (C) south of the study area. Locations of profiles are shown in Fig. 2E. (B) intersects through the studied salt weld and borehole AT-8 #1 ST; (D) Broadly N-trending seismic profiles in the (D) west and (E) east of the study area. (D) and (E) intersects postulated salt feeders (Fig. 2E), with (E) also intersecting the studied salt weld and borehole AT-8 #1 ST. s=secondary weld; t=tertiary weld. The locations of Fig. 6A and B are shown in (B) and (E), respectively.

Fig. 4. (A) Well-log and lithology data from the depth interval 4300-4500 m in AT-8 #1 ST. The entire non-evaporitic sedimentary succession shown here is Upper Pliocene. Note the halite-rich character of the weld. For location of borehole, see Figs 2, 3B and D. (B) Details of the well-log expression of interval 4400-4500 in AT-8 #1 ST. The weld and the main lithological subdivisions are indicated, with the colour-coded text referring to the colours in Fig. 5. The seismic polarity convention used for these seismic profiles and those in Fig. 6 are shown in (A).
Fig. 5. Neutron-density cross-plot of petrophysical data from the depth interval 4300-4500 m in AT-8 #1 ST. Note the distinct expression of intra-weld halite, which is characterised by significantly lower neutron values than underlying or overlying clastics.

Fig. 6. Compilation of biostratigraphic data from borehole AT-8 #1 ST showing the age of sub- and suprasalt strata flanking the studied salt weld. Raw data are shown in Supplementary Material 2, with these data calibrated to the chart shown in Supplementary Material 3.

Fig. 7. (A) N-trending seismic profile (IL44638) through borehole AT-8 #1 ST; (B) E-trending profile (XL6602) through borehole AT-8 #1 ST. Both profiles illustrate the seismic character of the salt weld and adjacent stratigraphy. Note the distinctly chaotic seismic facies directly underlying the weld. The location of (A) is shown in Fig. 3B and the location of (B) in Fig. 3E.

Supplementary Material 1 – Uninterpreted versions of seismic profiles shown in Fig. 3.

Supplementary Material 2 – Table of biostratigraphic data from borehole AT-8 #1 ST. Reports 1-3 are assumed to reflect different analysis of the recovered core material that may, in certain instances, be from the same depth, but which may describe different fauna; e.g. 14310 m in Report 1 (Globoquadrina altispira) and 2 (Globorotalia menardii, coiling change left/right), which both yield an Upper Pliocene age, and 8160 m in Report 1 (Gephyrocapsa aperta acme) and 2 (Stilostomella antillea), which both yield a Lower Pleistocene age.


Supplementary Material 4 – Uninterpreted versions of seismic profiles shown in Fig. 7.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth</th>
<th>MD (ft)</th>
<th>MD (m)</th>
<th>Pick</th>
<th>Confidence</th>
<th>Foraminifera</th>
<th>Nannoplankton</th>
<th>Series</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5,221</td>
<td>1,591</td>
<td></td>
<td>POS</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pseudoemiliacea lacunosa &quot;C&quot; (acme)</td>
<td>Lower Pleistocene</td>
<td>Ionian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8,159</td>
<td>2,487</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gephyrocapsa aperta (acme)</td>
<td>Lower Pleistocene</td>
<td>Calabrian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12,299</td>
<td>3,749</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Helicosphaera selli</td>
<td>Lower Pleistocene</td>
<td>Calabrian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12,300</td>
<td>3,749</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Calcidiscus mactintyrei</td>
<td>Lower Pleistocene</td>
<td>Calabrian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13,389</td>
<td>4,361</td>
<td></td>
<td>POS</td>
<td></td>
<td>Globobulimina altispira</td>
<td>Untoconformity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14,310</td>
<td>4,362</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gephyrocapsa aperta (acme)</td>
<td>Lower Pleistocene</td>
<td>Zancian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14,430</td>
<td>4,398</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reticulofenestra pseudoumbilica</td>
<td>Lower Pleistocene</td>
<td>Zancian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19,620</td>
<td>5,980</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dictyococcites antarcticus</td>
<td>Lower Pleistocene</td>
<td>Zancian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20,159</td>
<td>6,144</td>
<td></td>
<td>POS</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amaurolithus tricorniculatus</td>
<td>Reworked material</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20,160</td>
<td>6,145</td>
<td></td>
<td>POS</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amaurolithus tricorniculatus</td>
<td>Reworked material</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,280</td>
<td>1,609</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gephyrocapsa aperta</td>
<td>Upper Pleistocene</td>
<td>Ionian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,060</td>
<td>1,847</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sphaeroidinella dehiscens (acme &quot;A&quot;)</td>
<td>Lower Pleistocene</td>
<td>Calabrian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,210</td>
<td>1,893</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sphaeroidinella dehiscens (acme &quot;B&quot;)</td>
<td>Lower Pleistocene</td>
<td>Calabrian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,740</td>
<td>2,359</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Uvigerina hispida</td>
<td>Lower Pleistocene</td>
<td>Calabrian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,860</td>
<td>2,377</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sphaeroidinella dehiscens (acme &quot;B&quot;)</td>
<td>Lower Pleistocene</td>
<td>Calabrian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8,160</td>
<td>2,487</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gephyrocapsa aperta</td>
<td>Upper Pleistocene</td>
<td>Ionian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,050</td>
<td>3,063</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Globorotalia mactintyrei</td>
<td>Upper Pleistocene</td>
<td>Calabrian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,110</td>
<td>3,082</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Globorotalia mactintyrei</td>
<td>Upper Pleistocene</td>
<td>Calabrian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,590</td>
<td>3,286</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gephyrocapsa aperta</td>
<td>Lower Pleistocene</td>
<td>Calabrian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,950</td>
<td>3,338</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gephyrocapsa aperta</td>
<td>Upper Pleistocene</td>
<td>Calabrian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12,510</td>
<td>3,813</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gephyrocapsa aperta</td>
<td>Upper Pleistocene</td>
<td>Calabrian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12,750</td>
<td>3,866</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gephyrocapsa aperta</td>
<td>Upper Pleistocene</td>
<td>Calabrian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12,940</td>
<td>3,914</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gephyrocapsa aperta</td>
<td>Upper Pleistocene</td>
<td>Calabrian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14,310</td>
<td>4,362</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gephyrocapsa aperta (acme)</td>
<td>Lower Pleistocene</td>
<td>Calabrian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14,850</td>
<td>4,626</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Globorotalia menardii (coiling change left/right)</td>
<td>Upper Pleistocene</td>
<td>Gelasian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15,150</td>
<td>4,618</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Globorotalia menardii</td>
<td>Upper Pleistocene</td>
<td>Gelasian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15,160</td>
<td>4,618</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Globorotalia menardii</td>
<td>Upper Pleistocene</td>
<td>Gelasian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15,160</td>
<td>4,618</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Globorotalia menardii</td>
<td>Upper Pleistocene</td>
<td>Gelasian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16,140</td>
<td>4,919</td>
<td></td>
<td>POS</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cibicides grosseperforatus</td>
<td>Upper Pleistocene</td>
<td>Zancian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16,050</td>
<td>4,919</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cibicides grosseperforatus</td>
<td>Upper Pleistocene</td>
<td>Zancian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18,190</td>
<td>5,523</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cibicides grosseperforatus</td>
<td>Upper Pleistocene</td>
<td>Zancian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18,230</td>
<td>5,587</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gephyrocapsa aperta (acme)</td>
<td>Lower Pleistocene</td>
<td>Calabrian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18,510</td>
<td>5,544</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gephyrocapsa aperta (acme)</td>
<td>Lower Pleistocene</td>
<td>Zancian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18,660</td>
<td>5,505</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gephyrocapsa aperta</td>
<td>Lower Pleistocene</td>
<td>Zancian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18,730</td>
<td>5,404</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Uvigerina rustica</td>
<td>Lower Pleistocene</td>
<td>Zancian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18,860</td>
<td>5,395</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Uvigerina rustica</td>
<td>Lower Pleistocene</td>
<td>Zancian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18,980</td>
<td>5,323</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Uvigerina rustica</td>
<td>Lower Pleistocene</td>
<td>Zancian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19,050</td>
<td>5,323</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Uvigerina rustica</td>
<td>Lower Pleistocene</td>
<td>Zancian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19,310</td>
<td>5,587</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gephyrocapsa aperta (acme)</td>
<td>Lower Pleistocene</td>
<td>Calabrian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19,890</td>
<td>6,062</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gephyrocapsa aperta (acme)</td>
<td>Lower Pleistocene</td>
<td>Calabrian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20,040</td>
<td>6,108</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gephyrocapsa aperta</td>
<td>Lower Pleistocene</td>
<td>Calabrian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20,190</td>
<td>6,154</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gephyrocapsa aperta</td>
<td>Lower Pleistocene</td>
<td>Calabrian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20,430</td>
<td>6,227</td>
<td></td>
<td>POS</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gephyrocapsa aperta</td>
<td>Upper Pliocene</td>
<td>Messinian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9,660</td>
<td>2,944</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gephyrocapsa aperta</td>
<td>Upper Pleistocene</td>
<td>Ionian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,860</td>
<td>3,310</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Scyphosphaera pulcherrima</td>
<td>Lower Pleistocene</td>
<td>Calabrian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11,550</td>
<td>3,520</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Calcidiscus mactintyrei</td>
<td>Lower Pleistocene</td>
<td>Calabrian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12,300</td>
<td>3,749</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Helicosphaera selli</td>
<td>Lower Pleistocene</td>
<td>Calabrian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13,890</td>
<td>4,234</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>Discocastor brouweri</td>
<td>Upper Pleistocene</td>
<td>Gelasian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>