Types of mixing and heterogeneities in siliciclastic-carbonate sediments
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Abstract

Mixed siliciclastic-carbonate deposits consist of a suite of different types of mixing between the two components, from bed (core-plug) to stratigraphic (seismic) scales, producing a high vertical and lateral lithological variability. Mixed deposits results from the interaction of siliciclastic input and coeval carbonate production controlled by temporal and/or spatial factors. Although mixed deposits are very diffuse in the geological record, studies about these deposits are scrappy and not well encoded. Accordingly, mixed deposits represent a labyrinth for researchers who want to investigate them for the first time.

In this paper, different types of mixing (compositional versus strata) controlled by different allocyclic (\textit{e.g.} sea-level, climate) and/or autycyclic (\textit{e.g.} depositional processes) factors that operate at different scale are documented. Mixing is recognized and described at three main scales of observation: bed/core-plug scale; lithofacies/well-log scale; and stratigraphic/seismic scale. (i) Compositional mixing reflects the contemporaneous accumulation of the two heterolithic fraction in space and time. This type of mixing is observable at lamina to bed scale, locally producing depositional structures diagnostic for particular depositional environments. (ii) Strata mixing results from the alternation of the two heterolithic fraction in time. This type of mixing is observable at lithofacies to stratigraphic scale and can be related to depositional processes, climatic variations and/or relative sea-level changes.

A correct identification of these different types of mixing and the scale of their occurrence is crucial in revealing (i) physical processes that control the sedimentation, (ii) environmental factors that influence the carbonate factory related to the siliciclastic dispersal mechanisms, and (iii)
internal heterogeneity of the resulting sedimentary deposit. Furthermore, the petroleum industry is interested to unravel new insights about internal properties of mixed siliciclastic-carbonate systems (e.g., porosity, permeability) and to reconstruct predictive 3D models for the related reservoirs. The correct prediction of internal heterogeneity and the recognition of lateral and vertical compartmentalization have an important impact on hydrocarbon exploration and exploitation.

1. Introduction

Mixed or hybrid deposits are sediments consisting of both extrabasinal (e.g. epiclastic or terrigenous) and intrabasinal (autochthonous to paraautochthonous) components (Zuffa, 1980; 1985). Since in the majority of cases the mixture comprises siliciclastic grains as extrabasinal fraction and carbonate grains (mainly bioclastic) as intrabasinal fraction, the most used definition for these hybrid materials is “mixed siliciclastic and carbonate sediments” (i.e. Mount, 1985). The mixing of these two components mostly derives from the interaction of different processes in the same basinal sector (e.g. river discharge in a shallow-marine area characterized by the presence of a carbonate factory), and consists in the mixture of siliciclastic and carbonate grains as well as the alternation of siliciclastic and carbonate laminas and lamina sets and/or strata and strata sets (e.g. Mount, 1984; Borer and Harris, 1991; Chiarella and Longhitano, 2012; Chiarella et al., 2012b; Longhitano et al., 2012). As a consequence, mixing between the two heterolithic components can occur with different proportion and scales according to different depositional processes, relative sea-level changes and/or climatic variations providing more sensitive records and complex sedimentation pattern than pure siliciclastic or carbonate systems.

The past two decades have witnessed a remarkable flourishing of research efforts devoted to the characterization of mixed sediments, and most recent studies on ancient and modern depositional environments indicate that mixed deposits are quite common and various (among others, Tirsgaard, 1996; Tropeano and Sabato, 2000; Anselmetti et al., 2004; Halfar et al., 2004; LaGesse and Read, 2006; Barnaby and Ward, 2007; Critelli et al., 2007; Messina et al., 2007; Hender and Dix, 2008;
Amorosi e Zuffa, 2011; Betzler et al., 2011; Brandano et al., 2010; Tänavsuu-Milkeviciene et al., 2009; Longhitano et al., 2010; Zecchin and Caffau, 2011; Braga et al., 2012; Chiarella et al., 2012a; Gramigna et al., 2012; Longhitano et al., 2012; McNeill et al., 2012; Longhitano et al., 2014; Zeller et al., 2015; Chiarella et al., 2016; Moretti et al., 2016; Rossi et al., 2017; Van Loon et al., 2017). In particular, recent researches focused on: (i) the relationships between the two heterolithic fractions and the sedimentary processes (i.e. environmental conditions) that control mixed sedimentation, (ii) the environmental factors that influence the carbonate factory related to the siliciclastic dispersal mechanisms, and (iii) the mixed siliciclastic-carbonate distribution in space and time.

In addition, mixed deposits are valuable in the context of hydrocarbon exploration (McNeill et al., 2004) because carbonate and siliciclastic components play different roles in the different elements that characterize the petroleum system, as well as in oil migration, and reservoirs evolution. Consideration on how petrophysical properties of porosity and permeability vary throughout mixed deposits and identification of processes responsible for their creation and/or destruction are important for accurate reservoir modelling. Moreover, mixed deposits contain lateral and vertical heterogeneities (siliciclastic-carbonate layers) forming baffles and barriers that strongly influence flow behaviour.

In the present study, a classification of different types and scales of siliciclastic-carbonate mixing useful to characterize mixed reservoir formations is provided. Three different scales of mixing referable to two main types of mixing (compositional versus strata) are recognised.

2. Components and classification of mixed siliciclastic-carbonate sediments: an historical overview

Only after a series of international meetings and many publications devoted to the occurrence of modern and ancient mixed systems, the study of mixed sediments received increased attention in the geologic literature (Zuffa, 1980; McIlreath and Ginsburg, 1982; Doyle and Roberts, 1988, Budd and Harris, 1990; Lomando and Harris, 1991). This was possibly due to the fact that mixed systems
exhibit complex sedimentation patterns which depend on sedimentary processes active in terrigenous and/or carbonate systems interacting in the same sedimentary environments (Dolan, 1989). Consequently, the study of mixed systems usually requires a multidisciplinary approach, even if, until the 1980s, the attention of most sedimentologists was focused either on pure siliciclastic or on carbonate systems, with less attention paid to the spectrum of ‘mixed’ sediments that lies between the siliciclastic and carbonate end-members. Exemplary is the case of the Yates Formation (Guadalupe Mt, New Messico), which is an Upper Permian shelfal succession developed along the southwestern margin of the Delaware Basin (Borer and Harris, 1991a). Some publications described in detail its sedimentary features, focusing only on the carbonate facies, whereas others dealt only with the associated siliciclastic facies (Mear and Yarbrrough, 1961; Zinz, 1971; Franco, 1973; Candelaria, 1982; Thorkelson, 1983). This approach was induced by a common misconception that a significant carbonate production cannot occur in areas of terrigenous input. Similarly, classification systems for clastic deposits are commonly presented according to the main lithological component (e.g. Dunham, 1962; Folk, 1980) although siliciclastic and carbonate sedimentation are part of a spatial and/or temporal continuum (Doyle and Roberts, 1988).

The most widely accepted and employed classification scheme for systematic description of sand-size sediments focused the attention on pure siliciclastic or carbonate sediments (e.g. Pettijohn, 1954; Folk, 1959; Dunham, 1962), although back in 1957 Pettijohn coined for the first time the term “calcarenaceous ortho-quartzite” for sandstone consisting of sub equal proportion of detrital carbonate and quartz. In the same year, Dumbar and Rodgers (1957) used “quartzose calcarenite” for intermediate varieties between sandstone where grains are dominantly of calcite (calcarenite), and sandstone where grains are dominantly of quartz (quartzose sandstone). Bramkamp and Powers (1958), in their classification of Arabian carbonate rocks, divided calcarenitic limestone into carbonate facies composed exclusively of carbonate grains, and sandy facies for detrital non-carbonate sand admixed with carbonate fragments. Successively, Pettijohn (1975) proposed the term “calcarenaceous sand” to define sandstone containing an appreciable
amount of detrital carbonaceous particles. Mixed sediments can be referred to the “hybrid arenite” proposed by Zuffa (1980) and composed of an intrabasinal component typically represented by fragments of coeval carbonate skeletal organisms, and extrabasinal clastic fractions derived from river input or submarine erosion of previous substrate rocks. Mount (1984) proposed the terms “allochmin sandstone” with siliciclastic particles >50%, and “sandy allochem limestone” if allochems are dominant. Tucker (2003) re-adopted the term “calcarenaceous sandstones” previously proposed by Pettijohn (1975) for sediments that contain up to 50% of carbonate grains. Finally, Chiarella and Longhitano (2012), recently introduced the ‘bioclastic/siliciclastic ratio’, which allows assessing quantitatively the antithetic particle percentages appreciable in mixed unconsolidated or consolidated deposits, suggesting that sediments are considered mixed if they contain more than the 10% of their antithetic components.

3. Different types and scales of mixing

According to Budd and Harris (1990), mixed successions exhibit two different types of sedimentary mixing: (i) ‘spatial variability’, when siliciclastic and carbonate sediments lie adjacent, occupying laterally contemporaneous and contiguous environments (e.g. Dorsey and Kidwell, 1999; Coffey and Read, 2004; Nalin et al., 2010; Longhitano et al., 2010; Chiarella et al., 2012a, b; Longhitano et al., 2012), and (ii) ‘temporal variability’, when carbonate and siliciclastic sediments alternate through time along the same succession (e.g. Wilson, 1967; Gillespie and Nelson, 1997; McNeil et al., 2004; Moissette et al., 2010; Lee and Chough, 2011; Zecchin and Caffau, 2012). However, in some particular case, mixed successions can be characterized by a short-term spatial variability alternate to long-term temporal variability.

Unfortunately, these two types of mixing do not reflect the different scale of internal arrangement that can characterize mixed deposits. Based on the case studies reported in the present work, which are assumed to be fairly representative of a wide spectrum of mixing, a new characterization that takes into account also the different scales of internal organization is here
proposed. In particular, based on their depositional arrangement mixed deposits can be classified as derived from a (i) compositional mixing or (ii) strata mixing (Fig. 1). The compositional mixing is referred to deposits in which the two heterolithic fractions accumulate contemporaneously in time and space resulting in millimetre- to meter-scale beds having a mixed siliciclastic-carbonate composition. The strata mixing is referred to deposits where the two heterolithic fractions are organized in meter- to decameter-scale interbedded siliciclastic and carbonate beds and/or bedsets. Compositional and strata mixing occur at three main scales of observation – bed (core-plug), lithofacies (core/well-log) and stratigraphic (seismic) scale - (Fig. 1). Recognition of the scale at which mixing occurs is important to better populated reservoir models with porosity and permeability properties for reservoir characterization.

3.1 Compositional mixing

Compositional-mixing occurs at the bed scale (core-plug scale) and it is generally related to depositional processes active during the sedimentation. Siliciclastic and carbonate particles are mixed during the sediment accumulation (Fig. 1A), because either they form the bulk of the transported sediment at time or the continuous feeding of terrigenous particles does not inhibit a significant in situ carbonate production (Chiarella et al., 2009; Chiarella, 2011; Longhitano et al., 2012; Chiarella, 2016; Chiarella et al., 2016). In the compositional mixing it is important to highlight that under the same hydrodynamic processes (e.g. waves, currents, tides) each heterolithic component may offer a different physical response. In fact, as the shear stress to the bottom or the velocity of a fluid flow cause grains motion, sedimentary particles respond depending on their specific density or morphometry (Mantz, 1977; Miller et al., 1977; Nelson, 1978; Allen, 1984; Clifton and Dingler, 1984; Komar and Clemens, 1986; Flemming, 2016). For instance, owing to their lower density and often platy or irregular shape, skeletal grains, even bigger than sand-size particles, require a lower shear stress to initiate transport if compared to siliciclastic grains (Maiklem, 1968; Braithwaite, 1973; Clifton and Dingler, 1984; Prager et al., 1996). For this reason,
heterolithic sediments provide a different physical response if entrained by a fluid in motion (Collins and Rigler, 1982; Komar and Clemens, 1986), generating a specific internal organization of bioclastic and siliciclastic particles (Longhitano, 2011; Chiarella and Longhitano, 2012). This significant diversity may affect transport rates, causes differential sediment entrainment and lead to the formation of specific textural varieties in mixed deposits. As extensively documented in outcrop examples of southern Italy (see Figs. 4A-D), tidal currents and waves can organize mixed sediments in particular sedimentary structures indicative of specific waves- versus tide-influenced or -dominated depositional environments (Chiarella, 2011; Chiarella et al., 2012; Longhitano et al., 2012; Chiarella and Longhitano, 2012). Thus, mixed sediments vary from unsegregated to variously segregated and can be organized into laminae or laminae-sets, depending on the in-situ production and hydrodynamics of the dominant process (Chiarella and Longhitano, 2012).

3.2 Strata mixing

Strata mixing can be related to either autoecyclic or alloecyclic factors. In this type of mixing, it is possible to recognize mixing that occurs from (a) lithofacies scale (core scale) to (b) stratigraphic scale (seismic scale) (Figs. 1B and 1C).

(a) Lithofacies scale mixing consists of interbedded siliciclastic and carbonate layers (Fig. 1B). As example, the Narva succession (Baltic Basin – Eastern Europe) shows a bed-scale (decimeter scale) alternation between siliciclastic and carbonate layers (Fig. 5A). This mixing can be interpreted as the result of: i) short-term sea-level changes, from highstand (carbonate layers) to lowstand (siliciclastic layers) (reciprocal sedimentation, in: Wilson, 1967); ii) short-term climate changes from arid (carbonate layers) to humid (siliciclastic layers) conditions or a tectonic control on the sediment supply from the continent (Tänavsuu-Milkeviciene et al., 2009); (iii) extreme weather conditions (e.g. storms) active during the sedimentation (Halfar et al., 2004); or (iv) heterolithic segregation related to gravity-driven depositional processes (Yose and Heller, 1989).
(b) Stratigraphic scale mixing (Fig. 1C) can be related to the contemporaneous action of allocyclic and autocyclic factors. In the Parilla Formation (SE Spain), the stratigraphic scale mixing is interpreted to be related to the retrogradational (landward) shift of a carbonate marine environment above a siliciclastic alluvial-fan. In this Formation, mid-ramp deposits show 1 to 8 meters thick well-developed cycles of interbedded marine carbonates and marginal-marine to alluvial siliciclastics (Thrana and Talbot, 2006). A similar interfingered configuration between siliciclastic and carbonate units has been documented by Warzeski et al. (1996) and McNeill et al. (2004) in the Neogene of the South Florida (USA). In such shallow-marine deposits, according to McNeill et al. (2004), a combination of sea-level variations and current-velocity changes controlled the input of siliciclastic sediments into the mixing zone. In the Ainsa-Jaca Basin (Southern Pyrenees, Spain), Morsilli et al. (2012) describe the occurrence of carbonate buildups encased in siliciclastic prodelta deposits. To explain the contemporaneous existence of siliciclastic input and carbonate production, Sanders and Baron-Szabo (2005) and Morsilli et al. (2012) suggest that, below some threshold, distinctive taxa cope well with terrigenous turbidity acclimating by increased heterotrophy.

Furthermore, several authors (Martinius, 1995; Molenaar and Martinius, 1995; Monstad, 2000), refer to autocyclic processes to interpret the formation of such alternating facies. Autocyclic processes may develop as a consequence of the unforced internal dynamics of carbonate and siliciclastic sedimentation in association with subsidence (Thrana and Talbot, 2006). Tectonics movements can influence siliciclastic input through unroofing of the source area. Climate changes could influence siliciclastic sediment supply, carbonate productivity and sediment dispersal (Wilson, 1967; Capozzi and Picotti, 2003; Roveri and Taviani, 2003; García-García et al., 2009). Accordingly, carbonate intervals could correspond to episodic dry-cold climate conditions characterized by reduced siliciclastic supply. In contrast, siliciclastic intervals could correspond to temperate-wet climate stages characterized by high rate of siliciclastic supply produced by intense precipitation in the drainage areas. Finally, high-frequency sea-level changes can influence the relative importance of
3.3 Coexistence of compositional and strata mixing

In particular situations, compositional and strata mixing can occur in the same stratigraphic interval (Fig. 2).

Exemplary are the cases documented in the Catanzaro and the Enna-Caltanissetta basins (Southern Italy) and in the Guadix Basin (SE Spain) where a bed-scale compositional mixing is part of a stratigraphic-scale strata mixing. The basin-margin deposits of the Catanzaro Basin consist of a bed-scale compositional mixing closely alternating carbonate strata (Fig. 2A). These couples can be referred to gravity-driven depositional processes or short-term climatic changes (Chiarella et al., 2012a). The Capodarso Formation in the Enna-Caltanissetta Basin (Vitale, 1998; Colella and Vitale, 1998; Massari and Chiocci, 2006) consists of a stack of six mixed carbonate-siliciclastic wedge bodies (5 to 30 m thick) characterized by a bed-scale compositional mixing, alternating with siliciclastic mudstones (5 to 20 m thick) forming a stratigraphic-scale strata mixing (Fig. 2B). According to several authors (Lickorish and Butler, 1996; Vitale, 1998; Colella and Vitale, 1998; Roveri and Taviani, 2003; Massari and Chiocci, 2006 and Massari and D’Alessandro, 2010), the Capodarso stratigraphic architecture was controlled by high amplitude, orbitally-driven variations inducing glacio-eustatic and climatic changes.

The Guadix Basin succession (Fig. 2C) contains 30 sedimentary packages formed by mixed siliciclastic–carbonate sandstones (3-20 m thick) and homogeneous marls (2-17 m thick) (García-García et al., 2009 and Puga-Bernabéu et al., 2010). Likewise in the Capodarso Formation, a bed-scale compositional mixing is part of a stratigraphic- (strata) mixing. The stratigraphic-scale alternation between mixed sandstones and marls has been interpreted as related to two different processes (García-García et al., 2009; Puga-Bernabéu et al., 2010). According to García-García et al. (2009), the repetition is related to alternating cool-wet and cold-dry climate episodes driven by
precession orbital cycles with no substantial changes in the depositional depth. The siliciclastic-carbonate intervals, characterized by a compositional mixing (bed-scale), are interpreted to record wet temperate conditions, in contrast with the marl intervals attributed to cold-dry conditions. On the contrary, Puga-Bernabéu et al. (2010) interpret stratigraphic-scale mixing as controlled by relative sea-level changes.

An additional example showing contemporaneous compositional and strata mixing is the late Neogene shallow-marine Mao Formation (Cibao Basin – Dominican Republic). In this deposit McNeill et al. (2012) document the occurrence of 10 high-frequency cycles formed by siliciclastic layers interbedded with mixed carbonate-siliciclastic layers.

These examples confirm that pure siliciclastic and carbonate end-members do not pertain to two different realms but they are part of a continuum (sensu Doyle and Roberts, 1988). However, it is important to highlight that the occurrence of strata mixing requires a balance between terrigenous supply and carbonate production.

4. Different mixing processes

Based on modern and ancient examples, the compositional and strata mixing proposed in the present paper can be referred to three main mixing processes generating siliciclastic-carbonate mixtures (Fig. 3). (i) Punctuated mixing, which refers to isolated and occasional coexistences of carbonates with siliciclastics or vice versa (see also Mount, 1984), occurs because of catastrophic, high-intensity events, or is related to short-term climatic changes. Halfar et al. (2004) documents the occurrence of a punctuated mixing due to storm events in the present-day Gulf of California where siliciclastic sediments are eroded along the shorelines and redeposited onto carbonate sediments. This type of mixing process produces a lithofacies-scale mixing (strata mixing). (ii) Facies mixing represents mixing occurring along boundaries between contrasting, siliciclastic-versus carbonate-dominated, environments. Possible examples are (a) flanks of carbonate shoal complexes that shelter siliciclastic lagoons, (b) siliciclastic tidal flats adjacent to sub-tidal
carbonates; (c) coastal dunes and tidal flats receiving aeolian contributions of a different composition respect to the background sediments, (d) bioclastic beaches lying on the flanks of volcanic islands, which receive volcanoclastic sediments eroded from neighbouring areas; and (e) tidal straits, where siliciclastic dunes are often diffusely populated by living organisms with calcareous skeletal parts. In the La Paz area (Gulf of California), facies mixing is documented adjacent to the shallow pocket bays and on mid-shelf environments where carbonate layers interfinger with siliciclastic ones (McNeill et al., 2012). This type of mixing can result both in a bed-scale mixing (compositional mixing) and/or a stratigraphic-scale mixing (strata mixing). (iii) In situ mixing refers to sediment mixtures related to contemporaneous availability in space and time of the two heterolithic siliciclastic and carbonate fractions. This type of mixing process results into a bed-scale mixing (compositional mixing). Here, siliciclastic sediments combine with carbonate material derived from autochthonous or paraautochthonous faunal assemblages. In the Cibao Basin (Dominican Republic), the occurrence of carbonate deposits within a siliciclastic shelf result from an in situ mixing (McNeill et al., 2012), as well as documented in Quaternary deposits of southern Italy (Chiarella and Longhitano, 2012; Chiarella et al., 2012b; Longhitano et al., 2014; Chiarella, 2016; Chiarella et al., 2016).

5. Reservoir considerations

A variety of types and scales of heterogeneity is found in most reservoirs. Generally, the term heterogeneity is used to describe the geological complexity of a reservoir and the relationship of such complexity to the flow of fluids migrating through it (Slatt and Galloway, 1993). Porosity/permeability distribution due to lithologic and/or grain-size variation in the sedimentary deposits defines the reservoir heterogeneity (Bonnel and Hurich, 2008). The reservoir heterogeneity is further complicated by diagenesis and mechanical deformation of the rocks. Consequently, fluid flow in reservoirs is controlled by heterogeneity at different scales, from bed- (core-plug) up to stratigraphic- (seismic) scale. The two main rock properties that control reservoir performances are
porosity and permeability, which are strictly dependent on texture and composition of the original sediment. In mixed deposits, the type and scale of mixing have a major impact on reservoir characterization. In particular, depositional processes control the primary sedimentary structures and the bed scale compositional mixing. Moreover, it is important to note that mixed sediments are subject to more rapid and extensive porosity loss owing to more compaction and cementation than in pure siliciclastic ones (Mansurbeg et al., 2009) although high porosity can be related to dissolution of carbonate grains in deeply buried mixed siliciclastic-carbonate strata (Feng et al., 2013). In the same way, understanding the processes that generate the strata mixing is important to elucidate the relationships between different mixed strata sets. This aspect needs is crucial when investigating lateral and vertical compartmentalization produced by the alternation of permeable (siliciclastic) and impermeable (carbonate) intervals (Zecchin and Caffau, 2012).

In the present paper, heterogeneities related to siliciclastic-carbonate mixing have been discriminated according to different scale of observations (Fig. 1). From smallest to largest scale, they are bed (core-plug) scale heterogeneities, related to compositional mixing, and lithofacies (core) scale and stratigraphic (seismic) scale heterogeneities, both related to strata mixing. In the literature, there are several examples of mixed siliciclastic-carbonate reservoirs related to compositional (e.g. well 6204/11-1, Shetland Group, offshore Norway) and strata (e.g. Asmari Reservoir, Marun oilfield, Iran; van Buchem et al., 2010; Gipsdalen Group, Barents Sea, Norway; Stemmerik et al., 1999) mixing, although they have not always been treated as mixed systems.

5.1. Compositional mixing (Bed-/Core plug-scale)

Bed (core-plug) scale mixing produce heterogeneities related to pores and textural arrangement of grains, including pore volume (porosity), pore sizes and shapes, grain-to-grain contacts that control permeability and grain types (siliciclastic versus carbonate). Consequently, heterogeneities at the bed (core-plug) scale affect directional flow of fluids, potential fluid-rock interactions, and formation damage. Reservoir properties of mixed siliciclastic-carbonate deposits characterized by a
compositional mixing are strictly related to the twofold siliciclastic and carbonate grains composition. Because the capacity of mixed sediments to respond to the main hydrodynamic processes is strictly dependent upon their own physical features, the heterolithic composition controls the final internal texture of deposits (Chiarella and Longhitano, 2012). In addition, primary and secondary porosity can be controlled by the amount of carbonate grains mixed with the siliciclastic ones (Mansurbeg et al., 2009; Feng et al., 2013).

In many ancient examples of southern Italy, tidal processes segregate the predominantly coarse/light bioclastic and fine/heavy siliciclastic sediments forming typical siliciclastic-carbonate bundles in cross-stratified deposits (Chiarella, 2011; Longhitano, 2011; Chiarella and Longhitano, 2012; Chiarella et al., 2012; Longhitano et al., 2012; Longhitano et al., 2014 - Fig. 4A). This hydraulic partitioning provides distinct lateral changes in grain composition (siliciclastic versus carbonate) that could ultimately produce significant differences in reservoir potential. Consequently, hydraulic sorting results in a partitioning of reservoir facies forming siliciclastic- and bioclastic-rich foreset laminae with compartmentalized properties (Figs. 4A-D). Such variations in grain composition translate into differential diagenetic cementation within the laminae and, ultimately, to lamina-scale variations in permeability (Fig. 4C). Foresets act as minicompartment separated by high-angle angular unconformities, compositional and textural differences, and permeability baffles (Messina and Nemec, 2006; Messina et al., 2009; Longhitano, 2011). In particular, more cemented carbonate-rich laminae act as baffles to fluid flow. Cementation is enhanced by the presence of abundant carbonate grains, which can act as nuclei for precipitation and growth of carbonate cement (Mansurberg et al., 2009 and reference therein). Diagenetic evolution pathways are closely linked to the variation in sediments composition, particularly the proportions and types of siliciclastic and carbonate grains. For example, the diagenetic evolution and porosity reduction in mixed siliciclastic-carbonate turbiditic deposits of the Eocene Hecho Group show that mixed arenites undergo more rapid and extensive porosity loss owing to higher compaction and cementation than siliciclastic sandstones of the same succession (Mansurbeg et al.,
2009). Moreover, although reservoir performance generally decreases with depth, Feng et al. (2013) recognize in mixed deposits of the northwest Qaidam basin (China) two critical depths with higher porosity related to dissolution of carbonate grains.

Compartmentalization prediction is another crucial element to be evaluated in reservoir characterisation studies because permeability parallel to cross-strata is commonly up to four times greater than permeability normal to them, and anisotropy may have a strong effect on the flow of pore fluids, oil recovery and residual oil saturation (Kortekaas, 1985; Hartkamp-Bakker, 1991). In this case, fluid can migrate vertically only when faults or fractures create structural heterogeneities into the deposits.

Unfortunately, previous works focused mainly on flow behaviour in sandstone-mudstone systems (e.g. Dreyer, 1992; Ringrose et al., 2005 and reference therein) and no permeability data have been provided for mixed siliciclastic-carbonate deposits.

5.2. Strata mixing

Lithofacies (core) scale mixing may have an impact on the stratification styles and the nature of bedding contacts affecting fluid-flow patterns and drainage efficiency of reservoir (Slatt, 2006). The correct prediction of stratigraphic (seismic) scale mixing helps to estimate the in-place hydrocarbon volume, areal distribution, and trend of hydrocarbon production (Slatt and Galloway, 1993; Slatt, 2006).

The vertical and lateral lithological facies changes between carbonate and siliciclastic strata (Figs. 2, and 5A) can form stratigraphic traps based on lithologic differences and differential diagenesis resulting in alternating permeable (reservoir) and cemented (non-reservoir - barrier) meter-scale intervals, with erratic lateral and vertical distribution of pressures and fluids. In particular, if one of the interbedded lithofacies has high porosity and permeability values and the other is relatively tight, these reservoir prone beds could produce subsurface reservoir zones and subtle stratigraphic traps (McNeill et al., 2004). Accordingly, in well core the reservoir units would
appear to be vertically stacked, separated by the non-reservoir lithologies. Therefore, the recognition of the lithofacies mixing is important because one of the heterolithic lithofacies may form impermeable layers (compartmentalized reservoir) acting as barriers to fluid flow, as well as potential source rocks (Emery and Myers, 1996). Finally, at the stratigraphic scale (Figs. 5B and 5C), the occurrence of alternating siliciclastic and carbonate units could correspond to different reservoir and non-reservoir zones. The proposed example of the Aînsa-Jaca Basin of southern Pyrenees (Spain) may reproduce this situation having carbonate units embedded within siliciclastic ones (Fig. 5C). In the same way, the stacking pattern of siliciclastic-carbonate cycles (stratigraphic scale mixing) recognized in the Yates Formation in the Guadalupe Mt (New Mexico) (Borer and Harris, 1991a; 1991b; Mutti and Simo, 1993) produces stacked reservoir (siliciclastic unit) and non-reservoir (carbonate unit) intervals. The positioning of vertical and lateral facies shifts depends on the understanding of the stratigraphic architecture of the mixed system, which is related to the same factors controlling the strata mixing.

In similar compartmentalized subsurface siliciclastic reservoir intervals, fluid migration pathways are parallel to the stratification, and fluids may migrate vertically only if and where vertical discontinuities (e.g. faults or fractures) penetrate the cemented carbonate layers (Zecchin and Cafflau, 2012).

Understanding vertical and lateral changes in composition, and reservoir properties at the bed and regional scale is fundamental to predict the occurrence of potential reservoir and non-reservoir intervals. Therefore, integration between outcrop-scale (field and digital) studies and subsurface data (core and seismic) is useful to reconstruct a sedimentological and stratigraphic framework, and is essential for the elaboration of 3D reservoir models.

Conclusions

Although in the last years an increasing number of researchers pointed their attention on mixed deposits, uncertainties do still exist about reservoir properties, internal organization, heterogeneities,
and environmental conditions that characterize this particular kind of deposits that lie between the siliciclastic and carbonate realms. The main uncertainty growth up because the study of mixed deposits must be different if compared with the analytical approaches usually performed on pure siliciclastic or carbonate sediments or sedimentary rocks, and a mixture of experiences and methodologies coming from both siliciclastic and carbonate worlds is needed. For example, for the study of the bed-scale mixing it is important to investigate and understand the hydrodynamic processes that control the distribution and organization of sediments, because the hydraulic regime (e.g. high-/low-energy currents or waves) can potentially affect the distribution of the carbonate factory within the depositional system.

This paper illustrates that proper characterization of the spatial and temporal organization of the two heterolithic siliciclastic and carbonate fractions in mixed deposits can be a useful tool for reservoir quality characterization of mixed siliciclastic-carbonate systems. Mixed systems comprise a class of very different sizes of mixing from bed- to stratigraphic-scale, in which estimation of reservoir properties is challenging. Therefore, the study of mixed deposits can be important to detect the physical factors that influence the spatial distribution of mixed siliciclastic-carbonate sediments and thus identify characteristics of potential reservoir facies. In particular, recognition of temporal and spatial relationships between siliciclastic and carbonate sediments is important in that the original textural lithofacies control the porosity and permeability development in ancient rocks.

Accordingly, we propose two main types of mixing:

- *compositional mixing* occurring at the bed (core-plug) scale;

- *strata mixing* occurring at the lithofacies- (core) and stratigraphic- (seismic) scale.

The lateral and vertical alternation (compartmentalization), at different scales of observations, between cemented and more porous intervals leads to a heterogeneity of the potential reservoir. This aspect is most important and needs to be taken into account for a profitable hydrocarbon exploration and production, because lateral and vertical compartmentalization may influence the fluid migration pattern and the effective volume of the reservoir.
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**FIGURE CAPTIONS**

**Figure 1** - Scales of siliectlastic-carbonate mixing. (A) Compositional mixing observed at bed scale in the lower-middle Pliocene of the Acrerenza Basin (Southern Italy). This type of mixing occurs when siliectlastic and carbonate fractions accumulate contemporaneously in space and time (after Chiarella and Longhitano, 2012). Strata mixing occurring at (B) lithofacies scale in the middle Devonian of the Baltic Basin (Eastern Europe) (modified from Tanim-To-Mikvecici et al., 2009) and at (C) stratigraphic scale in the Miocene of the Lorca Basin (SE Spain) (modified from Thiran and Talbot, 2006). Strata mixing occurs when the two heterolithic fractions are organized into different bed (lithofacies scale) or bed-set packages (stratigraphic scale).

**Figure 2** – Coexistence of compositional and strata mixing in A) the lower Pleistocene of the Catanzaro Basin (southern Italy), B) the upper Pliocene of the Capodarso Formation (Emna-Caltanissetta Basin, Italy), and C) the upper Miocene of the Guadix Basin (SE Spain) (modified from Piga-Bernabéu et al., 2010). Small scale (bed scale) compositional mixing as part of a large scale (stratigraphic scale) strata mixing.

**Figure 3** - Conceptual models showing different types of mixing processes (after Mount, 1984). Punctuated mixing results into a lithofacies-scale mixing (strata mixing). Facies mixing can result both in a bed scale compositional mixing and/or a stratigraphic-scale strata mixing. In situ mixing results into a bed-scale mixing (compositional mixing).

**Figure 4** – Compartmentalization in mixed deposits characterized by compositional mixing. (A) Mixed siliectlastic-carbonate ripples in the lower-middle Pliocene of the Acrerenza Basin (Southern Italy). (B) Close-up view from the previous photograph showing the siliectlastic-carbonate segregation among lamina-sets. (C) Siliectlastic (s) – bioclastic (b) segregation in foreset-lamina bundles observable in Tororton tidal cross-strata of the Amantea Basin (Southern Italy) showing the lamina-scale variations in permeability. (D) Photomicrograph showing segregation at the lamina scale (Acrerenza Basin). Coin are 2.3 cm in diameter.

**Figure 5** – Pictures highlighting reservoir features (compartmentalization) for the strata mixing. (A) Alternation between siliectlastic and carbonate beds in the middle Devonian of the Baltic Basin (Eastern Europe). (B) Large scale (stratigraphic scale) alternation between reservoir-prone and non-reservoir units in the upper Pliocene Capodarso Formation (Emna-Caltanissetta Basin, Italy). (C) Stratigraphic scale mixing in the upper Eocene of the Ainsa-Jaca Basin (Southern Pyrenees, Spain) showing the occurrence of carbonate units (reservoir-prone) embedded within siliectlastic units (dotted=siliectlastic units; bricks=carbonate units) (redrawn after Morsilli et al., 2012).
• Mixed siliciclastic-carbonate deposits organization
• Different types of mixing operating at different scales: bed/core-plug scale; lithofacies/well-log scale; and stratigraphic/seismic scale;
• Compositional mixing reflecting the contemporaneous accumulation of the two heterolithic fractions
• Strata mixing results from the alternation of the two heterolithic fraction in time
• Internal heterogeneity and the lateral and vertical reservoir compartmentalization