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Abstract
Snowfall may have different stable isotopic compositions compared to rainfall, allowing its contribution to potentially be tracked through the hydrological cycle. This review summarizes the state of knowledge of how different hydro-meteorological processes affect the isotopic composition of snow, and, through selected examples, discusses how stable water isotopes can provide a better understanding of snow hydrological processes. A detailed account is given of how the variability in isotopic composition of snow changes from precipitation to final melting. The effect of different snow ablation processes (sublimation, melting, and redistribution by wind or avalanches) on the isotope ratios of the underlying snowpack are also examined. Insights into the role of canopy in snow interception processes, and how the isotopic composition in canopy underlying snowpacks can elucidate the exchanges therein are discussed, as well as case studies demonstrating the usefulness of stable water isotopes to estimate seasonality in the groundwater recharge. Rain-on-snow floods illustrate how isotopes can be useful to estimate the role of preferential flow during heavy spring rains. All these examples point to the complexity of snow hydrologic processes and demonstrate that an isotopic approach is useful to quantify snow contributions throughout the water cycle, especially in high elevation and high latitude catchments, where such processes are most pronounced. This synthesis concludes by tracing a snow particle along its entire hydrologic life cycle, highlights the major practical challenges remaining in snow hydrology and discusses future research directions.
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Stable water isotopes tell the story of the hydrological life cycle of snow from when it first falls to when it melts or sublimates.
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1 Introduction

Seasonal snow covers 47 Mkm$^2$ or 30 % of the Earth’s land surface, 98 % of this cover is located in the Northern Hemisphere, specifically in North America and Eurasia.\textsuperscript{1,2} In fact, more than 50 % of North America and Eurasia are seasonally snow-covered. Snow is thus a key element of both the Earth’s hydrological cycle and its surface energy balance.\textsuperscript{3} Depending on the different meteorological conditions, the temporary accumulation of water in the form of snow shifts daily, seasonally, and annually, from the period when precipitation falls as snow to the period when water is released via sublimation and melting. In the year 2000, around one sixth of the World’s population was living in places with snow-dominated water resources and with low artificial water storage capacity, i.e. using water resources heavily reliant on the natural water storage capacity of snow.\textsuperscript{4}

The dynamics of snow accumulation, storage, and melting play a major role in hydrological, ecological and geomorphological processes\textsuperscript{5} and for domestic, industrial and agricultural water use,\textsuperscript{4} as well as for hydropower production.\textsuperscript{6} Additionally, snowmelt can be a key driver of hydrological hazards,\textsuperscript{5} such as spring floods\textsuperscript{7}, summer droughts and rain-on-snow events.\textsuperscript{8} Snowmelt is also a primary temperature control for snow-influenced streams, with ensuing regulations on aquatic ecosystems\textsuperscript{9} and on heat inputs to lakes and oceans.\textsuperscript{10,11}

The natural storage of water as snow is undergoing severe changes in a warming climate.\textsuperscript{12,13} In a warmer world, the percent of precipitation falling as snow and the seasonal duration of that snow cover will likely decrease.\textsuperscript{14,15} Snow may start melting earlier, thus shifting the corresponding timing and magnitude of river runoff peaks.\textsuperscript{4} Somewhat counter intuitively, rates of snowmelt are also expected to decrease.\textsuperscript{16}

Any change in this seasonal duration – or “snow cover phenology”\textsuperscript{5} - has potentially important effects for water storage dynamics in mountain environments with permanent snow cover,\textsuperscript{17} in polar regions,\textsuperscript{18} in low-elevation mid-latitude snow covers,\textsuperscript{19} and in general for any water resources system heavily relying on the temporal storage of water in the form of snow.\textsuperscript{4}

Snow is certainly among the most dynamic hydrological water stores.\textsuperscript{20} According to Frei et al.\textsuperscript{3} the “accumulation and rapid melt (of snow) are two of the most dramatic seasonal environmental changes of any kind on the Earth’s surface.” Compared to subsurface water storage, the presence and depth of a snowpack is far easier to estimate using remote-sensing\textsuperscript{3} or ground-based techniques.\textsuperscript{21} Estimating the actual water content of a snow cover in terms of its snow water equivalent (SWE)\textsuperscript{22} remains, however, challenging.\textsuperscript{22,23} Detailed insights into snow accumulation and melt processes are difficult to obtain, given the generally harsh meteorological conditions prevailing in snow-dominated environments.

Accordingly, we are still far from having a complete picture of how the temporary accumulation of water in the form of snow influences the catchment-scale water balance\textsuperscript{24} or how its melting is partitioned into water flow paths according to their associated time scales.\textsuperscript{16}

Stable isotope compositions of water have a long standing tradition as tracers in hydrology,\textsuperscript{25} which among many applications have been widely used to separate different sources of streamflow,\textsuperscript{26} to understand hillslope-scale hydrologic processes\textsuperscript{27} and to estimate the residence time of water at various catchment scales.\textsuperscript{28–30} For snow hydrology,
such measurements are particularly promising because winter precipitation falling as snow generally has distinct isotopic compositions compared to summer precipitation, meaning it may be used to trace the evolution and contribution of snow to hydrological pathways within catchments.

In this review, the aim is to provide a comprehensive overview of the state of knowledge concerning how stable isotope compositions of water can be applied to further understand snow hydrology. This is done by:

1) Providing an overview of the snow regions of the world, to place the snow hydrological studies into a geographic context across the globe,

2) Outlining the fundamentals of stable isotope variations, and the spatio-temporal variations in isotope compositions of precipitation, where snow represents the solid phase,

3) Providing an in-depth analysis of how snow operates in the hydrological cycle, and how stable isotope measurements have contributed to these interpretations,

4) Examining the current state of knowledge concerning changes in the isotopic composition of snow during its hydrological life cycle,

5) Highlighting the significance of these findings for interpreting snow contributions to overall hydrological partitioning and fluxes,

6) Suggesting avenues for future research using stable isotope compositions in snow hydrology.

2 Snow regions of the world

In this paper, the focus is on understanding snow hydrological processes related to (sub)seasonal accumulation and release of snow in mountainous and high latitude environments. This excludes the polar regions that have permanent snow and ice cover (glaciers) and also cryospheric processes related to formation of firn and ice. Globally, seasonally snow-influenced regions are located in mountainous and high latitude areas that are approximately found at latitudes greater than 45° North and South (Figure 1), except in places with moisture convergence from warmer ocean currents (parts of Europe, Pacific Northwest and British Columbia).4

The relative amount of precipitation falling as snow in snow-influenced regions depends on the intra-annual precipitation variability and the relationship between precipitation seasonality and the annual air temperature cycle.31,32 Ratios of relative snowfall vary strongly worldwide (Figure 1b). Similarly, the storage dynamics (i.e. the building-up, transformation and ablation or mass reduction) of snow cover (see Section on Usage of stable water isotopes to understand snow processes) vary strongly from place to place, resulting e.g. in shallow cold snowpacks in the tundra or in relatively deep and warm snowpacks in maritime locations. Sturm et al.33 proposed a seasonal snow cover classification system with seven phenomenological classes, tundra, taiga, alpine, maritime, prairie, ephemeral and a special “mountain” class, and related these classes to cold season climate variables (e.g. temperature, precipitation, wind speed). Such a classification certainly has potential to transfer snow hydrological process understanding from one
landscape to another, but as yet has found limited application in the hydrological literature (for examples, see Liston\textsuperscript{34} and Fayad et al.\textsuperscript{35}).

FIGURE 1 | Amount of annual snowfall and ratio of snowfall to annual precipitation in world’s mountain ranges; monthly snowfall computed with the equation proposed by Legates and Willmott\textsuperscript{36} from monthly precipitation and monthly temperature of the WorldClim data base\textsuperscript{37}; mountain ranges extracted with the mountain shape files provided by Körner et al.\textsuperscript{38}, shown are the latitude and longitude of mid points and peak elevation; peak elevation obtained from the “alt” file from the WorldClim data set. Dark grey dots correspond to mountain ranges without snowfall, light grey dots correspond to all pixels of the WorldClim data set.

For this review, it is useful to consider fundamental regional differences in incoming and outgoing snow-water fluxes, in particular: (1) the seasonality of the snowfall period with respect to the melting period (occurring simultaneously or shifted in time), and (2) the main driver of snow ablation, either melt or sublimation or both. While only examples are given and not an extensive classification of the world’s snow-influenced regions and mountain ranges, these two factors should be kept in mind as we explore isotopes in snow hydrology research. This is particularly important given that fundamental climatic differences might occur within relatively small areas, e.g. at different elevations within a given mountain range.
Most snow-influenced regions and mountain ranges have a distinct snowfall (cold) and snowmelt (warm) season. This applies especially to all northern hemisphere high latitude regions, the North American and Canadian mountain ranges, the European and the Japanese Alps and to almost all seasonally snow-influenced mountain ranges in the Southern hemisphere. An exception is the temperate Cordillera in Peru and Bolivia that has a dry cold season (see the map of Peel et al.\textsuperscript{39}) and, accordingly, snow accumulation and melt both occur during summer on high mountain peaks.\textsuperscript{40} The Himalaya is the most prominent example of a mountain range where there is a major overlap between the snowfall and snowmelt seasons (during the monsoon season\textsuperscript{41}) because the cold season is too dry for snow to accumulate. However, some areas of the Hindu-Kush Karakoram Himalayan region can receive a higher fraction of cold precipitation,\textsuperscript{42} and some areas can have an alpine-like snow season (e.g. the Himachal Pradesh). In general any region with a distinct dry cold season will be both accumulating and melting snow within the warmer season. A special case is that of dry mountains with sporadic snowfall that is retained due to generally cold temperatures, such as in the very high elevation but largely arid Andes.\textsuperscript{43} Sublimation plays a key role in snow ablation, occurring year-round under the influence of solar radiation and wind.\textsuperscript{44,45} In general, sublimation is a potentially important driver of snow ablation in drier climates (see, e.g. the review on Mediterranean snow hydrology by Fayad et al.\textsuperscript{46}) , and in cold and windy climates (due to the stronger sublimation of blowing snow\textsuperscript{47}), e.g. in many high elevation and high latitude regions such as the Canadian Rocky Mountains.\textsuperscript{48} Sublimation is typically also high in cold climate forests due to the easier sublimation of canopy intercepted snowfall.\textsuperscript{49}

3 Background of isotope hydrology

The basic concepts of how H and O isotopes are used in hydrology are summarized following Galewsky et al.\textsuperscript{50} In nature, hydrogen exists as two stable isotopes ($^1$H, $^2$H or D) and oxygen as three stable isotopes ($^{16}$O, $^{17}$O, $^{18}$O) with the isotopologue (same molecule but with different isotopic composition) $^{16}$H$_2$O being the most abundant, followed by $^{18}$H$_2$O, $^{17}$H$_2$O, $^{18}$H$_2$D$^{16}$O.

$^{18}$H$_2$O (or $^{18}$O) and HD$^{16}$O (or $^2$H) are the most commonly used natural tracers in isotope hydrology. Accordingly, in this review, we only include studies using $^2$H/$^1$H and/or $^{18}$O/$^{16}$O.

Isotopic values are expressed as a ratio ($R$) of concentration of heavier to lighter isotopes ($^2$H/$^1$H or $^{18}$O/$^{16}$O) and standardized relative to the Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (VSMOW) by the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA). The hydrogen or oxygen isotope composition of a sample, $R_{\text{sample}}$, ($^2$H$_{\text{sample}}$/$^1$H$_{\text{sample}}$ or $^{18}$O$_{\text{sample}}$/$^{16}$O$_{\text{sample}}$), is expressed using the so-called $\delta$ notation in units of per mil (‰), as

$$\delta^{18}O \text{ or } \delta^2H = \frac{R_{\text{sample}} - R_{\text{VSMOW}}}{R_{\text{VSMOW}}} \times 1000.$$  \text{(1)}

The majority of precipitation originates from ocean evaporation, a process that preferentially samples the lighter isotopologues of water. Accordingly, the ratio of the Vienna standard, $R_{\text{VSMOW}}$, is generally higher than the ratio of any meteoric water sample and reported $\delta$-values of such waters are mostly negative. Samples with higher (or less negative) $\delta^2$H or $\delta^{18}$O values have a greater proportion of heavier isotopes and are referred
to as more enriched in heavier isotopes. Similarly, samples with lower (or more negative) δ²H or δ¹⁸O values compared to seawater have a smaller proportion of heavier isotopes and are referred to as more depleted in heavier isotopes.

During a phase change process (such as condensation, evaporation, etc.), fractionation changes the relative abundance of heavier and lighter isotopes in the two phases. Depending on the process, fractionation can take place under equilibrium conditions (equilibrium fractionation) or in non-equilibrium conditions (kinetic fractionation). At equilibrium, the forward and backward reaction rates of the phase change are identical. A typical example of equilibrium fractionation is condensation, where heavier isotopes are preferentially incorporated in the condensate, leaving the remaining vapor more depleted in heavier isotopes. However, during kinetic fractionation, the forward and backward reaction rates of the phase change are different. A typical example of kinetic fractionation is evaporation. During evaporation, both δ²H and δ¹⁸O values of the vapor phase decrease whereas the remaining liquid, becomes proportionately more enriched in the heavier isotopes of H and O, hence increasing the δ²H and δ¹⁸O values. In contrast to condensation, which is generally an equilibrium process, the kinetic effect is stronger for changes in δ²H compared to δ¹⁸O of the vapor phase as the HD¹⁶O molecule is lighter than the H₂¹⁸O molecule. This results in evaporation processes having a different slope in their δ²H-δ¹⁸O relationship compared to equilibrium or condensation processes which typify atmospheric precipitation.

Given δ²H and δ¹⁸O are both modified by mass dependent fractionation processes and are part of the same water molecule undergoing transformation, global precipitation follows a linear relationship δ²H = 8δ¹⁸O + 10, which is called the global meteoric water line (GMWL). The intercept of the GMWL is referred to as d-excess (deuterium-excess factor) and is useful in distinguishing equilibrium and non-equilibrium processes. However, the slope and the intercept of precipitation samples at a given location might vary from the values of the GMWL, depending on the source of precipitation water (ocean water or local moisture recycling by terrestrial evaporation or plant transpiration). Hence, local meteoric water lines (LMWL or MWL) are used to describe the relationship between δ²H and δ¹⁸O at a given location. Any deviation from the MWL gives insights into non-equilibrium processes such as evaporation, sublimation, etc. at the given site.

During an equilibrium process (e.g. condensation), the isotopic values of δ²H and δ¹⁸O vary along the MWL (Figure 2). During a non-equilibrium process (e.g. evaporation), the isotopic values of δ²H and δ¹⁸O no longer vary along the MWL because of differential enrichment in ²H and ¹⁸O in the liquid phase. During evaporation, H²H¹⁶O isotopologue of water, which is lighter than the HIH¹⁸O molecule, is preferentially enriched in the vapor phase leaving the remaining liquid more enriched in heavier isotopologues of water (i.e. IH¹H¹⁸O, variants with ¹⁷O are not considered here). This leads to the local evaporation line (LEL), which reflects the isotopic ratio of the remaining liquid (and not the evaporated vapor). LEL have slopes (typically between 3 to 6) and d-excess value lower than that of MWL, meaning higher proportion of heavier isotopes of oxygen than hydrogen in the remaining liquid (Figure 2). Projecting the isotope values of evaporated water on the MWL by retracing its path along the LEL provides an estimate of the initial isotopic composition of water, provided there is no subsequent mixing. During summer, rain samples can also fall off the MWL and
follow the LEL due to evaporation of the water droplets during their transit from the cloud to the ground.\textsuperscript{56}

The temperature at which an air mass condenses (cloud condensation temperature) influences the fractionation that occurs when precipitation forms, which determines its original stable water isotope ratio. Fractionation factors between vapor and liquid or vapor and solid (ice) decrease with increasing temperature.\textsuperscript{57,58} Accordingly, higher air temperatures (positively correlated with cloud condensation temperature) lead to lower fractionation factors, i.e. lower enrichment in heavier isotopes of the forming water droplets. As a result, precipitation forming at higher air temperatures is more depleted in heavier isotopes than precipitation forming at lower air temperatures.

At the beginning of a precipitation event, heavier isotopes are preferentially sampled out of the cloud as rain/snow. During the course of the event, precipitation becomes more depleted in heavier isotopes. This is also called the \textit{rain-out effect} and it generally follows the Rayleigh distillation law during continued condensation.\textsuperscript{59,60} Controls on the isotopic composition of precipitation due to elevation gradients (isotopic lapse rates) and air temperature (due to seasonality) are described in the section below.

\begin{figure}[h]
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\caption{Conceptual representation of possible sample positions in the dual isotope space (formed by $\delta^2$H and $\delta^{18}$O) for snow and rainfall samples from an entire hydrological year.}
\end{figure}

\subsection{3.1 Elevation gradients and isotopic composition of precipitation}

As moist air masses uplift (adiabatically) along a mountain range, condensation occurs at lower temperatures, which is also known as the \textit{lapse rate}.\textsuperscript{50,56,61} The isotopic composition of precipitation varies systematically with elevation, becoming in general more depleted in heavier isotopes as rain-out increases with elevation. Accordingly, this effect is called the
isotopic lapse rate. Lower cloud condensation temperatures with increasing elevation also increase the isotopic fractionation between vapor and liquid, further increasing heavy isotopes in the residual air mass. As condensation is an equilibrium process, the isotopic fractionation follows the MWL. An example of this effect is shown in the change of the $\delta^2H$ and $\delta^{18}O$ values measured as part of the GNIP (Global Network of Isotopes in Precipitation) network of stations across an elevation gradient in Switzerland (Figure 3). Here, the average isotope composition of precipitation shifts by 1.9 ‰100m$^{-1}$ for $\delta^2H$ and 0.27 ‰100m$^{-1}$ for $\delta^{18}O$, noting that in winter, above around 800m asl. the precipitation is dominated by snow. Some version of this isotopic lapse rate is seen in almost all mountainous environments except on the leeward or “rain-shadow” side of mountains, which receive precipitation from clouds that have already passed over the highest elevation of the ridge and are no longer continuing to rise, keeping the cloud condensation temperature relatively stable. Moran et al. reported positive isotopic lapse rates (enrichment in heavier isotopes with increasing elevation) in snow samples on the leeward side of a glacierized valley in the Canadian Rockies (refer to Figure 4 in Moran et al.), which may occur only if the warmer temperatures and hence smaller vapor-liquid or vapor-ice isotopic fractionation factors offset the “rain-out” effect.

A number of studies around the world have reported on the effect of isotopic lapse rates of precipitation in streamwater, groundwater and soil water. However, this effect can be masked by other fractionating processes as well as elevation dependent recharge processes. In the case of snow, ablation processes like sublimation and melting change the isotopic compositions of existing snowpacks. This is especially apparent when isotopic lapse rates are calculated by sampling snow cores along an elevation gradient.

In the Ötztal Alps in Austria, Moser and Stichler proposed reversed isotopic lapse rates due to the enrichment of surface snow with heavier isotopes due to sublimation and melting processes. Zongxing et al. also showed reversed isotopic lapse rates in snowfall in the lower elevation (3400-4000 m) region of Shiyi Glacier (Tibetan Plateau). However, regular isotopic lapse rates were again seen at higher elevations (4000-4680 m). In this case, enrichment in heavier isotopes in the snowpack due to evaporation and sublimation along with snow drift from higher to lower elevations explained the reverse isotopic lapse rates. Additionally, the air mass trajectory can also mask the role of isotopic lapse rate as was shown in the Southeastern desert in California (USA).
3.2 Seasonality in isotopic composition of precipitation

Precipitation isotopic composition depends on cloud condensation temperature, which is intrinsically linked to the ambient air temperature. Owing to the seasonality in air temperature, isotopic compositions also globally show strong seasonality (see an example in Figure 4). This seasonality in the isotopic composition is strongly linked to the aforementioned rain-out effect. In colder air masses, more water condensates than in warmer air masses, which leads to stronger depletion in heavy isotopes. Accordingly, precipitation forming in cold air masses is also more depleted in heavy isotopes than precipitation forming in warmer air masses. This explains the general tendency of rainfall being more enriched in heavier isotopes than snowfall at a given location.

The isotope lapse rates can also show seasonality with different lapse rate values at different times of the year. O’Driscoll et al. found in three catchments in Pennsylvania (USA) (elevations ranging from 225 to 740m) a strong seasonality in isotopic lapse rates, with some months even showing positive slopes (enrichment in heavier isotopes with increasing elevation). They attributed this to different sources of cloud vapor at different times of the year, and to different synoptic drivers. However, it is rare to obtain positive isotopic lapse rates. It is important to note that Pennsylvania is very flat with the highest elevation less than 750m, so the same physical processes might not be applicable in the
other high elevation regions in the world like the European and Chilean Alps, the Himalayas, etc.

FIGURE 4 | Seasonal variation of $\delta^2$H and $\delta^{18}$O in precipitation samples collected by the GNIP (Global Network of Isotopes in Precipitation) network of gauging stations in Switzerland (data from 1966 to 2014).

4 General overview of snow in the hydrological cycle

When precipitation falls as snow, it enters a cycle of snow accumulation, redistribution and ablation (mass reduction) via sublimation and melt (see Figure 5 and the textbook of Dingman$^{72}$ for an overview of snow hydrological processes). Snow that accumulates on the ground under freezing conditions undergoes permanent snow metamorphism (change of snow grain size and shape due to vapor exchange, heat flow and pressure)$^{73}$ and vapor exchange with the atmosphere. Accordingly, most snowpacks are distinctly layered, including the formation of structurally weaker layers (e.g. depth hoar layers), which are particularly relevant for avalanche formation.$^{74}$ Snowmelt water then either refreezes or leaves the snowpack if the local water retention capacity is reached, forming preferential meltwater flow paths.$^{75,76}$ Substantial amounts of meltwater leave the snowpack only once the snowpack becomes isothermal,$^{72}$ which is when all the snowpack layers are at the same temperature (usually the freezing point). Runoff generation from the melting snowpack occurs via direct surface runoff or due to infiltration into the subsurface to become groundwater recharge or to participate to subsurface runoff processes.$^{77}$ Similar to purely rainfall driven infiltration, the rate of melt infiltration into the subsoil depends on soil properties and in particular on its saturation state and hydraulic conductivity; frozen soil has
an extremely small infiltration capacity but it is noteworthy that soil beneath a snowpack is not necessarily frozen\textsuperscript{77} and that continuous (but low rate) snowmelt at the snow-soil interface is common in many places.\textsuperscript{78}

Redistribution of snow previously accumulated on the ground can occur via wind transport\textsuperscript{79} or avalanching, both of which can lead to considerable displacement of snow masses. Transport by wind typically leads to snow fragmentation,\textsuperscript{80} which favors snow sublimation from blowing snow.\textsuperscript{81} Spatial precipitation patterns (orographic effects\textsuperscript{82}, seeder-feeder mechanisms\textsuperscript{83} together with preferential snow deposition\textsuperscript{84} and wind redistribution leads to strongly heterogeneous snow accumulation patterns. Spatially variable snow ablation due to complex interactions with topography and vegetation further enhances the spatial heterogeneity of snow packs.\textsuperscript{85}

The presence of vegetation also affects snow processes through its modification of the surface energy budget (via the screening of solar radiation, emission of longwave radiation, thermal inertia, etc.). In forested areas, substantial amounts of snow can be intercepted by trees.\textsuperscript{86} Intercepted snow either returns as vapor to the atmosphere via sublimation or reaches the ground (soil or snowpack) via snow throughfall or snowmelt stemflow.

These fundamental snow hydrological processes and pathways are illustrated in Figure 5 and are discussed in the following sections, including a detailed discussion of whether these processes are likely to change the isotopic composition of the snow.

\textbf{FIGURE 5} | Life cycle of snow seen through the eyes of a hydrologist highlighting fluxes that lead to an enrichment or depletion in stable water isotopes of the snowpack (on the ground or intercepted by canopy); fluxes for which there is no systematic effect or no significant effect are also identified. (Graphic based on original work from \url{www.freepik.com})
5 Effects of snow hydrologic processes on the isotopic composition of water

The state-of-knowledge of the dominant processes that affect the isotopic composition of snow during its life cycle are further discussed below, starting with processes occurring at the canopy (interception and throughfall), at the snowpack-atmosphere interface (sublimation, vapor exchange) and within the snowpack itself (metamorphism, melt). A short discussion on the effect of snow redistribution on snowpack isotopic composition concludes this section.

5.1 Interception and throughfall

Little work has been done to understand changes in the stable isotopic compositions of snow that is intercepted by the canopy or the subsequent transport to the ground via throughfall (TF) and stemflow (SF). However, a number of studies have tried to model changes in TF on isotopic compositions by intercepted rain. A recent review by Allen et al. summarized rainfall interception processes and their effect on the stable isotopic composition of intercepted rain. Some of this discussion is useful to further understand potential effects on snow.

The canopy affects the isotopic composition of intercepted rain falling onto the ground via TF in three major ways: (1) Canopy evaporation enriches intercepted rain in heavier isotopes, where the degree of enrichment is a function of relative humidity. The majority of evaporation occurs from micro-droplets created by rainfall splashes and the evaporation rate is accelerated by shear stress between the falling droplets and air. (2) Isotopic exchange between canopy intercepted rain and surrounding vapor reduces variance, either by enriching or depleting the rain in heavier isotopes. In most instances, exchange leads to the progressive depletion of intercepted rain in heavier isotopes as the surrounding vapor is generally more depleted in heavier isotopes. Unlike evaporation which is a kinetic process, exchange is an equilibrium process and the isotopic composition of intercepted rain remains on the meteoric water line (MWL). (3) Selective canopy storage and transmission of rain to the ground as TF changes the isotopic composition of TF from bulk rain. For instance, if the canopy retains water at the end of a rain event which is more depleted in heavier isotopes (rainout effect, refer to Background of isotope hydrology section), TF water will be more enriched than bulk rain. The residual intercepted water may also modify the isotopic composition of TF induced by the next storm, which may itself have a different isotopic composition depending on the vapor sources and its specific rain-out history. Canopy interception effects on the isotopic compositions (enrichment or depletion) are enhanced during smaller rain events and in denser canopy stands.

To our knowledge, only Claassen and Downey and Koeniger et al. have explored changes in snow isotope composition via canopy interception, in Colorado (USA) and Idaho (USA) respectively. In the evergreen forests of Showsnow Mountain in Colorado, snow accounts for more than 50% of annual precipitation, and interception of snow accounts for about half of the total snowfall. Claassen and Downey showed a high degree of enrichment in heavier isotopes of hydrogen (13‰) and oxygen (2.1‰) in the winter TF samples of intercepted snow, relative to the isotopic composition of fresh snow. The degree of enrichment depends on: (1)the residence time of intercepted snow, (2) the size of the snowfall and (3) the density of forest canopy. (1) Longer residence time leads to more
enriched TF and SF, as sublimation enriches the intercepted snow in heavier isotopes. Clear sky conditions favor longer residence time of the intercepted snow, and hence more enrichment due to snow sublimation. However, air temperature might reduce the residence time of intercepted snow. When air temperature at the surface of intercepted snow approaches melting point, interception stops and a small amount of melt leads to a lubrication effect at the snow leaf interface, causing a large fraction of the intercepted snow to slide off. (2) The size of falling snow particles also characterizes the degree of isotopic enrichment, with smaller snow particles exhibiting greater enrichment in heavier isotopes. (3) Higher degrees of enrichment are seen in denser forest canopies due to longer exposure of the intercepted snow to atmospheric drying.

5.2 Snow sublimation

Sublimation is a kinetic (or non-equilibrium) fractionating process causing differential enrichment in the heavier isotopes of H and O. Sublimation-induced changes on the isotopic composition of a snowpack are similar to the changes induced by evaporation on the isotopic composition of residual water. If a snowpack undergoes sublimation, the residual snowpack isotopic composition follows the local evaporation line (LEL) with a reduced d-excess value (Figure 2). It is interesting to note that snow sublimation during the trajectory of snowflakes from the cloud to the ground may not lead to substantial fractionation, presumably as it is an irreversible reaction.

Snow sublimation is influenced by (1) vapor pressure deficit which is the difference in vapor pressure between surface snowpack layer and the surrounding air, (2) turbulent diffusion in air, (3) wind speed and (4) solar radiation. Higher vapor deficit and solar radiation increase the rate of snow sublimation. Turbulent diffusion scales with wind speed, leading to an increase in the rate of snow sublimation. It is noteworthy that in Southwest USA, during periods of high solar radiation, melting is dominant and the snowpack isotope composition is governed by snowmelt. During periods of low solar radiation, evaporation and sublimation are the dominant controls on snowpack isotopic composition in Southwest USA.

Over the course of a day, if solar radiation and vapor pressure deficit are high, as they usually are in sunny and snowy conditions, sublimation from the top layer enriches the snowpack in heavier isotopes. This is observable through snowpack d-excess values that decline faster over the course of the day but remain constant (or even increasing, canceling the decline as was seen in the case of Schlaepfer et al.) during the night when condensation can compensate for the effects of daytime sublimation. During the night, condensation of surrounding air moisture (which is more depleted in heavier isotopes) on the snowpack tends to counterbalance the effect of sublimation on the isotopic composition of the snowpack. Schlaepfer et al. inferred that sublimation may not always fractionate, by observing no change in d-excess over time in snowpacks in the Rocky Mountains (USA). However, it is also possible that the diurnal changes in the isotopic composition in the snowpack masked the net isotopic effect of sublimation on the residual snowpack.

The isotopic effect of snow sublimation is restricted to the top layer of a snowpack. However, a sharp temperature gradient within a snowpack can initiate movement of water
vapor from lower to upper layers, leading to mixing within different layers of the snowpack. Sublimation from the top layer can then sample water from the deeper layers. This was observed at the Fuji Dome station in Antarctica where during one period of the year, vapor from the lower snowpack layer moved to the surface and condensed.\textsuperscript{103} This was initiated by a sharp temperature gradient (about 3 °C) between the firn layer of the snowpack and surface air. Moser and Stichler\textsuperscript{70} in a laboratory experiment saw similar behavior. When a steep temperature gradient was induced, mass transfer took place from deeper snowpack layers to the surface.

Snow sublimation has also been shown to increase the $d$-excess of groundwater recharged via vapor condensation at higher elevations, from the vapor produced by sublimated snowpacks at lower elevation.\textsuperscript{69} In a recent study of isotopic composition of 25 springs spanning elevations from 690 m to 2400 m in Ordesa and Monte Perdido National parks in Spain (karstic system),\textsuperscript{69} spring water had $d$-excess values higher than that of precipitation. Snow sublimation at low elevation had produced water vapor with a high $d$-excess that was lifted to higher elevations where it mixed with moisture from local sources and finally condensed. The majority of the springs were recharged from precipitation at higher elevations. Thus, the higher $d$-excess values in the condensed vapor propagated into the springs. The effect was more variable at higher elevations, observed by larger amplitude in $\delta^2$H and in $\delta^{18}$O values, than at lower elevations.

While sampling snowpack for isotopic measurements, conventional snow coring methods may also cause mixing of meltwater from surface snow influenced by sublimation. N’da et al.\textsuperscript{99} recommended the use of passive capillary sampling procedures to preserve the meltwater isotopic composition, instead of snow coring.

### 5.3 Snow metamorphism and snowmelt

A snowpack is composed of solid, liquid and vapor phases of water. Any exchange between the three phases can change the isotopic composition of the snowpack. Back in 1974, Moser and Stichler\textsuperscript{70} proposed that isotopic changes due to different snow processes (snowmelt, sublimation, metamorphism, etc.) are limited to the top layer of a snowpack and that the isotopic compositions of deeper layers remain, by and large, unaltered. However, subsequent studies\textsuperscript{56,78,95,104} found that snow metamorphism can also homogenize the whole snowpack. Taylor et al.\textsuperscript{104} noticed in snow samples collected in California that the variability in isotopic composition reduced, following the transitions from snowfall to snowpack and finally to snowmelt. Fresh snowfall had the highest variability in isotopic composition, which then reduced with deposition time as snow accumulated within the snowpack. Subsequent snowmelt from this snowpack had the lowest variability in isotopic composition. Similar results were also reported in Alaska\textsuperscript{95} and in the Spring mountains in Nevada (USA).\textsuperscript{56}

A number of processes can affect the isotopic composition of different layers within a snowpack. They have been summarized in recent work on seasonal snowpacks in Idaho (USA).\textsuperscript{105} Water percolation within the snowpack (so-called pervasive flow), from snowmelt at the surface, induces a downward translation of the isotopic composition of the snowpack. In contrast, mass loss due to snow sublimation from the snowpack surface shifts the isotopic composition of the snowpack upwards. Diffusion and dispersion of water
homogenizes the isotopic variance within the snowpack. A combination of diffusion and dispersion with either pervasive flow or with sublimation shifts the isotopic composition downwards or upwards respectively, with some degree of homogenization within the snowpack. However, preferential flow of surface meltwater, either through macropores or through a sloped snowpack (commonly seen in mountainous regions), can release meltwater without affecting deeper snowpack layers.

When the surface meltwater percolates through the snowpack, meltwater goes through cycles of crystallization and subsequent melt, also known as snow metamorphism. Any meltwater that refreezes (crystallizes) enriches the solid phase of the snowpack in heavier isotopes, thereby depleting the residual meltwater in heavier isotopes. The heat released during crystallization can induce melting in adjacent layers of the snowpack. A number of models\textsuperscript{104,106–111} have tried to characterize changes in the isotopic composition of snowpacks and of associated meltwater induced by snow metamorphism. It is important to note that redistribution within a snowpack but without mass loss does not change the bulk snowpack isotopic composition.

The isotopic composition within a snowpack is also affected by moisture exchange with the underlying soil. Friedman et al.\textsuperscript{95} noticed that the bottom of snow cores was enriched in heavier isotopes compared to the bulk snowpack before the beginning of the melt season. This could not be explained by the stratigraphy resulting from different snowfall events during the accumulation period. The enrichment was due to (1) moisture exchange with the underlying soil layer caused by diffusive water transport from the more enriched soil into the snowpack and (2) fractionation due to crystallization (or condensation) of soil water into the snowpack. It is noteworthy that molecular diffusion of water vapor through a snowpack (either through advection up or down depending on the vapor pressure gradient) is a fractionating process.

Snowmelt that leaves the snowpack preferentially discharges isotopically light water, thereby enriching the residual snowpack in heavier isotopes.\textsuperscript{104,106,110,112–115} During the early melt season, snowmelt is induced by the ground heat flux and melting begins at the bottom of the snowpack. It has been widely observed that early meltwater is more depleted in heavier isotopes and that, as the melt season progresses, both the residual snowpack and the meltwater it generates become more enriched in heavier isotopes,\textsuperscript{64,104} which is also referred to as the \textit{melt-out effect}.\textsuperscript{106}

Both meltwater rates and their isotopic composition show a strong diurnal variation, with higher snowmelt in the middle of the day due to stronger solar radiation. These higher melting rates provide less time for meltwater to remain in contact with solid phase water within the snowpack, which minimizes re-crystallization and thus midday meltwater is more enriched in heavier isotopes.\textsuperscript{104}

\subsection*{5.4 Snow redistribution}

Snow redistribution by wind and avalanches is an important storage process. A misrepresentation of snow redistribution in a hydrologic model can lead to the build-up of snow towers in the model domain, caused by improper representation of the water budget along with massive multi-year accumulation of snow in the model domain, especially at high
A recent report by Freudiger et al. reviewed the state-of-art in modeling of snow redistribution, in the context of distributed hydrologic modeling.

Redistribution of snow either by wind transport or avalanching does not have a systematic effect on the composition of snowpack isotopes. When an avalanche redistributes a large fraction of a snowpack from higher to lower elevations, the isotopic composition of the higher elevation snowpack is mixed, to some (and highly variable) extent, with the snowpack at lower elevations. Similarly, when wind blows snow from one place to another, the drifting snow carries the original isotopic composition with it leading to mixing between snowpacks from distinct places. Transport by wind, in addition, also leads to snow fragmentation, which favors snow sublimation from drifting snow (since snow that hits the ground fragments, and is easier to sublimate; wind also enhances the evaporative demand of atmosphere, increasing sublimation). Sublimation enriches the underlying snowpack in heavier isotopes (refer to section on Snow Sublimation). Thus, it is difficult to clearly state the net effect of wind and avalanche on the isotopic composition of snow, especially in complex terrains. In contrast, in flatter areas a more continuous snow drift from the surface layer can occur, and the corresponding enhancement of sublimation might result in a clear pattern in the isotopic composition of the snowpack, but this phenomenon has, to our knowledge not yet been studied.

Sidebar: Modelling snowpack isotope fractionation and isotope ratios in snowmelt

Stable isotope compositions of water have a great potential to constrain catchment-scale hydrological models that predict river streamflow as a function of incoming precipitation (see Birkel et al. for a review). In the presence of snow, the use of stable water isotopes to improve a hydrological process model hinges on the ability to characterize snowmelt isotopic composition based on observed precipitation isotopes through the entire life cycle of snow. Only few studies have attempted to build such a complete model from precipitation to streamflow isotopes for snow-influenced catchments. One such example is the work of Ala-aho et al. who incorporated changes incurred in the isotopic composition of snow during its hydrologic life cycle, and coupled it with a snow process model. The key advancements were the fully distributed (spatially) and parsimonious nature of the model. This is a major step forward in tracer-aided hydrologic modeling.

6 Focus on selected snow hydrological processes

Below we present examples of how stable isotope composition can be used to unravel snow processes within the hydrological cycle. We focus on three topics that have received particular research focus in the recent past, 1) the effects of canopy on snowpacks, 2) rain-on-snow events and 3) ground water recharge from snow.

6.1 Canopy effects on underlying snowpack

Canopy cover affects the underlying snowpack by altering the snow water equivalent (SWE) along with its isotopic composition. Koeniger et al. found that the snowpack in a forested watershed in Idaho (USA) was enriched in heavier isotopes due to enriched throughfall, caused by sublimation of the canopy intercepted snow and associated
evaporation. Longer exposure time of the intercepted snow led to greater enrichment in heavier isotopes. Gustafson et al.\textsuperscript{101} investigated the effect of canopy shading on the isotopic composition of a snowpack in Jemez Mountain in New Mexico (USA). During the maximum snow accumulation period with similar winter precipitation, the snowpack in a non-shaded area showed greater enrichment in heavier isotopes than that under shade.

Another interesting use of isotopic variation to understand canopy interception processes was seen in the Central Rocky Mountains (USA).\textsuperscript{126,127} A mountain pine beetle (MPB) infestation destroyed most of the tree canopy in the Central Rocky Mountains. The canopy used to intercept a large amount of snow that sublimated before reaching the forest floor. After the infestation, less snow was intercepted due to reduced canopy, and the SWE of the underlying snowpack was expected to increase. However, Biederman et al.\textsuperscript{126,127} found SWE during maximum snow accumulation phase to be unchanged, despite small changes in winter precipitation. To answer this anomaly, Biederman et al.\textsuperscript{126,127} carried out a study in two headwater catchments in the region over the winters of 2011 and 2012. One of the catchments was MPB affected, while the other one was used as a control. In the MPB affected catchment, the underlying snowpack was enriched in heavier isotopes, supporting kinetic fractionation due to sublimation and accompanying evaporative loss. However, there was no change in the isotopic composition in snowpack of the unaffected catchment. Newly exposed forest floor due to MPB experienced more direct solar radiation which increased direct sublimation and evaporative loss from the underlying snowpack. This enhanced sublimation from the snowpack was equivalent in quantity with sublimation from the snow intercepted by the canopy, hence keeping the total SWE constant. The variation in isotopes provided insight on the “invisible snow” processes that went undetected by simply monitoring snow volume.

6.2 Rain-on-snow

Rain-on-snow (ROS) events can release amounts of water that are substantially higher than the actual rainfall amounts onto the pre-existing snowpack. Such events are thus often associated with large flood events and are known to trigger landslides, to change channel morphology by enhancing erosion processes or to influence water quality.\textsuperscript{128–134} And the frequency of such events is found to be increasing at many places\textsuperscript{129}. Given that rainfall has a different isotopic composition than the pre-existing snowpack, stable water isotopes can be used to investigate two key characteristics of ROS events: i) the origin of the water that is released during the event (rainfall versus melted water that was stored in the snowpack); ii) the flow paths and associated transmission times of the released water.

The amount of runoff induced by ROS events depends largely upon the state of the snowpack prior to the onset of rain. A mature isothermal snowpack is associated with higher temperature, higher density, and with larger crystal sizes. Such snowpacks are known to produce higher proportional runoff than snowpacks that are not isothermal. This results from the fact that less additional energy from the incoming rainfall is required to heat the mature isothermal snowpack to 0 °C.\textsuperscript{135–138} During a ROS event, rain and turbulent exchanges with the atmosphere transport heat into the snowpack. As incoming rain advects through the snowpack, refreezing occurs, which releases heat and causes further melting. As a result, large amounts of water can be released from the snowpack, either infiltrating
into the ground or running off directly into the stream. In contrast, in an immature (non-isothermal) snowpack, incoming heat is not as efficient at producing snowmelt and the snowpack can retain more liquid water on crystal surfaces and in the voids.\textsuperscript{137}

Stable water isotopes have been used to analyze these snowpack processes and ensuing water flow paths at the plot scale during artificially induced ROS experiments (a review is given in \textsuperscript{136}). Juras et al.\textsuperscript{136} showed for example with the help of $\delta^{2}$H measurements that such an artificial ROS event in the Krkonoše mountains (Czech Republic) led to percolation of rain water through the snowpack, pushing old water out of the snowpack via a piston flow mechanism.

Several studies observing the evolution of the isotopic content of snowpacks during natural rainfall events noted that ROS-induced snowmelt leads to higher ratios of surface flow to total runoff, than subsurface flow. These results have been reported in the Sierra Nevada forests (USA),\textsuperscript{139} in the Central Adirondack Mountains (USA),\textsuperscript{140} in headwater and suburban catchments in Ontario (Canada)\textsuperscript{135,141,142} and in the Krkonoše mountains (Czech Republic)\textsuperscript{136}. Interestingly, in two forested catchments in Ontario (Canada), Casson et al.\textsuperscript{143} found using streamflow isotopes that ROS-induced events were dominated by baseflow and not by surface runoff.

Overall, stable water isotopes have a high potential to provide insights into ROS events at a range of spatial scales. Given that ROS events typically result in a very fast hydrologic response, current progress in terms of at-site high resolution isotope observations will certainly yield new insights into all involved snow hydrological processes in the near future.

6.3 Estimating groundwater recharge from rain versus snow

In a warming climate, more precipitation is expected to fall as rain than as snow.\textsuperscript{14,15} This will change the amount of groundwater recharge in locations where recharge from snow and rain does not linearly depend on their relative abundance. Given that snow is generally more depleted in the heavier isotopes than rain (Figure 2), the stable isotope compositions can be used as a tracer to estimate groundwater recharge contributions from both rain and snow.

An impressive number of studies\textsuperscript{55,56,68,97,144–154} have used a stable isotope approach to attribute percentages of snow and rain as sources for annual groundwater recharge (see a summary in Table 1). In general, they found that the snowmelt yield to groundwater recharge per unit of precipitation is higher than that of rain-induced recharge. The dominance of snowmelt induced groundwater recharge has been shown in the USA\textsuperscript{55,56,68,97,149}, in Canada\textsuperscript{150,151,153}, in Switzerland\textsuperscript{155}, Spain\textsuperscript{147}, in Georgia\textsuperscript{145}, in Italy\textsuperscript{146} and in Chile\textsuperscript{148}. A recent analyses\textsuperscript{144,154} of published stable isotope data with the help of a global hydrologic model, suggest that spring snowmelt due to winter precipitation dominates recharge in temperate and arid climates. In tropical regions of the world, where snowfall generally does not contribute to the overall water balance, the isotope approach revealed that majority of groundwater recharge occurred during heavy storm events.\textsuperscript{144}

Earman et al.\textsuperscript{97}, using $\delta^{2}$H and $\delta^{18}$O values in the Southwestern U.S., suggested two mechanisms behind snowmelt-dominated groundwater recharge: (1) During the snowmelt season in the Southwest U.S., vegetation is still mostly dormant which leads to smaller
losses by evapotranspiration than during the summer, allowing more time for meltwater to infiltrate, and recharge groundwater; (2) The summer storms in Southwest U.S. are high intensity, and short duration causing a higher proportion of overland flow. On the other hand, snowmelt in the Southwest U.S. is typically low intensity but long in duration, which gives more opportunity for infiltration and groundwater recharge.

It is important to note that using stable isotope compositions of snowfall or of snowpacks as a proxy for the meltwater recharging groundwater might result in a considerable bias of actual snow contributions to recharge. This can easily be understood considering the dual isotope space, where groundwater samples are usually located somewhere between rain and snow (Figure 2). Snowmelt samples are on average closer to the groundwater than snowpack or snowfall samples. Accordingly, a mixing model may underestimate the contribution of snowmelt to groundwater recharge when computed with (uncorrected) snowfall or snowpack samples instead of actual snowmelt samples. An overview of potentially resulting biases is given in Table 2. In general, it is recommended to either use the stable isotope composition of snowmelt, or where this is not practical, to correct for potential snowpack enrichment after snowfall, resulting from evaporative losses through sublimation and melt-out effects.

7 The hydrological life cycle of seasonal snow – a synthesis

We can summarize the key steps in the isotopic evolution of snowfall, snowpack, and snowmelt as representative shifts in the dual isotope space over the course of a snow season (Figure 6). Fresh snowfall may fall directly on bare ground or pre-existing snow, or be intercepted by the canopy. The intercepted snow, and the top layer of fresh snow on the ground, will be subject to varying degrees of evaporative enrichment. The developing snowpack will therefore represent some mixture of unmodified, and isotopically enriched snow.

Over the course of a snow season, both the snowpack and snowmelt undergo three key phases of isotopic evolution. The first is during the longer period of snowpack accumulation, within which melting phases can still occur. These melt events flush the lighter isotopes, resulting in depleted meltwater and a proportionately enriched snowpack, which in combination is commonly referred to as the ‘melt-out effect’. However, the majority of snowmelt release typically occurs in a relatively shorter period of time at the end of the snow season; and this is the most significant phase in terms of snow meltwater contributions to the catchment hydrological cycle. The rapid reduction in snowpack volume produces a well-mixed snowmelt that homogenizes the isotopic signal, and therefore reduced isotopic variance relative to the snowpack. Finally, the melting of minor amounts of residual snow patches at the very end of the season can produce highly variable isotopic compositions of snowmelt, albeit with a considerably lower total flux. This end-of-season variability results from isotopic enrichment of the residual snowpack due to sublimation, and limited mixing at low snowpack depths and volumes.

Given that snowfall is strongly depleted in heavier isotopes with respect to summer rainfall, the average isotopic composition of a snowpack will generally remain more depleted than summer rainfall throughout the accumulation and ablation season despite the enriching
effect of snowmelt and sublimation. Accordingly, snowmelt runoff from seasonal snowpacks can be assumed to show a narrow range of isotopic compositions (compared to snowfall) especially during the main phase of snowpack melting, which can be used in the context of mixing models to estimate source contributions, e.g. for streamflow or groundwater.

In environments where sublimation is low, the snowmelt composition lies on the local meteoric water line; and conversely, any departure of snow samples from the meteoric water line can provide some insights into the importance of sublimation in a given environment.

**FIGURE 6** | Tracking the evolution of snow isotopes from inputs of snowfall (a) to snowpack (b), and throughout the melting process (d – f). A representative temporal evolution of snowpack (represented as SWE) is provided in (c), highlighting the ranges over which early, major, and late melting phases and isotope changes are likely to occur. Plots b,d,e,f synthesize the isotopic evolution of snowpack and snowmelt from a ‘control volume’ perspective, while (a) is from the perspective of a ‘pulse’ of snowfall that could fall directly to the ground or be intercepted by the canopy and undergo isotopic modification due to sublimation (along the LEL) and subsequent transport to the ground as snow throughfall (TF). The evolution of hypothetical samples (circles) and their ranges in dual isotope space (coloured boxes) are shown in (a), with subsequent plots only showing the range as coloured boxes.

### 8 Directions for future research

In 1998, Kendall and McDonnell\(^5\) proposed the use of alternative tracers, other than the stable water isotopes of water (\(^2\)H and \(^18\)O), to better understand snowmelt processes. In
the last decade, $^{17}$O has been suggested as an additional tracer to better constrain the hydrologic cycle. However, very little work has been done using $^{17}$O in snow hydrology, largely related to the difficulty of routinely measuring the $^{17}$O concentrations in water. It is now well known that $^{17}$O is relatively insensitive to temperature, but sensitive to humidity. Due to differences in rates of molecular diffusivity between $^{17}$O and $^{18}$O, using $O_{17}$-excess in addition to $d$-excess may help better constrain kinetic processes like evaporation and sublimation (from both intercepted snow and from the snowpack). To our knowledge however, there are no studies using $^{17}$O to better quantify snow sublimation or snowpack processes in general. Future research using all the three stable water isotopes ($^2$H, $^{17}$O and $^{18}$O) will certainly provide insights into the potential use of $^{17}$O in snow hydrology.

Most of the work in snow isotope hydrology has focused on either estimating the proportion of snow and rain in streamflow and groundwater, or on the isotopic changes in snowmelt induced by snowmelt and sublimation. A lot is yet to be known on how canopy alters the isotopic composition in snow via interception, which is a substantial part of the water budget, especially in forested regions. The spatial variability in canopy interception is also understudied. Future research should focus on improving the spatial representation of stable water isotopes in intercepted snow and accumulated snowpack, and on understanding how this spatial heterogeneity translates into changes in the isotopic composition of the final meltwater.

Despite a lot of advancement in the understanding of the isotopic evolution within seasonal snowpacks, there are very few studies that use them in predictive modeling to draw insights into the overall water budget. Examples on how to draw on isotope-based process understanding for snow hydrological modeling at the catchment scale are still rare. We hope that our synthesis encourages hydrologists to find new ways of constraining models with insights gained from stable isotope compositions of water.

9 CONCLUSION

Snow undergoes significant changes from the time of its formation as precipitation to when it leaves the snowpack via sublimation or melt. All these changes might not completely “overwrite” the stable water isotopic composition of the initial snowfall and, accordingly, research has been focused on understanding the isotopic composition of snow and its usefulness to track hydrological fluxes. We reviewed in detail the current knowledge of changes in the isotopic composition of snow across its entire hydrological life cycle. The effects on the isotopic composition of the different snow processes can be summarized as follows:

1. The variability in the isotopic composition of snow reduces from the first time snow falls to when it finally melts out of the snowpack. This is caused by the isotopic redistribution within the snowpack during the snow accumulation phase, in combination with other snow metamorphism processes.
2. Snowmelt during the earlier part of the melt season is more depleted in the heavier isotopes which in due course of the melt season, becomes more enriched. The snowmelt isotopic compositions are correlated with melt rates, being more enriched in the heavier isotopes when melt rates are higher (typically during the day).
3. Snow interception can alter the isotopic composition of snow, from the time when snow first falls on the canopy to when it leaves the canopy and builds up on the ground. Longer snow residence times on the canopy are typically associated with higher degree of enrichment, especially when snow sublimation is a dominant flux.

4. The degree of canopy shading can substantially alter the isotopic composition of the underlying snowpacks. The snowpacks are more depleted in the heavier isotopes in a heavily shaded area, as their direct exposure to the solar radiation is small, leading to smaller sublimation effect.

5. Snow sublimation enriches the isotopic composition of the residual snowpack in heavier isotopes, which in the dual isotope space, fall along the local evaporation (sublimation) line.

6. The fact that snow is isotopically lighter than rain can be leveraged to examine seasonal dependence of recharge (to stream or groundwater).

Acknowledgements

The work of the authors is funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF), grant number PP00P2\_157611. We also would like to thank Simon Gascoin for his comments on the description of the world’s mountain regions and Francesco Comola for his input on the hydrological cycle of snow.
Table 1. Summary of studies estimating groundwater recharge from summer (rain) and winter precipitation (snow).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authorship</th>
<th>Year (isotopes used)</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Simpson et al.</td>
<td>1968-69 (δ²H, δ¹⁸O)</td>
<td>Arizona (USA)</td>
<td>Winter runoff dominant in groundwater recharge (numerical estimates not provided)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maule et al.</td>
<td>1986-87 (δ²H,δ¹⁸O)</td>
<td>Alberta (Canada)</td>
<td>~44% groundwater recharge due to winter precipitation (~21% of annual precipitation) (fractionation corrected estimates)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winograd et al.</td>
<td>1966-88 (δ²H, δ¹⁸O)</td>
<td>Spring mountains, Nevada (USA)</td>
<td>~90% groundwater recharge due to snow (~66% of annual precipitation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rose</td>
<td>1999-02 (δ²H, δ¹⁸O)</td>
<td>4 sites in Sierra Nevada (USA)</td>
<td>&gt;90% groundwater recharge due to winter precipitation (75-80% of annual precipitation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O’Driscoll et al.</td>
<td>1999-00 (δ¹⁸O)</td>
<td>3 catchments in Pennsylvania (US)</td>
<td>~90% groundwater recharge due to snow (~66% of annual precipitation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earman et al.</td>
<td>2002-04 (δ²H,δ¹⁸O)</td>
<td>4 sites in South Western U.S. (USA)</td>
<td>40-70% groundwater recharge due to snow (25-50% of annual precipitation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kohfahl et al.</td>
<td>2004-05 (δ²H, δ¹⁸O)</td>
<td>Granada basin (Spain)</td>
<td>Recharge predominantly due to winter rain and melting snow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jasechko et al.</td>
<td>Metadata study</td>
<td></td>
<td>Isotopes and global hydrologic model suggest dominant winter precipitation recharge in temperate and arid climates and suggest wet season bias in tropical regions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jasechko and Taylor</td>
<td>Metadata study</td>
<td></td>
<td>Preferential recharge of groundwater from heavy storm in the tropics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zappa et al.</td>
<td>2010-13(δ²H, δ¹⁸O)</td>
<td>Gudjareti (Georgia)</td>
<td>Winter precipitation is very important source of groundwater recharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervi et al.</td>
<td>2004-08(δ¹⁸O)</td>
<td>Mt. Modino area, northern Apennines (Italy)</td>
<td>Predominant recharge from winter and spring precipitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herrera et al.</td>
<td>2004-05 (δ²H, δ¹⁸O)</td>
<td>Andean alps (Chile)</td>
<td>Predominant groundwater recharge from winter precipitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jasechko et al.</td>
<td>1991-12 (δ²H,δ¹⁸O)</td>
<td>Nelson river watershed (Canada)</td>
<td>Fraction of groundwater recharge by winter precipitation ~ 1.3-5 times that of precipitation during warm months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Potential biases introduced when a linear mixing model is used to estimate groundwater recharge from snow samples taken at different stages of the hydrologic cycle of snow; scale refers to the spatial scale at which the discussed bias is relevant, places refers to locations where the bias might be relevant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample type</th>
<th>Omitted process</th>
<th>Type of recharge estimation bias</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Places</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Snowmelt</td>
<td>Spatial snowmelt heterogeneity</td>
<td><em>Unbiased</em> if samples from all dominant recharge locations <em>Biased</em> otherwise</td>
<td>Hillslope to catchment</td>
<td>Areas with spatially heterogeneous snow recharge (with recharge hotspots)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snowpack</td>
<td>Time-variable isotope signal of snowmelt water</td>
<td><em>Underestimated</em> if many early season snowpack samples <em>Overestimated</em> if samples from ripe snowpack only</td>
<td>Point to catchment</td>
<td>Areas with significant snow accumulation period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snowfall</td>
<td>Snowpack evolution and melt</td>
<td>Recharge underestimated</td>
<td>Point to catchment</td>
<td>Areas with significant snow accumulation period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snowfall</td>
<td>Snowpack sublimation</td>
<td>Recharge underestimated</td>
<td>Point to catchment</td>
<td>Areas with significant sublimation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snowfall</td>
<td>Snow interception and related sublimation</td>
<td>Recharge underestimated</td>
<td>Point to catchment</td>
<td>Areas with significant snow interception and sublimation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snowfall/Snowpack</td>
<td>Snow lapse rate</td>
<td><em>Overestimated</em> if majority of samples from low elevation <em>Underestimated</em> if majority of samples from high elevation</td>
<td>Catchment</td>
<td>Area with large elevation range</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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