An explanation to the Nusselt-Rayleigh discrepancy in naturally convected porous media

Po-Wei Huang^{1,2} · J. Florian Wellmann¹

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract We model hydrothermal convection using a partial differential equation formed by Darcy velocity and temperature - the velocity formulation. Using the Elder problem as a benchmark, we found that the velocity formulation is a valid model of hydrothermal convection. By performing simulations with Rayleigh numbers in the non-oscillatory regime, we show that multiple quasi-steady-state solutions can be one of the reasons that caused the Nusselt-Rayleigh discrepancy found in previous experiments. The results reveal more understandings about the nature of uncertainty of convection modes in porous media.

Keywords Natural Convection \cdot Entropy Production \cdot Basin Stability Analysis

1 Introduction

Natural convection in porous media occurs in various geological and industrial settings, such as groundwater, geothermal reservoirs, heat sinks, and thermal energy storage. We use the Rayleigh number (Ra) to characterize the porous media, and the Nusselt number (Nu) to measure the quality of convective heat transfer. From a engineering perspective, it is beneficial to correlate the Rayleigh number and the Nusselt number.

Cheng (1979) compiled the experimental, analytical, and numerical results of the Nusselt number and the Rayleigh number for convection heat transfer in a

Po-Wei Huang

E-mail: powei.huang@erdw.ethz.ch

J. Florian Wellmann

E-mail: wellmann@aices.rwth-aachen.de

 $^{^1}$ Computational Geoscience and Reservoir Engineering, Jülich-Aachen Research Alliance (JARA-HPC), RWTH Aachen University

 $^{^2}$ Geothermal Energy & Geofluids Group, Department of Earth Sciences (D-ERDW), ETH Zürich

porous layer heated from below. The compilation showed widespread Nusselt numbers for a particular Rayleigh number. We refer to this phenomenon as the Nu-Ra discrepancy. Experimental and analytical approaches have addressed this problem. Lister (1990) performed experiments using a large porous slab, and showed that lateral thermal dispersion is one of the reasons that caused the Nu - Ra discrepancy. Vadasz (2010) and Vadasz and Braester (1992) used analytical techniques to show that boundary and domain imperfections are the causes of the Nu - Ra discrepancy. Both authors explain this phenomenon from an experimental point of view that we cannot perform perfect experiments. Therefore, we present numerical simulations, which can be set up as experiments without the imperfections mentioned above.

In a three-dimensional box of saturated porous media, convection can happen in a two or three-dimensional setting (Beck, 1972). Straus and Schubert (1978) found out that two-dimensional flows have a larger Nusselt number compared to three-dimensional flows when $Ra \leq 97$ using numerical simulations. The numerical simulations from Holst and Aziz (1972) and Horne (1979) also produced this effect. Therefore, if both two and three-dimensional convection can exist in the same box, then the system can at least have two Nusselt numbers. More promisingly, the simulations of Straus and Schubert (1979) indicate that it is always possible to force either steady two-dimensional or steady three-dimensional convection by proper choice of initial conditions. Govorukhin and Shevchenko (2017) also showed that the selection scenarios of a convection mode strongly depend on the initial temperature distribution of the porous media. The cosymmetry theory developed by Yudovich (1991) showed that there exists an infinite number of stable stationary flow for a fixed Rayleigh number.

Therefore, we hypothesize that the non-uniqueness of convection modes in a box of saturated porous media is one of the reasons that caused the Nu - Ra discrepancy. To prove this hypothesis, we perform several numerical simulations using different box sizes and initial conditions of the temperature field. We use the finite element solver - MOOSE Framework (Gaston et al., 2009) to simulate the physics of naturally convected porous media.

Acknowledging that a specific natural or artificial porous media can have multiple heat transfer rates is not too useful for decisions in reservoir engineering. Karani and Huber (2017) used the basin stability analysis (Menck et al., 2013) to quantify the probability of the occurrence of different convection modes in a twodimensional setting. We employ the same method for a three-dimensional setting. This probability of convection modes can provide us more information and obtain the expectation value of the Nusselt number.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Governing Equations

We present the conservation laws that model natural convection in porous media under the following assumptions mentioned by Horne (1979):

- The Boussinesq approximation.
- Inertial effects are small, or low Reynolds number.
- Viscosity of the fluid is constant.

- Thermal dispersion is negligible.
- Saturating fluid and porous solid are in thermal equilibrium.

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} = 0,$$

$$\nabla^2 u + \sqrt{Ra} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x \partial y} = 0,$$

$$\nabla^2 v - \sqrt{Ra} \left(\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2} \right) = 0,$$

$$\nabla^2 w + \sqrt{Ra} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y \partial z} = 0,$$

$$T_t + \sqrt{Ra} \left(\mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla T \right) - \nabla^2 T = 0,$$

(1)

where $\mathbf{q} = (u, v, w)$, the non-dimensional Darcy fluxes in x, y and z directions. T is non-dimensional temperature, the subscript t is the partial derivatives with respect to time, and Ra is the Rayleigh number. The Rayleigh number is defined as

$$Ra = \frac{\rho_0^2 g \alpha K_p d \Delta T c_f}{\mu k_m},$$

where ρ_0 is the reference density, g is the gravity, α is the thermal expansion rate of fluid, K_p is the permeability, d is the reference length, ΔT is the temperature difference between the bottom and top boundary, μ is the dynamic viscosity and k_m is the overall thermal conductivity. This formulation of velocity and temperature is also used by Florio (2014).

The non-dimensional variables are

$$T = T_{0} + (\Delta T)T^{*},$$

$$\rho_{f} = \rho_{0}(1 - \alpha(\Delta T)T^{*}),$$

$$p = p_{0} - \rho_{0}gy + [p]p^{*},$$

$$\mathbf{q} = [q]\mathbf{q}^{*},$$

$$(x, y, z) = d(x^{*}, y^{*}, z^{*}),$$

$$t = [t]t^{*},$$

(2)

where T_0 is the reference temperature at the bottom boundary, ρ_f is the fluid density, p_0 is the reference pressure. The non-dimensional variables are defined with asterisks, and we drop them for convenience throughout this paper. The scalings are chosen such that the square root of the Rayleigh number exists in both momentum and energy conservation equations

$$[p] = \sqrt{\frac{\mu dBgk_m}{c_f K}},$$

$$[q] = \sqrt{\frac{KBgk_m}{\mu c_f d}},$$

$$[t] = \frac{\phi \rho_0 c_f d^2}{k_m}.$$
(3)

Since we are using a finite element solver, we require the weak form:

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\nabla \omega \cdot \nabla u + \sqrt{Ra} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \right) d\Omega = 0,$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\nabla \omega \cdot \nabla v - \sqrt{Ra} \left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial z} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right) \right) d\Omega = 0,$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\nabla \omega \cdot \nabla w + \sqrt{Ra} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right) d\Omega = 0,$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\omega T_t + \omega \sqrt{Ra} \mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla T + \nabla \omega \cdot \nabla T \right) d\Omega = 0.$$
(4)

The weak form is derived by multiplying the strong form by a test function ω and integrate through the simulation domain. We choose a first order Lagrangian basis function for velocity **q** and second order Lagrangian basis function for temperature T. The time integration method of the transient solver is the Crank-Nicolson method. We approximate the CFL number on an element as

$$C_{elem} = \Delta t \frac{|u| + |v| + |w|}{h_{min}},$$

where h_{min} is the minimum length of the element w.r.t x, y and z axes. In general, we pick the maximum CFL number of all elements as the representative CFL number, and we make sure during each timestep, the representative CFL number is around 0.5. When the entropy production is constant with respect to time, we claim that the simulation has reached a steady state (Börsing et al., 2017).

2.2 Benchmark Problems and Results

The benchmark results of our code implementation is presented in this subsection. They are essential in this work, but do not serve as our main focus.

2.2.1 The Elder Problem

Elder studied convection caused by localized heating with the Hele-Shaw cell experiment and numerical solutions (Elder, 1967). It is one of the benchmark problems in softwares such as FEFLOW (Trefry and Muffels, 2007), SUTRA (Voss, 1984) and HydroGeoSphere (Brunner and Simmons, 2012) (Simmons and Elder, 2017). Therefore, we benchmark our finite element implementation of the velocity formulation using the Elder problem.

The velocity formulation in 2D is

$$\nabla^2 u + \sqrt{Ra} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x \partial y} = 0,$$
$$\nabla^2 v - \sqrt{Ra} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} = 0,$$
$$T_t + \sqrt{Ra} \mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla T - \nabla^2 T = 0.$$

The boundary and initial conditions are defined in Figure 1. Using the physical parameters of the Elder problem defined by Graf and Boufadel (2011), we have a

non-dimensionalized problem with Ra = 521.3. We use a structured quadrilateral mesh, with the 120 elements in the x direction and 32 elements in the y direction. We choose a uniform timestep $\Delta t = 0.0001$. We compare our solution with the 2, 5, and 10 years simulation results of Graf and Boufadel (2011), which corresponds to 0.01, 0.025 and 0.05 non-dimensionalized time.



Fig. 1 The boundary and initial conditions of the non-dimensionalized Elder problem.

Parameter	Value
Porosity (ϕ)	0.1
Reference fluid density (ρ_0)	$999.526 \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Reference fluid viscosity (μ_0)	$1.239 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{kg}\mathrm{m}^{-1}\mathrm{s}^{-1}$
Thermal conductivity of fluid $phase(k_f)$	$0.6 \mathrm{kg}\mathrm{m}\mathrm{s}^{-3}\mathrm{K}^{-1}$
Thermal conductivity of solid $phase(k_s)$	$1.58889{ m kg}{ m m}{ m s}^{-3}{ m K}^{-1}$
Heat capacity of fluid phase (c_f)	$4184 \mathrm{m^2 s^{-2} K^{-1}}$
Heat capacity of solid phase (c_s)	$0 \mathrm{m}^2 \mathrm{s}^{-2} \mathrm{K}^{-1}$
Thermal expansion rate (α)	1.6163×10^{-4}

Table 1 The physical properties of the porous media. Modified from Graf and Boufadel (2011). The thermal expansion rate is calculated using the density of water as a function of temperature from Thiesen et al. (1900), assuming a linear growth of volume.

2.2.2 Beck's Box

Beck (1972) derived the preferred cellular mode during the onset of convection of a 3D box full of saturated porous media. We benchmark our code implementations using different boxes with lengths h_1 and depths h_2 . We use the notation of $[h_1, h_2]$ to represent the box dimensions. The boxes we choose are [1.2, 1.2], [2.0, 0.5], and [3.0, 1.0]. The Rayleigh number is set as 42.25, slightly above the critical Rayleigh number, such that the system starts convecting. The initial condition of temperature is the conductive solution with a $\pm 1\%$ perturbation. We use the notation of (m, n) to represent the cellular modes. The results are in Table 2, and they agree with Beck's analytical cellular modes.



Fig. 2 Comparison of numerical solutions of the Elder problem using the velocity formulation. Left: reference solution of Graf and Boufadel (2011). Right: our solution.

Box type $[h_1, h_2]$	Ra_c	Beck's cellular mode	Simulated cellular mode
[1.2, 1.2]	40.553	(1, 1)	(1, 1)
[2.0, 0.5]	39.478	(2, 0)	(2, 0)
[3.0, 1.0]	39.478	(0, 1) or $(3, 0)$	(0, 1) or $(3, 0)$

Table 2 Comparison of Beck's cellular mode and the simulated cellular mode.

2.3 Quality measures of convective heat transfer

The Nusselt number and entropy production are used to measure the quality of convective heat transfer in our simulations. The Nusselt number is defined as the ratio of convective heat transfer and conductive heat transfer. Due to simplicity and practical reasons, the Nusselt number is widely used in experiments as a quality measure of convection. However, in numerical simulations, we have access to values of temperature and Darcy fluxes in space. Therefore, we can use another quality measurement – entropy production. Entropy production is generally a better assessment of convection, due to its thermodynamic considerations (Herwig, 2016). The Nusselt number is a combination of the quality, given by the entropy production, and the quantity of heat transfer, given by the heat flux involved (Herwig, 2016). Though, in order to revisit the Nusselt-Rayleigh relation and gain new insights from it, our simulations still contain the calculation of the Nusselt

number

$$Nu = \frac{1}{h_1 h_2} \int_0^{h_2} \int_0^{h_1} -\frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \Big|_{y=1} dx dz,$$
 (5)

where h_1 and h_2 are the length of the box with respect to x and z-direction. This is analogous to the calculations of Hewitt et al. (2014), except that we utilize heat flux on the top boundary. The linear solution of the convective temperature Eq. (12) has zero contribution to the Nusselt number. Therefore, what the Nusselt number actually calculates is the heat flux from the nonlinear part of the temperature T.

Bejan (2013) formulates the volumetric rate of the total entropy production in a fluid-saturated porous medium as a result of both irreversible heat transfer (subscript therm) and fluid flow friction (subscript visc)

$$\frac{dS'_{total}}{dt} = \dot{S}''_{total} = \dot{S}''_{therm} + \dot{S}''_{visc},\tag{6}$$

with \dot{S}'' (Js⁻¹m⁻³K⁻¹). The first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (6) represents the entropy production due to heat transfer irreversibility and invokes the rate of heat flow per unit area and unit time, i.e., Fourier's law

$$\dot{S}_{therm}^{''} = \frac{k_m}{\bar{T}^2} (\nabla T)^2, \text{ with } \bar{T} = \frac{T_{top} + T_{bot}}{2}.$$
(7)

 T_{top} and T_{bot} are the temperature on top and bottom boundaries, respectively. Recall non-dimensional variables Eq. (2)

$$T = T_0 + (\Delta T)T^*,$$
$$\nabla = \frac{1}{d}\nabla^*,$$
$$(\nabla T)^2 = \frac{\Delta T^2}{d^2}(\nabla^*T^*)^2.$$

Substitute $(\nabla T)^2$ in Eq. (7)

$$\dot{S}_{therm}^{''} = \frac{k_m \Delta T^2}{\bar{T}^2 d^2} (\nabla^* T^*)^2.$$
(8)

The second term on the right-hand side of Eq. (6) accounts for viscous dissipation effects of the fluid

$$\dot{S}_{visc}^{''} = \frac{\mu_f}{K\bar{T}}\mathbf{q}^2 + \frac{\mu_f}{\bar{T}}\boldsymbol{\Phi},\tag{9}$$

where \mathbf{q} and $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ are Darcy flux and the viscous dissipation function, respectively. The second term on the right-hand side of Eq. (9) is only important when the flow tends to behave like non-Darcy flow (Costa, 2006) and can be neglected in our study. In general, the viscous dissipation effects become increasingly important for a heterogeneous medium as preferred fluid flow paths lead to a local increase in the fluid velocity. Take the scaling factor of \mathbf{q} in Eq. (3) and plug in Eq. (9)

$$\dot{S}_{visc}^{''} = \frac{\alpha \Delta Tgk_m}{\bar{T}c_f d} (\mathbf{q}^*)^2.$$

We integrate entropy production over the computational domain, assuming homogeneous material properties

$$\dot{S}_{total} = \int_{V} \dot{S}_{total}^{''} dV = \frac{k_m (\Delta T)^2}{\bar{T}^2 d^2} \int_{V} (\nabla^* T^*)^2 dV + \frac{\alpha \Delta T g k_m}{\bar{T} c_f d} \int_{V} (\mathbf{q}^*)^2 dV,$$
(10)

where V is the volume of the computational domain. Dropping the asterisks, the dimensionless entropy production, or the entropy generation number is

$$N_{\dot{S}} = \frac{d^2 \bar{T}^2}{k_m (\Delta T)^2} \frac{\dot{S}}{V} = \frac{1}{V} \left(\int_V (\nabla T)^2 dV + \frac{\alpha d\bar{T}g}{\Delta T c_f} \int_V (\mathbf{q})^2 dV \right).$$
(11)

We would like to emphasize that the thermal entropy production measures the norm of the linear and nonlinear parts of the temperature gradient, and the Nusselt number measures the flux from nonlinear parts of the temperature from the top boundary. Since the entropy production and the Nusselt number have different meanings in quality measure in convective heat transfer, we calculate both values for all of the simulations.

2.4 Problem Formulation

We further extend the entropy production test of a naturally convecting 2D box designed by (Börsing et al., 2017) into a 3D case. The line test and the triangular test is designed in order to provide more insights in the entropy production of naturally convecting 3D boxes. The Rayleigh number is set as 42.25, slightly above the critical Rayleigh number. The characteristic length of the mesh is 0.05. The results of both the transient and steady-state solver is presented and discussed in later chapters.

The observations of varying Nusselt number with respect to 2D or 3D cellular modes (Holst and Aziz, 1972) (Straus and Schubert, 1978) (Horne, 1979) can be a reason for the Nu - Ra discrepancy. We test this idea by simulating three boxes of different sizes that have 2D or 3D preferred cellular modes during the onset of convection. The long boxes [1.5, 1.0] and [2.3, 0.9] convect with a 2D cellular mode, and the wide box [2.5, 1.5] convect with a 3D one. The three boxes are tested in the regions of $4\pi^2 < Ra \leq 196$, and the type of convection cells, the Nusselt number, and the entropy production are reported. The characteristic length of the mesh is set to 0.1. The goal is to see how the transition of cellular modes due to increasing Rayleigh number influence the Nusselt number, and whether it can explain the Nu - Ra discrepancy.

2.5 Simulation Domain and Initial Conditions

We simulate a box of saturated porous media, with a length of h_1 , a depth of h_2 and a height of 1. In general, the initial condition of temperature is a conductive solution adding $\pm 1\%$ perturbation. If we want to force a particular convection mode to occur, we set the initial conditions as



Fig. 3 Preferred cellular mode, (m, n), as a function of h_1 , h_2 . The figure is symmetric with respect to the line $h_1 = h_2$. The change between the modes (m, 0) and (m + 1, 0) occurs at $h_1 = \sqrt{m(m+1)}$ (Beck, 1972). We examine the convection pattern and entropy production of the plotted points using the proposed finite element solver.



Fig. 4 Temperature boundary conditions and side length (h_1, h_2) of Beck's box. The box is closed with impermeable boundaries.

$$T = Asin(\pi y)cos(\frac{m\pi x}{h_1})cos(\frac{n\pi z}{h_2}) + 1 - y, \quad (12)$$
$$u = -A\frac{m\sqrt{Ra}}{h_1}(\frac{m^2}{h_1^2} + \frac{n^2}{h_2^2} + 1)^{-1}cos(\pi y)sin(\frac{m\pi x}{h_1})cos(\frac{n\pi z}{h_2}),$$
$$v = A\sqrt{Ra}(\frac{m^2}{h_1^2} + \frac{n^2}{h_2^2})(\frac{m^2}{h_1^2} + \frac{n^2}{h_2^2} + 1)^{-1}sin(\pi y)cos(\frac{m\pi x}{h_1})cos(\frac{n\pi z}{h_2}),$$

$$w = -A\frac{n\sqrt{Ra}}{h_2}\left(\frac{m^2}{h_1^2} + \frac{n^2}{h_2^2} + 1\right)^{-1}\cos(\pi y)\cos(\frac{m\pi x}{h_1})\sin(\frac{n\pi z}{h_2})$$

where A is the amplitude (Florio, 2014). We set the amplitude such that the entropy production does not exceed $\frac{9}{256}Ra$, which is the analytical upper bound of the Nu - Ra relationship (Doering and Constantin, 1998).

2.6 Basin Stability Analysis using the Equivalent Entropy Production Initialization

Florio (2014) investigated the probability of convection modes in "critical boxes" using perturbation methods. The critical boxes are boxes with the size that lies on the transition between several convection modes. We investigate this probability using the basin stability analysis similar to Karani and Huber (2017). Instead of exploring the amplitude space from -1 to 1, we consider the symmetry of amplitudes, and we initialize the amplitudes in the space that is bounded by a certain entropy production.

We pick one of the boxes Florio (2014) used in his analysis $[2^{1/4}, 2^{1/4}]$, approximated as [1.189, 1.189]. The possible convection modes of this box when the Rayleigh number is critical are (0, 1), (1, 0) and (1, 1). The critical Rayleigh number is $\pi^2(2+3/\sqrt{2}) \approx 40.68$. We set the Rayleigh number to 42.25 to be slightly above the critical Rayleigh number. We define the initial condition and the amplitude of the modes (0, 1) and (1, 1)

$$T_{01} = A_{01} \sin(\pi y) \cos\left(\frac{\pi z}{2^{1/4}}\right),$$
$$T_{11} = A_{11} \sin(\pi y) \cos\left(\frac{\pi x}{2^{1/4}}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\pi z}{2^{1/4}}\right).$$

Apply the thermal part of nondimensional entropy production Eq. (11) to T_{01} and T_{11} , and sum the results

$$N_{\dot{S}sum} = N_{\dot{S}01} + N_{\dot{S}11} = \frac{\pi^2(\sqrt{2}+1)}{4\sqrt{2}} (A_{01})^2 + \frac{\pi^2(\sqrt{2}+2)}{8\sqrt{2}} (A_{11})^2.$$

Consider the amplitudes A_{01} and A_{11} as axes in the Cartesian coordinates, the combinations of amplitudes that have the same amount of entropy production $N_{\dot{S}sum}$ is an ellipse

$$\frac{(A_{01})^2}{a^2} + \frac{(A_{11})^2}{b^2} = 1, a^2 = \frac{4\sqrt{2}N_{\dot{S}\text{sum}}}{\pi^2(\sqrt{2}+1)}, b^2 = \frac{8\sqrt{2}N_{\dot{S}\text{sum}}}{\pi^2(\sqrt{2}+2)},$$

where a is the semi-major axis and b is the semi-minor axis. We apply a change of variables

$$A_{01} = a\cos\xi, A_{11} = b\sin\xi,$$

$$\xi = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{a}{b}\tan\theta\right),$$

such that we can characterize the combination of amplitudes with the same amount of entropy production using the angle θ , and the initial entropy production N_{Ssum} .

The initial conditions of velocities u, v, w are the same as Eq. (12). The probability of the (0, 1) mode to occur is defined as the area that is characterised by $\theta = 0$ to the separation angle $\theta = \theta^*$ divided by quarter of the elliptical area

$$P(\theta) = \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{a}{b} \tan \theta \right) = \frac{2\xi}{\pi}.$$
 (13)

We divide ξ linearly into 17 parts from 0 to 90 degrees. The entropy productions N_{Ssum} we choose to initialize are 1.02, 1.04, 1.08, 1.12 and 1.16.

3 Results

3.1 Quality of Convective Heat Transfer in Different Settings of Boxes

Figure 3 contains the nodes of the line test and the triangular test. Figure 5 shows the cellular mode and the entropy production of the line test with Rayleigh number of 42.25. Figure 6 represents the cellular mode and entropy production of the triangular test with Ra = 42.25.

3.2 The Nu - Ra Relation

We test the Nusselt number of the boxes [1.5, 1.0], [2.3, 0.9] and [2.5, 1.5] with respect to the Rayleigh numbers in the range between 42.25 and 196. Figure 7 and Figure 8 are the results.

3.3 The Probability of Mode Occurrence using Basin Stability Analysis

We found out that the separation angle of the box $[2^{1/4}, 2^{1/4}]$ between modes (0, 1) and (1, 1) using the basin stability analysis. The separation angle lies between 44.30° and 49.94°. We average the two angles and claim the separation angle is 47.12°. Using Eq. (13), the probability of mode (0, 1) to occur when the initial condition is the superposition of mode (0, 1) and (1, 1) is 46.8 percent. However, consider the symmetry of modes (0, 1) and (1, 0), we can calculate the probability of mode (1, 1) to occur when the initial condition is the superposition of the three modes, which is 36.2 percent.

4 Discussion

4.1 Quality of Convective Heat Transfer in Different Settings of Boxes

Figure 5 shows that the cellular modes of our simulation match Beck's prediction well. The slight shift of the boundaries of cellular modes change can be attributed to that our simulations are performed on a porous media with $Ra = 42.25 > Ra_c$. We color-coded the cellular modes such that shades of blues and greens are for two-dimensional cellular modes, and gradients of reds and yellows are for threedimensional cellular modes. By only looking at the entropy production of the blues



Fig. 5 The line test at Ra=42.25 plotted over Beck's diagram (Beck, 1972). The y-axis of the middle and bottom plots represents the entropy production. The middle plot is solved by the transient solver with the randomly perturbed initial conditions. The bottom plot is solved by the steady-state solver with the initial conditions Eq. (12). The bracketed values (m, n) represent the cellular mode.

and greens, the patterns are similar to Börsing et al. (2017)'s tests of 2D boxes. It is clear that box sizes are relevant to the quality of convective heat transfer.



Fig. 6 The triangular test at Ra=42.25 plotted over Beck's diagram (Beck, 1972). The bottom plot represents the contour of entropy production using linear interpolation. The bracketed values (m, n) represent the cellular mode.

Focusing on the whole figure including the three-dimensional cellular modes, the observations are:

- 1. The quality of convective heat transfer of three-dimensional cellular modes are generally worse than those of two-dimensional cellular modes when Ra = 42.25.
- 2. The entropy production gradually increases from three dimensional cellular modes to two-dimensional cellular modes that have the same total sum of cells m + n.

Figure 6 further supports the aforementioned observations. On the boundaries from mode (2,0) to either (2,1) or (1,1), we can also observe a descent of the entropy production. Increasing convection cells do not guarantee a better quality of convective heat transfer. These results give us new insights into the relation between cellular modes and entropy production. We can also view these results as more rigorous benchmarks that compare with theoretical predictions.

4.2 The Nu - Ra Relation

Figure 8 compared the Nu - Ra relation of the three boxes with previous experimental and numerical results. The result in the region of $Ra \leq 100$ has some discrepancy, but it does not explain the scattering effect. It can be possible that some experimental settings of porous medium does not allow a certain cellular mode to exist, so the onset of convection happens later when $Ra > Ra_c = 4\pi^2$.

Due to the multiple possible steady states of convection cells for $Ra > Ra_c$, the result of 140 < Ra < 196 shows a wide scattering of the Nusselt number from 3.212 to 4.145. Our results agree with Straus and Schubert (1979)'s numerical tests that both two and three-dimensional convection cells could be obtained at $60 \le Ra \le 150$ by perturbing the initial condition of temperature. The results also agree with Borkowska-Pawlak and Kordylewski (1985)'s proof, that continuous transition of pattern flows from two-dimensional to three-dimensional structure is possible (and *vice-versa*) with Rayleigh number variations.

The hypotheses of box sizes and multiple steady states of convection pattern influencing the Nu - Ra relation are proved using the three boxes experiment. Future experiments of the Nu - Ra relation should also be aware of the chosen box size and the convection pattern of fluids.

4.3 The Probability of Mode Occurrence and its Implications

Using the basin stability analysis with the equivalent entropy production initialization, we can calculate the probability of mode occurrence. In our example of the $[2^{1/4}, 2^{1/4}]$ box, the probability of mode (1, 1) to occur is 36.2 percent, which is slightly higher than the modes (0, 1) and (1, 0). From the simulation, we also know that the Nusselt number for mode (0, 1) and (1, 1) is 1.0637 and 1.0546, respectively. Combining with their occurring probability, we can, therefore, calculate the expectation value for the Nusselt number, which is 1.0579. We have provided a method for a new Nu - Ra relationship in the non-oscillating region of the Rayleigh number.

The assumption that we can use a straight line to separate the probability space is only for convenience. It is also possible that the line can be of a higher order. As in Figure 9, the straight line does not separate the modes. However, in this example, it already gives a good approximation and demonstrates how we can apply this method to different settings of box sizes and Rayleigh numbers.

5 Conclusion

We show how the influence of different box sizes and multiple steady states of convection pattern, leads to the discrepancy of Nu - Ra relation in the region of low Rayleigh number. We also demonstrate the method of basin stability analysis using equivalent entropy production initialization to study the probability of mode occurrences in a naturally convected porous media. This method can be utilized for further studies of the Nu - Ra relationship.

A Methods of Cellular Mode Checking

In the appendix section, we discuss the methods we used for checking cellular modes of a certain convection pattern.

A.1 Counting by Visual Inspection

We can count how many cells a convection pattern has by looking at the temperature profile at y = 0.5. The method is: count how many times of a temperature change and a 0.5 (-) temperature, in either x or z-direction. See Figure 10 for better comprehension of this concept. This process is programmed and used to post process the simulation results in Figure 5, 6, 7 and 8. The problem with this method is that it cannot differentiate between the 3D cellular modes (bottom left of Figure 10) and the linear combination of the 2D cellular modes (bottom right of Figure 10).

A.2 Counting by Fourier Analysis

Our finite element code calculates the temperature in space T(x, y, z) for a given time. The numerical solution consists of the linear solutions Eq. (12), therefore, we can apply the concept of Fourier analysis. We define the convection temperature as the full solution without the conduction solution

$$T_{convect} = T(x, y, z) - (1 - y)$$
$$= \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} A_{mn} T_{mn},$$

note that m and n cannot be 0 at the same time. If we want to know if the system is convecting by a certain cellular mode (m = M, n = N), we multiply the convection temperature by the linear solution T_{MN} and integrate through the domain Ω

$$\int_{\Omega} T_{MN} T_{convect} d\Omega = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} A_{mn} T_{MN} T_{mn} d\Omega$$
$$= A_{MN} \int_{\Omega} (T_{MN})^2 d\Omega.$$

The amplitude A_{MN} is therefore

$$A_{MN} = \frac{\int_{\Omega} T_{MN} T_{convect} d\Omega}{\int_{\Omega} (T_{MN})^2 d\Omega}$$

If $M \neq 0$ and $N \neq 0$,

$$A_{MN} = \frac{8}{h_1 h_2} \int_{\Omega} T_{MN} T_{convect} d\Omega.$$

If either of M or N is 0,

$$A_{MN} = \frac{4}{h_1 h_2} \int_{\Omega} T_{MN} T_{convect} d\Omega.$$

This Fourier analysis not only gives us the information of which cellular mode the system has, but also the value of amplitudes. The value of amplitudes can also be used to differentiate the 3D cellular modes from the linear combination of the 2D cellular modes. We use this method to analyze Figure 9.

Acknowledgements The authors gratefully acknowledge the computing time granted through JARA-HPC on the supercomputer JURECA at Forschungszentrum Jülich. Since the first author has been studying in the Geothermal Energy and Geofluids group from August 2018, he thanks the Werner Siemens Foundation for their endowment of the Geothermal Energy and Geofluids group at the Institute of Geophysics, ETH Zürich.



Fig. 7 The relation between the Rayleigh number and the entropy production of the boxes [1.5, 1.0], [2.3, 0.9] and [2.5, 1.5]. The bracketed values (m, n) represent the cellular mode.



Fig. 8 The Nu -Ra relation of the boxes [1.5, 1.0], [2.3, 0.9] and [2.5, 1.5], plotted over Cheng (1979)'s compilation.



Fig. 9 The diagram of basin stability analysis. The red line is drawn as $y = \tan(\theta^* x)$, where θ^* is the separation angle. The streamlines show how different initial conditions reach the steady-state solution.





Fig. 10 Examples of automatic cellular mode checking using different configurations of boxes. The title of each plot represents the cellular mode (m, n).

Non-peer reviewed EarthArXiv preprint submitted to Transport in Porous Media

References

- Beck, J.L.: Convection in a Box of Porous Material Saturated with Fluid. Phys. Fluids. 15(8), 1377–1383 (1972). https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1694096
- Bejan, A.: Convection Heat Transfer. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, New Jersey (2013).
- Borkowska-Pawlak, B., Kordylewski, W.: Cell-pattern sensitivity to box configuration in a saturated porous medium. J. Fluid. Mech. 150, 169–181 (1985). https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0022112085000088
- Börsing, N., Wellmann, J.F., Niederau, J., Regenauer-Lieb, K.: Entropy production in a box: Analysis of instabilities in confined hydrothermal systems. Water. Resour. Res. 53, 7716– 7739 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1002/2017WR020427
- Brunner, P., Simmons, C.T.: HydroGeoSphere: A Fully Integrated, Physically Based Hydrological Model. Groundwater 50(2), 170–176 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6584. 2011.00882.x
- Cheng, P.: Heat transfer in geothermal systems. Advances in Heat Transfer 14, 1–105 (1979). https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2717(08)70085-6
- Costa, V.A.F.: On natural convection in enclosures filled with fluid-saturated porous media including viscous dissipation. Int. J. Heat. Mass. Tran. 49(13–14), 2215–2226 (2006). https: //doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2005.12.011
- Doering, C.R., Constantin P.: Bounds for heat transport in a porous layer. J. Fluid. Mech. **376**, 263–296 (1998). https://doi.org/10.1017/S002211209800281X
- Elder, J.W.: Transient convection in a porous medium. J. Fluid. Mech. **27**(3), 609–623 (1967). https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112067000576
- Florio, B.J.: The interaction of convection modes in a box of a saturated porous medium. J. Eng. Math. 86(1), 71-88 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10665-013-9647-4
- Gaston, D., Newman, C., Hansen, G., Lebrun-Grandié, D.: MOOSE: A parallel computational framework for coupled systems of nonlinear equations. Nucl. Eng. Des. **239**(10), 1768–1778 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nucengdes.2009.05.021
- Govorukhin, V.N., Shevchenko, I.V.: Multiple equilibria, bifurcations and selection scenarios in cosymmetric problem of thermal convection in porous medium. Physica. D **361**(15), 42–58 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physd.2017.08.012
- Graf, T., Boufadel, M.C.: Effect of viscosity, capillarity and grid spacing on thermal variabledensity flow. J. Hydrol. 400(1-2), 41-57 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol. 2011.01.025
- Herwig, H.: What exactly is the Nusselt number in convective heat transfer problems and are there alternatives? Entropy 18(5), 198–212 (2016). https://doi.org/10.3390/e18050198
- Hewitt, D.R., Neufeld, J.A., Lister, J.R.: High Rayleigh number convection in a threedimensional porous medium. J. Fluid. Mech. 748, 879-895 (2014). https://doi.org/10. 1017/jfm.2014.216
- Holst, P.H., Aziz, K.: Transient three-dimensional natural convection in confined porous media. Int. J. Heat. Mass. Tran. 15(1), 73–90 (1972). https://doi.org/10.1016/0017-9310(72) 90167-6
- Horne, R.N.: Three-dimensional natural convection in a confined porous medium heated from below. J. Fluid. Mech. **92**(4), 751–766 (1979). https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112079000860
- Karani, H., Huber, C.: Transitional behavior of convective patterns in free convection in porous media. J. Fluid. Mech. 818, R3 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2017.155
- Lister, C.R.B.: An explanation for the multivalued heat transport found experimentally for convection in a porous medium. J. Fluid. Mech. 214, 287–320. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0022112090000143
- Menck, P.J., Heitzig, J., Marwan, N., Kurths, J.: How basin stability complements the linearstability paradigm. Nat. Phys. 9, 89–92 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys2516
- Simmons, C.T., Elder, J.W.: The Elder Problem. Groundwater 55, 926-930 (2017). https: //doi.org/10.1111/gwat.12593
- Straus, J.M., Schubert, G.: On the existence of three-dimensional convection in a rectangular box containing fluid-saturated porous material. J. Fluid. Mech. 87(2), 385–394 (1978). https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112078001664
- Straus, J.M., Schubert, G.: Three-dimensional convection in a cubic box of fluid-saturated porous material. J. Fluid. Mech. 91(1), 155-165 (1979). https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0022112079000082

- Thiesen, M., Scheel, K., Diesselhorst, H.: Untersuchungen über die thermische ausdehnung von festen und tropfbar flüssigen körpern bestimmung der ausdehnung des wassers für die zwischen 0 °C und 40 °C liegenden temperaturen [Investigations on the thermal expansion of solid and liquid materials determination of the expansion of water for temperatures between 0 °C and 40 °C]. Wiss. Abhandlungen der Physik.-Techn. Reichsanst. **3**, 1–70 (1900).
- Trefry, M.G., Muffels, C.: FEFLOW: A Finite-Element Ground Water Flow and Transport Modeling Tool. Groundwater 45(5), 525-528 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 1745-6584.2007.00358.x
- Vadasz, P.: Heat flux dispersion in natural convection in porous media. Int. J. Heat. Mass. Tran. 53(17-18), 3394-3404 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2010.03. 034
- Vadasz, P., Braester, C.: The effect of imperfectly insulated sidewalls on natural convection in porous media. Acta. Mech. **91**(3–4), 215–233 (1992). https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01194111
- Voss, C.I.: A finite-element simulation model for saturated-unsaturated, fluid-densitydependent ground-water flow with energy-transport or chemically-reactive single-species solute transport . Water-Resources Investigations Report 84-4369, (1984). https://doi. org/10.3133/wri844369
- Yudovich, V.I.: Cosymmetry, degeneration of solutions of operator equations, and onset of a filtration convection. Mathematical notes of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR **49**(5), 540–545 (1991). https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01142654