

Responses of tropical marine ecosystems to climate change impacts and their treatments in biogeochemical ecosystem models

Chinenye J. Ani^{a,b,c}, Barbara Robson^b

^aCollege of Science and Engineering, James Cook University, Townsville, QLD 4811, Australia

^bAustralian Institute of Marine Science, Townsville, PMB3 Townsville, QLD 4810, Australia

^cAIMS@JCU, Australian Institute of Marine Science, College of Science and Engineering, James Cook University, Townsville, QLD 4811, Australia

Abstract

To predict the effects of climate change on marine ecosystems and the effectiveness of intervention and mitigation strategies, we need reliable marine ecosystem response models such as biogeochemical models that reproduce climate change effects. We reviewed marine ecosystem parameters and processes that are modified by climate change and examined their representations in biogeochemical ecosystem models. Important aspects of marine ecosystem modelling have often been neglected: these include the use of multiple IPCC scenarios, ensemble modelling approach, independent calibration datasets, the consideration of changes in cloud cover, ocean currents, wind speed, sea-level rise, storm frequency, storm intensity, and the incorporation of species adaptation to changing environmental conditions. Including our findings in future marine modelling studies could help improve the accuracy and reliability of model predictions of climate change impacts on marine ecosystems.

Keywords:

Climate change, marine ecosystem, biogeochemical model, temperature, pCO₂

1. Introduction

Marine ecosystems help to regulate the climate, protect coastal areas from storms (Shepherd et al., 2011), provide food for human communities, and support various livelihoods and recreational activities. Unfortunately, many marine ecosystems are in decline due to climate change or as a consequence of other human activities. For example, from 1985 to 2012 coral cover on the Great Barrier Reef (GBR) declined from 28% to 13.8% (De'ath et al., 2012). Climate change impacts on marine ecosystems include global warming, ocean acidification, changes in ocean currents, sea-level rise and sea-ice retreat (Schweiger et al., 2008; IPCC, 2014; Hogg et al., 2015; Gattuso et al., 2015; Oliver et al., 2017). Human activities affect nearly all parts of the ocean. Eroded sediments, nutrients and chemicals from agricultural

Email address: chinenye.ani@my.jcu.edu.au (Chinenye J. Ani)

and urbanised catchments drain into coastal oceans, causing pollution (Brodie et al., 2011; Devlin et al., 2012). Discarded fishing nets and plastic waste entangle marine organisms as they swim (Wilcox et al., 2015) and some marine ecosystems such as mangrove forests have been turned into human settlements and industries.

Oceans absorb more than 90% of heat generated from increased greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from human activities such as the combustion of fossil fuels and land use (IPCC, 2014). The surface temperature of oceans is projected to increase by between 0.3°C to 1.7°C under RCP2.6, 1.1°C to 2.6°C under RCP4.5, 1.4°C to 3.1°C under RCP6.0 and 2.6°C to 4.8°C under RCP8.5 IPCC scenarios by 2100 (IPCC, 2014). Ocean warming causes marine heat waves (Cavole et al., 2016; Oliver et al., 2017; Hughes et al., 2017), oxygen depletion (Vaquer-Sunyer and Duarte, 2011; Bruno et al., 2018) and coral bleaching (Hughes et al., 2017; Barkley et al., 2018), and may cause loss of some marine habitats. An example is the potential loss of suitable spawning habitats for Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) and Polar cod (*Boreogadus saida*) (Dahlke et al., 2018) due to warming.

More frequent extreme weather events associated with global warming (Mills et al., 2013; Pearce and Feng, 2013; Perry et al., 2014; Beeden et al., 2015; Hughes et al., 2017) and loss of coastal protection associated with increased storminess, sea-level rise and ecosystem changes such as reduced coral reef growth (Perry et al., 2014; Beeden et al., 2015; Cheal et al., 2017) may also negatively impact coastal communities.

Ocean warming intensifies oxygen depletion and can promote the growth of harmful algal blooms (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration), leading to the death of marine organisms. Because warm water holds less dissolved oxygen than cooler water, hypoxia caused by severe oxygen depletion is projected to increase by 10% for each 1°C surface warming (Deutsch et al., 2011). Hypoxia can also occur due to increased oxygen demand as organics breakdown (Chen et al., 2007; Du et al., 2018; Rodríguez-Martínez et al., 2019).

Ocean CO₂ absorption increased from $1.0 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{12}$ kg Cyr⁻¹ in 1960s to $2.4 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{12}$ kg Cyr⁻¹ averaged over the period from 2008 to 2017 (Le Quéré et al., 2018). Although the absorption of CO₂ by oceans mitigates the atmospheric impacts of anthropogenic CO₂ emissions, it also causes ocean acidification (Wei et al., 2009). Ocean acidification is the reduction of ocean pH due to the dissolution of CO₂ in seawater. Global surface ocean pH naturally ranges between 8.0 and 8.5 (Bates et al., 2014), but has declined by 0.1 (Feely et al., 2004; Stocker et al., 2013; Gattuso et al., 2015) and is projected to decline by 0.3 to 0.4 by the end of 2100 under RCP8.5 (Mora et al., 2013; Gattuso et al., 2015). Ocean acidification reduces the growth, development, survival and abundance of marine calcifiers, and the abundance of their predators (Doney et al., 2009; Kroeker et al., 2013). Growth and development of marine calcifiers reduced by about 11 – 19%, calcification and survival declined by 27%, while abundance reduced by 15% (Kroeker et al., 2013).

Recently, several marine ecosystems around the world have experienced extreme marine heat waves with catastrophic ecological outcomes (Hughes et al., 2017; Barkley et al., 2018; Brainard et al., 2018; Burt et al., 2019). The unprecedented coral bleaching events in the GBR in 2016 and 2017 (Hughes et al., 2017) are examples of such events. These unprecedented bleaching events reduced living hard coral cover by 51% over large areas of the GBR that experienced extreme temperatures (Stuart-Smith et al., 2018). On deep reefs 40% of

corals bleached and 6% died, while 60 to 69% bleached and 8 to 12% died on shallow reefs (Frade et al., 2018). On Lizard Island, a region highly impacted by the heat wave in the northern GBR, coral reef calcification and production declined by about 4 to 5% (McMahon et al., 2019). Another example is the coral bleaching event on the highly productive coral reef ecosystem on Jarvis Island in the central equatorial Pacific during 2015–2016 El Niño event (Barkley et al., 2018). Consequently, fast-growing *Montipora*, branching *Pocillopora* and massive *Posities* coral colonies abundant on Jarvis Island experienced almost a 100% bleaching, causing declines in tissue thickness by about 50% and severe coral mortality (Barkley et al., 2018). The 2017 bleaching event on coral reefs in the Persian/Arabian Gulf caused 94.3% of corals to bleach, about 66.7% coral mortality between April and September 2017, and 73% coral-cover loss by April 2018 (Burt et al., 2019).

Reliable marine ecosystem response models that can predict the effects of climate change on marine ecosystems and the effectiveness of intervention and mitigation strategies will greatly assist management of these impacts.

Biogeochemical models – also known as ecosystem models or receiving water quality models – are process-based models that simulate optical conditions and the cycling of nutrients such as carbon (C), nitrogen (N) and phosphorous (P) throughout the ecosystem. They also simulate the effects of changes in the physical, chemical and biological environments on primary production (PP) and export. Distinguishing them from ecological models and end-to-end models, biogeochemical models do not simulate high trophic level (HTL) production e.g. fishery production (Robson et al., 2017). Global biogeochemical models simulate export and water quality, but do not simulate benthic and sediment dynamics. Coastal biogeochemical models simulate water quality, organic matter, benthic and sediment dynamics, and export, although some not-so-good coastal biogeochemical models ignore benthic and sediment dynamics.

In this paper, we review the main impacts of climate change and ocean acidification on marine ecosystem processes with a focus on processes represented by biogeochemical models of tropical marine ecosystems.

1.1. The Great Barrier Reef: A changing tropical marine ecosystem

The GBR is a relevant case study to investigate climate change impacts on marine ecosystems. The GBR is the world’s largest coral reef system containing about 3000 individual reefs and stretching over 2,300km along the north Queensland coast. Enshrined as a World Heritage Area (United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation), the GBR supports an abundance of marine life, provides economic, social and recreational services, and is worth about \$56 billion (in uncorrected 2013 Australian dollars) to the Australian economy (Deloitte). Despite being perhaps the most intensively managed coral reef system in the world, the GBR is exposed to threats from climate change and human activities (Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, 2019) and its condition is in decline.

Key drivers of this decline include reduced water quality from coastal catchments modified by agricultural activities that export three-four times higher riverine nutrients (nitrogen and phosphorus) and sediment loads than prior to European settlement (Brodie, 2013), and recurrent coral bleaching and ocean acidification associated with increased greenhouse gas

emissions (Fabricius et al., 2011; Hughes et al., 2017). Increased nutrient loads reduce coral thermal tolerance, thereby increasing susceptibility to coral bleaching. They enhance the growth of crown-of-thorns starfish (COTS) larvae, the adults of which catastrophically reduce coral cover (Babcock et al., 2016). Ocean acidification enhances decalcification and reduces the growth and survival of corals in the GBR (Albright et al., 2016).

To inform management and protection of the GBR, we use biogeochemical models to predict the impacts of these marine pollutants. With these predictions the resilience and natural recovery of the GBR can be enhanced.

Currently, the eReefs marine models are key tools used by the Australian and Queensland governments in managing and preserving the GBR. eReefs model applications include: assessment of catchment run-off impacts on the GBR health, reporting the condition of the GBR, evaluating COTS dispersal, and investigating the vulnerability of the GBR to ocean acidification and coral bleaching (Steven et al., 2019).

The eReefs models are an implementation of the CSIRO Environmental Modelling Suite (EMS) used to investigate the physical, biogeochemical and sediment processes in coastal marine ecosystems. EMS is a suite of hydrodynamic, biogeochemical and sediment models that simulates the physical state and water quality of the GBR. A regional hydrodynamic model is forced with river flow data, output from a global circulation model, the Ocean Modelling Analysis and Prediction System (OceanMAPS) and meteorological data from the Bureau of Meteorology’s ACCESS models (Australian Community Climate and Earth-System Simulator). The hydrodynamic model (SHOC) simulates the physical conditions of the GBR (Herzfeld, 2006). Simulated physical conditions and transport are then used to drive a biogeochemical model, which simulates nitrogen, phosphorus, carbon and oxygen cycles, optical conditions, plankton, benthic organisms (i.e. coral, seagrass and macroalgae), detritus and sediment dynamics of the GBR (Mongin et al., 2016). The EMS sediment transport model simulates the sinking, deposition and resuspension of suspended sediments and other particulate materials (Margvelashvili et al., 2008).

This review will set the context for improvement of the EMS biogeochemical model (hereafter referred to as the EMS-BGC) and its application to climate change scenarios. Research to predict climate change responses of marine ecosystems has led to the development of many biogeochemical models with varying process representations and complexities (Robson, 2014; Janssen et al., 2015). Despite these significant developments, there has until recently been little focus on the representation of climate change impacts on marine ecosystem response models. Understanding the effects of climate change on marine ecosystem processes in the lab and field is fundamentally important in predicting climate change impacts on marine ecosystems. Here, we review marine ecosystem parameters and processes that are expected to change as a result of climate change and ocean acidification and then present a systematic review of published marine ecosystem climate change model applications.

2. Methods

For the systematic review of marine ecosystem modelling of climate change scenarios, peer reviewed journal publications and proceeding papers were selected for inclusion based on

their use of mechanistic models to project the effects of climate change on marine ecosystems. Mechanistic models represent processes through the application of known scientific laws describing the behaviour of components of the modelled system. The literature search was done on Web of Science on May 1, 2019 (Table 1). To obtain the most relevant studies, the search field “Topic” (which searches titles, abstract, author keywords and keywords plus) was selected. The search returned 353 papers published between 2005 and 2019. A subset of 131 papers was selected for inclusion based on relevance to modelling the responses of marine ecosystems to climate change impacts.

Table 1: Literature search keywords.

Keyword type	Search keywords
Main keyword	Biogeochemical model OR ecosystem model OR water quality model
Context keyword	Climate change OR global warming OR ocean acidification
Scope keyword	Marine OR ocean OR sea OR bay OR coastal

To complement these results and expand the range of results returned, a second search was conducted using Google Scholar. Studies were selected for inclusion due to their use of field and laboratory experiments to assess the impacts of elevated temperature and pCO₂ on plankton, coral, seagrass and microbes. These marine organisms are considered because they are important components of tropical marine ecosystems and are modelled by EMS-BGC. We included results from a range of latitudes and climates, but focus particularly on results from tropical systems where available. Where tropical results were found, we compare or contrast these findings from other climates.

The full list of modelling studies included in the review is provided as supporting information.

3. Marine ecosystem parameters modified by climate change

3.1. Meteorological conditions and sea level

Air temperatures, cloud cover, storm intensity and storm frequency have all changed and continue to change due to anthropogenically forced climate change associated with greenhouse gas emissions. Average global surface temperature increased by 0.37°C between 1925 and 1944 and by a further 0.32°C from 1978 to 1997 (Jones et al., 1999). Relative

to 1850–1900, temperatures are projected to increase throughout the 21st century with an increase of over 1.5°C by the end of the century (IPCC, 2014).

Warming-induced ocean thermal expansion, melting ice and changes in land water storage cause sea-level rise. Thermal expansion and melting ice have contributed about 75% of global sea-level rise since the early 1970s (IPCC, 2014). Sea-level rise exacerbates coastal flooding as it increases the vulnerability of coastal areas to floods in different parts of the world (French et al., 1995; Scott et al., 2012; Iwamura et al., 2013; Bhattachan et al., 2018; Knutson et al., 2020).

Despite several past disagreements on the reliability of model predictions of climate change impacts on tropical cyclones (Broccoli and Manabe, 1990; Evans and Allan, 1992; Bengtsson et al., 1996) recent studies agree on the use of high-resolution global models to predict climate change impacts on tropical cyclones (Knutson et al., 2010, 2020; Walsh et al., 2016). Relative to the end of the 20th century, a 2°C global temperature increase is projected to cause a 1 to 11% increase in the intensity of average global tropical cyclones and a 6 to 34% decrease in storm frequency by 2100 (Knutson et al., 2010, 2020). Overall, changes in storm intensity and frequency have been shown to greatly impact North and Central America, Australia, East and West Africa, Asia and South America (Ward et al., 2016). They have caused socio-economic damage and loss of human lives (Nordhaus, 2006), deteriorated the living conditions in some marine ecosystems and coastal communities (French et al., 1995).

3.2. River flows, sediment and nutrient loads

The impact of global warming on river flow regime is highly variable depending on the location. On a global scale, under SRES-A1B scenario Arnell and Gosling (2013) projected increases in mean annual runoff in Canada, high-latitude eastern Europe and Siberia by 2050 with respect to 1961–1990 averages. They also predicted declines in central Europe, around the Mediterranean, the Mashriq, central America and Brasil. Likewise, relative to 1950–1999 means, severe drought is predicted to be prevalent in North-central America from 2050 to 2099 under SRES-A1B scenario due to median declines in precipitation by 5 to 10% and runoff by 10 to 30% (Hidalgo et al., 2013). In South-western Australia, relative to averages from 1975 to 2007, a median decline by 25% in runoff resulting from a median decline by 8% in rainfall is predicted to occur by 2030 under future global temperature increases of 0.7°C, 1.0°C and 1.3°C (Silberstein et al., 2012).

Precipitation has been predicted to increase by 14 to 20% within 100km of tropical-cyclone activity (Knutson et al., 2010, 2020). Increased precipitation associated with tropical cyclones effectively generates runoff (Devlin et al., 2012; Darby et al., 2013) and contributes about 32% of the suspended sediment load draining into the Mekong Delta (Darby et al., 2016). During high flow events, large loads of nutrients and sediments from adjacent agricultural catchments drain into the GBR (Brodie et al., 2010, 2011; Devlin et al., 2012). Consequently, current mean annual suspended sediments increased 5.5 times, nitrogen increased 5.7 times and phosphorus increased 8.9 times since European settlement (Kroon et al., 2012). On the other hand, in Mekong Delta, 33.0 ± 7.1 megatonnes of an estimated 52.6 ± 10.2 megatonnes decline in suspended sediment load was caused by shift in tropical-cyclone activity (Darby et al., 2016).

These changes have the potential to alter the biogeochemistry of marine ecosystems and can be manifested in eutrophication, hypoxia and sedimentation.

3.3. Oceanic transport processes

Oceanic transport processes comprising ocean currents, stratification and upwelling are important factors influencing marine ecosystems as they transport plants, animals, heat and nutrients around the oceans. Changes in ocean currents in response to future climate change vary across locations. For instance, between the 1990s and 2060s, [Sun et al. \(2012\)](#) project declines in the transport of Leeuwin Current (15%) and Indonesian Throughflow (20%), and increases in East Australian Current core transport (12%) and extension (35%) under SRES-A1B. Further, [Hogg et al. \(2015\)](#) reported the intensification of Southern Ocean eddy field in recent decades, with largest trends observed in the Indian ($18.3 \pm 5.1 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-2} \text{ decade}^{-1}$) and Pacific ($14.9 \pm 4.1 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-2} \text{ decade}^{-1}$) regions of the Southern Ocean.

Ocean stratification is projected to largely increase by the end of the 21st century, relative to the end of the 20th century under SRES-A2 scenario ([Capotondi et al., 2012](#); [Hordoir and Meier, 2012](#)). Temperature changes have been identified as the largest contributor to stratification increases on a global scale, with the largest effect in the tropics ([Capotondi et al., 2012](#)). However, salinity was reported to have the largest influence on stratification increases in the Arctic, North Atlantic and Northeast Pacific due to Arctic freshening and increased salinity in subtropical North Atlantic and in the Mediterranean Sea ([Capotondi et al., 2012](#)).

Increased greenhouse gas emissions have been suggested to increase coastal upwelling intensity (i.e. the eastern boundary upwelling systems (EBUS)) ([Bakun, 1990](#); [Snyder et al., 2003](#); [Bakun et al., 2015](#); [Wang et al., 2015](#)). The EBUS – one of the most productive marine ecosystems in the world – are projected to expand poleward due to increased temperatures ([Bakun et al., 2015](#)). However, under global warming, open ocean upwelling is projected to weaken while coastal upwelling remains unchanged ([Hsieh and Boer, 1992](#)). This is due to the weakening of upwelling bands in equatorial and subpolar zones, and downwelling bands in subtropical zones.

These changes in oceanic transport processes will have implications on the dispersal of species larvae, distribution of nutrients and marine organisms, and nutrient cycling in marine ecosystems.

3.4. Water temperature

Global warming influences ocean temperature and will affect marine ecosystems. Temperature is arguably the most important physical variable that structures marine ecosystems. It influences the growth, composition and abundance of plankton communities, the abundance and distribution of fish, the growth and photosynthesis of seagrasses, and coral health. Extreme temperature increases caused by climate change alter marine ecosystems and the services they provide. A summary of temperature effects on marine ecosystems is shown in [Figure 1](#). Temperature effects on plankton communities, corals, seagrasses and microbes are detailed further below.





Figure 1: Summary of the responses of plankton, seagrasses, corals and microbes to temperature increases.

3.4.1. Phytoplankton physiological responses

Phytoplankton, the most important organism in marine food chains is sensitive to temperature increases. Some experiments have shown that growth rate, nitrogen fixation rate and photosynthetic rate increase with increasing temperature, peak at an optimum temperature T_{opt} , and decrease afterwards (Figure 2c) (Breitbarth et al., 2007; Boyd et al., 2013; Fu et al., 2014). Respiration of phytoplankton in temperate regions increased with increasing temperature (i.e. from 0 to 30°C), while gross photosynthesis increased below 10°C, peaked between 10°C and 15°C and decreased at higher temperatures (Andersson et al., 1994).


Growth rate of polar diatoms increased by 25% with a temperature increase of 3°C and decreased rapidly with a further 1°C increase in temperature (Boyd et al., 2013). Temperate diatom species experience a four-fold increase in growth rates with increasing temperature and no growth at temperatures above 20°C (Boyd et al., 2013). Maximum growth rates for polar and temperate phytoplankton species range between 0.3 d⁻¹ and 1.4 d⁻¹ (Boyd et al., 2013).

Tropical phytoplankton species have higher thermal tolerance than polar and temperate species as their thermal limits range between 18 and 34°C (Breitbarth et al., 2007; Boyd et al., 2013; Fu et al., 2014). *Trichodesmium* – a nitrogen fixing cyanobacterium – attained maximum growth of about 0.2 d⁻¹ to 0.3 d⁻¹ (Bell et al., 2005; Breitbarth et al., 2007; Boyd et al., 2013) at temperatures between 24 and 28°C (Bell et al., 2005; Breitbarth et al., 2007; Boyd et al., 2013; Fu et al., 2014) and gradually declined to zero at 35°C (Breitbarth et al., 2007; Boyd et al., 2013). Maximum nitrogen fixation by *Trichodesmium*



(a) Exponential response curve.

(b) Saturating response curve.



(c) Optimum response curve.

Figure 2: Temperature response curves

($0.13 \text{ mmol N mol POC}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$) occurred at 27°C (Breitbarth et al., 2007), while maximum photosynthesis occurred at $\approx 26^\circ\text{C}$ (Fu et al., 2014). *Crocospaera*, another nitrogen fixer has been reported to have a higher thermal tolerance, with thermal limits ranging from 22°C to 34°C and optimum temperature at $\approx 28^\circ\text{C}$ and 30°C (Boyd et al., 2013; Fu et al., 2014). Furthermore, the dinoflagellate *A. sanguinea* attained maximum growth at 25°C with an upper temperature limit between 30°C and 33°C , and a lower temperature limit between 10°C and 15°C (Boyd et al., 2013). A three-fold increase in dinoflagellate *P. donghaiense* growth rate was reported to occur between 15°C and 20°C , and $\approx 15\%$ decrease at 30°C (Boyd et al., 2013). Although tropical phytoplankton have higher thermal limits than polar and temperate species, they are highly sensitive to increasing temperature changes since they live close to or at optimum temperatures.

Ocean warming alters the phenology of phytoplankton in different ways depending on local conditions. Warming-induced increased growth and photosynthetic rates caused an earlier peak of phytoplankton spring bloom by $1 - 1.4 \text{ d}^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$ in the temperate-climate Kiel Fjord on the edge of the Baltic Sea (Sommer et al., 2007; Sommer and Lewandowska, 2011). However, in the northern Red Sea, a tropical marine ecosystem, phytoplankton blooms have recently started late ($\approx 1 - 4$ weeks), had a shorter duration (≈ 4 weeks) and finished earlier (≈ 4 weeks) (Gittings et al., 2018). This has been attributed to increased stratification and

lower heat fluxes. The observed changes have implications on phytoplankton biomass.

The sensitivity of phytoplankton to $p\text{CO}_2$ is influenced by temperature. Elevated temperatures enhance growth and photosynthesis, and modulate the sensitivity of growth, photosynthesis and calcification of calcifying algae to $p\text{CO}_2$ increases (Sett et al., 2014). Likewise, the sensitivity of phytoplankton to temperature is influenced by other environmental factors, including light. Laboratory experiments for a mixed phytoplankton community conducted in conditions of light saturation and limitation, and weakly limiting nutrients have shown that maximum growth rate increases with temperature when light is saturated, while light-limitation was found to reduce T_{opt} by $\approx 5^\circ\text{C}$ (Edwards et al., 2016).

Temperature increases stimulate changes in intracellular nutrient transformation and can be represented as an optimum response function (Figure 2c) (Gao et al., 2000). Regardless of growth habitat, nitrate assimilation in multiple phytoplankton species cultured in nutrient-rich conditions and different light treatments exponentially increased at temperatures below 10°C , was optimised between T_{opt} of 10 and 20°C and decreased at temperatures above this range (Gao et al., 2000).

These observations suggest that many phytoplankton species have similar response curves to increasing temperature with distinct thermal tolerance and limits depending on location and species. Therefore, it is important to understand the ecological impact of these changes as they contribute to the oceans nitrogen and carbon inventories, bloom formation and to reef-building corals. These observed traits would be useful in modifying the parameterisation of biogeochemical models at the species level.

3.4.2. Phytoplankton community responses

The composition of phytoplankton communities changes as temperature varies. At higher temperatures, phytoplankton community composition shifts from large phytoplankton groups to small phytoplankton groups (Carpenter, 1973; Andersson et al., 1994; Hare et al., 2007; Keys et al., 2018), whereas large phytoplankton groups dominate when temperatures are low (Lassen et al., 2010).

At low temperatures, there is often reduced stratification and enhanced vertical mixing, hence elevated nutrient concentrations (Behrenfeld et al., 2006). At high temperatures, there is increased stratification and reduced vertical exchange, hence low nutrient concentrations (Schmittner, 2005; Behrenfeld et al., 2006). The dominance of small phytoplankton groups at higher temperatures (Falkowski and Oliver, 2007) might be attributed to their small cell size which results in higher surface area to volume ratio (Litchman et al., 2007). This attribute enables enhanced nutrient uptake by small phytoplankton under low nutrient concentrations.

3.4.3. Zooplankton responses

Zooplankton are fundamental in shaping phytoplankton structure through grazing and facilitate energy transfer from primary producers to upper trophic level consumers in the food chain (Truong et al., 2017). In addition, they supply organic matter through excretion and mortality which provides a food source for detrital feeders and is remineralised by bacteria to release dissolved nutrients that can be taken up by phytoplankton (Morais et al., 2017).

Increasing temperatures have been observed to increase respiration, excretion and zooplankton grazing rates (Ikeda et al., 2001; Ikeda, 2014; Alcaraz et al., 2014; George et al., 2015), although Alcaraz et al. (2014) report declines above a threshold. Respiration and excretion rates of zooplankton from polar to tropical waters (-1.7°C to 30°C) have been shown to depend on body mass and temperature (Ikeda et al., 2001; Ikeda, 2014) as they increase with increasing temperatures (Ikeda, 2014). The rate at which meso- and macrozooplankton metabolic rates increase for a 10°C temperature rise (i.e. Q_{10}) ranges between 1.8 and 1.9 (Ikeda, 2014). Microzooplankton grazing rate increased from $0.15 \pm 0.03 \text{ d}^{-1}$ during the beginning of the spring bloom to $0.62 \pm 0.11 \text{ d}^{-1}$ during the peak and end of the bloom in the Long Island Sound (George et al., 2015).

Alcaraz et al. (2014) suggest that thermal thresholds and the balance between carbon loss and carbon gain are important in predicting warming impacts on zooplankton. They reported maximum grazing and respiration rates of Arctic *Calanus glacialis* at temperatures 2.5°C and 6°C, respectively, and that based on the balance between energetic gains and losses, the thermal threshold for *C. glacialis* survival is 6°C.

Although some experiments suggest increases in zooplankton physiological rates with increasing temperature (Ikeda et al., 2001; Ikeda, 2014; George et al., 2015), declines have been reported when temperature exceeds a thermal threshold in the Arctic (Alcaraz et al., 2014). Since tropical species live close to their thermal limits, extreme temperature increases could have similar implications for tropical zooplankton physiology. However, there is limited literature on warming effects on tropical zooplankton physiology. Thus, more work needs to be done to understand the physiological responses of tropical zooplankton to ocean warming for improved model parameterisations.

3.4.4. Coral responses

Corals provide habitats for many marine organisms through their reef-building ability. The symbiosis between reef-building corals and dinoflagellates is essential to coral calcification and coral reef growth. However, warming has disrupted this symbiotic relationship and has led to more frequent and widespread mass coral bleaching events (Hughes et al., 2017).

Corals respond to temperature variations following an optimum response curve (Figure 2c) (Castillo and Helmuth, 2005; Rodolfo-Metalpa et al., 2014; Jurriaans and Hoogenboom, 2019) and their thermal responses vary among locations and between species (Warner et al., 1996; Jurriaans and Hoogenboom, 2019). For example, in the Gulf of Honduras, Southern Belize, *Orbicella annularis* (formerly *Montastrea annularis* and most abundant species in the Caribbean) photosynthetic and respiration rates are maximum at 33°C and decline to zero at 35°C (Castillo and Helmuth, 2005). Similarly, in the Mediterranean Sea, *O. annularis* photosynthesis and respiration are maximal at temperatures in the range 24 – 26°C and 25 – 27°C, respectively (Rodolfo-Metalpa et al., 2014).

Long-term exposure (2 – 3 days) of several coral species widespread and abundant in tropical western Atlantic Ocean and the Caribbean Sea, namely *O. annularis*, *Agaricia lamarcki*, *Agaricia agaricites* and *Siderastrea radians* from the Discovery Bay, Jamaica to elevated temperatures between 30°C and 36°C decreased their symbiont photosynthetic efficiency (Warner et al., 1996). Complete disruption of photosynthesis in highly sensitive

O. annularis and *A. lamarcki* occurred at 32°C and 34°C, respectively, whereas the photosynthetic efficiencies of *A. agaricites* and *S. radians* declined less (Warner et al., 1996). Short-term exposure of *Acropora* spp. (*Acropora intermedia* and *Acropora valenciennesi*) and *Porites cylindrica* from three locations in the GBR, namely Orpheus Island, Lizard Island and Heron Island to temperature increase and decrease of 5°C above the mean summer temperature showed that coral thermal performance varies with species and with latitudes, and the variation in coral symbiont types could be responsible for the observed differences in coral thermal performance (Jurriaans and Hoogenboom, 2019). Coral symbionts were shown to acclimatise better than coral hosts as their thermal optimum is closer to environmental temperatures and are likely to support coral hosts during extreme temperature events (Jurriaans and Hoogenboom, 2019). *Acropora* spp. and *P. cylindrica* are abundant and widespread in the Indo-Pacific Ocean (Wallace and Rosen, 2006).

Coral thermal tolerance is influenced by the duration of thermal stress (Hughes et al., 2018) and other environmental factors such as light intensity (Jokiel and Coles, 1990; Brown, 1997; Anthony et al., 2007). Bleaching, which correlates with coral respiration, is exacerbated by high light intensity (Jokiel and Coles, 1990; Anthony et al., 2007) causing coral mortality (Anthony et al., 2007) and declines in hard coral cover (Guest et al., 2016; Hughes et al., 2018). Increased mortality of *A. intermedia* from the GBR was reported to occur by the second half of a six-week exposure to $31 \pm 0.5^\circ\text{C}$ temperature (Anthony et al., 2007). After the unprecedented 2016 and 2017 bleaching events on the GBR (Hughes et al., 2017, 2018), temperature-sensitive species of corals began to die immediately when exposed to temperatures above 3–4 degree-heating weeks in the GBR, and a further eight-month exposure to 6 degree-heating weeks shifted coral community assemblages (Hughes et al., 2018). As a consequence, coral calcification and production on Lizard Island in the GBR reduced by about 4 – 5% (McMahon et al., 2019). In general, short-term exposure (1 – 2 days) of corals to temperature increases of about 3–4°C above their thermal tolerance and long-term exposure (> 1 week) to increases of about 1 – 2°C can induce bleaching (Jokiel and Coles, 1990).

Coral larvae *Porites astreoides* experienced increased metamorphosis (7%) and mortality (30%) rates, decreased photosynthetic rate ($\approx 50\%$) when exposed to high temperature 33°C (Edmunds et al., 2001). These observed changes are likely to reduce recruitment. Relative to ambient temperature (25°C), elevated temperature (29°C) reduced coral larvae *Pocillopora damicornis* respiration rates by 32%, rubisco protein expression 2.6 times and photochemical efficiency by $\approx 49\%$ when compared to that of adult corals (Putnam et al., 2013). This suggests that coral larvae are more sensitive to temperature than adult corals and are physiologically more suited to changing temperatures.

In response to increasing temperatures *O. annularis* skeletal extension rate decreased, whereas skeletal density increased and for each 1°C increase calcification rate increased by $\approx 0.57\text{gcm}^{-2}\text{year}^{-1}$ (Carricart-Ganivet, 2004). However, extreme temperature increases at 23.7°C in the Gulf of Mexico and 25.5°C in the Caribbean Sea cause zero calcification (Carricart-Ganivet, 2004).

These findings highlight the variability of coral thermal tolerance with respect to species, locations and duration of thermal stress. They assert that tropical and subtropical corals

are highly susceptible to bleaching as they live close to their thermal limits during summer. Further, thermal stress reduces the autotrophic ability of coral larvae and symbionts, thereby deteriorating coral health and reducing coral recruitment.

3.4.5. Seagrass responses

Seagrasses are ecologically important as they are primary producers and provide habitats for many marine organisms. Growth rates of seagrasses are limited by light intensity and temperature. Temperature influences seagrass dynamics as their thermal adaptation and tolerance affect distribution, productivity and biomass dynamics. Short-term exposure of seagrasses to extreme temperatures has been shown to reduce growth rate and increase mortality (Collier and Waycott, 2014) and heat waves have been associated with massive loss of seagrass habitat in the tropics (Arias-Ortiz et al., 2018), and tropical temperate transition zones (Kendrick et al., 2019). At the critical temperature threshold of 40°C seagrass growth reduced and mortality followed 2 – 3 days after temperature increased to 43°C in the GBR (Collier and Waycott, 2014).

Within the limits of physiological tolerance photosynthetic rates of seagrasses increased with increasing temperature (Evans et al., 1986; Marsh Jr et al., 1986; Bulthuis, 1987; Masini et al., 1995; Collier and Waycott, 2014; Weisse et al., 2016; Collier et al., 2017). Temperature responses of seagrasses vary among species and across locations. In the GBR, the subtropical and temperate species *Zostera muelleri* photosynthesis has been found to reach a maximum at 31°C, whereas tropical species *Halodule uninervis* and *Cymodocea serrulata* attained maximum photosynthesis at 35°C which rapidly declined to zero between 44 and 45°C (Collier et al., 2017).

The maximum photosynthetic rates of *Zostera marina* L. and *Ruppia maritima* L. in the subtropical Chesapeake Bay, USA decreased at temperatures above 19°C and 23°C, respectively (Evans et al., 1986). In Great Harbour, Massachusetts, net photosynthesis of *Zostera marina* L. was optimised at temperature between 25 and 30°C (Marsh Jr et al., 1986). Masini et al. (1995) found the T_{opt} for net photosynthesis of *Posidonia sinuosa* in (Mediterranean climate) Princess Royal Harbour, Western Australia to range between 18 and 23°C. Dark respiration rates increased exponentially under temperatures between 5 and 30°C (Marsh Jr et al., 1986; Pérez and Romero, 1992). Further, low light intensity has been shown to limit the photosynthetic capacity of seagrasses under temperature increases (Bulthuis, 1987; Masini et al., 1995).

These results show that regardless of latitude and species, seagrasses follow an optimal temperature response curve. However, their thermal tolerances vary with latitude and among species as tropical seagrass species prefer higher temperatures than temperate and subtropical species. In general, short-term and long-term exposure of seagrasses to temperature increases above their thermal limits reduce their photosynthetic capacity and they are likely to suffer irreparable damage.

3.4.6. Microbial community responses

Marine microbes are fundamentally important in the health and productivity of marine ecosystems. They transform organic matter to nutrients through decomposition, transform

nutrients into usable forms for marine plants and serve as a food source for some marine organisms. Marine microbes are also influenced by temperature. Marine microbial community responses to temperature increases could have positive or negative feedbacks to changing carbon and nitrogen cycles, and species that carry out important biogeochemical processes may be affected. Nitrogen mineralisation, nitrification and denitrification rates have been shown to follow an optimum response curve to temperature (Hansen et al., 1981; Thamdrup and Fleischer, 1998; Rysgaard et al., 2004). In one study of Arctic sediments, nitrogen mineralisation was found to be maximised at 20°C and decrease when temperatures are above 20°C (Thamdrup and Fleischer, 1998). In the same study, nitrification was found to increase with increasing temperatures below 8 – 16°C and rapidly decline for temperatures above this range (Thamdrup and Fleischer, 1998). Denitrification rates in Arctic sediments were found to be maximal at 24°C while anaerobic ammonia oxidation reached a maximum at 12°C (Rysgaard et al., 2004). We have not found studies reporting temperature response of microbial processes in tropical marine ecosystems

3.5. Ocean acidification

Ocean acidification caused by increased pCO₂ inhibits the ability of marine calcifiers to form shells and skeletons (Orr et al., 2005; Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007; Fabry et al., 2008; Chan and Connolly, 2013), affects their growth, reproduction and survival rates (Kroeker et al., 2013), and may enhance the dissolution of existing shells and skeleton material (Feely et al., 2004; Orr et al., 2005; Andersson et al., 2009; Kroeker et al., 2013).

3.5.1. Phytoplankton responses

Phytoplankton are carbon fixers, thus they influence the carbon pump of oceans. Increased seawater CO₂ concentrations has been shown to increase photosynthesis, increase primary production of calcifying phytoplankton and likely alter phytoplankton community composition (Tortell et al., 2008; Yang and Gao, 2012; Grear et al., 2017). Coccolithophore species *Emiliana huxleyi* – the most cosmopolitan species – and *Gephyrocapsa oceanica* – the most abundant species in tropical and subtropical oceans (Bendif et al., 2015) – have been shown to have pCO₂-dependent optimum-curve responses for growth, photosynthesis and calcification rates (Sett et al., 2014). Temperature modulates the optimum response of these processes. Optimum [pCO₂] of *E. huxleyi* and *G. oceanica* for growth, photosynthesis and calcification increased to ≈ 600 and 1300 ppm pCO₂, ≈ 835 and 1535 ppm pCO₂, and ≈ 550 and 875 ppm pCO₂ when temperature increased to 20 and 25°C, respectively.

In various contexts, elevated pCO₂ concentrations (800 and 1000 ppm) increased carbon fixation by 25%, dark respiration by 35% (Yang and Gao, 2012), phytoplankton biomass by 6.5-fold (Keys et al., 2018) and growth rate by ≈ 10 – 20% (Tortell et al., 2008). Elevated pCO₂ (1000 ppm) increased the Southern Ocean bloom-forming *Chaetoceros debilis* growth rate by 63%, but had no effect on other bloom-forming species such as *Phaeocystis antarctica*, *Pseudo-nitzschia subcurvata* and *Fragilariopsis kerguelensis* (Trimborn et al., 2013). Cellular particulate inorganic and organic carbon (PIC and POC) concentrations increased by 80% and 90% at 600 ppmV pCO₂, and a further 150 ppmV pCO₂ increase caused 48% and 45% increase in PIC and POC concentrations (Iglesias-Rodriguez et al., 2008). Likewise,

Trichodesmium nitrogen fixation increased by 60% when pCO₂ increased from 180 to 1400 ppmV and intracellular nutrient concentrations (i.e. POC and PON) increased by 33% when pCO₂ increased from 380 to 1400 ppmV (Eichner et al., 2014). In contrast, Böttjer et al. (2014) found no significant effect on nitrogen fixation by short-term (1 – 3 days) exposure of natural occurring nitrogen fixers in North Pacific subtropical gyre to elevated pCO₂ (\approx 1000 ppm).

There is mixed evidence on elevated pCO₂ effects on phytoplankton community composition. Bermúdez et al. (2016); Keys et al. (2018) found that temperate phytoplankton community composition shifted towards smallest size fractions due to pCO₂ increases while Tortell et al. (2002) found the opposite in phytoplankton assemblages in the Southern Ocean. The dominance of small-sized phytoplankton can be attributed to increased growth rate of small-sized phytoplankton caused by elevated pCO₂ (Grear et al., 2017). Rising pCO₂ from ambient to 1981 ppm reduced essential polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) in North Sea phytoplankton and the observed nanophytoplankton PUFA reduction caused \approx 10% reduction of dominant copepod *Calanus finmarchicus* PUFA (Bermúdez et al., 2016).

The findings described above suggest that phytoplankton is most likely to benefit from ocean acidification, although a reduction in phytoplankton fatty acids will reduce the nutritional quality of phytoplankton available for zooplankton and other grazers.

3.5.2. Zooplankton responses

Ocean acidification has been found in some studies to have little or no direct effect on zooplankton (McConville et al., 2013; Hildebrandt et al., 2014). Short-term exposure (4 days) of copepod species *Centropages typicus* and *Temora longicornis* to elevated pCO₂ (750 ppm) had no effect on egg production and hatching success (McConville et al., 2013). Similarly, elevated pCO₂ 3000 ppm had no effect on Arctic copepods *C. glacialis* and *C. hyperboreus* (Hildebrandt et al., 2014). However, exposing pelagic copepods to about 98,000 ppm for a day increased copepod mortality in subarctic to subtropical waters (Watanabe et al., 2006). Copepods living in deep waters were shown to be more sensitive than shallow-living copepods, and deep-living copepods in subarctic and transitional waters were shown to have higher pCO₂ tolerance than subtropical copepods (Watanabe et al., 2006).

In tropical coral reefs located in Papua New Guinea, rising pCO₂ leading to average pH reduction from 8 to \approx 7.8 pH reduced demersal zooplankton biomass (by a factor of three), while zooplankton community composition and fatty acid composition remained unchanged (Smith et al., 2016). Demersal zooplankton biomass reduction could be attributed to the shift from branching corals to massive bouldering corals due to progressing ocean acidification. This observation highlights the importance of in situ experiments in understanding ocean acidification effects on zooplankton as they are likely to produce results different to results obtained from laboratory experiments.

We found little information on tropical zooplankton responses to ocean acidification. More experiments are needed to understand the short- and long-term effects of ocean acidification on tropical zooplankton species.

3.5.3. Coral responses

Corals are likely to experience direct effects of increased pCO₂ as they build their skeletons from calcium carbonate. Elevated pCO₂ (635 ppm) enlarged the body size of *P. damicornis* larvae by $\approx 10\%$ (Putnam et al., 2013). *P. damicornis* larvae was shown to favour skeletal growth at the expense of asexual budding when exposed to 896 and 1681 ppm pCO₂ (Jiang et al., 2015). Combined effects of elevated pCO₂ (≈ 1100 ppm) and temperature (2°C above ambient) decreased respiration by $\approx 30\%$ and recruitment of *Dendropoma cristatum* larvae by $\approx 60\%$ (Alessi et al., 2019). Coral *Stylophora pistillata* calcification decreased by 50% when exposed to increased pCO₂ (734 and 798 ppm) and temperature at 28°C, but remained constant at 25°C (Reynaud et al., 2003). Increased pCO₂ (750 ppm) increased coral *Acropora pulchra* biomass by 31% and 38% at 29.8°C and 27.2°C under light saturation (Comeau et al., 2014). This supports the influence of irradiance on the responses of reef-building corals to elevated pCO₂. *P. damicornis* and *S. pistillata* are common in the Indo-Pacific Ocean.

Across the globe, there is concern that the interacting effects of ocean acidification and rising temperatures will cause a decline in calcification of coral species. For example, across the GBR, a 11.4% decline in calcification of massive *Posites* from 1990 to 2005 has been confirmed (De'ath et al., 2009, 2013). Between 390 and 750 ppm pCO₂ coral diversity, recruitment and abundance of structural corals declined, but coral cover remained unchanged due to the dominance of *Posites* over structural corals despite its low calcification (Fabricius et al., 2011). Further, in the eastern tropical Pacific *P. damicornis* extension declined by approximately 33% from 1974 to 2006 (Manzello, 2010).

These findings suggest that although the effects of pCO₂ increases on corals at their early life stages vary, they could have major consequences on their ecological functions and coupled with thermal stress pCO₂ effects on corals are exacerbated.

3.5.4. Seagrass responses

Ocean acidification has been shown to have positive effects on seagrass (Palacios and Zimmerman, 2007; Fabricius et al., 2011; Ow et al., 2015; Takahashi et al., 2016) due to the potential ability of seagrass to increase its productivity with ocean acidification (Burnell et al., 2014; Borum et al., 2016). Long-term (one year) exposure of *Z. marina* to 16 – 1123 μ M pCO₂ under light-limited and saturating light conditions did not change shoot production and leaf photosynthesis (Palacios and Zimmerman, 2007). However, under saturating light conditions, rising pCO₂ enhanced seagrass productivity as below-ground biomass, vegetative proliferation and reproduction increased (Palacios and Zimmerman, 2007). Short-term (45 days) exposure of *Z. marina* to 3673 μ M pCO₂ caused a reduction in light requirements for survival and growth, and a three-fold increase in leaf photosynthesis and shoot production (Zimmerman et al., 1997). Similarly, a two-week exposure of warm water species *Cymodocea serrulata*, *Halodule uninervis* and *Thalassia hemprichii* to rising pCO₂ (442 – 1204 ppm) increased net production and gross photosynthesis to respiration ratio in all species, and reduced light requirements for growth and survival in *C. serrulata* and *H. uninervis* (Ow et al., 2015).

In the GBR, Fabricius et al. (2011) showed that long-term enrichment of pCO₂ from

390 to 750 ppm caused an eight-fold increase in seagrass cover. Likewise, at three shallow volcanic CO₂ vents in Papua New Guinea, pCO₂ enrichment resulting to decreased average pH from 7.9 to 7.5 increased seagrass cover three times and seagrass biomass 5 times, with varying seagrass community composition (Takahashi et al., 2016).

These results suggest that continued ocean acidification could enhance the survival and growth of seagrass in coastal waters by reducing light requirements and enhancing productivity, but due to the varying seagrass community composition it could alter seagrass community structures.

3.5.5. Microbial responses

For heterotrophic bacteria, pCO₂ increases had direct positive effects such as increased growth, photosynthesis and reduced respiration. These changes could affect their ecological functions in marine ecosystems. A five-day exposure of two bacterial isolates, *Rhodobacteraceae* and *Flavobacteriaceae*, from the Mediterranean Sea to 1000 ppmV pCO₂ had positive effects on their metabolic rates (Teira et al., 2012). *Rhodobacteraceae* photosynthetic rate increased, and *Flavobacteriaceae* growth efficiency and photosynthetic rate increased, while respiration rate reduced (Teira et al., 2012). *Rhodobacteraceae* contribute about 20% of coastal bacterioplankton community (Buchan et al., 2005), while *Flavobacteriaceae* is abundant in eutrophic waters (Alderkamp et al., 2006).

Relative to pH 8, nitrification rates reduced by 50% at pH 7, reduced by $\geq 90\%$ at pH 6.5 and was inhibited at pH 6 in deep sea waters (Huesemann et al., 2002). This shows that pCO₂ increases could cause an accumulation of ammonia in bottom waters and when transported into the euphotic zone could alter phytoplankton abundance and community composition structure.

3.6. Adaptation of marine organisms to changes in temperature and ocean acidification

The potential ability of marine organisms to adapt and acclimate to environmental stressors may reduce the severity of detrimental effects of a changing environment. Long-term acclimation (e.g. 1 – 2 weeks or a decade) of phytoplankton to high temperatures has been shown to improve their thermal tolerance. These improvements include reduced respiration rates, increased optimum temperatures for growth and photosynthesis (Staeher and Birkeland, 2006; Padfield et al., 2016; Schaum et al., 2017), increased growth rate and cellular pigment content, decreased cell size and Chl a-specific light absorption (Staeher and Birkeland, 2006). Accordingly, when compared to phytoplankton in ambient conditions, warm-adapted phytoplankton competes better in warm conditions and loses its competitive fitness in ambient conditions (Schaum et al., 2017).

Z. muelleri has been identified as the most thermal tolerant seagrass species after a seven-week exposure of different tropical species, namely *Cymodocea serrulata* and *Halodule uninervis* to increasing temperatures and pCO₂ under saturating light conditions (Collier et al., 2018). *Z. muelleri* maximum net production was positive at 15°C to 35°C, while rapid declines in growth, production and shoot density exacerbated by high pCO₂ at 35°C were reported in other species.

Enhanced thermal tolerance of heat-evolved strains of coral microalgal endosymbiont at 31°C increased the bleaching tolerance of coral host larvae when symbiosis is established (Buerger et al., 2020).

Epigenetic effects have the ability to buffer the impacts of changing environmental conditions by generating phenotypic plasticity. Increased DNA methylation likely reduced ocean acidification effects on coral, *S. pistillata* by contributing to its phenotypic acclimation (Liew et al., 2018). Offsprings of individuals grown in warm temperatures have been shown to grow better in warm temperatures. Warmer egg production temperature of *Acartia* copepod induced positive maternal effects which increased the egg hatching rate in warmer temperatures (Vehmaa et al., 2012).

4. How well are climate change impacts represented by marine ecosystem response models?

The selected 131 modelling studies used eighty-five different models, with others being different applications of the same model. References for all 131 modelling studies can be found on the Supporting Information document. The modelled systems include twenty-two coastal (including estuarine) ecosystems and 109 open ocean marine ecosystems. Further, seventy-two of these ecosystem models considered regional domains, while the remaining fifty-nine were global models.

4.1. Model performance assessment

Model performance indicates how well model results agree with observational datasets. Model evaluation provides modellers the needed confidence in model performance. To this end, 107 studies reported metrics comparing predicted and measured results. Seventy-six studies had parameter values fine-tuned to better match observations (i.e. calibration), but only nine studies were validated using independent datasets. This is consistent with the findings of previous reviews of biogeochemical model assessment (Arhonditsis and Brett, 2004; Robson, 2014). Although these models have varying complexity, evaluation was mostly done by comparing model results of physical, chemical and biological variables against historical observational data.

The physical variables most commonly assessed were salinity (twelve studies), water temperature (fourteen studies) and water level (sea surface elevation) (five studies), while the most common biogeochemical variables analysed included concentrations of chlorophyll a (Chl a) (twenty-three studies), dissolved oxygen (DO) (twelve studies), dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) (seven studies), nitrate and phosphate (NO₃ and PO₄) (fifteen studies), total alkalinity (TA) (seven studies), net primary production (NPP) (eight studies), export fluxes (three studies) and pH (four studies). The biomass of lower and higher trophic level species, and the annual catch of fisheries were also assessed for model performance in fourteen studies.

Multiple metrics were used to assess model performance in twenty studies. These metrics include the standard deviation (SD), the root mean square error (RMSE), average absolute error (AAE), average error (AE), the modelling efficiency (MEF), the robustness index, percent model agreement, Spearman and Kendall tau-b correlation coefficients (Holzwarth and

Wirtz, 2018; Lachkar et al., 2018; Irby et al., 2018; Pilcher et al., 2018; Fulton et al., 2018; Bauer et al., 2018; Bryndum-Buchholz et al., 2019). However, Suprenand and Ainsworth (2017) calibrated model parameters with trophic vulnerabilities due to insufficient observational data, although they are less suited for time series fitting when observations are available. Travers-Trolet et al. (2014) calibrated their model with an automatic method involving evolutionary algorithms based on the selection of the best set of model parameters that simulate model results (biomasses) that are closest to observed biomasses. Automatic calibration is more thorough and less labour-intensive than manual calibration, but is more likely to obtain unrealistic parameter sets unless parameter ranges and parameter priors are specified carefully (e.g. (Robson et al., 2018)), and requires greater computational resources.

Multiple metrics are used for thorough model performance assessment because they capture different aspects of model performance (Stow et al., 2009). For example, AAE, AE and RMSE measure accuracy, i.e. they measure the difference between model results and observations, while MEF evaluates both accuracy and precision, but is less sensitive to lack of correlation and should be used with a correlation metric. Olsen et al. (2016) recommend the use of at least four metrics, namely MEF, RMSE, AAE, and Spearman rank correlation for effective model performance assessment.

4.2. Time period of simulations

The long-term aspects of global climate change prompted sixty-one studies to continuously run their models from the past to the future with dates ranging between 1850 - 2100 (Meier et al., 2017; Lefort et al., 2015; Patara et al., 2013; Vancoppenolle et al., 2013; Lehodey et al., 2010) and 1950 - 2100 (Richon et al., 2019; Carozza et al., 2019; Dutkiewicz et al., 2013). However, sixteen models considered twenty-year or ten-year runs of present and future climate conditions to save computational cost (Hodgson et al., 2018). Nine studies ran their models for at most two years under future conditions. In particular, Guyonnet et al. (2015) and (Irby et al., 2018) considered a model run in isolation for a year (2050) of projected future conditions, while Herrmann et al. (2013) considered 7 one-year simulations under future conditions. Thirty-eight studies performed hindcast runs (Pilcher et al., 2018) and six studies ran future simulations for over a thousand years (Yamamoto et al., 2018). Marshall et al. (2017) ran simulations for 100 years, but looped a single year ROMS oceanography 100 times. This was done to reduce the computational cost, control inter-annual variability of oceanic conditions and isolate the impacts of distinct drivers of change.

Depending on model formulation, long and continuous runs could allow the simulation of cumulative climate impacts and assessment of intermediate climate change conditions. However, short runs comparing current with future conditions have lower computational costs, therefore they are suitable for high resolution modelling and multiple scenarios examination.

4.3. IPCC Scenarios

IPCC scenarios describe future dynamics of GHG emissions, air pollutant emissions and land use, and are used to assess how causes of future emissions influence future emission outcomes and examine the associated uncertainties. Descriptions of IPCC scenarios can be found in (Nakicenovic et al., 2000; Watson et al., 2001; Pachauri and Reisinger, 2008;

IPCC, 2014). Only sixty-two of the 131 selected studies used IPCC scenarios. Among these sixty-two studies, forty-three used a single scenario and nineteen used multiple IPCC scenarios. Twenty-seven studies dynamically downscaled IPCC climate change predictions with regional ocean models such as the Regional Ocean Modelling System (ROMS) to get daily local predictions (Carozza et al., 2019; Laurent et al., 2018) and one study considered statistical downscaling (Brown et al., 2016). Seven studies forced experiments with prescribed atmospheric CO₂, increased by 1% per year to double or quadruple its initial concentration and constant afterwards (Park et al., 2015; Yamamoto et al., 2018) for future predictions. Eleven studies used prescribed atmospheric CO₂ from observational data (Wallhead et al., 2017; Van Oostende et al., 2018) to force experiments for hindcast runs.

The use of only one IPCC scenario provides limited information regarding uncertainties, but has a lower computational cost than using multiple scenarios. Downscaled climate predictions are suitable for policy decisions as they offer better temporal and spatial resolutions. Downscaling involves the use of information from broad-scale ($\approx 200\text{km}$ grids) General Circulation Models (GCMs) to predict climate change impacts on regional scales ($\approx 10\text{--}50\text{km}$) (Queensland Water Modelling Network). Dynamical downscaling is the use of high-resolution regional models to simulate broad-scale climate change processes on regional or local scales. Dynamical downscaling allows explicit representation of physical principles that influence climate conditions, has high computational cost and is sensitive to large-scale biases (Queensland Water Modelling Network). On the other hand, statistical downscaling uses statistical techniques to relate local climate observations to GCM outputs and produce new environmental conditions. Statistical downscaling is cost-effective, but is more likely to produce incorrect results than dynamical downscaling due to the ability of climate change to alter the statistical relationships, or large-scale climate features used as predictors are not well captured by GCMs or have strong biases (Queensland Water Modelling Network).

4.4. Scenario Analysis

Most studies compared scenarios of baseline reference of natural and current conditions against a group of scenarios representing perturbed conditions. This comparison isolates the impacts of the perturbations.

4.5. Meteorological conditions

4.5.1. Air temperature

Twelve studies represented changes in meteorological conditions as projections of climate models under high air temperatures. Two of these studies directly increased air temperature (Guyondet et al., 2015; Laurent et al., 2018). Laurent et al. (2018) represented changes in meteorological conditions as projections of a climate model forced with 3°C warmer air temperature and 10% river discharge increase. The 3°C increase in air temperature was projected by the same model used for the future run under the same IPCC scenario. Likewise, a 4°C increase in air temperature and a 10% increase in river discharge were used to force the 2050 scenario run in (Guyondet et al., 2015). This approach eliminates complexity and uncertainties associated with climate model runs such as model and forcing incompatibilities.

It is computationally cost-effective, but could overestimate or underestimate climate change impacts.

4.5.2. Changes in wind speed

Changes in wind speed/stress were considered in only five studies. [Jiang and Xia \(2018\)](#) interpolated wind data from the National Center for Environmental Prediction/North America Regional Reanalysis (NCEP/NARR) and directly increased/decreased the magnitude of Southerly winds on the shelf for wind scenarios. Two studies obtained monthly and daily wind stress climatology from satellite data. In particular, [Lachkar et al. \(2018\)](#) forced their model with satellite data under nine wind stress scenarios by directly increasing and decreasing wind stress seasonally and annually, while [Mogollón and R. Calil \(2018\)](#) ran future model runs under increased winds scenario by directly increasing the zonal and meridional wind stress components. These studies found that changes in wind speed impact marine ecosystems. Increased wind speed reduced the residence time in coastal regions, increased phytoplankton production and nutrient export, and expanded offshore plumes ([Mogollón and R. Calil, 2018](#); [Jiang and Xia, 2018](#)). [Lachkar et al. \(2018\)](#) identified the summer monsoon wind as the major driver of change in the size and intensity of the Arabian Sea oxygen minimum zone (OMZ). Strong monsoon winds expand the OMZ due to increased oxygen consumption resulting from increased productivity. Further, changing Indian monsoon influences the biological pump and the nitrogen cycle on a longer timescale, as intensified winds increased N₂O production, thereby exacerbating climate change.

Changes in cloud cover, storm intensity and storm frequency were not considered as forcings for the biogeochemical models in any of the studies reviewed. This means that the current state of the art in biogeochemical modelling of climate change impacts does not consider the effects of changes in incident light on photosynthesis, nor the effects of storms on vertical mixing, sediment resuspension or shear stress, which can directly damage seagrass and coral habitats.

4.6. River flows, sediment and nutrient loads

Of the 131 studies reviewed, forty-nine studies considered the effects of modified river discharge as boundary conditions and forty examined changes in nutrient loads. Changes in river discharge were modelled using four main approaches, and changes in nutrient loads using five main methods (Table 2).

4.7. Ocean transport processes

None of the modelling studies included in the literature reviewed explicitly considered changes in ocean stratification, upwelling or ocean currents as forcing factors. However, thirteen studies used global or downscaled Earth System Model outputs to force biogeochemical models ([Vancoppenolle et al., 2013](#); [Hodgson et al., 2018](#); [Park et al., 2015](#); [Kwiatkowski et al., 2019](#)) and may have implicitly taken the effects of these ocean transport processes into account.

Table 2: Summary of the approaches used to model the impacts of changes in river discharge and nutrient loads on marine ecosystems.

Consideration	Approach	Advantages/Disadvantages	Number of studies using this approach	Citations
	Application of past river discharge datasets.	Computationally cost-efficient. Suitable for hindcast runs and model validation. Limited observational datasets.	23	(Ruiz et al., 2013), (Guyennon et al., 2015), (Laurent et al., 2017), (Strååt et al., 2018), (Holzwarth and Wirtz, 2018).
	Fixed percent increase or decrease in river discharge data based on climate predictions.	Computationally cost-efficient. Eliminates complexity and uncertainties associated with climate model runs such as model and forcing incompatibilities. May overestimate/underestimate the impacts of changes in river discharge.	11	(Tanaka et al., 2013), (Guyondet et al., 2015), (Wakelin et al., 2015), (Erenad-Shahidi et al., 2015), (Brown et al., 2016).
Changes in river discharge	Use of a statistical model to determine input river discharge.	Based on the relationship between observations of inputs and outputs of the modelled system. Does not require detailed information on physical processes. Computationally cost-efficient. Can omit important factors influencing river discharge changes.	5	(Allin et al., 2017), (Bauer et al., 2018).
	Application of a hydrological model under climate change scenarios to obtain input river discharge.	Requires detailed information on physical processes, thus provides an understanding of hydrological processes affecting river discharge behaviour. Captures the impacts of climate variability. Most accurate in simulating river discharge changes. Large computational cost. Existence of complexity and uncertainties associated with climate model runs.	10	(Lazzari et al., 2014), (Gibert et al., 2014), (Fernandes et al., 2015), (Feng et al., 2015), (Ryabchenko et al., 2016). 22
	Application of past river nutrient loads datasets.	Suitable for hindcast runs and model validation. Limited observational datasets.	17	(Herrmann et al., 2013), (Bianucci et al., 2015) (Meier et al., 2017), (Laurent et al., 2017) (Van Oostende et al., 2018).
	Fixed nutrient loads or fixed nutrient concentrations.	Allows the manifestation of climate change impacts. May overestimate or underestimate the impacts of climate change on riverine nutrient loads.	2	(Gibert et al., 2014), (Jiang and Xia, 2018).
Changes in nutrient loads	Fixed percent increase or decrease of nutrient loads.	Computationally cost-efficient. Can overestimate/underestimate the impacts of changes in nutrient loads.	8	(Hardman-Mountford et al., 2013), (Guyondet et al., 2015) (Wakelin et al., 2015), (Ryabchenko et al., 2016).
	Synthesis of input nutrient loads using a statistical model	Captures statistical features of nutrient load variability. Computationally cost-efficient. Can omit important factors affecting changes in nutrient loads.	3	(Allin et al., 2017), (Bauer et al., 2018).
	Application of a hydrological model under climate change scenarios to produce input nutrient load time series.	Captures the impacts of climate variability. Most accurate in simulating nutrient load changes. Large computational cost. Existence of complexity and uncertainties associated with climate model runs.	10	(Lessin et al., 2014), (Meire et al., 2013), (Lazzari et al., 2014), (Fernandes et al., 2015), (Trby et al., 2018).

4.8. Water temperature

Fifty-five studies simulated changes in water temperature in response to changes in meteorological conditions represented as IPCC climate change projections from global climate models. Five studies directly increased water temperature based on future climate predictions. [Fulton et al. \(2018\)](#) applied 0.2°C, 0.5°C, 1.0°C and 2.0°C water temperature increases simulated under RCP scenarios at the end of 2050 and [Irby et al. \(2018\)](#) directly applied a 1.75°C increase in water temperature by mid-century. Three studies directly applied the time series of monthly water temperature from observational data ([Lachkar et al., 2018](#); [Holzwarth and Wirtz, 2018](#); [Shen et al., 2019](#)). Direct increase of water temperature by [Irby et al. \(2018\)](#) is consistent with temperature predictions from downscaled global climate models ([Muhling et al., 2018](#)), but it is greater than observed temperature and slightly lower than the temperature predictions from a high resolution climate model ([Saba et al., 2016](#)). This approach reduces the complexity and computational cost of creating downscaled climate predictions but has the potential to produce misleading results by overestimating or underestimating the impacts of warming. Therefore, for better accuracy, changes in water temperature should ideally be dynamically simulated when developing scenarios for biogeochemical modelling.

4.9. Ocean acidification

As mentioned in Section 3.5, increased CO₂ ocean uptake alters carbonate chemistry which directly affects marine calcifiers and indirectly affects their predators. Six studies considered effects of changes in pCO₂ as ocean acidification effects on marine biota. They predict declines in primary production ([Yool et al., 2013](#)), fish and invertebrates growth ([Cornwall and Eddy, 2015](#)), the biomass of low pH sensitive benthic biota and the abundance of demersal fish, sharks and epibenthic invertebrates that feed on these benthic biota ([Marshall et al., 2017](#); [Fay et al., 2017](#)). [Van Oostende et al. \(2018\)](#) project a shift to a pelagic-oriented marine ecosystem.

4.10. Adaptations of biota and ecosystems to climate change

The adaptation of ecosystems to climate change was modelled in three studies as phytoplankton adaptation to varying stoichiometry ([Glibert et al., 2014](#); [Kwiatkowski et al., 2019](#)) and as the alignment of whale distribution to changing prey (krill) distributions ([Tulloch et al., 2019](#)).

Twelve studies modified their model algorithms to simulate changes in responses in changed-climate conditions. [Tulloch et al. \(2018, 2019\)](#) introduced a climate-growth parameter and a predator-prey interaction term to explore krill response to food availability and physical changes, and the effects of prey availability on the survival of baleen whales, respectively. [Kwiatkowski et al. \(2019\)](#) considered varying stoichiometry of phytoplankton and the habitat capacity model was incorporated into Ecospace to describe the response of functional groups to changing environmental drivers in ([Bauer et al., 2018](#)). [Irby et al. \(2018\)](#) introduced temperature dependence to rates for phytoplankton/zooplankton growth, nitrification, detrital solubilisation and remineralisation, while optimum, minimum and maximum temperatures of species were converted to species temperature response functions ([Serpetti](#)

et al., 2017). These functions were centred on the optimum temperature and thermal tolerance of species. Finally, pteropods were considered as individual functional groups to allow the investigation of pteropods as indicators of trophic shifts under climate change (Suprenand and Ainsworth, 2017).

4.11. *Sea-level rise*

Five studies considered sea-level rise (Etemad-Shahidi et al., 2015; Brown et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017; Meier et al., 2017; Irby et al., 2018). In these studies, sea level was directly increased in scenario runs based on projected sea-level rises. Direct increase of sea level instead of the use of runs with continuous sea-level rise enables the study of equilibrium conditions without overlying long-term trends.

Depending on location, sea-level rise was found to increase salinity, residence time, sea water intrusion, the salinity intrusion depth, stratification and phosphate concentration. Increased residence time and sea water intrusion increased Chl a concentration and decreased total suspended solids (TSS), respectively, thereby enhancing phytoplankton growth (Wang et al., 2017; Meier et al., 2017). Further, increased stratification amplified hypoxia in bottom waters (Meier et al., 2017; Irby et al., 2018). On the other hand, Etemad-Shahidi et al. (2015) suggest that sea-level rise is likely to reduce warming in the lower part of the estuary because of enhanced inflow of cold bottom ocean waters.

4.12. *Changes in human behaviour in response to climate change*

The impacts of climate change on marine ecosystems can be amplified by human activities. Currently, oceans have active fishing vessels and exploited species are overfished, thereby reducing fish stock (Pauly and Zeller, 2016). Untreated sewage discharge and industrial waste from highly populated, industrialised cities, and nutrients from agricultural land use increase riverine nutrient loads and chemicals. Therefore, to effectively model the responses of marine ecosystems to impacts of climate change it is important to consider the direct and indirect effects of population growth, resource demands and use over model projection time frames. To this end, twenty-nine studies considered the impacts of changes in fishing efforts, nutrient loads, land-based and marine-based management practices, and fishing vessel activities alongside climate change impacts (Tian et al., 2015; Bauer et al., 2018; Holzwarth and Wirtz, 2018; Carozza et al., 2019). They found that intense fishing reduced the abundance of high trophic species and increased the abundance of low trophic species. They suggest that sustainable land use and effective management policies could help improve marine ecosystem functions under future climate change. Finally, increased nutrient loads enhanced hypoxia in bottom waters, while reduced nutrient loads expanded fishing habitats (Bauer et al., 2018).

4.12.1. *Other forcing factors*

Thirty nine studies obtained atmospheric forcing from observational data (Lachkar et al., 2018; Mogollón and R. Calil, 2018; Laurent et al., 2018) and existing reanalysis datasets, while other studies obtained atmospheric forcing data from climate model outputs (Barange et al., 2017; Nakamura et al., 2018). Klein et al. (2018) forced their model with climatological

Chl *a* data from SeaWiFs within the model domain. Four studies obtained tidal forcing from a tidal model (Wakelin et al., 2015; Brown et al., 2016; Shen et al., 2019) and observed changes in greenhouse gases and aerosol concentrations were used to force the climate model in (Richon et al., 2019). Tanaka et al. (2013) scaled surface radiation by 10% and assumed a 10% decrease in water mixing for future climate predictions. Seven studies forced ecosystem models with simulated primary production (Suprenand and Ainsworth, 2017; Piroddi et al., 2017; Stähler et al., 2019). Ortega-Cisneros et al. (2018) forced the Atlantis model with fish mortality rates to easily construct multiple scenarios and compare results with the Ecopath with Ecosim and Ecospace (EwE) model. The integration of simulated primary production eliminates IPCC scenario complexities associated with data accessibility and model output reliability.

5. What has been neglected? Where to from here?

At present, the representation of climate change impacts on marine response models is missing some key factors. Future models need to include important marine ecosystem parameters that are modified by climate change. For instance, changes in cloud cover, storm intensity and frequency, ocean stratification, upwelling and ocean currents were not considered as forcings in the reviewed literature. Few studies considered sea-level rise and changes in wind speed. It has been shown that reduced sea ice cover resulting from reduced cloud cover could increase SST (Liu et al., 2009). Also, warming and sea-level rise have been shown to affect storm intensity and frequency, and exacerbate coastal flooding (Iwamura et al., 2013; Bhattachan et al., 2018). Therefore, we could be missing some important aspects of climate change by excluding these changing environmental factors since they have been shown to affect marine ecosystems.

Better observational datasets are needed for accurate predictions of marine ecosystem responses to climate change impacts and for model assessment. Limited observational datasets hinder the effective assessment of models since for better model evaluation and uncertainty analysis calibration datasets need to be independent of validation datasets (Flynn and McGillicuddy, 2018). Improved collection of detailed and long-term observational datasets would help improve the assessment, accuracy and reliability of marine ecosystem response model predictions.

A good number of studies considered IPCC scenarios, but few studies considered the impacts of climate change under multiple IPCC scenarios. Future studies should where possible include multiple IPCC scenarios and utilise ensemble modelling approaches to capture essential effects of climate change in marine ecosystems and for better uncertainty analysis.

Some studies coupled ecosystem/biogeochemical models with physical-ocean-atmosphere models with reasonable computational efficiency (Richon et al., 2019; Hodgson et al., 2018; Mogollón and R. Calil, 2018). Regional climate models were coupled with hydrological models to represent changes in river discharge and nutrient loads as boundary conditions (Fernandes et al., 2015; Feng et al., 2015; Ryabchenko et al., 2016). Coupling regional models with hydrological models at catchment scales captures climate variability and provides

information on underlying hydrological processes. Future modelling studies should continue to follow this modelling practice for improved accuracy of model predictions.

Finally, the adaptation of marine organisms to changing environmental conditions has so far been considered by very few modelling studies and should be a priority for future model development.

Funding Information

AIMS@JCU PhD Scholarship and Queensland Water Modelling Network (QWMN) Innovation Associate Program

Acknowledgements

Thanks to A/Prof Scott Smithers (JCU), Dr Stephen Lewis (JCU), Dr Mathieu Mongin (CSIRO) and Rae Quinlan (GHD) for comments on a draft which helped improve this manuscript. Thanks to A/Prof Scott Smithers, Dr Stephen Lewis and especially the late Dr Jon Brodie for their support as part of the PhD supervision team of Chinenye J. Ani. Special thanks to AIMS@JCU and QWMN for funding this research.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information can be found in the supporting information for this article.

References

- R. Albright, K. R. Anthony, M. Baird, R. Beeden, M. Byrne, C. Collier, S. Dove, K. Fabricius, O. Hoegh-Guldberg, R. P. Kelly, et al. Ocean acidification: Linking science to management solutions using the Great Barrier Reef as a case study. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 182:641–650, 2016.
- M. Alcaraz, J. Felipe, U. Grote, E. Arashkevich, and A. Nikishina. Life in a warming ocean: thermal thresholds and metabolic balance of Arctic zooplankton. *Journal of Plankton Research*, 36(1):3–10, 2014.
- A.-C. Alderkamp, E. Sintès, and G. J. Herndl. Abundance and activity of major groups of prokaryotic plankton in the coastal North Sea during spring and summer. *Aquatic microbial ecology*, 45(3):237–246, 2006.
- C. Alessi, F. Giomi, F. Furnari, G. Sarà, R. Chemello, and M. Milazzo. Ocean acidification and elevated temperature negatively affect recruitment, oxygen consumption and calcification of the reef-building *Den-dropoma cristatum* early life stages: Evidence from a manipulative field study. *Science of The Total Environment*, 693:133476, 2019.
- A. Allin, G. Schernewski, R. Friedland, T. Neumann, and H. Radtke. Climate change effects on denitrification and associated avoidance costs in three Baltic river basin-coastal sea systems. *Journal of Coastal Conservation*, 21(4):561–569, 2017.
- A. Andersson, P. Haecky, and Å. Hagström. Effect of temperature and light on the growth of micro-nano-and pico-plankton: impact on algal succession. *Marine Biology*, 120(4):511–520, 1994.
- A. Andersson, I. Kuffner, F. Mackenzie, P. Jokiel, K. Rodgers, and A. Tan. Net Loss of CaCO₃ from a subtropical calcifying community due to seawater acidification: mesocosm-scale experimental evidence. *Biogeosciences*, 6(8):1811–1823, 2009.

- K. R. Anthony, S. R. Connolly, and O. Hoegh-Guldberg. Bleaching, energetics, and coral mortality risk: Effects of temperature, light, and sediment regime. *Limnology and oceanography*, 52(2):716–726, 2007.
- G. B. Arhonditsis and M. T. Brett. Evaluation of the current state of mechanistic aquatic biogeochemical modeling. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 271:13–26, 2004.
- A. Arias-Ortiz, O. Serrano, P. Masqué, P. S. Lavery, U. Mueller, G. A. Kendrick, M. Rozaimi, A. Esteban, J. W. Fourqurean, N. Marbà, et al. A marine heatwave drives massive losses from the world’s largest seagrass carbon stocks. *Nature Climate Change*, 8(4):338, 2018.
- N. W. Arnell and S. N. Gosling. The impacts of climate change on river flow regimes at the global scale. *Journal of Hydrology*, 486:351–364, 2013.
- Australian Community Climate and Earth-System Simulator. ACCESS NWP Data Information. <http://www.bom.gov.au/nwp/doc/access/NWPData.shtml>, Accessed September 2019.
- R. C. Babcock, J. M. Dambacher, E. B. Morello, É. E. Plagányi, K. R. Hayes, H. P. Sweatman, and M. S. Pratchett. Assessing different causes of crown-of-thorns starfish outbreaks and appropriate responses for management on the Great Barrier Reef. *PLoS One*, 11(12):e0169048, 2016.
- A. Bakun. Global climate change and intensification of coastal ocean upwelling. *Science*, 247(4939):198–201, 1990.
- A. Bakun, B. A. Black, S. J. Bograd, M. Garcia-Reyes, A. J. Miller, R. R. Rykaczewski, and W. J. Sydeman. Anticipated effects of climate change on coastal upwelling ecosystems. *Current Climate Change Reports*, 1(2):85–93, 2015.
- M. Barange, M. Butenschön, A. Yool, N. Beaumont, J. A. Fernandes, A. P. Martin, and J. Allen. The cost of reducing the North Atlantic Ocean biological carbon pump. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 3:290, 2017.
- H. C. Barkley, A. L. Cohen, N. R. Mollica, R. E. Brainard, H. E. Rivera, T. M. DeCarlo, G. P. Lohmann, E. J. Drenkard, A. E. Alpert, C. W. Young, et al. Repeat bleaching of a central Pacific coral reef over the past six decades (1960–2016). *Communications biology*, 1(1):1–10, 2018.
- N. R. Bates, Y. M. Astor, M. J. Church, K. Currie, J. E. Dore, M. González-Dávila, L. Lorenzoni, F. Muller-Karger, J. Olafsson, and J. M. Santana-Casiano. A Time-Series View of Changing Surface Ocean Chemistry Due to Ocean Uptake of Anthropogenic CO₂ and Ocean Acidification. *Oceanography*, 27(1):126–141, 2014.
- B. Bauer, H. M. Meier, M. Casini, A. Hoff, P. Margoński, A. Orio, S. Saraiva, J. Steenbeek, M. T. Tomczak, and H. editor: Marta Coll. Reducing eutrophication increases spatial extent of communities supporting commercial fisheries: a model case study. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 75(4):1306–1317, 2018.
- R. Beeden, J. Maynard, M. Puotinen, P. Marshall, J. Dryden, J. Goldberg, and G. Williams. Impacts and recovery from severe tropical Cyclone Yasi on the Great Barrier Reef. *PloS one*, 10(4):e0121272, 2015.
- M. J. Behrenfeld, R. T. O’Malley, D. A. Siegel, C. R. McClain, J. L. Sarmiento, G. C. Feldman, A. J. Milligan, P. G. Falkowski, R. M. Letelier, and E. S. Boss. Climate-driven trends in contemporary ocean productivity. *Nature*, 444(7120):752–755, 2006.
- P. R. Bell, P. J. Uwins, I. Elmetri, J. A. Phillips, F.-X. Fu, and A. J. Yago. Laboratory culture studies of *Trichodesmium* isolated from the Great Barrier Reef Lagoon, Australia. *Hydrobiologia*, 532(1-3):9–21, 2005.
- E. M. Bendif, I. Probert, J. R. Young, and P. von Dassow. Morphological and phylogenetic characterization of new *Gephyrocapsa* isolates suggests introgressive hybridization in the *Emiliania/Gephyrocapsa* complex (haptophyta). *Protist*, 166(3):323–336, 2015.
- L. Bengtsson, M. Botzet, and M. Esch. Will greenhouse gas-induced warming over the next 50 years lead to higher frequency and greater intensity of hurricanes? *Tellus A*, 48(1):57–73, 1996.
- J. R. Bermúdez, U. Riebesell, A. Larsen, and M. Winder. Ocean acidification reduces transfer of essential biomolecules in a natural plankton community. *Scientific reports*, 6:27749, 2016.
- A. Bhattachan, M. Jurjonas, A. Moody, P. Morris, G. Sanchez, L. Smart, P. Taillie, R. Emanuel, and E. Seekamp. Sea level rise impacts on rural coastal social-ecological systems and the implications for decision making. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 90:122–134, 2018.
- L. Bianucci, K. Fennel, D. Chabot, N. Shackell, and D. Lavoie. Ocean biogeochemical models as management tools: a case study for Atlantic wolffish and declining oxygen. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 73(2):

263–274, 2015.

- J. Borum, O. Pedersen, L. Kotula, M. W. Fraser, J. Statton, T. D. Colmer, and G. A. Kendrick. Photosynthetic response to globally increasing CO₂ of co-occurring temperate seagrass species. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 39(6):1240–1250, 2016.
- D. Böttjer, D. M. Karl, R. M. Letelier, D. A. Viviani, and M. J. Church. Experimental assessment of diazotroph responses to elevated seawater pCO₂ in the North Pacific Subtropical Gyre. *Global biogeochemical cycles*, 28(6):601–616, 2014.
- P. W. Boyd, T. A. Ryneerson, E. A. Armstrong, F. Fu, K. Hayashi, Z. Hu, D. A. Hutchins, R. M. Kudela, E. Litchman, M. R. Mulholland, et al. Marine phytoplankton temperature versus growth responses from polar to tropical waters—outcome of a scientific community-wide study. *PLoS one*, 8(5):e63091, 2013.
- R. E. Brainard, T. Oliver, M. J. McPhaden, A. Cohen, R. Venegas, A. Heenan, B. Vargas-Ángel, R. Rotjan, S. Mangubhai, E. Flint, et al. Ecological impacts of the 2015/16 El Niño in the central equatorial Pacific. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 99(1):S21–S26, 2018.
- E. Breitbarth, A. Oschlies, and J. LaRoche. Physiological constraints on the global distribution of *Trichodesmium*? effect of temperature on diazotrophy. *Biogeosciences*, 4(1):53–61, 2007.
- A. J. Broccoli and S. Manabe. Can existing climate models be used to study anthropogenic changes in tropical cyclone climate? *Geophysical Research Letters*, 17(11):1917–1920, 1990.
- J. Brodie, T. Schroeder, K. Rohde, J. Faithful, B. Masters, A. Dekker, V. Brando, and M. Maughan. Dispersal of suspended sediments and nutrients in the Great Barrier Reef lagoon during river-discharge events: conclusions from satellite remote sensing and concurrent flood-plume sampling. *Marine and Freshwater Research*, 61(6):651–664, 2010.
- J. Brodie, M. Devlin, D. Haynes, and J. Waterhouse. Assessment of the eutrophication status of the Great Barrier Reef lagoon (Australia). *Biogeochemistry*, 106(2):281–302, 2011.
- J. E. Brodie. *2013 Scientific Consensus Statement: Land Use Impacts on the Great Barrier Reef Water Quality and Ecosystem Condition*. Reef Water Quality Protection Plan Secretariat, 2013.
- B. Brown. Coral bleaching: causes and consequences. *Coral reefs*, 16(1):S129–S138, 1997.
- C. A. Brown, D. Sharp, and T. C. M. Collura. Effect of climate change on water temperature and attainment of water temperature criteria in the Yaquina Estuary, Oregon (USA). *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 169:136–146, 2016.
- J. F. Bruno, A. E. Bates, C. Cacciapaglia, E. P. Pike, S. C. Amstrup, R. van Hooidonk, S. A. Henson, and R. B. Aronson. Climate change threatens the world’s marine protected areas. *Nature Climate Change*, 8(6):499–503, 2018.
- A. Bryndum-Buchholz, D. P. Tittensor, J. L. Blanchard, W. W. Cheung, M. Coll, E. D. Galbraith, S. Jennings, O. Maury, and H. K. Lotze. Twenty-first-century climate change impacts on marine animal biomass and ecosystem structure across ocean basins. *Global change biology*, 25(2):459–472, 2019.
- A. Buchan, J. M. González, and M. A. Moran. Overview of the marine Roseobacter lineage. *Applied and environmental microbiology*, 71(10):5665–5677, 2005.
- P. Buerger, C. Alvarez-Roa, C. Coppin, S. Pearce, L. Chakravarti, J. Oakeshott, O. Edwards, and M. van Oppen. Heat-evolved microalgal symbionts increase coral bleaching tolerance. *Science Advances*, 6(20):eaba2498, 2020.
- D. A. Bulthuis. Effects of temperature on photosynthesis and growth of seagrasses. *Aquatic Botany*, 27(1):27–40, 1987.
- O. W. Burnell, S. D. Connell, A. D. Irving, J. R. Watling, and B. D. Russell. Contemporary reliance on bicarbonate acquisition predicts increased growth of seagrass *Amphibolis antarctica* in a high-CO₂ world. *Conservation physiology*, 2(1), 2014.
- J. A. Burt, F. Paparella, N. Al-Mansoori, A. Al-Mansoori, and H. Al-Jailani. Causes and consequences of the 2017 coral bleaching event in the southern Persian/Arabian Gulf. *Coral Reefs*, 38(4):567–589, 2019.
- A. Capotondi, M. A. Alexander, N. A. Bond, E. N. Curchitser, and J. D. Scott. Enhanced upper ocean stratification with climate change in the CMIP3 models. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 117(C04031), 2012.
- D. A. Carozza, D. Bianchi, and E. D. Galbraith. Metabolic impacts of climate change on marine ecosystems:

- Implications for fish communities and fisheries. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 28(2):158–169, 2019.
- E. J. Carpenter. Brackish-water phytoplankton response to temperature elevation. *Estuarine and Coastal Marine Science*, 1(1):37–44, 1973.
- J. P. Carricart-Ganivet. Sea surface temperature and the growth of the West Atlantic reef-building coral *Montastraea annularis*. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 302(2):249–260, 2004.
- K. Castillo and B. Helmuth. Influence of thermal history on the response of *Montastraea annularis* to short-term temperature exposure. *Marine Biology*, 148(2):261–270, 2005.
- L. M. Cavole, A. M. Demko, R. E. Diner, A. Giddings, I. Koester, C. M. Pagniello, M.-L. Paulsen, A. Ramirez-Valdez, S. M. Schwenck, N. K. Yen, et al. Biological impacts of the 2013–2015 warm-water anomaly in the Northeast Pacific: winners, losers, and the future. *Oceanography*, 29(2):273–285, 2016.
- N. C. Chan and S. R. Connolly. Sensitivity of coral calcification to ocean acidification: a meta-analysis. *Global change biology*, 19(1):282–290, 2013.
- A. J. Cheal, M. A. MacNeil, M. J. Emslie, and H. Sweatman. The threat to coral reefs from more intense cyclones under climate change. *Global change biology*, 23(4):1511–1524, 2017.
- C.-C. Chen, G.-C. Gong, and F.-K. Shiah. Hypoxia in the East China Sea: one of the largest coastal low-oxygen areas in the world. *Marine Environmental Research*, 64(4):399–408, 2007.
- C. Collier and M. Waycott. Temperature extremes reduce seagrass growth and induce mortality. *Marine pollution bulletin*, 83(2):483–490, 2014.
- C. J. Collier, Y. X. Ow, L. Langlois, S. Uthicke, C. L. Johansson, K. R. O’Brien, V. Hrebien, and M. P. Adams. Optimum temperatures for net primary productivity of three tropical seagrass species. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 8:1446, 2017.
- C. J. Collier, L. Langlois, Y. Ow, C. Johansson, M. Giammusso, M. P. Adams, K. R. O’Brien, and S. Uthicke. Losing a winner: thermal stress and local pressures outweigh the positive effects of ocean acidification for tropical seagrasses. *New Phytologist*, 219(3):1005–1017, 2018.
- S. Comeau, R. C. Carpenter, and P. J. Edmunds. Effects of irradiance on the response of the coral *Acropora pulchra* and the calcifying alga *Hydrolithon reinboldii* to temperature elevation and ocean acidification. *Journal of experimental marine biology and ecology*, 453:28–35, 2014.
- C. E. Cornwall and T. D. Eddy. Effects of near-future ocean acidification, fishing, and marine protection on a temperate coastal ecosystem. *Conservation Biology*, 29(1):207–215, 2015.
- F. T. Dahlke, M. Butzin, J. Nahrgang, V. Puvanendran, A. Mortensen, H.-O. Pörtner, and D. Storch. Northern cod species face spawning habitat losses if global warming exceeds 1.5°C. *Science advances*, 4(11):eaas8821, 2018.
- S. E. Darby, J. Leyland, M. Kummu, T. A. Räsänen, and H. Lauri. Decoding the drivers of bank erosion on the Mekong river: The roles of the Asian monsoon, tropical storms, and snowmelt. *Water Resources Research*, 49(4):2146–2163, 2013.
- S. E. Darby, C. R. Hackney, J. Leyland, M. Kummu, H. Lauri, D. R. Parsons, J. L. Best, A. P. Nicholas, and R. Aalto. Fluvial sediment supply to a mega-delta reduced by shifting tropical-cyclone activity. *Nature*, 539(7628):276–279, 2016.
- G. De’ath, J. M. Lough, and K. E. Fabricius. Declining coral calcification on the Great Barrier Reef. *Science*, 323(5910):116–119, 2009.
- G. De’ath, K. E. Fabricius, H. Sweatman, and M. Puotinen. The 27-year decline of coral cover on the Great Barrier Reef and its causes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(44):17995–17999, 2012.
- G. De’ath, K. Fabricius, and J. Lough. Yes-Coral calcification rates have decreased in the last twenty-five years! *Marine Geology*, 346:400–402, 2013.
- Deloitte. <http://www2.deloitte.com/au/en/pages/economics/articles/great-barrier-reef.html>, Accessed 1 September 2020.
- C. Deutsch, H. Brix, T. Ito, H. Frenzel, and L. Thompson. Climate-forced variability of ocean hypoxia. *science*, 333(6040):336–339, 2011.
- M. Devlin, L. McKinna, J. Alvarez-Romero, C. Petus, B. Abbott, P. Harkness, and J. Brodie. Mapping the pollutants in surface riverine flood plume waters in the Great Barrier Reef, Australia. *Marine pollution bulletin*, 65(4-9):224–235, 2012.

- S. C. Doney, V. J. Fabry, R. A. Feely, and J. A. Kleypas. Ocean acidification: the other CO₂ problem. *Annual Review of Marine Science*, 1:169–192, 2009.
- J. Du, J. Shen, K. Park, Y. P. Wang, and X. Yu. Worsened physical condition due to climate change contributes to the increasing hypoxia in Chesapeake Bay. *Science of the Total Environment*, 630:707–717, 2018.
- S. Dutkiewicz, J. R. Scott, and M. Follows. Winners and losers: Ecological and biogeochemical changes in a warming ocean. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 27(2):463–477, 2013.
- P. Edmunds, R. Gates, and D. Gleason. The biology of larvae from the reef coral *Porites astreoides*, and their response to temperature disturbances. *Marine Biology*, 139(5):981–989, 2001.
- K. F. Edwards, M. K. Thomas, C. A. Klausmeier, and E. Litchman. Phytoplankton growth and the interaction of light and temperature: A synthesis at the species and community level. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 61(4):1232–1244, 2016.
- M. Eichner, S. A. Kranz, and B. Rost. Combined effects of different CO₂ levels and N sources on the diazotrophic cyanobacterium *Trichodesmium*. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 152(2):316–330, 2014.
- A. Etemad-Shahidi, M. Rohani, J. Parsa, and C. Lemckert. Effects of sea level rise on the salinity of Bahmanshir estuary. *International journal of environmental science and technology*, 12(10):3329–3340, 2015.
- A. S. Evans, K. L. Webb, and P. A. Penhale. Photosynthetic temperature acclimation in two coexisting seagrasses, *Zostera marina* L. and *Ruppia maritima* L. *Aquatic Botany*, 24(2):185–197, 1986.
- J. L. Evans and R. J. Allan. El Niño/Southern Oscillation modification to the structure of the monsoon and tropical cyclone activity in the Australasian region. *International Journal of Climatology*, 12(6):611–623, 1992.
- K. E. Fabricius, C. Langdon, S. Uthicke, C. Humphrey, S. Noonan, G. De’ath, R. Okazaki, N. Muehllehner, M. S. Glas, and J. M. Lough. Losers and winners in coral reefs acclimatized to elevated carbon dioxide concentrations. *Nature Climate Change*, 1(3):165–169, 2011.
- V. J. Fabry, B. A. Seibel, R. A. Feely, and J. C. Orr. Impacts of ocean acidification on marine fauna and ecosystem processes. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 65(3):414–432, 2008.
- P. G. Falkowski and M. J. Oliver. Mix and match: how climate selects phytoplankton. *Nature reviews microbiology*, 5(10):813–819, 2007.
- G. Fay, J. S. Link, and J. A. Hare. Assessing the effects of ocean acidification in the Northeast US using an end-to-end marine ecosystem model. *Ecological Modelling*, 347:1–10, 2017.
- R. A. Feely, C. L. Sabine, K. Lee, W. Berelson, J. Kleypas, V. J. Fabry, and F. J. Millero. Impact of anthropogenic CO₂ on the CaCO₃ system in the oceans. *Science*, 305(5682):362–366, 2004.
- Y. Feng, M. A. Friedrichs, J. Wilkin, H. Tian, Q. Yang, E. E. Hofmann, J. D. Wiggert, and R. R. Hood. Chesapeake Bay nitrogen fluxes derived from a land-estuarine ocean biogeochemical modeling system: Model description, evaluation, and nitrogen budgets. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 120(8):1666–1695, 2015.
- J. A. Fernandes, S. Kay, M. A. Hossain, M. Ahmed, W. W. Cheung, A. N. Lazar, and M. Barange. Projecting marine fish production and catch potential in Bangladesh in the 21st century under long-term environmental change and management scenarios. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 73(5):1357–1369, 2015.
- K. J. Flynn and D. J. McGillicuddy. Modeling marine harmful algal blooms: Current status and future prospects. *Harmful Algal Blooms: A Compendium Desk Reference*, pages 115–134, 2018.
- P. R. Frade, P. Bongaerts, N. Englebort, A. Rogers, M. Gonzalez-Rivero, and O. Hoegh-Guldberg. Deep reefs of the Great Barrier Reef offer limited thermal refuge during mass coral bleaching. *Nature Communications*, 9(1):1–8, 2018.
- G. T. French, L. F. Awosika, and C. Ibe. Sea-level rise and Nigeria: Potential impacts and consequences. *Journal of Coastal Research*, pages 224–242, 1995.
- F.-X. Fu, E. Yu, N. S. Garcia, J. Gale, Y. Luo, E. A. Webb, and D. A. Hutchins. Differing responses of marine N₂ fixers to warming and consequences for future diazotroph community structure. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology*, 72(1):33–46, 2014.

- E. Fulton, C. Bulman, H. Pethybridge, and S. Goldsworthy. Modelling the Great Australian Bight Ecosystem. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 157:211–235, 2018.
- Y. Gao, G. J. Smith, and R. S. Alberte. Temperature dependence of nitrate reductase activity in marine phytoplankton: biochemical analysis and ecological implications. *Journal of phycology*, 36(2):304–313, 2000.
- J.-P. Gattuso, A. Magnan, R. Billé, W. W. Cheung, E. L. Howes, F. Joos, D. Allemand, L. Bopp, S. R. Cooley, C. M. Eakin, et al. Contrasting futures for ocean and society from different anthropogenic CO₂ emissions scenarios. *Science*, 349(6243), 2015.
- J. A. George, D. J. Lonsdale, L. R. Merlo, and C. J. Gobler. The interactive roles of temperature, nutrients, and zooplankton grazing in controlling the winter–spring phytoplankton bloom in a temperate, coastal ecosystem, Long Island Sound. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 60(1):110–126, 2015.
- J. A. Gittings, D. E. Raitsos, G. Krokos, and I. Hoteit. Impacts of warming on phytoplankton abundance and phenology in a typical tropical marine ecosystem. *Scientific reports*, 8(1):1–12, 2018.
- P. M. Glibert, J. Icarus Allen, Y. Artioli, A. Beusen, L. Bouwman, J. Harle, R. Holmes, and J. Holt. Vulnerability of coastal ecosystems to changes in harmful algal bloom distribution in response to climate change: projections based on model analysis. *Global change biology*, 20(12):3845–3858, 2014.
- J. S. Grear, T. A. Rynearson, A. L. Montalbano, B. Govenar, and S. Menden-Deuer. pCO₂ effects on species composition and growth of an estuarine phytoplankton community. *Estuarine, coastal and shelf science*, 190:40–49, 2017.
- Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority. *Great Barrier Reef Outlook Report 2019*. Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, 2019.
- J. Guest, J. Low, K. Tun, B. Wilson, C. Ng, D. Raingeard, K. Ulstrup, J. T. I. Tanzil, P. Todd, T. Toh, et al. Coral community response to bleaching on a highly disturbed reef. *Scientific Reports*, 6(1):1–10, 2016.
- A. Guyennon, M. Baklouti, F. Diaz, J. Palmieri, J. Beuvier, C. Lebeaupin-Brossier, T. Arsouze, K. Béranger, J.-C. Dutay, and T. Moutin. New insights into the organic carbon export in the Mediterranean Sea from 3-D modeling. *Biogeosciences*, 12(23):7025–7046, 2015.
- T. Guyondet, L. Comeau, C. Bacher, J. Grant, R. Rosland, R. Sonier, and R. Filgueira. Climate change influences carrying capacity in a coastal embayment dedicated to shellfish aquaculture. *Estuaries and coasts*, 38(5):1593–1618, 2015.
- J. I. Hansen, K. Henriksen, and T. H. Blackburn. Seasonal distribution of nitrifying bacteria and rates of nitrification in coastal marine sediments. *Microbial Ecology*, 7(4):297–304, 1981.
- N. J. Hardman-Mountford, L. Polimene, T. Hirata, R. J. Brewin, and J. Aiken. Impacts of light shading and nutrient enrichment geo-engineering approaches on the productivity of a stratified, oligotrophic ocean ecosystem. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface*, 10(89):20130701, 2013.
- C. E. Hare, K. Leblanc, G. R. DiTullio, R. M. Kudela, Y. Zhang, P. A. Lee, S. Riseman, and D. A. Hutchins. Consequences of increased temperature and CO₂ for phytoplankton community structure in the Bering Sea. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 352:9–16, 2007.
- M. Herrmann, F. Diaz, C. Estournel, P. Marsaleix, and C. Ulses. Impact of atmospheric and oceanic inter-annual variability on the Northwestern Mediterranean Sea pelagic planktonic ecosystem and associated carbon cycle. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 118(10):5792–5813, 2013.
- M. Herzfeld. An alternative coordinate system for solving finite difference ocean models. *Ocean Modelling*, 14(3-4):174–196, 2006.
- H. G. Hidalgo, J. A. Amador, E. J. Alfaro, and B. Quesada. Hydrological climate change projections for Central America. *Journal of Hydrology*, 495:94–112, 2013.
- N. Hildebrandt, B. Niehoff, and F. J. Sartoris. Long-term effects of elevated CO₂ and temperature on the Arctic calanoid copepods *Calanus glacialis* and *C. hyperboreus*. *Marine pollution bulletin*, 80(1-2):59–70, 2014.
- E. E. Hodgson, I. C. Kaplan, K. N. Marshall, J. Leonard, T. E. Essington, D. S. Busch, E. A. Fulton, C. J. Harvey, A. Hermann, and P. McElhany. Consequences of spatially variable ocean acidification in the California Current: Lower pH drives strongest declines in benthic species in southern regions while

- greatest economic impacts occur in northern regions. *Ecological modelling*, 383:106–117, 2018.
- O. Hoegh-Guldberg, P. J. Mumby, A. J. Hooten, R. S. Steneck, P. Greenfield, E. Gomez, C. D. Harvell, P. F. Sale, A. J. Edwards, K. Caldeira, et al. Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification. *science*, 318(5857):1737–1742, 2007.
- A. M. Hogg, M. P. Meredith, D. P. Chambers, E. P. Abrahamson, C. W. Hughes, and A. K. Morrison. Recent trends in the southern ocean eddy field. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 120(1):257–267, 2015.
- I. Holzwarth and K. Wirtz. Anthropogenic impacts on estuarine oxygen dynamics: A model based evaluation. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 211:45–61, 2018.
- R. Hordoir and H. Meier. Effect of climate change on the thermal stratification of the Baltic Sea: a sensitivity experiment. *Climate Dynamics*, 38(9-10):1703–1713, 2012.
- W. W. Hsieh and G. J. Boer. Global climate change and ocean upwelling. *Fisheries Oceanography*, 1(4):333–338, 1992.
- M. H. Huesemann, A. D. Skillman, and E. A. Crecelius. The inhibition of marine nitrification by ocean disposal of carbon dioxide. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 44(2):142–148, 2002.
- T. P. Hughes, J. T. Kerry, M. Álvarez-Noriega, J. G. Álvarez-Romero, K. D. Anderson, A. H. Baird, R. C. Babcock, M. Beger, D. R. Bellwood, R. Berkelmans, et al. Global warming and recurrent mass bleaching of corals. *Nature*, 543(7645):373, 2017.
- T. P. Hughes, J. T. Kerry, A. H. Baird, S. R. Connolly, A. Dietzel, C. M. Eakin, S. F. Heron, A. S. Hoey, M. O. Hoogenboom, G. Liu, et al. Global warming transforms coral reef assemblages. *Nature*, 556(7702):492–496, 2018.
- M. D. Iglesias-Rodriguez, P. R. Halloran, R. E. Rickaby, I. R. Hall, E. Colmenero-Hidalgo, J. R. Gittins, D. R. Green, T. Tyrrell, S. J. Gibbs, P. von Dassow, et al. Phytoplankton calcification in a high-CO₂ world. *science*, 320(5874):336–340, 2008.
- T. Ikeda. Respiration and ammonia excretion by marine metazooplankton taxa: synthesis toward a global-bathymetric model. *Marine biology*, 161(12):2753–2766, 2014.
- T. Ikeda, Y. Kanno, K. Ozaki, and A. Shinada. Metabolic rates of epipelagic marine copepods as a function of body mass and temperature. *Marine Biology*, 139(3):587–596, 2001.
- IPCC. Climate change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the fifth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. page 151, 2014.
- I. D. Irby, M. A. Friedrichs, F. Da, and K. E. Hinson. The competing impacts of climate change and nutrient reductions on dissolved oxygen in Chesapeake Bay. *Biogeosciences*, 15(9):2649–2668, 2018.
- T. Iwamura, H. P. Possingham, I. Chadès, C. Minton, N. J. Murray, D. I. Rogers, E. A. Treml, and R. A. Fuller. Migratory connectivity magnifies the consequences of habitat loss from sea-level rise for shorebird populations. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 280(1761):20130325, 2013.
- A. B. Janssen, G. B. Arhonditsis, A. Beusen, K. Bolding, L. Bruce, J. Bruggeman, R.-M. Couture, A. S. Downing, J. A. Elliott, M. A. Frassl, et al. Exploring, exploiting and evolving diversity of aquatic ecosystem models: a community perspective. *Aquatic ecology*, 49(4):513–548, 2015.
- L. Jiang and M. Xia. Modeling investigation of the nutrient and phytoplankton variability in the Chesapeake Bay outflow plume. *Progress in oceanography*, 162:290–302, 2018.
- L. Jiang, H. Huang, X.-C. Yuan, T. Yuan, Y.-Y. Zhang, C. K.-C. Wen, X.-B. Li, and G.-W. Zhou. Effects of elevated pCO₂ on the post-settlement development of *Pocillopora damicornis*. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 473:169–175, 2015.
- P. Jokiel and S. Coles. Response of Hawaiian and other Indo-Pacific reef corals to elevated temperature. *Coral reefs*, 8(4):155–162, 1990.
- P. D. Jones, M. New, D. E. Parker, S. Martin, and I. G. Rigor. Surface air temperature and its changes over the past 150 years. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 37(2):173–199, 1999.
- S. Jurriaans and M. Hoogenboom. Thermal performance of scleractinian corals along a latitudinal gradient on the Great Barrier Reef. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, 374(1778):20180546, 2019.
- G. A. Kendrick, R. Nowicki, Y. S. Olsen, S. Strydom, M. W. Fraser, E. A. Sinclair, J. Statton, R. K. Hovey, J. A. Thomson, D. Burkholder, et al. A systematic review of how multiple stressors from an extreme event drove ecosystem-wide loss of resilience in an iconic seagrass community. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 6:

455, 2019.

- M. Keys, G. Tilstone, H. S. Findlay, C. E. Widdicombe, and T. Lawson. Effects of elevated CO₂ and temperature on phytoplankton community biomass, species composition and photosynthesis during an experimentally induced autumn bloom in the western English Channel. *Biogeosciences*, 15(10):3203–3222, 2018.
- E. S. Klein, S. L. Hill, J. T. Hinke, T. Phillips, and G. M. Watters. Impacts of rising sea temperature on krill increase risks for predators in the Scotia Sea. *PloS one*, 13(1):e0191011, 2018.
- T. Knutson, S. J. Camargo, J. C. Chan, K. Emanuel, C.-H. Ho, J. Kossin, M. Mohapatra, M. Satoh, M. Sugi, K. Walsh, et al. Tropical cyclones and climate change assessment: Part II: Projected response to anthropogenic warming. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 101(3):E303–E322, 2020.
- T. R. Knutson, J. L. McBride, J. Chan, K. Emanuel, G. Holland, C. Landsea, I. Held, J. P. Kossin, A. Srivastava, and M. Sugi. Tropical cyclones and climate change. *Nature geoscience*, 3(3):157–163, 2010.
- K. J. Kroeker, R. L. Kordas, R. Crim, I. E. Hendriks, L. Ramajo, G. S. Singh, C. M. Duarte, and J.-P. Gattuso. Impacts of ocean acidification on marine organisms: quantifying sensitivities and interaction with warming. *Global change biology*, 19(6):1884–1896, 2013.
- F. J. Kroon, P. M. Kuhnert, B. L. Henderson, S. N. Wilkinson, A. Kinsey-Henderson, B. Abbott, J. E. Brodie, and R. D. Turner. River loads of suspended solids, nitrogen, phosphorus and herbicides delivered to the Great Barrier Reef lagoon. *Marine pollution bulletin*, 65(4-9):167–181, 2012.
- L. Kwiatkowski, O. Aumont, and L. Bopp. Consistent trophic amplification of marine biomass declines under climate change. *Global change biology*, 25(1):218–229, 2019.
- Z. Lachkar, M. Lévy, and S. Smith. Intensification and deepening of the Arabian Sea oxygen minimum zone in response to increase in Indian monsoon wind intensity. *Biogeosciences*, 15(1):159–186, 2018.
- M. K. Lassen, K. D. Nielsen, K. Richardson, K. Garde, and L. Schlüter. The effects of temperature increases on a temperate phytoplankton community—a mesocosm climate change scenario. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 383(1):79–88, 2010.
- A. Laurent, K. Fennel, W.-J. Cai, W.-J. Huang, L. Barbero, and R. Wanninkhof. Eutrophication-induced acidification of coastal waters in the northern Gulf of Mexico: Insights into origin and processes from a coupled physical-biogeochemical model. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(2):946–956, 2017.
- A. Laurent, K. Fennel, D. S. Ko, and J. Lehrter. Climate change projected to exacerbate impacts of coastal eutrophication in the northern Gulf of Mexico. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 123(5):3408–3426, 2018.
- P. Lazzari, G. Mattia, C. Solidoro, S. Salon, A. Crise, M. Zavatarelli, P. Oddo, and M. Vichi. The impacts of climate change and environmental management policies on the trophic regimes in the Mediterranean Sea: Scenario analyses. *Journal of Marine Systems*, 135:137–149, 2014.
- C. Le Quéré, R. M. Andrew, P. Friedlingstein, S. Sitch, J. Hauck, J. Pongratz, P. A. Pickers, J. I. Korsbakken, G. P. Peters, J. G. Canadell, et al. Global carbon budget 2018. *Earth System Science Data*, 10(4):2141–2194, 2018.
- S. Lefort, O. Aumont, L. Bopp, T. Arsouze, M. Gehlen, and O. Maury. Spatial and body-size dependent response of marine pelagic communities to projected global climate change. *Global change biology*, 21(1):154–164, 2015.
- P. Lehodey, I. Senina, J. Sibert, L. Bopp, B. Calmettes, J. Hampton, and R. Murtugudde. Preliminary forecasts of Pacific bigeye tuna population trends under the A2 IPCC scenario. *Progress in Oceanography*, 86(1-2):302–315, 2010.
- G. Lessin, U. Raudsepp, I. Maljutenko, J. Laanemets, J. Passenko, and A. Jaanus. Model study on present and future eutrophication and nitrogen fixation in the Gulf of Finland, Baltic Sea. *Journal of Marine Systems*, 129:76–85, 2014.
- Y. J. Liew, D. Zoccola, Y. Li, E. Tambutté, A. A. Venn, C. T. Michell, G. Cui, E. S. Deutekom, J. A. Kaandorp, C. R. Voolstra, et al. Epigenome-associated phenotypic acclimatization to ocean acidification in a reef-building coral. *Science advances*, 4(6):eaar8028, 2018.
- E. Litchman, C. A. Klausmeier, O. M. Schofield, and P. G. Falkowski. The role of functional traits and trade-offs in structuring phytoplankton communities: scaling from cellular to ecosystem level. *Ecology*

- letters, 10(12):1170–1181, 2007.
- Y. Liu, J. R. Key, and X. Wang. Influence of changes in sea ice concentration and cloud cover on recent Arctic surface temperature trends. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 36(20), 2009.
- D. Manzello. Coral growth with thermal stress and ocean acidification: lessons from the eastern tropical Pacific. *Coral reefs*, 29(3):749–758, 2010.
- N. Margvelashvili, F. Saint-Cast, and S. Condie. Numerical modelling of the suspended sediment transport in Torres Strait. *Continental Shelf Research*, 28(16):2241–2256, 2008.
- J. A. Marsh Jr, W. C. Dennison, and R. S. Alberte. Effects of temperature on photosynthesis and respiration in eelgrass (*Zostera marina* L.). *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 101(3):257–267, 1986.
- K. N. Marshall, I. C. Kaplan, E. E. Hodgson, A. Hermann, D. S. Busch, P. McElhany, T. E. Essington, C. J. Harvey, and E. A. Fulton. Risks of ocean acidification in the California Current food web and fisheries: ecosystem model projections. *Global change biology*, 23(4):1525–1539, 2017.
- R. Masini, J. Cary, C. Simpson, and A. McComb. Effects of light and temperature on the photosynthesis of temperate meadow-forming seagrasses in Western Australia. *Aquatic Botany*, 49(4):239–254, 1995.
- K. McConville, C. Halsband, E. S. Fileman, P. J. Somerfield, H. S. Findlay, and J. I. Spicer. Effects of elevated CO₂ on the reproduction of two calanoid copepods. *Marine pollution bulletin*, 73(2):428–434, 2013.
- A. McMahan, I. R. Santos, K. G. Schulz, A. Scott, J. Silverman, K. L. Davis, and D. T. Maher. Coral reef calcification and production after the 2016 bleaching event at Lizard Island, Great Barrier Reef. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 2019.
- H. Meier, A. Höglund, K. Eilola, and E. Almroth-Rosell. Impact of accelerated future global mean sea level rise on hypoxia in the Baltic Sea. *Climate Dynamics*, 49(1-2):163–172, 2017.
- L. Meire, K. Soetaert, and F. Meysman. Impact of global change on coastal oxygen dynamics and risk of hypoxia. *Biogeosciences*, 10(4):2633–2653, 2013.
- K. E. Mills, A. J. Pershing, C. J. Brown, Y. Chen, F.-S. Chiang, D. S. Holland, S. Lehuta, J. A. Nye, J. C. Sun, A. C. Thomas, et al. Fisheries management in a changing climate: lessons from the 2012 ocean heat wave in the Northwest Atlantic. *Oceanography*, 26(2):191–195, 2013.
- R. Mogollón and P. H. R. Calil. Counterintuitive effects of global warming-induced wind patterns on primary production in the Northern Humboldt Current System. *Global change biology*, 24(7):3187–3198, 2018.
- M. Mongin, M. E. Baird, B. Tilbrook, R. J. Matear, A. Lenton, M. Herzfeld, K. Wild-Allen, J. Skerratt, N. Margvelashvili, B. J. Robson, et al. The exposure of the Great Barrier Reef to ocean acidification. *Nature communications*, 7:10732, 2016.
- C. Mora, C.-L. Wei, A. Rollo, T. Amaro, A. R. Baco, D. Billett, L. Bopp, Q. Chen, M. Collier, R. Danovaro, et al. Biotic and human vulnerability to projected changes in ocean biogeochemistry over the 21st century. *PLoS Biol*, 11(10):e1001682, 2013.
- P. Morais, E. Dias, J. Cruz, P. Chainho, M. M. Angélico, J. L. Costa, A. B. Barbosa, and M. A. Teodósio. Allochthonous-derived organic matter subsidizes the food sources of estuarine jellyfish. *Journal of Plankton Research*, 39(6):870–877, 2017.
- B. A. Muhling, C. F. Gaitán, C. A. Stock, V. S. Saba, D. Tommasi, and K. W. Dixon. Potential salinity and temperature futures for the Chesapeake Bay using a statistical downscaling spatial disaggregation framework. *Estuaries and coasts*, 41(2):349–372, 2018.
- T. Nakamura, K. Nadaoka, A. Watanabe, T. Yamamoto, T. Miyajima, and A. C. Blanco. Reef-scale modeling of coral calcification responses to ocean acidification and sea-level rise. *Coral Reefs*, 37(1):37–53, 2018.
- N. Nakicenovic, J. Alcamo, A. Grubler, K. Riahi, R. Roehrl, H.-H. Rogner, and N. Victor. *Special report on emissions scenarios (SRES), a special report of Working Group III of the intergovernmental panel on climate change*. Cambridge University Press Cambridge, UK, 2000.
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. Harmful algal blooms: Simple plants with toxic implications. <http://oceanservice.noaa.gov/hazards/hab/>, Accessed June 2019.
- W. D. Nordhaus. The economics of hurricanes in the United States. Technical report, National Bureau of Economic Research, 2006.

- E. C. Oliver, J. A. Benthuisen, N. L. Bindoff, A. J. Hobday, N. J. Holbrook, C. N. Mundy, and S. E. Perkins-Kirkpatrick. The unprecedented 2015/16 Tasman Sea marine heatwave. *Nature communications*, 8(1):1–12, 2017.
- E. Olsen, G. Fay, S. Gaichas, R. Gamble, S. Lucey, and J. S. Link. Ecosystem model skill assessment. Yes we can! *PLoS One*, 11(1):e0146467, 2016.
- J. C. Orr, V. J. Fabry, O. Aumont, L. Bopp, S. C. Doney, R. A. Feely, A. Gnanadesikan, N. Gruber, A. Ishida, F. Joos, et al. Anthropogenic ocean acidification over the twenty-first century and its impact on calcifying organisms. *Nature*, 437(7059):681–686, 2005.
- K. Ortega-Cisneros, L. Shannon, K. Cochrane, E. A. Fulton, and Y.-J. Shin. Evaluating the specificity of ecosystem indicators to fishing in a changing environment: A model comparison study for the southern Benguela ecosystem. *Ecological indicators*, 95:85–98, 2018.
- Y. Ow, C. Collier, and S. Uthicke. Responses of three tropical seagrass species to CO₂ enrichment. *Marine Biology*, 162(5):1005–1017, 2015.
- R. K. Pachauri and A. Reisinger. Climate change 2007. Synthesis report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the fourth assessment report, Jul 2008.
- D. Padfield, G. Yvon-Durocher, A. Buckling, S. Jennings, and G. Yvon-Durocher. Rapid evolution of metabolic traits explains thermal adaptation in phytoplankton. *Ecology Letters*, 19(2):133–142, 2016.
- S. L. Palacios and R. C. Zimmerman. Response of eelgrass *Zostera marina* to CO₂ enrichment: possible impacts of climate change and potential for remediation of coastal habitats. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 344:1–13, 2007.
- J.-Y. Park, J.-S. Kug, J. Bader, R. Rolph, and M. Kwon. Amplified Arctic warming by phytoplankton under greenhouse warming. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(19):5921–5926, 2015.
- L. Patara, M. Vichi, and S. Masina. Reprint of “Impacts of natural and anthropogenic climate variations on North Pacific plankton in an Earth System Model”. *Ecological modelling*, 264:48–63, 2013.
- D. Pauly and D. Zeller. Catch reconstructions reveal that global marine fisheries catches are higher than reported and declining. *Nature communications*, 7:10244, 2016.
- A. F. Pearce and M. Feng. The rise and fall of the “marine heat wave” off Western Australia during the summer of 2010/2011. *Journal of Marine Systems*, 111:139–156, 2013.
- M. Pérez and J. Romero. Photosynthetic response to light and temperature of the seagrass *Cymodocea nodosa* and the prediction of its seasonality. *Aquatic Botany*, 43(1):51–62, 1992.
- C. T. Perry, S. G. Smithers, P. S. Kench, and B. Pears. Impacts of Cyclone Yasi on nearshore, terrigenous sediment-dominated reefs of the central Great Barrier Reef, Australia. *Geomorphology*, 222:92–105, 2014.
- D. Pilcher, D. Naiman, J. Cross, A. Hermann, S. Siedlecki, G. Gibson, and J. Mathis. Modeled effect of coastal biogeochemical processes, climate variability, and ocean acidification on aragonite saturation state in the Bering Sea. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 5:508, 2018.
- C. Piroddi, M. Coll, C. Liqueste, D. Macias, K. Greer, J. Buszowski, J. Steenbeek, R. Danovaro, and V. Christensen. Historical changes of the Mediterranean Sea ecosystem: modelling the role and impact of primary productivity and fisheries changes over time. *Scientific reports*, 7:44491, 2017.
- H. Putnam, A. Mayfield, T. Fan, C. Chen, and R. Gates. The physiological and molecular responses of larvae from the reef-building coral *Pocillopora damicornis* exposed to near-future increases in temperature and pCO₂. *Marine Biology*, 160(8):2157–2173, 2013.
- Queensland Water Modelling Network. Critical review of climate change and water modelling in Queensland. https://science.des.qld.gov.au/_data/assets/pdf_file/0034/98863/critical-review-climate-change-water-modelling-qld.pdf, Accessed September 2020.
- S. Reynaud, N. Leclercq, S. Romaine-Lioud, C. Ferrier-Pagés, J. Jaubert, and J.-P. Gattuso. Interacting effects of CO₂ partial pressure and temperature on photosynthesis and calcification in a scleractinian coral. *Global Change Biology*, 9(11):1660–1668, 2003.
- C. Richon, J.-C. Dutay, L. Bopp, B. L. Vu, J. C. Orr, S. Somot, and F. Dulac. Biogeochemical response of the Mediterranean Sea to the transient SRES-A2 climate change scenario. *Biogeosciences*, 16(1):135–165, 2019.
- B. J. Robson. State of the art in modelling of phosphorus in aquatic systems: review, criticisms and

- commentary. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 61:339–359, 2014.
- B. J. Robson, R. E. Lester, D. S. Baldwin, N. R. Bond, R. Drouart, R. J. Rolls, D. S. Ryder, and R. M. Thompson. Modelling food-web mediated effects of hydrological variability and environmental flows. *Water Research*, 124:108–128, 2017.
- B. J. Robson, G. B. Arhonditsis, M. E. Baird, J. Brebion, K. F. Edwards, L. Geoffroy, M.-P. Hébert, V. van Dongen-Vogels, E. M. Jones, C. Kruk, et al. Towards evidence-based parameter values and priors for aquatic ecosystem modelling. *Environmental modelling & software*, 100:74–81, 2018.
- R. Rodolfo-Metalpa, M. O. Hoogenboom, C. Rottier, A. Ramos-Esplá, A. C. Baker, M. Fine, and C. Ferrier-Pagès. Thermally tolerant corals have limited capacity to acclimatize to future warming. *Global Change Biology*, 20(10):3036–3049, 2014.
- R. Rodríguez-Martínez, A. Medina-Valmaseda, P. Blanchon, L. Monroy-Velázquez, A. Almazán-Becerril, B. Delgado-Pech, L. Vásquez-Yeomans, V. Francisco, and M. García-Rivas. Faunal mortality associated with massive beaching and decomposition of pelagic Sargassum. *Marine pollution bulletin*, 146:201–205, 2019.
- J. Ruiz, D. Macías, M. Losada, M. Díez-Minguito, and L. Prieto. A simple biogeochemical model for estuaries with high sediment loads: Application to the Guadalquivir River (SW Iberia). *Ecological modelling*, 265:194–206, 2013.
- V. Ryabchenko, L. Karlin, A. Isaev, R. Vankevich, T. Eremina, M. Molchanov, and O. P. Savchuk. Model estimates of the eutrophication of the Baltic Sea in the contemporary and future climate. *Oceanology*, 56(1):36–45, 2016.
- S. Rysgaard, R. N. Glud, N. Risgaard-Petersen, and T. Dalsgaard. Denitrification and anammox activity in Arctic marine sediments. *Limnology and oceanography*, 49(5):1493–1502, 2004.
- V. S. Saba, S. M. Griffies, W. G. Anderson, M. Winton, M. A. Alexander, T. L. Delworth, J. A. Hare, M. J. Harrison, A. Rosati, G. A. Vecchi, et al. Enhanced warming of the Northwest Atlantic Ocean under climate change. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 121(1):118–132, 2016.
- C. E. Schaum, S. Barton, E. Bestion, A. Buckling, B. Garcia-Carreras, P. Lopez, C. Lowe, S. Pawar, N. Smirnov, M. Trimmer, et al. Adaptation of phytoplankton to a decade of experimental warming linked to increased photosynthesis. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 1(4):1–7, 2017.
- A. Schmittner. Decline of the marine ecosystem caused by a reduction in the Atlantic overturning circulation. *Nature*, 434(7033):628–633, 2005.
- A. J. Schweiger, R. W. Lindsay, S. Vavrus, and J. A. Francis. Relationships between Arctic sea ice and clouds during autumn. *Journal of Climate*, 21(18):4799–4810, 2008.
- D. Scott, M. C. Simpson, and R. Sim. The vulnerability of Caribbean coastal tourism to scenarios of climate change related sea level rise. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 20(6):883–898, 2012.
- N. Serpetti, A. R. Baudron, M. Burrows, B. L. Payne, P. Helouet, P. G. Fernandes, and J. Heymans. Impact of ocean warming on sustainable fisheries management informs the ecosystem approach to fisheries. *Scientific reports*, 7(1):13438, 2017.
- S. Sett, L. T. Bach, K. G. Schulz, S. Koch-Klavsen, M. Lebrato, and U. Riebesell. Temperature modulates coccolithophorid sensitivity of growth, photosynthesis and calcification to increasing seawater pCO₂. *PloS one*, 9(2):e88308, 2014.
- C. Shen, J. M. Testa, M. Li, W.-J. Cai, G. G. Waldbusser, W. Ni, W. M. Kemp, J. Cornwell, B. Chen, J. Brodeur, et al. Controls on carbonate system dynamics in a coastal plain estuary: A modeling study. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 124(1):61–78, 2019.
- C. C. Shepard, C. M. Crain, and M. W. Beck. The protective role of coastal marshes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PloS one*, 6(11):e27374, 2011.
- R. Silberstein, S. Aryal, J. Durrant, M. Pearcey, M. Braccia, S. Charles, L. Boniecka, G. Hodgson, M. Bari, N. Viney, et al. Climate change and runoff in south-western Australia. *Journal of Hydrology*, 475:441–455, 2012.
- J. N. Smith, G. De’ath, C. Richter, A. Cornils, J. M. Hall-Spencer, and K. E. Fabricius. Ocean acidification reduces demersal zooplankton that reside in tropical coral reefs. *Nature Climate Change*, 6(12):1124–1129, 2016.

- M. A. Snyder, L. C. Sloan, N. S. Diffenbaugh, and J. L. Bell. Future climate change and upwelling in the California Current. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 30(15):1823, 2003.
- U. Sommer and A. Lewandowska. Climate change and the phytoplankton spring bloom: warming and overwintering zooplankton have similar effects on phytoplankton. *Global Change Biology*, 17(1):154–162, 2011.
- U. Sommer, N. Aberle, A. Engel, T. Hansen, K. Lengfellner, M. Sandow, J. Wohlers, E. Zöllner, and U. Riebesell. An indoor mesocosm system to study the effect of climate change on the late winter and spring succession of Baltic Sea phyto-and zooplankton. *Oecologia*, 150(4):655–667, 2007.
- M. Stäbler, A. Kempf, S. Smout, and A. Temming. Sensitivity of multispecies maximum sustainable yields to trends in the top (marine mammals) and bottom (primary production) compartments of the southern North Sea food-web. *PloS one*, 14(1):e0210882, 2019.
- P. A. Staehr and M. J. Birkeland. Temperature acclimation of growth, photosynthesis and respiration in two mesophilic phytoplankton species. *Phycologia*, 45(6):648–656, 2006.
- A. D. Steven, M. E. Baird, R. Brinkman, N. J. Car, S. J. Cox, M. Herzfeld, J. Hodge, E. Jones, E. King, N. Margvelashvili, et al. eReefs: An operational information system for managing the Great Barrier Reef. *Journal of Operational Oceanography*, 12(sup2):S12–S28, 2019.
- T. F. Stocker, D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S. K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex, P. M. Midgley, et al. Climate change 2013: The physical science basis. *Contribution of working group I to the fifth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change*, 1535, 2013.
- C. A. Stow, J. Jolliff, D. J. McGillicuddy Jr, S. C. Doney, J. I. Allen, M. A. Friedrichs, K. A. Rose, and P. Wallhead. Skill assessment for coupled biological/physical models of marine systems. *Journal of Marine Systems*, 76(1-2):4–15, 2009.
- K. D. Strååt, C.-M. Mörth, and E. Undeman. Future export of particulate and dissolved organic carbon from land to coastal zones of the Baltic sea. *Journal of Marine Systems*, 177:8–20, 2018.
- R. D. Stuart-Smith, C. J. Brown, D. M. Ceccarelli, and G. J. Edgar. Ecosystem restructuring along the Great Barrier Reef following mass coral bleaching. *Nature*, 560(7716):92–96, 2018.
- C. Sun, M. Feng, R. J. Matear, M. A. Chamberlain, P. Craig, K. R. Ridgway, and A. Schiller. Marine downscaling of a future climate scenario for Australian boundary currents. *Journal of Climate*, 25(8):2947–2962, 2012.
- P. M. Suprenand and C. H. Ainsworth. Trophodynamic effects of climate change-induced alterations to primary production along the western Antarctic Peninsula. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 569:37–54, 2017.
- M. Takahashi, S. Noonan, K. Fabricius, and C. Collier. The effects of long-term in situ CO₂ enrichment on tropical seagrass communities at volcanic vents. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 73(3):876–886, 2016.
- K. Tanaka, M. W. Guidry, and N. Gruber. Ecosystem responses of the subtropical Kaneohe Bay, Hawaii, to climate change: a nitrogen cycle modeling approach. *Aquatic geochemistry*, 19(5-6):569–590, 2013.
- E. Teira, A. Fernández, X. A. Álvarez-Salgado, E. E. García-Martín, P. Serret, and C. Sobrino. Response of two marine bacterial isolates to high CO₂ concentration. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 453:27–36, 2012.
- B. Thamdrup and S. Fleischer. Temperature dependence of oxygen respiration, nitrogen mineralization, and nitrification in Arctic sediments. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology*, 15(2):191–199, 1998.
- H. Tian, W. Ren, J. Yang, B. Tao, W.-J. Cai, S. E. Lohrenz, C. S. Hopkinson, M. Liu, Q. Yang, C. Lu, et al. Climate extremes dominating seasonal and interannual variations in carbon export from the Mississippi River Basin. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 29(9):1333–1347, 2015.
- P. D. Tortell, G. R. DiTullio, D. M. Sigman, and F. M. Morel. CO₂ effects on taxonomic composition and nutrient utilization in an Equatorial Pacific phytoplankton assemblage. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 236:37–43, 2002.
- P. D. Tortell, C. D. Payne, Y. Li, S. Trimborn, B. Rost, W. O. Smith, C. Riesselman, R. B. Dunbar, P. Sedwick, and G. R. DiTullio. CO₂ sensitivity of Southern Ocean phytoplankton. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 35(L04605), 2008.
- M. Travers-Trolet, Y. Shin, and J. Field. An end-to-end coupled model ROMS-N₂P₂Z₂D₂-OSMOSE of

- the southern Benguela foodweb: parameterisation, calibration and pattern-oriented validation. *African Journal of Marine Science*, 36(1):11–29, 2014.
- S. Trimborn, T. Brenneis, E. Sweet, and B. Rost. Sensitivity of Antarctic phytoplankton species to ocean acidification: Growth, carbon acquisition, and species interaction. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 58(3): 997–1007, 2013.
- L. Truong, I. M. Suthers, D. O. Cruz, and J. A. Smith. Plankton supports the majority of fish biomass on temperate rocky reefs. *Marine Biology*, 164(4):73, 2017.
- V. J. Tulloch, É. E. Plagányi, R. Matear, C. J. Brown, and A. J. Richardson. Ecosystem modelling to quantify the impact of historical whaling on Southern Hemisphere baleen whales. *Fish and fisheries*, 19(1):117–137, 2018.
- V. J. Tulloch, É. E. Plagányi, C. Brown, A. J. Richardson, and R. Matear. Future recovery of baleen whales is imperiled by climate change. *Global change biology*, 25(4):1263–1281, 2019.
- United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation. Nominations to the World Heritage List (inscribed sites). <https://whc.unesco.org/en/decisions/5236>, Accessed September 2020.
- N. Van Oostende, R. Dussin, C. Stock, A. Barton, E. Curchitser, J. Dunne, and B. Ward. Simulating the ocean’s chlorophyll dynamic range from coastal upwelling to oligotrophy. *Progress in oceanography*, 168: 232–247, 2018.
- M. Vancoppenolle, L. Bopp, G. Madec, J. Dunne, T. Ilyina, P. R. Halloran, and N. Steiner. Future Arctic Ocean primary productivity from CMIP5 simulations: Uncertain outcome, but consistent mechanisms. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 27(3):605–619, 2013.
- R. Vaquer-Sunyer and C. M. Duarte. Temperature effects on oxygen thresholds for hypoxia in marine benthic organisms. *Global Change Biology*, 17(5):1788–1797, 2011.
- A. Vehmaa, A. Brutemark, and J. Engström-Öst. Maternal effects may act as an adaptation mechanism for copepods facing pH and temperature changes. *PLoS one*, 7(10):e48538, 2012.
- S. L. Wakelin, Y. Artioli, M. Butenschön, J. I. Allen, and J. T. Holt. Modelling the combined impacts of climate change and direct anthropogenic drivers on the ecosystem of the northwest European continental shelf. *Journal of Marine Systems*, 152:51–63, 2015.
- C. C. Wallace and B. R. Rosen. Diverse staghorn corals (Acropora) in high-latitude Eocene assemblages: implications for the evolution of modern diversity patterns of reef corals. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 273(1589):975–982, 2006.
- P. Wallhead, R. Bellerby, A. Silyakova, D. Slagstad, and A. A. Polukhin. Bottom water acidification and warming on the western Eurasian Arctic shelves: Dynamical downscaling projections. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 122(10):8126–8144, 2017.
- K. J. Walsh, J. L. McBride, P. J. Klotzbach, S. Balachandran, S. J. Camargo, G. Holland, T. R. Knutson, J. P. Kossin, T.-c. Lee, A. Sobel, et al. Tropical cyclones and climate change. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, 7(1):65–89, 2016.
- D. Wang, T. C. Gouhier, B. A. Menge, and A. R. Ganguly. Intensification and spatial homogenization of coastal upwelling under climate change. *Nature*, 518(7539):390–394, 2015.
- H. Wang, Q. Chen, K. Hu, and M. K. La Peyre. A modeling study of the impacts of Mississippi River diversion and sea-level rise on water quality of a deltaic estuary. *Estuaries and coasts*, 40(4):1028–1054, 2017.
- R. D. Ward, D. A. Friess, R. H. Day, and R. A. MacKenzie. Impacts of climate change on mangrove ecosystems: a region by region overview. *Ecosystem Health and Sustainability*, 2(4):e01211, 2016.
- M. Warner, W. Fitt, and G. Schmidt. The effects of elevated temperature on the photosynthetic efficiency of zooxanthellae in hospite from four different species of reef coral: a novel approach. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 19(3):291–299, 1996.
- Y. Watanabe, A. Yamaguchi, H. Ishida, T. Harimoto, S. Suzuki, Y. Sekido, T. Ikeda, Y. Shirayama, M. Mac Takahashi, T. Ohsumi, et al. Lethality of increasing CO₂ levels on deep-sea copepods in the western North Pacific. *Journal of Oceanography*, 62(2):185–196, 2006.
- R. T. Watson, D. L. Albritton, and D. J. Dokken. *Climate change 2001: synthesis report*. Cambridge University Press Cambridge, UK, 2001.

- G. Wei, M. T. McCulloch, G. Mortimer, W. Deng, and L. Xie. Evidence for ocean acidification in the Great Barrier Reef of Australia. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 73(8):2332–2346, 2009.
- T. Weisse, B. Gröschl, and V. Bergkemper. Phytoplankton response to short-term temperature and nutrient changes. *Limnologica*, 59:78–89, 2016.
- C. Wilcox, G. Heathcote, J. Goldberg, R. Gunn, D. Peel, and B. D. Hardesty. Understanding the sources and effects of abandoned, lost, and discarded fishing gear on marine turtles in northern Australia. *Conservation biology*, 29(1):198–206, 2015.
- A. Yamamoto, A. Abe-Ouchi, and Y. Yamanaka. Long-term response of oceanic carbon uptake to global warming via physical and biological pumps. *Biogeosciences*, 15(13):4163–4180, 2018.
- G. Yang and K. Gao. Physiological responses of the marine diatom *Thalassiosira pseudonana* to increased pCO₂ and seawater acidity. *Marine Environmental Research*, 79:142–151, 2012.
- A. Yool, E. Popova, A. Coward, D. Bernie, and T. Anderson. Climate change and ocean acidification impacts on lower trophic levels and the export of organic carbon to the deep ocean. *Biogeosciences*, 10(9):5831–5854, 2013.
- R. C. Zimmerman, D. G. Kohrs, D. L. Steller, and R. S. Alberte. Impacts of CO₂ enrichment on productivity and light requirements of eelgrass. *Plant physiology*, 115(2):599–607, 1997.