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Highlights

- We document how subgrain rotation (SGR) recrystallization develops in olivine.
- SGR preferentially occurs in areas subjected to local stress concentrations.
- The formation of subgrain cells requires the activation of hard slip systems.
- The misorientation axis change at the subgrain to grain boundary (GB) transition.
- We propose that this change marks the creation of new defects at the new GBs.
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Abstract

We document how dynamic recrystallization by subgrain rotation (SGR) develops in natural olivine-rich rocks deformed in extension to up to 50% bulk finite strain (1473 K, confining pressure of 300 MPa, and stresses between 115-180 MPa) using high-resolution electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) mapping. SGR occurs preferentially in highly deformed grains (well-oriented to deform by dislocation glide) subjected to local stress concentrations due to interactions with hard grains (poorly-oriented olivine crystals or pyroxenes). Subgrains (misorientation <15°) are delimited mainly by tilt walls composed by combinations of dislocations of the [100](001), [001](100), [100](010) and [001](010) systems, in order of decreasing frequency. The activation and prevalence of these systems agree with a Schmid factor analysis using values for high-T deformation in olivine. The development of closed 3D subgrain cells by SGR recrystallization requires the contribution of at least three different slip systems, implying the activation of hard slip systems and high (local) stresses. The transition from subgrains to grain boundaries (misorientation ≥ 15°) is characterized by a sharp change in the misorientation axes that accommodate the difference in orientation between the two subgrains or grains. We propose that this change marks the creation and incorporation of new defects (grain boundary dislocations with different Burger vectors and possibly disclinations or disconnections) at the newly-formed grain boundaries. This process might be favoured by stress concentrations at the grain boundaries due to increasing misalignment between slip systems in parent and recrystallized grains. Finally, we document the development of strong misorientations due to accumulation of low angle grain boundaries within the parent grains and between them and the recrystallized ones. This process leads to intense dispersion of the crystal preferred orientation resulting from SGR alone, with no involvement of grain boundary sliding.
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1. Introduction

When a large ductile (viscoplastic) strain occurs in the lithosphere, all major rock-forming minerals undergo dynamic recrystallization (DRX). DRX modifies the microstructure (grain size and shape, grain boundary arrangement) and the crystallographic preferred orientation (CPO), and decreases the dislocation content of the rock. By consequence, DRX decreases the strength and changes the anisotropy of physical properties of rocks. These changes can potentially enable or disable strain localization by varying the strength distribution within a rock volume. Understanding how dynamic recrystallization evolves at the microscale is thus key for determining how rocks respond to deformation up to lithospheric scales. This knowledge is essential for mineral phases like olivine, which makes up most of the lithosphere and the upper convective mantle.

DRX involves the creation and/or migration of high-angle grain boundaries (HAGB; >15° in olivine) during plastic deformation to form new grains with a lower dislocation content than the host material (Doherty et al., 1997; Urai et al., 1986). Two main DRX mechanisms exist, grain boundary migration (GBM) and subgrain rotation (SGR) recrystallization (Guillope and Poirier, 1979; Sakai et al., 2014; Urai et al., 1986), which are referred to as discontinuous and continuous dynamic recrystallization, respectively, in materials science (Huang and Logé, 2016). Both mechanisms may operate alone or in parallel with one dominating the other. Here we focus on subgrain rotation recrystallization, a ubiquitous DRX mechanism in rock-forming minerals. SGR generates new grains with increasing strain by progressive rotation of the so-called subgrains cells due to incorporation of dislocations within the low angle boundaries (LAB) that delimit the cells, with limited (or lack of) grain boundary migration (Drury and Pennock, 2007; Ion et al., 1982; Poirier and Guillope, 1979; Poirier and Nicolas, 1975).

Despite the simple description of SGR recrystallization provided above, many of the underlying processes remain poorly understood (Drury and Pennock, 2007; Huang and Logé, 2016; Sakai et al., 2014). Missing knowledge concerns in particular the transition from LAB to HAGB. For example, a study in calcite using electron backscatter diffraction has observed randomization of the misorientation axes once LABs became HAGBs (Bestmann and Prior, 2003). Is this a general evolution valid for other mineral
phases such as olivine? If so, is it solely due to the progressive accumulation of geometrical necessary dislocations (GNDs) from the active slip systems or are other processes involved? What are the processes behind this change? An additional question in highly anisotropic materials of low symmetry such as olivine, quartz or ice, with few slip systems and highly contrasted strength, is: How many slip systems have to be activated to generate a closed subgrain structure that can evolve into a DRX grain? These issues are central to the development of reliable numerical models to determine how DRX affects the microstructure and the physical properties of rocks and other polycrystalline materials during ductile (viscoplastic) deformation.

Our goal is to document: (i) How do subgrains form in olivine? (ii) How do they evolve to form new grains? and (iii) How does this process modify the CPO of the rock? For this, we analyse post-mortem high-resolution electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) maps of experimentally deformed olivine-rich rocks. This technique allows combining high-resolution characterization of subgrain boundaries (determination of the dislocation families that compose these boundaries) and of recrystallized grain boundaries and statistical data on the CPO and misorientations across grain and subgrain boundaries at the sample scale.

2. Methods

The studied samples are natural peridotites deformed in axial extension at a constant displacement rate of ~$10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$, 1473 K ($\pm 2$), and confining pressure of 300 MPa ($\pm 1$) using a Paterson-type gas medium triaxial apparatus at the Rock Deformation Laboratory, University of Manchester, UK. Two different starting materials were used in these experiments: coarse-grained dunites from the Balmuccia massif in the Alps (>96% Olivine Fo$_{82-83}$), with either a weak (VS14) or strong (VS15) CPO, and a fine-grained mylonitic harzburgite from Wujba massif in the Oman ophiolite (75% Olivine Fo$_{91}$, 90OA87). The dunites display a well-equilibrated polygonal microstructure, with a mean grain size $\geq$1 mm. The harzburgite has a mylonitic microstructure, with a bimodal grain size distribution defined by elongated olivine porphyroclasts up to 1 mm long and fine-grained equiaxial neoblasts 10-50 $\mu$m wide.

The present study focuses on DRX. Therefore, we selected for post-mortem analysis of the microstructure the most deformed samples, with bulk strains $(l_f - l_0)/l_0$ ranging between 29.6 to 50.1 %. These samples exhibit non-uniform deformation, with a clear
necking zone, where strain and stress concentration led to DRX. We performed high-resolution EBSD mapping of one to three strongly recrystallized domains in the necking zone of each sample. The shadow zone of the fine-grained mylonite, which preserved the original microstructure of the sample, was also mapped and used as a reference for DRX under natural conditions. The mechanical behaviour and the microstructure evolution of the full experimental dataset are presented in a companion article (Ben Ismail et al., submitted).

2.1 Electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) data acquisition

EBSD maps were performed with a CamScan X500-FE CrystalProbe SEM-EBSD equipped with the Oxford NordlysNano EBSD detector at Geosciences Montpellier (France). Operating conditions were an acceleration voltage of 15 kV and a working distance of 24-25 mm under low vacuum conditions (~5 Pa). EBSD patterns were indexed using the AZtec software of HKL Technology. For EBSD analysis, samples were pre-polished with colloidal silica suspension to remove any damage produced during the mechanical polishing with diamond paste and not carbon-coated. We analysed local maps with step sizes varying between 1 and 2.5 µm (mainly 2 µm) covering areas from 716 x 638 up to 5365 x 3456 µm². Indexing rates in raw maps range between 72 and 87 % of the surface analysed. Non-indexed pixels are mostly due to fractures produced during sample removal.

2.2 Electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) data treatment

Post-acquisition data treatment was performed using the MTEX toolbox v5.2 or higher (Bachmann et al., 2010; Mainprice et al., 2014) and in-house MATLAB codes provided in Supplementary Material. Wild spikes and orientation data with a mean angular deviation (MAD) above 1.3° were removed from raw data. Grains were then segmented using a Voronoi decomposition algorithm with the threshold misorientation set to 15°. For the grain size analysis, grains composed by less than 6 pixels and/or with a fraction of non-indexed pixels above 2/3 were discarded.

2.3 Discrimination of recrystallized grains and grain boundary types

Grains were segmented based on two conditions. The grain orientation spread (GOS) was used to discriminate between recrystallized grains (GOS < 2.0°) and porphyroclasts (GOS ≥ 2.0°). Then, the sectional area (threshold at log10(area in µm²) < 4) was used to
discriminate remnant grains, i.e. porphyroclasts leftovers with high intragranular misorientations (GOS ≥ 2.0°) enclosed within recrystallized domains (Fig. 1). Grain boundaries were classified into three types: RX, RX-SS, and SS. RX are the interfaces that put in contact recrystallized grains, the SS boundaries are the interfaces that put in contact non-recrystallized sub-structured grains (porphyroclasts and remnants), and the RX-SS are the boundaries that compose the recrystallization front (for more details see Lopez-Sanchez et al., 2020). These two segmentation procedures allow separate analysis and comparison of the CPO and microstructural properties between recrystallized and non-recrystallized grains and of the characteristics of the different grain boundary types.

Figure 1. Segmentation of grains based on the grain orientation spread (GOS) and sectional area into parent grains or porphyroclasts (pale yellow), remnant non-recrystallized (sub-structured) grains within the recrystallized domain (green), and dynamically recrystallized grains (blue). Sample VS15ab1.

2.4 Determination of the dislocation types composing the subgrains

Geometrically necessary dislocation (GND) densities at subgrain boundaries were estimated from the misorientation mapped by EBSD using the Pantleon (2008) approach as coded in MTEX v.5.2. This procedure estimates the GND densities for the different slip systems active in olivine based on the curvature (or NKB) tensor (Bilby et
al., 1958; Kröner, 1958; Nye, 1953) restored from the spatial gradients in misorientation. Specifically, the procedure links the elastic distortions (curvature tensor) with the GND densities produced by different dislocation types based on the minimization of the strain energy:

\[ \alpha = \sum_k \rho^k b^k l^k \]

\[ \alpha = \nabla \beta \]

where \( \alpha \) is the curvature tensor, \( \rho^k \), \( b^k \), \( l^k \) the GND density, the Burgers vector, and the unit line direction of the \( k^{th} \) dislocation types respectively, \( \nabla \) the curl operator (i.e. the lattice infinitesimal rotations), and \( \beta \) the elastic displacement gradient. The equations above can be solved for edge and screw dislocations of a series of slip systems by enforcing the minimization of the total line energy (L1 norm). The energy of edge and screw dislocations is assumed proportional to \( b^2 \) and \( b^2 (1 - \nu) \), respectively, where \( \nu \) is the Poisson ratio of the material. To avoid overestimation of GND densities due to EBSD measurement artefacts, we reduced the orientation background noise for misorientation values below 1.5° using a half-quadratic filter (Bergmann et al., 2016; Hielscher et al., 2019; Seret et al., 2019) with the alpha smoothing parameter set to 0.01 and then interpolated the missing data using the spline filter.

Determining dislocation densities from 2D EBSD data has limitations. First, from 2D orientation data only 6 out of 9 lattice curvature terms of the NKB tensor can be determined and, hence, the curvature tensor is only partially restored. Second, it is assumed that the lattice distortion is completely caused by GNDs that belong to one of the known slip systems in olivine. Third, low dislocation densities producing misorientation angles below the maximum angular resolution (within the range 0.1-0.5° for conventional EBSD) or that induce lattice distortions that compensate each other below the EBSD operating spatial scale (i.e. the step size) remain undetected. The GND density obtained is therefore a minimum value. Last, for restoring the NBK tensor it is necessary to define the energies of all active dislocation types. For olivine, the energies for edge and screw dislocations of the main active slip systems are constrained from studies using TEM and oxidation-decoration techniques (Bai and Kohlstedt, 1992; Durham et al., 1977; Goetze and Kohlstedt, 1973; Gueguen, 1979; Jaoul et al., 1979;
Kirby and Wegner, 1978; Mussi et al., 2017; Phakey et al., 1972). These values are listed in Table 1.

Despite the limitations of the technique, Guo et al. (2020) proved that GND densities obtained using the L1 norm of the 2D lattice curvature tensor correlate well with 3D GND density measurements obtained by Differential Aperture X-ray Laue Microdiffraction. Thus, although the present method does not provide the actual GND density, the relative values of GND density keep proportionality in areas with misorientation above the maximum angular resolution of the EBSD system. Here we use this method to analyse subgrain walls with disorientations above 1.5°, a value well above the angular resolution of the EBSD system. Besides, the low number of active slip systems in olivine (Table 1) limits the ambiguity in relating the different components of the curvature tensor to specific dislocation types. This makes the method suitable for the study of GNDs within LABs in olivine.

Table 1. Olivine main slip systems and dislocation types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dislocation type</th>
<th>Slip system</th>
<th>Critical Resolved Shear Stress</th>
<th>Energy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Edge</td>
<td><a href="010">100</a></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$b^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edge</td>
<td><a href="001">100</a></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$b^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edge</td>
<td><a href="100">001</a></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$b^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edge</td>
<td><a href="010">001</a></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$b^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screw</td>
<td>[100]</td>
<td></td>
<td>$b^2 (1-γ)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screw</td>
<td>[001]</td>
<td></td>
<td>$b^2 (1-γ)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aNormalized by the critical resolved shear stress of [100](010) under the assumption of deformation at high-temperature, low pressure, and dry conditions for olivine, where this slip system is the weakest (Tommasi et al. 2000)*

2.5 Schmid factors analysis: minimum stress and slip system IPF maps

Based on the Schmid factors of the main slip systems in olivine and on their critical resolved shear stresses (CRSS; Table 1), we generated minimum stress and preferred slip system inverse pole figure (IPF) maps using in-house Matlab codes provided in Supplementary Material.

The procedure to estimate the minimum stress (normalized by the CRSS of the weakest slip system) required for plastic deformation of a crystal with a given
orientation relative to the imposed solicitation and the dominant and subdominant slip systems within the IPF space were as follows:

1) A large number ($10^5$) of olivine random orientations are generated to fairly cover all possible orientations in the IPF space.

2) After defining the olivine slip systems, the corresponding CRSS values, and the extension direction, the Schmid factor for the different slip systems are calculated for each orientation. Then, the stress required to deform using the different slip system is estimated as the ratio between the CRSS value and the Schmid factor, and the minimum value among the different slip systems is extracted.

3) Minimum stress values are plotted and synthesized in an inverse pole figure using contouring techniques. More specifically, we used a logarithmic scale with base 2 so that each contour line represents olivine orientations that require twice or half the stress to deform by dislocation glide than the adjacent contour.

4) For the favoured slip system maps, the IPF space is segmented showing the slip systems that require the minimum stress for each orientation as well as the subsequent one.

3. Results

Analysis of the ensemble of high-resolution maps in the originally coarse-grained dunites, where the newly recrystallized grains may be easily identified, shows that DRX occurs essentially at the contacts between olivine grains with different strength, due to variations in orientation relative to the imposed stretching, or at the contact with other mineral phases (Fig. R01). Subgrains, that is domains separated by LABs (misorientations across the boundary $<15^\circ$), and subgrain cells (LAB tangles that form closed cellular-like subgrain morphologies) develop mainly in olivine grains with soft orientations relative to the imposed extension (blue grains in Fig. 2, purple and pink grains in Fig. 3, cf. minimum stress plot in Fig. 3c). Dynamically recrystallized (DRX) grains develop primarily near the boundaries that put these soft grains in contact with olivine grains in hard orientations or pyroxenes. Figure 3 shows an example in which DRX grains developed in a soft-oriented olivine grain (the purple-pink one) within a volume <300 μm away from the contact with a hard olivine grain (the orange one) and with a clinopyroxene aggregate (in white). Olivine grains in hard orientations, as the
orange grain in figure 3 and the blue one in figure 4, usually have low intragranular misorientations and hardly develop subgrains except very close to (<50 μm) the boundary (Fig. 4b, d). Overall, subgrain cells and DRX grains have similar grain size distributions (Fig. 5). DRX grains have dominantly equant polygonal shapes (cf. Fig. 1). Both typical features of a subgrain rotation recrystallization mechanism.

The parent grains display very strong intragranular misorientations (up to 80°) due to the accumulation of LABs (cf. IPF colour changes in Fig. 6a, misorientation profile in Fig. 4c and profiles 2-5 in Fig. 6) and, locally, development of intragranular HAGBs (e.g. profile 1 in figure 6). Most of these intracrystalline misorientation gradients result from rotations around low Miller indices crystallographic axes, especially [010] and [001] or combinations of these (Figs 3c, 4c & 5c). Figure 6b illustrates how the accumulation of LABs and intragranular high-angle boundaries within a parent grain may lead to misorientations between the mode of the orientation of the parent grain (the original orientation cannot be recovered as this is a post-mortem EBSD analysis) and small subgrains close to the boundaries of >60°. It also shows that the additional misorientation associated with the HAGBs in the DRX domain may result in misorientations between the mode of the orientation of the parent grain and its remnants enclosed within the recrystallized domain close to the maximum possible misorientation in an orthorhombic crystal (120°). Profile 1 in Figure 6c illustrates an additional type of planar defect that develops in highly stressed areas: kinks, which accommodate sharp strong misorientations with opposite signs. Kinks in grains in soft orientations, like the one presented in Figure 6b, are rare. They are nevertheless common in grains in hard orientations (Ben Ismail et al. submitted).
Figure 2. EBSD maps for the different regions of interest. The colour scale illustrates the relative strength of the non-recrystallized grains relatively to the imposed extension, based on the Schmid factors of the main slip systems of olivine at high-temperature and dry conditions (Tommasi et al. 2000). It presents (in logarithmic scale) the minimum stress normalized by the CRSS of the [100](010) system needed to deform the grain. Dynamically recrystallized grains and other mineral phases (enstatite, diopside, or chromite) are displayed in white. All scale bars are 200 microns except for sample 90OA87, where the scale bar is 1 mm in the shadow and 500 microns in the necking zone.
Figure 3. Sample VS15ab2. (a) Sample-scale EBSD map (step size 40 μm) indicating the location of the high spatial resolution map displayed in (b). Olivine is coloured as a function of the orientation of the imposed extension relative to the crystal orientation - inverse pole figure (IPF) colour scheme presented in (c). Pyroxenes and spinels are displayed in white. (b) High-resolution EBSD map (step size 2 μm) showing the contact between a porphyroclast in a hard orientation (yellow) and one in a soft orientation (pink-purple), which is strongly sub-structured and recrystallized. Thick black lines define grain boundaries (misorientation ≥ 15°) and fine
grey lines subgrain boundaries with misorientations ≥1°. Same colour scheme as (a). (c) IPFs of the extension direction (x). From left to right: (1) the colour scheme for the representation of the olivine crystals orientation in (a) and (b), (2) the crystal orientation distribution (mode in red and all EBSD data in blue) in the soft porphyroclast (no DRX or remnant grains included), (3) the crystal orientation distribution in the hard oriented porphyroclast, and (4) values of minimum stress (in logarithmic scale) needed to activate the weakest slip system, which is function of the orientation of the grain relative to the imposed extension, considering CRSS typical of deformation of olivine at high-temperature, dry conditions (Table 1). Base 2 logarithmic scale (i.e. olivine orientations along a given contour line require twice or half the stress to be deformed relative to the adjacent contour). The hard grain requires stresses more than four times higher than the soft one to deform at similar strain rates.
Figure 4. Sample VS14-7-LA. (a) Sample-scale EBSD phase map (step size 30 μm) indicating the location of the high spatial resolution map displayed in (b) and (d). (b) High-resolution (step size 2 μm) EBSD orientation map displaying three porphyroclasts in relatively hard orientations with respect to the extension direction (especially the grain 1, cf. IPF stress map on the left) separated by a recrystallized domain. Olivine is coloured as a function of the orientation of the imposed extension, which is horizontal in the plane of the map, relative to the crystal orientation, cf. IPF colour legend at the top. Thick black lines are grain boundaries (misorientation ≥ 15°) and fine grey lines subgrain boundaries with misorientations ≥1°. (c) Profiles of misorientation angle and axis profile in grain 1. The accumulation of subgrain tangles produces a cumulated misorientation angle > 40 degrees and a shift towards softer orientations over a distance of ~100 microns. Note that the shift in orientation is mainly due to rotation around [001]. (d) Kernel average misorientation (KAM) map revealing the structure of the subgrain boundaries. Note the higher subgrain density close to the recrystallization front.

Figure 5. Comparison of apparent recrystallized grains and subgrain cells size distributions in the region of interest VS15ab2 (Fig. 3b). Note the comparable values of the arithmetic mean grain size. Protocols used in this analysis are provided in the Supplementary material.
Figure 6. Intrgranular misorientations in parent and remnant grains in sample VS15-ab2. (a) EBSD orientation map of the grain in a soft orientation showing the location of the different misorientation profiles shown in (c). (b) Map of the misorientation angle relative to the most common orientation (mode) of the parent grain. (c) Misorientation angle (cumulative in blue and local in red) and axis (IPF space) profiles (dot colours indicate the distance (in microns) along the profile). Profile 1 highlights a kink band - sharp strong misorientations with opposite sign.

3.1 Low-angle (<15°) subgrain boundaries

Low-angle boundaries either display a straight intersection with the analysis surface, suggesting a planar shape, or are irregularly-shaped. Most planar LABs run normal to [100] axis (Figs. 3, 7). GND density analysis indicates that they are mainly formed by edge dislocations of the [100](010) slip system (Fig. 7c), which is the easiest glide system in olivine under the experimental conditions. They also contain variable densities of edge dislocations of the [100](001) and [001](100) slip systems. They are therefore tilt walls, with misorientation axes close to [001] when dislocations of the [100](010) system predominate or spread between [001] and [010] when the
contribution of dislocations of the [100](001) and [001](100) slip systems increase (Fig. 7b). Some of the scatter in the inverse pole figure displaying the rotation axis for misorientation angles < 5° results from the limitation of the EBSD to accurately resolve the misorientation axis for misorientation angles < 2° (Prior et al., 1999; Wilkinson, 2001).

Irregularly-shaped LABs predominate within the highly strained grains (Fig. 3b). These LABs display zig-zag or closed-circuit patterns (Fig. 8), although some show long segments normal to [101] on surfaces oriented perpendicular to [010] (Fig. 3b, 8d). GND density analysis indicates that these irregularly shaped LABs are essentially formed by edge dislocations of the [100](001) and [001](100) slip systems (Figs. 8c,f). When the LABs run normal to [100], they are mainly formed by accumulations of edge dislocations of the [100](001) slip system, whereas those normal to [001] are mostly formed by edge dislocations of the [001](100) slip system. Alternation of these two types of tilt subgrain walls produces the commonly observed zig-zag pattern (Fig. 8c). When the LAB plane is oblique to [001] and [100] axes, edge dislocations of both slip systems contribute in varying degrees. Independently of the orientation of the LAB plane, the misorientations across these irregularly-shaped LABs are characterized by rotation axes roughly parallel to <010> (Figs. 8b, e).

The least common among the observed LABs are those largely composed by edge and, to a lesser extent, screw dislocations of the [001](010) slip system (white arrow in Fig. 9a,b). They usually appear as short LABs closing subgrain cells in combination with LABs of the most common types. They are characterized by misorientation axes close to [100] (Fig. 9c). In grains oriented with [010] at a low angle to the observation surface (e.g. grain 3 in figure 4b), these LABs appear as long, planar-like LABs, but their development is limited due to the “hard” orientation of the grain.
Figure 7. Planar subgrain boundaries normal to [100] observed on a surface oriented perpendicular to the [010] axis of the crystal. (a) Detail of the EBSD orientation map in Fig. 1b. For reference, the orientation of the [100] and [001] axes in two subgrains is indicated. Subgrain boundaries are coloured as a function of the misorientation angle. (b) Inverse pole figures showing the misorientation axis along the subgrain boundaries for different misorientation angle intervals. (c) GND densities estimated for edge and screw dislocations of the main slip systems in olivine.
Figure 8. Examples of irregular (closed-loop and zig-zag) tilt subgrains boundaries on a measurement surface perpendicular to [010]. (a, d) EBSD IPF orientation maps of two regions of interest. The orientation of the [100] and [001] axes in different subgrains is indicated for reference. Subgrain boundaries are coloured as a function of the misorientation angle range. (b, e) IPF showing the misorientation axis across the subgrains boundaries for different misorientation angle intervals. (c, f) GND densities estimated for edge and screw dislocations of the main slip systems in olivine. Note the high density of edge dislocations of the [100](001) and [001](100) systems and the systematic variation in the density of GNDs of each system as a function of the orientation of the subgrain boundary in (c).
Figure 9. Examples of different types of subgrain walls forming closed subgrain cells in sample VS14-9. The measurement surface is oriented obliquely to the three main crystallographic axes. (a) EBSD orientation map showing a cluster of planar and irregular subgrain boundaries at the limit of a recrystallized domain (to the left). Note the presence of domains partially delimited by intragranular high-angle grain boundaries (>15 degrees), which correspond to proto-recrystallized grains. (b) GND densities for the main slip systems in olivine. The white arrow points to a subgrain boundary mainly composed by edge dislocations of the [001](010) system. (c) IPF showing the misorientation axis across the boundaries for different misorientation angle intervals. The black arrow indicates the misorientation axis in the subgrain boundary segment dominated by edge dislocations of the [001](010) system.

3.2 The transition from low-angle (LAB) to high-angle grain boundaries (HAGB)

We analyse the transition from LAB to HAGB by comparing the misorientation axes across LABs to those across different types of HAGB, including rare discontinuous HAGB within the substructured porphyroclasts (intragranular high-angle boundaries), HAGB belonging to the recrystallization front, and HAGB separating DRX grains (Fig. 10).

Inverse pole figures (IPFs) of misorientation axes across LABs systematically display a misorientation peak parallel to [010] in all samples. The intensity of this peak
varies largely, ranging from ~3.5 up to ~17 multiples of a uniform distribution (e.g. VS14-7 or VS15ab2 in Fig. 10). As illustrated in section 3.1, a misorientation axis close to [010] is linked to tilt walls formed by the accumulation of dislocations of the [100](001) and [001](100) slip systems. The peak in misorientation axes agrees with the predominance in the studied zones of irregularly-shaped LABs composed by dislocations of these two slip systems (Fig. 3, 8). Most IPFs of misorientation axes across LABs also show a sub-maximum located around [001], which is related to the planar tilt walls mainly composed by edge dislocations of the [100](010) system (Figs. 3, 7). The intensity of this secondary peak varies between < 1 up to ~2 multiples of a uniform distribution. Only one region of interest among all those studied (sample VS14-9) displays a sub-maximum around [100], related to tilt walls dominated by edge dislocations of the [001](010) system. Overall, the occurrence of the different LAB types portrayed in section 3.1 agrees with the bulk misorientation axis distributions observed in the inverse pole figures.

When focusing on the recrystallization front, the misorientation axis distribution changes severely. First, there is a spread in the misorientation axis distribution associated with the decrease in importance or even the disappearance of some of the maxima typical of the LABs and the appearance of other maxima. In all cases, there is a sharp decrease in the intensity of the maximum around [010] compared to LABs; in RX-SS grain boundaries, this maximum is systematically below 2 multiples of a uniform distribution (Fig 10). However, there is not a unique evolution path. Sometimes the transition from LAB to HAGB only implies the dispersion of the maxima that dominated in the LABs, as in samples VS14-7 and VS14-9 (Fig. 10), whereas in other cases, there is an abrupt change in the misorientation distribution with development of a new maximum located around the [101]. The analysis of the misorientations across HAGB between dynamically recrystallized grains (RX boundaries) shows further scattering without major contrasts to the recrystallization front, indicating a continuous development (Fig. 10). The occasional discontinuous HAGBs within the porphyroclasts also display scattering of the misorientation rotation axes relatively to the LABs, but the scattering is weaker than that observed for the recrystallization front (Fig. 10).

In contrast to the misorientation angle distribution for HAGB within the porphyroclasts, which frequently, though not always, shows a peak in misorientation values below 40 degrees, the misorientation angle distributions for HAGB composing
the recrystallization front (RX-SS boundaries) display dominantly flat profiles (Fig. 11), although there is some variability among the study areas. Samples VS14-9, VS15a1 and VS15ab1 show fairly flat misorientation profiles between 20 and 90 degrees, while VS15ab1 and VS15b1a show asymmetric profiles with decreasing frequencies towards high misorientation values (>60 degrees). Interestingly, samples VS14-7 and VS15-ab2, with three different analysed zones in each, show the three possible misorientation profiles: flat, decreasing and increasing towards high misorientation values. The misorientation angle distribution for HAGB separating DRX grains is similar to that of the RX front, but RX boundaries tend to show higher frequencies for high misorientations with a peak around 90 degrees.

Detailed analysis of some examples of closed-loop HAGBs highlights GND densities an order of magnitude higher than that in LABs (Fig. 12). It also points to a higher frequency of screw dislocations compared to LABs. However, this inference might be biased by the fact that we impose in this analysis that all curvature gradients are explained by GNDs of the 4 slip systems, supposed to be the most active during deformation of olivine under high temperature, low pressure, and dry conditions (Table 1).
Figure 10. Misorientation axes across subgrains boundaries (LABs; left column) and different types of high-angle grain boundaries (HAGBs) including intragranular HAGB, the recrystallization front, and grain boundaries between recrystallized grains. Contours in multiples of a uniform distribution (linear scale).
Figure 11. Correlated misorientation angle distributions across different types of high-angle grain boundaries (HAGB; >15 degrees) including those delimiting substructured grains (between porphyroclasts and/or remnants), the recrystallization front (RX-SS grain boundaries), and the DRX grain boundaries. Black lines show the theoretical distribution of misorientation angles in an olivine polycrystal with a random CPO for reference.
Figure 12. Closed-loop HAGBs (>15°) boundaries showing different types of edge and screw dislocations in sample VS15ab2 (a) EBSD orientation map of the region of interest with the boundaries segmented according to their misorientation angle. (b) Inverse pole figure showing the misorientation axis along the high-angle grain boundaries. (c) GND densities for edge and screw dislocations of the main olivine dislocation systems. The presence of screw dislocations is more common in HAGBs relatively to LABs (cf. Figs. 7-9). Note that the GND density in high-angle boundaries is almost an order of magnitude higher than in LABs ($10^{16}$ vs $10^{15}$).

3.3 Effect of DRX on the crystallographic preferred orientation

Most parent grains in the regions of interest have their [100] axes within 10-45 degrees to the extension direction (Fig. 13), with a predominance of crystals oriented with the <101>, <111>, or <110> axes close to the extension direction. This is consistent with the fact that we focused the observations on strongly recrystallized domains and that recrystallization is favoured in grains with soft orientations (Figs. 2, 3). Porphyroclasts with different orientations display little or no recrystallization (Figs. 2, 3).
Analysis of the IPFs for the remnant and DRX grains shows that SGR recrystallization produces a marked dispersion in the crystal orientations (Fig. 13). This spread is mainly associated with rotations around the <010> axis, leading to dispersion of the crystals orientations along with small circles normal to this axis. However, other rotations are also involved. In most cases, maxima of <101>, [100], <110>, or <111> axes close to the extension direction are still present, though they are weak, in particular for the DRX grains.
Figure 13. Inverse pole figures indicating the orientation of the extension direction (x) relative to the crystal orientation for porphyroclasts, remnant, and DRX grains. Contours in multiples of a uniform distribution in linear scale (half-width 8°). For DRX grains, the average orientation of each grain was used (sample size n is indicated).

4. Discussion

High-resolution EBSD mapping of recrystallized domains in the necking region of natural peridotites deformed in axial extension at high temperature (1473 K) and high strain rates ($10^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$) confirmed that DRX in olivine developed preferentially in olivine grains in soft orientations relative to the imposed extension in contact with olivine grains in hard orientations or harder mineral phases, indicating that DRX is favoured by the association of high strain and local stress concentrations. The gradual transition from subgrain to DRX grains and the similarity in shape and size distribution between subgrain cells and DRX grains point to DRX occurring by a subgrain rotation (SGR) mechanism.

4.1 Subgrain formation (low-angle boundaries < 15°)

The analysis of the GND contents (Figs. 7-9) and the misorientation axes (Fig. 10) indicates that subgrains are essentially delimited by tilt low-angle boundaries (LAB) composed by edge dislocations of the main active slip systems in olivine at high-temperature conditions: [100](001), [001](100), [100](010), and [001](010) in order of decreasing frequency. Overall, these observations agree with the transmission electron microscopy observations by Kirby and Wegner (1978) in peridotite xenoliths, which describe olivine tilt walls composed by dislocations of the same systems in the same order of diminishing importance, although more twist-type LABs were observed by TEM. [001](010) dislocations, by far the less common, are only observed as short LABs closing subgrain cells.

The prevalence in the LABs of edge dislocations of the [100](001) and [001](100) slip systems over those of the [100](010) system is at odds with mechanical data for olivine single-crystals (Bai et al. 1991), which show that [100](010) is the weakest system at the conditions of the present experiments. It is also at odds with the analysis of olivine CPO in naturally deformed peridotites, which also points to the dominant activity of [100](010) during deformation at high-temperature low-pressure conditions (cf. review by Tommasi and Vauchez, 2015). Indeed, the Schmid factor IPF maps in
figure 14 account for all dislocation types observed in the different regions of interest, but not for their proportionality. For example, the range of orientations of the parent grain in figure 3 covers IPF regions that have different pairs (dominant and subdominant) of favourable slip systems (Fig. 14b). The dominant orientation of this grain lies approximately at the boundary between the domains in which the subdominant slip system changes from [001](100) to [100](010). This agrees with the observation of edge dislocations of both the [100](001) and [100](010) systems forming planar LABs normal to [100] (Figs. 7c and 9c). However, the zig-zag and closed-loop LABs, which are the most common in this grain (Figs. 3 and 8), are composed dominantly by edge dislocations to the [100](001) and [001](100) systems (Fig. R07). Formation of these LABs requires the activation of [001](100), a “hard” slip system, and thus high stresses.
Figure 14. Inverse pole figure (IPF) maps showing the most favourable slip systems as a function of the orientation of the crystal relative to the extension direction (x) estimated using the critical shear resolved stresses (CRSS) of the four main slip systems of olivine at high-temperature conditions (Tommasi et al. 2000). (a) Minimum relative stress (normalized by the CRSS of the weakest slip system) required to deform the crystal (base 2 logarithmic scale: olivine crystals with orientations along the different contour lines require twice or half the stress to be deformed by dislocation glide then the adjacent contour). (b, c, d) IPF maps showing the most favourable (weakest) glide system depending on crystal orientation and CRSS (b), the second weaker (c), and the combination of both (d). The dashed field in (d) indicates where [100] glide dominates over [100] + [001]. The pink dashed line in (b) indicates the orientation range above one multiple of a random distribution of the soft-oriented porphyroclast in figure 3. Note that it spreads through several regions with different preferential slip systems.

The observations described above corroborate that the activity of the different slip systems is not proportional to their occurrence in the LABs. This hypothesis had been previously proposed to account for the discrepancy between olivine CPO, which point to dominant activity of [100](010) during deformation at high-temperature low-pressure conditions, and misorientation axes across LABs in naturally deformed peridotites, which often display a maximum close to [010] (Soustelle et al., 2010). High-resolution digital image correlation studies in metals presented indeed strong evidence that the unimpeded motion of slip bands through a crystal can accommodate strain producing almost no local misorientation (e.g. Harte et al., 2020). Thus, a crystal well-oriented to deform by single-slip and not subjected to strict boundary conditions should develop no LABs and hence not recrystallize by SGR. However, in a real rock composed of highly anisotropic crystals, such as olivine, all grains interact with neighbours, which may impose strong local boundary conditions, playing an essential role in the development of DRX.

4.2 The formation of recrystallized grains by SGR

The development of a recrystallized grain requires a three-dimensional grain boundary structure. A single-family of planar LABs does not suffices unless the LABs crosscut the entire grain. Two families of LABs also do not suffice to generate a 3D closed-cell. Figure 8 illustrates zig-zag and closed-loop LABs observed on surfaces
oriented perpendicular to [010]. These LABs are composed by different proportions of dislocations of the [100](001) and [001](100) systems with a stable misorientation axis around [010] regardless of the direction of the trace (Fig. 8b). However, the generation of full 3D subgrain cells requires the operation of other dislocation types; either [100](010) in combination with [100](001), producing rotations between [001] and [010] (Fig. 7), or [001](010) producing rotations around <100> (Fig. 9), or both.

Any combination of dislocation types needed to create a closed-circuit (3D) subgrain cell requires the activation of unfavourable (“hard”) slip systems and thus high stresses.

Development of LABs composed by dislocations of ‘hard’ slip systems to close subgrains and allowing to create nuclei for DRX has also been described in ice Ih (Chauve et al., 2017a). Two different mechanisms might enable the activation of these ‘hard’ slip systems: (1) local stresses may strongly differ in magnitude and/or orientation from the macroscopic one due to grain interactions and (2) the increase of intracrystalline distortion with strain due to accumulation of LABs might place parts of the grain in positions where another dislocation system (secondary or primary) is more favourable. Both mechanisms are compatible and can operate simultaneously. Indeed, the EBSD maps show evidence of both. DRX grains develop mainly in highly strained (soft-oriented) grains in contact with hard-oriented olivine grains or pyroxenes (Figs. 2, 3b), where the development of higher than average stresses and/or local changes in stress orientation are expected (Ashby, 1970). Besides, the accumulation of LABs in highly-strained parent (and remnant) grains result in very strong intragranular misorientations (cf. Fig. 14 and Figs. 3b, 4, 6), which may result in changes in the most favourable slip system across the grain volume.

4.2.1 From subgrain (LABs) to grain boundaries (HAGBs)

The misorientation analysis suggests that when LABs evolve into HAGBs they are no longer subjected to the same crystallographic constraints. Indeed, HAGBs show a large variety of rotations axis that are not observed in LABs (Fig. 10). A few (samples 90OA87, VS14-7) show a shift from the typical maximum around [010] in the LABs to <101> in the HAGBs (Fig. 10). Most of them, however, show shifts towards multiple rotation axes that are hard to systematize when comparing among samples or different parts of the same sample, though in many cases a maximum close to <101> and dispersion of misorientations between [010] and <110> is observed. A marked change in the misorientation axes from one related to the active slip systems in the LABs to the
hard to systematize ones in HAGBs was previously observed in calcite recrystallized by SGR. This was interpreted as a result of a change in the dominant deformation accommodation mechanism from the DRX to the grain boundary sliding domain (Bestmann and Prior, 2003). Interestingly, in the present study, intragranular HAGBs within the parent grains and the sinuous grain boundaries in the remnant grains display the same abrupt change in the misorientation axis distribution with no displacement, indicating that the cause(s) of this shift in misorientation axis lies in the transition from LAB to HAGB itself. Finally, although there is higher variability in the misorientation axes across HAGB, the misorientation angle profiles (Fig. 11) indicate that this variability is not the result of a random process. These observations led us to exclude grain boundary sliding as the process accounting for the abrupt changes in rotation axis from LABs to HAGBs.

The misorientation axes observed across the HAGBs (Fig. 10) cannot be achieved simply by continuously incorporating edge dislocations of the [100](010), [100](001) and [001](100) slip systems, as proposed to explain the formation and evolution of the LABs. The transition in misorientation axes from the LAB to the HAGBs calls for a change in the structure of the boundaries, suggesting the development of new defects at or nearby HAGB to accommodate local lattice distortion. Some plausible candidates would be new types of dislocations (Fig. 12 points, for instance, for a high proportion of screw dislocations with both [100] and [001] Burger vectors in the HAGBs) or other defects such as disclinations (Cordier et al., 2014) or disconnections (Hirth et al., 2020; Hirth and Pond, 1996). The interaction between moving dislocations and grain boundaries accommodating an increasing misorientation probably contributes to the development of these defects via the formation of residual grain boundary dislocations to accommodate the increasing misalignment of Burger vectors in the neighbouring crystals or the development of dislocation pile-ups in the vicinity of the grain boundary (Guo et al., 2014; Kondo et al., 2016; Larrouy et al., 2015). Deformation experiments using high-resolution digital image correlation and EBSD in metals (e.g. Harte et al., 2020) or ice (Chauve et al., 2017b) have shown clear evidence for a local increase in strain and lattice distortion (misorientation) on a scale of few micrometres from grain boundaries. Studies using high angular resolution EBSD (Guo et al., 2014; Larrouy et al., 2015) and TEM (Kondo et al. 2016) showed that when there is poor alignment between the slip system of the incoming dislocation and the easy slip systems in the
adjacent grain, grain boundaries block the slip transfer either partially or completely, producing dislocation pile-ups, stress concentrations, and strain heterogeneity. The TEM data also showed that dislocations crossing LABs may interact with the dislocations composing the LAB and create segments with different dislocation character and Burger vectors (Kondo et al. 2016).

4.3 Changes in crystallographic preferred orientation induced by SGR recrystallization

Comparison between the olivine CPO in the neck and the shadow zones of sample 90OA87 (Fig. 13), which due to the small grain size sample representative volumes, shows that the general CPO evolution follows the one expected for olivine during axial extension: [100] tends to rotate towards the extension direction, aligning in a small circle around it and [010] tends to align normal to the extension direction. Similar patterns were documented in synthetic dry olivine (Fos0) aggregates subjected to axial extension (Hansen et al., 2016). This CPO evolution is consistent with the dominant activity of the [100](010) slip system, typical of high-temperature deformation in olivine under dry conditions.

Comparison between the parent, remnant, and DRX grains CPO in the neck zone (Fig. 13) allows unravelling the effects of deformation and recrystallization. There is increasing spread in the CPO from parents to remnants to DRX grains, but the most marked variation is between parents and remnant grains. This change is not only in intensity but also in the pattern. Both remnant and DRX grains in the neck zone show alignment of <101> parallel to the extension direction, whereas the parent grains show rather a concentration of [100] axes within 5-20 degrees of the extension direction, a CPO that is closer to that predicted by viscoplastic self-consistent models that consider solely deformation by dislocation glide (cf. Fig. 2 of Knoll et al., 2009). Thus remnant and DRX grains are in softer orientations than the parent grains (cf. stress IPF in Fig. 3b). These observations highlight two consequences of SGR recrystallization on the CPO evolution: it promotes dispersion from the characteristic maxima produced by dislocation glide and favours the development of soft orientations. In a companion article (Ben Ismail et al. submitted), viscoplastic self-consistent simulations (VPSC) predict that this CPO evolution tend to weaken the sample.
Analysis of the intragranular misorientations in highly strained parent grains show that the addition of different LAB types may produce major orientation gradients (up to 80 degrees to the reference orientation in a few tens of microns, see profiles in figures 4c, 6c). Dominant rotations around [010] and secondarily around [001] associated with these LABs (Fig. 10) explain a large part of the observed changes in the CPO between parent and remnant or DRX grains, but not all (Fig. 13). The latter may however be explained by the additional rotation axes documented across HAGBs (Fig. 10).

Previous studies have invoked activation of grain boundary sliding to account for the dispersion of the CPO in recrystallized domains relative to the orientations of the parent grains (e.g. Bestmann and Prior, 2003). However, as discussed in section 4.2.1, our observations disagree with this hypothesis. Thus we conclude that SGR recrystallization produces by itself an intense dispersion of the CPO and that this feature cannot be solely used to infer the activation of grain boundary sliding. A similar conclusion, but based on less stringent observational constraints, had already been proposed based on the analysis of variably recrystallized natural peridotites (Falus et al. 2011). The similar evolution in CPO between the parent, remnant, and DRX grains in the shadow zone of sample 90OA87, which preserves the mylonitic microstructure formed in a natural shear zone, supports that the same processes are active under natural conditions (Fig. 13). The difference in CPO between the shadow and neck zone may be explained by different deformation regimes: simple shear in nature and axial extension in the experiments.

5. Conclusions

We document how dynamic recrystallization by subgrain rotation (SGR-DRX) develops in olivine using high-resolution electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) mapping in natural peridotites deformed in extension at high temperature (1200 °C) up to 50% bulk finite strain. These data provide evidence for:

1. SGR-DRX occurs preferentially in highly-strained grains in contact with harder ones, where local stress concentrations and higher GND densities develop.

2. SGR-DRX in olivine is characterized by the development of LABs, which are mostly tilt walls composed by dislocations of the [100](001), [001](100), [100](010) and [001](010) systems, in order of decreasing frequency. These tilt walls are characterized by misorientations around [010] (the dominant one), [001], <0vw>, and, less frequently [100].
3. The activation of these dislocation systems agrees with a Schmid factor analysis using values for High-T deformation in olivine, but the latter does not explain the relative frequency of the different dislocation types within the LABs. This discrepancy indicates that a crystal well-oriented for slip along [100](010) might accommodate most of the imposed deformation through unimpeded glide of [100](010) dislocations across the crystal without generating LABs.

4. The formation of 3D closed subgrain cells needed for the generation of recrystallized grains by SGR requires the contribution of at least three different slip systems. This inevitably involves the activation of hard slip systems in olivine and high (local) stresses.

5. There is a sharp change in the misorientation axes across HAGBs relatively to those observed in LABs. We suggest that this change marks the development and incorporation of new types of defects at or nearby the HAGBs due to the interaction of dislocations and grain boundaries characterized by a strong misalignment between the slip systems in the neighbouring grain pairs.

6. Analysis of the intragranular misorientations in highly strained parent grains and the evolution of the CPO between the parent and the DRX grains shows that SGR-DRX may produce by itself intense dispersion of the CPO. Consequently, observation of CPO dispersion in DRX domains cannot be used as evidence for the activation of grain boundary sliding.

7. This study further demonstrates the potential of the SEM-EBSD technique to study dislocation substructures in LABs and HAGBs. Compared to TEM, this method enables collecting data over large areas and establishing robust statistics.
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