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Abstract

Regional processes play a key role in the global carbon budget. Major ocean carbon uptake at mid-latitudes counteracts carbon release in the tropics, which is modulated by episodes of marine heatwaves (MHWs). Yet, we lack essential knowledge on persistent MHWs (PMHWs), and their effect on the carbon sensitive areas. Here, based on a 1985-2017 joint analysis of reconstructions, ocean reanalysis, in situ and satellite data, we show that PMHWs occur in major carbon uptake and release areas. Air-sea CO$_2$ flux changes from PMHWs are strongest in the Pacific Ocean with a 35 +/- 2 % reduction in CO$_2$ release in the tropics linked to ENSO, and a reduction in CO$_2$ uptake of 28 +/- 9 % in the North Pacific. These results provide new insights into the interplay of extreme variability and a critical regulating ocean ecosystem service, and pave the way for future investigations on its evolution under climate change.

1. Introduction

Extreme events driven by unusually high-water temperature are ubiquitous in the global ocean. They can last from weeks to years, span from local to interbasin scale, and reach depths of several hundreds of meters$^{1-3}$. These so-called marine heatwaves (MHWs) occur due to either coupled air-sea interactions$^{4-7}$, ocean internal processes such as circulation
changes – horizontal and/or vertical\(^8\), and are sometimes linked to large climate modes such as the El Ni\ñ\o-Southern Oscillation (ENSO)\(^5\). Over the past 35 years, MHWs have doubled in frequency, become longer-lasting, more intense and more extensive\(^9\)-\(^11\); an amplification very likely due to long-term anthropogenic change\(^1\),\(^9\),\(^10\),\(^12\),\(^13\).

Strong and long-lasting MHWs have been reported at different locations in the global ocean\(^3\),\(^5\). These include the 2013/2015 Northeast Pacific ‘warm blob’\(^4\),\(^14\), the 1997/1998 El Ni\ñ\o\(^15\), the 2015/2016 Tasman Sea\(^16\) or the 2012 Northwest Atlantic\(^17\),\(^18\). The duration of these major events ranges from several months up to 2 years, they are associated with a dramatic increase in sea surface temperature of up to 10°C, and they can extend over large regions, reaching sometimes ~ 10M km\(^2\)\(^5\). Due to their extreme nature, MHWs, and in particular the strong, persistent ones, pose a fundamental challenge for societies as they have devastating impacts on the marine ecosystem and their services\(^1\),\(^11\),\(^19\).

Overall, the ocean acts as a net sink for atmospheric CO\(_2\) and is absorbing about a ¼ of CO\(_2\) anthropogenic emissions\(^20\) (2.6+/−0.6 PgC/yr over the 2009-2018 period), thereby mitigating global warming. Carbon entering the ocean is then redistributed horizontally over large distances and into deep ocean layers where it is then stored for long time scales\(^21\)–\(^23\). The magnitude and direction of air-sea CO\(_2\) fluxes (\(F_{CO2}\)) vary widely in space and time, and depend on hydrographic conditions, the ocean circulation system, biological production and air-sea interactions. As a result, major carbon uptake areas are located at mid-latitudes, whereas carbon release takes place predominantly in upwelling areas such as the tropical ocean\(^24\)–\(^26\) (Fig. 1a).

Persistent MHWs linked to ENSO\(^5\) affect the Tropical Pacific carbon source region and lead to significant reduction in CO\(_2\) outgassing\(^27\)–\(^31\). However, we lack essential knowledge about how these major MHWs events affect other oceanic carbon sources and sink regions. Here we investigate the interplay and the impact of strong and long-lasting MHWs on the air-sea CO\(_2\) flux at the global scale. The study is built on a combined use of reconstructions from 1985 to 2017, direct measurements, remote sensing data and an ocean reanalysis. We first present the regions where particularly strong and long-lasting MHWs most frequently occur. We then quantify the impact of these extreme ocean events on oceanic carbon sink and sources areas. We further examine the interaction between these extreme ocean events and one critical oceanic carbon sink region in the North Pacific Ocean. Finally,
we discuss these results with existing knowledge on the mechanisms in the Tropical Pacific region to obtain a large-scale view of the prevailing mechanisms driving coupled changes between the regulating ocean ecosystem service and extreme variability.

2. Results

a. Persistent marine heatwaves occurrence and oceanic carbon source and sink areas

In the Tropical Pacific, strong and long-lasting MHWs (hereinafter denoted persistent marine heatwaves, PMHWs), have a higher impact on ocean \( \text{CO}_2 \) fluxes than short-lived and less intense MHWs. We propose specific new criteria to identify such PMHWs at the global scale based on the duration and the mean Sea Surface Temperature (SST) anomaly during a MHW. We first detect all MHWs that occurred from 1985 to 2017 by applying a standard MHW detection algorithm to NOAA gridded SST data derived from AVHRR sensor (see method section). The detection algorithm provides several metrics that describe MHWs, including the duration and the mean SST anomaly. Using these two metrics, we define PMHWs as MHWs whose duration and mean SST anomaly are greater than the 95th percentile of their historical distribution, i.e., duration > 38 days and mean SST anomaly > 2.3 degrees Celsius. Finally, we focus on the regions where PMHWs have appeared several times over the past three decades, and that represent a recurring threat for the global ocean carbon sink, similar to El Niño events in the Tropical Pacific. To do so, we only consider the points where PMHWs have re-occurred at least three times during the 1985-2017 period (grey points in Fig.1a) -- which correspond to 25 % of all grid points that have experienced at least one PMHW.

Globally, PMHWs most frequently occur in the largest oceanic carbon source and sink areas. Critical sink regions are located at mid-latitudes in the Northern and Southern Hemispheres (plain contours in Figure 1a), while ocean carbon outgassing mainly occurs in upwelling regions such as the Tropical Pacific (dashed contours in Fig. 1a) and correspond to regions where climatological \( \text{F}_{\text{CO}_2} \) is greater/lower (sinks/sources) than 1/-1 molC/m\(^2\)/year (plain and dashed contours in Fig. 1a), as proposed by Takahashi et al. The climatological \( \text{F}_{\text{CO}_2} \) values are illustrated for the 1985-2017 period using the observation-based Copernicus
Marine Environment Monitoring Service (hereafter denoted CMEMS) product\(^3\) (see method section). Unexpectedly, we find that the regions with a strong occurrence of PMHWs (grey points) are mainly located in the largest oceanic sources and sinks areas in the global ocean, and particularly in the Pacific Ocean (Fig. 1a).

Amongst the largest oceanic carbon sinks and sources where PMHWs most frequently occur, the North Pacific and the Tropical Pacific are the most impacted, and both areas suffer from a significant reduction in CO\(_2\) uptake (28 +/- 9 %) and outgassing (35 +/-2%) during PMHWs respectively. PMHWs impact on F\(_{\text{CO}_2}\) is quantified using an ensemble of four observation-based products of F\(_{\text{CO}_2}\) from 1985 to 2017 (see method section). In contrast, in the North Atlantic and the Southern Ocean carbon sinks, the impact of PMHWs on F\(_{\text{CO}_2}\) is close to 0 and negligible over the study period. The impact of PMHWs on F\(_{\text{CO}_2}\) appears thus to be the most important in the Tropical and North Pacific, which is of considerable concern given their contributions to the global ocean carbon cycle\(^24\)–\(^26\).

b. Persistent marine heatwaves and the North Pacific carbon sink

We use a state-of-the-art ocean biogeochemical reanalysis\(^36\) (see supplementary information), validated against F\(_{\text{CO}_2}\) reconstructions and in situ observations from BGC-Argo floats\(^37\),\(^38\), to understand the interaction between PMHWs and F\(_{\text{CO}_2}\) in the North Pacific from 2009 to 2017. Note that, the calculations relative to the 2009-2017 period are performed on those North Pacific regions where PMHWs have re-occurred several times since 1985, i.e. the SST grid points that have experimented at least 3 PMHWS from 1985 to 2017. The exchange of CO\(_2\) between the ocean and the atmosphere is driven by six variables\(^39\): wind, upper-ocean temperature, salinity, dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) and alkalinity (ALK) as well as the atmospheric partial pressure of CO\(_2\). As observation-based products of F\(_{\text{CO}_2}\) do not include all these variables, the reanalysis becomes essential to pursue the analysis. The BGC reanalysis combines ocean circulation and biogeochemistry models together with in situ and satellite observations to provide a high degree of bio-physical realism\(^40\). The reanalysis skill is validated against the ensemble of observation-based products over the 2009-2017 period and in situ observations from an array of BGC-Argo floats during the 2013/2015 ‘warm blob’ PMHW (see supplementary Information). The reanalysis shows good agreement with the observation-based products in estimating F\(_{\text{CO}_2}\) anomalies due to PMHWs in the North Pacific. The reanalysis also agrees well with the float observations in reproducing anomalies in the
four oceanic drivers known to control $\text{F}_\text{CO}_2$ (temperature, salinity, DIC and ALK) during the ‘warm blob’.

During PMHW events, the reduction in F$_{CO2}$ is the result of higher-than-usual temperature and negative DIC anomalies. We calculate a first-order Taylor series expansion of F$_{CO2}$ anomalies to determine the contribution of the four oceanic drivers$^{27,41,42}$ (see method section). The Taylor decomposition (Fig. 2a) reveals that the negative F$_{CO2}$ anomalies during PMHWs in the North Pacific mainly result from the contribution of temperature ($-1.43 +/- 0.02 \text{ molC/m2/yr}$), DIC anomalies ($0.81 +/- 0.01 \text{ molC/m2/yr}$) and to a lesser extent ALK anomalies ($0.23 +/- 0.01 \text{ molC/m2/yr}$). The contribution from salinity, wind and the atmospheric partial pressure of CO$_2$ anomalies are small, and can be considered negligible.

Sea surface warming during PMHWs reduces the solubility of CO$_2$ in the ocean resulting in a reduced uptake of CO$_2$. In contrast, the decrease in DIC associated with a small increase in ALK (Fig. S3) enhances the uptake of CO$_2$ and as such counterbalances the thermal effect to the extent that the final F$_{CO2}$ anomaly is ~4 times smaller than it would have been from the thermal effect alone.

Next, we investigate the mechanisms leading to negative DIC anomalies. We examine the processes that drive the rate of change (tendency or trend) of DIC anomalies during PMHWs over the 2009-2017 period. The budget (or forcing) terms in the DIC trend equation consist of: horizontal and vertical advection, vertical diffusion, air-sea flux of CO$_2$, biological activity, dilution and concentration due to freshwater fluxes and a residual term (see method section). To highlight the contribution from each process to the DIC anomalies trend, we follow the method of Doney et al.$^{27}$ and examine the slope through linear regression of each forcing term to the DIC anomalies trends (Fig. 2b) (we verify that the intercept is approximately 0 because the average of the forcing term anomalies is null). A slope close to 1 indicates that a particular forcing term produces in-phase anomalies of comparable magnitude to DIC anomalies trend. In contrast, a slope near zero indicates that the term is not important, and a negative slope that the term produces out of phase anomalies.

Horizontal advection is the main driver for DIC anomalies. The linear regression slope of horizontal advection on DIC anomalies trends is the largest ($0.70 +/- 0.02$ (unitless)) whereas the slopes of the other forcing terms are much smaller ($<0.16$ (unitless) for vertical diffusion anomalies and lower than 0.06 (unitless) for all the other terms). Furthermore and
consistently with Ayers and Lozier\textsuperscript{13} and Gruber et al\textsuperscript{22}, the reanalysis shows that, on average, there is a net horizontal divergence of DIC in the North Pacific carbon sink region (data not shown). The reanalysis suggests that the lateral removal of DIC is further accentuated during PMHWs, causing a decrease in DIC. Changes in horizontal advection have already been observed during the 2013/2015 PMHW ‘warm blob’\textsuperscript{14} in the Northeast Pacific but it is not clear how changes in horizontal advection are related to PMHWs at the scale of the North Pacific carbon sink region. Given the importance of the horizontal transport of DIC in mitigating the impact of PMHWs on the uptake of CO\textsubscript{2}, we propose that studies should address how PMHWs and ocean circulation are interconnected in this region.

3. Discussion

We show that PMHWs (> 38 days and > 2.3°C anomalies as defined in this study) most frequently occur in oceanic regions of major importance for the global carbon cycle: the Tropical Pacific carbon source area, and the carbon sink regions of the North Pacific, the North Atlantic and the Southern Ocean. However, over the study period 1985-2017, PMHWs impact air-sea exchange of CO\textsubscript{2} only in the Pacific carbon sensitive areas, and in ways that attenuates the ocean's role as source and sink. The processes of this interplay are provided in the schematic of Fig. 3.

In the North Pacific carbon sink, PMHW events cause a reduction in the CO\textsubscript{2} sink as a result of the net effect of two competing mechanisms: extreme higher-than-average temperature and anomalous DIC advection. The former causes a reduction in the solubility of CO\textsubscript{2} in ocean water, thereby reducing the ocean uptake of CO\textsubscript{2} whereas the latter increases the ocean CO\textsubscript{2} uptake – through decreased levels of DIC driven by horizontal advection – and as such attenuates the impact of the thermal effect. Overall, the thermal effect dominates the advection effect in our study, leading to a net reduction in air-to-sea CO\textsubscript{2} flux during a PMHW event of about 28 +/- 9 % (Fig. 3a).

In the Tropical Pacific where carbon release takes place, the CO\textsubscript{2} outgassing is significantly attenuated during PMHWs with a reduction in CO\textsubscript{2} release from the ocean to the atmosphere of about 35 +/- 2 %. In this region, PMHWs are associated with ENSO\textsuperscript{5} and previous studies have investigated the mechanisms explaining this change, which is mainly
driven by a change in the vertical ocean circulation\textsuperscript{27–31}. During PMHWs (Fig. 3b), eastward propagating Kelvin waves that depress the thermocline in the east together with a concurrent weakening of easterly winds, and the extension of the western Pacific warm pool to the east, reduce the upwelling of DIC leading to a net decrease in sea-to-air CO\textsubscript{2} flux.

The results in the North Pacific carbon sink complete previous studies of ENSO-related PMHWs in the Tropical Pacific, and together with the new results obtained in this study thus provide a comprehensive view on the interplay between PMHWs and carbon sensitive areas in the Pacific Ocean as illustrated in Fig. 3.

The attenuation in F\textsubscript{CO2} due to PMHWs has increased during the 1985-2017 period in the North Pacific whilst remaining stable in the Tropical Pacific (Fig. 4). Similarly, PMHWs have also increased in intensity in the North Pacific over the last decades, while their strength remains similar in the Tropics (Fig. S5). Based on the results of our process study, we can develop the hypothesis that the reported increase in the intensity of PMHWs has potentially amplified the outgassing of CO\textsubscript{2} over the 1985-2017 period, and that the competing mechanism, i.e., anomalous advection of DIC, was unable to counter-interact the thermal effect over this time scale. However, we cannot test such hypothesis as it would require a decomposition of F\textsubscript{CO2} and DIC budgets from 1985 to 2017, the latter being currently not estimated by the reanalysis over this period. MHWs are projected to become stronger, more frequent and longer lasting in a warming climate\textsuperscript{1,9,12,13}. Therefore, it is crucial to understand how PMHWs and F\textsubscript{CO2} interact over long time scale if we want to further unravel the evolution of the oceanic carbon cycle under climate change.

4. Methods

a. Observation-based products of F\textsubscript{CO2}

In this study, we use an ensemble of four observation-based products to quantify the impact of PMHWs on F\textsubscript{CO2} in the three largest oceanic carbon sink and the largest oceanic carbon source in the Tropical Pacific from 1985 to 2017. Here, we provide a brief outline of the chosen products. More detail can be found in their respective publications.
The first observation-based product, from the Max Plank Institute for Meteorology (hereinafter denoted MPI), is based on a self-organizing map–feed-forward network that reconstructs the sea surface partial pressure of CO$_2$ (spCO$_2$) from various environmental predictor data. In a first step, the ocean is divided into biogeochemical regions of similar spCO$_2$ properties (making use of a spCO$_2$ climatology) and in a second step the non-linear relationship between auxiliary driver data and sparse observations is reconstructed to fill measurement gaps. The period of analysis is from 1982 to 2019 at monthly intervals and with a spatial resolution of 1° × 1°. It is based on a collection of ship and mooring spCO$_2$ measurements assembled by the Surface Ocean CO$_2$ Atlas (SOCAT) version 2020.

The second observation-based product, from Copernicus Marine Environment Service (hereinafter denoted CMEMS), is from an ensemble-based forward feed neural network that reconstruct change in spCO$_2$ from environmental predictor data. The period of analysis is from 1985 to 2018 at monthly intervals and with a spatial resolution of 1° × 1°. It is based on a collection of ship and mooring spCO$_2$ measurements assembled by the Surface Ocean CO$_2$ Atlas (SOCAT) version 2019.

The third observation-based product, from the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (hereinafter denoted CSIR), is from a machine-learning ensemble average of six two-step clustering-regression models that reconstruct change in spCO$_2$ from environmental predictor data. The period of analysis is from 1982 to 2019 at monthly intervals and with a spatial resolution of 1° × 1°. It is based on a collection of ship and mooring spCO$_2$ measurements assembled by the Surface Ocean CO$_2$ Atlas (SOCAT) version 2019.

The fourth observation-based product, from the Max Plank Institute for Biogeochemistry (hereinafter denoted Jena), is from an observation-driven ocean mixed-layer scheme that reconstruct change in spCO$_2$ by fitting a data-driven diagnostic model of ocean mixed-layer biogeochemistry to surface-ocean CO$_2$ partial pressure data from the SOCAT version 2019. The period of analysis is from 1982 to 2017 at daily intervals and with a spatial resolution of 4° × 5°. The daily fields were averaged into monthly fields.

Finally, to evaluate the skill of the BGC reanalysis in estimating $F_{CO_2}$ anomalies associated with PMHWs in the North Pacific carbon sink, we use an additional observation-
based product, from the Japan Meteorology Agency (hereinafter denoted JMA), which is excluded from the spCO\textsubscript{2} ensemble as its period of analysis is shorter than the previously listed products, i.e. from 1990 to 2018. This product is based on multiple linear regressions that reconstruct change in spCO\textsubscript{2} from a set of environmental drivers. The temporal resolution is monthly intervals and with a spatial resolution of 1° × 1°. It is based on a collection of ship and mooring spCO\textsubscript{2} measurements assembled by the Surface Ocean CO\textsubscript{2} Atlas (SOCAT) version 2019\textsuperscript{46-49}.

b. Estimates of air-to-sea fluxes of CO\textsubscript{2} from spCO\textsubscript{2} data

In the five observation-based products and the BGC reanalysis, air-to-sea fluxes of CO\textsubscript{2} are generated from spCO\textsubscript{2} data using the gas exchange formulation\textsuperscript{53},

\[
F_{\text{CO}_2} = k \alpha (p_{\text{CO}_2\text{atm}} - sp\text{CO}_2),
\]

where \(\alpha\) is the CO\textsubscript{2} solubility in seawater, \(k\), a gas transfer coefficient, \(p_{\text{CO}_2\text{atm}}\) is the atmospheric partial pressure of CO\textsubscript{2} and \(sp\text{CO}_2\) is the sea surface partial pressure of CO\textsubscript{2}. Here, positive values of \(F_{\text{CO}_2}\) indicate uptake of CO\textsubscript{2} from the atmosphere to the ocean, while negative values indicate outgassing of CO\textsubscript{2} from the ocean to the atmosphere. Each product performs their own calculation of the fluxes and the methods are described in the respective publications.

c. Calculation of 2009-2017 monthly anomalies

In the reanalysis and the observation-based products, monthly anomalies (hereinafter denoted with a prime) are computed by removing a climatological value (hereinafter denoted with an overbar). The climatological value corresponds to the sum of a long-term linear trend and a monthly mean value. The monthly mean values are computed from the detrend monthly data.

d. Calculation of 1985-2017 percent \(F_{\text{CO}_2}\) anomalies

The percent \(F_{\text{CO}_2}\) anomalies during PMHWs and for the 1985-2017 period correspond to the monthly \(F_{\text{CO}_2}\) anomalies divided by the monthly \(F_{\text{CO}_2}\) climatological values. The
anomalies and climatological values were computed following the method detailed previously (subsection c), with the exception that monthly mean values were only computed from the detrend monthly data over the 1985-1995 period. During this decade, the number of PMHWs per year, and globally, were the lowest of the 1985-2017 period (see Fig. S4). By calculating the anomalies relative to this “reference” decade, we make sure that the percent $F_{CO2}$ anomalies represent a change with respect to oceanic conditions not impacted by PMHWs.

In Fig. 1b, we represent, in each carbon sink/source, an ensemble of four 1985-2017 trimmed mean percent $F_{CO2}$ anomalies derived from the observation-based products. We use the trimmed mean instead of the mean because it is a robust estimator of central tendency and provides a better estimation of the location of the bulk of the data than the mean when the distribution is asymmetric, which is the case here. More precisely, we use a 5% trimmed mean, i.e., the lowest 5 % and the highest 5 % of the data are excluded.

Finally, in the main text, we report the ensemble of the four 1985-2017 trimmed average percent $F_{CO2}$ anomalies with the ensemble mean +/- ensemble standard-deviation; the latter providing an estimate of the uncertainty. This is a reasonable assumption considering that systematic errors in the observation-based products of $F_{CO2}$ are much more smaller than their random errors\(^{50}\), so that the full uncertainty can be approximated by the ensemble spread.

e. Taylor expansion of $F_{CO2}$ anomalies

To determine the driving mechanisms causing $F_{CO2}$ anomalies during PMHWs in the North Pacific carbon sink, we calculate a first-order Taylor series expansion of $F_{CO2}$ anomalies in terms of its driving parameters (i.e., wind, upper ocean temperature, salinity, dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), alkalinity (ALK) and the atmospheric partial pressure of CO\(_2\))\(^{27,41}\).

First, we performed the linear Taylor decomposition of Eq. (1):

$$F_{CO2} \approx (k\alpha)'(pCO_{2atm} - spCO_2) + (k\alpha)pCO_{2atm}' - (k\alpha)spCO_2'.$$  

(2)
The right-hand-side terms represent the contribution to $F_{CO_2}'$ of gas transfer and solubility anomalies, atmospheric $pCO_2$ anomalies and $spCO_2$ anomalies. Note that the temperature dependence of $k$ and $\alpha$ cancel each other, and $(k\alpha)'$ is mainly driven by variations in wind speed$^{27,41}$.

The $spCO_2$ anomalies are further decomposed into contributions from sea surface temperature anomalies (SST’), sea surface dissolved inorganic carbon anomalies (SDIC’), sea surface alkalinity anomalies (SALK’) and sea surface salinity anomalies (SSS’), neglecting the second-order terms$^{27,41,42,54}$:

$$spCO_2' \approx \frac{\delta spCO_2}{\delta SDIC'} SDIC' + \frac{\delta spCO_2}{\delta SALK'} SALK' + \frac{\delta spCO_2}{\delta SST'} SST' + \frac{\delta spCO_2}{\delta SSS'} SSS'.$$  (3)

Substituting Eq. (3) into Eq. (2) gives the contributions of all parameters to $F_{CO_2}'$ in a single expression:

$$F_{CO_2}' \approx (k\alpha)'(pCO_{2,atm} - spCO_2) + (k\alpha)pCO_{2,atm}' - (k\alpha)\left(\frac{\delta spCO_2}{\delta SDIC'} SDIC' + \frac{\delta spCO_2}{\delta SALK'} SALK' + \frac{\delta spCO_2}{\delta SST'} SST' + \frac{\delta spCO_2}{\delta SSS'} SSS'\right).$$  (4)

Here, the sea surface quantities correspond to the quantities at the first level of the ocean reanalysis estimates ($z \sim -0.50$ m). Following Doney et al.$^{27}$, the partial derivatives in Eq. (4) were computed off-line at each grid point, taking SDIC as an example, as:

$$\frac{\delta spCO_2}{\delta SDIC} \approx \frac{spCO_2(SDIC,SALK,SST,SSS) - spCO_2(SDIC,SALK,SST,SSS)}{SDIC}.$$  (5)

where $spCO_2$ values are calculated using the seacarb program for R (https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=seacarb).

f. DIC anomalies budget

In our study, we show that DIC anomalies play a significant role in controlling $F_{CO_2}$ anomalies during PMHWs. We therefore conduct a DIC anomalies budget to elucidate what processes controlled DIC anomalies.
In the ocean reanalysis, the changes in DIC concentration with time are described by the following equation:

\[
\frac{\partial \text{DIC}}{\partial t} = \text{ADV}_H + \text{ADV}_Z + \text{DIFF}_Z + \text{SBC} + F_{CO2} + B + r.
\] (6)

The right-hand-side terms represent the horizontal and vertical advection of DIC, the vertical diffusion of DIC, freshwater fluxes that dilute or concentrate DIC, biological activity that consumes or releases DIC (see details in Aumont et al.\textsuperscript{55}), air-sea CO\textsubscript{2} fluxes, and the climatological damping (see supplementary information). Positive values result in a net increase in DIC. All terms were computed online on a daily basis and stored for monthly averages. The DIC tendency (rate of change or trend) equation (Eq. 6) is expressed as a function of monthly anomalies of DIC and fluxes and averaged over the average mixing layer observed during PMHWs in the reanalysis (indicated by angle brackets), i.e. from the surface to \(h \sim 47\) m:

\[
\frac{\partial <\text{DIC}>}{\partial t} = <\text{ADV}_H'> + <\text{ADV}_Z'> + <\text{DIFF}_Z'> + <\text{SBC}'> + \frac{<F_{CO2}'>}{h} + <B'> + <r'>.
\] (7)

g. **Satellite sea surface temperature and marine heatwaves detection**

MHWs locations, dates of onset and durations were derived from the global daily remotely sensed National Ocean Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Optimum Interpolation sea surface temperature V2, \(1/4^\circ\) gridded data over 1982-2017\textsuperscript{32,33}. This dataset is derived from the advanced very high-resolution radiometer (AVHRR).

We apply a standard MHW detection algorithm\textsuperscript{2} to the gridded SST data. In particular, we use 90\textsuperscript{th} percentile threshold criterion and climatology computed from 1983 to 2012. The MHW detection algorithm is usually not performed on grid cells with periods of ice coverage longer than 5 days\textsuperscript{10}. We therefore restrict our analysis to the area between 60 °S and 60 °N. For each MHW detected, the date of onset, duration and mean sea surface temperature anomaly are estimated by the MHW detection algorithm.

h. **Calculation of anomalies associated with PMHWs**
For each PMWH detected, the monthly anomalies were extracted at the model or observation-based products grid-point the closest to the PMHW location and for the entire duration of the PMHW. Then, to match the temporal resolution of the PMHW, the extracted anomalies were resampled from monthly to daily frequency through linear interpolation. The interpolated values were then averaged over the duration of the PMHW to give a single value, consistently with the other metrics derived from the MHW detection algorithm.

5. Data availability

The reanalysis data can be downloaded from the Copernicus Marine Environmental Monitoring Service (https://resources.marine.copernicus.eu/?option=com_csw&view=details&product_id=GLOBAL_ANALYSIS_FORECAST_BIO_001_028). The DIC budget terms data are available upon request from the corresponding author. The BGC-Argo data were downloaded from the Argo Global Data Assembly Centre in France (ftp://ftp.ifremer.fr/argo/). The observation-based product are available from the Surface Ocean pCO2 Mapping Intercomparison website (http://www.bgc-jena.mpg.de/SOCOM/). The SST data are provided by NOAA/ESR/PSL at https://psl.noaa.gov/data/gridded/data.noaa.oisst.v2.highres.html.
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7. Figures

Figure 1. Interplay of PMHWs and oceanic carbon source and sink areas. (a) Mean 1985-2017 air-to-sea CO₂ flux (F_{CO₂}) derived from the Copernicus Marine Service (CMEMS) observation-based product (see methods section). Positive values indicate oceanic uptake (red), while negative values indicate oceanic outgassing (blue) of CO₂. The black continuous/dashed contours represent critical carbon sink/source regions, i.e. the regions where the mean 1985-2017 F_{CO₂} is greater/lower than 1/-1 molC/m²/yr as proposed by Takahashi et al. The grey points represent satellite Sea Surface Temperature grid points that have experienced at least 3 PMHWs from 1985 to 2017 (see text for details). (b) Trimmed average percent F_{CO₂} anomalies during PMHWs derived from an ensemble of four observation-based products of F_{CO₂} (see section methods) in critical oceanic carbon sinks and sources (plain and dashed contours in Fig. 1a) that are impacted by PMHWs. The ensemble mean and standard deviation are given in black. The calculation of the percent F_{CO₂} anomalies is detailed in the method section.
Figure 2. Processes that lead to a reduction in the oceanic uptake of CO$_2$ in the North Pacific during PMHWs. (a) 2009-2017 average F$_{CO2}$ anomalies (black dot) and its Taylor decomposition (vertical bars). The contribution of temperature, Dissolved Inorganic Carbon (DIC), Alkalinity (ALK), salinity, wind and atmospheric partial pressure of CO$_2$ to F$_{CO2}$ anomalies observed during PMHWs in the North Pacific carbon sink for the 2009-2017 period were calculated using a first order Taylor expansion derived from the biogeochemical reanalysis (see methods section). The “total” bar corresponds to the sum of all contributing terms and corresponds to the Taylor approximation of F$_{CO2}$ anomalies (black dot). The good agreement between the two implies that F$_{CO2}$ anomalies are well approximated by the Taylor decomposition. The error bars correspond to the 95% confidence interval. (b) Contribution of horizontal and vertical advection, vertical diffusion, air-sea flux of CO$_2$, biological activity, dilution and concentration due to freshwater fluxes and a residual term to the rate of change.
(tendency or trend) of DIC anomalies during PMHWs in the North Pacific carbon sink for the 2009-2017 period (see methods section). The vertical bars represent the slope from linearly regressing each forcing term to the DIC anomalies trend\textsuperscript{27}. A linear regression slope close to 1 indicates that a particular term produces in-phase anomalies of comparable magnitude. A slope near zero indicates that the term is not important in generating anomalies. The error bars correspond to 95 % confidence intervals.
Figure 3. Schematic presentation of the mechanisms driving the reduction in CO₂ fluxes in the Pacific Ocean. Red color indicates the thermal effect on air-sea CO₂ fluxes, the blue color is linked to impacts related to circulations changes associated with PMHWs such as anomalous horizontal and vertical advection. The grey color represents the normal conditions. See text for more details.
Figure. 4. Evolution of $F_{CO2}$ anomalies due to PMHWs during the 1985-2017 period.

Trimmed average percent $F_{CO2}$ anomalies during PMHWs for three time-periods (1985-1995, 1996-2006, 2007-2017) derived from an ensemble of 4 observation-based products of $F_{CO2}$ (see section methods) in the North Pacific carbon sink and in the Tropical Pacific carbon source. The ensemble mean and standard deviation are given in black. The calculation of the percent $F_{CO2}$ anomalies is detailed in the method section.