Carbon dioxide removal through enhanced weathering of basalt on agricultural land – Assessing the potential in Austria
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Abstract

Enhanced weathering through basalt application on agricultural land represents a proposed strategy for the removal of carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. It has been shown that enhanced weathering is principally feasible on a global scale, but it remains unclear whether it can be implemented on a local level. This information is however vital, to evaluate, if enhanced weathering should be further considered as a factor to alleviate the impact of the climate crisis. With this in mind, this article reviews of the current state of research and estimates the potential for CO₂ removal on regional scale through a case study for Austria. Scenarios are estimated for three different particle size distributions (< 100 µm, < 10 µm and < 1 µm). Transport related emissions may cancel out any drawdown if grain sizes (< 100 µm) are used. However, under optimal transport conditions the large-scale application of particles with a diameter < 10 µm may remove about 2% of Austria’s annual Greenhouse gas emissions. We discuss challenges towards this goal, including the enormous amounts of basalt needed and the energy requirement related to grinding, as well as uncertainties related to actual field weathering rates. Those uncertainties hinder the precise quantification of CO₂ drawdown as of now. While enhanced weathering remains a promising path for climate change mitigation, further research at laboratory and field scale is required to put this technology to optimal use.
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1. Introduction

Within the framework of the Paris Agreement, the signing nations have agreed to keep global warming below 2 °C with respect to the pre-industrial level and to pursue efforts to limit the increase to 1.5 °C above pre-industrial levels to mitigate the effects of human caused climate change. Estimates of the remaining global carbon budget consistent with a 1.5 °C and 2 °C scenario imply that the annual global output of total emissions should be curbed down to 25 GtCO$_2$e (carbon dioxide equivalent) and 41 GtCO$_2$e by the year 2030, respectively (Rogelj et al. 2018). However, within the framework of current policies, the estimated annual output in 2030 will be around 60 GtCO$_2$e and even if countries manage to reach their self-determined contributions to reduce emissions, this value will only lower to about 54 GtCO$_2$e (Emissions Gap Report 2019, 2019). With the remaining carbon budget rapidly diminishing, negative emission technologies (NET) are increasingly coming into focus. Instead of reducing CO$_2$ production, NETs focus on removing CO$_2$ from the atmosphere and storing it in oceanic and terrestrial sinks. Current approaches include bioenergy with carbon capture and storage (BECCS), direct air capture (DAC), Enhanced Rock Weathering (EW), afforestation, ocean fertilization and the conversion of biomass into biochar for soil amendment (Negative Emissions Technologies and Reliable Sequestration: A Research Agenda, 2019; Smith et al., 2016). Large scale deployment of negative emission technologies within the 21st century is an integral part of the vast majority of models, consistent with the 1.5 °C and 2 °C scenario (Workman et al., 2020). These models often imply large scale removal of atmospheric CO$_2$ by NETs only after 2050, allowing a temporal overshoot of our remaining carbon budget (Hilaire et al., 2019). It has been argued that within the framework of the current policies it might be impossible to reach the 1.5 °C goal without relying on negative emission technologies (Anderson et al., 2020; Hickel and Kallis, 2020). Until now different NETs are at different stages of development (Anderson and Peters, 2016) and at the moment there is no single solution for the removal of CO$_2$ at scale ready for deployment (Fuss et al., 2018). This has led to a more general debate whether NETs provide promising avenues for achieving the goals of the Paris Agreement (Schlesinger and Amundson, 2019). Provided the uncertainties on a global scale and with the only alternative being a more rigorous cut in emissions, it is required to precisely quantify the future potential of NETs and how they can be incorporated into climate change mitigation scenarios (Anderson & Peters, 2016, Fuss et al. 2014).

CO$_2$ consumption through reaction with mafic and ultramafic rocks and minerals is one of the technologies which has already been proposed 30 years ago as an industrial process (Lackner et al., 1995; Seifritz, 1990; see Kelemen et al. (2020) for an up to date review of the topic) and the potential through diffuse spreading of rock powder to the land surface has been reviewed by Schuiling and Krijgsman, (2006). This technology is referred to as Enhanced Rock Weathering (ERW), enhanced
weathering (EW), or Terrestrial Enhanced Weathering (TEW). We use the term EW in this study. The concept has since been investigated in a number of different scenarios. The potential of mine tailings from mafic and ultramafic deposits has been evaluated by (e.g. Assima et al., 2014, 2012; Gras et al., 2020; Hamilton et al., 2018; Harrison et al., 2013; Lechat et al., 2016; Oskierski et al., 2016; Power et al., 2020; Pronost et al., 2011; Thom et al., 2013; Wilson et al., 2014). Investigations include numerical modelling studies on global (Hartmann et al., 2013; Köhler et al., 2010; Moosdorf et al., 2014; Strefler et al., 2018; Taylor et al., 2016) and regional scale (Lefebvre et al., 2019; Renforth, 2012). While some studies focus on the application to croplands (Beerling, 2017; Haque et al., 2019; Kantola et al., 2017; Taylor et al., 2017) others focus on the marine environment and the effect of EW on ocean alkalinity (Bach et al., 2019; Hangx and Spiers, 2009; Montserrat et al., 2017; Renforth and Henderson, 2017; Rigopoulos et al., 2018a). Additionally, several field and lab studies have been carried out so far (Amann et al., 2020; Dietzen et al., 2018; Haque et al., 2020a, 2020b; Kelland et al., 2020; Renforth et al., 2015; ten Berge et al., 2012). One advantage of EW is relatively low land use compared to other NETs and low use of the resource water (Smith et al., 2016). Moreover, enhanced weathering of dunite and basalt is cost competitive with respect to other methods, ranging from 60 US $ per t CO$_2$ for dunite to 200 US $ for basalt (Strefler et al., 2018). While the relatively high energy input required for EW related to mining, milling and transport of the rocks reduces efficiency, the CO$_2$ drawdown of olivine may still reach a net value of 0.5 – 1 t CO$_2$ per t of rock (Beerling et al., 2018; Moosdorf et al., 2014). Olivine is used in many studies related to EW for its high CO$_2$ drawdown relative to rock mass (e.g. Dietzen et al., 2018; Renforth et al., 2015; table 1), but the potential release of toxic metals during dissolution is a disadvantage (Amann et al., 2020). In contrast, Haque and coworkers showed in a number of studies that wollastonite (CaSiO$_3$) amendment of soil is beneficial for crop yield, while at the same increasing soil inorganic carbon content (Haque et al., 2020b, 2020a, 2019). Similarly, basalt is already used for soil amelioration and results from an experimental study on the use of basalt powder, added to slightly acidic clay-loam soil, show cumulative removal of 4 t CO$_2$ ha$^{-1}$ within 5 years (Kelland et al., 2020). This study also found an increasing crop yield (sorghum) by 21 ± 9.4 %. In a recent study Beerling et al. (2020) indicated the potential of basalt application to cropland for CO$_2$ removal on a global scale. They estimated the potential of CO$_2$ removal for application of ground basaltic rock on cropland in the range of about 0.5 – 2 GtCO$_2$ y$^{-1}$. The aggregate amount of carbon dioxide removal, if sustained over 50 years could be between 25 and 100 Gt CO$_2$. The study also showed the potential for removal of CO$_2$ in temperate climate regions. Factors influencing the potential of EW include climate, agricultural area and practice, availability of suitable rocks, available transport networks, the CO$_2$ intensity of electricity generation, and CO$_2$ emissions from mining, comminution and transport. The importance of transport distance has been addressed by Lefebvre et al. (2019). The authors assessed the potential
of basalt for EW in a part of Brazil and concluded that the maximum transport between the quarry and field would 990 ± 116 km, above which the transport related CO₂ emissions would offset the potential CO₂ capture. Therefore, if EW is to be used in the near future, the regional availability of suitable resources is a prerequisite and regionally tailored approaches are needed to put the technology to optimal use. Here we selected Austria as suitable case example to evaluate the feasibility of EW in a regional context. Towards the realization of the European Green Deal, countries with a relatively high share of hydropower for electricity generation, like Austria, could be well suited for EW as a technology. However, it remains to be tested whether enough basaltic rocks are available in Austria or bordering countries and whether the energy demand for mining, transport and mineral comminution and consequent CO₂ production substantiate enhanced basalt weathering as a reliable strategy. In the following, we will first review the current state of research in the field before focusing on our case study.

2. Theoretical background and methodology

2.1 Co-benefits from basaltic soil amelioration

One of the goals proclaimed in the Paris agreement on climate change is to “... foster climate resilience and low greenhouse gas emissions development, in a manner that does not threaten food production”. In this context, the potential co-benefits of soil amelioration through application of basaltic rocks to croplands are noteworthy. They largely contrast the societal friction points, related to competition for land use, connected to BECCS, afforestation and reforestation. In addition, EW could be co-deployed for the two latter technologies (Amann and Hartmann, 2019; Kantola et al., 2017). Application of silicate–rock fertilizers to agricultural land is not a new concept and it has been investigated already by e.g. (Hensel, 1894) or more recently by e.g. (Van Straaten, 2006). The potential benefits are linked to the fact that silicon is actively accumulated in a number of crops including wheat, barley and sugar beet (Guntzer et al., 2012). Benefits of Si are especially important under environmental stress because silicon alleviates toxicity of some toxic metals (Adrees et al., 2015), improves Potassium, Phosphor and Calcium uptake, alleviates the effects of drought and increases resistance to pathogens and insects. The recycling of plant silicon in unaltered ecosystems accounts for a high percentage in the silicon pool, owed to the re-dissolution of plant phytoliths.

Under agricultural use, however, this cycle is interrupted due to the removal of crops. Especially with silicon accumulating plants this can warrant silicon fertilization (Savant et al., 1997) and a positive effect on yield has been reported for instance in the case of wheat with a grain yield increase of 4.1 – 9.3 % (Liang et al., 1994). Soil amendment through application of basalt has positive effects on the
cation exchange capacity in soils and supplies Potassium, Phosphor and Calcium (Gillman et al., 2002). In addition Aluminum toxicity is a problem with acid soil conditions around the world. Silicon in general and basalt have been shown to effectively decrease Al toxicity in acid soils (Cocker et al., 1998; Shamshuddin and Kapok, 2010). For these potential co-benefits, basaltic rock may be the best candidate for EW through application on agricultural land, despite its relatively low capability to sequester CO$_2$, compared to dunite. Moreover release of Chromium, Nickel and Cobalt from dunite (Renforth et al., 2015; ten Berge et al., 2012) and serpentinites (Kierczak et al., 2016) and potential accumulation in soil and crops may counteract the intended soil amelioration and the same is true for low Ca/Mg ratios (Echevarria, 2018). While serpentinites and amphiboles might exhibit good reactivity, asbestos group minerals are generally a safety concern during mining, milling, and spreading of rock powder, thereby even if handled in a safe way, public perception could impose barriers on their application (Gwenzi, 2020).

2.2 CO$_2$ drawdown through weathering

Over geological time scales, atmospheric carbon dioxide is affected by the weathering of silicate rocks through the transformation of CO$_2$ into HCO$_3^-$ (Amiotte Suchet et al., 2003; Berner et al., 1983; Dessert et al., 2003; Gaillardet et al., 1999; Gislason et al., 2009; Walker et al., 1981). For instance, the rise of the Himalayas and consequent increased weathering has resulted in CO$_2$ drawdown and a general cooling of global climate (Raymo and Ruddiman, 1992). Similarly, past cooling phases of the climate since the Archean could be directly linked to the drawdown of CO$_2$ from the atmosphere (e.g. Elliot Smith et al., 2008; Kump et al., 1999; Lowe and Tice, 2004). The idea behind EW is to speed up this process through grinding of rocks and targeted application to increase weathering rates. Exemplarily the chemical reaction can be followed through incongruent dissolution of anorthite (eq. 1). The dissolution of primary silicates leads to formation of secondary precipitates, releasing cations and transforming CO$_2$ into HCO$_3^-$.

$$\text{Ca}_2\text{Al}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_8 + 2\text{CO}_2 + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Al}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5(\text{OH})_4 + \text{Ca}^{2+} + 2\text{HCO}_3^-$$

Ideally the HCO$_3^-$ ion will subsequently be transported to the ocean (Renforth and Henderson, 2017), where it possibly partially alleviates ocean acidification (Hartmann et al., 2013; Taylor et al., 2016). If supersaturation with respect to individual carbonate phases is reached, solid carbonates might form (eq. 2).

$$\text{Ca}^{2+} + 2\text{HCO}_3^- \rightarrow \text{CaCO}_3 + \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$$

Carbonate formation is an important mechanism for the in situ fixation of CO$_2$ through Carbon capture and storage (For a review of mineral carbonation in general see e.g. Kelemen et al., 2020;
However, the aim of enhanced weathering is to convert CO\(_2\) into alkalinity, as the formation of carbonates will reduce the processes efficiency (eq. 2).

The maximum amount of CO\(_2\) drawn from the atmosphere through silicate dissolution is a function of the cation flux (mostly Ca\(^{2+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\), K\(^+\) and Na\(^+\)) which is charge balanced by the formation of HCO\(_3^-\) (eq. 2). Drawdown potential can be expressed as the amount of CO\(_2\) (R\(_{\text{CO2}}\)) removed from the atmosphere per mass of rock (t CO\(_2\) t\(^{-1}\) of rock). This value might be reduced during riverine transport (Hotchkiss et al., 2015; Marx et al., 2017; Polsenaere et al., 2013) and it will further decrease, depending on the apparent carbonate equilibrium in the ocean (Beerling et al., 2020). For the relatively fast weathering of Ca and Mg bearing silicates, R\(_{\text{CO2}}\) is sometimes based solely on Mg\(^{2+}\) and Ca\(^{2+}\) contents (Renforth, 2012). In the case of basalt the contribution of monovalent cations (Na\(^+\) + K\(^+\)) needs to be included, as they are frequently present in basaltic rocks (see table 1) in the form of relatively fast weathering minerals such as nepheline (Tole et al., 1986). In addition, the calculation of R\(_{\text{CO2}}\) from incongruent dissolution, is based on the idea of Al conservation through the formation of secondary minerals (eq. 1). However, congruent dissolution of the aluminosilicate will precede the formation of the secondary phase (Maher et al., 2009; Steefel and Van Cappellen, 1990) (eq. 3) and far from equilibrium conditions can be sustained during basalt weathering for instance through complexation of Al\(^{3+}\) with organic acids (Perez-Fodich and Derry, 2019).

\[
Ca_2Al_2Si_2O_8 + 8CO_2 + 4H_2O \rightarrow 2Al^{3+} + Ca^{2+} + 8HCO_3^- + 2SiO_2(aq)
\] (3)

Table 1 provides the R\(_{\text{CO2}}\) for minerals commonly found in basalt and two different compositions of basalt (Gudbrandsson et al., 2011; Navarre-Sitchler and Brantley, 2007). R\(_{\text{CO2 low}}\) is based on incongruent dissolution, where CO\(_2\) is charge balanced against the cations released during dissolution (\(\Sigma CO_2 = \text{meq}(\text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{Mg}^{2+} + \text{Na}^+ + \text{K}^+)\)). R\(_{\text{CO2 max}}\) is based on congruent dissolution including Al\(^{3+}\), Fe\(^{3+}\), Fe\(^{2+}\) and Ti\(^{4+}\) in the calculation. The latter process has also been proposed in (Navarre-Sitchler and Brantley, 2007) to asses CO\(_2\) drawdown from basalt weathering. The values of 282 kg t\(^{-1}\) of basalt (R\(_{\text{CO2 low}}\)) and 880 kg t\(^{-1}\) of basalt (R\(_{\text{CO2 max}}\)) will be used for evaluation of the potential in a pessimistic and optimistic scenario in this study.
### Table 1: Theoretical CO₂ drawdown (R\textsubscript{CO₂ max} and R\textsubscript{CO₂ low}) in kg CO₂ t\textsuperscript{-1} of rock (mineral) for common basalt forming minerals and basalt. R\textsubscript{CO₂ max} is based on congruent dissolution and R\textsubscript{CO₂ low} is based on incongruent dissolution. Bold numbers indicate R\textsubscript{CO₂ low} and R\textsubscript{CO₂ max} used during modelling.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>g mol\textsuperscript{-1}</th>
<th>R\textsubscript{CO₂ max}</th>
<th>R\textsubscript{CO₂ low}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forsterite</td>
<td>Mg\textsubscript{2}SiO\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>140.7</td>
<td>1251</td>
<td>1251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enstatite</td>
<td>Mg\textsubscript{2}Si\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{6}</td>
<td>200.8</td>
<td>877</td>
<td>877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diopside</td>
<td>CaMgSi\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{6}</td>
<td>216.5</td>
<td>813</td>
<td>813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hornblende</td>
<td>{(Ca\textsubscript{0.6}Na\textsubscript{0.3}K\textsubscript{0.1})\textsubscript{2.5}\textsubscript{[Mg\textsubscript{0.6}Fe\textsubscript{0.3}Al\textsubscript{0.1}]}\textsubscript{3}\textsubscript{[(OH)\textsubscript{0.75}F\textsubscript{0.25}]\textsubscript{2}/[(SiO\textsubscript{0.75}Al\textsubscript{0.25}]}\textsubscript{2}\textsubscript{SiO22}</td>
<td>868.4</td>
<td>811</td>
<td>507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthoclase</td>
<td>KAlSi\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{8}</td>
<td>278.3</td>
<td>632</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anorthite</td>
<td>CaAl\textsubscript{2}Si\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>278.2</td>
<td>1265</td>
<td>316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucite</td>
<td>KAlSi\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{6}</td>
<td>218.3</td>
<td>807</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nepheline</td>
<td>Na\textsubscript{3}KAl\textsubscript{4}Si\textsubscript{4}O\textsubscript{16}</td>
<td>584.3</td>
<td>1205</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basalt</td>
<td>Si\textsubscript{3}Ti\textsubscript{0.025}Al\textsubscript{0.329}Mg\textsubscript{0.310}Fe(III)\textsubscript{0.02}Fe(II)\textsubscript{0.193}Ca\textsubscript{0.273}Na\textsubscript{0.061}K\textsubscript{0.007}O\textsubscript{3.394}</td>
<td>123.5</td>
<td>968</td>
<td>429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basalt</td>
<td>Ca\textsubscript{0.1}Mg\textsubscript{0.1}Fe\textsubscript{0.4}Al\textsubscript{0.1}SiO\textsubscript{1.25}</td>
<td>125.0</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>282</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2.2 Basalt weathering rates in the context on enhanced weathering

The dissolution of basaltic glass at far from equilibrium conditions has been investigated in mixed flow reactors by (Galeczka et al., 2014; Gislason and Oelkers, 2003; Oelkers and Gislason, 2001; Stockmann et al., 2011; Wolff-Boenisch et al., 2004). These studies show a minimum dissolution rate in the circumneutral pH area (see figure 1), commonly observed for aluminosilicates (Gautier et al., 1994; Gudbrandsson et al., 2014). In contrast, Al-free silicate minerals, such as enstatite or forsterite exhibit a near linear dependence of dissolution rates on pH (figure 1). Accordingly, the respective mineralogical composition of a basaltic rock may influence the stoichiometry of the released cations. This has been shown in experiments, where a U-shaped release of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} as a function of pH was shown, connected to the dissolution of plagioclase, while for Mg\textsuperscript{2+} and Fe\textsuperscript{2+} dominantly released from pyroxenes and olivine a linear decrease was observed (Gudbrandsson et al., 2011). The reactivity of basalt was not hindered by the formation of secondary carbonates in the experiments carried out by Stockmann, (2011). Basaltic glass and its crystalline counterparts exhibit a similar pH dependence on dissolution rates which decrease with increasing Silicon content of the solid phase. Wolff-Boenisch et al. (2006) investigated the influence on crystallinity on dissolution rates of silicate minerals and their amorphous counterparts at pH 4 and found that crystallinity influences the dissolution rate for Silicon rich phases, whereas ultramafic glasses dissolve similar to their crystalline counterparts. In general, dissolution rates of volcanic rocks decrease with increasing Silicon content (Wolff-Boenisch et al., 2004).
To understand better the importance of mafic and ultramafic rocks as reactants in the long term global Silicon Carbon cycle, basalt weathering rates have been estimated at catchment scale in several studies (e.g. Babechuk et al., 2014; Das et al., 2005; Dessert et al., 2001; Li et al., 2016; Louvat and Allègre, 1997). However, reconciling the observations from field scale with the laboratory derived weathering rates remains a challenge. In general the difference in laboratory and field rates can be attributed to both, physical i.e. hydrological features (Velbel, 1993), chemical features such as surface passivation though leached layer formation or the precipitation of secondary phases (Daval et al., 2018; Velbel, 2009), or a mixture of both, such as a long fluid residence times. The prediction of surface passivation itself is not straightforward. For instance the formation of passivating layers may be prevented through fungal biofilm, thereby increasing dissolution rates (Gerrits et al., 2020). On the other hand, the passivating effect of Al on the solubility and dissolution rate of amorphous silica and quartz has been investigated by (Bickmore et al., 2006; Iler, 1973), showing that Al containing layers not only reduce dissolution rates but also apparent overall solubility. Fluid residence time, soil moisture and mineral/fluid ratios are crucial when investigating the rate of chemical weathering (Maher, 2010; Navarre-Sitchler et al., 2011; White and Brantley, 2003). The complex interrelationship between gas and water in the unsaturated zone further complicates the calculation of realistic weathering rates (Harrison et al., 2017, 2015). In addition, field weathering
rates are influenced by trace metals (Oelkers et al., 2018), bacterial communities (Wild et al., 2019) or organic ligands (Perez-Fodich and Derry, 2019; Perez et al., 2015). They are not only dependent on a specific surface area but can be influenced by crystallographic orientation of minerals (Daval et al., 2013).

In the context of enhanced weathering, dissolution of rock powder added to soil cannot be calculated merely based on dissolution rates. The shrinking core model has been used to describe the time for complete dissolution of a grain (Hangx and Spiers, 2009). The authors estimated that the addition of olivine to seawater requires particle sizes < 10 μm to achieve relatively complete dissolution of 100 years. With a similar approach Renforth et al. (2015) estimated that the particle size of olivine added to soil, would have to be in the range of 0.1 - 0.01 μm to dissolve within 5 years.

Notwithstanding the uncertainties related to field weathering, in this study dissolution was calculated, using a dissolution rate from (Gudbrandsson et al., 2011), who measured a BET normalized rate of $3.55 \times 10^{-12}$ mol/m²/s at pH 5.84 and 25 °C (see figure 1). This is probably at the high end of weathering rates, given the discrepancy between lab and field rates and 25 °C is certainly far away from the annual average temperature in Austria. It is however close to the high end of dissolution rates reported for olivine in soil by (Renforth et al., 2015) (see figure 1) and it is also in the range of the elemental release rates reported for basalt added to soil (Kelland et al., 2020). These authors measured an elemental Mg release rate of $6.6 \times 10^{-13}$ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ and a Ca release of $2.8 \times 10^{-12}$ mol m⁻² s⁻¹. For comparison, the stoichiometric dissolution of our model basalt (table 1) at the chosen rate gives an elemental release rate for Mg of $3.54 \times 10^{-13}$ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ and $1.06 \times 10^{-12}$ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ for Ca.

The BET surface area of the model basalt was calculated using an empirical equation, provided in (Brantley and Mellott, 2000) for olivine, where d is the particle diameter.

$$\log SSA (cm²/g) = 5.3 - 1.1 * \log(d) \tag{4}$$

Molecular weight of the basalt is 125 g/m² and the density is assumed 3g/cm³. To apply the shrinking core model, based on spherical particles the BET normalized ratio has to be recalculated for geometric surface. Toward this goal the geometric surface area ($SSA_{geo}$) of spherical grains of each fraction was calculated according to the equation provided in (Tester et al., 1994). The roughness ratio ($SSA_{BET}/SSA_{Geo}$) was then used to recalculate the dissolution rates based on geometric surface area (see the supplementary file for details about the calculation).
2.3 Geographical framework and model parameters

2.3.1 Availability of volcanic rocks in Austria and neighboring countries

Potential occurrences of volcanic rocks in the vicinity of Austria comprise units from the Western and Central European volcanic province in the Massif Central (Lustrino and Wilson, 2007), the Bohemian Massif and Eger Graben (Ulrych et al., 2011), Germany (Jung et al., 2005; Jung and Masberg, 1998), the Pannonian-Carpathian Volcanic province – parts of which are based in SE Austria (Ali et al., 2013; Downes et al., 1995; Lukács et al., 2018; Seghedi et al., 2004) and the Veneto Volcanic province in Northern Italy (Peccerillo, 2005) as well as some occurrences in the Dinaric Alps (Prelević et al., 2005), (see figure 2).

Figure 2: Map showing the distribution of agricultural land in Austria and potential volcanic rocks in the wider vicinity. Layers: World terrain basemap (Sources: Esri, USGS, NOAA); World cities (Sources: Esri; Bartholomew and Times Books; U.S. Central Intelligence Agency (The World Factbook); International Organization for Standardization; United States Department of State, Bureau of Intelligence and Research; GeoNames; Executive Secretary for Foreign Names - U.S. Board on Geographic Names; U.S. National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency; BBC News; Global Mapping International); CORINE land cover 2018 (© Umweltbundesamt & European Union, Copernicus Land Monitoring Service 2018, European Environment Agency (EEA), with funding by the European Union); © EuroGeographics for the administrative boundaries; volcanic rocks from IGME-5000 (Asch, 2005). The map was created using ArcGIS® software by Esri. ArcGIS® and ArcMap™ are the intellectual property of Esri and are used herein under license. Copyright © Esri. All rights reserved.
Relatively large volcanic provinces of Permo-Carboniferous origin appear south of the Insbruc line, mostly comprising intermediate to felsic volcanic rocks, e.g. dacite, trachyte, rhyolite (Cortesogno et al., 1998). The same is true for relatively large volcanic fields close in the West Carpathians, such as the central Slovakian volcanic field, mostly comprising andesite (Chernyshev et al., 2013). Similarly, volcanic rocks of the roman volcanic province are largely of intermediate composition (Beccaluva et al., 1991). (Plata et al., 2021) investigated the potential of andesitic and dacitic mining waste as a soil fertilizer, showing that soil amelioration is not limited to volcanic rocks with a low Si content. However, Cenozoic volcanic rocks of ultramafic to mafic composition can be found in all volcanic provinces surrounding Austria (see figure 3). The impression gained from figure 3 also holds when being compared to the more extensive database of volcanic rocks provided in (Lustrino and Wilson, 2007) or with respect to the data given by (Hartmann and Moosdorf, 2012), who calculated the percentage for basic volcanic rocks of appr. 59.5 %, with intermediate compositions contributing 8.5% and acid compositions covering an area of 32 % for whole Europe.
Figure 3: Total alkali content (Na$_2$O + Na$_2$O) versus Si$_2$O of selected volcanic rocks in the wider vicinity of Austria. (a) Carpathian – Pannonian region; data from (Ali et al., 2013; Ali and Ntaflos, 2011; Harangi et al., 2015, 2007; Lukács et al., 2018), (b) Western and Central European volcanic province (Alibert et al., 1983; Briot et al., 1991; Chauvel and Jahn, 1984; Dautria et al., 2004; Haase et al., 2017, 2004; Hegner et al., 1995; Jung et al., 2006; Jung and Masberg, 1998; Mertes and Schmincke, 1985; Riley et al., 1999; Ulych et al., 2011, 2003; Wilson et al., 1995; Wörner and Schmincke, 1984); (c) Other volcanic regions (Macera et al., 2003; Milani et al., 1999; Prelević et al., 2005)
2.3.2 Agricultural areas in Austria

Crop area covered approximately 1,325 M ha in 2019 (Statistik Austria, 2020), and additional 0,576 M ha were categorized as intensive grassland (Statistik Austria, 2018), assumed to be easily available for inorganic fertilizer application. Out of 1152 representative soil samples 38% have a soil pH >7, in 53% of samples the pH ranges from 5-7 and 9% are characterized as strongly acidic (pH = 4-5). For grass land only 6% show a pH > 7, 55% of the samples are in the range 5-7 and 39% are between pH 4 and pH 5 (Schwarz and Freudenschuss, 2004). Soil amelioration is mostly proposed for acidic soils. However, from a perspective of CO₂ sequestration, soil pH has relatively low impact as long as basalt is used for soil amelioration. The dissolution rate of basaltic glass in the relevant range (4-8.5) reaches a minimum around pH 6 (see figure 1). Compared to this minimum, it is ~3 times faster compared at pH 5 and it is also ~3 times faster at pH 8. A pronounced pH effect would only be expected for very acid conditions – at pH 4 the dissolution rate is ~17 times that of pH 6 (Gislason and Oelkers, 2003). From this perspective basaltic soil amelioration could also be applied to lime rich alkaline soils which contribute important agricultural areas in Austria, where it could provide a Potassium source, decreasing the need for conventional fertilizers. In summary, an area of 1,902 million ha (cropland plus intensive grassland) are assumed a reasonable maximum area of application and will be used in further modelling exercises.

2.3.3 Mining, transport and comminution

The energy demand related to crushing and grinding of material in order to reach particle sizes, small enough to achieve desirable weathering rates in soil are considered and important drawback of EW (Gerdemann et al., 2007; Moosdorf et al., 2014; Renforth et al., 2015; Rigopoulos et al., 2018b). To evaluate the interrelationship between CO₂ emissions from comminution and drawdown rate of CO₂, three scenarios with different grain size distribution are used for modelling in the range 100 – 0,1 μm (< 100 μm), 10 – 0,1 μm (< 10 μm) and 1-0,1 μm (< 1 μm). The particle size distribution was calculated for fixed classes, with normal distribution with a μ of 50, 5 and 0,5 and σ of 30,3 and 0,3, respectively. The resulting grain size distribution can be found in the supplementary file (table S1). Comminution, mining and application can be considered static and resulting input parameters, used for calculation of the CO₂ balance are listed in Table 2. Based on the relatively widespread availability of mafic and ultramafic volcanic rocks (see above) the average distance from mine to field was estimated roughly about 300 km. This is an optimistic approach, assuming that the basalt quarries closest to the Austrian border are also able to produce the required amount and quality. Resulting transport related CO₂ emissions considered in this study, are 4.8 kg CO₂e t⁻¹, 18.9 kg CO₂e t⁻¹ and 59.4 kg CO₂e t⁻¹, for transport by rail, a < 7.5t truck and 20 – 40t truck, respectively. Total CO₂e emissions including mining, comminution, transport and application calculated on base of 300km transport are
shown in Table 3 for three scenarios, using different grain size distributions (< 100 μm, < 10 μm, < 1 μm).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grain size</th>
<th>Road transport (&lt; 7.5 t)</th>
<th>Road transport (20-40 t)</th>
<th>Road transport (&lt; 100 μm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 100 μm</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>76.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 10 μm</td>
<td>56.8</td>
<td>71.9</td>
<td>115.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1 μm</td>
<td>156.4</td>
<td>171.5</td>
<td>215.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Total CO₂ emissions in kg t⁻¹ of rock, including mining, transport, comminution and application.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Influence of particle size on weathering rates

Based on Eq. 4 the average SSA_BET resulting is 10.53 (< 100 μm), 18.61 (< 10 μm) and 67.42 m²/g (< 1 μm), respectively which is in good agreement with the SSA_BET of around 10.5 m²/g, measured by Kelland et al. (2020) in the < 90 μm fraction of their basalt powder. Time for complete dissolution of all basalt was calculated to be 1696, 134 and 10.7 years for the < 100 μm, < 10 μm and < 1 μm powder, respectively. As the reservoir gets depleted and the grains become increasingly small, dissolution becomes relatively ineffective and for instance 87% of a 100 μm grain will have dissolved in half the time, needed for complete dissolution. Accordingly, the evaluated timeframe in this study
is for 97.5% dissolution in which case the dissolution takes 1205, 96 and 7.6 years (Table S1). As this time is based on the largest size grains in each powder, smaller grains will dissolve completely and based on the chosen grain size distribution > 99.9 % of the basalt powder will dissolve within the chosen timeframe already (see figure S1 in the supplementary file). The potential CO₂ drawdown associated with this dissolution is shown in figures 4a – 4c for the first 10 years and R_{CO₂ max} and R_{CO₂ low}.

Figure 4: Evolution of CO₂ drawdown in kg t⁻¹ of basalt, using R_{CO₂ low} of 282 kg and R_{CO₂ max} of 880 kg. Grey dashed lines denote the estimates for CO₂ emissions, generated through mining, grinding, transport (300km) and application; the low estimate is based on railway transport; the high estimate is based on road transport using a <7.5t truck.
Based on their experiments, Kelland et al. (2020) modelled a cumulative removal of CO$_2$ of 44 kg CO$_2$ t$^{-1}$ of basalt within 5 years through the application of basalt with a diameter of < 128 μm. This drawdown was not linear and the largest fraction was drawn down during the 120 days experimental run (30 kg CO$_2$ t$^{-1}$ basalt) in good agreement with our calculation for the < 100 μm (figure 4a). Within the chosen observation period, increasing the reaction rate through decreasing the grain size is decisive in the R$_{CO2\,low}$ and the R$_{CO2\,max}$ scenario (see figure 5).

![Figure 5: CO$_2$ balance after 7.6 years for different scenarios (see table S2), and R$_{CO2\,low}$ of 282kg CO$_2$ t$^{-1}$ rock (a) and R$_{CO2\,max}$ of 880kg CO$_2$ t$^{-1}$ rock (b).](image)

Apparent comminution, despite its high energy demand is not the controlling factor on the CO$_2$ drawdown. On the contrary, given the slow dissolution of the < 100 μm fraction (1698 years for complete dissolution), even best practice (rail transport) will only contribute limited to climate change mitigation (see table S2 and figure 5) and in the case of poor logistics (truck transport < 7.5 t) it could take ~40 years until the CO$_2$ balance reaches at least net 0. However, under a R$_{CO2\,max}$ scenario, considerable CO$_2$ drawdown could be reached even with a relatively large particle size. It can be argued that R$_{CO2\,max}$ is high and has not been proposed by any of the other studies in context with basalt application. The exact mechanisms of CO$_2$ drawdown during basalt weathering and the corresponding R$_{CO2\,max}$ are still unclear (see above) but in any case the assumed drawdown is close to the drawdown for e.g. olivine, showing the potential within ultramafic rocks in general. We note that grinding down to < 1 μm is to our knowledge technically not possible at this moment at industrial scale. Notwithstanding the practical implications of the high energy demand (see section 3.3) it goes to show however that the smallest technically possible grain size should be aimed at. The comminution of material to a grainsize in the range of 10 μm could be achieved with lower energy input, than assumed in prior publications, given the application of state of the art milling equipment (de Bakker, 2014; Gao et al., 2002).
3.2 Cumulative CO₂ removal

Assuming the maximum application on both intensive grassland (0.576 M ha) and agricultural land (1.326 M ha), we can estimate the amount of CO₂ drawdown potential for the application of 10 kg rock/m² (100t/ha). The cumulative amount of basalt applied in this case is 190 Mt. Using rail transport and R_CO₂ low, this amounts to 4.1 and 14.8 Mt CO₂ for the < 100 and < 10 μm fraction, respectively. The annual output of greenhouse gases in Austria amount to roughly 80 Mt CO₂e (Klimaschutzreport, 2019). This translates into a net removal of 5.2 % and 18.5 % of Austria’s annual greenhouse gas emissions (CO₂e) within the observed ~8 year cycle (see table S2). It is important to note however, that current transportation practices could easily turn this into a positive emission technology (see figure 4a and table S2). For R_CO₂ max the potential drawdown would be tremendous from 25 % (< 100 μm) up to a maximum of 86.6 % (< 10 μm) of Austria’s annual greenhouse gas emissions (CO₂e) within a ~8 year cycle. This is in good agreement with the study of (Moosdorf et al., 2014), who used a comparable R_CO₂ to evaluate the potential of ultramafic rocks and found that on a global scale transport would not influence CO₂ drawdown in way which makes the application unfeasible. We note however that including reaction rates, the net CO₂ removal after ~8 years in our estimate is 105 (< 100 μm) and 364 (< 10 μm) kg CO₂ t⁻¹. The range of 500 – 1000 kg CO₂ t⁻¹ obtained for complete dissolution is misleading because it hides the timeframe needed to achieve such drawdown.

3.3 Associated energy requirement

The electricity generation in Austria amounted up to 73460 GWh (20901 GWh of that was generated through biogenic or fossil fuels) in the year 2019 (https://www.e-control.at/betriebsstatistik2019) in contrast to 32904 GWh and 3595 GWh, needed for grinding down of 190 Mt of basalt to < 10 μm and < 100 μm, respectively. Approximately 45% or 4.9 % of the annual power generation is required. Given the relatively long time for complete dissolution of the powder, it can be argued, that application would not be carried out every year and the optimal application rate is yet to be determined. Still, even if the application cycle is 10 years the energy requirement is 4.5% (< 10 μm) 0.5%(< 100 μm) of annual electricity generation. A detailed analysis of the transformation and growth potential of the energy sector in Austria over the next decades is outside the scope of this study. However we note, that back until the year 2001, the amount of electricity import was always greater than export. Reducing energy demand is considered one of the key factors in fighting climate change over the next decades and in this context liberating 0.5% of the annual electricity generation for rock comminution will not be an easy task.
3.4 The amount of basalt needed

With an assumed density of 3g/cm³, the 190 Mt basalt fill a volume of 0.063 km³ (a cube of approximately 400m side length). The annual amount of basalt mined in Austria was around 1.5 – 2 Mt in the years 2015-2018 (Österreichisches Montan-Handbuch 2019 - 93. Jahrgang, 2019). The cumulative amount of all mined rocks and minerals amounts to ~70 Mt/year. The necessary amount of basalt can most likely not be sustained through mining on Austrian territory, although large volumes of volcanic rock might be found beneath the surface (Heritsch, 1982). 100 t ha⁻¹ is high and it has been shown that considerable CO₂ drawdown can also be achieved with lower application rates (Dietzen et al., 2018; ten Berge et al., 2012), potentially allowing to reduce the amount of basalt. In any case, the mafic lavas of the central European volcanic province in Germany comprise several thousand km³ (Jung et al., 2005) and the eruptive volume of the Vogelsberg (central Germany) alone is ~600 km³ (Bogaard et al., 2003). (Kereszturi et al., 2011) calculated a volume of volcanic rocks for the Bakony-Balaton Highland Volcanic Field in the Pannonian Carpathian region of ~2.9 km³ and large volumes of volcanic rocks are present in the bohemian massif, for instance in the doupov mountains (123 km³) ( Shrbený, 1995). The chaine de puys erupted ~10 km³ of basalt and trachyte (Martel et al., 2013). Conclusively, there is potential for basaltic rock mining in central Europe for several application cycles on agricultural land, not just in Austria. However, mining even if related to sustainable agriculture and climate change mitigation inevitable is in confrontation with other aspect of environmental protection, related to biodiversity, protected areas and economic and societal factors, related to tourism and recreational value. The enormous touristic potential of some of the regions, by means of cultural heritage, the thermal spa tourism often related to Cenozoic volcanism, agricultural use in general and the high quality wines often related to volcanic terrains in particular put limits on mining capacity of the regions. In addition, environmental impact assessment of related mining projects can be enduring. Even if a first assessment shows the enormous volumes of volcanic rocks in Central Europe, not every occurrence will provide the desired chemical composition (see figure 2) and high quality outcrops are already being heavily exploited. Therefore if basalt fertilizer is to play a more prominent role in Austria’s (and Europe's) land management practices, strategic planning of the resources use is now warranted on the European level.
4. Conclusion

This study assessed the potential of EW through application of basalt on agricultural area in Austria. Two alternative scenarios for basalt with high ($R_{CO2,\text{max}} = 880 \text{ kg CO}_2 \text{ t}^{-1}$) and low ($R_{CO2,\text{low}} = 282 \text{ kg CO}_2 \text{ t}^{-1}$) CO$_2$ drawdown potential were evaluated for a single application and a reaction time of ~8 years and three different grain sizes (< 100 μm, < 10 μm and < 1 μm). For a transport distance of 300 km the net drawdown of CO$_2$ increases with decreasing grain size from 22 kg CO$_2$ t$^{-1}$ to 125 kg CO$_2$ t$^{-1}$ in the $R_{CO2,\text{low}}$ scenario, if transport is carried out by railway. Our results also indicate, that road transport would turn the application of a < 100 μm grain size ineffective or worse, even contribute CO$_2$ emission. However, transport becomes less important with decreasing grain size. Application of 100 t ha$^{-1}$ with a < 10 μm grain size could draw down approximately 2% of Austria’s annual greenhouse gas emissions. The huge energy demand related to the grinding of this amount of rock, based on a application cycle of 10 years, would require up to ~5% of Austria’s total annual power generation. Larger grain sizes have been proposed to circumvent this problem, but our results suggest this energy will have to be available, if enhanced basalt weathering is to make an impact on the CO$_2$ budget.

However, at the moment, uncertainties related to effective mechanisms of CO$_2$ consumption during weathering hinder precise quantification. Stoichiometric dissolution of basalt including Al$^{3+}$, could withdraw higher amounts of CO$_2$ ($R_{CO2,\text{max}} = 880 \text{ kg CO}_2 \text{ t}^{-1}$), in which case the application of larger grain sizes (< 100 μm) could be sufficient. Uncertainties regarding the actual field weathering rates of basalt powder in soil further complicate our ability to quantify the CO$_2$ drawdown related to EW. The precise determination of these rates remains a crucial requirement towards the large scale application of EW. Further studies from laboratory to field scale under different conditions will be necessary to overcome these deficiencies to put the available resources to optimal use within a European strategy. In addition the influence of agricultural practice on greenhouse gas emissions is increasingly put into focus in the context of climate policy, e.g. the EU LULUCF regulation (Romppanen, 2020), and soil amelioration through the application of basalt could become an integral part of soil carbon management.

At last, the energy demand related to EW produces an obvious conundrum. EW is in conflict with the need to reduce energy consumption and the necessary phasing out of fossil fuel based energy. As such, EW relies on our transformation into a sustainable society with a low carbon economy and abundant low carbon power supply to contribute to climate change mitigation. It does vice versa not contribute to this transformation.
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Table S1: Model parameters of the <100 μm, <10 μm and <1 μm fraction. % denotes the relative fraction of the grain size; Moles denotes the moles of basalt in each grain size; SSA\textsubscript{BET} is calculated after Brantley and Mellott, (2000) (see text below) SSA\textsubscript{geo} is calculated after Tester et al., (1994); \(r\textsubscript{geo}\) is the dissolution rate normalized to geometric surface area; time at which 97.5% of the respective grain size have dissolved, according to the shrinking core model. Total amount dissolved in moles and kg; \(R\textsubscript{CO2 max}\) is the amount of CO\textsubscript{2} drawn from the atmosphere calculated from stoichiometric basalt dissolution (see equation 3 in manuscript), \(R\textsubscript{CO2 low}\) is calculated based on charge balance for Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and Mg\textsuperscript{2+} only.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>grain size [μm]</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>moles</th>
<th>SSA\textsubscript{BET} [m\textsuperscript{2}/g]</th>
<th>SSA\textsubscript{geo} [m\textsuperscript{2}/g]</th>
<th>(r\textsubscript{geo}) [mol/m\textsuperscript{2}/s]</th>
<th>Time (t) [years]</th>
<th>Amount dissolved/(t) [mol]</th>
<th>Amount dissolved/(t) [kg]</th>
<th>(R\textsubscript{CO2 max})</th>
<th>(R\textsubscript{CO2 low})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>254.8</td>
<td>251.2</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>4.5E-11</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>260.5</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.8E-11</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>267.7</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.5E-11</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>329.9</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3.0E-11</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>1387</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>417.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.8E-11</td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td>1207</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>616.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.6E-11</td>
<td>205.2</td>
<td>1658</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>813.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>2.5E-11</td>
<td>320.5</td>
<td>1041</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>961.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>2.4E-11</td>
<td>439.8</td>
<td>648</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>781</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>1016.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>2.4E-11</td>
<td>562.2</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>824</td>
<td>264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>961.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>2.4E-11</td>
<td>687.1</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>813.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>2.3E-11</td>
<td>814.0</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>865</td>
<td>277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>616.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>2.3E-11</td>
<td>942.8</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>873</td>
<td>279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>417.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>2.3E-11</td>
<td>1073.3</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>877</td>
<td>281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>253.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>2.2E-11</td>
<td>1205.1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>879</td>
<td>281</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>grain size [μm]</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>moles</th>
<th>SSA\textsubscript{BET} [m\textsuperscript{2}/g]</th>
<th>SSA\textsubscript{geo} [m\textsuperscript{2}/g]</th>
<th>(r\textsubscript{geo}) [mol/m\textsuperscript{2}/s]</th>
<th>Time (t) [years]</th>
<th>Amount dissolved/(t) [mol]</th>
<th>Amount dissolved/(t) [kg]</th>
<th>(R\textsubscript{CO2 max})</th>
<th>(R\textsubscript{CO2 low})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>262.9</td>
<td>251.2</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>4.5E-11</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>878</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>284.9</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>4.1E-11</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>788</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>323.9</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.8E-11</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>918</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>365.8</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>3.6E-11</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>687</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>410.2</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>3.5E-11</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>605.2</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>3.3E-11</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>1628</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>798.9</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>3.2E-11</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>1022</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>713</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>943.8</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>3.1E-11</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>997.7</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>3.0E-11</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>943.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>3.0E-11</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>851</td>
<td>272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>798.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>2.9E-11</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>866</td>
<td>277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>605.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.9E-11</td>
<td>74.9</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>873</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>410.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>2.8E-11</td>
<td>85.3</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>878</td>
<td>281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>248.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2.8E-11</td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>879</td>
<td>281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grain size [µm]</td>
<td>% moles</td>
<td>SSA_{BET} [m²/g]</td>
<td>SSA_{N20} [m²/g]</td>
<td>r_{geo} [mol/m²/s]</td>
<td>Time (t) [years]</td>
<td>Amount dissolved (t) [mol]</td>
<td>Amount dissolved (t) [kg]</td>
<td>R_{CO2 max}</td>
<td>R_{CO2 low}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>251.2</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>4.5E-11</td>
<td>333.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>3392</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>160.8</td>
<td>13.33</td>
<td>4.3E-11</td>
<td>410.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1159</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>117.2</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>4.2E-11</td>
<td>492.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>4.1E-11</td>
<td>573.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>661</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>4.0E-11</td>
<td>649.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>724</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>63.3</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>3.9E-11</td>
<td>716.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>3.9E-11</td>
<td>767.5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>796</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>3.8E-11</td>
<td>800.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>819</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.8E-11</td>
<td>811.4</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>836</td>
<td>264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.7E-11</td>
<td>767.5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>856</td>
<td>272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>3.7E-11</td>
<td>649.7</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>3.6E-11</td>
<td>492.1</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>3.6E-11</td>
<td>333.6</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>878</td>
<td>281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>3.5E-11</td>
<td>202.3</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>879</td>
<td>281</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S2: CO₂ balance for different scenarios in kg CO₂ t⁻¹ of rock (Values used in figure 5). % removal of annual GHG emissions denotes the cumulative removal after 7.6 years in % of the annual Austrian GHG emissions (80 Mt CO₂e), if applied to an agricultural area of 1.902 M ha.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kg CO₂ t⁻¹ after 7.6 years [kg]</th>
<th>% removal of annual GHG emissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;100 µm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_{CO2 low} - CO₂ emission (rail transport)</td>
<td>21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_{CO2 low} - CO₂ emission (road 20-40t)</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_{CO2 low} - CO₂ emission (road &lt;7.5t)</td>
<td>-37.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_{CO2 high} - CO₂ emission (rail transport)</td>
<td>105.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_{CO2 high} - CO₂ emission (road 20-40t)</td>
<td>91.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_{CO2 high} - CO₂ emission (road &lt;7.5t)</td>
<td>47.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. Shrinking core model for calculation of dissolution times after (Hangx and Spiers. 2009)

\[ X_{\text{basalt}}(t) = \frac{d_0^2 - d(t)^3}{d_0^2} \]  
\[ d(t) = d_0 - 2R_{\text{diss}}\Omega t \]  

\( X_{\text{basalt}} \) is the amount of basalt dissolved in time (t); \( d_0 \) is the initial grain size in m; \( R_{\text{diss}} \) in our case is the dissolution rate normalized to geometric surface area (SSA_{geo}). as this model is based on spherical particles; \( \Omega \) is the molar volume of basalt (4.17 x 10^{-5} m^3/mol). Because the relationship between SSA_{BET} and SSA_{geo} is not expected to be linear. SSA_{BET} was calculated using equation 3 from (Brantley and Mellott. 2000) with

\[ \log \text{SSA}_{\text{BET}} (\text{cm}^2/\text{g}) = b + m \log(d) \]  

where \( b \) is 5.3 and \( m \) is -1.1. Values are taken for olivine. Geometric surface area (SSA_{geo}) was calculated for spherical particles after (Tester et al.. 1994):

\[ SSA_{\text{geo}} = \frac{6}{d\rho} \]  

where \( d \) is the diameter of the particle and \( \rho \) is the density of basalt (3 g/cm³). The roughness factor (Rf) can be calculated according to

\[ Rf = \frac{SSA_{\text{BET}}}{SSA_{\text{geo}}} \]  

and the BET normalized dissolution rate (\( r_{\text{BET}} \)) in our calculation derived from (Gudbrandsson et al.. 2011). at 3.55 10^{-12} mol/m²/s at pH 5.84 and 25 °C was normalized to geometric surface area (\( r_{\text{geo}} \)) through

\[ r_{\text{geo}} = r_{\text{BET}} * Rf \]  

\( r_{\text{geo}} \) was subsequently used to calculate the lifetime of a basalt grain after equation (2) for each fraction (see Table S1). To calculate the total amount of dissolved basalt, the shrinking core model was solved for each grain size at fixed time steps (table S1). Because the time steps were chosen for 97.5% dissolution, the resulting undissolved rest of 2.5% was added at each subsequent time step.
Figure S1: Time for dissolution of a 100 μm basalt grain, calculated using the shrinking core model. Time for 97.5% dissolution of the grain is 1205 years. According to the chosen grain size distribution for the < 100 μm basalt powder, 99.9% of the powder will dissolve within this timeframe.
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