1	A record of plume-induced plate rotation triggering seafloor spreading and
2	subduction initiation
3	
4	Authors: Douwe J.J. van Hinsbergen ^{1*} , Bernhard Steinberger ^{2,3} , Carl Guilmette ⁴ , Marco
5	Maffione ^{1,5} , Derya Gürer ^{1,6} , Kalijn Peters ¹ , Alexis Plunder ^{1,7} , Peter J. McPhee ¹ , Carmen Gaina ³
6	Eldert L. Advokaat ^{1,5} , Reinoud L.M. Vissers ¹ , and Wim Spakman ¹
7	Affiliations:
8	¹ Department of Earth Sciences, Utrecht University, Princetonlaan 8A, 3584 CB Utrecht, Netherlands
10	² GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Potsdam, Germany
11	³ Centre of Earth Evolution and Dynamics (CEED), University of Oslo, Norway
12	⁴ Département de Géologie et de Génie Géologique, Université Laval, Québec, QC G1K 7P4,
13	Canada
14	⁵ School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, B15 2TT
15	UK
16	⁶ School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Queensland, St Lucia, Queensland
17	4072, Australia
18	⁷ BRGM, F-45060, Orléans, France
19	
20	*Correspondence to: Douwe J.J. van Hinsbergen (d.j.j.vanhinsbergen@uu.nl)
21	
22	Manuscript under consideration at Nature Geoscience:
23	Original submission date: July 10, 2020
24	Reviews received: December 18, 2020
25	Resubmission after revision: January 26, 2020
26	1

The formation of a global network of plate boundaries surrounding a mosaic of lithospheric fragments was a key step in the emergence of Earth's plate tectonics. So far, propositions for plate boundary formation are regional in nature but how plate boundaries are being created over 1000s of km in short periods of geological time remains elusive. Here, we show from geological observations that a >12,000 km long plate boundary formed between the Indian and African plates around 105 Ma with subduction segments from the eastern Mediterranean region to a newly established India-Africa rotation pole in the west-Indian ocean where it transitioned into a ridge between India and Madagascar. We find no plate tectonics-related potential triggers of this plate rotation and identify coeval mantle plume rise below Madagascar-India as the only viable driver. For this, we provide a proof of concept by torque balance modeling revealing that the Indian and African cratonic keels were important in determining plate rotation and subduction initiation in response to the spreading plume head. Our results show that plumes may provide a non-plate-tectonic mechanism for large plate rotation initiating divergent and convergent plate boundaries far away from the plume head that may even be an underlying cause of the emergence of modern plate tectonics.

The early establishment of plate tectonics on Earth was likely a gradual process that evolved as the cooling planet's lithosphere broke into a mosaic of major fragments, separated by a network of plate boundaries: seafloor spreading ridges, transform faults, and subduction zones¹. The formation of spreading ridges and connecting transform faults is regarded as a passive process, occasionally associated with rising mantle plumes². The formation of subduction zones is less well understood. Explanations for subduction initiation often infer spontaneous gravitational collapse of aging oceanic lithosphere², or relocations of subduction zones due to intraplate stress changes in response to continental collisions with other continents, oceanic plateaus, or arcs³. Mantle plumes have also been suggested as drivers for regional subduction initiation, primarily based on numerical modeling⁴⁻⁶. But while such processes may explain how plate tectonics evolves on a regional scale, they do not provide insight into the geodynamic cause(s) for the geologically sudden (<10 My) creation of often long (>1000 km) plate boundaries including new subduction zones⁷. Demonstrating the causes of plate boundary formation involving subduction initiation using the geological record is challenging and requires (i) establishing whether subduction initiation was spontaneous or induced; (ii) if induced,

constraining the timing and direction of incipient plate convergence; (iii) reconstructing the entire plate boundary from triple junction to triple junction, as well as the boundaries of neighboring plates, to identify collisions, subduction terminations, or mantle plume arrival that may have caused stress changes driving subduction initiation. In this paper, we provide such an analysis for an intra-oceanic subduction zone that formed within the Neotethys ocean around 105 Ma, to evaluate the driver of subduction initiation and plate boundary formation.

Induced subduction initiation across the Neotethys Ocean

Determining spontaneous versus induced subduction initiation is a particular complexity in this analysis and requires geological records of both the upper and lower plates: in both cases, subduction initiation corresponds with initial lower plate burial, whereas coeval or delayed extension in the upper plate are contrasting diagnostics of spontaneous or forced subduction initiation, respectively⁸. Initiation of lower plate burial can be dated through prograde mineral growth in rocks of the incipient subduction plate contact, in so-called metamorphic soles⁸. The timing of extension is inferred from spreading records in so-called supra-subduction zone (SSZ) ophiolites^{8-10,11}. Such SSZ ophiolites have a chemical stratigraphy widely interpreted as having formed at spreading ridges above a nascent subduction zones. Metamorphic sole protoliths typically reveal that also the initial downgoing plate was of oceanic composition^{2,9}, and so ophiolite belts with metamorphic soles demarcate fossil juvenile intra-oceanic subduction plate boundaries.

Several SSZ ophiolite belts exist in the Alpine-Himalayan mountain belt, which formed during the closure of the Neotethys Ocean^{12,13} (Fig. 1A). One of these ophiolite belts formed in Cretaceous time and runs from the eastern Mediterranean region to Pakistan, across northern Arabia. The timing of lower plate burial as well as upper plate extension have been constrained in this ophiolite belt through detailed geochronological, petrological, and geochemical work. Incipient lower plate burial has been dated through Lu/Hf prograde garnet growth ages of ~104 Ma in Oman as well as in the eastern Mediterranean region^{8,14}. Upper plate extension and SSZ ophiolite spreading has been dated using magmatic zircon U/Pb ages and synchronous metamorphic sole ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar cooling ages and occurred at 96-95 Ma (Pakistan, Oman)^{15,16} to 92-90 Ma (Iran, eastern Mediterranean region)¹⁷. The 8-14 Myr time delay between initial lower

plate burial and upper plate extension demonstrates that initiation of this subduction zone was not spontaneous, but induced by far-field forcing⁸.

An initial ~E-W convergence direction at this subduction zone was constrained through paleomagnetic analysis and detailed kinematic reconstruction of post-subduction initiation deformation of the eastern Mediterranean region, Oman, and Pakistan, and was accommodated at ~N-S striking trench segments^{13,18-20}. This is surprising: for hundreds of Ma, throughout the Tethyan realm rifts and ridges formed breaking fragments off northern Gondwana in the south, which accreted at subduction zones to the southern Eurasian margin in the north^{21,22}. The ~E-W convergence that triggered ~105 Ma subduction initiation across the Neotethys ocean was thus near orthogonal to the long-standing plate motions. To find this trigger we developed the first comprehensive reconstruction of the entire ~12,000 km long plate boundary that formed at ~105 Ma and placed this in context of reconstructions of collisions and mantle plumes of the Neotethyan realm.

Geological reconstruction of plate boundary formation across the Neotethys

The Cretaceous SSZ ophiolites that formed at the Cretaceous intra-Neotethyan subduction zone in its juvenile stages are now found as klippen on intensely deformed orogenic belts (Fig. 1A). These belts formed during subduction zone migration and collisions with the continents of Greater Adria, Arabia, and India. We reconstructed these orogenic belts (Fig. 1) and restored the Cretaceous ophiolites into their original configuration (Fig. 1C) (see Methods).

The westernmost geological record of the Cretaceous intra-Neotethyan subduction zone is found in eastern Greece and western Turkey, where it ended in a trench-trench-trench triple junction with subduction zones along the southern Eurasian margin¹⁸. From there, east-dipping (in the west) and west-dipping (in the east) subduction segments followed the saw-toothed shape of the Greater Adriatic and Arabian continental margins (Fig. 1C) and initiated close to it: rocks of these margins already underthrusted the ophiolites within 5-15 My after SSZ ophiolite spreading^{14,23,24}, and continent-derived zircons have been found in metamorphic sole rocks²⁵. Subduction segments that likely nucleated along ancient N-S and NE-SW trending fracture zones, linked through highly oblique, north-dipping subduction zones that trended parallel to and likely reactivated the pre-existing (hyper)extended passive margins (Fig. 1B, C)^{20,23}. Subducted

remnants of the Cretaceous intra-Neotethyan subduction are well-resolved in the present-day mantle as slabs below the southeastern Mediterranean Sea, central Arabia and the west Indian Ocean²⁶.

East of Arabia, we trace the intra-oceanic plate boundary to a NE-SW striking, NW-dipping subduction zone between the Kabul Block and the west Indian passive margin. The 96 Ma Waziristan ophiolites of Pakistan formed above this subduction zone and were thrust eastward onto the Indian continental margin^{13,16} (Fig. 1B, C). This part of the plate boundary may have inverted a spreading ridge that formed between the Kabul Block and India in the Early Cretaceous¹³. The Cretaceous intra-Neotethyan plate boundary may have been convergent to as far south as the Amirante Ridge in the west Indian Ocean¹³, but there is no record of contemporaneous subduction beyond there. Instead, the plate boundary became extensional and developed a rift, and later a mid-oceanic ridge in the Mascarene Basin that accommodated separation of India from Madagascar^{13,27,28} (Fig. 1B). The plate boundary ended in a ridge-ridge-ridge triple junction with ridges bordering the Antarctic plate in the south Indian Ocean^{13,28} (Fig. 1B).

The newly formed Cretaceous plate boundary essentially temporarily merged a large part of Neotethyan oceanic lithosphere between Arabia and Eurasia to the Indian plate. This plate was >12,000 km long from triple junction to triple junction, and reached from 45°S to 45°N, with 4500 km of rift/ridge in the southeast and 7500 km of subduction zone in the northwest and with a transition between the convergent and divergent segments, representing the India-Africa Euler pole¹³, in the west Indian Ocean (Fig. 1B). Marine geophysical constraints show a ~4° counterclockwise rotation of India relative to Africa about the west Indian Ocean Euler pole during rifting preceding the ~83 Ma onset of oceanic spreading in the Mascarene Basin²⁷⁻²⁹, associated with up to hundreds of km of ~E-W convergence across the Neotethys (Fig. 1D).

The neighboring plates of the intra-Neotethyan subduction zone at 105 Ma were thus Africa and India. The African plate was mostly surrounded by ridges and had a complex subduction plate boundary in the Mediterranean region³⁰. The Indian plate was surrounded by ridge-transform systems in the south and east and by subduction in the north, and may have contained rifts and ridges between the Indian continent and Eurasia^{13,28}. The Neotethys lithosphere between Arabia-Greater Adria and Eurasia continued unbroken to the north-dipping

subduction zone that had already existed along the southern Eurasian margin since the Jurassic^{31,32}: the spreading ridges that existed during Neotethys Ocean opening in the Permian-Triassic (north of Arabia)³³, and Triassic-Jurassic (eastern Mediterranean region)²³ had already subducted below Eurasia by 105 Ma^{19,33} (Fig. 1B, C).

Identifying potential drivers of plate boundary formation

Collisions, subduction relocations, or mantle plume arrivals around or within the Indian or African plates are all candidate processes to explain plate boundary formation at 105 Ma. At the northern boundary of between these plates and southern Eurasia, many collisions of microcontinents and arcs occurred since the Paleozoic, but none started or ended around 105 Ma^{13,21-23,33-35}. Continental subduction and collision was ongoing in the central Mediterranean region²³, but it is not evident how this or any other changes in subduction dynamics along the E-W trending southern Eurasian margin would lead to E-W convergence in the Neotethys Ocean. In the eastern Neotethys, a mid-Cretaceous collision of the intra-oceanic Woyla Arc with the Sundaland continental margin led to a subduction polarity reversal initiating eastward subduction below Sundaland³⁶, which is recorded in ophiolites on the Andaman Islands. There, metamorphic sole rocks with ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar hornblende cooling ages of 105-106 Ma, and likely coeval SSZ ophiolite spreading ages³⁷ reveal that this subduction zone may have developed slab pull around the same time as the Indian Ocean-western Neotethys plate boundary formed (Fig 1C). However, eastward slab pull below Sundaland cannot drive E-W convergence in the Neotethys to the west, and Andaman SSZ extension may well be an expression rather than the trigger of Indian plate rotation. Hence, we find no viable plate tectonics-related driver of the ~105 Ma plate boundary formation that we reconstructed here.

A key role, however, is possible for the only remaining geodynamic, non-plate-tectonic, plate-motion driver in the region: a mantle plume. India-Madagascar continental breakup is widely viewed^{13,27,37} as related to the ~94 Ma and younger formation of the Morondava Large Igneous Province (LIP) on Madagascar³⁸ and southwest India³⁹. This LIP, however, started forming ~10 Ma after initial plate boundary formation. To understand whether the plume may be responsible for both LIP emplacement and plate boundary formation, we conduct explorative torque-balance simulations of plume-lithosphere interaction.

Mantle plumes driving plate boundary formation and subduction initiation

Numerical simulations of plume-lithosphere interaction have already identified that plume head spreading below the lithosphere leads to horizontal asthenospheric flow that exerts a 'plume push' force on the base of the lithosphere, particularly in the presence of a cratonic keel^{5,40,41}. Plume push may accelerate plates by several cm/yr⁴¹ and has been proposed as a potential driver of subduction initiation⁵.

In many cases, including in the case of the Morondava LIP, LIP eruption and emplacement shortly preceded continental breakup, but pre-break up rifting preceded LIP emplacement by 10-15 Myr²⁷. This early rifting typically is interpreted to indicate that the plume migrated along the base of the lithosphere into a pre-existing rift that formed independently of plume rise²⁷. However, in numerical simulations dynamic uplift⁴² and plume push⁴¹ already start to accelerate plates 10-15 Myr before the plume head reaches the base of the lithosphere and emplaces the LIP. Numerical simulations thus predict the observed delay between plume push, as a driver for early rifting and subduction initiation, and LIP eruption and emplacement.

Here, we add to these plume-lithosphere coupling experiments by conducting proof-of-concept torque-balance simulations particularly exploring why the observed India-Africa Euler pole is so close to the plume head such that the associated plate rotation between Africa and India caused E-W convergence in the Neotethys. We performed semi-analytical computations, including both the Indian and African plates at ~105 Ma, and assess the influence of cratonic keels on the position of the India-Africa Euler pole (Fig. 2, see Methods).

In our computations without cratonic keels, plume push under Madagascar/India caused counterclockwise rotation of India versus Africa, but about an Euler pole situated far north of Arabia, (Fig. 2A) without inducing significant E-W convergence within the Neotethys. However, in experiments that include keels of the Indian and African cratonic lithosphere, which are strongly coupled to the sub-asthenospheric mantle, the computed Euler pole location is shifted southward towards the Indian continent, inducing E-W convergence along a larger part of the plate boundary within the Neotethys Ocean (Fig. 2B).

Convergence of up to several hundreds of km, sufficient to induce self-sustaining subduction²⁷, is obtained if plume material is fed into – and induced flow is confined to – a 200 km thick weak asthenospheric layer. The thinner this layer is, the further the plume head spreads, and pushes the plate. The modern Indian cratonic root used in our computations has likely eroded considerably during interaction with the ~70-65 Ma Deccan plume⁴³. India may have had a thicker and/or laterally more extensive cratonic root at ~105 Ma than modeled here which would further enhance coupling of the lithosphere and the sub-asthenospheric mantle. Furthermore, an Euler pole close to India and a long convergent boundary to the north requires much weaker coupling in the northern (oceanic) part of the India plate (Fig. 2). In this case, results remain similar as long as the plume impinges near the southern part of the western boundary of continental India.

An order of magnitude estimate of the maximum plume-induced stresses, assuming no frictional resistance at other plate boundaries, is obtained from the rising force of $\sim 1.5 \cdot 10^{20}$ N of a plume head with 1000 km diameter and density contrast 30 kg/m³. If half of this force acts on the India plate and with a lever arm of 4000 km, this corresponds to a torque of 3·10²⁶ Nm. Once, at the onset of rifting, ridge push is established as an additional force in the vicinity of the plume, we estimate that this number may increase by up to a few tens of per cent. This torque can be balanced at the convergent boundary (length ~5000 km, plate thickness ~100 km) involving stresses of ~240 MPa, much larger than estimates of frictional resistance between subducting and overriding plates that are only of the order of tens of MPa⁴⁴. For this estimate, we neglect any frictional resistance at the base of the plate and at any other plate boundary – essentially considering the plate as freely rotating above a pinning point. This is another endmember scenario, as opposed to our above convergence estimate, where we had considered friction at the plate base but neglected it at all plate boundaries. Therefore, the estimate of 240 MPa may be considered as an upper bound but being compressive and oriented in the right direction it shows the possibility of subduction initiation as has occurred in reality along the likely weakened passive margin region of Arabia and Greater Adria. Moreover, the plume-induced compressive stresses may have added to pre-existing compressive stresses, in particular due to ridge-push around the African and Indian plates. Such additional compressive stresses may contribute to shifting the Euler pole further south, closer to the position reconstructed in Fig. 1.

Subduction became self-sustained ~8-12 Ma after its initiation, as marked by the 96-92 Ma age of SSZ spreading^{15,17}: inception of this spreading shows that subduction rates exceeded convergence rates, and reconstructed SSZ spreading rates were an order of magnitude higher¹⁵ than Africa-Arabia or Indian absolute plate motions^{41,45} signaling slab roll-back, i.e. self-sustained subduction^{20,46}. Numerical models suggest that self-sustained subduction may start after ~50-100 km of induced convergence⁷, corresponding to ~1° of India-Africa rotation between ~105 and ~96-92 Ma. Subsequent east and west-dipping subduction segments (Fig. 1) may have contributed to and accelerated the India-Africa/Arabia rotation, driving the propagation of the Euler pole farther to the south (compare Fig. 2A, C).

Mantle plumes as an initiator of plate tectonics?

Previously, numerical modeling has shown that mantle plumes may trigger circular subduction initiation around a plume head⁴, where local plume-related convection may drive subduction of thermally weakened lithosphere. This subduction would propagate through slab roll-back and may have started the first subduction features on Earth⁴. 3D convective models do produce a global network of plate boundaries^{47,48}but the role of plumes in initiating new subduction zones within this network is unclear. Here, we have provided the first evidence that plume rise formed a >12,000 km long plate boundary composed of both convergent and divergent segments. Our documented example is Cretaceous in age but geological observations showing a general temporal overlap between LIP emplacement and formation of SSZ ophiolite belts over more than a billion years⁴⁹ suggest that plume rise is a key driving factor in the formation of subduction plate boundaries. Because mantle plumes are thought to be also common features on planets without plate tectonics, such as Mars and Venus⁵⁰, they may have played a vital role in the emergence of modern style plate tectonics on Earth. That plumes may have been key for the evolution of plate tectonics on Earth, as we suggest, but apparently insufficient on Mars and Venus, provides a new outlook on understanding the different planetary evolutions.

Acknowledgments: DJJvH, MM, DG, AP, and ELA were funded through European Research Council Starting Grant 306810 (SINK) to DJJvH. DJJvH, KP and PJMcP were funded

266	through Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) Vidi grant 864.11.004
267	to DJJvH. DJJvH acknowledges Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research
268	(NWO) Vici grant 865.17.001. BS and CGa received funding from the Research Council
269	of Norway through its Centres of Excellence funding scheme, project number 223272.
270	BS received additional funding from the innovation pool of the Helmholtz Association
271	through the "Advanced Earth System Modelling Capacity (ESM)" activity. CG was
272	funded through Discovery Grant (RGPIN-2014-05681) from the National Science and
273	Engineering Research Council of Canada. We thank Inge Loes ten Kate and Debaditya
274	Bandyopadhyay for discussion, and Fabio Capitanio, Dietmar Müller, and an anonymous
275	reviewer for their constructive comments.
276	
277	Author contributions: DJJvH, BS, WS designed research. DJJvH, CGu, MM, DG, KP, AP,
278	PJmcP, CGa, ELA and RLMV developed the kinematic reconstruction; BS performed
279	modelling; DJJvH, BS, CGu, WS wrote the paper, all authors made corrections and edits.
280	
281	Competing interests: All authors declare no competing interests.
282	

Fig. 1. Plate kinematic reconstructions of the Neotethys Ocean and surrounding continents at A) the present-day; B) 70 Ma, corresponding to the time that most of the Neotethyan intra-oceanic subduction zone had terminated due to arrival of the India, Africa-Arabia, and the Greater Adria margin in the trench; C) 105 Ma, corresponding to the timing of intra-Neotethyan subduction initiation and D) 110 Ma, just before intra-Neotethyan subduction initiation. An Euler pole situated in the Indian Ocean north of Madagascar (yellow star) indicates the division between the compressional plate boundary segment (the intra-Neotethys trench) and the extensional segment (the incipient Mascarene rift connected to the mid-ocean ridge between Africa and Antarctica). Rotation around this pole, and the related intra-Neotethyan subduction initiation, are interpreted here to result from the rise and push of the Morondava mantle plume. See text for further explanation, and Methods for the plate reconstruction approach and sources of detailed restorations. Dark grey areas outline modern continents; light-grey area indicate thinned continental margins and microcontinents. Grey arrows indicate approximate rotational motion in a mantle reference frame⁴⁵ around the Amirante Euler pole. AR = Amirante Ridge; Emed = Eastern Mediterranean Region; Ir = Iran; LIP = Large Igneous Province; Mad = Madagascar; Mas = Mascarene Basin; Pak = Pakistan, Tur = Turkey; Waz = Waziristan Ophiolite.

Fig. 2. The computed total displacement, induced by the Morondava plume (pink circle) for the restored ~105 Ma plate configuration (Fig. 1C) for plates without (A, B) and with (C, D) African and Indian cratonic keels, in an Africa-fixed (A, C), or mantle reference frame⁴⁵ (B, D) (see Methods). It is assumed that, compared to a case with no lateral variations, the drag force due to the plate moving over the mantle is increased by a factor of ten wherever reconstructed lithosphere thickness exceeds 100 km (brown areas) and reduced to one tenth of the drag force wherever it is less than 100 km thick. The India craton hence nearly "pins" the India plate, such that its northern part moves in the opposite direction to the plume-induced push. Computation assumes torque balance between plume push and shearing over asthenosphere; frictional resistance at plate boundaries is neglected and computed convergence of several hundred km at the northern end of the plate boundary is a maximum estimate. Ten degree grid spacing;

locations of plates, lithosphere thickness and the plume are reconstructed in a slab-fitted mantle reference frame⁴⁵.

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

312

313

Methods: Kinematic reconstruction - The kinematic restoration of Neotethyan intraoceanic subduction was made in GPlates plate reconstruction software (www.gplates.org)⁵¹. First, we systematically restored stable plates using marine geophysical data from the Atlantic and Indian Ocean, and then restored continental margin deformation that occurred following the arrival of continental lithosphere below the oceanic lithosphere preserved as ophiolites. These restorations are based on a systematic reconstruction protocol, based on magnetic anomalies and fracture zones of present-day sea floor and geophysical constraints on pre-drift extension in adjacent passive continental margins²³, followed by kinematic restoration of post-obduction orogenic deformation using structural geological constraints on continental extension, strike-slip deformation, and shortening, and paleomagnetic constraints on vertical axis rotations. We then restored pre-emplacement vertical axis microplate rotations^{52,53}, as well as paleo-orientations of the SSZ spreading ridges at which the ophiolitic crust formed¹⁸⁻²⁰. The reconstruction shown in Fig. 1B compiles kinematic restorations for the eastern Mediterranean region²³, Iran⁵⁴, Oman²⁰, Pakistan¹³, and the Himalaya³⁴. Ophiolites interpreted to be part of the Cretaceous subduction system include the 96-90 Ma, Cretaceous ophiolites exposed in SE Greece, Anatolia, Cyprus, Syria, and Iraq, the Neyriz ophiolite of Iran, the Semail ophiolite in Oman, and the Waziristan-Khost ophiolite in Pakistan and Afghanistan^{15-17,55}. The Jurassic ophiolite belts of northern Turkey and Armenia⁵⁶⁻⁵⁸ and the late Cretaceous (<80 Ma) Kermanshah ophiolite of Iran⁵⁹ are not included and are instead interpreted to have formed along the southern Eurasian margin²³. The Masirah Ophiolite of East Oman⁶⁰ and the uppermost Cretaceous Bela, Muslim Bagh, and Kabul-Altimur ophiolites of Pakistan and Afghanistan^{61,62} are interpreted to reflect oblique latest Cretaceous to Paleogene India-Arabia convergence¹³ and are also unrelated to the event studied here. Restoration of intra-oceanic subduction prior to the arrival of the continental margins used paleomagnetic data from the ophiolites of Oman, Syria, Cyprus, and Turkey that constrain vertical axis rotations, as well as the orientation of sheeted dyke following cooling after intrusion^{18-20,52,53} as proxy for original ridge and intra-oceanic trench orientations. These paleomagnetic data systematically revealed N-S to NW-SE primary sheeted dyke orientations¹⁸-

^{20,52,53}. Because the ages of the SSZ ophiolites in the Neotethyan belt do not laterally progress, spreading must have occurred near-orthogonal to the associated trench, which must thus also have been striking N-S to NE-SW, as shown in the reconstruction of Fig. 1.

How far the Indian plate continued northwards around 105 Ma is subject to ongoing debate. On the one hand, the northern Indian continental margin has been proposed to have rifted off India sometime in the Cretaceous^{34,63}, but recent paleomagnetic data suggest that this process occurred in the late Cretaceous, well after 100 Ma⁶⁴. Others inferred that the north Indian continent had a passive margin contiguous with oceanic Neotethyan lithosphere since the middle Jurassic or before and continued to a subduction zone below the SSZ ophiolites found in the Himalayan suture zone and the Kohistan arc^{35,65,66}. Sedimentary and paleomagnetic data demonstrate that these ophiolites formed adjacent to the Eurasian margin in the Early Cretaceous⁶⁷, although they may have migrated southward during slab roll-back in the Late Cretaceous³⁵. Recent paleomagnetic data have shown that a subduction zone may have existed within the Neotethys to the west of the Andaman Islands, above which the West Burma Block would have been located (Figure 1)⁶⁸. Our reconstruction of the eastern Neotethys may thus be oversimplified. However, the geological record of the West Burma Block shows that this subduction zone already existed as early as 130 Ma, and E-W trending until well into the Cenozoic⁶⁸, and we see no reason to infer that changes in the eastern Neotethys contributed to the plate boundary formation discussed here. Some have speculated that the West Burma subduction zone would have been connected to a long-lived, equatorial subduction zone within the Neotethys all along the Indian segment that would already have existed in the Early Cretaceous⁶⁹: this scenario remains unconstrained by paleomagnetic data, and is inconsistent with sediment provenance data from the Himalaya and overlying ophiolites³⁵. In summary, the Indian plate around 105 Ma continued far into the Neotethyan realm, and the India-Africa rotation is a likely driver of E-W convergence sparking subduction initiation close to the northern Gondwana margin purported in Figure 1.

Torque balance modeling – Forces considered here include (i) the push due to plume-induced flow in the asthenosphere and (ii) the drag due to shear flow between the moving plate and a deeper mantle at rest (Fig. S1). In the first case, we disregard any lateral variations. Plume-induced flow is treated as Poiseuille flow, i.e. with parabolic flow profile, in an asthenospheric channel of thickness h_c , radially away from the plume stem. Since at greater distance plume-

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

induced flow will eventually not remain confined to the asthenosphere, we only consider it to a distance 2400 km, in accord with numerical results⁴¹, and consistent with the finding that there is a transition from dominantly pressure-driven Poiseuille flow at shorter wavelengths to dominantly shear-driven Couette flow at length scales approximately exceeding mantle depth^{70,71}. With v_0 the velocity in the center of the channel at a distance d from the plume stem the total volume flux rate is $2/3 \cdot v_0 \cdot 2\pi d \cdot h_c$ (here neglecting the curvature of the Earth surface for simplicity). Its time integral is equal to the volume of the plume head with radius estimated⁷² to be about r_p =500 km, with considerable uncertainty. That is, integration is done over a time interval until the entire plume head volume has flown into the asthenospheric channel. Hence the corresponding displacement vector in the center of the channel is

$$\mathbf{x}_{plu} = \int_{\Delta t} v_0 dt \cdot \mathbf{e}_r = \frac{r_p^3}{d \cdot h_c} \cdot \mathbf{e}_r$$

where e_r is the unit vector radially away from the plume (red arrows in Extended Data Fig. 1).

Because of the parabolic flow profile, the vertical displacement gradient at the top of the channel

386 is

$$2 \cdot \frac{\mathbf{x}_{plu}}{0.5 \cdot h_c} = 2 \cdot \int_{\Delta t} v_0 dt \cdot \frac{1}{0.5 \cdot h_c} \cdot \mathbf{e}_r = \frac{4r_p^3}{d \cdot h_c^2} \cdot \mathbf{e}_r.$$

Viscosity is defined such that the force per area is equal to viscosity times the radial gradient of

horizontal velocity. Hence the time integral of torque on the plate is

$$\mathbf{T}_{plu} = \frac{4\eta_0}{h_c} \int_A \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{x}_{plu} dA = \frac{4\eta_0 r_p^3}{d \cdot h_c^2} \int_A \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{e}_r dA$$

where η_0 is viscosity in the channel and **r** is the position vector. \mathbf{T}_{plu} is balanced by the time-

integrated torque T_{pla} of the plate rotating an angle ω over the underlying mantle. With plate

displacement vectors $\mathbf{x}_{pla} = \boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r}$ (black arrows in Fig. S1) we obtain

$$\mathbf{T}_{pla} = -\frac{\eta_0}{h_s} \int_A \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{x}_{pla} dA = -\frac{\eta_0}{h_s} \int_A \mathbf{r} \times (\omega \times \mathbf{r}) dA$$

Here h_s is an effective thickness of the layer over which shearing occurs, which is calculated below for a stratified viscosity structure, i.e. laterally homogeneous coupling of plate and mantle and which we will set equal to h_c for simplicity. Specifically, with T_x being the time-integrated torque acting on a plate rotating an angle ω_0 around the x-axis

$$\mathbf{T}_x = -\frac{\omega_0 \eta_0}{h_s} \int_A \mathbf{r} \times (\mathbf{e}_x \times \mathbf{r}) dA,$$

and T_y and T_z defined in analogy, the torque balance equation can be written

$$\mathbf{T}_{plu} = \frac{\omega_x}{\omega_0} \cdot \mathbf{T}_x + \frac{\omega_y}{\omega_0} \cdot \mathbf{T}_y + \frac{\omega_z}{\omega_0} \cdot \mathbf{T}_z$$

 ω_0 cancels out when T_x , T_y and T_z are inserted. Integrals used to compute these torques only depend on plate geometry, η_0 cancels out in the torque balance, and we can solve for the rotation angle vector $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ simply by a 3 x 3 matrix inversion. In the more general case, where we do not set h_s and h_c equal, $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is scaled by a factor h_s/h_c .

If a plate moves over a mantle where viscosity varies with depth, then the force per area F/A should be the same at all depths, and the radial gradient of horizontal velocity $dv/dz = F/A \cdot 1/\eta$ (z). If we assume that the deep mantle is at rest (i.e. it moves slowly compared to plate motions), we further find that plate motion is

$$v_0 = \int_{z_0}^{z(\eta_{\text{max}})} \frac{dv}{dz} dt = \frac{F}{A} \int_{z_0}^{z(\eta_{\text{max}})} \frac{1}{\eta(z)} dz =: \frac{F}{A} \frac{h_s}{\eta_0}$$
(1)

The integration is done from the base of the lithosphere z_0 to the depth where the approximation of the "mantle at rest" is probably the most closely matched, i.e. we choose the viscosity maximum. The last equality is according to the definition of the effective layer thickness, whereby η_0 is the viscosity just below the lithosphere. Solving this equation for h_s for the viscosity structure in Extended Data Fig. 2 and a 100 km thick lithosphere gives h_s =203.37 km.

The plume location at $27.1^{\circ}E$, 40.4° S, is obtained by rotating the center of the corresponding LIP at 46° E, 26° S and an age 87 Ma (adopted from Doubrovine et al.⁷³) in the

slab-fitted mantle reference frame⁴⁵, in which also the plate geometries at 105 Ma are reconstructed.

Results for this case (Fig. 2A) show that a plume pushing one part of a plate may induce a rotation of that plate, such that other parts of that plate may move in the opposite direction. A simple analog is a sheet of paper pushed, near its bottom left corner, to the right: Then, near the top left corner, the sheet will move to the left. With two sheets (plates) on either side, local divergence near the bottom (near the plume) may turn into convergence near the top (at the part of the plate boundary furthest away from the plume). The length of that part of the plate boundary, where convergence is induced may increase, if one plate is nearly "pinned" at a hinge point slightly NE of the plume, perhaps due to much stronger coupling between plate and mantle. At the times considered here ~105 My ago, the Indian continent, where coupling was presumably stronger, was in the southern part of the Indian plate, whereas in its north, there was a large oceanic part, with presumably weaker coupling. Hence the geometry was indeed such that convergence could be induced along a longer part of the plate boundary.

In the second case, we therefore consider lateral variations in the coupling between plate and mantle, corresponding to variations in lithosphere thickness and/or asthenosphere viscosity, by multiplying the drag force (from the first case) at each location with a resistance factor. This factor is a function of lithosphere thickness reconstructed at 105 Ma. On continents, thickness derived from tomography⁷⁴ with slabs removed⁷⁵ is simply backward-rotated. In the oceans, we use thickness [km] = $10 \cdot (\text{age [Ma]} - 105)^{0.5}$ with ages from present-day Earthbyte age grid version 3.6, i.e. accounting for the younger age and reduced thickness at 105 Ma, besides backward-rotating. To determine the appropriate rotation, the lithosphere (in present-day location) is divided up into India, Africa, Arabia, Somalia and Madagascar (paleo-)plates and respective 105 Ma finite rotations from van der Meer et al. 45 are applied. For the parts of the reconstructed plates where thickness could not be reconstructed in this way – often, because this part of the plate has been subducted – we first extrapolate thickness up to a distance ~2.3°, and set the thickness to a default value of 80 km for the remaining part. Reconstructed thickness is shown in Extended Data Fig. 4. For the resistance factor as a function of lithosphere thickness we use two models: Firstly, we use a continuous curve (Extended Data Fig. 3) according to eq. (1)

 $\frac{F}{A} = \frac{v_0}{\sum_{z_0}^{z(\eta_{\text{max}})} \frac{1}{\eta(z)} dz}.$ (2) 448 with the mantle viscosity model in Extended Data Fig. 2 combined with variable lithosphere 449 thickness z_0 . However, this causes only a minor change in the plate rotations (Extended Data Fig. 450 4 compared to Fig. 2B). Hence, we also use a stronger variation, further explained in the caption 451 of Fig 2 and with results shown in Fig. 2C and D. 452 453 **Data availability** 454 GPlates files with reconstructions used to draft Figure 1 are provided at 455 https://figshare.com/articles/dataset/van Hinsbergen NatureGeo 2021 GPlates zip/13516727. 456 457 **Code availability** 458 All codes used in the geodynamic modeling in this study are available at 459 https://figshare.com/articles/software/van_Hinsbergen_etal_NatureGeo 2021 geodynamics pac 460 kage/13635089. 461

References:

- Lenardic, A. The diversity of tectonic modes and thoughts about transitions between them. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* **376**, 20170416 (2018).
- Stern, R. J. Subduction initiation: spontaneous and induced. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **226**, 275-292, doi:10.1016/s0012-821x(04)00498-4 (2004).
- Hall, C. E., Gurnis, M., Sdrolias, M., Lavier, L. L. & Müller, R. D. Catastrophic initiation of subduction following forced convergence across fracture zones. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **212**, 15-30, doi:10.1016/s0012-821x(03)00242-5 (2003).
- 473 4 Gerya, T. V., Stern, R. J., Baes, M., Sobolev, S. V. & Whattam, S. A. Plate tectonics on the Earth triggered by plume-induced subduction initiation. *Nature* **527**, 221-225, doi:10.1038/nature15752 (2015).
- Pusok, A. E. & Stegman, D. R. The convergence history of India-Eurasia records multiple subduction dynamics processes. *Science Advances* **6**, eaaz8681 (2020).
- Baes, M., Sobolev, S., Gerya, T. & Brune, S. Plume-Induced Subduction Initiation: Single-Slab or Multi-Slab Subduction? *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems* **21**, e2019GC008663 (2020).
- Gurnis, M., Hall, C. & Lavier, L. Evolving force balance during incipient subduction. *Geochemistry*, *Geophysics*, *Geosystems* 5, doi:10.1029/2003gc000681 (2004).
- Guilmette, C. *et al.* Forced subduction initiation recorded in the sole and crust of the Semail Ophiolite of Oman. *Nature Geoscience* **11**, 688-695 (2018).
- 483 9 Stern, R. J. & Gerya, T. Subduction initiation in nature and models: A review. *Tectonophysics*, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2017.10.014 (2017).
- 485 10 Agard, P. *et al.* Plate interface rheological switches during subduction infancy: Control on slab penetration and metamorphic sole formation. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **451**, 208-220 (2016).
- van Hinsbergen, D. J. J. *et al.* Dynamics of intraoceanic subduction initiation: 2. Suprasubduction zone ophiolite formation and metamorphic sole exhumation in context of absolute plate motions. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems* **16**, 1771-1785, doi:10.1002/2015gc005745 (2015).
- 490 12 Dilek, Y. & Furnes, H. Ophiolite genesis and global tectonics: Geochemical and tectonic fingerprinting of ancient oceanic lithosphere. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* **123**, 387-411, doi:10.1130/b30446.1 492 (2011).
- 493 13 Gaina, C., van Hinsbergen, D. J. J. & Spakman, W. Tectonic interactions between India and Arabia since 494 the Jurassic reconstructed from marine geophysics, ophiolite geology, and seismic tomography. *Tectonics* 495 **34**, 875-906, doi:10.1002/2014tc003780 (2015).
- 496 14 Pourteau, A. *et al.* Thermal evolution of an ancient subduction interface revealed by Lu–Hf garnet 497 geochronology, Halilbağı Complex (Anatolia). *Geoscience Frontiers* **10**, 127-148, 498 doi:10.1016/j.gsf.2018.03.004 (2019).
- Rioux, M. *et al.* Synchronous formation of the metamorphic sole and igneous crust of the Semail ophiolite: New constraints on the tectonic evolution during ophiolite formation from high-precision U–Pb zircon geochronology. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **451**, 185-195 (2016).
- Robinson, J., Beck, R., Gnos, E. & Vincent, R. K. New structural and stratigraphic insights for northwestern Pakistan from field and Landsat Thematic Mapper data. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* **112**, 364-374, doi:10.1130/0016-7606(2000)112<364:Nsasif>2.0.Co;2 (2000).
- 505 17 Parlak, O. The tauride ophiolites of Anatolia (Turkey): A review. *Journal of Earth Science* **27**, 901-934, doi:10.1007/s12583-016-0679-3 (2016).
- van Hinsbergen, D. J. J. *et al.* Tectonic evolution and paleogeography of the Kırşehir Block and the Central Anatolian Ophiolites, Turkey. *Tectonics* **35**, 983-1014, doi:10.1002/(2016).
- Maffione, M., van Hinsbergen, D. J. J., de Gelder, G. I. N. O., van der Goes, F. C. & Morris, A. Kinematics of Late Cretaceous subduction initiation in the Neo-Tethys Ocean reconstructed from ophiolites of Turkey, Cyprus, and Syria. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* **122**, 3953-3976, doi:10.1002/2016jb013821 (2017).
- van Hinsbergen, D. J., Maffione, M., Koornneef, L. M. & Guilmette, C. Kinematic and paleomagnetic restoration of the Semail ophiolite (Oman) reveals subduction initiation along an ancient Neotethyan fracture zone. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **518**, 183-196 (2019).
- Torsvik, T. H. & Cocks, L. R. M. *Earth history and palaeogeography*. 317 (Cambridge University Press, 2017).

- 22 Wan, B. et al. Cyclical one-way continental rupture-drift in the Tethyan evolution: Subduction-driven plate 518 519 tectonics. Science China Earth Sciences, 1-12 (2019).
- 520 23 van Hinsbergen, D. J. J. et al. Orogenic architecture of the Mediterranean region and kinematic 521 reconstruction of its tectonic evolution since the Triassic. Gondwana Research 81, 79-229 (2020).
- 522 24 Warren, C. J., Parrish, R. R., Waters, D. J. & Searle, M. P. Dating the geologic history of Oman's Semail
- 523 ophiolite: insights from U-Pb geochronology. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology 150, 403-422, 524 doi:10.1007/s00410-005-0028-5 (2005).
- 525 25 Güngör, T. et al. Kinematics and U-Pb zircon ages of the sole metamorphics of the Marmaris Ophiolite, 526 Lycian Nappes, Southwest Turkey. International Geology Review 61, 1124-1142 (2019).
- van der Meer, D. G., van Hinsbergen, D. J. J. & Spakman, W. Atlas of the underworld: Slab remnants in 527 26 528 the mantle, their sinking history, and a new outlook on lower mantle viscosity. Tectonophysics 723, 309-529 448, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2017.10.004 (2018).
- Buiter, S. J. & Torsvik, T. H. A review of Wilson Cycle plate margins: A role for mantle plumes in 530 27 531 continental break-up along sutures? Gondwana Research 26, 627-653 (2014).
- 532 Gibbons, A. D., Whittaker, J. M. & Müller, R. D. The breakup of East Gondwana: Assimilating constraints 28 533 from Cretaceous ocean basins around India into a best-fit tectonic model. Journal of Geophysical 534 Research: Solid Earth 118, 808-822, doi:10.1002/jgrb.50079 (2013).
- 535 29 Gaina, C., Müller, R. D., Brown, B., Ishihara, T. & Ivanov, S. Breakup and early seafloor spreading 536 between India and Antarctica. Geophysical Journal International 170, 151-169, doi:10.1111/j.1365-537 246X.2007.03450.x (2007).
- 538 30 Gaina, C. et al. The African Plate: A history of oceanic crust accretion and subduction since the Jurassic. 539 Tectonophysics 604, 4-25, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2013.05.037 (2013).
- 540 31 Agard, P., Jolivet, L., Vrielynck, B., Burov, E. & Monié, P. Plate acceleration: The obduction trigger? Earth and Planetary Science Letters 258, 428-441, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2007.04.002 (2007). 541
- 542 32 Jolivet, L. et al. Neo-Tethys geodynamics and mantle convection: from extension to compression in Africa 543 and a conceptual model for obduction. Canadian journal of earth sciences 53, 1190-1204 (2015).
- 544 33 Stampfli, G. M. & Borel, G. A plate tectonic model for the Paleozoic and Mesozoic constrained by 545 dynamic plate boundaries and restored synthetic oceanic isochrons. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 546 196, 17-33 (2002).
- 547 34 van Hinsbergen, D. J. J. et al. Reconstructing Greater India: Paleogeographic, kinematic, and geodynamic 548 perspectives. Tectonophysics 760, 69-94, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2018.04.006 (2019).
- 549 35 Kapp, P. & DeCelles, P. G. Mesozoic-Cenozoic geological evolution of the Himalayan-Tibetan orogen and 550 working tectonic hypotheses. American Journal of Science 319, 159-254 (2019).
- 551 36 Advokaat, E. L. et al. Early Cretaceous origin of the Woyla Arc (Sumatra, Indonesia) on the Australian 552 plate. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 498, 348-361 (2018).
- 553 Plunder, A. et al. History of subduction polarity reversal during arc-continent collision: constraints from the 37 Andaman Ophiolite and its metamorphic sole. Tectonics, e2019TC005762 (2020). 554
- Torsvik, T. et al. Late Cretaceous magmatism in Madagascar: palaeomagnetic evidence for a stationary 555 38 556 Marion hotspot. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 164, 221-232 (1998).
- 557 39 Mohan, M. R. et al. The Ezhimala igneous complex, southern India: Possible imprint of late Cretaceous 558 magmatism within rift setting associated with India-Madagascar separation. Journal of Asian Earth 559 Sciences 121, 56-71 (2016).
- 40 Cande, S. C. & Stegman, D. R. Indian and African plate motions driven by the push force of the Reunion 560 561 plume head. Nature 475, 47-52, doi:10.1038/nature10174 (2011).
- 562 41 van Hinsbergen, D. J. J., Steinberger, B., Doubrovine, P. V. & Gassmöller, R. Acceleration and deceleration of India-Asia convergence since the Cretaceous: Roles of mantle plumes and continental 563 564 collision. Journal of Geophysical Research 116, doi:10.1029/2010jb008051 (2011).
- 565 42 Wang, Y. & Li, M. The interaction between mantle plumes and lithosphere and its surface expressions: 3-D 566 numerical modelling. Geophysical Journal International, doi:10.1093/gji/ggab014 (2021).
- 567 43 Kumar, P. et al. The rapid drift of the Indian tectonic plate. Nature 449, 894-897, doi:10.1038/nature06214 568 (2007).
- 44 569 Lamb, S. & Davis, P. Cenozoic climate change as a possible cause for the rise of the Andes. *Nature* 425, 570 792-797 (2003).
- 571 45 van der Meer, D. G., Spakman, W., van Hinsbergen, D. J. J., Amaru, M. L. & Torsvik, T. H. Towards absolute plate motions constrained by lower-mantle slab remnants. Nature Geoscience 3, 36-40, 572 573 doi:10.1038/ngeo708 (2010).

- 574 46 Tavani, S., Corradetti, A., Sabbatino, M., Seers, T. & Mazzoli, S. Geological record of the transition from induced to self-sustained subduction in the Oman Mountains. *Journal of Geodynamics* **133**, 101674 (2020).
- Tackley, P. J. Mantle convection and plate tectonics: Toward an integrated physical and chemical theory. *Science* **288**, 2002-2007 (2000).
- 578 48 Coltice, N., Husson, L., Faccenna, C. & Arnould, M. What drives tectonic plates? *Science Advances* 5, eaax4295 (2019).
- 580 49 Dilek, Y. Ophiolite pulses, mantle plumes and orogeny. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications* 581 **218**, 9-19 (2003).
- 582 50 Ernst, R., Grosfils, E. & Mege, D. Giant dike swarms: Earth, venus, and mars. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences* **29**, 489-534 (2001).
- 584 51 Müller, R. D. *et al.* GPlates: building a virtual Earth through deep time. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems* **19**, 2243-2261 (2018).
- 586 52 Clube, T. M. M., Creer, K. M. & Robertson, A. H. F. Palaeorotation of the Troodos microplate, Cyprus. 587 *Nature* 317, 522, doi:10.1038/317522a0 (1985).
- 588 53 Morris, A., Meyer, M., Anderson, M. W. & MacLeod, C. J. Clockwise rotation of the entire Oman ophiolite occurred in a suprasubduction zone setting. *Geology* **44**, 1055-1058 (2016).
- McQuarrie, N. & van Hinsbergen, D. J. J. Retrodeforming the Arabia-Eurasia collision zone: Age of collision versus magnitude of continental subduction. *Geology* **41**, 315-318, doi:10.1130/g33591.1 (2013).
- 592 55 Monsef, I. *et al.* Evidence for an early-MORB to fore-arc evolution within the Zagros suture zone: 593 Constraints from zircon U-Pb geochronology and geochemistry of the Neyriz ophiolite (South Iran). 594 *Gondwana Research* **62**, 287-305 (2018).
- 595 56 Galoyan, G. *et al.* Geology, geochemistry and 40Ar/39Ar dating of Sevan ophiolites (Lesser Caucasus, 596 Armenia): evidence for Jurassic Back-arc opening and hot spot event between the South Armenian Block 597 and Eurasia. *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences* **34**, 135-153 (2009).
- 598 57 Çelik, Ö. F. *et al.* Jurassic metabasic rocks in the Kızılırmak accretionary complex (Kargı region, Central Pontides, Northern Turkey). *Tectonophysics* **672-673**, 34-49, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2016.01.043 (2016).
- Topuz, G. *et al.* Jurassic ophiolite formation and emplacement as backstop to a subduction-accretion complex in northeast Turkey, the Refahiye ophiolite, and relation to the Balkan ophiolites. *American Journal of Science* **313**, 1054-1087, doi:10.2475/10.2013.04 (2014).
- Ao, S. *et al.* U–Pb zircon ages, field geology and geochemistry of the Kermanshah ophiolite (Iran): From continental rifting at 79Ma to oceanic core complex at ca. 36Ma in the southern Neo-Tethys. *Gondwana Research* **31**, 305-318, doi:10.1016/j.gr.2015.01.014 (2016).
- 606 Peters, T. & Mercolli, I. Extremely thin oceanic crust in the Proto-Indian Ocean: Evidence from the Masirah Ophiolite, Sultanate of Oman. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* **103**, 677-689, doi:10.1029/97jb02674 (1998).
- 609 61 Gnos, E. *et al.* Bela oceanic lithosphere assemblage and its relation to the Reunion hotspot. *Terra Nova* **10**, 610 90-95 (1998).
- Tapponnier, P., Mattauer, M., Proust, F. & Cassaigneau, C. Mesozoic ophiolites, sutures, and arge-scale tectonic movements in Afghanistan. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **52**, 355-371 (1981).
- 613 van Hinsbergen, D. J. J. *et al.* Greater India Basin hypothesis and a two-stage Cenozoic collision between India and Asia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **109**, 7659-7664, doi:10.1073/pnas.1117262109 (2012).
- Yuan, J. *et al.* Rapid drift of the Tethyan Himalaya terrane before two-stage India-Asia collision. *National Science Review* (2020).
- 617 65 Hébert, R. *et al.* The Indus–Yarlung Zangbo ophiolites from Nanga Parbat to Namche Barwa syntaxes, 618 southern Tibet: First synthesis of petrology, geochemistry, and geochronology with incidences on 619 geodynamic reconstructions of Neo-Tethys. *Gondwana Research* **22**, 377-397, 620 doi:10.1016/j.gr.2011.10.013 (2012).
- Zahirovic, S. *et al.* Tectonic evolution and deep mantle structure of the eastern Tethys since the latest Jurassic. *Earth-Science Reviews* **162**, 293-337 (2016).
- Huang, W. *et al.* Lower Cretaceous Xigaze ophiolites formed in the Gangdese forearc: Evidence from paleomagnetism, sediment provenance, and stratigraphy. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **415**, 142-153, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2015.01.032 (2015).
- Westerweel, J. *et al.* Burma Terrane part of the Trans-Tethyan arc during collision with India according to palaeomagnetic data. *Nature Geoscience* **12**, 863-868 (2019).
- Jagoutz, O., Royden, L., Holt, A. F. & Becker, T. W. Anomalously fast convergence of India and Eurasia caused by double subduction. *Nature Geoscience* **8**, 475-478, doi:10.1038/ngeo2418 (2015).

Höink, T. & Lenardic, A. Long wavelength convection, Poiseuille-Couette flow in the low-viscosity asthenosphere and the strength of plate margins. Geophysical Journal International 180, 23-33 (2010). Höink, T., Jellinek, A. M. & Lenardic, A. Viscous coupling at the lithosphere-asthenosphere boundary. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems 12 (2011). Campbell, I. H. Testing the plume theory. Chemical Geology 241, 153-176 (2007). Doubrovine, P. V., Steinberger, B. & Torsvik, T. H. A failure to reject: Testing the correlation between large igneous provinces and deep mantle structures with EDF statistics. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems 17, 1130-1163 (2016). Steinberger, B. Topography caused by mantle density variations: observation-based estimates and models derived from tomography and lithosphere thickness. Geophysical Journal International 205, 604-621 Steinberger, B. & Becker, T. W. A comparison of lithospheric thickness models. *Tectonophysics* 746, 325-338 (2018).



