Reassessing Eastern Mediterranean tectonics and earthquake hazard from the AD 365 earthquake

Richard F. Ott^{1,2}, Karl W. Wegmann³, Sean F. Gallen⁴, Frank J. Pazzaglia⁵, Mark T. Brandon⁶, Kosuke Ueda¹, Charalampos Fassoulas⁷

¹Department of Earth Sciences, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland
²German Centre for Geoscience Research, Potsdam, Germany
³Department of Marine, Earth and Atmospheric Sciences & Center for Geospatial Analytics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, U.S.A.
⁴Department of Geosciences, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, U.S.A.
⁵Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Lehigh University. Bethlehem, PA, U.S.A.
⁶Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Yale University, New Haven, CT, U.S.A.
⁷Natural History Museum of Crete, University of Crete, Heraklion, Greece

Corresponding author: Richard F. Ott (<u>richard.ott@gfz-potsdam.de</u>)

Key Points:

- We revisit the source mechanism for the largest historical Mediterranean earthquake
- Radiocarbon dating, dislocation, and tsunami modeling support rupture of active normal faults as the likely source
- These findings suggest a significant tsunami and earthquake hazard from normal faults in the upper plate of retreating subduction zones

This is a non-peer reviewed preprint submitted to EarthArXiv. This manuscript has been submitted to *AGU Advances*.

Abstract

The hallmark of great earthquakes ($M_w \approx 8,3-8.5$) in the Mediterranean is the 21 July AD 365 earthquake and tsunami that destroyed cities and killed thousands of people throughout the Eastern Mediterranean. This event is intriguing because most Mediterranean subduction forearcs exhibit pervasive crustal extension and minimal definitive evidence exists for great subduction megathrust earthquakes, consistent with weak seismic coupling. This conundrum has led many to favor rupture of a previously unrecognized upper plate splay fault south of Crete in an M_w 8.3-8.5 earthquake, uplifting a Holocene paleoshoreline on Crete by up to 9 m. Similar source mechanisms have been adapted and commonly used for seismic and tsunami hazard estimation in the region. We present an alternative model for the uplift of the Cretan paleoshoreline and the AD 365 tsunami that centers on known active normal fault systems offshore of western and southwestern Crete. We use new and published radiocarbon dates, together with historical records, to show that uplift of the Cretan paleoshoreline likely occurred during two or more earthquakes within 2 to 3 centuries. Visco-elastic dislocation modeling demonstrates that the rupture of these normal faults fits observed data equally as well as reverse fault models but requires less slip. Tsunami modeling shows that normal-fault ruptures produce strong tsunamis that better match historical reports than a hypothetical reverse fault. Our findings collectively favor the interpretation that damaging earthquakes and tsunamis in the Eastern Mediterranean originate on normal faults and highlight the potential hazard from tsunamigenic upper plate normal fault earthquakes.

Plain Language Summary

Most people living and vacationing near the Mediterranean Sea coast are not fully aware of the region's earthquake and tsunami hazard. Here we contribute to understanding the mechanisms for major earthquakes and tsunamis in the Mediterranean by investigating the region's largest historically documented earthquake. The record of this event is thought to be preserved in part as a fossil beach uplifted by up to 9 m on the island of Crete, Greece. Previous studies assumed that the fossil beach was uplifted during a single earthquake in AD 365. However, our results from the dating of marine fossils that died due to sudden emergence above sea level and an assessment of existing historical and archeological records suggest that there were a series of earthquakes that might have incrementally uplifted the fossil beach. We identify

and model a previously overlooked source for these earthquakes (normal faults) and tsunamis and find that these sources perform as well as or better than the traditionally assumed earthquake sources when compared to observations. These results highlight the potential importance of considering normal-fault earthquake sources in regions where tectonic plates converge and identify future research directions for more comprehensive hazard characterization.

1 Introduction

On 21 July AD 365, a massive earthquake was widely felt around the Mediterranean. The earthquake generated strong ground motions and produced a tsunami that radiated throughout the Eastern Mediterranean basin, destroying cities and causing numerous casualties (Ambraseys, 2009; Papadopoulos, 2011). In Alexandria, tsunami devastation was so severe that for centuries after the event, the anniversary was commemorated as the "day of horror" (Stiros, 2001). The AD 365 event is generally considered the largest seismic event recorded in the Mediterranean and has been the subject of intense research (e.g., Pirazzoli et al., 1996; Stiros, 2001, 2010; Shaw et al., 2008; Mouslopoulou et al., 2015b). The event is particularly interesting because subduction zones in the Mediterranean lack the great megathrust earthquakes that typify subduction zones elsewhere in the world (Jackson and McKenzie, 1988). Instead, the main seismic hazards are associated with widespread normal faulting in the forearc crust of the overriding plate. These features—a low degree of seismic coupling on the subduction boundary and widespread extensional deformation in the forearc—are typical for retreating subduction zones and are commonly attributed to the fast rollback of the subducting plate (Royden, 1993).

Historical records and geomorphic evidence of an uplifted Holocene paleoshoreline on Crete, which is found at maximum elevations of ~9 m above sea level at the southwestern tip of the island and spans a ~160 x 80 km area, place the event epicenter offshore of southwestern Crete (Fig. 1). We refer to this prominent paleoshoreline, which is almost continuously mappable around western Crete, as the Krios Paleoshoreline, based on the location of its maximum elevation at Cape Krios in southwestern Crete. Archeological evidence and radiocarbon dating of marine fossils demonstrate that the Krios Paleoshoreline was uplifted in the first centuries AD (Fig. 1) (Pirazzoli et al., 1996; Dominey-Howes et al., 1998; Shaw et al., 2008). Numerous studies argue for all of the uplift to have occurred in a single event in AD 365, based on the correlation between radiocarbon ages and historical earthquake reports (e.g., Shaw et al., 2008; Stiros, 2010; Mouslopoulou et al., 2015b). Hinging on this evidence, and considering that the epicenter lies above the Hellenic subduction zone (HSZ), the largest, fastest, and most seismically active plate boundary in Europe, a logical source for the AD 365 earthquake is the subduction megathrust. However, dislocation modeling demonstrates that Crete is too far landward for megathrust earthquakes to produce significant uplift, as required by the deformed Krios Paleoshoreline (Ganas and Parsons, 2009).



Figure 1: Overview of the study area. (a) Contour lines of the height (m) of the uplifted Krios Paleoshoreline in western Crete and surrounding islands (black). Green dots depict our radiocarbon sampling sites. The red lines show the surface traces of the faults in the two tested scenarios; the reverse fault (RF) model assumes a fault in the Hellenic Trough, whereas the normal fault models test slip along the Phalasarna Fault (PF) and the Sfakia Fault Zone (SFZ). Solid and dotted red lines represent the minimum fault extent and dashed lines the maximum fault extent in our parameter inversion. The red dots indicate the fault "starting" position," and the arrow indicates the direction (see methods). The reverse fault is dotted due to the lack of direct observations for this fault. (b) Overview of the Hellenic subduction zone in the area of Crete, Greece with depth contours (km) of the downgoing plate (Ganas and Parsons, 2009; Vernant et al., 2014). The red box highlights the extent of (a). (c) Structural map of the Hellenic Subduction Zone as mapped by Chamot-Rooke et al. (2005). The subduction thrust is black and bold, reverse faults red, strike-slip faults vellow, normal faults black, and faults with debated or unidentified kinematics blue. (d) Typical appearance of the Krios Paleoshoreline (KP) as a bio-erosional notch near Plakias, Crete (M - modern bioerosional notch). (e) Oblique view of Western Crete highlighting the > 3 km deep bathymetric troughs associated with the Phalasarna Fault and Sfakia Fault Zone. The Sfakia Fault Zone is likely connected to the onshore Messara Graben. Ph – Phalasarna, CP – Cape Krios, Pa – Paleochora, So – Sougia, Do – Domata, Sf – Chora Sfakion, Plak – Plakias, AP – Agios Pavlos, KD – Kato Daratso.

Based on the apparent inability of the HSZ megathrust to reproduce the paleoshoreline uplift in a single event, Shaw et al. (2008) and Stiros (2010) argued that the seismic source was a previously unrecognized upper plate reverse fault that potentially splays off the subduction interface and daylights in the Hellenic Trough, a 4-5 km deep bathymetric depression southwest of Crete (Fig. 1). Using dislocation and tsunami modeling Shaw et al. (2008) showed that the reverse fault interpretation would have generated an $M_w 8.3 - 8.5$ earthquake and strong and widely travelled tsunami, suggesting this reverse fault represents an earthquake and tsunami hazard equivalent to hazardous subduction megathrusts globally. Based on this work, subsequent studies have argued that the forearc of the HSZ may have multiple previously unidentified splay thrusts and that all may be capable of generating > $M_w 8$ earthquakes and strong tsunamis (Stiros, 2010; Shaw and Jackson, 2010; England et al., 2015; Mouslopoulou et al., 2015b). Thus, our current understanding of tectonics and seismic hazard of the densely populated Eastern Mediterranean is intimately related to our understanding of the AD 365 event.

While these results are provocative, at present, there is no direct evidence for surfacebreaking reverse faults in the Hellenic forearc. Geological data demonstrate that the upper crust of the Hellenic forearc has been dominated by extension since the Miocene (Angelier et al., 1982; van Hinsbergen and Meulenkamp, 2006), and all known, observed active faults on subaerial forearc highs, such as Crete and Rhodes, are extensional (Angelier et al., 1982; Fassoulas, 2001; Gallen et al., 2014). No active contractional structures have been definitively imaged offshore between Crete and the Mediterranean Ridge Accretionary complex (Lallemant et al., 1994; Chamot-Rooke et al., 2005). Seismic imaging and interpretation of International Ocean Drilling Program IODP drill cores indicate that the Hellenic Trough (Fig. 1) is a sediment underfilled half-graben bound by a southwest dipping extensional fault (Lallemant et al., 1994; Bohnhoff et al., 2001). Furthermore, wide-angle seismic imaging of the crust down to the plate interface of western and southwestern Crete demonstrate SW-NE and N-S extension, respectively, with no evidence for an embedded thrust fault splaying off the plate boundary and daylighting in the Hellenic Trough (Bohnhoff et al., 2001).

Interpretations in support of a contractional structure southwest of Crete are indirectly derived from several focal mechanisms (Shaw and Jackson, 2010), GPS stations between the forearc and the volcanic arc (Shaw et al., 2008; England et al., 2015), and the assumption that the AD 365 event singularly uplifted the Krios Paleoshoreline. However, GPS data show only minor contraction (1-4 mm/a) (Shaw et al., 2008; Saltogianni et al., 2020) between the forearc and the volcanic arc, yet due to the lack of offshore GPS data, this signal is indistinguishable from elastic deformation associated with minor locking on the subduction interface (Vernant *et al.*, 2014). Most importantly, there is little definitive support nor requirement for the uplift of the Krios Paleoshoreline in a single event.

Here we contribute to the debate of the AD 365 event by providing an alternative model based on the rupture of known active offshore normal fault systems. Our primary goal is to reconcile late Cenozoic-to-modern forearc deformation with models for the Holocene coseismic uplift as well as historic and geochronologic data. To this end, we present 32 new radiocarbon dates and use them in conjunction with published data to show that the distributions of ages at and below the most prominent paleoshoreline on Crete span a period coincident with a series of significant earthquakes. This new evidence implies that the uplift of the Krios Paleoshoreline occurred during at least two but likely several events within two to three centuries. Utilizing this premise we present an alternative hypothesis of Holocene uplift during multiple earthquake events on known active normal faults. These normal fault systems are large (from ~ 50 to ~ 100 km in length), close to the coast (< 5 km), and bound by deep (> 3 km below sea level) bathymetric troughs that represent grabens or half grabens (Fig. 1). We simulate co-and postseismic deformation on these normal fault systems proximal to the Krios Paleoshoreline and model tsunami propagation associated with fault rupture. Based on comparable simulations utilizing a single-event reverse fault, we discuss the feasibility of the two hypotheses with implications for Mediterranean tectonics and regional seismic and tsunami hazard.

2 Background

The HSZ accommodates about 35 mm/a of convergence between Africa and the Aegean, while the Africa-Eurasia convergence is only about 5 mm/a, illustrating fast rollback of the Nubian (African) slab (Reilinger et al., 2006; Vernant et al., 2014). Crete is located about 230 km north of the subduction trench and is a forearc high within the HSZ (Fig. 1). The crust below Crete is between 20 and 35 km thick (Bohnhoff et al., 2001; Makris and Yegorova, 2006; Snopek et al., 2007) and has been subject to pervasive extension since the Mid-to-Late Miocene (Angelier et al., 1982; ten Veen and Postma, 1999b; Brun et al., 2016). Accelerated rollback after 15 Ma induced extension and created numerous Neogene basins in the opening grabens (Angelier et al., 1982; Brun et al., 2016). While the basin bounding normal faults are active and thinning the upper crust, commonly both the footwalls and hanging walls of these structures are uplifted above sea level, indicating a deeper, geodynamic source for long-term regional uplift (Meulenkamp et al., 1994; Gallen et al., 2014; Ott et al., 2019b). Variable strike of these sedimentary basins suggests arc-parallel and arc-normal multidirectional extension (ten Veen and Postma, 1999b; Fassoulas, 2001). Uplift of sedimentary basins also indicates minimum Neogene uplift rates of ~ 0.2 mm/a (Meulenkamp et al., 1994), while Pleistocene paleoshorelines indicate uplift of 0.5 -1 mm/a for the south and west coast and lower, non-uplift, or subsidence in the east and north of the island (Gallen et al., 2014; Ott et al., 2019b; Robertson et al., 2019). Rock uplift is mostly driven by tectonics, since erosion rates are only ~ 0.1 mm/a, indicating that the

component of isostatic adjustment due to erosional unloading is likely negligible (Ott et al., 2019a). Tectonic uplift of Pleistocene paleoshorelines is interpreted to be related to a regionalscale uplift signal augmented by local uplift in the footwalls of large normal fault systems (Gallen et al., 2014; Ott et al., 2019b; Robertson et al., 2019).

Seismic reflection data (Leite and Mascle, 1982; Bohnhoff et al., 2001; Alves et al., 2007), focal mechanisms (Bohnhoff et al., 2005), and active synthetic onshore faults (Caputo et al., 2010) indicate that two active normal faults lie offshore the western and southwestern coastlines of Crete (Fig. 1, text S6). We refer to the normal fault offshore western Crete as the Phalasarna Fault, and the fault offshore southwestern Crete as the Sfakia Fault Zone. Sonar data indicate 70-80 m high fault scarps, and the offset of seismic reflectors suggest long-term slip rates of 2.9 - 5.8 mm/a for the Sfakia Fault Zone (Alves et al., 2007; Caputo et al., 2010). The Sfakia Fault Zone is also thought to be the offshore continuation of the on-shore Messara Graben (Fig. 1e) (Peterek and Schwarze, 2004; Gallen et al., 2014). Additionally, Late Pleistocene paleoshorelines in western Crete record some of the islands' highest uplift rates with a potential acceleration towards modern (Ott et al., 2019b), indicating high slip rates on the Phalasarna Fault.

3 Methods

3.1 Radiocarbon dating and analysis

To better constrain the emergence of the Krios Paleoshoreline, we collected fossil samples of vermetids (*Dendropoma sp.*) and corals (*Balanophyllia* sp.) from at and below the paleoshoreline at eight different sites in western Crete (Fig. 1, Tab. 1). In Phalasarna, Paleochora, and Chora Sfakion, we sampled vertical transects with six to nine samples per site and ~0.5 m elevation spacing to test for a signal or multi-stage paleoshoreline uplift. Our premise is that organism death and, therefore, our radiocarbon dates are largely related to seismic emergence from the sea. To illustrate this point, we refer to the radiocarbon dates as "emergence ages".

We selected samples from the farthest outgrown bioherm assemblages under the assumption that these are the youngest organisms. We avoided samples that had visual evidence of carbonate recrystallization (e.g., chalky texture and color). Radiocarbon samples were processed using standard techniques and investigated with a secondary electron microscope (Quanta 3D FEG) for signs of alteration (text S1, Fig. S8). The samples were calibrated using the Oxcal online calibration (Ramsey, 2009) program with the Marine13 calibration curve (Reimer

et al., 2013) and an Eastern Mediterranean marine reservoir effect of 58 ± 85 years as recommended by Reimer & McCormac (2002). Our analysis includes previously published radiocarbon data from Crete and Antikythera (Pirazzoli et al., 1996 and references therein; Shaw et al., 2008; Wegmann, 2008; Tiberti et al., 2014; Mouslopoulou et al., 2015a), which were recalibrated by Mouslopoulou et al., (2015a) using the same curves and reservoir offsets applied to our samples.

To test whether radiocarbon samples can distinguish between earthquakes in the centuries before and after the AD 365 event, we generated synthetic radiocarbon posterior distributions of calendar ages for historical earthquakes (Fig. 2). We use calendar ages, together with the calibration curve Marine13 (Reimer et al., 2013), an atomic mass spectrometer uncertainty of \pm 25 years, and a marine reservoir uncertainty of 58 \pm 85 years (Reimer and McCormac, 2002) to calculate which distribution of calibrated ages one should expect from these uncertainties, if all organisms died due to uplift during a single earthquake event. This analysis assumes that the variability in the reservoir effect is representative of all collected samples. See acknowledgments for a link to the generated code (Ott, 2020).

				elevation	paleoshoreline	$\delta^{13}C$		calibrated 2o	
Lab ID	°N	°E	Location	[m]	height [m]	(‰)	14C age BP	range BP	organism
85012.1.1	35.19301	24.14606	Sf	2.6	2.9	3.3	2909 ± 26	2769 - 2345	М
85013.1.1	35.19301	24.14606	Sf	0.7	2.9	3.7	2200 ± 26	1936 - 1519	V
85014.1.1	35.51597	23.98434	KD	2.4	2.4	3.3	2056 ± 26	1781 - 1351	V
82438.1.1	35.51597	23.98434	KD	1.4	2.4	2.8	3968 ± 24	4134 - 3642	V
85015.1.1	35.51433	23.57041	Ph	5.95	6.6	2.8	1844 ± 25	1532 - 1167	С
85016.1.1	35.51433	23.57041	Ph	5.3	6.6	4.2	1925 ± 25	1615 - 1250	С
82439.1.1	35.51433	23.57041	Ph	3.8	6.6	1.0	2179 ± 23	1918 - 1502	С
82440.1.1	35.51433	23.57041	Ph	2.3	6.6	1.4	1874 ± 23	1554 - 1189	С
82441.1.1	35.51433	23.57041	Ph	1.8	6.6	2.0	1991 ± 23	1689 - 1297	С
85017.1.1	35.51433	23.57041	Ph	6.5	6.6	4.2	2020 ± 25	1723 - 1311	V
85018.1.1	35.51433	23.57041	Ph	3.1	6.6	3.4	1701 ± 25	1361 - 989	С
85019.1.1	35.51433	23.57041	Ph	4.6	6.6	2.6	2001 ± 25	1699 - 1300	V
85020.1.1	35.22940	23.90920	Do	6	6	1.1	2397 ± 25	2193 - 1723	V
82442.1.1	35.22940	23.90920	Do	5	6	4.0	2672 ± 24	2593 - 2055	V
85021.1.1	35.23767	23.66132	Ра	7.5	8.6	3.9	2062 ± 25	1786 - 1359	V
85022.1.1	35.23767	23.66132	Ра	6.7	8.6	3.7	2001 ± 26	1699 - 1300	V
82444.1.1	35.23767	23.66132	Ра	5.9	8.6	2.4	3226 ± 24	3188 - 2753	V
85023.1.1	35.23767	23.66132	Ра	5.4	8.6	4.9	2042 ± 25	1762 - 1337	V
85034.1.1	35.23767	23.66132	Ра	4.9	8.6	0.9	1983 ± 26	1683 - 1293	V
85035.1.1	35.23767	23.66132	Ра	4.45	8.6	4.9	2198 ± 26	1936 - 1517	V
82447.1.1	35.23767	23.66132	Ра	3.7	8.6	4.7	2111 ± 24	1833 - 1405	V
82448.1.1	35.23767	23.66132	Ра	3	8.6	5.9	1953 ± 23	1650 - 1272	V
82449.1.1	35.23767	23.66132	Ра	2.3	8.6	3.5	2458 ± 23	2283 - 1836	V
85024.1.1	35.23812	23.58182	СР	8.8	8.8	3.4	2063 ± 26	1789 - 1360	V
82450.1.1	35.23812	23.58182	СР	7.6	8.8	2.5	2019 ± 23	1720 - 1311	С
85028.1.1	35.16886	24.41948	Plak	1.7	1.75	1.9	2260 ± 25	2004 - 1564	V
85029.1.1	35.16886	24.41948	Plak	1.1	1.75	0.0	2162 ± 26	1900 - 1473	V
85030.1.1	35.19301	24.14606	Sf	2.9	2.9	3.2	1993 ± 25	1691 - 1297	V
85031.1.1	35.19301	24.14606	Sf	2.6	2.9	4.0	1832 ± 25	1526 - 1156	V
85032.1.1	35.19301	24.14606	Sf	2.15	2.9	4.1	1966 ± 25	1667 - 1283	V
85033.1.1	35.19301	24.14606	Sf	1.75	2.9	1.2	2165 ± 25	1902 - 1479	V

 Table 1: Radiocarbon data from this study.

¹Samples identified by the ETH Radiocarbon accelerator number.

²*Abbreviations for sample locations are in caption of Fig. 1.*

³Abbreviations for organisms sampled are V – vermitid. M – mollusk shell. C –coral.

3.2 Inverse fault dislocation modeling

To discriminate between competing hypotheses of forearc deformation, we invert the observed vertical displacement of the paleoshoreline (uplift) for fault rupture parameters (length, location, depth, dip, and slip) using a Bayesian Markov chain Monte Carlo routine (Hastings, 1970). We simulate two scenarios, one model with a single event on a reverse fault and a second with two earthquakes on adjacent normal faults (Fig. 1). The visco-elastic fault dislocation model of Wang et al. (2006) was used to constrain co- and postseismic deformation associated with a hypothetical earthquake. Two types of inputs are required; first, a crustal column with viscosities, seismic velocities, and densities for the respective layers (Fig. S2b), and second, fault parameters including depth, length, starting position, dip, slip and rake.

We used three different crustal models (25mant, 30LC, 40LC) based on different geophysical observations, assumptions, and previous dislocation studies. The crustal model "25mant" is based on the observation that seismicity in western Crete is limited to the upper 20 km of the crust (Meier et al., 2004), and the Moho is at ~ 32 km (Bohnhoff et al., 2001). Therefore, we use a 25 km thick elastic layer on top of a 7 km thick lower crust, sitting on the asthenosphere. The crustal model "30LC" uses both a thicker elastic layer (30 km) and lower crustal material, which mimics the observations of Endrun et al. (2004), suggesting that there might be a thick layer of subduction channel material below western Crete. "40LC" assumes a 40 km thick elastic layer and is mainly used to compare results to Shaw et al. (2008), who employed a 45 km thick elastic layer, which is substantially deeper than observed earthquakes with foci located in the Aegean plate around Crete. Fault slip is assumed to be dip-slip because this is the only component we can resolve within the paleoshoreline uplift dataset. Our models are run for 200 years to capture the full postseismic deformation. Based on geophysical observations of upper crustal earthquake depths (Meier et al., 2004) and wide-angle seismic imaging (Bohnhoff et al., 2001), the 25 km thick elastic layer model (25mant) is the most realistic for western Crete and is thus presented in the main text.

The Metropolis-Hastings algorithm (Hastings, 1970) was used to determine the maximum a posteriori (MAP) model and populate the posterior distribution. We calculated 100,000 forward solutions for each of the three different crustal models (Fig. S2b) and manually tuned parameter transition kernels to achieve acceptance rates of 20 - 40%. In modeling the normal faults, we assume uniform priors of 50° - 80° for the dip, 5 – 30 m for slip, and 5 – 30 km for depth, while

for the reverse fault models, we explore a dip range between $25^{\circ} - 55^{\circ}$, a slip of 20 - 50 m and depth between 20 - 50 km. The length of the two modeled normal faults is 30 to 47 km and 30 to 100 km for western and southwestern Crete, respectively, based on the dimensions of steep bathymetric offshore troughs (Fig. 1). For modeling of the reverse fault, we allow fault lengths between 80 and 200 km. The fault location and strike are predefined by the location and orientation of prominent bathymetric escarpments. The model's likelihood is evaluated based on the fit with interpolated paleoshoreline heights on Crete, Gavdos, Antikythera, and Kythera to remove spatial bias from the measurement locations. For the interpolation, we use the Krios Paleoshoreline heights measured in the field (Tab. 1, S1) and derive a continuous paleoshoreline height raster by kriging. This raster is then sampled in even increments (~ 4.5 km) along the coastline of Crete and neighboring islands.

3.3 Tsunami modeling

Historical records of tsunami inundation from Egypt (Alexandria), Sicily, and the Peloponnese for the AD 365 event (Ambraseys, 2009) provide an additional test on fault source kinematics. On a distant shoreline, the first appearance of the tsunami will be either a peak or trough dependent upon the kinematics of the fault rupture and relative position of the observer with respect to the source (Yamashita and Sato, 1974), analogous to the first arrivals of seismic waves, and can aid in the interpretation of vertical rupture kinematics. We simulate the impact of our fault rupture scenarios on tsunami generation in the Eastern Mediterranean (Fig. 4, S6). We use EasyWave (Christgau et al., 2014) to generate tsunamis and compute their propagation. EasyWave calculates wave propagation in the linear approximation of the long-wave theory in spherical coordinates (Christgau et al., 2014), which is only valid for water depths > 20 m. The bathymetry utilized here is from EMODNet (<u>http://www.emodnet-bathymetry.eu</u>) and downsampled to 1 km resolution. Using low-resolution bathymetry and a linear approximation for wave propagation is sufficient because we only model open ocean wave height, due to the lack of historic run-up data for comparison. The model takes the coseismic deformation field of our MAP models and propagates the tsunami from this initial displacement.

4 Results

4.1 Radiocarbon data and historical records

The summed and normalized probability density function (PDF) of all calibrated radiocarbon-emergence ages (new and previously published) shows a distinct peak coinciding with the AD 365 event, yet there is considerable spread in the data (Fig. 2). The probability of all emergence ages gradually increases over 1,000 years before AD 365, after which it drops off more quickly. The positively skewed distribution suggests uplift in the centuries before AD 365 but could also record organism death before coseismic uplift (Fig. 2). The distribution of emergence ages against notch height at several sites provides evidence for sequential uplift locally but does not conclusively demonstrate evidence for or against a multi-stage uplift among all sites (Fig. S1). Several of our samples showed minor growth of secondary calcite during SEM analysis and ages younger than AD 365. However, our SEM analysis suggests that minor surficial alteration is effectively removed by sample processing and pre-treatment before radiocarbon analysis (Fig. S8). Nonetheless, secondary growth of carbonate would tend to result in younger ages. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume ages older than AD 365.

Historical records document a series of large earthquakes, including one in AD 365, that affected Crete in the first centuries AD (Ambraseys, 2009; Papadopoulos, 2011). A large historically documented earthquake and tsunami occurred in AD 66 offshore western Crete (Papadopoulos, 2011). This event is recorded in the archeological record and caused damage along the west coast of Crete, including tsunami inundation. Importantly, archeological evidence indicates the uplift of an antique roman-age harbor in Phalasarna in AD 66, as harbor-basin sediments record marine deposition before and a terrestrial environment after the earthquake (Dominey-Howes et al., 1998; Stiros and Papageorgiou, 2001). Thus, at least locally, there is clear evidence that the Kris Paleoshoreline was uplifted in at least two events, rather than one, as traditionally assumed.



Figure 2: (a) Individual and summed probability density plots of radiocarbon-emergence dates from the islands of Crete, Antikythera, and Gavdos from this and previously published studies (thin blue lines, see methods for references). Individual probability density functions (PDF) of calibrated radiocarbon samples are added to a summed, normalized PDF (blueshaded region). "Synthetic" PDF's that are expected for a set of emergence ages of marine organisms that died due to uplift during historically reported earthquakes on Crete are shown in dark red. Dashed lines indicate events with debated timing (Ambraseys, 2009; Papadopoulos, 2011). Several historic events fall into the range of emergence ages (blue). (b) & (c) Method to generate synthetic PDFs of historical earthquakes. (b) The historically documented calendar age of the earthquake is converted to a radiocarbon age PDF based on the calibration curve and offset by the reservoir correction (dR). (c) Analytical uncertainties from the AMS measurement and marine reservoir effect uncertainty are added to the radiocarbon PDF, which is subsequently used for the conventional Bayesian radiocarbon inversion to generate a posterior PDF of this calendar age.

Other destructive earthquakes reported on Crete around this time are mentioned for the years BC 368 and 68, and AD 53, 168, 408, and 620 by Ambraseys (2009) and 186, and 68 BC and, AD 108, 251, 448, 560, 618, and 670 by Papadopoulos (2011), some of which are also linked to destruction at archeological sites. However, some of the aforementioned earthquakes are likely the same event with different assigned dates. For example, the AD 168, 408, and 620

events reported by Ambraseys (2009) are duplicates of the AD 108, 448, and 618 earthquakes noted by Papadopoulos (2011). The precise dates of some events are debated, while the historical texts used to compile these earthquake catalogs might include amalgamations of several events into one (for details, please see the two studies cited and references therein). To avoid some of these complications, we use the dates reported by Papadopoulos (2011) in our analysis.

We generate synthetic radiocarbon-emergence PDFs for the known and debated historical earthquakes for comparison with the radiocarbon-emergence data collected at and below the Krios Paleoshoreline (Fig. 2). The synthetic PDFs for the historical earthquakes consider that the sampled dataset will include variability from uncertainties in the atomic mass spectrometer measurement, the marine reservoir effect, and the radiocarbon calibration curve. The results show that several historical events fall into the range of the distribution of observed emergence ages (Fig. 2). This analysis suggests that one or more historical earthquakes preceding AD 365 may have contributed to the uplift of the Krios Paleoshoreline, consistent with the archeological evidence of uplift and a tsunami impacting the Phalasarna harbor in AD 66 (Dominey-Howes et al., 1998; Papadopoulos, 2011).

4.2 Modeling competing earthquake scenarios

Based on the evidence for high slip rates (see Background), along with the radiocarbon data and historical and archeological records discussed above, we hypothesize that the Phalasarna Fault and Sfakia Fault Zone ruptured in at least two events in AD 66 and AD 365, uplifting the Krios Paleoshoreline to its approximate present-day elevation. Consistent with previous studies, we use the modern height of the Krios Paleoshoreline as a deformation marker of coseismic and postseismic uplift in the first centuries AD. However, sea-level change, regional uplift, and interseismic deformation might have affected the elevation of the paleoshoreline. We discuss the amplitude of those effects in the supplement (text S2 and S4), and test scenarios with corrections for sea-level rise and regional uplift but find a limited effect on the parameter distribution of the inversion (Fig. S3); therefore, we use the paleoshoreline height above present-day sea level as our deformation maker. In contrast to previous studies, we include 3.5 m of uplift we observed on the island of Gavdos, 35 km south of Crete (text S3) for the reverse fault scenario; however, simulations that exclude data from Gavdos have little impact on recovered parameters (Fig. S3). The normal faults investigated here do not produce substantial vertical land movement on Gavdos, Antikythera, and Kythera islands. Therefore, should the



normal faults modeled here be capable of matching the Holocene uplift on Crete, uplift on adjacent islands is likely related to other faults not considered here.

Figure 3: Results of fault parameter inversions for a crustal model with a 25 km thick elastic layer (see Fig. S2). (a, b) Steady-state surface displacement (co- and postseismic deformation) from our best-fit models for the normal fault (a) and reverse fault (b) scenarios. The surface fault plane projections are shown in red (normal faults) and green (reverse fault). Parameters for the Phalasarna fault are 25 km depth, 68° dip, 16 m of slip, and 47 km fault length; for the Sfakia Fault Zone, 19 km depth, 57° dip, 16 m slip, and a length of 45 km. The best-fit reverse fault model has 25 km depth, 55° dip, 38 m slip, and a length of 140 km. Model data from our simple rectangular uniform slip faults can reproduce the measured uplift of the Krios Paleoshoreline on Crete for the normal fault model (c) and the reverse fault case on the surrounding islands, too (d) (G – Gavdos, AK – Antikythera, K – Kythera). The yellow line in (a,b) marks the reference line for the distance along the coast in (c) and (d), with the dot indicating 0 km distance and ticks every 50 km.

Using the Krios Paleoshoreline as a geodetic marker of deformation, we find that the rupture parameters from our inverse modeling of the reverse fault scenario are consistent with those from previous studies (Fig. 3) (Shaw et al., 2008; Mouslopoulou et al., 2015b), and lead to five critical findings: (1) fault depth, but also dip and slip amount, are dependent on the chosen crustal model because of changes in postseismic relaxation behavior that scale with the modeled elastic thicknesses (Fig. S2); (2) the fault must rupture the entire elastic layer (≥ 25 km), and many models rupture deep into the lower crust or mantle (Fig. S2); (3) fault dip is inversely proportional to elastic layer thickness, but all models require a steeply dipping fault, $\geq 35^{\circ}$; (4) fault slip must be 30 - 40 m; and (5) an M_w ~ 8.5 earthquake is required to generate the observed uplift.



Figure 4: Across fault profiles showing topography and deformation in our best-fit models. (A) Location of the swath profiles with fault traces in red. Swath profiles of topography as well as coseismic and total (coplus-post) seismic deformation for the Phalasarna Fault (B), Sfakia Fault Zone (C), and the Hellenic Trough reverse fault (D) using our preferred crustal model parameters. The black dot indicates the height of the Krios Paleoshoreline at the nearest measured location.

The results from the two-event normal fault model fit the paleoshoreline elevation data on Crete as well as the reverse fault model (Fig. 3). The results of this analysis indicate: (1) the two earthquakes on normal faults do not need to rupture the entire elastic layer to fit the data, making them less sensitive to the crustal models than the reverse fault scenario; (2) lengths for both faults are around 45 km and slip per fault is ~16 m for our preferred crustal model; (3) fault depths range from 15 – 25 km; and (4) the total energy release within 2-3 centuries for both faults combined is M_w 7.9 (M_w 7.8 Phalasarna Fault, M_w 7.7 Sfakia Fault Zone). Fault orthogonal profiles show that the displacement patterns predicted by our models correlate well with the topography and bathymetry (Fig. 4). The profiles also highlight that, especially in the normal fault case, postseismic uplift is an important contributor to the observed height of the paleoshoreline (see figure S4 for a map view of co-, post-, and total seismic deformation in all models).

4.3 Tsunami modeling

Modeled tsunamis generated by normal faults offshore western and southwestern Crete reach all sites where credible historic reports document sea-level changes and mimic the spatial extent of simulated reverse-fault tsunami models (Fig. 5, S6). Synthetic mareograms generated by the best-fit reverse fault model have higher peak amplitudes than those generated by normal fault rupture. The higher peak amplitudes are due to the greater dip and slip required by a single reverse fault earthquake to match the paleoshoreline observational data. The best historical documentation of the AD 365 tsunami comes from Alexandria, where the Roman scribe Ammianus Marcellinus described the tsunami in detail, writing, "...*solid earth was shaken and trembled, the sea with its rolling waves was driven back and withdrew from the land...many men roamed about without fear in the little that remained of the waters, to gather fish and similar things with their hands ...For the great mass of waters, returning when it was least expected, killed many thousands of men by drowning.*" (Marcellinus, 378AD). The tsunami polarity described by Marcellinus is only consistent with a normal fault earthquake offshore Crete (Fig 5b).



Figure 5: (a) Maximum open ocean surface wave height of a tsunami caused by a modeled M_w 7.7 earthquake on the Sfakia Fault Zone (black line). White circles indicate locations where a tsunami likely associated with the AD 365 event was reported (Ambraseys, 2009). Note the amplification of the surface waves near Alexandria, where documentation of the historic AD 365 tsunami exists (Marcellinus, 378AD). (b) Mareograms of incoming open-water tsunami waves offshore Alexandria from our best-fit models. Fault abbreviations are referenced to figure 1.

5 Discussion

5.1 A single or multiple events?

Was the Krios Paleoshoreline on Crete uplifted in a single event by up to 9 m? There are a number of damaging historical earthquakes that occur within the vicinity of western Crete in the centuries preceding the AD 365 event (Ambraseys, 2009; Papadopoulos, 2011). Despite the summed distribution of emergence data showing a peak coinciding with the AD 365 event (Fig. 2), the distribution is wide and skewed towards older ages, which may hint at partial uplift in the centuries before AD 365. Our synthetic distribution of radiocarbon-emergence ages predicted from historic earthquakes matches well with the observed emergence age distribution. However, the reliability of individual events is, in some cases, debated, and the spatial extent of damage

and impact is difficult to evaluate (Ambraseys, 2009; Papadopoulos, 2011). Nevertheless, archaeological studies have found evidence for a series of destructive earthquake events in the ancient Cretan cities of Kissamos and Gortyn within the first centuries AD (Di Vita, 1985, 1999; Stiros and Papageorgiou, 2001). Altogether, the radiocarbon data is not sufficient to differentiate between uplift in one or several events. However, the consistency of geochronologic data with abundant historical reports, the uplift of Phalasarna harbor in AD 66, and archeological destruction horizons all support the hypothesis of multi-stage coseismic uplift of the Krios Paleoshoreline.



5.2 Fault scalings and rupture parameters



To assess the likelihood of the reverse fault and normal fault models, we compare best-fit fault parameters to empirical data for fault ruptures globally (Leonard, 2010). Both scenarios produce faults with length to width ratios that match global observations but generate higher seismic moment to fault length ratios relative to empirical data (Fig. 6). The higher 38 m reverse fault slip for our best-fit model (Fig. 3), compared to recent studies, is explained by a more realistic crustal set-up and incorporation of postseismic deformation. Previous studies have used thicker elastic layers that matched their fault depth (45 km) (Shaw et al., 2008) or only considered elastic deformation (Stiros, 2010; Mouslopoulou et al., 2015b); both assumptions are

likely unrealistic on this time scale and may have underestimated the required reverse fault rupture parameters.

Meier et al. (2004) showed that earthquakes below western Crete only occur in the upper 20 km; therefore, our 25 km elastic thickness of the crust is a conservative estimate. The amount of slip for the normal fault scenario is high but substantially lower on individual faults than for the reverse fault. Importantly, our model assumes only two events generate uplift of the Krios Paleoshoreline. However, uplift likely reflects the cumulative deformation associated with an earthquake cluster, where adjacent fault systems influence each other, perhaps analogous to normal fault earthquake swould lower the length to seismic moment ratios and match empirical relationships of global data while still matching the observed deformation of the Krios Paleoshoreline (Fig. 6). Also, the rupture length, especially for the normal fault case, might be underestimated. The Phalasarna Fault and Sfakia Fault Zone extend substantially farther than the 45 km rupture length that the inversion suggests. The shorter rupture length in the inversion is explained by the simplicity of the uniform slip model. A more realistic non-uniform slip model would be able to fit the same paleoshoreline uplift with longer faults and slip that decreases towards the tips.

The rupture parameters proposed here are high relative to compilations from historical and paleoseismic records but are within the range of observed parameters for large normal faulting earthquakes, especially when assuming an earthquake cluster instead of two events. The strongest normal faulting earthquakes are located on the outer trench slopes of subduction zones, with four earthquakes of $M_w > 8$ in the past 100 years and ruptures of > 100 km length with > 10 m average slip (Lay et al., 2010). Also, intraplate normal faulting earthquakes have been shown to reach a similar size to events proposed here, with several well-studied normal faulting earthquakes in the USA and China of $M_w \sim 7.5$ (Crone and Machette, 1984; Du et al., 1992; Suter, 2008; Middleton et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2018). For instance, the 1556 Huaxian, China earthquake produced 10 m of slip over a large portion of its ~ 90 km rupture in an M_w 7.5-8 earthquake (Yuan and Feng, 2010; Feng et al., 2020).

Another possibility to reconcile the high slip for the normal fault events with empirical scalings might be a more complex earthquake rupture. Recent observations from the 2016 Kaikoura and 2010 El Mayor-Cucapah earthquakes suggest complex fault interactions and

ruptures with several faults at a time; this type of faulting behavior may be more common than previously thought (Fletcher et al., 2014; Hamling et al., 2017). As the results displayed in figure 6 indicate, both the normal and reverse fault models, when compared to global datasets, generate larger seismic moments as a function of modeled fault lengths in order to reproduce the uplift of the Krios Paleoshoreline, signaling that the true earthquake rupture parameters may be oversimplified in the model.

5.3 Tsunami Modeling

Our tsunami modeling suggests that only normal fault tsunamis fit the wave polarity described in Alexandria. A north dipping reverse fault offshore Crete also creates an initial negative arrival but with a much lower magnitude (~ 10 cm in our best-fit model, fig. 5). However, one could argue that despite Ammianus Marcellinus's detail, a single report is not conclusive. Moreover, earthquake-triggered slumping offshore Alexandria might have generated a tsunami with a regressive polarity. Nevertheless, our findings and the Marcellinus report are consistent with reports from the well-documented 8 August AD 1303 earthquake (estimated ~ Mw 8) and tsunami with an assumed hypocenter offshore Crete and Rhodes (Guidoboni and Comastri, 1997; El-Sayed et al., 2000). The initial tsunami polarity described in AD 1303 in Alexandria was regressive, and the polarities reported from several locations around the Eastern Mediterranean basin were only consistent with the rupture of a south-dipping normal fault offshore southeastern Crete (El-Sayed et al., 2000). This event was subsequently often linked to another large reverse fault rupture and tsunami (England et al., 2015; Mouslopoulou et al., 2015b). However, the consistency of previous tsunami modeling and our results suggest that perhaps normal fault rupture is a common tsunami-trigger in the Eastern Mediterranean.

5.4 Evaluation of competing hypothesis

The interpretation of structural data and Neogene sediments indicate ongoing forearc extension since the Late Miocene (Angelier et al., 1982; ten Veen and Postma, 1999b; Gallen et al., 2014; Ott et al., 2019b) as well as ubiquitous onshore normal faulting, which is in better agreement with our proposed normal faulting origin of the AD 365 event. Normal faults are better supported by seismic and borehole data indicating the Hellenic Trough is a sediment underfilled half-graben (Lallemant et al., 1994; Bohnhoff et al., 2001). We also note that others have made interpretations of extension at the inner graben wall and transpressional features around the outer graben (Leite and Mascle, 1982; Chaumillon and Mascle, 1997).

Previous interpretations in support of a contractional structure southwest of Crete are indirectly derived from several focal mechanisms (Bohnhoff et al., 2005; Shaw and Jackson, 2010), GPS stations between the forearc and the volcanic arc (Shaw et al., 2008; Saltogianni et al., 2020), and the assumption that the AD 365 event singularly uplifted the Late Holocene Cretan paleoshoreline. It is difficult to distinguish earthquake focal mechanisms generated on faults embedded in the forearc from those occurring on the subduction interface due to hypocenter depth uncertainties in the regions of thin crust south of Crete. However, focal mechanisms probably remain the best argument in support of a reverse fault scenario. GPS data show only minor contraction between the forearc and the volcanic arc (1-4 mm/a) (Saltogianni et al., 2020), yet due to the lack of offshore GPS data, this signal is indistinguishable from minor locking of the subduction interface (Vernant et al., 2014; Saltogianni et al., 2020).

These data and arguments collectively suggest that when the assumption of a single earthquake generating ~9 m of coseismic uplift on Crete is relaxed to allow for two or more events, a large splay reverse fault is not necessary. Due to the consistency of the normal faulting scenario with geologic and tsunami observations, more parsimonious rupture parameters, and the lack of imaging of the hypothesized reverse fault systems, we favor a normal faulting origin for the anomalous uplift and earthquake reports of the first centuries AD.

5.5 The importance of postseismic deformation for the Krios Paleoshoreline uplift

It has been recognized that uplift of footwall mountain ranges in extensional settings is in large parts due to postseismic deformation (Thompson and Parsons, 2017). These findings are in agreement with our modeling results from Crete (Fig. 4). Our model predicts that, most likely, a significant portion of the AD 365 uplift was postseismic, confirming studies that highlighted the importance of postseismic far-field uplift on Crete (Shaw et al., 2008). It is essential to note that we run our models for 200 years to capture the full postseismic deformation component. In the normal fault scenario, postseismic uplift is especially high in the southwestern corner of Crete, where the highest uplift was documented, due to the proximity of both normal faults in this area. These findings illustrate that postseismic deformation may be an important contributor, along with coseismic and regional uplift, to the topography of the up to 2.5 km high coastal mountain ranges of western and southwestern Crete.

5.6 Implications for geodynamics, seismic hazard, and ways to resolve the debate

Our study proposes an alternative hypothesis to explain the historical observations and paleoshoreline data and reconciles these with long-term upper-crustal extension in the Hellenic forearc. If correct, the findings of this study suggest reduced earthquake and tsunamigenic hazards relative to the single-event splay thrust model, yet they still indicate that upper plate structures represent a significant hazard in the Eastern Mediterranean. If the Krios Paleoshoreline uplifted during multiple events within several centuries, it is likely that normal fault earthquakes in the Hellenic forearc are clustered in time, suggesting temporal variability in earthquake and tsunami hazard. This idea is not new; historical records and radiocarbon dating of uplifted Holocene shorelines indicate an Eastern Mediterranean earthquake cluster during the 4th to 6th century AD (Pirazzoli et al., 1996; Stiros, 2001). These findings also highlight the potential role of normal faults for generating strong earthquakes and tsunamis in subduction zone settings.

Nevertheless, future work is needed to conclusively assess the tectonic models and seismic and tsunami hazards of this densely populated region. Current, publicly available offshore seismic data is of poor quality, hence the reliance on onshore data to infer offshore fault kinematics. Improved three-dimensional seismic data would clarify the kinematics and activity of potential offshore seismic sources. Our model attempts to reconcile observed deformation kinematics on Neogene, Pleistocene, and Holocene time scales (see supplement for discussion), but additional InSAR and vertical GPS measurement datasets are needed to assess interseismic strain accumulation at decadal timescales. With these and existing datasets, a more robust picture of the earthquake-tsunami hazards of the Eastern Mediterranean and the geodynamics of the HSZ will emerge.

6 Summary and Conclusions

We propose an alternative hypothesis for the observations of the AD 365 earthquake and uplift of the Krios Paleoshoreline centered on the Phalasarna Fault and Sfakia Fault Zone offshore Crete. Radiocarbon data, historical reports, and archaeologic findings are insufficient to distinguish between single and multi-stage uplift of the Krios Paleoshoreline. However, the data are consistent with an earthquake cluster on normal faults proposed herein. Inverse modeling of fault parameters suggests that normal faults can generate uplift of the Krios Paleoshoreline with less slip, on faults that do not need to penetrate as deeply, as is required by an offshore reverse fault. Our models also highlight the importance of postseismic paleoshoreline uplift. Tsunami modeling of our best fit models shows that normal faults can generate strong tsunamis that impact the entire Eastern Mediterranean basin and are more consistent with the reported tsunami polarity. Based on these findings and the better consistency with the long-term record of crustal extension in the region, we favor a normal faulting origin for the AD 365 and earlier earthquakes. However, we note that more research and especially geophysical imaging, is required to adequately understand the tectonics and seismic hazard of the Hellenic Subduction Zone.

Acknowledgments, Samples, and Data

Acknowledgment is made to the Subitop training network as part of the Marie Curie programme, grant number 674899. We thank Negar Haghipour for radiocarbon analysis. We acknowledge the field assistance of Jana Hengst and Elena Bruni. The authors thank Dr. V. Roddatis for assistance in using a Quanta 3D for SEM imaging. The use of equipment in the "Potsdam Imaging and Spectral Analysis Facility" (PISA) is gratefully acknowledged.

The code to calculate synthetic PDFs for calendar ages is available at http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4074892. The authors declare that all data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article, its supplementary information, and in Ott et al. (2020) [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International].

References

- Alves, T., Lykousis, V., Sakellariou, D., Alexandri, S., and Nomikou, P., 2007, Constraining the origin and evolution of confined turbidite systems: southern Cretan margin, Eastern Mediterranean Sea (34°30–36°N): Geo-Marine Letters, v. 27, p. 41–61, doi:10.1007/s00367-006-0051-1.
- Ambraseys, N., 2009, Earthquakes in the Mediterranean and Middle East: a multidisciplinary study of seismicity up to 1900: Cambridge, UK, Cambridge University Press, 987 p., doi:10.1017/CBO9781139195430.
- Angelier, J., Lybéris, N., Le Pichon, X., Barrier, E., and Huchon, P., 1982, The tectonic development of the hellenic arc and the sea of crete: A synthesis: Tectonophysics, v. 86, p.

159-196, doi:10.1016/0040-1951(82)90066-X.

- Bohnhoff, M., Harjes, H.-P., and Meier, T., 2005, Deformation and stress regimes in the Hellenic subduction zone from focal Mechanisms: Journal of Seismology, v. 9, p. 341–366, doi:10.1007/s10950-005-8720-5.
- Bohnhoff, M., Makris, J., Papanikolaou, D., and Stavrakakis, G., 2001, Crustal investigation of the Hellenic subduction zone using wide aperture seismic data: Tectonophysics, v. 343, p. 239–262, doi:10.1016/S0040-1951(01)00264-5.
- Brun, J.-P., Faccenna, C., Gueydan, F., Sokoutis, D., Philippon, M., Kydonakis, K., and Gorini, C., 2016, The two-stage Aegean extension, from localized to distributed, a result of slab rollback acceleration: Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 53, p. 1142–1157, doi:10.1139/cjes-2015-0203.
- Caputo, R., Catalano, S., Monaco, C., Romagnoli, G., Tortorici, G., and Tortorici, L., 2010, Active faulting on the island of Crete (Greece): Geophysical Journal International, v. 183, p. 111–126, doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.2010.04749.x.
- Chamot-Rooke, N., Rangin, C., Le Pichon, X., and Group, D.W., 2005, DOTMED: Deep Offshore Tectonics of the Mediterranean: Les Mémoires de la Société Géologique de France, v. 177, p. 64.
- Chaumillon, E., and Mascle, J., 1997, From foreland to forearc domains: New multichannel seismic reflection survey of the Mediterranean ridge accretionary complex (Eastern Mediterranean): Marine Geology, v. 138, p. 237–259, doi:10.1016/S0025-3227(97)00002-9.
- Chiarabba, C., Gori, P., and Amato, A., 2011, Do earthquake storms repeat in the Apennines of Italy? Terra Nova, v. 23, p. 300–306, doi:10.1111/j.1365-3121.2011.01013.x.
- Christgau, S., Spazier, J., Schnor, B., Hammitzsch, M., Babeyko, A., and Waechter, J., 2014, A comparison of CUDA and OpenACC: accelerating the tsunami simulation easywave: PARS-Mitteilungen, v. 31.
- Crone, A.J., and Machette, M.N., 1984, Surface faulting accompanying the Borah Peak earthquake, central Idaho.: Geology, v. 12, p. 664–667, doi:10.1130/0091-7613(1984)12<664:SFATBP>2.0.CO;2.
- Dominey-Howes, D., Dawson, A., and Smith, D., 1998, Late Holocene coastal tectonics at Falasarna, western Crete: a sedimentary study: Geological Society Special Publication, v. 146, p. 343–352, doi:10.1144/GSL.SP.1999.146.01.20.

- Du, Y., Aydin, A., and Segall, P., 1992, Comparison of various inversion techniques as applied to the determination of a geophysical deformation model for the 1983 Borah Peak earthquake: Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, v. 82, p. 1840–1866.
- El-Sayed, A., Romanelli, F., and Panza, G., 2000, Recent seismicity and realistic waveforms modeling to reduce the ambiguities about the 1303 seismic activity in Egypt: Tectonophysics, v. 328, p. 341–357, doi:10.1016/S0040-1951(00)00172-4.
- Endrun, B., Meier, T., Bischoff, M., and Harjes, H.-P., 2004, Lithospheric structure in the area of Crete constrained by receiver functions and dispersion analysis of Rayleigh phase velocities: Geophysical Journal International, v. 158, p. 592–608, doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.2004.02332.x.
- England, P., Howell, A., Jackson, J., and Synolakis, C., 2015, Palaeotsunamis and tsunami hazards in the Eastern Mediterranean: Philosophical transactions. Series A, Mathematical, physical, and engineering sciences, v. 373, doi:10.1098/rsta.2014.0374 PM - 26392624 S1 -22.
- Fassoulas, C., 2001, The tectonic development of a Neogene basin at the leading edge of the active European margin: the Heraklion basin, Crete, Greece: Journal of Geodynamics, v. 31, p. 49–70, doi:10.1016/S0264-3707(00)00017-X.
- Feng, X., Ma, J., Zhou, Y., England, P., Parsons, B., Rizza, M.A., and Walker, R.T., 2020, Geomorphology and Paleoseismology of the Weinan fault, Shaanxi, central China, and the source of the 1556 Huaxian earthquake: Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, v. 125, doi:10.1029/2019jb017848.
- Fletcher, J.M. et al., 2014, Assembly of a large earthquake from a complex fault system: Surface rupture kinematics of the 4 April 2010 El Mayor-Cucapah (Mexico) Mw 7.2 earthquake: Geosphere, v. 10, p. 797–827, doi:10.1130/GES00933.1.
- Gaki-Papanastassiou, K., Maroukian, H., and Kourmpanian, V., 2011, The morphotectonic evolution of southern half of Kythira Island (Ionian sea, Greece) during the Quaternary: Prace Geograficzne, v. 127, p. 49–59.
- Gallen, S.F., Wegmann, K.W., Bohnenstiehl, D.R., Pazzaglia, F.J., Brandon, M.T., and Fassoulas, C., 2014, Active simultaneous uplift and margin-normal extension in a forearc high, Crete, Greece: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 398, p. 11–24, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2014.04.038.

- Ganas, A., and Parsons, T., 2009, Three-dimensional model of Hellenic Arc deformation and origin of the Cretan uplift: J. Geophys. Res., v. 114, doi:10.1029/2008jb005599.
- Guidoboni, E., and Comastri, A., 1997, The large earthquake of 8 August 1303 in Crete: seismic scenario and tsunami in the Mediterranean area: Journal of Seismology, v. 1, p. 55–72, doi:10.1023/A:1009737632542.
- Hamling, I.J. et al., 2017, Complex multifault rupture during the 2016 Mw 7.8 Kaikōura earthquake, New Zealand: Science, v. 356, doi:10.1126/science.aam7194.
- Hastings, W.K., 1970, Monte Carlo sampling methods using Markov chains and their applications: Biometrika, v. 57, p. 97–109, doi:10.1093/biomet/57.1.97.
- van Hinsbergen, D.J.J., and Meulenkamp, J.E., 2006, Neogene supradetachment basin development on Crete (Greece) during exhumation of the South Aegean core complex: Basin Research, v. 18, p. 103–124, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2117.2005.00282.x.
- Howell, A., Palamartchouk, K., Papanikolaou, X., Paradissis, D., Raptakis, C., Copley, A.,
 England, P., and Jackson, J., 2017, The 2008 Methoni earthquake sequence: the relationship between the earthquake cycle on the subduction interface and coastal uplift in SW Greece: Geophysical Journal International, v. 208, p. 1592–1610, doi:10.1093/gji/ggw462.
- Jackson, J., and McKenzie, D., 1988, The relationship between plate motions and seismic moment tensors, and the rates of active deformation in the mediterranean and middle east: Geophysical Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society, v. 93, p. 45–73.
- Lallemant, S., Truffert, C., Jolivet, L., Henry, P., Chamot-Rooke, N., and de Voogd, B., 1994, Spatial transition from compression to extension in the Western Mediterranean Ridge accretionary complex: Tectonophysics, v. 234, p. 33–52, doi:10.1016/0040-1951(94)90203-8.
- Laske, G., Masters, G., Ma, Z., and Pasyanos, M., 2013, Update on CRUST1. 0—A 1-degree global model of Earth's crust, *in* Geophys. Res. Abstr, EGU General Assembly Vienna, Austria.
- Lay, T., Ammon, C.J., Kanamori, H., Rivera, L., Koper, K.D., and Hutko, A.R., 2010, The 2009 Samoa-Tonga great earthquake triggered doublet: Nature, v. 466, p. 964–968, doi:10.1038/nature09214.
- Leite, O., and Mascle, J., 1982, Geological structures on the South Cretan continental margin and Hellenic Trench (eastern Mediterranean): Marine Geology, v. 49, p. 199–223,

doi:10.1016/0025-3227(82)90040-8.

- Leonard, M., 2010, Earthquake fault scaling: Self-consistent relating of rupture length, width, average displacement, and moment release: Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, v. 100, p. 1971–1988, doi:10.1785/0120090189.
- Lyon-Caen, H. et al., 1988, The 1986 Kalamata (South Peloponnesus) Earthquake: Detailed study of a normal fault, evidences for east-west extension in the Hellenic Arc: Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, v. 93, p. 14967–15000, doi:10.1029/JB093iB12p14967.
- Makris, J., and Yegorova, T., 2006, A 3-D density-velocity model between the Cretan Sea and Libya: Tectonophysics, v. 417, p. 201–220, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2005.11.003.
- Marcellinus, A., 378AD, Res Gestae a Fine Corneli Taciti (A History from the End of Cornelius Tacitus): Rome NV 31.
- Mascle, J., Le Quellec, P., Leite, O., and Jongsma, D., 1982, Structural sketch of the Hellenic continental margin between the western Peloponnesus and eastern Crete: Geology, v. 10, p. 113–116, doi:10.1130/0091-7613(1982)10<113:ssothc>2.0.co;2.
- Meier, T., Rische, M., Endrun, B., Vafidis, A., and Harjes, H.P., 2004, Seismicity of the Hellenic subduction zone in the area of western and central Crete observed by temporary local seismic networks: Tectonophysics, v. 383, p. 149–169, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2004.02.004.
- Meulenkamp, J.E., van der Zwaan, G.J., and van Wamel, W.A., 1994, On late miocene to recent vertical motions in the Cretan segment of the Hellenic arc: Tectonophysics, v. 234, p. 53–72, doi:10.1016/0040-1951(94)90204-6.
- Middleton, T.A., Walker, R.T., Parsons, B., Lei, Q., Zhou, Y., and Ren, Z., 2016, A major, intraplate, normal-faulting earthquake: The 1739 Yinchuan event in northern China: Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, v. 121, p. 293–320, doi:10.1002/2015JB012355.
- Mountrakis, D., Kilias, A., Pavlaki, A., Fassoulas, C., Thomaidou, E., Papazachos, C.,
 Papaioannou, C., Roumelioti, Z., Benetatos, C., and Vamvarakis, D., 2012, Neotectonic
 study of the western Crete. Seismic risk evaluation of the active faults: J. Virtual Explorer,
 v. 42.
- Mouslopoulou, V., Begg, J., Nicol, A., Oncken, O., and Prior, C., 2015a, Formation of Late Quaternary paleoshorelines in Crete, Eastern Mediterranean: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 431, p. 294–307, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2015.09.007.
- Mouslopoulou, V., Nicol, A., Begg, J., Oncken, O., and Moreno, M., 2015b, Clusters of

megaearthquakes on upper plate faults control the Eastern Mediterranean hazard: Geophysical Research Letters, v. 42, p. 10,282, doi:10.1002/2015gl066371.

- Ott, R.F., 2020, Richard-Ott/SynthCal: SynthCal v1.0.0:, doi:10.5281/ZENODO.4074892.
- Ott, R.F., Gallen, S.F., Caves Rugenstein, J.K., Ivy-Ochs, S., Helman, D., Fassoulas, C., Vockenhuber, C., Christl, M., and Willett, S.D., 2019a, Chemical Versus Mechanical Denudation in Meta-Clastic and Carbonate Bedrock Catchments on Crete, Greece, and Mechanisms for Steep and High Carbonate Topography: Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, v. 124, p. 2943–2961, doi:10.1029/2019JF005142.
- Ott, R.F., Gallen, S.F., Wegmann, K.W., Biswas, R.H., Herman, F., and Willett, S.D., 2019b, Pleistocene terrace formation, Quaternary rock uplift rates and geodynamics of the Hellenic Subduction Zone revealed from dating of paleoshorelines on Crete, Greece.: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 525, p. 115757, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2019.115757.
- Ott, R.F., Wegmann, K.W., Gallen, S.F., Pazzaglia, F.J., Brandon, M.T., Ueda, K., and Fassoulas, C., 2020, Reassessing Eastern Mediterranean tectonics and earthquake hazard from the AD 365 earthquake: DATA:, doi:10.5281/ZENODO.3837207.
- Papadopoulos, G.A., 2011, A Seismic History of Crete: Earthquakes and Tsunamis, 2000 B.C.– A.D. 2010: Ocelotos Publications, 415 p.
- Peterek, A., and Schwarze, J., 2004, Architecture and Late Pliocene to recent evolution of outerarc basins of the Hellenic subduction zone (south-central Crete, Greece): Journal of Geodynamics, v. 38, p. 19–55, doi:10.1016/j.jog.2004.03.002.
- Pirazzoli, P.A., Laborel, J., and Stiros, S.C., 1996, Earthquake clustering in the eastern Mediterranean during historical times: Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, v. 101, p. 6083–6097, doi:10.1029/95jb00914.
- Pirazzoli, P.A., Thommeret, J., Thommeret, Y., Laborel, J., and Montag-Gioni, L.F., 1982, Crustal block movements from holocene shorelines: Crete and antikythira (Greece): Tectonophysics, v. 86, p. 27–43, doi:10.1016/0040-1951(82)90060-9.
- Ramsey, C.B., 2009, Bayesian Analysis of Radiocarbon Dates: Radiocarbon, v. 51, p. 337–360, doi:10.1017/S0033822200033865.
- Reilinger, R. et al., 2006, GPS constraints on continental deformation in the Africa-Arabia-Eurasia continental collision zone and implications for the dynamics of plate interactions: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 111, p. 26, doi:10.1029/2005JB004051.

- Reimer, P.J. et al., 2013, IntCal13 and Marine13 Radiocarbon Age Calibration Curves 0–50,000 Years cal BP: Radiocarbon, v. 55, p. 1869–1887, doi:10.2458/azu_js_rc.55.16947.
- Reimer, P.J., and McCormac, F.G., 2002, Marine Radiocarbon Reservoir Corrections for the Mediterranean and Aegean Seas: Radiocarbon, v. 44, p. 159–166, doi:10.1017/S0033822200064766.
- Robertson, J., Meschis, M., Roberts, G.P., Ganas, A., and Gheorghiu, D.M., 2019, Temporally Constant Quaternary Uplift Rates and Their Relationship With Extensional Upper-Plate Faults in South Crete (Greece), Constrained With 36Cl Cosmogenic Exposure Dating: Tectonics, v. 38, p. 1189–1222, doi:10.1029/2018TC005410.
- Royden, L.H., 1993, Evolution of retreating subduction boundaries formed during continental collision: Tectonics, v. 12, p. 629–638.
- Saltogianni, V., Mouslopoulou, V., Oncken, O., Nicol, A., Gianniou, M., and Mertikas, S., 2020, Elastic Fault Interactions and Earthquake Rupture Along the Southern Hellenic Subduction Plate Interface Zone in Greece: Geophysical Research Letters, v. 47, p. 1–10, doi:10.1029/2019GL086604.
- Shaw, B., Ambraseys, N.N., England, P.C., Floyd, M.A., Gorman, G.J., Higham, T.F.G., Jackson, J.A., Nocquet, J.M., Pain, C.C., and Piggott, M.D., 2008, Eastern Mediterranean tectonics and tsunami hazard inferred from the AD 365 earthquake: Nature Geoscience, v. 1, p. 268–276, doi:10.1038/ngeo151.
- Shaw, B., and Jackson, J., 2010, Earthquake mechanisms and active tectonics of the Hellenic subduction zone: Geophysical Journal International, v. 181, p. 966–984, doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.2010.04551.x.
- Snopek, K., Meier, T., Endrun, B., Bohnhoff, M., and Casten, U., 2007, Comparison of gravimetric and seismic constraints on the structure of the Aegean lithosphere in the forearc of the Hellenic subduction zone in the area of Crete: Journal of Geodynamics, v. 44, p. 173– 185, doi:10.1016/j.jog.2007.03.005.
- Stiros, S.C., 2010, The 8.5+ magnitude, AD365 earthquake in Crete: Coastal uplift, topography changes, archaeological and historical signature: Quaternary International, v. 216, p. 54–63, doi:10.1016/j.quaint.2009.05.005.
- Stiros, S.C., 2001, The AD 365 Crete earthquake and possible seismic clustering during the fourth to sixth centuries AD in the Eastern Mediterranean: a review of historical and

archaeological data: Journal of Structural Geology, v. 23, p. 545–562, doi:10.1016/S0191-8141(00)00118-8.

- Stiros, S.C., and Papageorgiou, S., 2001, Seismicity of Western Crete and the destruction of the town of Kisamos at 365 AD; archaeological evidence: Journal of Seismology, v. 5, p. 381– 397, doi:10.1023/A:1011475610236.
- Suter, M., 2008, Structural configuration of the Otates Fault (Southern Basin and Range Province) and its rupture in the 3 May 1887 Mw 7.5 Sonora, Mexico, earthquake: Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, v. 98, p. 2879–2893, doi:10.1785/0120080129.
- Synal, H.-A., Stocker, M., and Suter, M., 2007, MICADAS: A new compact radiocarbon AMS system: Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms, v. 259, p. 7–13, doi:10.1016/j.nimb.2007.01.138.
- Thompson, G.A., and Parsons, T., 2017, From coseismic offsets to fault-block mountains: Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, v. 114, p. 9820–9825, doi:10.1073/pnas.1711203114.
- Tiberti, M.M., Basili, R., and Vannoli, P., 2014, Ups and downs in western Crete (Hellenic subduction zone): Scientific reports, v. 4, p. 5677, doi:10.1038/srep05677.
- ten Veen, J.H., and Meijer, P.T., 1998, Late Miocene to Recent tectonic evolution of Crete (Greece): geological observations and model analysis: Tectonophysics, v. 298, p. 191–208, doi:10.1016/S0040-1951(98)00184-X.
- ten Veen, J.H., and Postma, G., 1999a, Neogene tectonics and basin fill patterns in the Hellenic outer-arc (Crete, Greece): Basin Research, v. 11, p. 223–241, doi:10.1046/j.1365-2117.1999.00097.x.
- ten Veen, J.H., and Postma, G., 1999b, Roll-back controlled vertical movements of outer-arc basins of the Hellenic subduction zone (Crete, Greece): Basin Research, v. 11, p. 243–266, doi:10.1046/j.1365-2117.1999.00098.x.
- Vernant, P., Reilinger, R., and McClusky, S., 2014, Geodetic evidence for low coupling on the Hellenic subduction plate interface: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 385, p. 122– 129, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2013.10.018.
- Di Vita, A., 1999, Gortyn: 1978-1995, The new excavation data of the Italian School of Archaeology, *in* Krites Thalassodromoi, Kyklos Dialexeon, Ianouarios-Aprilios 1996, KG Eforia Proistorikon, kai Klassikon Archaiotiton and 13th Eforia Vyzantinon Archaiotiton,

Heraklion, p. 177–185.

- Di Vita, A., 1985, Gortyn, *in* Luca, D. ed., Ancient Crete : a hundred years of Italian archaeology (1884-1984), Rome, Italian Archaeological School of Athens, p. 39–71.
- Wang, R., Lorenzo-Martín, F., and Roth, F., 2006, PSGRN/PSCMP—a new code for calculating co- and post-seismic deformation, geoid and gravity changes based on the viscoelasticgravitational dislocation theory: Computers & Geosciences, v. 32, p. 527–541, doi:10.1016/j.cageo.2005.08.006.
- Wegmann, K.W., 2008, Tectonic Geomorphology above Mediterranean Subduction Zones: Northeastern Apennines of Italy and Crete, Greece: Lehigh University, 185 p.
- Xu, Y., He, H., Deng, Q., Allen, M.B., Sun, H., and Bi, L., 2018, The CE 1303 Hongdong Earthquake and the Huoshan Piedmont Fault, Shanxi Graben: Implications for Magnitude Limits of Normal Fault Earthquakes: Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, v. 123, p. 3098–3121, doi:10.1002/2017JB014928.
- Yamashita, T., and Sato, R., 1974, Generation of tsunami by a fault model: Journal of Physics of the Earth, v. 22, p. 415–440, doi:10.4294/jpe1952.22.415.
- Yuan, T., and Feng, X., 2010, The 1556 Huaxian great earthquake: Seismol. Press, Beijing, p. 386.