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Abstract

The Plio-Pleistocene Lichi Mélange in the Coastal Range of eastern Taiwan offers an excellent opportunity to study processes of mélange development at the continent-ocean interface of an active arc-continent collision. This paper presents new results of detailed geologic mapping, lithofacies analysis, magneto-biostratigraphy, paleocurrent, and paleoslope analyses in the southern Coastal Range to investigate the origins and significance of this mélange. The results show that the Lichi Mélange consists of mass-transport deposits including well-stratified block-in-matrix beds (olistostromes), extra-formational blocks (olistoliths), and broken formation with abundant soft-sediment deformation features that transition laterally into distal...
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mega-slump beds and pebbly mudstones (subaqueous debrites). Abundant observations of depositional
contacts and interbedding of mélange with contemporary (ca. 4–1 Ma) flysch units of the Fanshuliao and
Paliwan formations confirm their sedimentary origin. Compacted sedimentological shear fabrics in
olistostromal facies are broadly parallel to internal stratification and bedding, and are readily distinguishable
from cross-cutting brittle fault zones related to post ~1 Ma west-vergent thrust faults. Paleoslope and
paleocurrent analyses record down-slope gravity-driven transport toward the east and southeast.

The data provide evidence for a polygenetic origin of the Lichi Mélange, in which sedimentary mass-
wasting deposits are overprinted by younger tectonic shear zones. Slide blocks, conglomerate clasts, and
detrital sand were all derived from an eroding source in the east-vergent eastern retrowedge of the Taiwan
collisional orogen. The source area included tectonically accreted fragments of the two converging plates that
represent shallow-crustal equivalents of the Miocene Yuli Belt and Eastern Slates exposed in the modern
Central Range. Reconstructed stratigraphic panels record eastward progradation of olistostromal facies over
distal basinal flysch deposits, which we infer resulted from eastward (oceanward) migration of a steep
submarine slope at the leading edge of the retrowedge orogenic front. Thus, the Coastal Range basin evolved
as a migrating retro-foredeep basin that formed on top of older, pre-collisional volcanic arc and forearc crust.

These results demonstrate a unique type of sedimentary basin that is formed and then rapidly inverted at a
convergent continent-ocean interface during the transition from intra-oceanic subduction to arc-continent
collision. This revised history of the Lichi Mélange provides a new perspective on the dynamics of rapid crustal
mixing and tectonic recycling at the convergent suture of an active arc-continent collision system.
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1. Introduction

“Mélange” in geology is a non-genetic lithological term defined as a mappable and chaotic rock unit consisting of extra-formational (exotic) blocks embedded in highly mixed and disrupted matrix (i.e., block-in-matrix fabrics) (Greenly, 1919; Hsü, 1968; Cowan, 1985). Mélanges form by large-scale stratal disruption via tectonic, diapiric, or sedimentary processes, or a combination of these processes (i.e., polygenetic) (Raymond, 1984, 2019). They provide insights into the kinematics of crustal deformation and rock mixing at active plate margins, and therefore are useful for reconstructing continental growth over deep time in tectonically active settings (Dilek et al., 2012). Processes of mélange formation at the continent-ocean interface of arc-continent collision zones are particularly controversial and poorly understood due to the relative paucity of well-preserved mélange records from ancient arc-continent collision zones globally (e.g., Festa et al., 2010), despite a few recent advances in a Neoarchean arc-continent collision system of the North China Craton (e.g., Wang et al., 2019; Kusky et al., 2020). The low preservation potential of mélanges at suture zones likely reflects the short lifetime (often ~5-15 Myr) of arc-continent collision systems and rapid crustal erosion that occurs after the forearc crust is accreted in the retrowedge of arc-continent collision suture zones (Draut and Clift, 2013).

To address these challenges, many studies have focused on active arc-continent collision orogens where young or active mélange generation can be directly observed (e.g., Harris and Audley-Charles, 1987; Huang et al., 2000). However, the genesis of these mélange units remains debated in part due to inconsistent definitions of “mélange” that lie at the center of controversies over tectonic models in many orogenic belts (Festa et al., 2012; Raymond, 2019). Growing evidence suggests that microscopic to outcrop-scale internal shears and block-in-matrix fabrics cannot be used as definitive criteria to distinguish mélange formation by faulting, diapirism, or gravitational processes (Raymond, 1984; Ogata et al., 2012; Wakabayashi, 2019), because mechanical styles of stratal disruption and brecciation depend on local physical properties (e.g., permeability, strength), which in turn depend on degree of consolidation, fluid content, pressure, temperature, and rate of structural loading and deposition (Michiguchi et al., 2011; Ogata et al., 2014; Festa et al., 2019). In
addition, recycling and incorporation of juvenile crustal materials via episodic tectonic and/or sedimentary processes commonly overprint older features at the boundary between advancing orogenic fronts and adjacent sedimentary basins (Festa et al., 2016; Moore et al., 2019; Ogata et al., 2019a). As such, interdisciplinary constraints from geologic mapping, stratigraphic analysis, kinematic study, etc. are required to advance our understanding of mélangé formation in arc-continent collision zones.

The Lichi Mélange in the Coastal Range of eastern Taiwan (Fig. 1) is widely considered a classic example of mélangé formed in an arc-continent collision suture, but its origin is poorly understood and thus still a matter of debate (Fig. 2). Prior studies have documented evidence in support of both sedimentologic (e.g., Liou et al., 1977; Page and Suppe, 1981) and tectonic (e.g., Chen, 1997b; Chang et al., 2000, 2001) processes of rock mixing, suggesting a possible polygenetic origin for the Lichi Mélange. However, the question of whether tectonic shearing or sedimentary (olistostromal) emplacement was the primary mode of shearing to form the Lichi Mélange remains unresolved. Such controversy is related to alternate models for basin evolution recorded by Plio-Pleistocene sedimentary rocks in the Coastal Range. According to the prevailing hypothesis (Fig. 2A), the Coastal Range is underlain by relatively little-deformed volcanic islands and adjacent forearc, intra-arc, and backarc basins (e.g., Teng, 1987; Huang et al., 1995; Chen, 1997a; Song and Lo, 2002), and the Lichi Mélange formed by tectonic shearing in a mega-thrust belt during large-scale forearc shortening (Chen, 1997b; Chang et al., 2001; Huang et al., 2008, 2018). Other studies suggest an olistostromal origin for the Lichi Mélange and consider the main body of the mélange to be part of a genetically related sedimentary sequence (e.g., Liou et al., 1977; Page and Suppe, 1981; Barrier and Muller, 1984) that filled a syn-orogenic flysch basin and was later tectonically inverted (e.g., Dorsey, 1988; Lundberg and Dorsey, 1988) (Fig. 2B). The second hypothesis postulates that the basin is deformed by large thrust faults due to strong crustal shortening, and the modern topography of the Coastal Range reflects the areal distribution of young structures and antiformal culminations rather than intact volcanic islands (Dorsey, 1992; Thomas et al., 2014).
The origin of the Lichi Mélange is likely also related to the formation of the late-Miocene Yuli Belt, an exhumed greenschist-blueschist facies metamorphosed mélangé in the Central Range of Taiwan, located directly west of the Coastal Range (Fig. 1A). Recent studies of the Yuli Belt propose numerous tectonic models to explain mélangé formation and rapid exhumation at the collisional plate suture (e.g., Chen, W.-S. et al., 2017; Conand et al., 2020). Thus the Lichi Mélange sits at the center of ongoing debate over processes of mélangé formation during accretion of oceanic arc crust, mechanisms of tectonic recycling in arc-continent collision suture zones, and processes that drive growth of continental lithosphere through time (Clift and Vannucchi, 2004). High-resolution age constraints, geologic mapping, process-based sedimentology, and stratigraphic studies are therefore needed to resolve long-standing uncertainty and debate over the origins of the Lichi Mélange.

For this study we conducted detailed geologic mapping, lithofacies analysis, measured sections, magneto-biostratigraphy, paleoslope and paleocurrent analyses to test hypotheses for sedimentary versus tectonic origins of the Lichi Mélange. The results are systematically compiled below and applied to interpret the basin-filling history, reconstruct basin geometry, and evaluate the role of the Lichi Mélange in the evolution of the Taiwan collisional orogen.

2. Geological background

The island of Taiwan is an active arc-continent collisional orogen produced by oblique convergence between the Eurasian continental margin and Luzon Arc on the Philippine Sea plate (Fig. 1) (Suppe, 1984; Yu et al., 1997). The orogen is characterized by a low gradient west-vergent prowedge thrust belt in the west and a steep east-vergent retrowedge in the east, separated by a high drainage divide that parallels the major structural fabrics (Fisher et al., 2007). The major morphotectonic units of Taiwan include: (1) Pliocene to modern pro-foreland basin and west-vergent thrust belt in the western Taiwan Strait, Coastal Plain, and Western Foothills; (2) deformed low-grade Eocene to Miocene meta-sandstone and argillite in the Hsueshan
Range and western Central Range; (3) older metamorphic continental basement in the Tailuko Belt; (4) greenschist-blueschist facies mafic to ultramafic metamorphic rocks and associated meta-sediments in the eastern Central Range (Yuli Belt and Eastern Slates); and (5) accreted volcanic rocks of the Luzon Arc and overlying deformed sequence of unmetamorphosed flysch deposits in the Coastal Range (Teng, 1990; Chen, W.-S. et al., 2017). Estimates for the age of onset of collisional mountain building in Taiwan vary from about 6.5 to 12.5 Ma based on stratigraphic evidence for flexural loading in the pro-foreland basin and earliest introduction of continental material into the trench (e.g., Lin et al., 2003; Tensi et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2019).

Despite these differences, it is widely agreed that major orogenic uplift, crustal thickening and tectonic exhumation began at ca. 5 Ma. Pulses of accelerated exhumation occurred at ca. 1.5–2.0 Ma and 0.5 Ma as indicated by abrupt changes in sedimentation rate and sandstone petrography in syn-orogenic basins (Dorsey, 1988; Teng, 1990; Nagel et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2019), timing of pressure-temperature dependent metamorphism (Beyssac et al., 2008; Sandmann et al., 2015; Keyser et al., 2016), and bedrock cooling history based on thermochronologic studies (Lee et al., 2015; Hsu et al., 2016).

Previous studies of the Coastal Range have applied conflicting definitions of lithostratigraphic units (e.g., Horng and Shea, 1996; Chen, 2009; Huang et al., 2018; Lai, L.S.-H. et al., 2018), regional structures (e.g., Chen, W.-H. et al., 2015; Lai and Teng, 2016), and basin style and geometry (e.g., Teng, 1987; Lundberg and Dorsey, 1988; Huang et al., 1995; Chen et al., 2019). In addition, the term “mélange” has been defined differently in published analyses and geologic maps (e.g., Hsu, 1956; Page and Suppe, 1981; Barrier and Muller, 1984; Chen, 1997b; Chang et al., 2000; Chen, W.-H. et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2018), resulting in ambiguous tectonic interpretations for eastern Taiwan. In the following sections, we present a standardized lithostratigraphic framework and rock classification scheme for coherent (non-mélange) strata in the Coastal Range based on a synthesis of classic and recent published studies. We then summarize existing knowledge of regional structures in the southern Coastal Range, current models for the Lichi Mélange and basin evolution, and provide standard definitions and stratigraphic nomenclature to be used in this paper.
2.1. Non-mélange strata and structures of the Coastal Range

Miocene rocks representing arc and forearc crust in the Coastal Range are unconformably overlain by a thick (4-7 km) section of Plio-Pleistocene synorogenic marine flysch and conglomerate (Fig. 3). The Tuluanshan Formation is defined as all volcanic and volcaniclastic rocks beneath the unconformity, including the Chimei Igneous Complex (~15–9 Ma), Shihmen Volcanic Breccia, and older Shihtiping Tuff (~15–6 Ma) (Chen, 1997a; Song and Lo, 2002; Lai et al., 2017). A ~2 Myr time gap at the basal unconformity is characterized by an abrupt change in depositional age, cementation, clay mineralogy, and truncated normal faults that are restricted to the Tuluanshan Formation beneath the unconformity (Barrier and Angelier, 1986; Dorsey, 1992). Field and stratigraphic analysis for this study shows that the age gap is partly occupied by an eastward-younging thin discontinuous sequence comprised of the Biehchi Epiclastic Unit (~4 Ma), Kangkou Limestone (~5–3 Ma), and younger units of the Shihtiping Tuff (~4.2 Ma). (see details in Section 6.1)

The synorogenic Plio-Pleistocene succession of marine flysch and conglomerate in the Coastal Range records unroofing of metamorphic rocks in the Central Range orogen as documented with changes in abundance of metamorphic lithic fragments (e.g., Teng, 1979; Dorsey, 1985; Chen et al., 2019), illite crystallinity (Buchovecky and Lundberg, 1988; Dorsey et al., 1988; Yao et al., 1988), and reset detrital thermochronometers (Kirstein et al., 2009, 2014). Earlier stratigraphic studies named these deposits the Takangkou and Chimei formations (Hsu, 1956), or collectively the Takangkou Formation (e.g., Page and Suppe, 1981; Chang et al., 2000; Huang et al., 2008; Chen, W.-H. et al., 2015). Definitional problems and inconsistencies led to a newer nomenclature that subdivides the section into the Fanshuliao and Paliwan formations (Teng, 1987; Chen, 2009). The Fanshuliao Formation contains thick slumped and chaotic horizons in mudstone and fine-grained turbidites, with sand composed of volcanic lithic fragments, plagioclase feldspar, quartz sand, and carbonate bioclasts (Teng, 1980; Teng et al., 2002). The Paliwan Formation consists of thin-to thick-bedded turbidites and submarine conglomerates with abundant low-grade metamorphic lithic
fragments and only minor volcanic clasts (Teng, 1982; Chen, 1997a). The base of a widespread pebbly mudstone layer (Pm3) defines the contact between the Fanshuliao and Paliwan formations in the southern Coastal Range (Wang and Chen, 1993; Chen, 2009). Recent geologic mapping and stratigraphic analysis permits further subdivision based on recognition of multiple widespread marker beds of pebbly mudstone and tuffaceous turbidites (Fig. 3) (Lai and Teng, 2016; Lai, L.S.-H. et al., 2018).

The structure of the Coastal Range is dominated by large-displacement imbricate west-vergent thrust faults and associated regional-scale folds (Wang and Chen, 1993). Rapid uplift rates (e.g., Hsieh and Rau, 2009; Chen et al., 2020), kinematic analyses (Barrier and Angelier, 1986; Lin et al., 1999), and modern seismicity (Angelier et al., 2000; Lee et al., 2006) provide evidence for ongoing active deformation and crustal thickening in the Coastal Range. Of the total Philippine Sea – Eurasian plate convergence rate (~82–90 mm yr\(^{-1}\)), roughly 60 mm yr\(^{-1}\) shortening is absorbed by convergence in the Coastal Range and offshore structures to the east (~60 km) in the past ~1 Myr (Reed et al., 1992; Tsai et al., 2015; Hsieh et al., 2020).

In the southern Coastal Range, several west-vergent thrust faults and three large plunging folds control the distribution of map units (Fig. 4) (Hsu, 1956; Wang and Chen, 1993; Lai and Teng, 2016). Among these structures, only the Longitudinal Valley fault is considered to be currently active (Angelier et al., 2000; Lee et al., 2006; Shyu et al., 2008). Most previous studies map the southern Tuluanshan fault along the drainage divide between the Taiyuan and Powhua regions (Wang and Chen, 1993; Chen, 1997b; Chang et al., 2000; Chen, W.-H. et al., 2017), but dipping depositional contacts and continuous stratigraphy suggest the absence of a major fault in that area (Barrier and Muller, 1984; Li, 1984; Lin et al., 2008). Field mapping for this study confirms the depositional nature of contacts where the southern Tuluanshan fault was originally proposed. West of there we have traced a ~100-300 m wide belt of 5-10 m wide fault zones with fault gouge and brittle shears aligned with the northern Tuluanshan fault, which we interpret as the southern Tuluanshan fault where it cuts the Chungye river (CYC) and the Mukeng river (MKC) sections. (see details in Section 5)
2.2. Lichi Mélange

The Lichi Mélange, originally named “Raikoka Formation” or “Lichi Formation” (Ooe, 1939; Hsu, 1956), “consists mainly of poorly stratified mudstone in which some large or small rock fragments or blocks of hard greyish sandstone, gabbro, serpentin(ite), and a little slate are present” (Hsu, 1956). The mélange contains pervasive shear fabrics in poorly consolidated scaly mudstone and block-in-matrix textures (Chen, 1997b; Chang et al., 2000; Chen, W.-H. et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2018). Other block lithologies include andesite, volcaniclastic rock, limestone, ophiolite-bearing sedimentary rocks, amphibolite, low-grade metasandstone, and flysch blocks similar to the Fanshuliao and Paliwan formations (Liou et al., 1977; Page and Suppe, 1981; Sung, 1991). Clay minerals in the matrix are illite with relatively abundant kaolinite, in contrast to illite- and chlorite-rich Fanshuliao and Paliwan formations, suggesting different sediment source rocks, routing systems, weathering conditions, or mixing processes (Lin and Chen, 1986). Depositional contacts and shear zones linked to soft-sediment deformation and post-depositional thrusting suggest a complex mixture of tectonic and sedimentary rock-mixing products (e.g., Page and Suppe, 1981; Chang et al., 2000).

Despite previously published evidence for both tectonic and sedimentary origins to the Lichi Mélange, two alternate hypotheses describe the primary mode of rock mixing as either tectonic or sedimentologic (Teng, 1981; Chen, 1991; Huang et al., 2018) (Fig. 2). The currently prevailing hypothesis postulates that the Lichi Mélange formed by shearing of older forearc-basin sediments in a post-depositional mega-thrust zone (Chang et al., 2000, 2001; Huang et al., 2000, 2008, 2018; Chen, W.-H. et al., 2017), consistent with earlier models for deformation in a subduction-accretion complex (Fig. 2A) (e.g., Biq, 1977; Teng, 1981; Hsü, 1988; Chen, 1991, 1997b). In this framework, zones with varying degree of stratal disruption and rock mixing α-δ scheme of Raymond (1984) are all mapped as Lichi Mélange (Chang et al., 2000, 2001; Huang et al., 2008; Chen, W.-H. et al., 2017), and these mélange zones are defined as being bounded by discrete brittle thrust faults (Wang and Chen, 1993; Chen, 1997b). Thick segments of relatively coherent strata (α and ß) are thus interpreted to be fault-bounded slivers of originally coherent sedimentary rocks, and exotic blocks (ophiolitic, volcanic,
volcaniclastic rock types) are considered to be tectonically emplaced structural fault slices (Huang et al., 2008, 2018). Field observations reveal brittle scaly foliation and shear fabrics near the west-vergent Longitudinal Valley fault and Tuluanshan fault, particularly in the Powhua and Luye area (Chen, 1997b; Chang et al., 2000, 2001). Foraminifera biostratigraphic data are proposed to support a depositional age of ~3.35 to 8.5 Ma for structurally disturbed strata in the Lichi Mélange, generally older than nearby exposures of the Fanshuliao and Paliwan formations (~ 4–1 Ma) (Huang et al., 2008, 2018; Chen, W.-H. et al., 2017). Unresolved challenges to this hypothesis include: (1) definition of mélange units that are based on genetic interpretations, making it difficult to assess the potential role of gravity-driven processes; (2) lack of consistent criteria for identifying tectonic faults; (3) contacts that were reported as depositional in original studies (Chang et al., 2000, 2001) or later work (Lin et al., 2008) remain unexplained; and (4) interpreted depositional ages of mélange matrix that are inconsistent with prior studies of calcareous nannoplankton biostratigraphy (see below).

A second group of studies interprets the Lichi Mélange as a complex of submarine-slide deposits derived from the steep fault-bounded western margin of the basin (e.g., Hsu, 1956; Wang, 1976; Ernst, 1977; Ho, 1977; Page and Suppe, 1981; Barrier and Muller, 1984). In this hypothesis, submarine slide blocks (olistoliths) are interbedded with and pass laterally into flysch facies of the Fanshuliao and Paliwan formations, and were later overprinted (tectonically reworked) by post-depositional brittle tectonic faults. This multi-stage hypothesis is supported by analogue modeling (e.g., Malavieille et al., 2016, 2021) and seismic reflection studies of offshore chaotic bodies in the North Luzon Trough that are proposed as a modern equivalent of the Lichi Mélange (e.g., Huang et al., 1997; Chi et al., 2014). Within this framework, the Lichi Mélange, or “Lichi Formation” (Hsu, 1956), was originally defined as chaotic disrupted broken formation and mixed block-in-matrix rocks (mélange) with locally interbedded coherent layers of conglomerate, pebbly mudstone, slump beds, mudstone, and flysch (Liou et al., 1977). Few undisputed depositional contacts have been reported between mélange and coherent strata associated with soft-sediment stretching, bending, folding, or fragmentation of blocks (Page and Suppe, 1981; Li, 1984). Early studies showed that calcareous nannoplankton
assemblages in Lichi Mélange matrix are similar to those of nearby Plio-Pleistocene Fanshuliao and Paliwan formations (< ~4 Ma), and that exotic sedimentary blocks yield older fossils (~18 to 5.6 Ma) consistent with an olistostromal interpretation (Chi et al., 1981; Barrier and Muller, 1984; Li, 1984).

However, the sedimentary hypothesis also faces challenges: (1) coherent portions of the “Lichi Formation” are similar to the Fanshuliao and Paliwan formations, creating ambiguities in the definition of stratigraphic units and boundaries; (2) few depositional contacts have been reported in prior published studies; (3) distal olistostromal facies that are predicted by this hypothesis have not previously been identified in nearby Fanshuliao and Paliwan formations to the east (Teng, 1981; Chen, 1991); and (4) because Miocene sedimentary rocks were not recognized in the eastern part of the Central Range directly to the west, some workers argued there is no source area to supply Miocene-age sedimentary slide blocks and olistoliths (Huang et al., 2018).

Taken together, inconsistent stratigraphic definitions and age interpretations have led to major disagreements over the distribution, contact relationships, and origins of the Lichi Mélange. Recent studies of mélange-like marker beds (pebbly mudstone) in the Fanshuliao and Paliwan formations (Lai and Teng, 2016; Lai, L.S.-H. et al., 2018), and a late Miocene depositional age for metasedimentary rocks in the eastern part of the Central Range (e.g., Chen, W.-S. et al., 2017; Mesalles et al., 2020), have not yet been considered in this debate. These new findings reveal a need to re-evaluate critical field relationships in the Lichi Mélange, refine its definition, and reassess its stratigraphic context in the southern Coastal Range (Fig. 1B).

2.3 Nomenclature and definitions used in this paper

In this study, we adopt modern nongenetic terms of “mélange” and “broken formation” to describe mappable (at 1:25,000 or smaller scale) chaotic rocks that commonly have “pervasively deformed and fragmented matrix of finer-grained material”, with and without inclusion of extra-formational blocks respectively (Hsü, 1968; Silver and Beutner, 1980; Raymond, 1984), which represent products of different forming mechanisms – rock-mixing plus stratal disruption versus only stratal disruption (Harris et al., 1998;
Festa et al., 2012). The terms “olistostrome” and “olistolith”, traditionally equivalent to “sedimentary mélange” and “slide blocks” following classic principles of stratigraphic superposition (Abbate et al., 1970), are applied to name sedimentary lithofacies in the Lichi Mélange (Table 1). The term “polygenetic mélange” is used for a mélange body formed through a multistage evolution that involves two or more styles of rock-mixing mechanisms (sedimentary, tectonic, or diapiric), and its primary fabrics have been overprinted (reworked) by later processes (Berkland et al., 1972; Ogata et al., 2019b; Festa et al., 2020).

For the stratigraphic framework, this paper adopts an updated descriptive nomenclature and depositional ages for lithostratigraphic units in the Coastal Range, summarized in Fig. 3. The Tuluanshan Formation (Chen, 1997a; Song and Lo, 2002) is capped by a regional unconformity (Dorsey, 1992) and is overlain by 4-7 km of marine flysch of the Fanshuliao and Paliwan formations (Teng, 1987; Chen, 2009; Lai and Teng, 2016; Lai, L.S.-H. et al., 2018). Due to the difficulty of defining the contact between the Lichi Mélange and flysch units, we classify the products of sedimentary processes (i.e., lithofacies) independent of any existing lithostratigraphic classification scheme (Table 1, see details in Section 4). The Lichi Mélange in this study is defined narrowly as rocks (facies X3, olistostrome) characterized by poorly developed stratification that is broadly parallel to regional bedding (equivalent to the “color bands” in Page and Suppe, 1981) and pervasively “sheared” matrix with extra-formational blocks (i.e., scaly block-in-matrix fabric). Chaotic sedimentary rocks without internal shear fabric or foliation that record soft-sediment deformation and sediment gravity flows (i.e., pebbly mudstones (facies X1), slump beds (facies X2), and other coherent strata (facies F1-F4, Vo)) are included in the Fanshuliao and Paliwan formations. For outsized (>10 m to a few km diameter) fractured blocks regardless of lithology that appear in all sedimentary units, we apply the neutral term “olistolith” (facies X4).

The term “exotic block” is reserved for blocks with lithologies whose source is not present in the surrounding sedimentary units (e.g., andesite, volcaniclastic sandstone and conglomerate, limestone), and which are different from any lithology found in country rocks of the Coastal Range (e.g., well-lithified quartz-
rich sandstone without orogen-derived lithic fragments, ophiolitic rocks (gabbro, serpentinite, granodiorite, etc.), metasandstone) (Liou et al., 1977; Page and Suppe, 1981), in contrast to some blocks originated from nearby intra-formational sources such as turbidite facies F2 (so called “native blocks”).

It should be noted that the definition of Lichi Mélange as a lithostratigraphic unit in this study is used in a manner of convenience for assessing the geologic map pattern and observed contact relationships, thus serving as the basis for additional analyses, which is different from the classic usage of the lithological term “mélange.” Some chaotic facies in the Fanshuliao and Paliwan formations such as pebbly mudstone (X1) and part of slump bed (X2) can be also considered as “sedimentary mélange (olistostrome)” as conventionally defined (Ogata et al., 2019b) or “small-scale mélanges and broken formations” if they are not mappable at 1:25,000 or smaller scale (e.g., Codegone et al., 2012).

3. Methods

Detailed geological mapping for this study targeted the Lichi Mélange and associated deposits exposed in road cuts and riverbanks of the southern Coastal Range (Fig. 4). Lithostratigraphic descriptions were executed in selected river sections along three geological transects: (1) Powhua-Shinchang; (2) Luye-Tulan; and (3) Fuli-Chengkung transects (Figs. S1-S14). Among these sections, we compiled existing data for microfossil biostratigraphy and magnetostratigraphy from previous studies (Chang, 1967, 1969; Barrier and Muller, 1984; Chen, 1988b; Huang and Yuan, 1994; Horng and Shea, 1996; Chen, W.-H. et al., 2015, 2017) and manually georeferenced their sample localities on maps in order to project them to our measured sections (Figs, S3-S9). We also include digitized unpublished calcareous nannoplankton fossil data from Chi et al. (1981) in our analysis (Fig. 3 and Table S3). We also collected fresh mud rock samples for new microfossil analysis in the Lichi Mélange matrix and surrounding sedimentary units from all studied river sections (Figs. S3-S9), with a focus on calcareous nannoplankton data that were relatively limited in previous studies and three additional samples for planktonic foraminifera identifications (the Yungfong (YF) section) (Tables S3-
Additional paleomagnetic drill core samples were collected from strata in coherent continuous sections (Fanshuliao and Paliwan formations), and processed through stepwise thermal demagnetization, alternating-field demagnetization, or a combination of both methods to obtain reliable measurements of primary remanent component of the paleomagnetic declination and inclination at each site (Fig. S17). A “double-tilt correction” was later applied to progressively remove tilting by regional fold plunge and then bedding tilt (Fisher, 1953; Ramsay, 1961) (Table S5). After compiling these magneto-biostatigraphy datasets, we interpreted the depositional ages based primarily on paleomagnetic polarity reversals and the first appearance datum (FAD) for index fossils due to potential fossil reworking (Chi et al., 1981; Chen, 1988b, 2009), whose ages follow recent compilations for the Indo-Pacific region (Anthonissen and Ogg, 2012; Backman et al., 2012; Ogg, 2012; Chuang et al., 2018) (Fig. 3).

To understand paleo-basin geometry and facies architecture, we constructed three stratigraphic panels by correlating stratigraphic sections along W-E transects (Powhua-Shinchang, Luye-Tulan, Fuli-Chengkung) and hanging the youngest widespread chronostratigraphic horizons, or datums, such as the first appearance datum (FAD) of microfossils, paleomagnetic reversals, and event marker beds like pebbly mudstone (X1) and tuffaceous turbidites (Vo). The approximate unfolded horizontal distance was calculated using standard geometrical methods (e.g., Ragan, 2009) and mean bedding dip along the transects (Fig. 4).

To reconstruct sediment routing pathways and sediment sources, we measured sedimentary structures for paleocurrent (e.g., flute casts, ripple cross-lamination, imbricated gravel clasts) and paleoslope (e.g., axial planes of asymmetric slump folds) directions in each studied section and the Loho and Changpin areas, including data for tuffaceous turbidites (Lai, L.S.-H. et al., 2018). All directional data were restored to paleo-horizontal using a “double-tilt correction”. More comprehensive descriptions of our methodologies are included in the Supplementary Materials.

4. Lithofacies and facies associations
Nine lithofacies are identified in Plio-Pleistocene sedimentary rocks of the southern Coastal Range based on their distinctive characteristics and corresponding interpreted sedimentary processes (Table 1). We employ the classification scheme of Raymond (1984), in which categories α to δ are used to indicate degree of stratal disruption. This scheme was widely applied in previous studies of the Lichi Mélange (e.g., Chang et al., 2001; Chen, W.-H. et al., 2017). Lithofacies are then grouped into three facies associations according to their stratigraphic context, sedimentological affiliations, and contact relationships, and these are used to interpret depositional processes, paleoenvironments, and other rock-forming mechanisms (Table 2).

Facies Association 1 (FA1) consists of submarine flysch deposits spanning a wide range of grain size and sedimentary features comprising most of the Fanshuliao and Paliwan formations. The major facies in this group are mudstone (facies F1), turbidites (F2), thick-bedded sandstone and gritstone (F3), and conglomerate (F4) (Fig. 5A, B, C). Finer-grained facies in this association are the depositional products of cohesionless sediment gravity flows including sand-rich low- to high-density turbidity currents and gravel-rich grain flows (Table 1). Clasts in this facies association are primarily composed of orogen-derived lithic fragments (e.g., slate, low-grade metasandstone), followed by minor andesite and mafic rocks (e.g., basalt, gabbro). They are interpreted as the deposits of proximal to outer submarine fans with supra-fan lobes and channels that formed on a deep basin plain (Chen, 1988a; Dorsey and Lundberg, 1988). The deep-sea fan deposits likely were derived from submarine canyons that funneled sediment downslope from onshore river sources (Stow and Mayall, 2000).

Facies Association 2 (FA2) includes sedimentary deposits that display a wide range of chaotic textures and internal structures formed by stratal disruption, slumping, sliding, and/or rock-mixing (Table 2). Extraformational clasts (pebble size and larger) in these facies include meta-sandstone, slate, volcanic andesite, volcaniclastic rocks, ophiolitic rocks (gabbro, serpentinite, granodiorite), limestone, and well-sorted quartz-rich sandstone (Liou et al., 1977; Page and Suppe, 1981; Chen et al., 2008; Lai, L.S.-H. et al., 2018). Pebbly mudstone (X1) and slump beds (X2) represent ductilely deformed and disrupted sediments in the Fanshuliao
and Paliwan formations (Fig. 5E, F). These facies locally include outsized, decimeter- to kilometer-scale olistoliths (X4) (Fig. 6A, B) that commonly display small-scale internal brittle fractures and local diapiric mudstone intrusions (Fig. 7C) indicating rapid emplacement in unconsolidated sediment that created local fluid overpressure (Ogata et al., 2019a). These olistoliths are composed of various extra-formational lithologies such as andesite, volcaniclastic sandstone and conglomerate, ophiolitic rocks (gabbro, serpentine, granodiorite, etc.), limestone, and quartz-rich sandstone (Figs. 6A-E, S1-S12). Olistostrome facies (X3) are characterized by very thick massive beds of disturbed mudstone with indistinct bedding and relatively weak shear fabrics (Fig. 6D-E). Intensive rock dismemberment including characteristic boudinage structures occurs locally within the basal zone of slump beds facies (X2) (Fig. 6F), fitting the definition of “broken formation.” Well-developed scaly foliations with connective tightly spaced slickensides, schistosity-cisaillement (S-C) fabrics, and reoriented clasts with extensional structures commonly occur along sheared horizons near the base of the olistostrome (X3) (Figs. 6G, S15B). These basal deformation features in chaotic sedimentary rocks may be the result of gravitational-related shearing during mass movements (Tripsanas et al., 2008; Ogata et al., 2014). Detailed field observations reveal depositional successions of chaotic facies (FA2) interpreted as products of submarine mass wasting and flow transformations from slides and slumps to cohesive debris flows that initiated on mud-rich unstable submarine slopes and accumulated at base-of-slope to proximal basin plain environments (Ogata et al., 2012; Festa et al., 2016) (Table 2).

Lastly, FA3 consists of tuffaceous turbidites (Vo) (Fig. 5D) that represent distal syn-eruptive volcaniclastic deposits associated with syn-collision volcanism of the Luzon Arc (Yang et al., 1995; Lai, L.S.-H. et al., 2018).

This classification scheme permits interpretation of processes using a modern evidence-based approach that provides an unambiguous basis for defining lithostratigraphic units (Tables 1, 2). The Lichi Mélange in this scheme is restricted to facies that display pervasive shear fabrics: olistostrome (X3) (Fig. 6D, E). In contrast, coherent facies (F1-F4), and mixed facies produced by sediment gravity flows and slumping...
(X1, X2) are assigned to the Fanshuliao and Paliwan formations (Fig. 5). Olistoliths (X4) are included in the lithostratigraphic unit of its surrounding facies (Fig. 6A, B, C).

5. Contact and map relationships

In our field survey, we first identified the fault zone rocks (i.e., uncompacted cataclasite, fragmented mudstone with pencil cleavage, and fault gouge) of the Tuluanshan fault (Fig. 7), which cuts all lithological units including Lichi Mélange in the southern Coastal Range (Figs. 4, S1-S2). These fault zone rocks display brittle shear fabrics with well-polished slickensides that overprint primary sedimentary fabrics and structures of rocks on both sides of the main fault. This observation confirms that brittle shear fabrics are not diagnostic for differentiating chaotic rocks generated by different mechanisms (cf. Chen, 1997b; Chang et al., 2000), and the “structurally ordered block-in-matrix fabrics” subject to tectonic overprints are restricted to narrow fault-damage zones.

In contrast to identified brittle fault contacts, most contacts between Lichi Mélange and other sedimentary units are depositional. Eight of the best exposed depositional contacts are documented in Fig. 8, including the classic outcrops reported by Page and Suppe (1981) (their Locality J) (Fig. 8A) and Li (1984) (their site L12) (Fig. 8C). According to stratigraphic younging direction indicated by sharp bases and normally graded Bouma sequences in turbidites (facies F2), the Lichi Mélange is both underlain and overlain by deposits of the Fanshuliao and Paliwan formations, in exposures that reveal clear interbedding relationships. Depositional contacts in Chungye river – A (CYCa) and Yungfong (YF) sections exhibit gradational transitions from deposits of non-cohesive sediment gravity flows (facies association FA1) to submarine mass-wasting products (FA2), thus displaying clear conformable lithological transitions that reflect straightforward depositional contact relationships (Figs. 10, S15-S16).

The degree of shearing at depositional contacts varies from none (e.g., Fig. 8A, B, D, H) to high (e.g., Fig. 8F). None of the sheared contacts coincides with post-diagenetic brittle fault gauge, cataclasite, or pencil
cleavage, making them easily distinguished from brittle fault zones of the Tuluanshan fault (Fig. 7) and Wushinshih fault (Lai and Teng, 2016). There is no evidence of shearing at the depositional contacts between Lichi Mélangé and Fanshuliao Formation near the headwaters of Mukeng river (MKC) section (Figs. 4, 8C, D, S2), which previous workers speculated is the southern extent of the Tuluanshan fault (e.g., Chen, 1997b; Chang et al., 2000; Huang et al., 2018). Similarly, the well exposed depositional contact at Chunchie river (CC), Chiaolai river (CLC), and Juchiang river (JCC) sections around Fukang area (Page and Suppe, 1981; Lin et al., 2008) clearly refute a previously hypothesized east-vergent thrust at that locality (e.g., Chang et al., 2001; Chen, W.-H. et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2018) (Fig. 8A).

Some studies map a “Yungfong fault” at the contact between Lichi Mélangé and Fanshuliao Formation in the Yungfong (YF) section (Figs. 4, S2), with variously proposed vergence directions (west-vergent or east-vergent) (Lo et al., 1993; Chen, 1997b; Chang et al., 2000). Soft-sediment extension features (boudinage) are commonly observed in the Lichi Mélangé (i.e., olistostrome (X3)). Scaly foliation near the basal sheared contact has an attitude identical to regional bedding dipping toward west (Fig. 8G), and it correlates laterally to another exposure 0.6 km to the north where an unambiguous depositional contact is reported (Hsu, 1956; Barrier and Muller, 1984) (Figs. 8H, S16). The sense of shear measured along this localized sheared horizon seems to be consistent with the orientation of regional tectonic stress field (Chen, 1997b; Chang et al., 2000), but is also consistent with reconstructed paleoslope directions after bedding corrections, suggesting an alternative explanation of gravity-driven sliding and basal shear (see Section 7.1). These relations suggest that localized shear fabrics near the southern contact represent localized shears produced by mass movement at the base of thick olistostrome beds. The YF section appears to be a continuous succession, an interpretation supported by internal consistency among index microfossils (see Section 6.1).

Based on careful assessment of contact relationships, our geological map reveals common pinch-out of the Lichi Mélangé with lateral and vertical facies transitions to pebbly mudstone beds (X1) of the Fanshuliao and Paliwan formations (Figs. 4, S1-S2), thus confirming their interbedding relationship. The Lichi Mélangé
is primarily preserved in the western part of the Coastal Range, except in the Fukang area where thick Lichi Mélange extends to the east and southeast where it is exposed along the modern coastline (Fig. 4). The internal stratification and shear fabrics of the Lichi Mélange broadly coincide with regional bedding trends (Page and Suppe, 1981). We also observe random fabric orientation, particularly around Fukang area, and locally preserved onlap onto channel margins (Fig. 8E), revealing a map pattern typical of large-scale sedimentary mélange (Festa et al., 2019). Lichi Mélange and other units in this area were reworked together by post-depositional tectonic deformation (cross-cutting thrust faults and folds) (Figs. 4, 7), and therefore the Lichi Mélange can be considered as a “polygenetic mélange.”

6. Basin-fill stratigraphy of the southern Coastal Range

6.1 Age of sedimentary units and unconformities in the southern Coastal Range

The sedimentary fill of the southern Coastal Range basin is dominated by Plio-Pleistocene deep-marine orogen-derived deposits that formed by gravity-driven processes (Lichi Mélange, Fanshuliao and Paliwan formations). These deposits overlie an eastward younging regional unconformity on top of Miocene Shihmen Volcanic Breccia and older Shihtiping Tuff of the Tuluanshan Formation (Fig. 3).

Our compilation of age data shows that the same group of youngest index microfossils are present in the matrix of Lichi Mélange and interbedded Fanshuliao and Paliwan formations (Figs. 9, 10, S3-S14). Microfossils whose last-appearance ages are older than the first appearance datum (FAD) of younger ones repeatedly appear in both Lichi Mélange and interbedded units, indicating persistent fossil reworking that limits the reliability of the Last Appearance Datum (LAD) for interpretations of depositional age. Planktonic foraminifera *Globorotalia crassaformis* (FAD 4.31 Ma), *Globorotalia tosaensis* (FAD 3.35 Ma) and calcareous nannoplankton *Pseudoemiliania lacunosa* (FAD 3.82 Ma) are present in the oldest strata which are exposed in the west, including Mukeng river (MKC), Chungye river (CYC), and Yungfong (YF) sections (Chang, 1967; Barrier and Muller, 1984; Chen, W.-H. et al., 2017) (Figs. 10, S3-S7, S11-S13). At the southeast
end of the Coastal Range (Fukang area) (Figs. 4, S1), calcareous nannoplankton *P. lacunosa* (FAD 3.82 Ma) and trace *Gephyrocapsa oceanica* (FAD 1.70 Ma) are present in Lichi Mélange in the Chunchie (CC), Chiaolai river (CLC), and Moon World (MW) sections (Chi et al., 1981; Chen, W.-H. et al., 2017). Large *Gephyrocapsa* spp. (FAD 1.57 Ma) appears near the top of the underlying Paliwan Formation (Figs. 10C, 11, S5-S6, S12).

Although older (Miocene) calcareous nannoplanktons *Reticulofenestra pseudoumbilicus* (medium and large), *Sphenolithus abies*, and *Discoaster* spp. are abundant in the matrix of the Lichi Mélange in this area, the clear evidence for an unsheared depositional contact with stratigraphic superposition (Fig. 8A) and common olistostromal features (Fig. 6D) indicate that the Miocene fossils are reworked from older sediments (Fig. 11). Thus, the whole sedimentary sequence in the southern Coastal Range was deposited between ca. 4 and 1 Ma, and the depositional age of the Lichi Mélange is similar to that of interbedded Fanshuliao and Paliwan formations.

The Kangkou Limestone is only preserved at the base of the Sanshian river (SSS), Shingang river (SGS), and Babian river (BBS) sections (Figs. 4, S8, S9). In this area, it contains planktonic foraminifera *Gr. crassaformis* (FAD 4.31 Ma) at the base and abundant *Gr. tosaensis* (FAD 3.35 Ma) and *Dentoglobigerina altispira* (LAD 3.05 Ma) near the top (Fig. S14), suggestive of a depositional age range between 4.31 and 3.05 Ma (Huang and Yuan, 1994). Huang and Yuan (1994) interpreted that the top of the Kangkou Limestone may be younger based on a single, uncertainly identified specimen of *Globorotalia truncatulinoides* (FAD 2.00 Ma) (Sample #26 in their Table 4). This tentative age assignment is not considered in our compilation because it could not be verified. The Biehchi Epiclastic Unit is exposed at the base of the Bieh river (BC) section and was deposited at ca. 4.2–3.8 Ma based on the presence of planktonic foraminifera *Gr. crassaformis* (FAD 4.31 Ma) (Chang, 1969), calcareous nannoplankton *P. lacunosa* (FAD 3.82 Ma) (Barrier and Muller, 1984), and the youngest peak U-Pb age (~4.2 Ma) of detrital zircon (Chen, T.-W. et al., 2015). The age distribution of these two intermittent units partially overlaps that of the Lichi Mélange and lower Fanshuliao Formation, and
appears to be a discontinuous record of the ~2 Myr transition from the youngest stages of arc volcanism to sedimentary basin formation during collisional orogenesis (Dorsey, 1992).

6.2 Type sections and marker beds of the Fanshuliao and Paliwan formations

The Madagida river (MDJ) and Bieh river – A (BCa) sections are widely accepted as stratotypes for the Fanshuliao and Paliwan formations in the southern Coastal Range (Chen, 2009; Huang et al., 2018) (Fig. 9). Widespread layers of pebbly mudstone (Pm1 to Pm7, facies X1) and tuffaceous turbidites (Tp1 to Tp14, facies Vo) provide useful marker beds that allow us to map and correlate these deposits (Lai and Teng, 2016; Lai, L.S.-H. et al., 2018). In this study, we discovered five more tuffaceous turbidites (Tf1 to Tf5) in the Fanshuliao Formation (Fig. 3). The Paliwan Formation in the MDJ section was previously dated between ca. 2.15 to 1.5 Ma (Horng and Shea, 1996). In this study we refine the age interpretation with revised placement of the first occurrences of G. oceanica (FAD 1.70 Ma) and large Gephyrocapsa spp. (FAD 1.57 Ma) in this section (Fig. 9A). The proposed age of the Fanshuliao Formation in the southern Coastal Range varies from ~ 4.94–3.35 Ma (Lee and Chi, 1990; Chen, 2009) to ~ 3.35–2.15 Ma (Horng and Shea, 1996; Lai and Teng, 2016). Based on compilation of previous and new data with lithostratigraphic correlations, the lower Fanshuliao Formation is reassigned here to the upper Gauss Chron, ranging in age from the top of the Keana reverse polarity event (C2An.1r; 3.04 Ma) to the Gauss-Matuyama boundary at 2.59 Ma. The upper Fanshuliao Formation corresponds to the lower Matuyama Chron (C2r.2r, 2.59–2.14 Ma) (Fig. 9B). This revised age interpretation is supported by the presence of planktonic foraminifera Gr. tosaensis (FAD 3.35 Ma) near the bottom of the section (site 222 in Chang, 1969) (Figs. S7, S13B).

Pebbly mudstone and tuffaceous turbidite marker beds have unique sedimentary textures and clast compositions that permit regional correlation. These marker beds are interpreted to record distinct geological events such as seismicity-triggered submarine debris flows and volcanic eruptions (Chen et al., 2008; Lai, L.S.-H. et al., 2018). This allows us to tune their ages using our updated high-resolution magneto-biostratigraphy,
and we use the marker beds as age anchors for other sections based on detailed geologic mapping and
lithostratigraphic correlation. For example, pebbly mudstone beds Pm2, Pm3, and Pm5 were deposited near
the Gauss-Matuyama boundary (2.59 Ma), the onset of Pulleniatina spp. left coiling event 5 (2.15 Ma), and
the top of the Olduvai normal polarity event (C2n, 1.80 Ma), respectively. Tuffaceous turbidites Tp7-Tp14
formed between the FAD of large Gephyrocapsa spp. (1.57 Ma) and onset of small Gephyrocapsa spp. acme
zone (1.23 Ma), consistent with ages determined by apatite fission tracks (1.5 ± 0.1 Ma) and U-Pb zircon dating
(1.6 ± 0.1 Ma) on equivalent beds (Yang et al., 1995; Chen, T.-W. et al., 2015). The tuffaceous turbidites Tp3-
Tp4 and pebbly mudstone Pm4 formed around the base of the Olduvai event (~1.95 Ma), and the tuffaceous
turbidites Tf1-Tf2 and pebbly mudstone Pm1 form near the base of C2An.1n event in Gauss Chron (~3.04
Ma).

6.3 Stratal architecture of the southern Coastal Range

Using correlations summarized above and restored distances between stratigraphic sections, we
constructed 2D west-east facies panels that reveal the original paleo-basin geometry along three studied
stratigraphic transects (Figs. 12, 13). The panels show that sedimentary strata of the Fanshuliao and Paliwan
formations and Lichi Mélange onlap onto a basin-wide basal unconformity on top of the Tuluanshan Formation
(arc volcanic basement). The basal unconformity has a restored gentle west dip (≤ 6 – 7°) and defines an
asymmetric basin low that corresponds to maximum stratigraphic thicknesses near the orogenic front (Dorsey,
1992; Chen, 2009). All members of the Fanshuliao and Paliwan formations thin consistently to the east.

The reconstructed stratigraphic architecture of eastward thinning and onlap in Plio-Pleistocene orogen-
derived deposits of the southern Coastal Range is unlike the arc-ward thickening stratal pattern that is typically
observed in forearc basins (Noda, 2016, 2018). The observations of paleo-basin geometry and evidence for
considerable basal erosion are inconsistent with previous interpretations that the Coastal Range deposits
represent the sedimentary fill of an inherited, uneroded forearc basin (cf. Teng et al., 1988; Chang et al., 2000).
There is no evidence for a large local bathymetric low to support a backarc basin interpretation (cf. Chen, 1988a, 1997a; Song and Lo, 2002) or pull-apart intra-arc basin (cf. Huang et al., 1995, 2006; Chen, W.-H. et al., 2015). Instead, the stratal pattern is best explained as the basin fill of a flexural foredeep basin where deposits thicken toward the orogen that supplied sediment to the basin (DeCelles and Giles, 1996; Sinclair and Naylor, 2012). This interpretation is consistent with the predictions of a syn-collisional retrowedge basin model proposed in other studies (e.g., Dorsey and Lundberg, 1988; Lundberg and Dorsey, 1988; Malavieille et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2019). (See details in Section 8.2)

7. Paleoslope and paleocurrent data

7.1 Paleoslope orientations

Paleoslopes determined from vergence direction of asymmetric slump folds (facies X2) show prevailing east to southeast slump directions in modern coordinates (Fig. 14A). Our results are consistent with previously published data in the Luye region (Page and Suppe, 1981) that indicate a regional east to southeast-dipping paleoslope in the southern Coastal Range. Structural and bedding-corrected striae measured at the basal depositional contact of Lichi Mélange in YF section (site #5-6 in figure 7 of Chang et al., 2000) (Fig. 8G) and base of a thick exotic sandstone block in JCC section (site #1-2 in figure 8 of Chang et al., 2001) (Figs. S5, S12B) indicate shear directions consistent with local paleoslope indicators, suggesting that they originated by the similar mass-wasting processes (Fig. 14A). After correcting for 30°±10° clockwise block rotation based on paleomagnetic fabrics (Lee et al., 1990), our results imply a north-striking, east-dipping steep slope at the tectonically controlled western basin margin. This slope was the site of common submarine mass wasting events that generated the Lichi Mélange and associated submarine debris flows (Page and Suppe, 1981; Dorsey and Lundberg, 1988). Minor westward paleoslope directions near the base of the BCa section are interpreted to represent local structural complexities, and do not record a regional-scale west-dipping slope on the western flank of a volcanic arc massif (cf. Huang et al., 1995; Chen, 1997a; Song and Lo, 2002).
7.2 Paleocurrent directions

Paleocurrent directions exhibit temporal and spatial variations among different lithofacies (Fig. 14B). In orogen-derived turbidites and other cohesionless sediment gravity flow facies (F2-F4), paleotransport is dominantly toward the south in modern coordinates, with increasing indicators of southeastward transport in the southern region. We observe an up-section increase of southeast-transport directions in the younger Paliwan Formation, which is mainly preserved in the eastern part of the basin. Pebby mudstone (facies X1) shows a dominant paleocurrent toward the southeast (in modern coordinates), consistent with paleoslope directions measured in slump bed (facies X2) nearby (Fig. 14A). In contrast, tuffaceous turbidites (facies Vo) have diverse paleocurrent directions with a relatively stronger components of westward to southwestward paleoflow directions.

After correcting for $30^\circ \pm 10^\circ$ clockwise block rotation in the Coastal Range rocks (Lee et al., 1990), we use paleoslope and paleo transport indicators to interpret the location of source areas and sediment-routing pathways for each facies association. The main source of facies association FA1 (facies F2-F4), which formed in a submarine fan system (Table 2), was located northwest of the basin (Teng, 1982; Chen, 1997a). The up-section increase in east-directed paleocurrents implies increased input from the west, which we interpret as a response to eastward migration and basinward advance of the Taiwan collisional orogenic front. Consistent east- to southeast-directed directions of paleocurrent in FA1 and paleoslope in pebbly mudstone (X1) and slump beds (X2) reveal a north-trending, east-dipping submarine slope at the steep unstable western basin margin. This shows that the eastern retrowedge of the Taiwan orogen was the main source of mass-transport deposits in facies association FA2. Syn-eruptive tuffaceous turbidites (FA4) display spatially variable paleocurrent directions with a dominant mode to the west and southwest (Fig. 14B). These turbidites were derived from an active volcanic source east of the basin, not the volcanic island of Lutao located southeast of the modern Coastal Range (cf. Yang et al., 1995; Horng and Shea, 1996) (Fig. 1). Our interpretation of an
eastern source is supported by a westward decrease in thickness of the tuffaceous turbidites (Lai, L.S.-H. et al., 2018).

8. Discussion

8.1 Paleogeography and depositional setting

Stratigraphic panels in the southern Coastal Range reveal an important pattern of lateral facies change in which western sections contain abundant olistostromal facies (association FA2), and age-equivalent sections in the east are dominated by flysch facies (association FA1) (Figs. 12, 13). Proximal facies including slump beds (X2), olistostromes (X3), and olistoliths (X4) are more abundant in the west and pass laterally into distal facies with pebbly mudstone beds (X1) in the east. This facies architecture records downslope disintegration of mass flows during transformation from slides, slumps, and blocky flows to cohesive debris flows to high-density turbidity currents (Nemec, 1990; Ogata et al., 2012; Festa et al., 2016) (Fig. 15B), consistent with measured dominant eastward paleoslope directions (Fig. 14A). These facies associations formed by submarine slumping and deposition by sediment gravity flows in deep-water slope to submarine fan and basin plain environments (Fig. 15A). The depositional setting was subject to frequent deliveries of orogen-derived sediment that was routed into the basin by a combination of widespread slope failures and gravity-driven transport funneled through submarine canyons (Stow and Mayall, 2000).

Minor syn-eruptive tuffaceous turbidites (facies Vo, association FA4) represent a distal record of arc volcanism during ~4–1 Ma deposition of the orogen-derived sedimentary sequence (Lai, Y.-M. et al., 2018; Song and Tang, 2019) (Figs. 12, 13, 15A). The tuffaceous turbidites were derived from volcanoes located east to northeast of the basin (Lai, L.S.-H. et al., 2018) (Fig. 14B), and thus are distinct and different than the magmatic events (>16–14 Ma and ~10–6 Ma) recorded in the underlying Tuluanshan Formation below the basal unconformity (Fig. 3). These results are consistent with the presence of north-trending volcanic arc main body identified in the offshore directly east of Taiwan based on well-defined magnetic (Shyu et al., 1996;
Hsieh et al., 2014) and gravity anomalies (Doo et al., 2018). We infer that the offshore volcanoes have subsequently subsided below sea level and are now being deformed in an active offshore imbricate thrust belt (Hsieh et al., 2020).

Based on facies interpretations above, we conclude that strata of the southern Coastal Range accumulated in a syn-orogenic, syn-collisional marine foredeep basin directly east of a steep orogenic front that formed the tectonically active western margin of the basin (Fig. 15). Active volcanoes east of the basin delivered distal tuffaceous turbidites during this time (~4–1 Ma), suggesting the eruptive centers shifted to the east during development of the basal unconformity and retro-foredeep system (See Section 8.2). The implied Plio-Pleistocene volcanoes are distinctly younger than the ~15-6 Ma volcanic arc and forearc environments recorded in the underlying Tuluanshan Formation, and may be related to a “double island arc” interpretation proposed by Yang et al. (1996).

8.2 Retro-foredeep basinal system in the Luzon forearc

Results of our geologic mapping and basin reconstruction reveal that the modern topography of the Coastal Range is controlled by tightly folded and faulted rocks of a marine foredeep basin that formed on the eastern retrowedge flank of the Taiwan orogen, and later was deformed into the present configuration of regional thrust faults and related anticlinal culminations (Figs. 2B, 16A) (e.g., Dorsey, 1988; Lundberg and Dorsey, 1988; Chen et al., 2019). This conclusion is a departure from previous interpretations that high topographic ridges in the Coastal Range represent an inherited configuration of relatively undeformed volcanic islands and surrounding forearc, intra-arc, and backarc basins (Figs. 2A, 16B) (e.g., Chen, 1988a; Teng et al., 1988; Huang et al., 1995).

Data presented above provide evidence for east-dipping paleoslopes and olistostromal facies in the west, which pass laterally eastward into an eastward-thinning marine flysch succession that onlaps onto a gently west-dipping regional unconformity (Figs. 12, 13). While the observed basin geometry differs from the
filling style of typical forearc basins (Noda, 2016, 2018), it is similar to the architecture of the modern North Luzon Trough as seen in offshore seismic reflection studies south of Taiwan (e.g., Lundberg et al., 1997; Hirtzel et al., 2009; Chi et al., 2014). This similarity suggests that the eastward-onlapping pattern of Plio-Pleistocene orogen-derived deposits in the Coastal Range may reflect inherited, pre-collisional forearc basin bathymetry. It is also not certain that the east-dipping paleoslope at the west margin of the 4–1 Ma Coastal Range basin was controlled by east-vergent thrusts, as proposed by previous workers (e.g., Suppe and Liou, 1979; Page and Suppe, 1981; Lundberg and Dorsey, 1990) and this study (Figs. 15A, 16), considering that the west margin of the modern North Luzon Trough does not show consistent east-vergent thrust structures (Fig. 1).

Despite these ambiguities, several observations suggest that the modern setting is not an exact analog for the past. First, the entire Coastal Range basin subsided rapidly below sea level until ~1 Ma, as indicated by the youngest depositional age of thick marine deposits in the north-central Coastal Range (Lee, 1992; Huang et al., 2018). This requires a major tectonic reorganization that abruptly ended subsidence and initiated uplift, precluding gradual southward propagation of the collision (see also Lee et al., 2015; Hsu et al., 2016). Second, it appears the kinematic style at the east margin of the Taiwan collisional orogen may have become more transpressional in the past ca. 1 Myr during tectonic reorganization (see section 8.4). Third, the traditional forearc basin model cannot explain the observed sudden change in depositional age and benthic foraminiferal assemblages at the basal contact of SSS, SGS, and BBS sections (Figs. 13, S14A-B). In these sections the basal erosional unconformity records an age gap of ~6–4 Myr and is capped by the shallow marine Kangkou Limestone which is directly overlain by deep-water flysch (facies F1 and F2) (Huang and Yuan, 1994). These stratigraphic relations imply a dynamic history of vertical crustal motions comprising regional slow uplift and erosion of forearc volcanic basement (Tuluanshan Formation), deposition of shallow-water limestone on the eroded basement, then rapid subsidence to deep water during initiation of the collisional basin (Dorsey, 1992). The vertical crustal motions have previously been interpreted as a localized intra-arc pull-part mechanism...
(Huang et al., 1995), but there is no field evidence for large-scale normal faults that postdate deposition of the Kangkou Limestone and predate the Paliwan Formation in the Coastal Range (Barrier and Angelier, 1986; Lin et al., 1999).

We therefore postulate that the asymmetric westward-deepening basin geometry represents a deflection profile produced by lithospheric flexure in response to tectonic loading in the Taiwan collisional orogen to the west (Fig. 1A). This is consistent with rapid sediment accumulation rates in the Coastal Range (≥ 1-7 mm yr⁻¹) that record rapid subsidence east of the growing Taiwan collisional orogen in response to rapid thrust-loading in the orogenetic thrust belt during deposition (Lundberg and Dorsey, 1988). Within this framework, the basal unconformity between the Tuluanshan Formation and overlying orogen-derived sediments is interpreted as a result of regional uplift and erosion on a broad flexural forebulge (Dorsey, 1992) (Fig. 3). The Kangkou Limestone and Biehchi Epiclastic Unit formed during development of the unconformity (~6–4 Ma), and they represent local thin discontinuous deposits that accumulated intermittently on the flexural forebulge. These relationships suggest rapid subsidence in response to an eastward migrating wave of flexural depression that is a common aspect of foreland basin evolution (DeCelles and Giles, 1996; DeCelles, 2012).

This hypothesis is consistent with the observed eastward progradation of coarse-sediment facies including mass-wasting deposits (X1 and X3) and channelized gravelly sediment gravity-flow deposits (F3 and F4), which are best explained as the result of basinward migration of the depocenter in response to an eastward advancing submarine slope at the retrowedge orogenic front, likely caused by a series of east-vergent thrusts (Figs. 15A, 16A). This pattern represents a marine analog to migrating coarse-sediment facies that are commonly interpreted as a response to a migrating flexural wave in terrestrial foreland basins (Heller et al., 1988; Sinclair, 2012; Dubille and Lavé, 2015).

Lithofacies of the Tuluanshan Formation beneath the basal unconformity (Fig. 3) make up a sequence of volcanic and volcaniclastic rocks that record underwater to subaerial eruptions within and on the flanks of late Miocene (~15–6 Ma) subduction-related arc volcanoes (Chen, 1997a; Song and Lo, 2002; Lai and Song,
2013). This suggests that the Plio-Pleistocene retro-foredeep basin of the Coastal Range formed on top of older, deeply subsided crust of an inactive Luzon Arc, similar to the modern retro-foredeep in the North Luzon Trough offshore of southeastern Taiwan (Fig. 1A). In the modern southeast offshore region, a unique collisional foredeep basin is forming where the forearc is closing due to the transition from intra-oceanic subduction to a mature arc-continent collision (e.g., Lundberg et al., 1997; Hirtzel et al., 2009).

8.3 Genesis and distribution of the Lichi Mélange

All published studies agree that the modern expression and distribution of the Lichi Mélange are influenced by tectonic shearing related to faults in the western Coastal Range that have been active in the past ca. 1 Myr (e.g., Page and Suppe, 1981; Chang et al., 2000). However, there is a considerable debate over the question of whether sedimentary processes (e.g., sliding and slumping) were involved in formation of this mélange (Teng, 1981; Chen, 1997b; Huang et al., 2018). This study confirms the ubiquitous presence of depositional contacts and interbedding between Lichi Mélange and Plio-Pleistocene orogen-derived flysch facies of the Fanshuliao and Paliwan formations (Figs. 8, 10). Young (~4–1 Ma) microfossils coexist among these sedimentary units in the southern Coastal Range (Fig. 11), providing an important new constraint on this question. Our data show that the Lichi Mélange was generated by olistostromal and mass-wasting processes (Fig. 15). We also observe evidence of overprinting tectonic shear fabrics and fault-zone breccias produced by post-depositional, cross-cutting, west-vergent thrust faults including the Tuluanshan fault (Figs. 4, 8). This late-stage structural disturbance is currently active along the strands of the active Longitudinal Valley fault (Angelier et al., 2000; Lee et al., 2006). The structural fabrics related to young deformation are mainly restricted to brittle damage zones within and adjacent to the faults, and they are volumetrically minor compared to widespread sedimentary features and depositional contacts that are commonly observed in the Lichi Mélange (Page and Suppe, 1981; Barrier and Muller, 1984).
Based on evidence presented above, we propose a polygenetic model for evolution of the Lichi Mélange in eastern Taiwan (Fig. 16A). During the growth of orogenic topography between ~ 6 and 1 Ma, eastward propagating thrust faults drove basinward migration of a steep submarine slope at the advancing retrowedge front of the collisional orogen (e.g., Malavieille et al., 2021) (Fig. 16A-1, A-2). Thrust-controlled slope oversteepening resulted in slope failures, slides, and slumps that produced olistostrome deposits at the western margin of a syn-orogenic marine foredeep basin formed on older inactive arc and forearc crust (Fig. 15A). During the advance of the orogenic thrust front, older olistostromes and associated sediments may be reworked into the frontal slope to produce new olistostromes, thus forming an “olistostromal carpet” (see Festa et al., 2010 and references therein). Later, the olistostrome deposits were overprinted by post-depositional tectonic fault zones associated with the Tuluanshan and Longitudinal Valley faults (Fig. 16A-3). The young, post-1 Ma stage of active deformation and rapid uplift inverted the foredeep basin along west-vergent thrusts in the Coastal Range (Lundberg and Dorsey, 1990), rapidly constructed steep rugged topography of the modern Coastal Range, and overprinted the Lichi Mélange to form a polygenetic mélange.

Our interpretation for the Lichi Mélange contrasts with a popular model proposed in prior studies, in which the Lichi Mélange solely formed by tectonic shearing of older sedimentary rocks in an east-vergent then west-vergent mega-thrust zone as a result of large-scale tectonic shortening in the forearc region (e.g., Chen, 1997b; Chang et al., 2001; Huang et al., 2018) (Fig. 16B). New constraints on the age, contacts, map relations, interbedding, and sedimentary facies associations (this study) contradict the “tectonic-only” mélange model, and clearly require emplacement by submarine mass wasting. Some workers suggest that preservation of the Lichi Mélange in the western belt of the southern Coastal Range indicates that it formed as a tectonic mélange produced entirely by fault zone deformation (Teng, 1981; Chen, 1991; Huang et al., 2018). However, the affinity of mélange to fault zones only suggests the likelihood of structural overprints, and does not provide evidence for its origin (Festa et al., 2019; Raymond, 2019; Wakabayashi, 2019). In fact, abundant olistostromal facies such as slump beds (X2), pebbly mudstone (X1), and olistoliths (X4) are also reported close to mapped
patches of Lichi Mélange in the northern Coastal Range (e.g., Dorsey and Lundberg, 1988; Song et al., 1994; Teng et al., 2002), suggesting that deposits associated with the sedimentary mélange are common in the northern Coastal Range as well.

The extent to which tectonic deformation has been absorbed in the present form of the Lichi Mélange remains unclear. It is plausible that post-depositional structures (both pre-1 Ma east-vergent thrusts and post-1 Ma west-vergent thrusts) influenced some of the shear surfaces formed by preceding olistostromal processes (Fig. 16A-2, A-3). Further meso-scale and microscopic studies of shear fabrics in the mélange matrix are needed to address this question.

8.4 Crustal shortening and tectonic recycling at the suture of an arc-continent collision

Because the Lichi Mélange formed primarily by sedimentary mass-wasting processes, the belt of rocks mapped as this mélange should not be considered as a “mega-thrust” zone that absorbs most of the crustal shortening associated with accretion of the Luzon Arc (e.g., Teng, 1987; Chen, 1997b; Chang et al., 2001; Huang et al., 2008). Tectonic horizontal shortening within the Coastal Range is primarily taken up by structures of the west-vergent fold-and-thrust belt that initiated ca. 1 Ma and post-date deposition in the Coastal Range foredeep basin (Chi et al., 1981; Dorsey, 1992). In addition, convergence on the oblique west-vergent Longitudinal Valley fault, and east-vergent thrust belt offshore of eastern Taiwan (e.g., Huang et al., 2010; Hsieh et al., 2020), suggests that the Coastal Range is an active doubly-vergent transpressional wedge within the active collisional suture between the Eurasian and Philippine Sea plates (e.g., Malavieille et al., 2016; Thomas et al., 2014) (Figs. 16A). Similar doubly-vergent wedge structures have been reported in the northern Coastal Range (e.g., Yen et al., 2018), directly offshore to the east (Hsieh et al., 2020), and the Huatung Ridge in southern offshore (e.g., Huang et al., 2000; Hirtzel et al., 2009; Chi et al., 2014) (Figs. 1A).

Our data confirm that exotic blocks in olistostromes of the Lichi Mélange, and variably rounded clasts of associated debris flow deposits, contain a diverse set of lithologies including arc-related andesite, andesitic...
volcaniclastic sandstone, limestone, ophiolitic rocks (gabbro, serpentinite, granodiorite), low-grade metasandstones, slate fragments, and Miocene quartz-rich sandstones that represent fragments of the Eurasian and Philippine Sea plates. This observation, combined with widespread evidence for east- and southeast-dipping paleoslopes, indicates that all of these rock types were exposed and deformed in thrust sheets in the eastern retro-wedge of the Taiwan collisional orogen (Fig. 16A). We propose that many of these rock lithologies represent shallow-crustal equivalents of high-P greenschist to blueschist grade metamorphic rocks in the Yuli Belt, which occupies the easternmost belt of the metamorphic Central Range belt directly west of the Coastal Range (Figs. 1, 16A). The Yuli Belt was recently recognized as a metamorphosed late-Miocene (~6–9 Ma) mélangé (Chen, W.-S. et al., 2017; Mesalles et al., 2020) that contains Miocene mafic and ultramafic fragments of the South China Sea as well as arc-affinity metavolcanic rocks (e.g., Jahn and Liou, 1977; Sun et al., 1998). Unmetamorphosed equivalents of similar aged low-grade meta-sediments (i.e., Eastern Slates) adjacent to the Yuli Belt (Fig. 1A), interpreted as a former forearc basin sequence (e.g., Stanley et al., 1981; Mesalles, 2014), represent the likely source of exotic Miocene sedimentary blocks in the Lichi Mélange (Chi et al., 1981). This idea is supported by the distinctive quartz-rich petrography of exotic sandstone blocks, which closely resembles that of sedimentary rocks now exposed at the south end of the Eastern Slate belt on the Hengchun peninsula (Sung, 1991).

These results suggest that volcanic arc and forearc crustal fragments of the oceanic Philippine Sea plate were tectonically recycled into the eastern retrowedge of the collisional orogen, likely by accretion and/or underplating within a subduction zone or subduction channel complex. This took place during deposition of the Lichi Mélange, prior to final closure of the retro-foredeep basin (Suppe and Liou, 1979; Page and Suppe, 1981; Malavieille et al., 2016). A modern analog may be seen in the Timor arc-continent collision system, where a retro-foredeep basin is currently active in the Banda forearc region and fragments of the Banda Arc crust have already been emplaced in the Bobonaro Mélange in the retrowedge sector of the active Timor collisional orogen (e.g., Harris et al., 1998; Tate et al., 2015). Another comparable example of tectonically
reworked olistostromes that formed in the retrowedge of a collisional orogen is well-documented in the late Cretaceous – Eocene northern Apennine belt in Italy (e.g., Malavieille et al., 2016; Barbero et al., 2020).

During the past ca. 1 Myr, the Lichi Mélange and retro-foredeep strata of the Coastal Range have been rapidly uplifted, imbricated, and incorporated along with underlying volcanic arc crust into the leading edge of the modern collisional orogen (Fig. 16A). These rocks are now part of a new, rapidly uplifting emergent mountain range that represents a potential source for a new generation of mélange formation (either tectonic or sedimentary). This large-scale mechanism of arc crustal shortening and tectonic recycling at the ocean-continent interface of a doubly-vergent collisional orogen may be a general process in the formation of polygenetic mélange in arc-continent collision systems, which play a critical role in the growth of continental lithosphere through time (Clift et al., 2008; Draut and Clift, 2012).

9. Conclusions

Our multidisciplinary study confirms a sedimentary origin for the ca. 4–1 Ma Lichi Mélange in the southern Coastal Range of eastern Taiwan. This unit formed by submarine slope failures, slides, slumps, and debris flows that interfinger laterally with a coeval thick (ca. 4–7 km) succession of turbidite-dominated flysch deposits that filled a syn-orogenic marine retro-foredeep basin. The entire syn-collisional succession overlies and onlaps eastward onto a regional unconformity that formed by erosion of older, late Miocene volcanic-arc and forearc-basin deposits. Major arc volcanism recorded in the Miocene Tuluanshan Formation ceased prior to the onset of eastward migrating subsidence, which we infer took place in front of the east-vergent retrowedge of the collisional orogen. Minor tuffaceous turbidites in the post-Miocene flysch sequence record input from a different, younger volcanic source that was located offshore to the east during Plio-Pleistocene basin development. Diverse rock types in Lichi Mélange blocks and clasts of associated debris flow deposits indicate that arc and forearc crustal fragments of the oceanic Philippine Sea plate were tectonically recycled into the
eastern retrowedge belt of the collisional orogen during the 4–1 Ma formation of the Lichi Mélange and
associated flysch deposits near the tectonically controlled western margin of the basin.

During the past ca. 1 Myr, the Lichi Mélange and retro-foredeep strata of the Coastal Range have been
rapidly uplifted, deformed, and incorporated into the ocean-facing margin of the modern collisional orogen
along with underlying Miocene volcanic arc crust. The present-day expression of the Lichi Mélange is
modified by structural overprints and fault-zone fabrics, but the mélange itself did not form solely by fault-
zone processes. It is a complex association of olistostromes emplaced by large submarine slides and slumps
derived from the eastern retrowedge of the Taiwan collisional orogen. These results reveal a dynamic and
complicated history of mélange-forming processes in respond to frequent rock mixing and reworking at the
oceanic interface of an active arc-continent collision. Similarity between our findings in eastern Taiwan and
other polygenetic mélanges associated with retrowedge basins suggests that long-term tectonic recycling
associated with crustal shortening may be a common process in retrowedge foredeep basins of active
collisional orogens, particularity in (but not limited to) arc-continent collision systems.
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Figure 1. Geological setting. Plate configuration and tectonic domains at Taiwan arc-continent collision, synthesized and modified from previous studies (Lin et al., 2003; Huang et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2019; Malavieille et al., 2021).
Figure 2. Comparison of published models for the origin and tectonic controls on formation of the Lichi Mélange. Figures are identical in the western half (central Taiwan orogen to pro-foreland basin); all differences are expressed in the eastern half, at the complex interface between Eurasian and Philippine Sea plates (dashed box). (A) Plate configuration and tectonic domains at Taiwan arc-continent collision, synthesized and modified from Huang et al. (2018). (B) Lichi Mélange originated as a sequence of marine mega-slumps (olistostromes) formed in a syn-orogenic foredeep basin at the east margin of the east-vergent retrowedge zone of the Taiwan orogen, modified from Malavieille et al. (2016).
Figure 3. Stratigraphic framework of southern Coastal Range (modified from Dorsey, 1992; Lai and Teng, 2016; Lai, L.S.-H. et al., 2018). The geomagnetic polarity timescale (GPTS) and microfossils’ first appearance (F.A.) datums of Indo-Pacific region are summarized (Anthonissen and Ogg, 2012; Backman et al., 2012; Ogg, 2012; Chuang et al., 2018). The lower right inset shows ages compiled for the entire Tuluanshan Formation (Huang et al., 1988; Dorsey, 1992; Huang and Yuan, 1994; Chen, 2009; Lai, Y.-M. et al., 2018).

*Chi et al. (1981): exotic blocks in Lichi Mélange are aged ~18-5.6 Ma (NN3-NN11 zone)
Figure 5. Lithofacies photos – I: products of sediment gravity flows. (A) Conglomerate (F4) in Mukeng river – C (MKCc) section. Notes the bedding is overturned; (B) Thick-bedded sandstone and gritstone (F3) in MKCc section, associated with conglomerate (F4) and turbidite (F2); (C) Turbidite (F2) and interbedded mudstone (F1) in Mukeng river – B (MKCb) section; (D) Tuffaceous turbidite (Vo of Tp12), interbeds with orogen-derived turbidites (F2) in Madagida river (MDJ) section; (E) Slump bed (X2) associated with pebbly mudstone Pm1 (X1) and turbidites (F2) in MKCc section; (F) Pebbly mudstone Pm3 (X1) in MDJ section.
Figure 6. Lithofacies photos – II: products of mass wasting. (A) Volcaniclastic (andesitic) sandstone olistoliths (X4) embedded in slump bed (X2) with soft-sediment deformation at Yungfong (YF) section. Notes the internal faults in blocks truncated at blocks’ margins; (B) Sandstone olistoliths (X4) with soft-sediment deformation in olistostrome (X3) at Mukeng river – A (MKCa) section; (C) Volcaniclastic (andesitic) sandstone olistoliths (X4) with muddy injectites (inset) in Bieh river – A (BCa) section, associated with pebbly mudstone Pm2 (X1) and slump bed (X2); (D) olistostrome (X3) in Juchiang river (JCC) section with south-dipping stratifications; (E) olistostrome (X3) in Moon World (MW) section with east-dipping stratifications; (F) Sedimentary boudinages in slump bed (X2) at Mukeng river – C (MKCc) section (i.e., broken formation); (G) Scaly foliation and sigmoidal-shaped blocks formed by non-coaxial shear and extensional fracturing (block-in-matrix fabrics) in a sheared horizon near a basal contact of olistostrome (X3) facies at MKCc section.
Figure 7. Field photos for Tulaunshan fault damage zone and core (uncompacted cataclasite and/or gouge zone). (A) Tuluanshan fault cataclasite in Chungye river (CYC) section; (B) Gouge zone (bounded by red dash lines) of the Tuluanshan fault along Road no.192; (C) Tuluanshan fault zone in at the base of Mukeng river – B (MKCb) section. The inset shows exposed fault gouge. Pencil cleavage exists in footwall broken formation (Br); (D) Tuluanshan fault zone along Mukengnan river, equivalent to site #19 in Chang et al. (2000). See locations in Fig. 4.
Figure 8. Field photos for depositional contacts (white dash lines) between Lichi Mélange & other units. (A) Chiaolai river (CLC) section, same place as ‘Locality J’ in Page and Suppe (1981); (B) Mukeng river – C (MKCc) section. Notes the bedding is overturned; (C) Mukeng river – B (MKCb) section; (D) Mukengnan river section upstream; (E) MKCa section downstream. The stratifications (black dash lines) of olistostrome (X3) appears to onlap on an erosive contact (white dash lines) locally truncating on lower Fanshuliao formation; (F) Chungye river – A (CYCa) section; (G-H) southern and northern contacts of Yungfong (YF) section respectively, which was previously inferred to the “Yungfong fault” (Chen, 1997; Chang et al., 2000). See locations in Fig. 4.
Figure 9. Type sections for Fanshuliao and Paliwan formations in the southern Coastal Range. (A) Madagida river (MDJ) section; (B) Bieh river – A (BCa) section. Black arrow heads on the left mark the stratigraphic heights of magneto-biostratigraphic constraints. See detail sample numbers in Figs. S1, S5, S8, S11 and data in Tables S3, S5.
Figure 10. Selective sections showing stratigraphic columns and lithofacies changes in the depositional transitions between Lichi Mélange and other sedimentary units. (A) Yungfong (YF) section and measured depositional contact zone; (B) Chungye river - A (CYCa) section and measured depositional contact zone; (C) synthesis of the Chunchie river (CC) and Chiaolai river (CLC) sections. Lithological legends and abbreviation of magneto-biostratigraphy follow Fig. 9. Yellow circles mark the depositional contact zones shown in Figs. 8. Black arrow heads on the left mark the stratigraphic heights where magneto-biostratigraphic constraints exist. See details of sample numbers, microfossils data, and measured contact zone photos in Figs. S12, S13, S15, S16, and Tables S3, S4.
Figure 11. Polarizing micrographs of index calcareous nannofossils, recovered from Paliwan Formation, and overlying Lichi Mélange, with scale bars of 5 μm. Photo numbers 1 and 5 are *Pseudoemiliania lacunosa*. Numbers 2 and 6 are *Gephyrocapsa oceanica*. Numbers 3 and 4 are large *Gephyrocapsa* sp. Numbers 7 and 8 are medium and large forms of *Reticulofenestra pseudoumbilicus* respectively. Number 9 is *Sphenolithus abies*. Number 10 is *Discoaster druggii* (†). Number 11 is *Discoaster quinqueramus*. Number 12 is *Discoaster surculus*. Number 13 is *Discoaster brouweri*. Number 14 is *Discoaster variabilis*. See sample locations in Figs S5, S7 and Table S3.
Figure 12. Stratigraphic panels of southern Taiyuan area, with restored distances between stratigraphic sections. (A) Powhua-Shinchang transect; (B) Luye-Tulan transect. Black and white rectangles with red outlines along the Madagida river (MDJ) section show paleomagnetic polarities. See locations of transects in Fig. 4. See details of litho-bio-magnetostratigraphic information in Figs. S10-S12.
Figure 13. Stratigraphic panel of Fuli-Chengkung area, with restored distances between stratigraphic sections. Black and white rectangles with red outlines show paleomagnetic polarities of Bieh river – A (BCa), Duwei river (DWC), Shingang river (SGS), Babian river (BBS), and Sanshian river (SSS) sections, with data projected from the Madagida river (MDJ) section. See location of the transect in Fig. 4. See details of litho-bio-magnetostratigraphic information in Figs. S12-S14.
Figure 14. (A) Inferred paleoslope direction from plunging direction of slump folds (facies X2), including results from Page and Suppe (1981), and bedding corrected east-vergent slikenline shearing sense in basal shear horizons of olistostrome (facies X3) (Chang et al., 2000, 2001); (B) Inferred paleocurrent direction from imbrication, cross-lamination, and flute cast. See method details in text. See raw data, locations, and used structural restorations in the Tables S1, S2.
Figure 15. Lithofacies classification scheme. (A) Depositional environment model (modified from Stow and Mayall, 2000) and facies associations (FA1-3) of the Coastal Range sedimentary rocks. White dash box marks the place where mélange-forming processes are portrayed in B; (B) Facies model (modified from Festa et al., 2016; Ogata et al., 2012) for mass-wasting deposits (facies association FA2) and structurally orientated shears (facies association FA3). See detail descriptions of lithofacies and facies associations in Tables 1, 2.
Figure 16. Synthetic comparison of the two end-member models interpreting the role, age, and evolution of the Lichi Mélange. (A) Olistostromal origin model. Details are synthesized from several publications (Page and Suppe, 1981; Dorsey and Lundberg, 1988; Chi et al., 2014; Malavieille et al., 2016) and this study. MTD is mass-transport deposits (facies association FA2). Coarse- and fine-grained facies of cohesionless sediment gravity flows (facies association FA1) are marked as c-SGF and f-SGF respectively. CRF is the Central Range Fault (Shyu et al., 2006). LVF is the Longitudinal Valley fault. TLF is the Tuluanshan fault; (B) Tectonic origin model. Figures are modified from Huang et al. (2018) which summarized various versions to date. S1 to S3 represent chronostratigraphic sequence 1-3 proposed by Huang et al. (2018).
Table 1. Lithofacies of sedimentary rocks in the southern Coastal Range. See photos in Fig. 5-6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facies Name</th>
<th>Summary Description</th>
<th>Interpreted Processes</th>
<th>Strat. Unit</th>
<th>Mélange Fabric</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>F1: Mudstone</strong></td>
<td>Dark gray to black mudstone (clay-silt mixture) with rare very thin beds of siltstone to fine-grained sst. Internally structureless to weakly laminated, commonly includes slump zones ~0.5 to 5 m thick. Clay is oregon-derivad.</td>
<td>Suspension settling</td>
<td>Fsl, Plw</td>
<td>α</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F2: Turbidites</strong></td>
<td>Sandstone and mudstone in laterally continuous beds. Sst beds ~0.01 to 0.5 m thick, normally graded with Bonna sequence, variable sand:mud ratio. Sandstone composition dominantly oregon-derivad lithic fragments.</td>
<td>Low-density turbidity current</td>
<td>Fsl, Plw</td>
<td>α</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F3: Thick-bedded sandstone and griststone</strong></td>
<td>Normally graded massive to laminated sandstone beds ~0.5 to 5 m thick. Granule conglomerate (grit) and pebbly sandstone in lower parts of thicker beds. Large flame and dish structures common near base. Sandstone and clast composition dominated by oregon-derivad lithic fragments.</td>
<td>High-density turbidity current</td>
<td>Fsl, Plw</td>
<td>α</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F4: Conglomerate</strong></td>
<td>Clast-supported conglomerate with pebble to boulder sized clasts, indistinct normal or inverse to normal grading near base. Beds ~1 to 5 m thick. Bases of beds are planar to channelized. Clasts primarily well-rounded low-grade metasandstone and subangular to rounded andesite with trace amounts of mafic rocks (e.g., basalt, gabbro).</td>
<td>Non-cohesive debris flow (grain flow)</td>
<td>Fsl, Plw</td>
<td>α</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>X1: Pebbly mudstone</strong></td>
<td>Matrix-supported, structureless pebbly mudstone in ~0.2 to &gt;300 m thick beds. Bimodal grain-size (mud and gravel), minor sand. Pebble- to boulder-size clasts are angular to subangular andesite &amp; limestone, and subrounded to well-rounded metasandstone and mafic to ultramafic volcanic rocks, unoriented to locally imbricated. Clast-rich and clast-poor zones alternate within single beds. Large flame structures common at base of beds.</td>
<td>Cohesive debris flow or slurry flow</td>
<td>Fsl, Plw</td>
<td>α?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>X2: Slump bed</strong></td>
<td>Ductilely deformed, convoluted and distorted sedimentary intervals ~0.3 to 120 m thick. Local fragmented and dismembered sandstone present in locally sheared matrix (i.e., broken formation) with common structures like pinch-and-swell, ductile to quasi-brittle boudinage, and extensional fractures. Poorly-to-well developed S-C fabrics and scaly foliation observed in less competent fine-grained layers. Protolith is mainly turbidites (F2) with abundant soft-sediment deformation such as micro-faulting and asymmetrically inclined recumbent folds with open to s-shaped fold profile.</td>
<td>Submarine slope failure, slides, and slumps, with sedimentary (gravitational) shearing</td>
<td>Fsl, Plw, Lc</td>
<td>α, β, γ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>X3: Olistostrome</strong></td>
<td>Laterally extensive zones of chaotic, matrix-supported, unsorted to weakly bedded sedimetary mélangé ~30 to &gt;500 m thick. Dismembered sedimentary rocks (similar to facies F2) with extra-formational clasts in pervasively deformed fine-grained matrix. S-C fabrics, scaly foliation, and mildly developed slickensides commonly occur in ~1 to 10 meters thick (wide) sheared horizons near the basal contacts, with common structures like pinch-and-swell, ductile to quasi-brittle boudinage, and extensional fractures. Tabular to elongated clasts are aligned parallel to the scaly fabric. Bimodal grain-size (mud and gravel), minor sand. Matrix dominantly gray to moss green clays (&quot;color bands&quot;). Larger clasts display variable rounding. Locally brecciated matrix close to basal shear horizons and cross-cutting faults. Diverse clast compositions: andesite, volcaniclastic sandstone and conglomerate, well-lithified quartz-rich sandstone without oregon-derivad lithic fragments, ophiolitic rocks (gabbr, serpentine, granodiorite, etc.), limestone, metasandstone.</td>
<td>Blocky flow and cohesive debris flow with sedimentary brecciation, shearing, and rock-mixing</td>
<td>Lc</td>
<td>δ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>X4: Olistolith</strong></td>
<td>Decimeter- to km-scale single allochthonous block in slumped mudstone. Compositions include andesite, volcaniclastic sandstone and conglomerate, ophiolitic rocks (gabbro, serpentine, granodiorite, etc.), limestone, and quartz-rich sandstone to low-grade metasandstone. Blocks commonly contain internal brittle shears and fractures with local diapiric mudstone intrusions.</td>
<td>Submarine rock slide or avalanche</td>
<td>Fsl, Plw, Lc</td>
<td>α?, δ?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vo: Tuffaceous turbidites</td>
<td>White normally graded, planar to ripple-laminated tuffaceous sandstone, with Bouma sequence, in beds ~0.01 to 7 m thick. Grain size is silt to very-coarse sand with rare small pebbles near base. Grains are mostly fresh felspar with locally abundant dark minerals (biotite, pyroxene, hornblende) and volcanic lithic fragments. Minor glass shards at tops of some beds.</td>
<td>Syn-eruptive turbidity current</td>
<td>Fsl, Plw</td>
<td>α</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†: We apply the 4-degree (α-δ) classification of stratal disruption (Raymond, 1984), also used in previous studies of the Lichi Mélange (e.g., Chang et al., 2000; Chang et al., 2001). Facies abbreviations: F = flysch; X = mélange; Vo = volcanic
Table 2. Facies associations of sedimentary rocks in the southern Coastal Range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facies Association</th>
<th>Occurrence and Lithofacies Contacts</th>
<th>Depositional Setting</th>
<th>Rock Unit†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FA1: Cohesionless sediment gravity flows</td>
<td>Submarine flysch facies F1 to F4 (Fig. 5A-C). F1 = distal basin plain and slope. Base of beds in all facies are typically sharp (erosive). Facies F3 and F4 locally display channelized bases with ~ 2 to 230 m deep and 10’s to 100’s m wide erosional channels incised into facies F1 and F2.</td>
<td>Proximal to distal submarine fan with supra-fan channels, and distal basin plain.</td>
<td>Fsl, Plw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA2: Submarine mass wasting and cohesive debris flows</td>
<td>Interbedding, soft-sediment deformation, and lateral transitions among pebbly mudstone (X1), slump bed (X2), and/or olistostrome (X3) (Figs. 5E-F, 6D-E), with randomly distributed lithic blocks (X4) (Fig. 6A-C).</td>
<td>Muddy sediment-rich, mass-transport complex derived from steep slope and deposited at base of slope to proximal basin floor. Local brittle and plastic deformation zones record shearing on discrete basal surfaces of large mass movements. Variable degrees of sediment liquefaction and lubrication that facilitated mass transport.</td>
<td>Lc, Fsl, Plw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA3: Volcaniclastic deposition</td>
<td>Fresh tuffaceous sandstone beds (Vo) with sharp erosive bases. Primarily interbedded with turbidites (F2), uncommonly preserved where facies other than F2 are dominant (Fig. 5D).</td>
<td>Distal syn-eruptive turbidity currents.</td>
<td>Fsl, Plw</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†: Abbreviations of stratigraphic units follow Table 1.