Slab temperature evolution over the lifetime of a subduction zone
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ABSTRACT

The thermal evolution of subducting slabs controls a range of subduction processes, yet we lack a robust understanding of how thermal structure develops over a subduction zone’s lifetime. We investigate the time-dependence of slab thermal structure using dynamically consistent, time-evolving models. Pressure-temperature ($P$-$T$) conditions along the slab Moho and slab top exhibit substantial variability throughout the various phases of subduction: initiation, free sinking, mature subduction. This variability occurs in response to time-dependent subduction properties (e.g., fast vs. slow convergence) and thermal structure inherited from previous phases (e.g., due to upper plate aging). At a given depth, the slab cools rapidly during subduction initiation, after which slower cooling occurs. In the case of the Moho, additional cooling occurs during the free sinking phase. We explore the implications of time-dependent thermal structure on exhumed rocks and subducting lithosphere dehydration. Modeled slab top $P$-$T$ paths span much of the $P$-$T$ space associated with exhumed rocks, indicating that a significant component of recorded variability may have dynamic origins. Coupling our $P$-$T$ profiles with thermodynamic models of oceanic lithosphere, we show that dehydrating ultramafic rocks at the slab Moho provide the bulk of hydrous fluid at subarc depths during the earliest phases. Over subsequent phases, these rocks carry fluids into the deeper mantle, and it is mafic crust along the slab top that releases water at subarc depths. We conclude that rapidly varying subduction conditions, and non-steady-state thermal structure, challenge the utility of kinematically-driven models, particularly for predicting thermal structure in the geological past.
1. INTRODUCTION

The thermal structure of subduction zones enacts a first order control on a wide range subduction processes and properties, from the rheological strength of an individual plate interface to material transport, chemical transformations, and global element cycling. This thermal structure contributes to element cycling by affecting the locus and magnitude of devolatilization, and the amount of volatiles that subduct past the arc and into the deeper mantle (Hacker, 2008; Rüpke et al., 2004). Given the importance of these thermally controlled processes, a longstanding goal of subduction research is a quantitative understanding of subduction zone thermal structure.

While analytical and semi-analytical models established the first-order controls on subduction zone temperature fields (McKenzie, 1969; 1970) and subsequently refined thermal estimates (e.g., Molnar and England, 1990; 1995; Royden, 1993; Davies, 1999), the thermal structure of subduction zones is now most commonly investigated using numerical calculations of mantle wedge flow (e.g., Furukawa, 1993; van Keken et al., 2002; Currie et al., 2004). These models typically prescribe the kinematic behavior of the subducting plate and calculate the resulting thermal solution for the mantle wedge. Use of specific subduction parameters makes such models readily applicable to individual currently active subduction zones and so, when constrained using geophysical or petrological observables, they have led to important insights about Earth’s down-going water flux (Syracuse et al., 2010; van Keken et al., 2011), drivers of arc magmatism (e.g., Grove et al., 2009; Perrin et al., 2018), and exhumation potential of subduction zone rocks (Gerya et al., 2002; van Keken et al., 2018). In such models, subduction zone thermal structure is predicted by either holding subduction properties constant to derive a steady-state solution, as in most of the studies mentioned above, or by imposing time-varying slab properties within models (e.g., Peacock and Wang, 1999; Hall, 2002; Suenaga et al., 2019). However, because these approaches impose slab and plate properties, they are unable to investigate the time-dependence of subduction zone thermal structure (and associated non-steady state effects) within a framework that permits the slab, plates, and mantle wedge to co-evolve in a dynamically consistent manner.

Tectonic and plate kinematic observations demonstrate that the properties governing slab temperatures, such as slab dip, convergence rate, and upper plate structure, can vary over few-Myr timescales (e.g., Faccenna et al., 2001; Sdrolias and Müller et al., 2006; Iaffaldano, 2015). Such observations are supported by similarly fast subduction zone variation in dynamic subduction models (Clark et al., 2008; Cerpa et al., 2014), with models exhibiting distinct phases throughout the lifetime of a subduction zone that can last for several Myrs and are characterized by differing plate motions, trench motions, and/or slab dips (e.g., Funiciello et al., 2004; Garel et al., 2014; Holt et al., 2015). Given this inherent subduction zone time dependence, and the links between subduction properties and thermal structure, it is then unsurprising that time-dependent pressure-temperature (P-T) conditions are recorded in the metamorphic rocks exhumed at a wide
range of paleo subduction zones (e.g., Lázaro et al., 2009; Groppo et al., 2009; Krebs et al., 2011).

Motivated by this, we use time-dependent and self-consistently evolving numerical models to investigate the imprint that dynamic changes in subduction behavior have on slab Moho and slab top temperature. For convenience, we refer to our models as ‘dynamic’ and the more common mantle wedge models that prescribe slab and overriding plate properties as either ‘kinematic-dynamic’ or ‘kinematically-driven’ models. That is, the latter set of models kinematically prescribe the slab and upper plate behavior but derive a dynamic solution for flow and thermal structure in the mantle wedge. We note that some thermal subduction models fall between these endmembers, e.g., models that include flow in the wedge that is driven by compositional density anomalies (e.g., Gerya et al., 2002; Gerya and Yuen, 2003) or prescribe plate velocities but solve for slab evolution and/or upper plate deformation (e.g., Eberle et al., 2002; Yamato et al., 2007; Arcay, 2012; 2017). However, only a very limited set of studies have examined the evolution of slab pressure-temperature (P-T) conditions within models that do not impose any external forces or velocities on the flow (King and Ita, 1995; Kincaid and Sacks, 1997). While dynamic models are challenging to tailor to specific subduction zones, they allow us to develop intuition about non-steady-state thermal structure in a generalized sense. At the scale of an individual subduction zone, such an understanding is needed to move towards: i) accounting for thermal structure that has been inherited from previous phases in present day thermal structure estimates, ii) assessing how rapidly thermal structure varies, and iii) constraining how temperature-dependent observables may vary within the geological record.

Temporal changes in subduction zone thermal structure can be expected to manifest in a range of geological phenomena. After fingerprinting the various phases of subduction zone thermal structure, we also use our models to assess the relations of slab temperature variations on two phenomena: P-T conditions recorded in exhumed rocks, and dehydration depths and magnitudes within the downgoing lithosphere. The exhumed rock record reflects subduction zone temperatures that are, in general, warmer than the equivalent temperatures in modeled subduction zones by a few hundred degrees (Guillot et al., 2009; Pennist-Dorland et al. 2015; Gerya et al., 2002; Syracuse et al., 2010). In addition to a potential contribution from additional heat sources, including shear heating which can increase slab top temperatures most substantially at depths undergoing frictional deformation <~ 50 km (e.g., Peacock, 1992; Gao and Wang, 2014; Pennist-Dorland et al. 2015), preferential exhumation of subduction terranes at young subduction zones and/or during the warmer early stages of subduction offer alternative explanations for this temperature discrepancy (Agard et al., 2009; Abers et al., 2017; van Keken et al., 2018).

Our models enable us to develop a dynamically consistent basis for the thermal cooling that occurs during subduction initiation, and to identify more subtle thermal phases that occur later on. We find that the resulting time-dependence of crustal temperature is, in a single model
subduction zone, significant enough to cover much of the $P$-$T$ space recorded by exhumed rocks. For subduction zone models with a range of mechanical parameters (slab strength, crust viscosity and rheology, lower mantle viscosity), slab tops undergo rapid cooling during subduction initiation followed by cooling at a reduced rate during the latter phases. The slab Moho undergoes a similar thermal evolution but with the addition of a 5 to 10 Myr long cooling transient that occurs as the slab sinks rapidly through the relatively weak upper mantle. When such $P$-$T$ conditions are coupled with thermodynamic models of oceanic crust and mantle dehydration, they suggest strong temporal variability in the degree and location of oceanic lithosphere dehydration throughout the lifetime of a subduction zone. Fluid sources within the subarc mantle are likely from dehydration of ultramafic rocks along the slab Moho during the warmest early stages of subduction, and switch to fluids sourced from subducting oceanic crust as the subduction zone matures. In these later, colder stages of subduction, hydrated oceanic mantle will carry mineral-bound $H_2O$ well past the subarc into the deeper mantle (e.g., Rüpke et al., 2004; Hacker et al., 2008; van Keken et al., 2011). These evolving thermal structures clearly have important implications for fluid sources, global element cycling, and recorded $P$-$T$ conditions of exhumed subduction-related terranes.

2. METHOD

2.1. Modeling overview

We use the ASPECT code (version 2.1.0) to construct numerical, time-evolving subduction models within 2-D domains (Kronbichler et al., 2012; Heister et al., 2017; Bangerth et al., 2020a; 2020b). ASPECT was used to solve the conservation equations that govern convection in an incompressible viscous fluid (Boussinesq approximation) with negligible inertia: the conservation of energy (no internal heating), mass (continuity equation), momentum (Stokes equation), and the advection of compositional fields (e.g., for the weak crust of the subducting plate). The models evolve dynamically in that there are no external forces or velocities applied to the subduction system. In this section, we describe the geometrical, mechanical, and rheological properties of our subduction models, with a focus on our reference model (Figs. 1-4). Table 1 provides the parameter values of this reference model.

Subduction is modeled within a whole mantle domain (2900 x 11600 km), where all boundaries are mechanically free slip. We begin our models with two flat laying thermal plates. A 90 Ma, 6000 km long plate is placed next to a 10 Ma, 2500 km long plate and the two plates are separated by a weak crustal layer (Fig. 1a). The older and denser plate bends and subducts beneath the younger plate in a style broadly analogous to subduction initiation at a transform fault (e.g., Matsumoto and Tomada, 1983).
2.2. Thermal structure

The initially flat lying lithospheric plates are defined by half space cooling profiles corresponding to ages of 90 and 10 Ma, a thermal diffusivity of $10^{-6}$ m$^2$/s, and a 1421.5 °C mantle potential temperature equivalent to that of the GDH1 plate cooling model (Stein and Stein, 1992). Constant temperatures are imposed at the model boundaries (0 °C surface, 1421.5 °C base and sides). We assume incompressibility in our models and add a 0.3 °C/km adiabatic temperature gradient to our modeled temperatures as a post-processing step (e.g., van Keken et al., 2011). Densities are purely temperature dependent and calculated relative to a reference mantle density of 3300 kg/m$^3$ using a thermal expansion coefficient of $3 \times 10^{-5}$ K$^{-1}$.

2.3. Rheology

We consider a composite mantle rheology with diffusion creep, dislocation creep, and plastic yielding components. The inclusion of stress-dependent flow (dislocation creep) in the thermal models is important as it elevates slab top temperature (van Keken et al., 2002) and sharpens the down-dip transition from cold to hot forearc material (Wada et al., 2011). In the modeled upper mantle, we use idealized dislocation and diffusion creep flow laws:

$$\eta_{\text{diff/dist}} = A^{-1} \dot{\varepsilon}^{1-n} \exp \left( \frac{E + PV}{nRT} \right)$$  (1)

where $A$ is a pre-factor, $\dot{\varepsilon}$ is the second invariant of the strain rate tensor, $n$ is the stress exponent (diffusion creep = 1, dislocation creep = 3.5), $R$ is the gas constant, $P$ is lithostatic pressure, and $T$ is model temperature (including the prescribed adiabatic gradient). The activation volumes ($V$) and energies ($E$) are consistent with the range of experimental values determined for dry olivine (Table 1) (e.g., Karato and Wu, 1993; Hirth and Kohlstedt, 2003). Dislocation and diffusion creep pre-factors are set to give $\eta_{\text{diff}} = \eta_{\text{dist}} = 5 \times 10^{20}$ Pa s at a depth of 330 km and strain rate of $5 \times 10^{-15}$ s$^{-1}$. This produces a reference upper mantle viscosity of $2.5 \times 10^{20}$ Pa s (Eq. 4) and dislocation creep deformation adjacent to rapidly moving plates and slabs. Dislocation creep occurs to average depths of about 250 km (Fig. 1b), consistent with the ~ 100–400 km inferred from seismic anisotropy studies (e.g., Podolefsky et al. 2004; Becker, 2006). Our lower mantle is more viscous than the upper mantle and deforms via diffusion creep only. The lower mantle diffusion creep pre-factor is calculated to give a lower mantle diffusion creep viscosity 15 times that of the upper mantle diffusion creep viscosity. Due to upper mantle dislocation creep, the effective upper-to-lower mantle viscosity contrast is actually $\approx 30$, in broad agreement with geoid constraints (e.g., Hager, 1984).

We also incorporate a pseudo-plastic component into our effective viscosity, which approximates brittle yielding at lithospheric depths. The plastic viscosity, $\eta_{\text{yield}}$, is computed as:
\[ H_{yield} = \frac{\min (\tau_{yield}, 0.5 \text{ GPa})}{2k} \]  

(2)

Where \( \tau_{yield} \) is a Byerlee type yield stress (Byerlee, 1978):

\[ \tau_{yield} = (aP + b)\lambda \]  

(3)

\( a \) is the friction coefficient (0.6), \( b \) is cohesion (60 MPa), \( P \) is lithostatic pressure, and \( \lambda \) is a constant ‘pore pressure’ factor (0.1), with values comparable to previous subduction modeling studies (e.g., Enns et al., 2005). An effective model viscosity is calculated as:

\[ \eta = \left( \frac{1}{\eta_{diff}} + \frac{1}{\eta_{dist}} + \frac{1}{\eta_{yield}} \right)^{-1} \]  

(4)

We use compositional fields to track the location of three regions that are rheologically-distinct from the background material (the slab crust, a strong lithospheric core, and weak regions at the edges of lithospheric plates), with each composition \( (c_i) \) advected following:

\[ \frac{\partial c_i}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla c_i = 0 \]  

(5)

where \( \mathbf{u} \) is model velocity. Weak regions at the edges of the subducting and upper plates are imposed to ensure the initiation of spreading ridges at the start of the model run. These regions are square (75 km\(^2\) in size) and have a reduced yield stress (\( \lambda = 0.025 \)). Yielding is switched off within both the overriding plate and a 15 km thick layer in the core of the subducting plate. This is consistent with the presence of a strong core sandwiched between a brittle-yielding upper and ductile-yielding lower lithosphere (e.g., Karato and Wu, 1993). The final compositional field corresponds to the weak crust which, as discussed in detail in Section 2.4, is prescribed a constant viscosity. Each compositional field has an equivalent density to the background material (at a given temperature).

The overall model viscosity is capped by upper and lower limits of \( 2.5 \times 10^{23} \text{ Pa s} \) and \( 2.5 \times 10^{18} \text{ Pa s} \). Due to the strong temperature dependence of the flow laws (Eq. 1), the upper limit sets the strength of our slabs in regions other than where the slab bends and yields. Hence the non-deforming portions of our slabs are \( \sim 1000 \) times stronger than the surrounding asthenosphere. The yielding region is \( \sim 100 \) times stronger. Taken together, this produces average slab strengths compatible with the viscosity contrasts of \( 100 - 1000 \) generally required to satisfy plate bending constraints and produce Earth-like trench motions (e.g., Wu et al., 2008; Funiciello et al., 2008).
2.4. Decoupling

In addition to enabling plate convergence, the weak crust is needed to decouple the slab from the overriding mantle wedge at depths less than about 80 km, and hence generate a cold mantle wedge corner. Low surface heat flow values and petrologically inferred low mantle temperatures at forearcs provide evidence for the occurrence of such a cold mantle wedge corner (Honda, 1985; Furukawa, 1993). In our reference model, the weak crust is initially 10 km thick, imposed with an initially curved geometry (radius of curvature = 250 km), and has a viscosity of $2 \times 10^{20}$ Pa s, consistent with the experimentally determined basaltic crust viscosities at these conditions (Agard et al., 2016; Behr and Becker, 2018).

By changing the viscosity of the crust in the down-dip direction, or simply cutting the weak crustal layer off, a transition from decoupling at shallow depths (i.e., slab is weaker than overriding wedge corner) to coupling at greater depths (slab stronger than overriding wedge) produces the cold mantle wedge corner region (e.g., Wada et al., 2008; Wada and Wang, 2009).

The depth of this transition is often called the “decoupling depth” (DD) and appears to occur across most Earth subduction zones at ~80 km (Wada and Wang, 2009). Because the DD exerts significant control on slab temperatures (Syracuse et al., 2010; Maunder et al., 2019), we examine three different decoupling parameterizations: shallow crust cut-off, deep crust cut-off, and a visco-plastic crust. In the first two cases, we cut off an isoviscous crust at a specified depth. In the shallow crust case, this cut-off depth is 80 km. In the deep crust case, this cut-off depth is 200 km. Note that this cut-off depth is not necessarily the DD but rather the maximum depth of decoupling (MDD) (cf. Wada and Wang, 2009). This is because the crust can be stronger than the overlying material at depths shallower than the MDD if the overlying wedge is hot and weak, as is the case during most of our modeled subduction. For our reference model, we choose the shallow crust cut-off case following suggestions that MDDs of 70-90 km are required to satisfy surface heat flow measurements (Furukawa, 1993; Wada and Wang, 2009), and also to render our models comparable to kinematically-driven models that choose a similar depth (e.g., Syracuse et al., 2010; van Keken et al., 2011).

We also test the effect of assigning a stress-dependent rheology to the crust (e.g., Arcay et al., 2007; Arcay, 2012; 2017; Maunder et al., 2018). A visco-plastic rheology is prescribed in the crust with a reduced yield stress pre-factor of $\lambda = 0.02$ (Eq. 3). As shown by Maunder et al. (2018), this enables decoupling to emerge without the need to prescribe a cut-off depth. In our models, a yield stress less than or equal to 30% of the surrounding material, which has $\lambda = 0.1$, is sufficient to weaken the segment of crust between the slab and cold wedge corner. We then limit the viscosity using a lower bound that defines the yielded crustal viscosity to be equivalent to that of the isoviscous crust ($2 \times 10^{20}$ Pa s). Without this lower bound, the yielded portion of crust becomes very weak which produces unrealistically high convergence rates (> 20 cm/yr).
2.5. Numerical parameters

Adaptive mesh refinement (AMR) is set to occur for finite elements with large gradients in viscosity, temperature, and composition (Fig. 1c, S1). This enables us to highly resolve our 10 km thick crustal layer material while also capturing flow at the scale of the whole mantle. In addition to the crust, we also highly refine the mesh within the slab core (as is also defined by a compositional field). The AMR parameters in our reference model produce a maximum level of refinement corresponding to 1.4 km wide finite element dimensions (in the crustal layer), and a minimum level of refinement corresponding to 180 km finite elements (in the lowermost mantle). Increasing the maximum resolution to the 0.7 km level does not change model temperature systematics but causes subduction to initiate slightly earlier, by about 0.5 Myr (Figs. S2, S3). We have also conducted numerical accuracy tests to ensure that our linear and nonlinear solver tolerances are sufficiently strict (Fig. S4).

2.6. Model analysis

We focus our analysis on the temperatures of the upper (slab top) and lower (slab Moho) surfaces of the subducting crust. To find the location of these two profiles, we first interpolate the compositional field ($0 < C < 1$) that corresponds to the crust across the model domain using a cubic interpolation scheme. We then extract pressure and temperature profiles along a contour of $C = 0.5$, with contours on either side of the layer corresponding to the slab top and slab Moho.

To correct for roughness in the slab top $P$-$T$ profiles, we smooth the profiles using a Savitzky-Golay filter (cf. Figs. 3 and S5 for smoothed vs. raw profiles). This roughness occurs due to a combination of the strong thermal gradient between the cold slab and hot wedge and our use of rectangular finite elements (i.e., which are not angled along the slab top). However, this roughness (perturbations of $< \sim 10 \, \degree C$) is minor relative to the temperature variability between analyzed timesteps ($\sim 50 \, \degree C$) (Fig. S5). Our resolution tests confirm that further increases in the mesh resolution have minimal effect on the overall $P$-$T$ evolution (Fig. S3). For the dehydration calculations, described next, we take the additional step of interpolating our $P$-$T$ profiles using modeled convergence rates (Fig. 2a). This enables us to capture the time evolution of slab temperature that occurs as a hypothetical rock package descends down the subduction zone.

We next couple these interpolated $P$-$T$ profiles of the slab top and slab Moho to thermodynamic models of oceanic crust (average Mid Ocean Ridge Basalt [MORB]), and depleted MORB mantle (DMM) to demonstrate how dehydration depths and magnitudes can vary between these two portions of the subducting slab as slab thermal structure evolves. We focus on oceanic lithosphere rather than other lithologies because it has been shown to be the major fluid source in most subduction systems (Schmidt and Poli, 1998; Rüpke et al., 2004; Hacker, 2008; Hernández-Uribe and Palin, 2019; Condit et al., 2020). Our thermodynamic models were made using the software Perple_X 6.8.3 (Connolly and Petrini, 2002), and use the same solution models and approach as Condit et al. (2020). Details of these models including the bulk compositions used,
chemical system, thermodynamic datasets, solution phase models and equations of state are provided in Tables S1 and S2. Our models encompass \( P-T \) conditions ranging from 0.1 to 4.5 GPa and 200 to 750°C (Fig. S6). For simplicity, we assume MORB and DMM are both H2O saturated, which is an apt assumption for the fluid-rich plate interface (e.g., Jarrard, 2003; Bebout & Penniston-Dorland, 2016) and discrete fractures and bending fault zones in mantle lithosphere (e.g., Peacock, 2001; Naif et al., 2015, Grevemeyer et al., 2018). We treat fluids as pure H2O. Along each of the interpolated slab top and slab Moho \( P-T \) paths, we extract the mineral-bound H2O remaining in each lithology as they subduct. Together, we use these results to investigate the first order relationship between the evolving thermal structure and patterns of metamorphic H2O loss over the lifetime of a subduction zone.

![Figure 1](image_url)

**Figure 1**: Evolution of the reference model. Panels show: A) the initial viscosity field of the entire model domain, B) evolution of the viscosity and velocity fields zoomed into a region around the subduction zone, C) the temperature field evolution. Three time-steps shown correspond to the initiation \( (t_1 = 5.6 \text{ Myr}) \), free sinking \( (t_2 = 11.8 \text{ Myr}) \), and mature phases \( (t_3 = 32.1 \text{ Myr}) \). Isotherms (500°C, 1000°C) and the boundaries of the compositional crust are overlain on C. A zoom-in of the computational mesh is overlain on the mature phase of C (note the highly refined crust and slab core regions).

### 3. RESULTS

#### 3.1. Geodynamic evolution

We begin by describing the evolution of our reference model (see Table S1 for parameters). Over approximately 8 Myr, the originally flat-lying subducting plate initiates into a slab, aided by plastic yielding and the initial positioning of the weak crustal channel. At a model time of 5.6 Myr, during this “initiation phase”, the proto-slab has subducted to a depth of 160 km (Fig. 1)
and the convergence rate of the system is \( \approx 3 \) cm/yr (Fig. 2a). The subducting plate is approximately stationary and so this convergence rate is a result of trench retreat \( (v_T) \) at \( \approx 3 \) cm/yr. Subduction initiation over 8 Myr is sluggish but in broad agreement with a selection of independent geological (Agard et al., 2020) and numerical estimates (Dymkova and Gerya, 2013).

As the slab subducts deeper, and the total negative buoyancy increases, the convergence rate increases during the “free-sinking phase” (i.e., slab sinking through the upper mantle). Convergence rates are maximum during this phase as the excess bending resistance to subduction initiation has been overcome, while slab has not yet reached the high viscosity lower mantle. As seen in previous models (e.g., Holt and Becker, 2017), this pulse of rapid plate convergence is enhanced by a reduction in viscous resistance in the upper mantle that occurs due to wide-spread activation of dislocation creep (Figs. 1b, 2a). Our second snapshot is at a model time of 11.8 Myr, where the \( \approx 12 \) cm/yr convergence rate is near the model’s maximum value and the slab dip is 51\(^\circ\) at shallow depths (125 km depth). The 12 cm/yr convergence rate is partitioned between a subducting plate velocity \( (v_{SP}) \) of \( \approx 7 \) cm/yr and \( v_T \) of \( \approx 5 \) cm/yr. The initially uniformly thick (10 km) crust gradually thickens to \( \approx 15 \) km as it descends into the trench. This is because slab rollback induces horizontal extension in the crust at upper plate depths which, in turn, thickens it locally within this region (cf., Holt et al., 2017; Sandiford and Moresi, 2019; Beall et al., 2020).
The final “mature phase” begins as the slab impinges on the lower mantle at a depth of 660 km. The viscous resistance of the strong lower mantle slows subduction to convergence rates of \( \approx 3 \) cm/yr \((v_{SP} \approx 1 \) cm/yr, \( v_T \approx 2 \) cm/yr\) (Fig. 2a). Simultaneously, the slab leans back as \( v_T \)

exceeds \( v_{SP} \) and slab evolution reaches a near-steady state with near-constant convergence rates. The dislocation creep observed in the previous phase is now much more localized due to reduced asthenospheric strain rates. Our third snapshot is at a model time of 32.1 Myr within this phase. During the very lattermost stages of the model (> 65 Myr), this near-steady state configuration is disrupted when the strong, sub-crustal portion of the slab comes into contact with the overlying fore-arc. This causes the convergence rate to further drop to \( \approx 1.5 \) cm/yr.

### 3.2. Thermal evolution

We focus our analysis of the thermal evolution of the reference model on the temperatures at the base (slab Moho) and upper surface (slab top) of the crust. At a given pressure, these two temperatures bracket those that exhumed crustal rocks would be expected to experience. During the subduction initiation phase, low convergence rates are accompanied by high slab Moho and slab top temperatures. During the initiation snapshot \((t = 5.6 \) Myr\), temperatures of 500 °C reach depths as shallow as \( \approx 52 \) km \((1.7 \) GPa\) along the slab Moho and \( \approx 33 \) km \((1 \) GPa\) along the slab top (Fig. 2b). Such warm temperatures are broadly consistent with petrologic observations of warm conditions during the early stages of subduction (e.g., Platt, 1975; Cloos, 1985; Agard et al., 2018).

Rapid cooling of both the slab Moho and slab top occur during the initiation phase, over \( \approx 8 \) Myr, after which more protracted cooling persists for the rest of the model evolution. Considering the slab top at a depth of 100 km, cooling of \( \approx 55 \) °C/Myr occurs for the first 8 Myr. After which, cooling is at the much lower rate of \( \approx 4 \) °C/Myr (Fig. 2c). This can also be seen by the gradual increase in depth of slab top isotherms throughout the 50 Myr of slab evolution (Fig 2b). From an initial depth of \( \approx 17 \) km, the 500 °C isotherm reaches a depth of \( \approx 72 \) km by the time of our mature subduction snapshot (32.1 Myr).

This slab top temperature decrease can be linked to evolution of the thermal structure directly overlying the slab which is, in turn, related to the upper plate thickness \( (h_{OP}) \) and decoupling depth \( (DD) \). As described the Section 2.4, the slab and wedge are decoupled at shallow depths which causes a cold wedge corner to develop above the slab at depths < DD. In our models, we do not specify the DD but track an equivalent depth that emerges self-consistently. Our DD is taken to be where the mantle overlying our crust transitions down-dip from cold and strong \((\eta >

FIGURE 2: Temporal evolution of subduction properties. A) subduction zone convergence rate and shallow slab dip (at depth = 125 km), B) the depth of the 500 °C isotherm along the slab top and slab Moho and upper plate thickness and decoupling depth, C) the temperature at a depth of 100 km. Also, in A): Zoomed in snapshots of thermal structure for the three times shown in Figure 1 \((t_1, t_2, t_3)\) with slab Moho and slab top locations, the decoupling depth (black star), and maximum depth of decoupling (80 km, grey star).
2.5 \times 10^{22} \text{ Pa s}) to hot and weak material ($\eta \leq 2.5 \times 10^{22} \text{ Pa s}$). The DD increases through time, in part due to a gradual increase in $h_{op}$ (due to thermal thickening), until it approaches the imposed maximum depth of decoupling (MDD = 80 km) during the mature subduction phase (Fig. 2b). From then, it becomes approximately constant at $\sim 75$ km (until the very end of the model, $t > \sim 65$ Myr, when slab-forearc collision occurs). Cooling of the shallow portion of the slab top (i.e., slab top adjacent to the cold wedge corner) is caused by the thickening of this cold forearc region, which occurs with increasing DD and $h_{op}$. The DD and $h_{op}$ control on slab top cooling is illustrated by the correspondence of the 500°C slab top isotherm depth with the DD (Fig. 2b), and that of the shallower, 200°C slab top isotherm with $h_{op}$ (Fig. S7).

Inspecting pressure-temperature ($P$-$T$) profiles extracted from the slab top (Fig. 3a), cooling is demonstrated by the transition between hot $P$-$T$ profiles during the initiation phase, intermediate $P$-$T$ profiles during free-sinking, and cold $P$-$T$ profiles during the mature phase. This causes the $P$-$T$ profiles to sweep through much of the $P$-$T$ space associated with Agard et al.’s (2018) oceanic subduction exhumed rock compilation. All $P$-$T$ profiles exhibit increasing temperature with depth, with higher thermal gradients at shallower depths that transition into lower thermal gradients in the deeper mantle wedge. During the intermediate free sinking phase, for example, $dT/dz$ is $\sim 12$ °C/km at depths less than 70 km. Deeper, $dT/dz$ transitions to less than 5 °C/km. This kink occurs at a depth similar to the DD and becomes very pronounced as the DD approaches the MDD during mature subduction (Fig. 3a). This kinked $P$-$T$ profile shape is consistent with that observed in many kinematically-driven thermal models with imposed DD (e.g., Syracuse et al., 2010; van Keken et al., 2011). We also calculate the depth that initially flat-lying crust would reach during each model time-step and dash our $P$-$T$ profiles at depths beyond this (Fig. 3). For the conditions of interest ($P < 4.5$ GPa), this is only important during the earliest stages of subduction, where the portion of the crust that was initially flat lying is shallower than the deepest compositional material that defines the weak interface. This is because this material is also used to define the deeper lithospheric shear zone that facilitates subduction initiation (Fig. 1a).
Figure 3: Temporal evolution of P-T conditions along the model A) slab top, and B) slab Moho, with the three representative times highlighted (cf. Fig. 1). In addition to lithostatic pressure, dynamic pressure due to viscous flow is included in the extracted pressure. Agard et al’s (2018) compilation of the P-T conditions recorded by rocks exhumed at oceanic subduction zones (point size represents the sample time relative to the lifetime of the corresponding subduction zone) and Cooper et al’s (2012) global range of sub-arc slab top temperatures (estimated using the H$_2$O/Ce thermometer on melt inclusions) is included in A. The gray region corresponds to average dT/dz < 5 °C/km, i.e., the forbidden zone not represented in the exhumed rock record. These P-T profiles have been smoothed using a Savitzky-Golay filter (see Fig. S4 for equivalent raw profiles). The first, faint profile corresponds to t = 0 (i.e., the initial conditions), the total model time plotted is 52 Myr, and we dash profiles at depths greater than that which an initially flat-lying crust would reach.

The slab Moho temperature exhibits a more complex evolution. After rapid cooling during subduction initiation, the Moho experiences additional cooling whilst the slab sinks rapidly through the upper mantle during the free-sinking phase (Fig. 2). This free-sinking thermal transient spans 5 to 10 Myr and is more pronounced at greater depth (i.e., for higher slab Moho temperatures: Fig. S7). Slab Moho temperatures of 500°C, for example, are dragged down to depths of 215 km during this phase, which is ~ 100 km greater than the background cooling trend (Fig. 2b). This cooling phase ends as the slab hits the upper-to-lower mantle viscosity jump and the slab Moho temperatures increase in response to a rapid decrease in convergence rate. P-T profiles extracted along the slab Moho show this transient as rapid steepening of P-T profiles to cold conditions during the free sinking phase (green profile; Fig. 3b) before rebounding to warmer conditions (blue profile; Fig. 3b). For much of the model evolution, we note that slab Moho P-T profiles reside within the “forbidden zone” (dT/dz < 5 °C/km) that is not represented within the exhumed rock record. This is due to a combination of our old subducting plate age and
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relatively high crustal thickness (initially 10 km but, in places, increasing to ≈ 15 km due to crustal thickening within the down-going slab).

3.3. Dehydration evolution

Coupling interpolated slab top and slab Moho $P$-$T$ paths with thermodynamic models of MORB and DMM reveal differences in dehydration evolution over the lifetime of a subduction zone (Fig. 4). This is due to a combination of the different $P$-$T$ paths a package of rock takes along the slab top versus the slab Moho (Fig. 3) and the stability of hydrous minerals within MORB and DMM across time varying $P$-$T$ conditions (Fig. S6). At the slab top, fluid saturated MORB dehydrates at shallower depths than DMM along the slab Moho for any given time step, and the two lithologies and thermal paths yield different locations and magnitudes of dehydration at various stages of subduction.

At the slab top, during the initiation phase of subduction, MORB releases H$_2$O in several large pulses (~1-2 wt%) at shallow forarc depths (> 40 km) due to the relatively high geothermal gradient (Fig. 4a). As the subduction zone speeds up and cools during the free-sinking phase, dehydration depths increase, and multiple discrete dehydration pulses are transformed into a single large ~3.5-4.5 wt% release of H$_2$O corresponding to the blueschist to eclogite transition at depths of ~60 to 75 km (Fig. 4a; S6a). As the subduction zone reaches its mature phase, and the slab begins to interact with the lower mantle, dehydration from MORB at the slab top occurs at depths of 75 to 95 km releasing ~5 wt% H$_2$O over a narrow depth range into the subarc mantle (Fig. 4a).

Along the slab Moho, during the initiation phase (red line in Fig. 4b), H$_2$O saturated DMM releases H$_2$O in a gradual pulse of ~1.0 wt% at shallow depths from 50 to 60 km. This is followed by major dehydration of 7.5 wt% H$_2$O at depths of 75-80 km. The largest dehydration reaction represents the breakdown of serpentine and transformation of this phase into olivine (Fig. S6b). The depths of each pulse of dehydration become progressively deeper with increasing subduction age until at ~10 Myr when DMM remains hydrated past the range of our thermodynamic models and brings ~10 wt% H$_2$O deeper than 4.5 GPa (>150 km). This implies that, if the mantle is fully hydrated fully in some places, for example along bending faults formed near the trench (e.g., Grevemeyer et al., 2018), vast quantities of water are transported past the subarc into the deeper portions of the mantle during intermediate and mature phases of subduction.
This has been suggested by previous workers (e.g., Hacker et al., 2008; van Keken et al., 2011; Rüpke et al., 2004, Abers et al., 2017). Our analysis complements this previous work by demonstrating that variable dehydration patterns are associated with a thermal structure that evolves in a dynamically consistent fashion. It is also important to note that while we extract mineral bound H$_2$O along the slab top (Fig. 4a) and slab Moho P-T paths (Fig. 4b), the core of the slab crust will have a thermal structure that is in between these two paths, while the core of the subducting oceanic lithosphere will be colder than the slab Moho. Thus, dehydration from slab crust core and mantle core will occur at slightly different depths, ultimately resulting in a smearing out of dehydration loci between these two end members.

3.4. Variable subduction parameters

To explore whether the reference model behavior is representative of a broader subduction zone parameter space and develop further intuition about links between time-dependent thermal structure and slab evolution, we have examined the effects of additional subduction properties. Figure 5 show the thermal evolution as a function of three subduction properties that are relatively uncertain or may vary substantially in nature: slab, crust, and lower mantle viscosity. To investigate these properties, we focus on the slab Moho and slab top temperatures at relatively shallow depths (60 km and 100 km) and examine how the dependence of such
temperatures on physical subduction parameters vary relative to the reference (black profile in each panel of Figure 5).

Figure 5: Subduction zone temperature as a function of kinematic subduction properties for variable model parameters. Models have variable crust viscosity, slab viscosity, and lower mantle viscosities, with the reference model plotted in black. A-C) Slab top temperature (depth = 60 km) as a function of the reciprocal of upper plate thickness, D-F) slab Moho temperature (depth = 60 km) as function of $\log(v_c^{-1} \text{age}^{-0.5})$ (Maunder et al., 2019), and G-I) slab Moho temperature (depths = 60 km, 100 km) as a function of slab depth. Panel I) includes a zoom in corresponding to the time of slab interaction with the viscous lower mantle (points colored by convergence rate). Note that time-dependent dislocation creep produces a time-dependent upper-to-lower mantle viscosity ratio; the quoted values (10, 30, 80) are averaged over the mature phase of subduction.

As in the reference case, slab top temperature exhibits a strong dependence on the overriding plate thickness ($h_{OP}$), and interrelated DD, within all models. To first order, the inverse relationship between slab top temperature and $h_{OP}$ is approximately linear (Fig. 5a-c). On top of this relationship is, in some cases, a shift related to convergence rate ($v_c$). High convergence rates transport cold surface temperatures down to the depth of interest more rapidly, thereby producing colder slab top temperatures for a given $h_{OP}$. Models with either weak crusts (Fig. 5a) or weak slabs (Figs. 5b, S8) exhibit faster convergence rate and hence cooler slab tops. A weaker lower mantle produces more rapid convergence, and cooler slab tops, only during the mature subduction phase (Figs. 5c, S9).
In all models, slab Moho temperature exhibits a negative correlation with the “thermal parameter” (Kirby, 1996) that combines plate age \( t \), convergence rate \( v_C \), and dip (cf., van Keken et al., 2011). In our analysis, we adopt the modified form of Maunder et al. (2019), \( \phi = v_C^{-1} t^{-0.5} \), which is applicable to regions where slab temperatures are dominantly velocity controlled and produces a positive temperature correlation. Figure 5d-f shows how slab Moho temperature varies as a function of the logarithm of this thermal parameter. During the first two subduction phases, slab Moho temperature decreases rapidly as \( \ln(\phi) \) decreases (\( v_C \) increases) in all models (Fig. 5d-f). As the slabs hit the lower mantle, the strength of the dependence of Moho temperature on \( \ln(\phi) \) reduces: \( \phi \) increases rapidly as the slab hits the lower mantle (\( v_C \) decreases), but the Moho temperature does not increase to the extent expected from the main trend. This is due to the thermal thickening of the upper plate and associated increase in the decoupling depth (DD). As the DD approaches, and then exceeds, the 60 km depth of interest, the rate of thermal diffusion into/out of the slab Moho region, and hence the slab Moho temperature, decreases. The subsequent reduction in slab Moho temperature dependence on \( v_C \) is in line with Maunder et al.’s (2019) suggestion that crustal temperatures at depths < DD are largely independent of \( v_C \) (i.e., the temperature is slab age controlled). This illustrates the importance of non-steady state thermal structure inherited from previous subduction phases. For models with varying \( v_C \), a shift to higher Moho temperatures occurs for higher \( v_C \) (e.g., Fig. 5d). This stems from the model initial conditions, where temperature is prescribed (i.e., constant) but \( \phi \) is calculated dynamically (i.e., variable \( v_C \) produces variable \( \phi \)).

All slab Moho temperatures reduce during the free-sinking phase and then increase following the \( v_C \) reduction as the slab hits the strong lower mantle (Fig. 5g-i). Slab Moho temperatures during the free-sinking phase are lowest for the fastest subduction zones (e.g., weak slab or weak crust) and, upon slab interaction with the lower mantle, the temperature increase is greatest for subduction zones with the largest \( v_C \) reduction (e.g., models with a strong lower mantle: Fig. 5i).

### 3.5. Variable decoupling parameterization

We now examine the effect of variable crustal decoupling parameterizations on slab thermal structure. These tests are motivated by considerable uncertainty regarding the physical mechanism responsible for the decoupling-to-coupling transition. In addition to cutting off the isoviscous crust at 80 km (i.e., our reference model), we examine cases where the crust is cutoff at a greater depth (200 km) and where the crust has a visco-plastic rheology. As detailed in Section 2.4, the low plastic yield stress of the visco-plastic crust is one mechanism to self-consistently mimic a transition from shallow decoupling to deep coupling in numerical models (Figs. 6c, S10, Maunder et al., 2018). The three parameterizations produce similar slab top \( P-T \) profiles during the initiation and free sinking phases (Fig. 6). This follows from the nearly equivalent DDs that emerge during these earlier phases (e.g., \( \approx 60 \text{ km during free sinking} \)). The precise timing of the various phases is the only minor source of variability. In the visco-plastic
crust case, subduction initiation is about 2 Myr slower which causes these thermal phases to occur 2 Myr later than in the isoviscous crust cases (Fig. S11).

**Figure 6**: Comparison of slab top pressure-temperature evolution for variable crustal parameterizations: A) isoviscous crust cut-off at 80 km depth (reference model), B) isoviscous crust cut-off at 200 km depth, C) visco-plastic crust. Insets show viscosity structure zoomed into the trench region during free sinking (green) and mature phases (blue). Overlain are the decoupling depths calculated as described in Section 3.2. For all models, P-T profiles are plotted for between 52 and 54 Myrs of subduction evolution.

More significant variability occurs during the mature phase of subduction, during which the DD varies significantly between parameterizations. After \( \approx 40 \) Myr of evolution and upper plate thickening, the DD in the shallow crust cutoff case reaches a near constant \( \approx 75 \) km (as the DD approaches the imposed maximum depth of decoupling of 80 km). In contrast, in the other two cases, the DD continues to increase during the mature phase. This increase in DD corresponds to thickening of the cold mantle wedge corner which produces continuously cooling slab tops in these two models (Fig. 6b,c). DD increase is most rapid in the deep crust cutoff model, relative to the visco-plastic case, which is reflected in more rapid slab top cooling (Fig. S11). This contrasts with the thermal conditions reached during the mature phase of the reference case which exhibit only very minor slab top cooling (Fig. 6a). The evolution of slab Moho \( P-T \) conditions follows a comparable trend. While all \( P-T \) profiles are comparable before \( \sim 30 \) Ma, the two additional tests exhibit significant slab Moho cooling after this time while the shallow crust cutoff case does not (Fig. S12).

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Dynamically evolving thermal structure

Previous studies have mapped out the dependence of subduction zone thermal structure on subduction parameters using models of mantle wedge flow driven by imposed subduction
velocity, slab dip, and overriding plate thermal structure (e.g., Wada and Wang, 2009; Syracuse et al., 2010). Time-dependent thermal structure can be introduced within this type of kinematically-driven modeling approach by imposing time-varying slab properties and/or inspecting thermal evolution prior to steady-state (e.g., Peacock and Wang, 1999; Hall, 2002; van Keken et al., 2018; Suenaga et al., 2019). However, such approaches are unable to ensure that the slab, plates, and mantle wedge co-evolve in a dynamically consistent manner, and in the case of steady-state models, resolve transient thermal effects. Motivated by this, we have used dynamically consistent subduction models to probe the co-evolution of subduction zone properties and slab thermal structure. Our modeling approach has similarities to that of Arcay (2012; 2017) and Kincaid and Sacks (1997), in that we investigate time-dependent thermal structure in models that solve for thermo-mechanical deformation in a region extending beyond the mantle wedge and, as in Kincaid and Sacks (1997), we do not impose plate velocities. Kincaid and Sacks (1997) demonstrate that significant slab top temperature variability can occur through time in their numerical models. Driven by dynamic variability in subduction parameters like convergence rate (e.g., Clark et al., 2008; Cerpa et al., 2014), we also observe a strong time dependence of modeled slab pressure-temperature (P-T) conditions. By expanding these modeling studies to a large model domain, with self-consistently evolving trenches and crustal geometries, we are able to further elucidate the links between mantle-scale subduction evolution and subduction zone thermal structure.

The links between slab temperature and subduction kinematics in our models are in general agreement with previous studies. The primary control on slab Moho temperature is convergence rate, as has been demonstrated extensively within kinematically-driven thermal models (e.g., Peacock, 1991; Peacock and Wang, 1999; Van Keken et al., 2002). When coupled with a dynamically evolving slab, this results in a pulse of the coldest slab Moho temperatures during the “free-sinking” phase of subduction: i.e., the fastest subduction phase before the slab impinges on the lower mantle. In addition to the time dependence of subduction parameters, non-steady thermal structure from previous subduction phases impacts slab temperatures at any given time. For example, as the slab hits the lower mantle, the convergence rate decreases to the few cms/yr rate observed during the subduction initiation phase. As expected, the slab Moho temperature increases as the convergence rate decreases. However, this occurs by ~ 100°C less than expected following a basic scaling with a modified thermal parameter (Fig. 5d-f). This is likely due to the gradual development of a larger cold wedge corner, as the upper plate ages and thickens, which overlies the slab at shallow depths and reduces slab Moho (and slab top) temperatures. This illustrates the importance of the non-steady state component of subduction zone thermal structure.

\( P-T \) conditions along the slab top are primarily controlled by the depth extent of the cold wedge corner region overlying the slab. As the overriding plate ages, its thickness and the DD increases, both of which increase the size of the cold wedge corner and produce slab top cooling. While such a dependence of slab top \( P-T \) on the decoupling depth (DD) has been shown in
kinematically-driven models (Syracuse et al., 2010; Maunder et al., 2019; Perrin et al., 2018), an
important distinction is that our DD evolves in a dynamically consistent manner. The DD, which
marks the down-dip transition from cold/strong to hot/weak wedge material, exhibits significant
variation throughout the model evolution (Fig 6a). While this appears at odds with previous
suggestions of a near-uniform DD (~ 80 km), based on surface heat flow measurements and first-
order petrological constraints (Tatsumi, 1986; Furukawa, 1993; Wada and Wang, 2009), we note
that any global survey of present-day subduction zones is naturally skewed away from the initial
subduction phases that exhibit the most DD (and slab top temperature) variability. Our models
predict that the high temperatures recorded within early stage exhumed rocks (e.g., Platt, 1975;
Cloos, 1985; Agard et al., 2018; 2020) coincide with the very low DDs that occur before the cold
nose of the mantle wedge has had time to thicken substantially (i.e., during the initiation phase).
This early-stage cooling (at a given depth) is in agreement with previous dynamic (Kincaid and
Sacks, 1997; Yamato et al., 2007) and kinematic-dynamic (e.g., Hall, 2012; van Keken et al.,
2018) modeling studies.

In the mature stage of our reference model, the DD and slab top P-T conditions exhibit minimal
variability (Figs. 3, 6a). This is because the DD is capped at the depth that we cut off our weak
crust (i.e., maximum depth of decoupling, MDD = 80 km). In models that do not impose such a
MDD (Fig. 6b, c), the DD continues to increase during the model run (cf. Kincaid and Sacks,
1997). The average depth to slab top beneath active volcanic arcs is on the order of 100 km
(England and Katz, 2010), which presents an issue for the later stages of such models where the
DD increases to substantially greater than 100 km (as mantle wedge partial melting requires a
hot, sub-arc source region). We therefore focused on our model with a shallow crust cutoff, with
an 80 km cutoff depth comparable to that of previous studies (e.g., Wada and Wang, 2009;
Syracuse et al., 2010), but note that our decoupling parameterization only impacts thermal
evolution during very mature subduction. In nature, this ~ 80 km MDD is likely dictated by a
switch from rheologically weak hydrous phases to rheologically strong anhydrous phases in
either the crust (i.e., as parameterized in our models) or in the mantle wedge (e.g., Hacker et al.,
2003; van Keken et al., 2011; Hirauchi and Katayama, 2013; Agard, 2020; Peacock and Wang,
2020). Given the strong temperature dependence of dehydration reactions (Fig. S6), and
continually evolving thermal conditions (e.g., Fig. 3), this depth can be expected to vary
substantially through a subduction zone’s lifetime (e.g., Agard et al., 2020)

4.2. Comparison of modeled and Earth subduction zones

To check that our reference model is aligned with subduction observables, we compare the P-T
conditions of our model with global compilations of those suggested by exhumed rocks and by
melt inclusions within arc eruptives (Fig. 3). Our slab top temperatures are within the global
range of sub-arc slab top temperatures estimated by applying the H2O/Ce thermometer to melt
inclusions (Cooper et al., 2012: 733 – 901 °C at depths of 80 – 169 km) and, as discussed in
more detail in Section 4.4.1, our slab Moho and slab top profiles sweep through much of the P-T
Due to the generic nature of our models, it is inappropriate to use this model as a direct proxy for any specific Earth subduction zone. However, to again check the first-order behavior, we conduct a cursory comparison with subduction in Northeast Japan (Honshu). Japan is chosen as it contains a similarly old subducting plate (130 Ma relative to 121 Ma), a young upper plate, and a similar mode of subduction (slab flattened above the lower mantle) as produced in the mature phase of our model. Relative to this mature phase, the main differences are lower modeled convergence rates (≈ 3 cm/yr) than observed (≈ 8 cm/yr) and a younger modeled subduction duration (32 Myr) than that suggested by Jurassic volcanic deposits (Miyazaki et al., 2016).

Regarding the latter, we note that Izanagi-Pacific ridge subduction is likely to have partially reset the thermal structure at ~ 50 Ma (Wu and Wu, 2019) so that the effective thermal age is closer to that of our models. Earlier in the model evolution, towards the end of the free-sinking phase, we have equivalent convergence rates (≈ 8 cm/yr at t ≈ 14 Myr) but a slab morphology less similar to that of the Japan slab (i.e., without a flat slab).

During the mature phase, modeled surface heat flow is comparable with that of Northeastern Japan. Excluding local variability due to shallow magmatic intrusion, the surface heat flow increases by about 50 mW/m² from forearc to arc (Tanaka et al., 2004; Wada and Wang, 2009). Our models exhibit a similar, ≈ 55 mW/m² forearc-to-arc increase in surface heat flow.

Considering arc location, the depth to slab top beneath the Japan volcanic arc is ≈ 95 km (England and Katz, 2010). If we assume a simple parameterization of thermally controlled mantle wedge melting, which focuses partial melting at the trench-ward extent of temperatures between 1200 °C and 1350 °C (e.g., Tatsumi, 1986; Kelemen et al., 2003), we can estimate an equivalent model depth. For the mature phase, the trench-ward extent of the 1200 °C isotherm corresponds to a depth to slab top of 88 km and, for the 1300 °C isotherm, this depth is 101 km (Fig. S13). Both are comparable to the ≈ 95 km observed. During the end of the free-sinking phase, where convergence rate is equivalent to that of Northeastern Japan (≈ 8 cm/yr) but slab morphology and subduction duration are less similar, our modeled mantle wedge is hotter than that suggested by arc location and heat flow. This is demonstrated by a shallower sub-arc depth to slab top (≈ 80 km using the 1300 °C isotherm) and elevated forearc-to-arc surface heat flow increase (≈ 85 mW/m²). It therefore appears that, during this earlier phase, the close proximity to (hot) subduction initiation is the main factor behind this discrepancy. During the more mature phase, the more comparable slab age and subduction duration produce a better thermal fit despite the lower model convergence rate.

These comparisons illustrate the challenges associated with attaching dynamic and time-dependent models to specific subduction zones. Despite this, the first-order agreement gives us confidence in the general applicability of our models to understanding the time-dependent thermal evolution of Earth subduction zones.


4.3. Limitations of our approach

To target first order relations, we neglect a number of processes that impact subduction zone thermal structure. Here, we point out a selection of these processes. Regarding heat transport, mantle flow in the 3rd dimension (e.g., Kincaid and Griffiths, 2003; Plunder et al., 2018) and melt and fluid flow (e.g., Rotman and Spinelli, 2013) have both been shown to exert a control in previous modeling studies. Small-scale convection (e.g., Honda and Saito, 2003; Davies et al., 2016) and buoyant upwellings of meta-sedimentary plumes or diapirs (Gerya and Yuen, 2003; Behn et al., 2011) may also play a role. Furthermore, radiogenic and shear heating and are two important heat sources that can be expected to increase subduction zone temperatures relative to those modeled here. Shear heating has been shown to elevate slab top temperatures particularly within the relatively shallow portion of the forearc that undergoes brittle/frictional deformation (e.g., Molnar and England, 1990; Peacock, 1992; Gao and Wang, 2014).

It is with these simplifications in mind that we have focused on relative temperature variation as a function of time, as opposed to absolute temperatures. We speculate that most of these complexities will increase the time dependence of subduction zone thermal structure, as a result of the additional dependencies of time evolving properties like convergence rate on such complexities. As we progress to applying dynamic models to the thermal structure of specific subduction zones, an assessment of the importance of such complexities within a particular setting will be critical.

4.4. Geologic implications

Temporal changes of subduction zone thermal structure can be expected to be imprinted on a large number of geological phenomena. Here, we briefly discuss two: time-dependent changes in the pressure-temperature conditions of exhumed metamorphic rocks, and in the metamorphic dehydration reactions experienced by the down-going oceanic lithosphere.

4.4.1. Comparison to the exhumed rock record

In the case of exhumed metamorphic rocks, recorded temperatures are generally 100 - 300 °C warmer (Penniston-Dorland et al., 2015) than the equivalent depth temperatures generated with kinematically driven models of slab zone thermal structure (Gerya et al., 2002; Syracuse et al., 2010). The temperature discrepancy is reduced when continental rocks are omitted from compilations (Agard et al., 2018), but certain models remain colder than the rocks (Syracuse et al., 2010). Inspired by the possibility that metamorphic rocks could be preferentially exhumed during certain, anomalously hot, subduction phases (e.g., Abers et al., 2017; van Keken et al., 2018), we overlay Agard et al.’s (2018) exhumed rock compilation on our modeled slab top P-T evolution that consists of various dynamic subduction phases (Fig. 3a). Initially, modeled slab
top temperatures overlay the hottest metamorphic soles associated with the early stages of subduction (e.g., Platt, 1975; Cloos, 1985; Agard et al., 2018). Subsequently, slab top temperatures sweep through much of the \( P-T \) space covered by colder rocks exhumed during sustained subduction. Because we consider a generic subduction zone, with simplifying assumptions, we cannot assess \( P-T \) conditions related to specific regions and/or the contribution of additional heat sources (e.g., shear heating, radiogenic heating, fluid transport). However, this demonstrates that dynamic variability in slab evolution can produce a wide range of \( P-T \) conditions over the history of even a single subduction zone.

Moreover, the various thermal phases of our dynamic models may have an effect on the likelihood of rock recovery at various times during subduction. Agard et al. (2009; 2018) show that the exhumed rock record is dominated by early (initiation) and late stage (mature) exhumation and that intermediate stage rocks are underrepresented. In our models, the intermediate stage is associated with rapid convergence rates and anomalously cold slab Moho temperatures (Fig. 2). While we do not model any of the processes related to rock detachment and exhumation (e.g., Gerya et al., 2002; Yamato et al., 2007; Ruh et al., 2015), both low temperatures and rapid rates could indeed have a negative effect on rock detachment (Ruh et al., 2015; Agard et al., 2018). Taken together, and as recently discussed by Peacock (2020), our dynamic models emphasize the importance of identifying the specific phase of subduction during which rocks of interest were exhumed.

4.4.2. Dehydration of oceanic lithosphere

Coupling these thermal structures to thermodynamic models of MORB and DMM yields patterns of metamorphic dehydration that are also time-dependent, due in large part to the strong control of temperature on devolatilization reactions. The location and magnitude of dehydration from oceanic lithosphere has important implications for a range of geodynamic, geochemical, and tectonic processes (e.g., Peacock, 2001; Hacker et al., 2003; Bebout, 2007). During the initiation phase of subduction, due to the warm slab top, all mineral-bound \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) is lost from the downgoing oceanic crust at shallow forearc depths (Fig. 4a), delivering ample serpentinizing fluid to the developing cold mantle wedge corner. Using a similar approach, Abers et al., (2017) surmised that cold mantle wedges would only be hydrated in the warmest subduction zones and presented geophysical data for serpentinized mantle wedge in the warm Cascadia subduction zone. At the slab Moho during this initiation phase, \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) is lost from any hydrated lithospheric mantle at subarc depths due to a combination of a colder slab Moho \( P-T \) path than slab top, and the stability fields of hydrous phases in DMM (Figs. 3, 4b, S6). This implies that fluids in arc source regions are sourced from the devolatilization of ultramafic mantle during the initial stages of subduction (e.g., Rüpke et al., 2004).

In the intermediate and mature phases of subduction, our analysis indicates that MORB dehydration at the slab top releases up to 5 wt% \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) between 80-90 km, providing the likely
source fluids for partial melting in the subarc mantle (Fig. 4a). At the same time, because the slab Moho has cooled considerably during the free sinking phase, hydrous minerals (antigorite) within our thermodynamic model space do not warm up enough to break down during the mature phase of subduction. Therefore, any hydrated mantle at the slab Moho and within the core of the mantle lithosphere of the slab will be carried past ~4.5 GPa (> 150 km) (e.g., Figs. 4b, S6) and delivered to the deeper mantle.

Other workers have suggested this same trend of dehydration of MORB along the slab top at subarc depths within intermediate to cold subduction zones, while oceanic mantle lithosphere likely carries fluids beyond the arc into the mantle (e.g., Hacker et al., 2008; van Keken et al., 2011; Grove et al., 2012; Rüpke et al., 2004). Our results complement this previous work, which focused on kinematic-dynamic models, by providing a dynamic framework for the variability that these dehydration patterns may exhibit during subduction zone evolution. Of course, our analysis is limited by the assumption of fluid saturation, which while likely appropriate for the slab top based on geologic observations (e.g., Bebout and Penniston-Dorland, 2016), is not likely for the mantle lithosphere or the gabbroic core the subducting oceanic crust (e.g., Faccenda, 2014). The degree and distribution of hydration within the subducting slab mantle is likely controlled by the degree and depth of fluid infiltration along fractures formed as the slab bends before the trench (e.g., Naif et al., 2015; Korenaga, 2017), or the subduction of hydrated oceanic transform zones (e.g., Prigent et al., 2020). This analysis also assumes chemical equilibrium, the limitations of which are discussed in Condit et al. (2020). Variation in sea floor alteration and metasomatism can influence the composition of subducting oceanic crust and manifest in subtle variations in dehydration locations and magnitudes (e.g., Hernandez-Uribe et al., 2020).

However, even given these caveats, our analysis demonstrates that the time evolving thermal structure of dynamic subduction zones can be expected to manifest in strong temporal variation in crust and mantle dehydration during the lifetime of a subduction zone, and that this temporal variation in dehydration is broadly in agreement with geological observations.

5. CONCLUSION

We have used time evolving and dynamically consistent numerical models to explore how subduction zone thermal structure evolves over the lifetime of a subduction zone. We find that pressure-temperature \((P-T)\) conditions along the slab Moho and slab top exhibit substantial variability through during the phases of subduction: initiation, free sinking, and mature subduction. This variability occurs in response to temporal changes in subduction properties (e.g., fast convergence during free sinking vs. slow convergence during mature subduction), and the inheritance of thermal structure from previous subduction phases (e.g., due to forearc thickening).

During subduction initiation, slab Moho and slab top temperatures both decrease rapidly at a given depth. After which, slab Moho temperatures exhibit an additional cooling phase associated
with rapid convergence rates during the slab’s free sinking phase. Once the slab impinges on the
strong lower mantle, convergence rate reduces, and significant cooling terminates. Slab top
temperatures are less dependent on convergence rate but strongly dependent on the vertical
extent of the cold and stiff mantle wedge corner. In our models, the vertical extent of this region
increases as the upper plate progressively ages and thickens. This imparts a cooling trend on the
slab top that, in the case of our reference model with a crust that is cutoff at 80 km depth, persists
until the geometry of this wedge corner region reaches near steady state during mature
subduction.

This dynamic temperature evolution manifests in a range of geological observables. In addition
to confirming first order model agreement with surface heat flow measurements, arc locations,
and slab \(P-T\) estimates from melt inclusion geochemistry, the \(P-T\) conditions experienced by the
slab top of our reference model sweep through much of the \(P-T\) space recorded by exhumed
rocks during \(\sim 50\) Myrs of modeled subduction evolution. In addition to substantiating previous
suggestions that variability in the exhumed rock record could relate to various dynamic phases of
subduction evolution, evolving \(P-T\) conditions imply large variability in the location and
magnitude of oceanic lithosphere dehydration over the lifetime of a subduction zone. In the early
stages of subduction, hydrated mantle lithosphere at the slab Moho provides the bulk of hydrous
fluids at subarc depths, while MORB at the slab top dehydrates at shallow forearc depths. During
the free sinking and mature phases, MORB releases water at near to subarc depths, while
hydrated ultramafic rocks along the slab Moho carry fluids into the deeper mantle well beyond
the subarc region. This simple analysis indicates that time-dependent thermal structure has
profound impacts on the global water cycle and fluids in arc source regions.

This work emphasizes the need to consider subduction zone thermal structure as dynamically
evolving. Parameterization of this dynamic evolution is required to extrapolate inferences about
modern subduction behavior, like slab dehydration, into the geological past. To accurately
interpret observables originating from earlier in a subduction zone’s lifetime, consideration of
the dynamic subduction phase associated with the origin of that particular observable is needed.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thermal expansion coefficient</td>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal diffusivity</td>
<td>$\kappa$</td>
<td>m$^2$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference density</td>
<td>$\rho_0$</td>
<td>kg m$^{-3}$</td>
<td>3300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface temperature</td>
<td>$T_s$</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential temperature</td>
<td>$T_m$</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>1694.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adiabatic temperature gradient</td>
<td>$d_z T$</td>
<td>K km$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravitational acceleration</td>
<td>$g$</td>
<td>m s$^{-2}$</td>
<td>9.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum viscosity</td>
<td>$\eta_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>Pa s</td>
<td>$2.5 \times 10^{23}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum viscosity</td>
<td>$\eta_{\text{min}}$</td>
<td>Pa s</td>
<td>$2.5 \times 10^{18}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crust viscosity</td>
<td>$\eta_c$</td>
<td>Pa s</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^{20}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core viscosity</td>
<td>$\eta_{\text{core}}$</td>
<td>Pa s</td>
<td>$2.5 \times 10^{23}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dislocation creep (upper mantle)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activation energy</td>
<td>$E$</td>
<td>kj mol$^{-1}$</td>
<td>540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activation volume</td>
<td>$V$</td>
<td>cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefactor</td>
<td>$A$</td>
<td>Pa$^n$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$3.275 \times 10^{-16}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exponent</td>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion creep (upper and lower mantle)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activation energy</td>
<td>$E$</td>
<td>kj mol$^{-1}$</td>
<td>300 (UM &amp; LM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activation volume</td>
<td>$V$</td>
<td>cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$</td>
<td>4 (UM), 2.5 (LM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefactor</td>
<td>$A$</td>
<td>Pa$^1$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$1.92 \times 10^{-11}$ (UM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1.67 \times 10^{-13}$ (LM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exponent</td>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Byerlee yielding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohesion</td>
<td>$b$</td>
<td>MPa</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friction coefficient</td>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-factor</td>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum yield stress</td>
<td>$\tau_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>MPa</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 1: Basic reference model parameters.*