Title:

FROM THE PAST TO THE FUTURE: SPATIO-TEMPORAL DYNAMICS OF WATER-BALANCE IN A RAPIDLY URBANIZING KATHMANDU VALLEY WATERSHED OF NEPAL

Authors:

- Suchana Acharya, (acharyasuchana@gmail.com)
 -Department of Water Resources and Irrigation, Nepal
 -Department of Urban Management, Kyoto University, Japan
- 2) Tomoharu Hori (hori.tomoharu.3w@kyoto-u.ac.jp) -Disaster Prevention Research Institute, Kyoto, Japan
- 3) Saroj Karki (sarojioe@gmail.com)
 -Ministry of Physical Infrastructure Development, Province 1, Nepal
 -Smartphones for Water (S4W), Lalitpur, Nepal

STATEMENT

This manuscript is a non-peer reviewed preprint. The manuscript has not been submitted to any journal yet.

<u>Twitter</u>

@imeander_

$1 \\ 2 \\ 3$

4

5 6 7

8

9

10

FROM THE PAST TO THE FUTURE: SPATIO-TEMPORAL DYNAMICS OF WATER-BALANCE IN A RAPIDLY URBANIZING KATHMANDU VALLEY WATERSHED OF NEPAL

Suchana Acharya^{1, 2}, Tomoharu Hori³, Saroj Karki^{4, 5}

¹Department of Water Resources and Irrigation, Government of Nepal ²Department of Urban Management, Kyoto University, Japan ³Disaster Prevention Research Institute, Kyoto University, Japan

³Disaster Prevention Research Institute, Kyoto University, Japan

⁴Ministry of Physical Infrastructure Development, Province-1, Province Government, Nepal

⁵Smartphones for Water (S4W), Lalitpur, Nepal

15 ABSTRACT

16The resources of the earth are under immense pressure due to the multiple anthropogenic 17influences. The land resources which largely attribute to the quality as well as quantity of the 18 water, is facing extreme stress due to the rapid urbanization resulting from population growth 19 as well as socio-economic development. It is imperative that the response of hydrological 20processes to the change in landuse is properly understood for the sustainable management of 21water and land resources. In view of the underlying problem of unscientific landuse practice as 22a result of the rapid urbanization and the consequent water-stress, this study has attempted to 23assess the spatio-temporal dynamics of the water-balance components in Kathmandu valley 24watershed from the past to the future. Forecasting the future landuse scenario and applying 25popular semi-distributed, physically based hydrological model Soil and Water Assessment Tool 26(SWAT), the change in water-balance component in the past, present and future scenario was 27evaluated. In order to exclusively quantify the impact of landuse change, the same climatic 28conditions are forced for all scenarios. Projection of landuse revealed that nearly half of the 29total area of Kathmandu valley watershed will be covered by built-up zone by the year 2040. 30 The increase in the built-up area is compensated mainly by agriculture areas and the forest areas 31which will have further implications on the multiple ecosystem services. The results and 32analysis clearly indicated that the rapid urbanization will significantly alter the water-balance 33 components of the study watershed that is already reeling under the water-stress. It was also 34concluded that the impact of landuse change on the water availability will be felt greater at the 35 sub-basin level than at the basin level. The findings of this study entail the urgency to regulate 36 the landuse practice as well as formulate appropriate measures to abate the adverse impacts.

37

38 **Keywords:** Kathmandu Valley, Urbanization, Landuse change, Water-balance, Soil and Water

39 Assessment Tool (SWAT), Hydrological modeling

40 **1. INTRODUCTION**

Water is an indispensable resource for human existence whose sustainability hinges on the 41 balance among the different socio-environmental components. There is no argument over the 4243fact that the stress on this priceless resource is being ever increasing due to the multiple climatic and anthropogenic influences. The alteration of the hydrological cycle that governs the spatio-44 temporal availability of water is apparent due to the human interference with the natural system. 45Among different components of the natural system, land resource is one of the key elements 46 controlling the quantity and quality of water resources. Management of landuse, however, has 47been a major challenge across the globe. The need to accommodate the growing population, 48particularly in urban areas, has resulted in unplanned and unscientific landuse development 49 which have cascading effect across multiple socio-environmental services. Population 50projections has estimated about 68% of the world's population to live in urban areas by 2050 5152(United Nations, 2018). Unsurprisingly, migration of people to urban centers is an obvious choice owing to the better economic opportunities especially in developing countries. Driven 53by such rapid migration and population growth, the landuse in urban areas are transforming 54alarmingly without due consideration of the future consequences. It is ubiquitous that the 55landuse landcover (LULC) changes are major driving forces attributing to environmental 56changes across all spatial and temporal scales (Gashaw et al., 2018). Water, a key ecosystem 57component, already under severe stress is further expected to aggravate due to the urbanization-58triggered rapid and haphazard LULC changes. One of the most important socio-economic 59processes for establishing far-reaching and long-term ecological effects is land use 60 transformation, especially the human-induced variety termed 'urbanization.' Management and 61 62 protection of available water resources is a key to sustainable development of human kind. Addressing these issues requires information about the factors that drive hydrological changes 63 64 and their related effects on local water resources (Aboelnour et al., 2019). Several studies have indicated that the LULC change associated with rapid urbanization impacts the ecosystem by 65 altering the hydrological balance of a watershed (Fang et al., 2020). The urbanization process 66 is transforming permeable land surfaces into impervious surfaces and ultimately changing 67 regional hydrological characteristics (Zhou et al., 2013). The change in LULC can influence 68 watershed hydrology by altering the rates of interception, infiltration, evapotranspiration, and 69 groundwater recharge that result in changes to the timing and amounts of surface and river 70runoff (Baker and Miller, 2013). According to Wu et al., (2015), LULC changes due to 71urbanization and deforestation alters the hydrological processes and lead to change of flood 72

frequency and annual mean discharge by impacting the evapotranspiration, soil infiltration capacity, and surface and subsurface flow regimes. Increase in impervious surface areas due to urbanization are responsible for increased runoff, reduced infiltration leading to flash floods and lower groundwater recharge (Ansari et al., 2016;Wakode et al., 2018). Hence for the longterm water-resources planning and management, it is imperative to understand the hydrological response of a watershed to LULC change. In this regard, the appropriate quantification of the change is crucial to formulate mitigation and management plans.

Hydrological modelling, in this regard, are widely adopted techniques to understand the catchment hydrological characteristics including the distribution of various water balance components at different level of a watershed. Hydrological models enable understanding and quantification of hydrological processes under the influence of characteristics describing rainfall and catchment features (Kumar et al., 2017). Also, hydrological models are used to perform scenario analysis such as the climate change impact, hydrological response of watershed to LULC change, etc. (Jothityangkoon et al., 2001).

In this study, we employed a popular semi-distributed hydrological model Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT) to investigate the impact of landuse change on different hydrological components and the water availability. The future impact on water balance is also quantified after forecasting the future landuse scenario. There have been a number of researches to quantify the impact of LULC on hydrological variables using SWAT model (Kundu et al., 2017; Wagner et al., 2013; Woldesenbet et al., 2017). We chose the Kathmandu valley watershed (KVW) which is one of the rapidly urbanizing area not only in Nepal but in South Asia (Ishtiaque et al.,

94 2017; Muzzini and Aparicio, 2013).

Several studies have been conducted previously in the KVW covering varieties of topics related 95 to hydrology and water-resources. A study by Pandey et al. (2010) on Kathmandu valley found 96 that due to urbanization, the groundwater extraction has exceeded the recharge rate by more 97 than twice resulting in consistent depletion of ground water table and recommended for 98 immediate action plans for the sustainable management of groundwater resources. Similarly, 99 Shrestha et al., (2020) analysed the impact of climate change on groundwater resources of KVW 100 under different climate scenarios. Their analysis predicted the decrease in groundwater recharge 101 in future scenario of climate projection. Thapa et al., (2017) applied three different hydrological 102 models to analyse the watershed scale seasonal variation in water-balance components. In the 103 view of severe water deficit in the Kathmandu valley, another study by Thapa et al., (2018) 104 analysed the role of inter-basin water transfer project in bridging the demand-supply gap and 105 hence improving the water-security. Pokhrel (2018) applied Soil and Water Assessment Tool 106

107 (SWAT) in KVW to quantify the impact of landuse change in the watershed scale water-balance
108 and sediment yield between 2000 and 2010 which showed that the surface runoff has increased
109 while the groundwater discharge has decreased.

Each of the previous studies in KVW, however, didn't either consider the LULC in their analysis or didn't make an attempt to forecast future LULC and analyse the future hydrological response of LULC change. Shrestha and Acharya, (2020) analysed the impact of the landuse change on the ecosystem value services of the Kathmandu valley watershed at the basin level. A recent study by Lamichhane and Shakya (2019) analysed the integrated impact of LULC and climate change on the watershed scale water-balance. Their study however didn't analyze the spatiotemporal distribution of water balance at the sub-basin level where the effect of LULC change is more pronounced. Similarly, Lamichhane and Shakya, (2019b) studied the possible alteration in the groundwater recharge zones of KVW due to future LULC changes and revealed that the recharge areas are likely to shrink as a result of the urban expansion. This study however didn't analyse the effect of LULC change on the groundwater availability. Against this backdrop, the current study has made an effort to comprehensively quantify the effect of LULC changes not only from the present to the future but also from the past scenario. The analysis of the impact of LULC change is performed at the sub-basin level which will be more helpful for planners and policy makers in prioritizing the problematic areas for formulating the management plans. The objectives of the current study are to project the future landuse scenario based on the past trends and hence quantify the isolated impact of landuse change on the water-balance components both at the subbasin and the basin level.

141 2. STUDY AREA AND THE UNDERLYING PROBLEM

The study watershed also known as the Kathmandu valley watershed (KVW) constitutes of 142143 three districts viz. the capital Kathmandu, the historical Bhaktapur and Lalitpur districts within 144Bagmati province of Nepal. The valley forms the head water/upstream area of the Bagmati 145River basin which stretches to the plains of the Terai before draining to India. Figure 1 (a-d) 146 depicts the location, topography, landuse and the soil types within the KVW. The Bagmati, 147typically a rain-fed and partially spring-fed River, drains out KVW originating on the southern slope of Shivpuri at an elevation of 2700 meter above sea level (masl) (Sharma, 1987). The 148149KVW surrounded by the forested hills of the Mahabharat range resembles a bowl-shaped valley, covering an area of 603 km² at the Khokana outlet in Lalitpur district. Forest, agriculture and 150the built-up areas are the three major landuse types in KVW (ICIMOD, 2013) whereas the four 151152dominant soil classes according to the classification of SOTER (Dijkshoorn and Jan Huting, 1532009) are eutric cambisols, gleyic cambisols, chromic cambisols and chromic luvisols.





Fig. 1. a) Location b) Elevation c) Landuse and d) Soil map of the KVW

156 The Kathmandu valley experiences temperate to sub-tropical climate with cold winter and 157 hot summer where the rainfall is the sole contributor to the water-flow in the basin. Based on 158 the observed data from the Department of Hydrology and Meteorology (DHM), Nepal for the

- 159 period 1990-2018, the average annual rainfall in KVW varies between 1600-1800mm of which
- over 80% occurs in the monsoon period that typically lasts mid-June to late September. Thissignifies considerable spatio-temporal variation in the rainfall pattern.



162 163

Figure 2. Inter-annual variation of a) streamflow and b) Rainfall and Temperature

165The monthly variation of rainfall and the mean monthly minimum and maximum temperatures 166 are presented in Figure 2 (a). As illustrated in Figure 2(b), the long-term mean monthly 167 discharge at the outlet (Khokana Station) of KVW varies between 3m³/s to 48m³/s where March 168and August experience minimum and maximum discharge respectively. The pattern is similar 169 at the upstream gaging station (Gaurighat, Catchment area=68 Sq. km) where the minimum 170discharge of 0.5 m³/s occurs in March and the maximum monthly discharge (11 m³/s) occurs in 171August. There is a high variation between the dry and the monsoon period average flow in the 172basin. Due to this variation, there is significant water-deficit during the dry period.

One of the fastest growing cities of South Asia, Kathmandu valley has witnessed a rapid 173upsurge in the population in the last few decades. The period 1990-2010 marked a rapid 174urbanization in the history of Kathmandu valley during which the valley inhabitants increased 175over two folds from about 1.1 million to 2.5 million (CBS, 2012). Migration of the people from 176 177other districts for administrative, economic, educational and medical purposes being a driving factor behind this rise. Studies and the population projections have claimed this trend to 178179continue in the future. Unplanned urbanization and development ensued due to the proliferation of population has adversely impacted socio-environmental services. Among several sectors, the 180 unscientific conversion of landuse pattern overtops others with cascading effect on multiple 181 sectors. The land acts as a recharge medium as well as storage beneath the ground and any shift 182in the landuse dynamics is certain to alter the quality and quantity of water availability. As it 183 will be discussed later, the haphazard conversion of forest and agriculture areas into settlements 184has put further stress on already deteriorating water-resources of the KWV with dire 185

186 consequences.

187The people of the valley are facing acute shortage of water-supply for daily consumption. 188 With growing population, the daily household water demand has grown to nearly 400 million 189litre per day (MLD). But, Kathmandu Upatyaka Khanepani Limited (KUKL), a government 190 entity managing Kathmandu's water supply, is able to fulfil just about 120 MLD in wet season 191 while a meagre 73 MLD in dry season (Bhusal, 2019). To meet this deficit, people are forced 192to rely on the private tanker industry. The unregulated and unscientific extraction of 193 groundwater by the tanker industries have led to the severe depletion of groundwater table. 194Furthermore, due to the rapid extension of residential areas, the groundwater recharge zones 195have shrunk. On the other hand, the encroachment of the floodplain and drainage area due to 196 the construction of buildings and roads have risen the risks of inundation during monsoon as 197 evident from regular flooding in various parts of the KVW in the recent years. If the current 198 trend continues, the situation will get bad to worse. Sustainable water management approach 199 could be the solution to the water-crisis in Kathmandu valley.

200 3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

201 3.1 Hydro-meteorological and geographical data

202 Hydro-meteorological time series as an input forcing to the hydrological model used in 203 this study includes mean daily discharge, daily rainfall, mean daily maximum and minimum 204temperatures, relative humidity, solar radiation and the wind speed. Except solar radiation and 205wind speed, all the above-mentioned dataset was obtained from the Department of Hydrology 206and meteorology (DHM), Government of Nepal which is the mandate organization for hydro-207meteorological measurements in Nepal. The spatial distribution of the different stations 208 covering the study area is indicated in Figure 1 (b). A 30m*30m resolution ASTER (Advanced 209 Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer) digital elevation model (DEM) was 210used for catchment delineation and the extraction of various catchment attributes like stream 211networks, slope, etc. A soil map that defines the physical properties of soil layers was acquired 212 from the Soil and Terrain (SOTER) database of Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). 213Raster layers of the land-use and land cover were obtained from the International Center for 214Integrated Mountain Development (ICIMOD, http res.icimod.org) for both the period of 1990 215and 2010. The details on different datasets used in the current study are presented in Table 3.1. 216

Input data	No. of stations	Resolution	Time Period	Source
Climate				
Rainfall	13	Daily	1990-2000	DHM, Nepal
Temperature	10	Daily	1990-2000	DHM, Nepal
Relative Humidity	5	Daily	1990-2000	DHM, Nepal
Solar Radiation	1	Daily	1990-2000	CFSR-NCEP
Wind Speed	1	Daily	1990-2000	CFSR-NCEP
Hydrology		-		
Discharge	2	Daily	1990-2000	DHM, Nepal
Geographical				
DEM		30m		ASTER
Soil		1:1000000		SOTER-FAO
Landuse		30m	1990 & 2010	ICIMOD-Nepal

218 **Table 1** Details on the data used for the study

219

220 3.2 Landuse change Prediction

221The projection of future landuse was performed using Land Change Modeler (LCM) tool 222within Terrset software package (https://clarklabs.org/terrset/land-change-modeler/). The 223prediction of future landuse in LCM is based on the historical landuse change between time 1 224 and 2. Landuse prediction in LCM is an empirically driven process which moves stepwise from 2251) Change analysis 2) Transitional potential modeling and finally 3) the change prediction 226(Eastman, 2016). LCM employs a multiperceptron neural network built on the Markov chain 227 modelling method with cellular automata (CA) (Sinha and Eldho, 2018). The CA-Markov 228model is one of the commonly used models among many LULC modelling tools and techniques, 229which models both spatial and temporal changes. CA-Markov model combines cellular 230automata and Markov chain to predict the LULCC trends and characteristics over time. 231Moreover, the CA-Markov model is one of the planning supports tools for analysis of temporal 232changes and spatial distribution of LULC (Hamad et al., 2018). There have been a number of 233analysis on past landuse change and future landuse prediction using the LCM tools, for example 234(Hamad et al., 2018; Anand et al., 2018; Sinha and Eldho, 2018), etc.

Landuse maps of two different time period is first given as the input for the model. In change analysis step, the assessment of actual change between time 1 and time 2 is performed. The quantitative assessment of different LULC categories, net change of each LULC class and the contributors to the net change experienced by each LULC category are calculated. The changes in the landuse are the transition from one landuse class to another landuse class. If the study area contains high number of landuse classes, the combination of transitional potentialcould be very large.

242 3.3 SWAT model set-up, Sensitivity Analysis, Calibration and Validation

243Soil and water assessment tool (SWAT) (Arnold et al., 1998) employed in this study is a 244physically based, continuous time-scale semi-distributed model capable of simulating different 245physical processes pertaining to the movement of water, sediment, nutrient, crop growth, etc. 246within a watershed (Neitsch et al., 2011). SWAT model requires information on the climate, 247hydrology, soil, topography and land use. It allows the impact assessment of management 248practices and climate on water resources, sediment, and agricultural related processes at 249watersheds and larger river basins with varying soils, land use, and management conditions over 250long periods of time (Abbaspour et al., 2007; Winchell et al., 2013). SWAT accounts for the 251spatial heterogeneity by partitioning of a watershed into different sub-basins and sub-basins 252further discretized into Hydrological Response Units (HRUs) which are aggregated land areas 253within a sub-basin comprising unique landuse, soil, slope and management conditions (Neitsch 254et al., 2011). The study watershed as depicted in Fig. 2 was divided into 16 subbasins and further 255into 148 HRUs. Land phase of the hydrologic cycle which controls the amount of water, 256sediment, nutrient and pesticide loadings to the main channel is solved by SWAT model using 257the following water balance equation:

258
$$SW_t = SW_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{t} (R_{day} - Q_{surf} - E_a - w_{seep} - Q_{gw})$$

Where, SW_t is the soil water content at the end of the day, SW_0 is the initial amount of soil water content, *t* is the current time in days, R_{day} gives the amount of rainfall in *i*th day, Q_{surf} indicates the amount of surface runoff on day *i*, E_a gives the amount of evapotranspiration, w_{seep} gives amount of percolation on day *i* and Q_{gw} is the amount of return flow on day *i*. All the components are in mm.

The runoff from the daily rainfall was computed based on the modified Soil Conservation Service (SCS) curve number method whereas the Potential evapotranspiration (PET) was estimated using the Penman-Monteith method.

SWAT-Calibration and Uncertainty Analysis Program (SWAT-CUP), a stand-alone tool was employed to perform the sensitivity analysis, the calibration and validation of SWAT model. Sensitivity analysis is a procedure of recognizing the most significant parameters for calibration and validation purpose ((Moriasi et al., 2007). Its objective is to evaluate the sensitivity of the model output to the changes in input parameter values particularly when the model consists of

272 large number of parameters. Model calibration



273 274

Fig. 3. Subbasin delineation of the study watershed.

275consists of the overall procedures performed to achieve the best possible agreement between 276the simulated and the observed values. The agreement between the observed and the predicted 277variables are measured statistically based on some goodness-of-fit indicators known as objective functions. In essence, model calibration is a procedure of modifying the model 278279parameters, within their recommended ranges, with an objective of attaining the best possible 280values of the objective functions. Model validation is performed to assure that the calibrated 281model properly assesses all the variables and conditions which can affect model results, and 282demonstrate the ability to predict observations for periods separate from the calibration effort. 283In this study, the popularly used Nash-Sutcliffe Efficiency (NSE) was selected for the model calibration purpose (McCuen et al., 2006). However, additional three objective functions were 284285also assessed for the performance evaluation of the model. Coefficient of Determination (\mathbb{R}^2) , PBIAS (Gupta et al., 1999; Moriasi et al., 2007) and the Kling-Gupta Efficiency (KGE) (Gupta 286et al., 2009) were also assessed. Krause et al. (2005) reported that R² and NSE are the most 287288widely used statistics for both calibration and validation.

289 The model calibration and validation were executed for the daily discharges but the results were

evaluated for the monthly values as well. Two discharge gauging sites, one located at sub-basin
4 and the other at the basin outlet, were chosen for calibration and validation purposes. A fiveyear period [1992-1996] was selected for the calibration while the validation was done for the
period 1997-2000. A warm up period of two years [1990-1991] was used for both the sites
during the calibration. Warm up period was aimed at stabilizing initial conditions of soil water
(Cibin et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2018).

296 4. Sensitivity Analysis, Calibration and Validation of SWAT model

The selection of input parameters for this study were based on the previous studies on this watershed (Pokhrel, 2018). The most sensitive parameters are represented by groundwater process, evaporation and surface runoff. It is found that for the study area; SURLAG.bsn, SOL_Z.gw, GW_delay, EPCO.hru, SOL_AWC.sol and REVAPMN.gw were among the most sensitive parameters.

302 The calibration-validation was performed using two gaging stations simultaneously, one at sub-303 basin 4 (Gaurighat Station) and the other at the outlet of the basin (Khokana Station). The use 304of multiple sites helps to preserve the spatial heterogeneity of the catchment during parameter 305calibration. The calibration and validation are basically performed for daily discharge. 306 However, the daily calibrated model was also run for the monthly time step and the performance 307 were evaluated. The comparison of observed and simulated discharge at the two gaging stations 308 as illustrated in Fig. 4 (a-d) shows that the model has quite well reproduced the actual condition. 309 However, in some instances, peak flows are underestimated while the low flows are accurately 310 mimicked. For both the stations, the observed and simulated mean monthly flows showed closer 311 resemblance than the daily case for both low as well as peak flows. Similarly, **Fig. 5** depict the 312 scatterplot of observed versus simulated discharge where it can be seen that the flows are in 313 good agreement with each other for both the stations. The comparison of the flow duration curve 314 (FDC) for the calibration and validation period are shown in Fig.6. Although FDC is a 315subjective approach of model evaluation, it gives an important information about the occurrence 316 of flows which are vital in the design and allocation of water-resources projects (Karki, 2012). 317 The overall occurrence of flows was precisely simulated for basin outlet while the low flows 318were slightly underestimated at subbasin-4.



320 Fig.4. Comparison of observed and simulated discharge at sub-basin 4 a) daily b) monthly



322 Fig.4. Comparison of observed and simulated discharge at the basin outlet c) daily d) monthly



Fig. 5. Scatterplot of observed vs simulated discharge for subbasin 4 (a) Calibration and b)
Validation and for basin outlet (c) Calibration (d) Validation



Fig. 6. Comparison of observed and simulated flow duration curve during the simulation periodfor a) subbasin 4 and b) basin outlet

Different model performance indices which portray the accuracy of the predicted results are presented in **Fig.7**. Each performance indices obtained for both the gaging stations are well above the satisfactory range as described in Moriasi et al., (2007). The performance indicators showed further improvement for monthly time-step. Also, the comparison between the observed

and the simulated mean discharge were found to be matched closely.



349 **5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

350 5.1 Landuse change prediction



Fig. 8. Predicted landuse map of KVW for different years

353The available landuse map of 1990 and 2000 were used to calibrate the model and hence 354 forecast the landuse for the year 2010. The available landuse map of 2010 and the predicted 355map of 2010 as illustrated in **Fig.8**, **a** and **b** respectively were compared to confirm the accuracy 356 of the predicted results. The comparison of actual and predicted landuse of 2010 in Fig.8 357 demonstrate that the spatial distribution of different landuse is predicted quite well. Finally, the 358 future landuse map of 2020, 2030 and 2040 were projected. Based on the trend of the past 359 decades, the forest and the agricultural areas are expected to decrease while the built-up areas 360 indicate rising pattern. The quantification of the landuse for different years are summarized in 361 Fig.9. From 1990 to 2010, the forest cover and agriculture areas decreased by about 25 Sq. km 362 and 45 Sq. km respectively. This decreased area has been transformed to built-up areas which 363 increased by approximately 70 Sq. km. This period marks a rapid urbanization period in the 364history of the Kathmandu valley. The population of the Kathmandu during this period nearly 365 increased by 2.5 times from about 1.1 million to 2.5 million. It can be seen in Figure 5.8 that the forest areas in 2040 will reduce to approximately 175 Sq. km from 216 Sq. km in 2010. 366 367 Similarly, the agriculture areas will decrease by about 60 Sq. km to 155 Sq. km in between 368 2010-2040. The forest and agriculture areas combined contributed to more than 80% of the total 369 catchment in 1990. However, it is expected that this will reduce to about 55% of the total catchment area. In the same period, the percentage of built-up areas will increase from 17% to 370 371 nearly half of the total catchment area. This change in the landuse can have a profound effect on the overall water-balance scenario of the basin. 372

373 Based on the projection, nearly 50% of the total area of the KVW will be covered by built-up 374 areas by 2040 which is more than 250% of the baseline period of 1990.

Fig. 9. Change in dominant landuse classes in KVW from 1990 to 2040

Fig. 10. Change in the landuse in different sub-basins within KVW

379

381 5.2.1 Water-balance under the past landuse of 1990 (the baseline scenario)

382The validated model was run for the period 1990-2000 (1990-91: two years warm-up period) under the landuse of 1990 and the climate data of the same period. This case is considered as 383 384the baseline scenario in this study. Based on the simulated results, different water-balance 385components were quantified both at the sub-basin as well as basin level. Fig. 11 illustrate the 386 spatial distribution of different water-balance components at the sub-basin level of KVW. In 387 Fig. 11(a), the two labels (top and bottom) inside the map represent the sub-basin number and 388 the mean annual precipitation (in mm) respectively. The spatial distribution of mean annual 389 precipitation in different subbasins as shown in Fig. 11(a) indicate the considerable variability 390within the KVW. The difference between the least rainfall receiving subbasin to the most rainfall 391 receiving subbasins is more than double of the least value. During the simulation period, the 392mean annual precipitation ranged from below 1200mm to above 2500mm and is generally 393 higher for north-eastern (upper) and lesser for south-western (lower) subbasins. In the Fig.11(b-

Fig. 11. Spatial distribution of different water-balance components at the subbasin level under
 1990 landuse conditions (baseline scenario)

f), the value labelled in the map represent the actual values while the values of the colour-bar
indicate the values normalized by annual rainfall of the respective subbasins. These color-bar
therefore depicts different water balance components as a fraction of the mean annual
precipitation of the corresponding sub-basins.

- 400 According to Fig.11(b), the actual ET, in general, was found to be higher for forest and 401 agriculture dominated subbasins like 1, 2, 5, 8, 12, 13, etc. The annual rainfall values in these 402 subbasins are also relatively higher. However, the fraction of the rainfall lost as ET was higher 403 for subbasins like 4, 6, 7, 9, 12, 14, 15, 16, etc. Most of such subbasins are built-up area 404 dominated. The surface run-off is mainly influenced by the impervious cover of the landuse i.e., 405built-up area as well as the amount of precipitation. In relation to this, the surface runoff 406 component (SurfQ), as expected, was observed to be higher for built-up areas dominated 407 subbasins like 6, 7 and 8 as depicted in Fig.11(c). The higher values of surface run-off 408 component in other subbasins like 1, 2, 3 and 11 is attributed to the greater rainfall and steeper 409 slopes in these subbasins. Also, the fraction of precipitation transformed to surface run-off is 410 greater in built-up areas dominated subbasins 6 and 7. Similarly, the lateral flow (LatQ) is 411 generally smaller for these subbasins whereas its contribution is higher in forest and agriculture 412 dominated subbasins as shown in Fig.11(d). The groundwater component is also mainly 413controlled by the land cover type and the amount of annual precipitation. It was observed that 414the highest groundwater discharge occurred in forest and agriculture dominated sub-basins like 4151, 3, 5, 8, 10 and 11. Similarly, the least groundwater discharge was observed in sub-basin 9, 416 12, 14 and 16. However, the distribution of lateral Q showed that it was least in sub-basin 6, 9 417 including 4, 7, 8 and 14. The distribution of average annual water yield for each sub-basin is 418 illustrated in Fig.11(f). Water yield is generally higher in subbasins with higher precipitation as 419 well as forest dominated sub-basins like 1-3, 5, 8, 11 and 13. The water yield of different 420 subbasins varied between 212mm to 1623mm with an average value of 784mm for the KVW. 421 8 out of 16 subbasins of KVW were found to have below-average water-yield. Also, it can be 422deduced that the subbasins surrounding the KVW results in greater water yield than those 423 located at the valley floor.
- The intra-annual (monthly) variation of different water-balance components including the water-yield has been illustrated in **Fig.12** which signifies a high temporal variation in the waterbalance components. Water yield is below 50mm from October to April and increases between May to September as contributed by the precipitation in these months. The relative contribution of surface run-off, lateral Q and the groundwater Q to the total water yield for each subbasins has been demonstrated in **Fig.13**. The contribution of surface run-off to total water yield is

- higher for subbasins 6, 7 and 8 whereas the contribution of GwQ and LatQ is higher for other
 subbasins. The contribution of surface runoff to the water yield varied between 0.11 in subbasin
 1 to 0.4 in subbasin 6. Similarly, the contribution of lateral Q to the water yield varied between
 0.09 in subbasin 6 to 0.64 in subbasin 16. On the other hand, the contribution of groundwater
- 434 Q to the water yield varied between 0.19 in subbasin 16 to 0.50 in subbasin 8.

437 Fig. 13. Fraction of contribution of water-balance components to water yield in each subbasin

in the KVW.

435

438

439 5.2.2 Water-balance change analysis under the present and future landuse at the basin level

440 The impact of landuse change on different components of water-balance is discussed herein. 441 The average annual water-yield at the basin outlet for the baseline period was 784mm. However, 442there was no change in the water-yield at the basin outlet for future landuse because the input 443 climate data were the same in all periods. But the impact of landuse was mainly observed in the 444change in the relative contribution of each components of the water-yield. Fig.14 illustrates the 445 actual change (+ve or -ve) in different water-balance components relative to the baseline 446 scenario of 1990 landuse at the basin level. Positive values indicate the increase while the negative values suggest the decrease. Surface runoff is expected to increase in the future 447448 scenario of 2040 by nearly 100mm of which almost 50% (48mm) increased between 1990 and 449 2010. On the other hand, the lateral Q and groundwater Q showed decreasing trend with future 450 landuse change. Lateral Q decreased by 33mm whereas groundwater Q showed a fall of 59mm 451between 1990 and 2040. The increase in the urban areas will shrink the recharge zones which 452will affect the quantity of water entering the aquifer in the sub-surface layer.

The percentage contribution of each component to the total water yield at the basin level is shown in **Fig. 15**. The effect of landuse change was more intense on the surface run-off, followed by groundwater and lateral Q. The contribution of surface runoff increased from 18% in 1990 to 30% in 2040. Meanwhile, groundwater contribution to the water yield was 37% in 1990 but it is expected to reduce to 29% in 2040. Similarly, the contribution of lateral Q will reduce by nearly 4% from 45 to 41% between 1990 and 2040. The intra-annual variability in the water-balance for different time period at the basin level is illustrated in **Fig. 16**.

465

Fig. 16. Intra-annual variation of a) SurfQ b) LatQ and c) GwQ in different period.

With the continuous increase, the minimum-maximum range of surface Q annually is projected to get further wider in the future. The maximum surface Q and lateral Q will occur in August while the groundwater Q is predicted to peak in September. Similarly, groundwater Q shows decreasing trend with time as depicted in **Fig.16c**.

470 *5.2.3* Water-balance change analysis under the present and future landuse at the basin level

It is recognized that the effect of landuse change on the water-balance is more pronounced at the subbasin scale. It is therefore crucial to identify the potential areas impacted by landuse change for prioritizing water-management plans. The overall effect of landuse on different water-balance component may be nullified by each other at the basin scale. So, the actual picture of the changes within the basin may not be understood clearly. Hence, to better understand the actual effect on water-balance and water availability, the analysis should be performed at the

- 477 sub-basin level. In this section, the change in the water-balance component corresponding to
- 478 the change in different landuse types in each sub-basin is quantified and analyzed.

Fig. 17. Subbasin wise variation of a) SurfQ b) LatQ and c) GwQ for different period.

481 Fig.17 portray the subbasin wise annual water-balance components surface runoff, lateral Q and the groundwater Q at different time period. The surface runoff in Fig. 17(a) shows consistent 482 483 increase from the past to the future scenario. The increase in surface runoff is higher for those subbasins which are yet to be urbanized in comparison to those which are already highly 484 485 urbanized. For instance, the surface runoff increased by nearly two folds in subbasin 4 (from 98 to 184mm), subbasin 5 (from 129mm to 273mm), also 13, 14 and 15 while in subbasin 6, it 486 487 increased from 216mm to 301mm and in subbasin 7, it increased from 205mm to 288mm. The 488change in landuse and the urbanization level of these subbasins can be seen in Fig. 10. This 489shows that the future impact of urbanization will be felt greater in subbasins that are least 490 urbanized at present. The increase in surface runoff will likely increase the flash floods and 491 inundation extent in the future. Similarly, the groundwater component showed a consistent decline from past to the future scenario as depicted in Fig. 17(c). The decline is relatively greater 492 493 in smaller subbasins like 9, 10, 11, 15, etc. Subbasin 1 is exception which is due to the fact that 494 the landuse projection shows no built-up areas in the future too. The only transition is from forest to the agricultural areas due to which a slight increase in groundwater component is 495 496 observed in subbasin 1. The sub-basin wise variation in lateral Q component as demonstrated 497in Fig. 17(b), in general, resembled the groundwater Q pattern. However, the change in the lateral Q was relatively smaller in comparison to the groundwater Q. 498

501 The spatial distribution of the actual change (increasing: +ve or decreasing: -ve) in surfQ, latQ

and gwQ relative to the baseline scenario is illustrated in **Fig. 18 (a-l)**. We found that the amount of changes was greater during the period 1990-2010. It is because the major landuse change also occurred during this period. Among all the subbasins, the surface runoff component in **Fig. 18 (a-d)** is projected to increase between 54mm to164mm in 2040 relative to the baseline scenario of 1990. On the other hand, the lateral Q and the groundwater Q component is likely to decrease in majority of the subbasins. The lateral Q is expected to decrease between the range of 10mm to 70mm while the groundwater Q will decline by 10mm to 147mm annually.

Fig. 19. Projected change in the landuse and different water-balance components for 2040 with
reference to the baseline scenario for subbasin 1-8 (-ve: decrease and +ve: increase)
512

514change in water balance component for each sub-basin, the percentage change in landuse types and water-balance components are plotted in Fig. 19 and Fig. 20 for each sub-basins. The 515516percentage increase in built-up areas ranged from little over 5% to more than 50% while the 517increase in surface run-off ranged from about 40% to over 200%. Generally, the percentage 518increase in surface run-off was higher for those sub-basins where the built-up area increased. However, the relation was not linear. For example, surface runoff increased by 234% in sub-519520basin 9 (Fig.20) in which the built-up area had increased by 57%. But in sub-basin 8 in Fig.19, 521the percentage change in surface-runoff was only 79% where the built-up area had increased by 52253%. This suggest that each hydrological process in a sub-basin is affected by different other 523processes. Therefore, it will not always be reasonable to find the one is to one relation.

525 Fig. 20. Projected change in the landuse and different water-balance components for 2040 with

526 reference to the baseline scenario for subbasin 9-16 (-ve indicate decrease and +ve indicate 527 increase)

528 Similarly, the decline in groundwater discharge varied from 9% to 70%. The groundwater 529 discharge depends on the pervious cover of the land. Conversion from forest and agriculture to 530 the built-up areas will increase the impervious cover and hence reduces the permeability of the 531 surface. Consequently, the groundwater discharge will decrease. The maximum decline in the 532 groundwater discharge also occurred in sub-basin 9 corresponding to a maximum increase of 533 built-up areas. However, as with the surface run-off, the relation is not linear between the 534 percentage increase of the surface runoff and the built-up areas.

535 6. CONCLUSIONS

536 The current study evaluated the water-balance dynamics of the most rapidly urbanizing KVW 537of Nepal under the past, present and the future landuse scenario. The isolated impact of landuse 538change was assessed keeping the same climatic conditions for all scenarios. The results and 539analysis clearly indicated that the rapid urbanization will significantly alter the water-balance 540 components of the study watershed that is already reeling under the water-stress. Projection of 541landuse revealed that near-about 50% of the total area of KVW will be covered by built-up zone 542by the year 2040. The increase in the built-up area is compensated mainly by agriculture areas 543and the forest areas which will have further implications on the multiple ecosystem services.

544Surface runoff is expected to increase in the future scenario of 2040 by nearly 100mm of which 545almost 50% (48mm) was found to increase between 1990 and 2010. This will likely have a 546tremendous impact on the flooding intensity and the extent of inundation within the basin. 547Another important component, the groundwater discharge which form a basis of daily water 548consumption in the study watershed is also predicted to decline and that too, without 549considering the water extraction from the tanker industries. The decline was relatively greater 550in smaller subbasins. The study also revealed that the response of the subbasins to the landuse 551change is not necessarily the same for each subbasins rather it depends on the initial landuse 552distribution of the subbasin. The response was sharper for those subbasins which are yet to be 553urbanized in comparison to those which are already highly urbanized. The quantification of the 554changes of different water-balance components revealed that the impact of urbanization on 555water-balance will be felt greater at the subbasin level. The spatio-temporal distribution of the 556projected changes in the landuse and the consequent water-balance components at the subbasin 557 level is believed to provide useful information to the policy makers and the related stakeholders 558to prioritize and formulate the suitable interventions, both soft and hard, to subdue the adverse

559 impact.

560

561 References

- 562 Abbaspour, K.C., Yang, J., Maximov, I., Siber, R., Bogner, K., Mieleitner, J., Zobrist, J.,
- 563 Srinivasan, R., 2007. Modelling hydrology and water quality in the pre-alpine/alpine Thur

 564
 watershed
 using
 SWAT.
 J.
 Hydrol.
 333,
 413–430.

 565
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2006.09.014

- Aboelnour, M., Gitau, M.W., Engel, B.A., 2019. Hydrologic response in an urban watershed as
 affected by climate and land-use change. Water (Switzerland) 11, 1–23.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/w11081603
- 569Anand, J., Gosain, A.K., Khosa, R., 2018. Prediction of land use changes based on Land Change 570Modeler and attribution of changes in the water balance of Ganga basin to land use change 571using the SWAT model. Sci. Total Environ. 644, 503-519. 572https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.07.017
- Ansari, T.A., Katpatal, Y.B., Vasudeo, A.D., 2016. Spatial evaluation of impacts of increase in
 impervious surface area on SCS-CN and runoff in Nagpur urban watersheds, India. Arab.
 J. Geosci. 9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12517-016-2702-5
- Arnold, J.G., Srinivasan, R., Muttiah, R.S., Williams, J.R., 1998. Large area hydrologic
 modeling and assessment Part I: Model development. J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc. 34, 73–
 89. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.1998.tb05961.x
- Baker, T.J., Miller, S.N., 2013. Using the Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT) to assess
 land use impact on water resources in an East African watershed. J. Hydrol. 486, 100–111.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.01.041
- Bhusal, R., 2019. Thirsty Kathmandu waits for water that never arrives [WWW Document].
 URL https://www.thethirdpole.net/en/climate/kathmandu-water-crisis/
- 584 CBS, 2012. Central Bureau of Statistics. Nepal.
- Cibin, R., Sudheer, K.P., Chaubey, I., 2010. Sensitivity and identifiability of stream flow
 generation parameters of the SWAT model. Hydrol. Process. 24, 1133–1148.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.7568
- 588 D. N. Moriasi, J. G. Arnold, M. W. Van Liew, R. L. Bingner, R. D. Harmel, T. L. Veith, 2007.
- 589 Model Evaluation Guidelines for Systematic Quantification of Accuracy in Watershed
 590 Simulations. Trans. ASABE 50, 885–900. https://doi.org/10.13031/2013.23153
- 591 Dijkshoorn, K., Jan Huting, 2009. Soil and Terrain database for Nepal, Report 2009/01. ISRIC

- 592 World Soil Information, Wageningen.
- 593 Eastman, J.R., 2016. TerrSet Geospatial Monitoring and Modelling System Manual.
- Fang, D., Hao, L., Cao, Z., Huang, X., Qin, M., Hu, J., Liu, Y., Sun, G., 2020. Combined effects
 of urbanization and climate change on watershed evapotranspiration at multiple spatial
 scales. J. Hydrol. 587, 124869. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.124869
- Gashaw, T., Tulu, T., Argaw, M., Worqlul, A.W., 2018. Modeling the hydrological impacts of
 land use/land cover changes in the Andassa watershed, Blue Nile Basin, Ethiopia. Sci.
- 599
 Total Environ. 619–620, 1394–1408. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.191
- Gupta, H.V., Kling, H., Yilmaz, K.K., Martinez, G.F., 2009. Decomposition of the mean squared
 error and NSE performance criteria: Implications for improving hydrological modelling.
 J. Hyrology 377, 80–91.
- Gupta, H.V., Sorooshian, S., Yapo, P.O., 1999. STATUS OF AUTOMATIC CALIBRATION
 FOR HYDROLOGIC MODELS: COMPARISON WITH MULTILEVEL EXPERT
 CALIBRATION 4, 135–143.
- Hamad, R., Balzter, H., Kolo, K., 2018. Predicting land use/land cover changes using a CAMarkov model under two different scenarios. Sustain. 10, 1–23.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/su10103421
- 609 ICIMOD, 2013. Land cover of Nepal 2010.
- 610 Ishtiaque, A., Shrestha, M., Chhetri, N., 2017. Rapid urban growth in the kathmandu valley,
 611 nepal: Monitoring land use land cover dynamics of a himalayan city with landsat imageries.

612 Environ. - MDPI 4, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.3390/environments4040072

- Jothityangkoon, C., Sivapalan, M., Farmer, D.L., 2001. Process controls of water balance
 variability in a large semi-arid catchment: Downward approach to hydrological model
 development. J. Hydrol. 254, 174–198. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(01)00496-6
- 616 Karki, S., 2012. Application of Uncertainty Analysis Techniques To Swat Model : A Case Study
 617 of West Seti River Basin, Nepal. Institute of Engineering, Tribhuvan University.
- Kim, K.B., Kwon, H.H., Han, D., 2018. Exploration of warm-up period in conceptual
 hydrological modelling. J. Hydrol. 556, 194–210.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.11.015
- Krause, P., Boyle, D.P., Bäse, F., 2005. Comparison of different efficiency criteria for
 hydrological model assessment. Adv. Geosci. 5, 89–97. https://doi.org/10.5194/adgeo-589-2005
- Kumar, N., Tischbein, B., Kusche, J., Beg, M.K., Bogardi, J.J., 2017. Impact of land-use change
 on the water resources of the Upper Kharun Catchment, Chhattisgarh, India. Reg. Environ.

- 626 Chang. 17, 2373–2385. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-017-1165-x
- Kundu, S., Khare, D., Mondal, A., 2017. Past, present and future land use changes and their
 impact on water balance. J. Environ. Manage. 197, 582–596.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2017.04.018
- Lamichhane, S., Shakya, N.M., 2019a. Integrated assessment of climate change and land use
 change impacts on hydrology in the Kathmandu Valley watershed, Central Nepal. Water
 (Switzerland) 11. https://doi.org/10.3390/w11102059
- Lamichhane, S., Shakya, N.M., 2019b. Alteration of groundwater recharge areas due to land
 use/cover change in Kathmandu Valley, Nepal. J. Hydrol. Reg. Stud. 26, 100635.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2019.100635
- 636 McCuen, R.H., Knight, Z., Cutter, A.G., 2006. Evaluation of the Nash–Sutcliffe Efficiency
 637 Index. J. Hydrol. Eng. 11, 597–602. https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)1084638 0699(2006)11:6(597)
- Muzzini, E., Aparicio, G., 2013. Urban Growth and Spatial Transition in Nepal, Urban Growth
 and Spatial Transition in Nepal. https://doi.org/10.1596/978-0-8213-9659-9
- Neitsch, S.L., Arnold, J.G., Kiniry, J.R., Williams, J.R., 2011. Soil & Water Assessment Tool
 Theoretical Documentation Version 2009. Texas Water Resour. Inst. 1–647.
- Pandey, V.P., Chapagain, S.K., Kazama, F., 2010. Evaluation of groundwater environment of
 Kathmandu Valley. Environ. Earth Sci. 1329–1342. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-0090263-6
- Pokhrel, B.K., 2018. Impact of land use change on flow and sediment yields in the Khokana
 outlet of the Bagmati River, Kathmandu, Nepal. Hydrology 5.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/hydrology5020022
- 649 Sharma, C.K., 1987. River Systems of Nepal.
- Shrestha, M., Acharya, S.C., 2020. Assessment of historical and future land-use–land-cover
 changes and their impact on valuation of ecosystem services in Kathmandu Valley, Nepal.
 L. Degrad. Dev. https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.3837
- Shrestha, S., Neupane, S., Mohanasundaram, S., Pandey, V.P., 2020. Mapping groundwater
 resiliency under climate change scenarios : A case study of Kathmandu Valley , Nepal.
 Environ. Res. 183, 109149. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2020.109149
- Sinha, R.K., Eldho, T.I., 2018. Effects of historical and projected land use/cover change on
 runoff and sediment yield in the Netravati river basin, Western Ghats, India. Environ. Earth
 Sci. 77, 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-018-7317-6
- 659 Thapa, B.R., Ishidaira, H., Pandey, V.P., Bhandari, T.M., Shakya, N.M., 2018. Evaluation of

- water security in Kathmandu Valley before and after water transfer from another basin.
 Water (Switzerland) 10, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.3390/w10020224
- Thapa, B.R., Ishidaira, H., Pandey, V.P., Shakya, N.M., 2017. A multi-model approach for
 analyzing water balance dynamics in Kathmandu Valley, Nepal. J. Hydrol. Reg. Stud. 9,
 149–162. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2016.12.080
- 665 United Nations, 2018. World Urbanization Prospects, Demographic Research.
- Wagner, P.D., Kumar, S., Schneider, K., 2013. An assessment of land use change impacts on the
 water resources of the Mula and Mutha Rivers catchment upstream of Pune, India. Hydrol.
- 668 Earth Syst. Sci. 17, 2233–2246. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-17-2233-2013
- Wakode, H.B., Baier, K., Jha, R., Azzam, R., 2018. Impact of urbanization on groundwater
 recharge and urban water balance for the city of Hyderabad, India. Int. Soil Water Conserv.
 Res. 6, 51–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iswcr.2017.10.003
- Winchell, M., Srinivasan, R., Di Luzio, M., Arnold, J., 2013. ArcSWAT Interface For
 SWAT2012: User's Guide. Texas Agric. Exp. Stn. United States Dep. Agric. Temple, TX.
 464.
- Woldesenbet, T.A., Elagib, N.A., Ribbe, L., Heinrich, J., 2017. Hydrological responses to land
 use/cover changes in the source region of the Upper Blue Nile Basin, Ethiopia. Sci. Total
 Environ. 575, 724–741. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.09.124
- Wu, F., Zhan, J., Su, H., Yan, H., Ma, E., 2015. Scenario-Based Impact Assessment of Land
 Use/Cover and Climate Changes on Watershed Hydrology in Heihe River Basin of
 Northwest China. Adv. Meteorol. 2015. https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/410198
- Zhou, F., Xu, Y., Chen, Y., Xu, C.Y., Gao, Y., Du, J., 2013. Hydrological response to
 urbanization at different spatio-temporal scales simulated by coupling of CLUE-S and the
 SWAT model in the Yangtze River Delta region. J. Hydrol. 485, 113–125.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.12.040