This manuscript is a preprint.

It was submitted for publication in *Nature* on May 14th, 2020. It has not undergone peer review. Subsequent versions of this manuscript will be uploaded as the path to publication progresses. Please feel free to contact any of the authors; we welcome feedback.

The perpetual fragility of creeping hillslopes

Nakul S. Deshpande¹, David J. Furbish^{2,3}, Paulo E. Arratia⁴, and Douglas J. Jerolmack^{1,4}

¹Department of Earth and Environmental Science, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA

²Departments of Earth and Environmental Sciences Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, USA

³Civil and Environmental Engineering, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, USA

⁴Department of Mechanical Engineering & Applied Mechanics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA

May 14, 2020

Abstract

Soil-mantled hillslopes owe their smooth, convex shape to creep^{1,2}; the slow and persis-10 tent, gravity-driven motion of grains on slopes below the angle of repose. Existing models 11 presume that soil creep occurs via mechanical displacement of grains by (bio)physical dis-12 turbances^{3,4}. Recent simulations⁵, however, suggest that soil can creep without these 13 disturbances, due to internal relaxation dynamics characteristic of disordered and fragile 14 solids such as glass. Here we report experimental observations of creeping motion in an 15 undisturbed sandpile, at micron resolution over timescales of $10^0 - 10^6$ s, for a variety of nat-16 ural and synthetic granular materials. We observe two behaviors typically associated with 17 creeping glass: strain occurs as localized and spatially-heterogeneous grain motions⁶; and 18 creep rates decay as a power-law function of time⁷. Further, creep can be accelerated or 19 suppressed by thermal cycles and shaking, respectively. Averaged strain profiles decay ex-20 ponentially with depth, in agreement with field observations of creeping hillslope soils⁸⁻¹⁰. 21 Our findings demonstrate that soil is fragile in terms of sensitivity to disturbances, but 22 that creep dynamics are robust across grains and glasses. Mapping soil creep to the more 23 generic glass problem provides a new framework for modeling hillslope sediment transport, 24 and new insights on the nature of yield and failure. 25

26

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

27 Keywords— geomorphology, granular physics, glassy dynamics, relaxation and rejuvenation, aging

28 Introduction

The shapes of hills encode a signature of tectonics, climate and life, through the influence of these processes on 29 sediment transport $^{4,11-13}$. Soil fails by landslides on the steepest slopes, leaving telltale scars on the landscape. Below 30 the angle of repose, however, soil-mantled hillslopes are characteristically smooth and convex^{4,13}. Although this soil 31 is considered a solid, it appears to flow over geologic time in a process called soil creep^{14,15}. What is the mechanism 32 for granular motion below the angle of repose? This has been speculated on for over 100 years^{1,2}. Modern treatments 33 trace their origin to Culling³, who envisioned that the net effect of environmental disturbances (biological, hydrological 34 and physical) acting on and within soil was to inject porosity, which facilitates particle motion. He also recognized 35 that porosity, and the associated particle activity, must diminish with depth. In the continuum limit Culling proposed 36 a diffusion-like relation between sediment flux and topographic gradient, that has been elaborated on by many authors 37 and implemented in virtually all landscape evolution models^{4,13,15-18}. Remarkably, the hypothesized grain motions 38 in Culling's model have never been experimentally examined. More broadly, Culling's mathematical formulation 39 corresponds to a physical picture of soil as a peculiar kind of "granular gas" (Supplementary Materials Section S1) 40 that is inconsistent with known granular mechanics. Researchers have begun to recognize the need to understand 41 grain-scale dynamics, in order to derive physically-informed models of soil mixing and transport on hillslopes^{19,20}. 42 While tracers have been used for over 60 years to measure coarse profiles of soil displacement on hillslopes¹⁴, the slow 43 and erratic nature of creep has prevented direct observation of grain motions in the field. The canonical hillslope 44 laboratory experiment of Roering and colleagues²¹ showed how acoustic noise can induce grain motion below the 45 angle of repose; however, our reanalysis indicates that grains were actually fluidized into inertial flows, rather than 46 sub-critical creep (Fig. S1). 47

Creep has also been recognized in the context of dense granular flows. These flows have been modeled with a local 48 $(\mu(I))$ real real probability of a function of a dimensionless shear rate called the inertial number, 49 $I \equiv \dot{\epsilon} d/\sqrt{P/\rho}$, where $\dot{\epsilon}$ is shear rate, d is grain size, P is confining pressure and ρ is density²². Inertial flows transition 50 at depth to a slow creep regime, characterized by intermittent and apparently random particle motions 23,24 and 51 exponential velocity profiles^{25,26}. Recent experiments and simulations suggest the transition to creep occurs below 52 $I \sim 10^{-5}$ (refs.^{5,26,27}). The local $\mu(I)$ rheology predicts that grains should be static below yield, and therefore 53 cannot describe the creep regime²⁶. To account for creep, nonlocal models have been proposed in which fluidized 54 motions from the inertial regime diffuse downward into the bulk²⁸. However, recent experiments have revealed creep 55 in the absence of a flowing layer^{29,30}. Observations in a progressively tilted sandbox showed that, on approach to 56 the angle of repose, sporadic and localized grain motions became more frequent and eventually linked up to affect 57 vield²⁹. Granular simulations have reproduced these behaviors without any imposed disturbances⁵. The addition of 58 low-amplitude ($\ll d$), random perturbations accelerated simulated creep rates, but did not qualitatively change the 59 dynamics^{5,31}; however, the spectrum of disturbances explored in these models is quite limited. 60

Creep in amorphous solids, such as glass, is associated with sub-yield plastic deformation in response to an 61 applied stress 6 . A unifying characteristic of amorphous solids is that they are fragile: any particle configuration is 62 metastable, and very small perturbations can lead to structural rearrangements^{27,32}. These creep motions are manifest 63 as spatially heterogeneous, mesocopic (length $\gg d$) zones of strain⁶. In glasses, relaxation by plastic rearrangements 64 leads to aging; rigidity increases with time, leading to a slow down in creep rates. This decline in plasticity can 65 be reversed by rejuvenation, typically by changing temperature³³. There is emerging evidence that granular creep 66 shares deep similarities with glasses^{5,27}, and theorists have proposed that mechanical noise in granular systems may 67 modulate creep in an analogous manner to thermal fluctuations in glasses^{7,34}. No experiments, however, have been 68 conducted to test these ideas. In this study we examine creep dynamics of an undisturbed sub-critical sandpile, 69 probing grain motions through time using an optical technique that allows us to observe exceedingly slow strain 70 rates. Creep behavior in the sandpile exhibits all of the hallmarks of relaxation in glassy materials. We also explore 71 how disturbances can enhance or reverse aging, completing the picture of soil creep as relaxation and rejuvenation of a 72 fragile solid and illustrating that in the natural environment, hillslopes are made perpetually fragile by environmental 73 perturbations. Comparisons of experimental creep profiles with data from natural hillslopes indicate that laboratory 74

⁷⁵ observations are generalizable.

⁷⁶ Undisturbed creep results

⁷⁷ Our first objective is to demonstrate the existence of creep in a minimally-disturbed model hillslope. Based on ⁷⁸ previous work^{5,23,25,26,29}, we expect creep rates to be exceedingly slow ($\leq 10^{-6}m/s$) which makes typical particle ⁷⁹ tracking methods impractical. Instead, we measure grain motions via spatially-resolved Diffusing Wave Spectroscopy ⁸⁰ (DWS)³⁵, which determines strain associated with changes in the granular structure that occur on the order of the

optical wavelength (10^{-6}m) (see Methods). Our experimental system consists of a granular heap initially prepared (time t = 0) just below the angle of repose, that is confined in an acrylic cell (Fig. 1) sitting on a vibration-isolating optical table (see Methods, Fig. S2). Most experiments used glass beads with ideal optical properties; however,

⁸⁴ natural sand, and a mixture of equal parts sand and kaolinite powder, were also tested (Fig. S3).

The first important result is that creep occurred for all experiments and granular materials, and it persisted over 85 all observed timescales $(10^0 - 10^6 \text{ s M1-4})$. Initial creep velocities (t = 0) were on the order of nm/s (cm/year) 86 i.e., comparable to measured rates of hillslope soil creep in the field (see below) – and we confirmed that inertial 87 numbers for undisturbed creep were all below yield $(I < 10^{-5})$ (Figs. S4, S5). All experiments exhibited glass-like 88 'spatially-heterogeneous dynamics'⁶, manifest as discrete, mesoscopic ($\gg d$) zones of strain that occurred throughout 89 the system (Fig. 1c). At early times, these deformation zones were relatively larger and more concentrated near 90 the sandpile surface. At later times these zones became smaller and occur less frequently, with lower spatial density. 91 Cumulative strain ϵ resulting from this deformation diminished with depth beneath the surface because of increasing 92 confining pressure, which restricts dilation that is often associated with grain rearrangement^{23,29} (Fig.4b). We also 93 observed sensitivity to the preparation protocol, a ubiquitous phenomenon in fragile solids³⁶. For example: the region 94 of intense and persistent deformation seen near the pile apex (Figs. 1; 3 M1) always occurred at the location where 95 avalanches had formed when the sand was first poured.

96 Information on the time-dependent dynamics of creeping motion in the pile is encoded in the correlation function 97 G of the speckle patterns (see Methods). In the experiments reported here, G decayed monotonically with lag time 98 99 τ ; this decay was most rapid at early times t indicating fast grain motions, and slowed through time (Fig. 2). Normalizing the lag time of each correlation by the e-folding time, we find that the curves $G(\tau/\tau_e)$ collapse onto 100 a single exponential master curve (Fig. 2) consistent with previous observations of granular creep²³ and molecular 101 dynamics simulations of glass³⁷. The growth of the relaxation timescale τ_e increased as a power-law function of 102 time (Fig. 2). Such power-law 'aging' is a classical behavior of creeping glass and other amorphous solids⁷. Our 103 interpretation is that the initially loose sandpile has many 'soft spots'³² associated with low packing density and/or 104 frictional contacts, and that strain relaxes these soft spots, redistributing stress within the system, leading to an 105 overall slowing down of creep with time⁷. 106



Figure 1: Experimental setup and phenomenology. a) Soil-mantled hillslope in the Te Puka Valley, New Zealand (PC: Waka Tokahi, NZ Transport Agency). b) Experimental DWS setup. c) Spatially-resolved maps of creep rates at three times (t = 1, t = 16 and t = 1024 s) since building the pile.

107



Figure 2: Glassy relaxation in an undisturbed granular heap. a) Spatially-averaged correlation function for 13 start times. b) Data are reasonably collapsed by τ_e ; red dotted line indicates exponential decay. c) Growth of the relaxation timescale; slope determined from least-squares regression of $t = t_0 \tau_e^m$, where m = 0.53.

¹⁰⁹ The role of mechanical disturbances

¹¹⁰ In the above description, granular creep progressively slows down. In this picture of relaxation, creep rates should ¹¹¹ tend asymptotically toward zero with time. Not all of our experiments, however, exhibited this behavior. Humidity ¹¹² fluctuations occurred for some runs, producing a complex response in terms of creep dynamics – notably at late ¹¹³ start times (Fig. S8). Data indicate that some reversible (elastic) strain occurred in these runs — perhaps due ¹¹⁴ to nanoscale capillary bridges or other tribological effects^{38,39}. Similar behavior has been seen for weakly heated ¹¹⁵ granular materials^{35,40}, suggesting that some kinds of disturbance may reverse relaxation and reactivate creep.

Natural hillslopes appear to creep indefinitely, and they are perpetually disturbed: bombarded by seismic waves, 116 thermal cycles, wetting and drying, and bioturbation $^{8-10,16,41,42}$. We posit that the same relaxation processes observed 117 in our experiments also play out in natural soils, but that some environmental disturbances rejuvenate soil creep. 118 Inspired by previous work^{35,40} we examine heating as a method for creep rejuvenation in our experiments (see 119 Methods). Thermal loading may be considered a proxy for shrink-swell and freeze-thaw cycles that occur in natural 120 soils^{8-10,42} (see Methods). The sandpile was first allowed to relax for 10^4 s before applying disturbances. At the 121 instant heat was turned on, an increase in strain rate $\dot{\epsilon}$ was observed as most of the pile began to creep faster (Fig. 3). 122 This was likely due to thermo-mechanical stresses created by volumetric expansion of the grains⁴⁰, though expansion 123 of the apparatus walls may have also played a role. Interestingly, the spatially-averaged strain rate $\langle \dot{\epsilon} \rangle$ (see Methods) 124 increased by more than ten times, reaching the same value observed at t = 0; i.e., just after preparation of the 125 sandpile. Correlation functions also appeared similar to those observed at t = 0 (Fig. S10). This demonstrates that a 126 few seconds of heating was able to reverse 10^4 s of aging. Once heat was switched off, $\langle \dot{e} \rangle$ dropped immediately, then 127 slowly decayed toward the pre-heating value (Fig. 3a). Repeated cycles of heating and cooling produced concurrent 128 cycles of rejuvenation and relaxation, respectively; the overall effect was to sustain an approximately constant average 129 creep rate, that did not decay with time (Fig. S11). The ability of thermal cycling to sustain enhanced creep rates 130 has intriguing implications for natural hillslope soils. 131

Tapping of grains may induce surface flows on heaps, but also leads to compaction of the bulk^{43,44}. Tapping may 132 mimic some effects of seismic shaking of hillslopes⁴¹. We allowed an initial pile to relax for 10^4 s, then tapped the 133 pile with a metronome at 1 Hz (see Methods). Taps initially excited grains throughout the pile. As time progressed, 134 however, a thin and fast-moving surface layer developed a sharp boundary at its base, below which the bulk grain 135 motions slowed dramatically and became very intermittent (Fig. 3). The development of these two regimes is similar 136 to the creep-flow transition observed in experiments²³ and simulations⁵ of heap flows above the angle of repose (Fig. 137 S12). There was an overall trend of decreasing $\langle \dot{\epsilon} \rangle$ with increasing number of taps (Fig. 3). We conclude that 138 vibrations fluidized surface grains but drove compaction in the bulk⁴⁴, leading to more rapid relaxation (compared to 139 the undisturbed case) as the pile evolved toward a denser, lower-energy state (Fig. S13). We interpret the boundary 140 between fast and slow regions as a yield surface²⁹. These findings may have relevance for landslide development from 141 earthquakes. In particular, while vibrations in our experiments excited surficial flow, the underlying bulk became 142 more rigid and less susceptible to future fluidization. 143

144



Figure 3: Mechanical perturbations drive rejuvenation and aging. a) Spatially-averaged strain rate including 10 s of heating applied (red rectangle) and relaxation after removal of the heat source. b) Relaxation timescale τ_e during and following heat response (Fig. S10). c) Spatial maps of strain rate during and following heat pulse. d) Time series of spatially-averaged strain rate (blue line). Black line indicates moving-window average (100 taps). e) Spatial maps of strain rate determined over one tap cycle (tap number indicated in figure). Note that after many taps, creep is mostly confined to a thin, localized layer at surface.

¹⁴⁵ Comparison with field observations

Field measurements of soil creep on hillslopes are quite coarse compared to our experiments. Nonetheless, profiles of 146 displacement, measured over decades by buried tracers in so-called 'Young pits' (Fig. 4a), provide a long-time average 147 of soil motion at discrete depths z. Horizontal velocity profiles (u(z)) measured from a variety of environments are 148 typically exponential-like^{14,45,46}, though quantitative comparisons among field sites have not been made. Here we 149 examine previously published field data from Young pits at four sites around the world, where creep was reportedly 150 driven by different forcings^{8-10,42}. We confirm that all field data have $I \ll 10^{-5}$, i.e., they are in the granular creep 151 regime. All velocity profiles are reasonably well described by an exponential function $u/u_0 = e^{-z/\lambda}$, where u_0 is the 152 surface velocity and λ is a decay length determined from data fitting (Figs. 4c, S6). The latter two parameters must 153 be related to site-specific soil characteristics and environmental disturbance regimes, but exploring this is beyond the 154 scope of this paper. For these hills paper $u_0 \sim 10^{-9}$ m/s (Figs. 4c, S6), comparable to our measured experimental 155 creep rates for the initially loose and heated grains. 156

We compare our undisturbed creep experiments to field data, by first generating depth (z) profiles of downslope 157 (x)-averaged cumulative strain through time from the surface to 1-cm below (Fig. 4b). Our experiments permit 158 determination of strain rate rather than velocity (see Supplementary Materials Section S5); however, the normalized 159 strain rate profile $\dot{\epsilon}/\dot{\epsilon}_0 = e^{-z/\lambda}$ is essentially equivalent to a normalized velocity profile. We see that our experimental 160 data fall on top of the field profiles (see Fig. S3 for experiments with other materials). It is important to note, however, 161 that while exponential profiles have been reported for granular creep in many experiments^{23,25,26}, an exponential profile is not diagnostic of creep. Inertial flows may also exhibit exponential velocity profiles^{22,28}. Also, creep in 162 163 highly heterogeneous soils, or soils with macro-scale disturbances such as tree throw 4^{7} , can exhibit erratic velocity 164 profiles that are not well fit by an exponential. 165

166



Figure 4: Depth-averaged strain profiles from the lab and field. a) Excavated Young Pit indicating the displacement of tracer pegs over a 17-year interval (PC Alfred Jahn). b) Depth and horizontally-averaged cumulative strain profiles at three lag times, measured in an undisturbed creep experiment. Profiles start at 2048 s after deposition. c) Compilation of soil deformation data from four studies and field environments - originally compiled by Roering⁴⁶. Freeze-thaw cycles near Strasbourg, France⁸, wet-dry cycles in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia⁴², freeze-thaw cycles in the Japanese Alps⁹, wet-dry cycle in Stanford, California¹⁰. Data are fit by an exponential decay, the parameters of which are then used to reasonably collapse the data (Fig. S6)

¹⁶⁷ Discussion and outlook

By probing a seemingly static sandpile with speckle imaging, our experiments have revealed a seething and ceaseless creeping motion — even in the near absence of mechanical disturbances. These motions are strikingly similar to recent observations of creep in a heap of Brownian (micron-scale) particles⁴⁸, even though our sand grains are non-Brownian. Further, we have shown how granular creep rates can be tuned by imposing external disturbances that are geophysically relevant. Our experiments reveal deep similarities in how grains and glasses creep, and provide compelling evidence that mechanical disturbances in granular systems play a role akin to thermal fluctuations in glasses^{7,27,34}.

Intriguingly, even though the mechanics of grain motion are fundamentally different from Culling's model, our 175 final result provides a kind of confirmation of his physical intuition³. In particular: heterogeneity in granular structure 176 leads to seemingly random grain motions that decrease with depth; and mechanical disturbances can introduce new 177 stresses and/or porosity that facilitate motion. Creep motions are consistent with granular self diffusion⁴⁹; however, 178 this does not imply that there is any Culling-like diffusion relation between flux and slope. Moreover, Culling and 179 subsequent hillslope researchers did not anticipate persistent creep even in the (near) absence of disturbance. How 180 do we understand the similarity in creep rates and profiles between our undisturbed and initially loose sandpile, 181 and natural (disturbed) hillslope soils? Our new view separates creep into a generic relaxation process whose rate 182 depends on granular friction/cohesion and structure, and diverse rejuvenation processes associated with environmental 183 disturbances. We speculate that the primary role of biophysical disturbance in natural hillslopes is to maintain soil in 184 a loose and fragile state, where relaxation rates are high. Other types of disturbance, however, can have the opposite 185 effect; shaking can lead to compaction and enhanced aging, depressing bulk creep rates even as surface motions are 186 enhanced. 187

Although soil is sensitive to disturbances, geologic history, and boundary effects^{5,27}, qualitative creep dynamics 188 are robust across materials and environments. Future granular simulations could be used to reveal how disturbances 189 190 influence the contact forces and/or structure that ultimately drive creep. Experiments could examine the consequences of cohesion/adhesion, surface charge, moisture, bioturbation and other effects on creep dynamics. Resolving these 191 factors will allow derivation of a coarse-grained creep rheology model, whose kinematics and scales are determined by 192 physically-meaningful parameters. Our results indicate that elastoplastic models developed to describe the rheology 193 of amorphous solids⁷ — that can explicitly incorporate mesoscopic scales of grain rearrangements, and rejuvenation 194 by mechanical noise — may be good candidates. An improved model of soil creep is not only useful for predicting 195 hillslope sediment transport; it will also help us to better understand how creeping soil accelerates to the yield point, 196 which leads to catastrophic landslides⁵. 197

198 **References**

- ¹⁹⁹ 1. Davis, W. M. The convex profile of bad-land divides. *Science* **6**, 245–245 (1892).
- 200 2. Gilbert, G. K. The Convexity of Hilltops. The Journal of Geology 17, 344–350 (1909).
- Culling, W. E. H. Soil Creep and the Development of Hillside Slopes. The Journal of Geology 71, 127-161 (1963).
- Roering, J. J., Perron, J. T. & Kirchner, J. W. Functional relationships between denudation and hillslope form and relief. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 264, 245–258 (2007).
- Ferdowsi, B., Ortiz, C. P. & Jerolmack, D. J. Glassy dynamics of landscape evolution. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 115, 4827–4832 (2018).
- Falk, M. L. & Langer, J. S. Dynamics of viscoplastic deformation in amorphous solids. *Physical Review E Statistical Physics, Plasmas, Fluids, and Related Interdisciplinary Topics* 57, 7192–7205 (1998).
- Nicolas, A., Ferrero, E. E., Martens, K. & Barrat, J.-L. Deformation and flow of amorphous solids:
 Insights from elastoplastic models. *Reviews of Modern Physics* **90**, 45006 (2018).
- 8. Auzet, A. V. & Ambroise, B. Soil creep dynamics, soil moisture and temperature conditions on a forested slope in the granitic vosges mountains, France. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* **21**, 531–542 (1996).
- Matsuoka, N. The relationship between frost heave and downslope soil movement: field measurements in the Japanese Alps. *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes* 9, 121–133 (1998).
- Fleming, R. W. & Johnson, A. M. Rates of seasonal creep of silty clay soil. Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology 8, 1–29 (1975).
- ²¹⁸ 11. Whipple, K. X., Kirby, E. & Brocklehurst, S. H. Geomorphic limits to climate-induced increases in topographic relief. *Nature* 401, 39–43 (1999).
- Dietrich, W. E. & Perron, J. T. The search for a topographic signature of life. Nature 439, 411–418 (2006).
- Perron, J. T., Kirchner, J. W. & Dietrich, W. E. Formation of evenly spaced ridges and valleys. *Nature* 460, 502–505 (2009).
- ²²⁴ 14. Young, A. Soil movement by denudational processes on slopes. *Nature* **188**, 120–122 (1960).
- Kirkby, M. J. Hillslope process-response models based on the continuity equation. Inst. Br. Geogr. Spec.
 Publ 3, 5–30 (1971).
- Gabet, E. J. Gopher bioturbation: Field evidence for non-linear hillslope diffusion. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms 25, 1419–1428 (2000).
- Dietrich, W. E. et al. Geomorphic transport laws for predicting landscape form and dynamics. Geophysical Monograph Series 135, 103–132 (2003).
- 18. Roering, J. J., Kirchner, J. W., Sklar, L. S. & Dietrich, W. E. Hillslope evolution by nonlinear creep
 and landsliding: An experimental study. *Geology* 29, 143–146 (2001).
- Furbish, D. J., Schmeeckle, M. W. & Roering, J. J. Thermal and force-chain effects in an experimental,
 sloping granular shear flow. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 33, 2108–2117 (2008).
- 235 20. Gray, H. J., Keen-Zebert, A., Furbish, D. J., Tucker, G. E. & Mahan, S. A. Depth-dependent soil mixing
 236 persists across climate zones. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 201914140 (2020).
- Roering, J. J., Kirchner, J. W. & Dietrich, W. E. Hillslope evolution by nonlinear, slope-dependent transport: Steady state morphology and equilibrium adjustment timescales. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* 106, 16499–16513 (2001).
- ²⁴⁰ 22. Jop, P., Forterre, Y. & Pouliquen, O. A constitutive law for dense granular flows. *Nature* 441, 727–730 (2006).
- 242 23. Katsuragi, H., Abate, A. R. & Durian, D. J. Jamming and growth of dynamical heterogeneities versus depth for granular heap flow. Soft Matter 6, 3023–3029 (2010).

- Ferdowsi, B., Ortiz, C. P., Houssais, M. & Jerolmack, D. J. River-bed armouring as a granular segre gation phenomenon. *Nature Communications* 8 (2017).
- ²⁴⁶ 25. Komatsu, T. S., Inagaki, S., Nakagawa, N. & Nasuno, S. Creep Motion in a Granular Pile Exhibiting
 ²⁴⁷ Steady Surface Flow. *Physical Review Letters* 86, 1757–1760 (2001).
- ²⁴⁸ 26. Houssais, M., Ortiz, C. P., Durian, D. J. & Jerolmack, D. J. Rheology of sediment transported by a laminar flow. *Physical Review E* 94, 1–10 (2016).
- 250 27. Jerolmack, D. J. & Daniels, K. E. Viewing Earth's surface as a soft-matter landscape. Nature Reviews
 251 Physics 1, 716–730 (2019).
- 252 28. Kamrin, K. & Koval, G. Nonlocal constitutive relation for steady granular flow. *Physical Review Letters* 253 108 (2012).
- 254 29. Amon, A., Bertoni, R. & Crassous, J. Experimental investigation of plastic deformations before a 255 granular avalanche. *Physical Review E - Statistical, Nonlinear, and Soft Matter Physics* 87, 1–12 (2013).
- ²⁵⁶ 30. Allen, B. & Kudrolli, A. Granular bed consolidation, creep, and armoring under subcritical fluid flow.
 ²⁵⁷ Physical Review Fluids 7, 1–21 (2018).
- BenDror, E. & Goren, L. Controls Over Sediment Flux Along Soil-Mantled Hillslopes: Insights From
 Granular Dynamics Simulations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface 123, 924–944 (2018).
- ²⁶⁰ 32. Liu, A. J. & Nagel, S. R. The Jamming Transition and the Marginally Jammed Solid. Annual Review
 ²⁶¹ of Condensed Matter Physics 1, 347–369 (2010).
- ²⁶² 33. Scalliet, C. & Berthier, L. Rejuvenation and Memory Effects in a Structural Glass. *Physical Review* ²⁶³ Letters 122, 255502 (2019).
- ²⁶⁴ 34. Agoritsas, E., García-García, R., Lecomte, V., Truskinovsky, L. & Vandembroucq, D. Driven Interfaces:
 ²⁶⁵ From Flow to Creep Through Model Reduction 6, 1394–1428 (Springer US, 2016).
- ²⁶⁶ 35. Amon, A., Mikhailovskaya, A. & Crassous, J. Spatially resolved measurements of micro-deformations
 ²⁶⁷ in granular materials using diffusing wave spectroscopy. *Review of Scientific Instruments* 88 (2017).
- Vanel, L., Howell, D., Clark, D., Behringer, R. P. & Clément, E. Memories in sand: Experimental tests
 of construction history on stress distributions under sandpiles. *Physical Review E Statistical Physics*,
 Plasmas, Fluids, and Related Interdisciplinary Topics 60, 5040–5043 (1999).
- 37. Berthier, L. & Barrat, J. L. Shearing a Glassy Material: Numerical Tests of Nonequilibrium Mode Coupling Approaches and Experimental Proposals. *Physical Review Letters* 89, 1–4 (2002).
- Royer, J. R. *et al.* High-speed tracking of rupture and clustering in freely falling granular streams.
 Nature 459, 1110–1113 (2009).
- Zaitsev, V. Y., Gusev, V. E., Tournat, V. & Richard, P. Slow relaxation and aging phenomena at the nanoscale in granular materials. *Physical Review Letters* 112, 1–5 (2014).
- 40. Djaoui, L. & Crassous, J. Probing creep motion in granular materials with light scattering. *Granular* Matter 7, 185–190 (2005).
- Bontemps, N., Lacroix, P., Larose, E., Jara, J. & Taipe, E. Rain and small earthquakes maintain a slow-moving landslide in a persistent critical state. *Nature Communications* 11, 1–10 (2020).
- ²⁸¹ 42. Eyles, R. J. & Ho, R. Soil creep on a humid tropical slope. *Journal of Tropical Geography* **31**, 40–42 (1970).
- Richard, P., Nicodemi, M., Delannay, R., Ribière, P. & Bideau, D. Slow relaxation and compaction of
 granular systems. *Nature Materials* 4, 121–128 (2005).
- 44. Iikawa, N., Bandi, M. M. & Katsuragi, H. Force-chain evolution in a two-dimensional granular packing
 compacted by vertical tappings. *Physical Review E* 97, 1–10 (2018).
- ²⁸⁷ 45. Kirkby, A. M. J. Measurement and Theory of Soil Creep. The Journal of Geology 75, 359–378 (1967).
- 46. Roering, J. J. Soil creep and convex-upward velocity profiles: Theoretical and experimental investigation
 of disturbance-driven sediment transport on hillslopes. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 29, 1597–1612 (2004).

- 47. Gabet, E. J., Reichman, O. & Seabloom, E. W. The Effects of Bioturbation on Soil Processes and
 Sediment Transport. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences 31, 249–273 (2003).
- 48. Bérut, A., Pouliquen, O. & Forterre, Y. Brownian Granular Flows Down Heaps. *Physical Review Letters* 123, 1–5 (2019).

49. Fan, Y., Umbanhowar, P. B., Ottino, J. M. & Lueptow, R. M. Shear-Rate-Independent Diffusion in

²⁹⁶ Granular Flows. *Physical Review Letters* **115**, 1–5 (2015).

²⁹⁷ Methods and protocols

²⁹⁸ Measuring grain motion

The principle of DWS is that highly coherent light illuminates our granular heap, where photons scatter and interfere, 299 which produces a random 'speckle pattern' that is collected with a CCD camera (Fig. 1, Supplementary Material 300 S3). As grains slowly creep past one another, they change the photon trajectories and render new speckle patterns. 301 We achieve spatially-resolved measurements by partitioning images into a grid with cells (metapixels) of size l^* , the 302 mean free path of photons within the material. This quantity is around $l \approx 3d$ for the granular materials used (see 303 Methods). Fluctuations in the speckle pattern between a start time t and a lag time τ within each metapixel are 304 quantified via the normalized correlation function, $G(t,\tau)$ (Fig. 2)³⁵. Global dynamics across the whole sandpile 305 are measured by averaging G for each metapixel, signified as $\langle G \rangle$. This allows determination of the first important 306 quantity for assessing glassy dynamics: the relaxation time τ_e , determined as the time at which $\langle G \rangle = 1/e$ (Fig. 307 2). For most experiments we used monodisperse glass beads (Cerroglass), of diameter $d_s = 100 \mu m$ and density $\rho =$ 308 2.6 g/cc, to build the sandpile. This material was chosen because its scattering properties are well understood and 309 it is standard in DWS experiments. From the correlation function G, we can apply optical theory³⁵ to determine 310 the second important quantity for examining glassy dynamics: ϵ , the strain that occurs within a volume set by l^* 311 (see Supplemental Materials Section S3). Whereas DWS can still be used to examine relative grain motions for the 312 sand and clay mixtures we used, use of more complex materials precludes us from calculating l^* and hence from 313 determining absolute strain ϵ (Supplementary Material S5). 314

315 Experimental procedures

Our experimental system is not meant to be a scaled model of a hillslope, either in a geometric or dynamic sense. 316 Rather, it is designed to optimize the direct observation of grain motions, in order to understand the granular physics 317 of creep that are relevant for soil motion at the pedon scale in nature. Reported experiments were conducted in 318 relatively constant ambient temperature (21C + - 0.2) and relative humidity (23.8% + 0.3) conditions (Fig. S7). 319 The heap was prepared by allowing a fixed volume/flow rate of granular material (well within the continuous-flow 320 $regime^{22}$) to flow out of a funnel, at a fixed height 8 cm above the center of the cell bottom. Results are reported 321 for glass beads, unless otherwise stated. Our 'undisturbed' experiments consisted of allowing the initial pile to relax 322 under gravity, with no imposed external disturbances. We note, however, that small-scale ambient fluctuations in 323 temperature and relative humidity did occur (Fig. S7). We conducted a 'short' duration experiment at a frame rate 324 of f = 1Hz for 10⁴ seconds (2.8 hours) immediately following preparation, and a 'long' duration experiment with 325 f = 0.2Hz for 10^6 seconds (11 days). Image collection began at the start of emptying the funnel, while analysis 326 of creep dynamics reported here started as the last grain entered the system and avalanching ceased (t = 0) – 327 making the initial condition a sandpile prepared just below the angle of repose (Fig. 1). We computed both the 328 instantaneous strain rate determined from successive image pairs through time, $\dot{\epsilon}(\tau = 1s) = \epsilon(t)f$ (e.g., Fig. 1), 329 and the temporal evolution of the relaxation timescale τ_e sampled from different start times t, for each metapixel 330 in an image. From these we generated ensemble-average values for each image, $\langle \dot{\epsilon} \rangle$ and $\langle \tau_e \rangle$, that characterized the 331 spatially-averaged dynamics of the sandpile through time (Figs. 1, 2, 3). From instantaneous strain values we also 332 computed surface-normal (z) profiles of downslope (x)-averaged strain (Figs. S4, S5); this allowed us to generate 333 depth profiles of cumulative strain through time, for comparison to field data (Fig. 4). 334

335 Disturbance protocols

- For experiments with disturbance, a pile prepared following the protocol above was allowed to relax for 10^4 s before
- disturbances began. Heating of glass beads produces a small but measurable volume expansion⁴⁰ (coefficient of
- thermal expansion $\sim 10^{-6} K^{-1}$) that is reversed as grains cool. At t = 0 heat was applied to the side of the cell for
- ³³⁹ 10 s by a heat gun, producing a measured sidewall temperature of 50C (Fig. S9). After 10 s the heating element ³⁴⁰ was removed, while the creep response was documented for another 200 s (Fig. 3). For tapping experiments, discrete
- was removed, while the creep response was documented for another 200 s (Fig. 3). For tapping experiments, discrete taps were delivered to the pile using a metronome (double pendulum) that rests on a platform attached to the cell
- (Fig. S9). At t = 0 we initiated a series of 5000 taps delivered at a rate of 1 Hz, and recorded images at the same
- rate but phase-lagged from the taps for the 5000-s duration (Figs. 3, S9).
- Acknowledgements: We thank L. Galloway, A. Gunn and D.J. Durian for helpful discussions, and ARO W911NF-
- ³⁴⁵ 20-1-0113 for financial support.
- 346 Author contributions: N.S.D performed the experiments and analysis; D.J.J. supervised the research; all authors
- 347 contributed to interpretation and writing.
- 348 Competing interest statement: The authors declare no competing interests.
- 349 Additional information: Extended data figures and methods are included in Supplementary Materials.
- **Data availability statement:** Data will be deposited in the publicly shared repository figshare, and all code used
- ³⁵¹ to analyze these data will be publicly available on github.