This manuscript is a preprint that has been accepted for publication in GEOLOGY before typesetting. The final version of this manuscript will be available via the 'Peer-reviewed Publication DOI' link on the right-hand side of this webpage. Please feel free to contact any of the authors; we welcome feedback.

¹ Flexural strike-slip basins

- 2
- 3 Derek Neuharth^{1,2}, Sascha Brune^{1,2}, Anne Glerum¹, Chris K. Morley³, Xiaoping Yuan^{1,4},
 4 Jean Braun^{1,2}
- ⁵ ¹*GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Telegrafenberg, 14473 Potsdam, Germany.*
- 6 ²*Institute of Geosciences, University of Potsdam, Germany.*
- ⁷ ³*PTTEP*, Enco, Vibhavadi-Rangsit Road, Chatuchak, Bangkok, 10900, Thailand
- ⁴School of Earth Sciences, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan, China
- 9

10 ABSTRACT

Strike-slip faults are classically associated with pull-apart basins where continental crust is thinned 11 between two laterally offset fault segments. Here we propose a subsidence mechanism to explain 12 the formation of a new type of basin where no substantial segment offset or syn-strike-slip thinning 13 is observed. Such "flexural strike-slip basins" form due to a sediment load creating 14 15 accommodation space by bending the lithosphere. We use a two-way coupling between the geodynamic code ASPECT and surface processes code FastScape to show that flexural strike-slip 16 17 basins emerge if sediment is deposited on thin lithosphere close to a strike-slip fault. These conditions were met at the Andaman Basin Central Fault, where seismic reflection data provide 18 evidence of a laterally extensive flexural basin with a depocenter located parallel to the strike-slip 19 20 fault trace.

22 MOTIVATION

Near plate boundaries, accommodation space for sedimentary basins is created by 1) lithospheric stretching or cooling, which controls rift basin formation at divergent boundaries and 2) lithospheric flexure such as foreland basins in convergent settings and cratonic sag basins in continental interiors (Allen and Allen, 2013). Pull-apart basins at transform plate boundaries are thought to be related to the first process.

Pull-apart basins form between laterally offset strike-slip fault segments (Mann et al., 1983; Gurbuz, 2010). During strike-slip motion, the area between the offset faults is extended and basement subsidence in this area occurs due to crustal thinning (van Wijk et al., 2017). Pull-apart basins lengthen over time and form as long thin basins with a depocenter that is bounded by the strike- or oblique-slip segments (Seeber et al., 2004). While there are many pull-apart basin examples (e.g., Dead Sea Basin, Garfunkel and Ben-Avraham, 1996; Death Valley Basin, SERPA et al., 1988), there has not been much discussion on other types of strike-slip basins.

Flexural basins form when an overlying load deflects the lithosphere, for instance during mountain building, where an orogenic load creates accommodation space for sediment infill. However, under conditions without an orogenic load, basement subsidence may be a consequence of lower crustal flow triggered by enhanced sedimentation in deep basins (Morley and Westaway, 2006; Clift et al., 2015), e.g. the fans of the Red (Clift and Sun, 2006) and Pearl Rivers (Dong et al., 2020; both examples are located at the northern continental margin of the South China Sea).

We infer that the creation of sedimentation-induced accommodation space requires and is enhanced by: 1) an easily deformable tectonic environment, and 2) focused sedimentation. Both can occur in regions of prior tectonic subsidence. Furthermore, since strike-slip faults may

represent highly weakened plate boundaries (Zoback et al., 1987; Provost and Houston, 2003), and 44 transform continental margins often follow a phase of thinning (Jourdon et al., 2021), we formulate 45 the key hypothesis of this study: regions near strike-slip faults can represent a combination of 46 factors where significant basement subsidence is driven by sedimentary loading. The positive 47 feedback between focused sedimentation and flexural subsidence leads to the creation of a 48 49 previously unrecognized type of basin that we term "flexural strike-slip basin". We test our hypothesis by: 1) numerical forward modeling of a strike-slip system subjected to asymmetric 50 51 sedimentation, and 2) seismic reflection interpretation from the East Andaman Basin (EAB) in the Andaman Sea. 52

53 GEOLOGICAL SETTING OF THE ANDAMAN SEA

54 During the Cenozoic, the Andaman Sea formed a transtensional backarc basin when India 55 coupled with western Myanmar (Curray, 2005). Multiple strike-slip faults exist in the region, 56 including the active, dextral, Sagaing Fault (Fig. 1; 18 mm/yr, Maurin et al., 2010; Vigny et al., 57 2003) in the NE of the Andaman Sea that connects south-westward to the Andaman spreading 58 center (Curray, 2005). South of the Sagaing Fault is the inactive Andaman Basin Central (strike-59 slip) Fault (ABCF; Morley, 2016, 2017; Mahattanachai et al., 2021).

The Andaman Sea's transtensional motion led to subsidence and a submarine environment, causing the area to act as a sediment trap. Fault trends suggest the region near the ABCF experienced WNW-ESE extension in the Oligocene that shifted to NNW-SSE transtensional strike-slip motion during the early to mid-Miocene (lasting ~5 Myr; Morley, 2017). The ABCF follows a previous necking zone of hyperextended continental crust (7-10 km thick; Morley, 2017; Mahattanachai et al., 2021), probably because mantle heterogeneities influenced the fault location (Phillips et al., 2021). During strike-slip motion, the easterly Mergui Ridge (MR) was partially subaerial and, along with peninsular Thailand acted as an asymmetric clastic sediment source for
the EAB located along the ABCF (Mahattanachai et al., 2021).

The geometry of the EAB in relation to the ABCF is described in detail by Mahattanachai et al. (2021), who concluded that the long (>200 km), deep (>4 km), westward-thickening basin on the east side of the sub-vertical ABCF did not fit classic extensional or pull-apart basin characteristics.

73 MODEL SETUP AND EVOLUTION

74 We reproduce the key aspects of the ABCF region, namely that of a submarine environment, thin lithosphere, and asymmetric sedimentation, using a viscoplastic 100×8×120 km 75 76 (X, Y, Z) 3D box model via a two-way coupling of the tectonic code ASPECT (Fig. 2B,C; 77 Kronbichler et al., 2012; Heister et al., 2017; Glerum et al., 2018; Bangerth et al., 2019; supplementary text S1) and the surface processes code FastScape (Braun and Willett, 2013; Yuan 78 et al., 2019a, 2019b; text S2). We assume that a previous extensional event left the region 79 submarine with thinned 40 km thick lithosphere. The model is initialized with 4 km upper crust, 4 80 81 km lower crust, 32 km mantle lithosphere, and 80 km of asthenosphere (Fig. 2B; Fig. S1). In Fig. 82 2B, the east boundary is no-slip in all directions, the west boundary is no-slip in the Z direction, 20 mm/yr in Y to induce strike-slip motion, and is given a small (0.2 mm/yr) extensional 83 84 component in X which helps avoid bending-induced compression but does not affect the presented 85 results (Fig. S2). The north and south boundaries are periodic to simulate an infinitely long strikeslip fault with minimal along-strike variation, and the initial lithostatic pressure at a reference 86 location is prescribed on the bottom boundary to allow for outflow in response to sedimentation. 87 The strike-slip fault forms self-consistently above an initial perturbation of the Lithosphere-88 89 Asthenosphere Boundary (10% of lithosphere thickness) in the center of the model that acts as a 90 weak zone for deformation to localize. Accumulated plastic strain weakens the angle of friction
91 over an interval of 0 to 1 from an initial value of 30° to a final value of 7.5°, promoting brittle
92 localization.

The surface processes code FastScape is coupled to the top of the tectonic model (text S3). The model is submarine and transports sediment via diffusion with a coefficient of 500 m²/yr, consistent with open marine environments in previous modeling studies (Rouby et al., 2013). Sediment is supplied to the domain in two ways: 1) The entire surface experiences 0.2 mm/yr of pelagic/hemipelagic "sediment rain" sedimentation. 2) Ghost nodes (Fig. 2A) at the east boundary are uplifted each timestep to prescribe a constant sediment flux of 40 m²/yr, mimicking an offmodel sediment source similar to the MR for the EAB.

The models are run for 10 Myr, where the first 5 Myr represent the syn-tectonic stage with strike-slip motion and sedimentation to mimic the ~5 Myr the ABCF was active. The final 5 Myr constitute the post-tectonic stage with no prescribed motion or sediment supply, although sediment transport continues (for setup details, see text S4).

104 **REFERENCE MODEL RESULTS**

In the reference model, strain localizes on a vertical fault near the model center (~0.5 Myr; Fig. 2C). Both sides of the fault subside due to the influx of sediment, with the eastern side sinking faster (1.0 vs. 0.4 mm/yr at 4.75 Myr). By 5 Myr, the eastern side has subsided more than the western side (3.6 vs. 1.0 km), rotating the strike-slip fault to sub-vertical. After strike-slip motion and sedimentation have ceased, the subsidence rate declines to 0.08 mm/yr as the sediment hill at the eastern boundary is distributed across the surface. By 10 Myr, both sides have subsided another 0.4 km, showing a synformal thickening geometry along the fault. 112 The model indicates that a flexural strike-slip basin emerges due to sedimentation above 113 thin lithosphere close to a strike-slip fault, wherein the fault acts as a weak zone where subsidence 114 focuses. In contrast to classical half-grabens or pull-apart geometries, these basins form without a 115 significant extensional component (i.e., without crustal thinning).

To test controls on flexural strike-slip basin formation, we ran a series of models varying 116 117 in sedimentation rate, lithospheric thickness, and fault strength. Sedimentation rate was changed by altering the eastern side influx from 0 (i.e., only sediment rain) to 60 m²/yr (Fig. 3A-D). With 118 no lateral input, both sides subsided evenly forming a synformal basin that is thickest at the fault 119 120 (Fig. 3A). This suggests that reference model basin asymmetry is primarily affected by sedimentation, and not the initial perturbation. At higher lateral input, the eastern side subsided 121 122 more, from a maximum basement deflection of 0.9 km with no input to 5.7 km for 60 m^2/yr of input (Fig. 3D). The western side shows a less pronounced deflection with higher sediment input 123 (0.8 to 1.6 km), suggesting either that the sides are not fully decoupled or that more sediment 124 reached the western side. 125

The effects of varying the lithospheric thickness from 60 to 30 km (Fig. 3E-H) reduces the
basement flexural deflection on the eastern side of the fault from 4.6 km at 30 km to 1.4 km at 60
km, suggesting that deep flexural basins are unlikely to form in regions with thick lithosphere.

The final key variable is friction angle weakening (Fig. 3I-L). This shows that fault strength affects flexural subsidence (4.2 vs. 3.3 km deflection at 99% and 25% weakening, respectively), suggesting that regions with no weakening or without strike-slip motion (Fig. S3) would experience much less subsidence. Further, weak faults promote lithospheric decoupling and basin asymmetry related to asymmetric sedimentation.

135 FLEXURAL STRIKE-SLIP BASINS IN THE ANDAMAN SEA

Seismic data suggest the EAB as an asymmetric basin that spans both sides of the ABCF
(Mahattanachai et al., 2021). On the western side, basin thickness is fairly uniform (1-2 km; Fig.
1D). Along the fault on the eastern side the basin is substantially thicker (~5 km) and thins eastward
towards the sediment source areas of the MR and peninsular Thailand.

140 The Gulf of Moattama Basin (GMB) formed along the active Sagaing Fault, and is a more 141 ambiguous example where a deep (>10 km) depocenter formed in the last c. 6 Ma, although strikeslip fault activity in the area probably dates to the Oligocene (Morley and Arboit, 2019). Although 142 143 a gentle releasing bend geometry is present in the offshore fault trace, the basin did not undergo dramatic subsidence until the latest Miocene-Pliocene, when a major transgression followed 144 structural uplift and inversion of basins onshore (e.g., Morley and Alvey, 2015). We suggest the 145 axial sediment influx along the GMB resulted in the flexural strike-slip mechanism enhancing the 146 effects of the fault geometry. 147

The primary requirement for flexural strike-slip basin formation is weak or thin lithosphere and high sedimentation rates. There are two basin types controlled by the sedimentation pattern: 1) symmetric, where both sides receive a similar sediment load (Fig. 3A) and, 2) asymmetric, where the two distinct basin sides subside at different rates dependent on the sediment load they receive (Fig. 3C). In both types, the maximum flexure and basin depocenter occur along the fault trace, and the basin thins strike-perpendicularly.

154 The Andaman Sea provides likely examples for each flexural strike-slip basin type. 1) The 155 GMB, where northern axial sedimentation provided even sedimentation to each side of the fault and formed a *symmetric flexural basin*. While sedimentation was not purely uniform, a synformal geometry developed centered along the fault zone as in Fig. 3A. 2) The EAB (Fig. 1D), where perpendicular sedimentation from the east forced greater flexure on the eastern side of the fault, forming an *asymmetric flexural basin*. The EAB and reference model basin both have a change in sediment thickness across the fault, and basin thinning toward the sediment source. Furthermore, basin thicknesses (excluding post-tectonic sediment) along the fault's eastern side (4.5 vs. 5.2 km in the model and EAB, respectively) and western side (1.8 vs. 1.3 km) are comparable.

Despite the similarities, there are discrepancies between the modeled basin and the EAB. 163 Eastward sediment thinning is less pronounced in the model. As the basement slope is affected by 164 the lithosphere thickness and sediment load, three possible explanations are: 1) The ABCF is 165 capped by a regional unconformity with the post-tectonic sediments (Morley, 2017; Srisuriyon and 166 Morley, 2014), and the fault may have received more sediment while active than expected from 167 the seismic data. 2) As the ABCF formed within a necking zone and the lithosphere thickness is 168 169 not well constrained, it may have varied spatially (rheologically or in thickness) and been thinner than the 40 km value used here. 3) A more significant syn-strike-slip extensional component would 170 have further deepened the basin along the fault (Sobolev et al., 2005). Also, our models do not 171 172 consider basin translation with strike-slip motion. This is justified by comparison with the EAB, where the thicker eastern basin is located on the same side as the MR and is not affected by the 173 translation. For the western basin, the ~350 km long MR is larger than the total dextral strike-slip 174 translation of ~90 km from the early to mid-Miocene. 175

Here we focused on the Andaman Sea, but the key requirements for flexural strike-slip
basins – thin lithosphere, focused sedimentation, and a weak fault – are possibly also met in the
New Guinea Basin in the Bismarck Sea (Fig. S4; Martinez and Taylor, 1996) and the Yinggehai

Basin in the South China Sea (Fig. S5; Clift and Sun, 2006), although new seismic data are needed to test this. Another candidate is the Navassa Basin in the Jamaica Passage (Fig. S6; Corbeau et al., 2016), an asymmetric strike-slip basin that is not located between offset segments. The basin likely formed during strike-slip motion and does not contain older sedimentary units found in nearby basins along the fault.

184 CONCLUSION

This study suggests a new class of flexural basins that form along strike-slip faults. These basins are characterized by a fault-parallel depocenter and sediment that thins strikeperpendicularly. The basins can be classified in two types, which are both represented in the Andaman Sea: 1) *symmetric flexural basins*, where axial sedimentation causes a synformal shape, as seen in the Gulf of Moattama Basin, and 2) *asymmetric flexural basins*, where asymmetric sedimentation forces one basin side to subside more than the other, as seen in the East Andaman Basin.

Flexural strike-slip basins form due to a strike-slip fault that acts as a weak zone facilitating differential subsidence due to sediment loading. The fault decouples the lithosphere sides, allowing them to respond independently to the sediment load they receive, determining basin symmetry. For a flexural strike-slip basin to form, two criteria must be met: the strike-slip fault must 1) cut through thin lithosphere and 2) be subjected to a sufficient tectonic load.

197 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was conducted within the Helmholtz Young Investigators Group CRYSTALS (VH-NG-1132). We thank the Computational Infrastructure for Geodynamics (geodynamics.org), which is funded by the National Science Foundation under award EAR-0949446 and EAR- 1550901, for supporting the development of ASPECT. The work was supported by the NorthGerman Supercomputing Alliance (HLRN). Software and input files are found at
http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4893421. Figures were made using ParaView, InkScape, and
GeoMapApp. We thank PPTEP for providing the 2D seismic data. We also thank Anthony
Jourdon, Zhen Sun, and an anonymous reviewer for their helpful reviews.



207 Figure 1. A. Andaman Sea Map. ASSC: Andaman Sea Spreading Center. ABCF:

208 Andaman Basin Central Fault. EAB: East Andaman Basin. B. Depth to the top basement

in two-way travel time. C. Seismic data of the EAB. D. Depth interpretation of C. E.

210 Modeled basin. Post-tectonic sediment is computed by adding the basement subsidence



from 5 to 10 Myr to the topography at 5 Myr.

Figure 2. A. Surface processes model at 4.75 Myr and 2 times vertical exaggeration. 213 Sediment (beige) is the area between the topography (dashed line) and basement (solid 214 black line). Ghost nodes (gray), implemented for periodic advection along Y and to control 215 the sedimentary side input, surround the surface model and do not interact with the 216 217 tectonic model. B. Initial tectonic setup. Colors represent composition, white isotherms the temperature distribution. Arrows indicate the total velocity magnitude. LAB: Lithosphere-218 Asthenosphere Boundary. C. Cross-sections of the top 30 km of the tectonic model along S 219 to S' in B showcase the formation of a flexural strike-slip basin in response to 220 sedimentation. Subsidence rate at the Moho is indicated in red. See movies S1 and S2. 221





223 Figure 3. Basin formation when subject to variable sediment input (A-D), lithosphere

thickness (E-H), and fault weakening (I-L). Dashed lines along Z=0 show the initial model

225 elevation. Total sedimentation is the sediment thickness assuming even distribution across

the model.

227

222

228 **REFERENCES**

- Allen, P.A., and Allen, J.R., 2013, Basin Analysis 3rd edition:,
- 230 doi:10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004.
- Bangerth, W., Dannberg, J., Gassmoeller, R., and Heister, T., 2019, ASPECT v2.1.0: Zenodo,

- 232 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2653531.
- Braun, J., and Willett, S.D., 2013, A very efficient O(n), implicit and parallel method to solve the
 stream power equation governing fluvial incision and landscape evolution: Geomorphology,
 v. 180–181, p. 170–179, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2012.10.008.
- Clift, P.D., Brune, S., and Quinteros, J., 2015, Climate changes control offshore crustal structure
 at South China Sea continental margin: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 420, p. 66–
 72, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2015.03.032.
- Clift, P.D., and Sun, Z., 2006, The sedimentary and tectonic evolution of the Yinggehai-Song
 Hong basin and the southern Hainan margin, South China Sea: Implications for Tibetan
 uplift and monsoon intensification: Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, v. 111, p.
 1–28, doi:10.1029/2005JB004048.
- Corbeau, J., Rolandone, F., Leroy, S., Mercier de Lépinay, B., Meyer, B., Ellouz-Zimmermann,
 N., and Momplaisir, R., 2016, The northern Caribbean plate boundary in the Jamaica
 Passage: Structure and seismic stratigraphy: Tectonophysics, v. 675, p. 209–226,
 doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2016.03.022.
- Curray, J.R., 2005, Tectonics and history of the Andaman Sea region: Journal of Asian Earth
 Sciences, v. 25, p. 187–232, doi:10.1016/j.jseaes.2004.09.001.
- Dong, M., Zhang, J., Brune, S., Wu, S., Fang, G., and Yu, L., 2020, Quantifying Postrift Lower
 Crustal Flow in the Northern Margin of the South China Sea: Journal of Geophysical
 Research: Solid Earth, v. 125, doi:10.1029/2019JB018910.
- Garfunkel, Z., and Ben-Avraham, Z., 1996, The structure of the Dead Sea basin: Tectonophysics,
 v. 266, p. 155–176, doi:10.1016/S0040-1951(96)00188-6.
- Glerum, A., Thieulot, C., Fraters, M., Blom, C., and Spakman, W., 2018, Nonlinear
 viscoplasticity in ASPECT: Benchmarking and applications to subduction: Solid Earth, v. 9,
 p. 267–294, doi:10.5194/se-9-267-2018.
- Gurbuz, A., 2010, Geometric characteristics of pull-apart basins: Lithosphere, v. 2, p. 199–206,
 doi:10.1130/L36.1.
- Heister, T., Dannberg, J., Gassmöller, R., and Bangerth, W., 2017, High Accuracy Mantle
 Convection Simulation through Modern Numerical Methods II: Realistic Models and
 Problems.: Geophysical Journal International, v. 210, p. 833–851,
 doi:doi:10.1093/gji/ggx195.
- Jourdon, A., Kergaravat, C., Duclaux, G., and Huguen, C., 2021, Looking beyond kinematics:
 3D thermo-mechanical modelling reveals the dynamics of transform margins: Solid Earth,
 v. 12, p. 1211–1232, doi:10.5194/se-12-1211-2021.
- Kronbichler, M., Heister, T., and Bangerth, W., 2012, High Accuracy Mantle Convection
 Simulation through Modern Numerical Methods.: Geophysical Journal International, v. 191,
 doi:doi:10.1111/j.1365-246x.2012.05609.x.
- Mahattanachai, T., Morley, C.K., Charusiri, P., and Kanjanapayont, P., 2021, The Andaman
 Basin Central Fault Zone, Andaman Sea: Characteristics of a major deepwater strike-slip

- fault system in a polyphase rift: Marine and Petroleum Geology, p. 104997,
- doi:10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2021.104997.
- Mann, P., Hempton, M.R., Dwight, C.B., and Burke, K., 1983, Development of Pull-Apart
 Basins Authors: Paul Mann , Mark R . Hempton , Dwight C . Bradley and Kevin Burke
 Published by : The University of Chicago Press Stable URL :
- http://www.jstor.org/stable/30064017 . All use subject to JSTOR Terms and Conditio: The
 Journal of Geology, v. 91, p. 529–554.
- Martinez, F., and Taylor, B., 1996, Backarc spreading, rifting, and microplate rotation, between
 transform faults in the Manus Basin: Marine Geophysical Researches, v. 18, p. 203–224,
 doi:10.1007/BF00286078.
- Maurin, T., Masson, F., Rangin, C., Min, U.T., and Collard, P., 2010, First global positioning
 system results in northern Myanmar: Constant and localized slip rate along the Sagaing
 fault: Geology, v. 38, p. 591–594, doi:10.1130/G30872.1.
- Morley, C.K., 2017, Cenozoic rifting, passive margin development and strike-slip faulting in the
 Andaman Sea: A discussion of established v. New tectonic models: Geological Society
 Memoir, v. 47, p. 27–50, doi:10.1144/M47.4.
- Morley, C.K., 2016, The impact of multiple extension events, stress rotation and inherited fabrics
 on normal fault geometries and evolution in the Cenozoic rift basins of Thailand:
 Geological Society, London, Special Publications, v. 439, p. 413–445,
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1144/SP439.3.
- Morley, C.K., and Alvey, A., 2015, Is spreading prolonged, episodic or incipient in the Andaman
 Sea? Evidence from deepwater sedimentation: Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, v. 98, p.
 446–456, doi:10.1016/j.jseaes.2014.11.033.
- Morley, C.K., and Arboit, F., 2019, Dating the onset of motion on the Sagaing fault: Evidence
 from detrital zircon and titanite U-Pb geochronology from the North Minwun Basin,
 Myanmar: Geology, v. 47, p. 581–585, doi:10.1130/G46321.1.
- Morley, C.K., and Westaway, R., 2006, Subsidence in the super-deep Pattani and Malay basins
 of Southeast Asia: A coupled model incorporating lower-crustal flow in response to post-rift
 sediment loading: Basin Research, v. 18, p. 51–84, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2117.2006.00285.x.
- Phillips, T.B., Naliboff, J.B., Mccaffrey, K.J.W., Pan, S., and van Hunen, J., 2021, The influence
 of crustal strength on rift geometry and development Insights from 3D numerical
 modelling: [preprint], doi:https://doi.org/10.31223/X58K61.
- Provost, A.-S., and Houston, H., 2003, Stress orientations in northern and central California:
 Evidence for the evolution of frictional strength along the San Andreas plate boundary
 system: Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, v. 108, p. 1–18,
 doi:10.1029/2001jb001123.
- Rouby, D., Braun, J., Robin, C., Dauteuil, O., and Deschamps, F., 2013, Long-term stratigraphic
 evolution of Atlantic-type passive margins: A numerical approach of interactions between
 surface processes, flexural isostasy and 3D thermal subsidence: Tectonophysics, v. 604, p.
 83–103, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2013.02.003.

- Seeber, L., Emre, O., Cormier, M.H., Sorlien, C.C., McHugh, C.M.G., Polonia, A., Ozer, N., and
 Cagatay, N., 2004, Uplift and subsidence from oblique slip: The Ganos-Marmara bend of
 the North Anatolian Transform, Western Turkey: Tectonophysics, v. 391, p. 239–258,
 doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2004.07.015.
- SERPA, L., DE VOOGD, B., WRIGHT, L., WILLEMIN, J., OLIVER, J., HAUSER, E., and
 TROXEL, B., 1988, Structure of the central Death Valley pull-apart basin and vicinity from
 COCORP profiles in the southern Great Basin: GSA Bulletin, v. 100, p. 1437–1450,
 doi:10.1130/0016-7606(1988)100<1437:SOTCDV>2.3.CO;2.
- Sobolev, S. V., Petrunin, A., Garfunkel, Z., and Babeyko, A.Y., 2005, Thermo-mechanical
 model of the Dead Sea Transform: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 238, p. 78–95,
 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2005.06.058.
- Srisuriyon, K., and Morley, C.K., 2014, Pull-apart development at overlapping fault tips:
 Oblique rifting of a Cenozoic continental margin, northern Mergui Basin, Andaman Sea:
 Geosphere, v. 10, p. 80–106, doi:10.1130/GES00926.1.
- Vigny, C., Socquet, A., Rangin, C., Chamot-Rooke, N., Pubellier, M., Bouin, M.-N., Bertrand,
 G., and Becker, M., 2003, Present-day crustal deformation around Sagaing fault, Myanmar:
 Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, v. 108,
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JB001999.
- van Wijk, J., Axen, G., and Abera, R., 2017, Initiation, evolution and extinction of pull-apart
 basins: Implications for opening of the Gulf of California: Tectonophysics, v. 719–720, p.
 37–50, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2017.04.019.
- Yuan, X.P., Braun, J., Guerit, L., Rouby, D., and Cordonnier, G., 2019a, A New Efficient
 Method to Solve the Stream Power Law Model Taking Into Account Sediment Deposition:
 Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, v. 124, p. 1346–1365,
 doi:10.1029/2018JF004867.
- Yuan, X.P., Braun, J., Guerit, L., Simon, B., Bovy, B., Rouby, D., Robin, C., and Jiao, R.,
 2019b, Linking continental erosion to marine sediment transport and deposition: A new
 implicit and O(N) method for inverse analysis: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 524,
 p. 115728, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2019.115728.
- Zoback, M.D. et al., 1987, New evidence on the state of stress of the san andreas fault system:
 Science, v. 238, p. 1105–1111, doi:10.1126/science.238.4830.1105.

1 Supplementary Information

2 Flexural strike-slip basins

4 Derek Neuharth^{1,2}, Sascha Brune^{1,2}, Anne Glerum¹, Chris K. Morley³, Xiaoping Yuan^{1,4}, 5 Jean Braun^{1,2}

6

3

- ⁷ ¹GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Telegrafenberg, 14473 Potsdam, Germany.
- 8 ²Institute of Geosciences, University of Potsdam, Germany.
- 9 ³PTTEP, Enco, Vibhavadi-Rangsit Road, Chatuchak, Bangkok, 10900, Thailand
- ⁴School of Earth Sciences, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan, China

11

12 Contents of this file

- 13 Text S1 ASPECT methods
- 14 Text S2 FastScape methods
- 15 Text S3 ASPECT/FastScape coupling
- 16 Text S4 Model setup
- 17 Table S1
- 18 Table S2
- 19 Figure S1
- 20 Figure S2
- 21 Figure S3
- 22 Figure S4
- 23 Figure S5
- 24 Figure S6
- 25
- 26 Additional supporting information (uploaded separately)
- 27 Video S1
- 28 Video S2
- 29

30 Text S1: ASPECT Methods

31 **1.1 Governing equations**

We perform numerical simulations of a 3D strike-slip system using the open source finite-element code ASPECT (Advanced Solver for Problems in Earth's ConvecTion, version 2.3.0-pre, commit 886749d; Heister et al., 2017; Kronbichler et al., 2012; Rose et al., 2017; Bangerth et al., 2019). ASPECT solves the following incompressible conservation equations assuming an infinite Prandtl number (i.e., without the inertial term),

$$-\nabla \cdot (2\eta \dot{\varepsilon}) + \nabla P = \rho \mathbf{g}, \qquad (1)$$

$$\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}) = 0, \qquad (2)$$

39
$$\overline{\rho}C_{p}\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla T\right) - \nabla \cdot k\nabla T = \overline{\rho}H$$
(3)

$$40 \qquad \qquad + \alpha T \left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla P \right),$$

41
$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{c}_i}{\partial \mathbf{t}} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{c}_i = \mathbf{q}_i , \qquad (4)$$

where equation (1) represents the conservation of momentum, with η the effective viscosity, $\dot{\epsilon}$ the 42 deviator of the strain rate tensor (defined as $\frac{1}{2}(\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T))$, \mathbf{u} the velocity, P the pressure, ρ the 43 density, and g gravity. Equation (2) describes the conservation of volume. Equation (3) represents 44 the conservation of energy where $\overline{\rho}$ is the reference adiabatic density, C_p the specific heat capacity, 45 T the temperature, k the thermal conductivity, H the radiogenic heating, and α the thermal 46 expansivity. As right-hand-side heating terms, we include radioactive heating and adiabatic 47 heating, in that order. Finally, we solve the advection equation (4) for each compositional field c_i 48 (e.g., upper crust, lower crust, and accumulated plastic strain) with reaction rate q_i nonzero only 49 50 for the plastic strain field.

51 1.2 Rheology

52 We use a visco-plastic rheology (Glerum et al., 2018), which additionally includes plastic 53 weakening based on accumulated plastic strain. In the viscous regime, we use a composite of 54 diffusion and dislocation creep (Karato and Wu, 1993), formulated as:

55
$$\eta_{\text{eff}}^{\text{diff}|\text{dis}} = \frac{1}{2} A_{\text{diff}|\text{dis}}^{\frac{-1}{n}} d^{\text{m}} \dot{\varepsilon}_{\text{e}}^{\frac{1-n}{n}} \exp\left(\frac{\left(E_{\text{diff}|\text{dis}} + PV_{\text{diff}|\text{dis}}\right)}{nRT}\right), \tag{5}$$

where A is a scalar prefactor, d the grain size, $\dot{\epsilon}_{e}$ the square root of second invariant of the deviatoric strain rate, E the activation energy, P the pressure, V the activation volume, R the gas constant, T the temperature, and n the stress exponent. For diffusion, n = 1 and the equation becomes independent of strain rate. For dislocation creep, the grain size exponent m vanishes, rendering dislocation creep independent of grain size. Values for A, E, V, and n used in our models are composition-dependent and can be found in supplementary Table S1.

In the plastic regime, when viscous stresses exceed the yield stress, we use the Drucker-Prager
yield criterion (Davis and Selvadurai, 2002). The effective plastic viscosity is given by

64
$$\eta_{\text{eff}}^{\text{pl}} = \frac{\frac{6C\cos\phi}{\sqrt{3}(3-\sin\phi)} + \frac{6P\sin\phi}{\sqrt{3}(3-\sin\phi)}}{2\dot{\varepsilon}_{e}}, \qquad (6)$$

where C is the cohesion and ϕ the internal angle of friction. The accumulation of plastic strain is tracked as a compositional field. This field is used to linearly weaken ϕ from an initial value of 30° to a final value of 7.5° over the accumulated plastic strain interval of 0 to 1. The time-integrated value of the strain reaction rate q_i is approximated as $\dot{\epsilon}_e \cdot dt$ when plastic yielding occurs (with dt the current timestep size).

70 Text S2: FastScape Methods

71 FastScape is a landscape evolution code that changes the topographic surface through uplift, advection, the stream-power law, and hillslope diffusion (Braun and Willett, 2013). It can 72 73 additionally deposit fluvial sediment (Yuan et al., 2019a) and include a marine component, which 74 handles marine sediment (sand/silt) transport and deposition, and layer compaction based on 75 sand/silt porosity (Yuan et al., 2019b). It uses a 2D horizontal mesh with a uniform resolution. For 76 simplicity, we here assume that the entire model surface is submarine, with uniform properties (i.e., sand and silt transport coefficients are the same), and that there is no compaction (porosity is 77 78 zero). Hence, FastScape deforms the surface through the uplift rate and marine diffusion equation 79 only as

80
$$\frac{dh}{dt} = \mathbf{U} + K_m \nabla^2 h, \qquad (7)$$

81 where h is the topographic elevation, \mathbf{U} the uplift rate and K_m the marine sediment diffusion 82 coefficient.

83 Text S3: ASPECT/FastScape coupling

In this paper we use a two-way coupling of the tectonic ASPECT code and the landscape evolution 84 85 FastScape code. For this coupling, a FastScape shared library is called by an ASPECT plugin to deform its surface as described in the previous section. The plugin has three main components: 1) 86 Copy the surface height and velocity values from ASPECT. 2) Initialize and run FastScape at a 87 resolution equivalent to or greater than the one used at the surface of ASPECT. If it is the first 88 89 timestep of the tectonic model run, FastScape is initialized using height and velocity values from ASPECT. In subsequent timesteps, as FastScape runs separately and can be at a higher resolution 90 than ASPECT, only the velocity values from ASPECT are transferred to FastScape. Before 91

92 running FastScape, the initial topography values are saved. After running FastScape, the new and
93 previous topography are compared to determine a nodal vertical (Z) velocity,

94
$$\mathbf{V_z} = \frac{\mathbf{h_c} - \mathbf{h_p}}{d\mathbf{t_a}},\tag{8}$$

where h_p is the surface height at the start of the timestep (previous surface), and h_f the surface 95 96 height after FastScape has been run (current surface), and dt_a the ASPECT timestep. 3) Using the overarching mesh deformation functionality (see Rose et al., 2017), the Z velocity field is 97 98 interpolated onto the ASPECT surface to determine the displacement of the mesh surface and interior. From there, ASPECT responds to the change in topography calculated by FastScape due 99 to the induced change in forces that is included in the Stokes equations. At the beginning of the 100 101 next timestep, the updated velocities computed in the previous timestep are sent to FastScape once again. 102

The FastScape mesh includes an additional element-size layer of ghost nodes compared to the ASPECT surface mesh. The values of surface height on these nodes are not considered when interpolating the surface back to ASPECT and are used primarily to avoid FastScape boundary artifacts being sent to the ASPECT model (e.g., the boundaries do not uplift from advected topography). To avoid possible erroneous sediment flux out or into the model from artificial slopes, each timestep the ghost nodes are updated with the topography and velocity values of the nearest inward node (an ASPECT boundary node).

Besides passing ASPECT's uplift velocities, we use the plugin's FastScape interface to supply additional input to the surface process model in two ways: 1) to add marine background sedimentation via the sediment rain effect, and 2) to add a boundary sediment flux using the ghost nodes. For the sediment rain, at each nodal point we update FastScape with a flat height increase every ASPECT timestep. Through the diffusion component in equation (7), we prescribe a constantsediment flux at the boundary, assuming that

116

$$\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathbf{S} \,, \tag{9}$$

where \mathbf{Q} is the sediment flux and S the slope. Since K_m and Q are user-set parameters, to achieve this we alter S by uplifting the boundary ghost nodes every ASPECT timestep so that \mathbf{Q} remains constant.

120 Text S4: Model setup

In this study we examine how a strike-slip fault responds to sedimentation. We therefore set up a 121 3D box model with dimensions $100 \times 8 \times 120$ km (X, Y, and Z, where Z is the vertical component) 122 and 5 compositions representing a wet quartzite upper crust (Rutter and Brodie, 2004), wet 123 anorthite lower crust (Rybacki et al., 2006), dry olivine lithospheric mantle, wet olivine 124 asthenosphere (Hirth and Kohlstedt, 2003), and a sediment layer that has rheologic parameters 125 identical to wet quartzite, but with density and temperature parameters consistent with sediment 126 127 (Sippel et al., 2017). The total crustal thickness is set to 8 km (4 km upper crust, 4 km lower crust) 128 based on crustal estimates of the area (7-10 km; Mahattanachai et al., 2021). The lithospheric mantle extends between the Moho and the lithosphere-asthenosphere boundary (LAB) at 40 km 129 130 depth. The LAB depth, like the crust, has been perturbed by a previous extensional period. The remaining material beneath the LAB is considered asthenosphere (Fig. S1). While there is no initial 131 sediment layer, the top boundary is fixed to a sediment composition so that any top-inflow of 132 material due to topography changes other than uplift is sediment. 133

The ASPECT model mesh consists of two element sizes: 1 km and 2 km. The upper 8 km of the model is refined at 1 km to best resolve the crust and the forming sediment layer. This highresolution area additionally extends to a depth of 35 k from X = 42 km to X = 52 km to better resolve the strike-slip fault. All other areas are kept at 2 km resolution.

The initial temperature above the LAB is determined by a steady-state geotherm (Turcotte and Schubert, 2013), and below by a mantle adiabat. For simplicity, an initial weak zone is seeded through a small perturbation: we raise the LAB locally by 10% of the lithospheric mantle thickness.
We fix the top boundary temperature at 0 °C and the bottom boundary at the temperature initially

142 determined from the mantle adiabat at that depth. All other boundaries are set to zero heat-flux.

The coupled model is run for 10 Myr, where the model in the first 5 Myr includes non-zero velocity 143 boundary conditions. During this time, the western boundary is given a strike-slip component of 144 20 mm/yr (in Y), and an extensional component of 0.2 mm/yr (in X), while the Z-component of 145 velocity is set to no-slip. This gives a total of 100 km of dextral strike-slip motion and 1 km of 146 147 extension. The small extensional component is introduced to avoid compressional pop-ups that form at the shear zone as the lithosphere subsides due to the sediment load (Fig. S2). The exact 148 extensional value is chosen to accommodate horizontal stress forces related to isostatic 149 150 compensation. From 5-10 Myr, extension and strike-slip motion stop as the western boundary is set to no-slip in all directions. All other boundary conditions are constant for the entire model run, 151 with the eastern boundary being no-slip in all directions, the north and south boundaries set to 152 periodic to simulate an infinitely long strike-slip fault, the initial lithostatic pressure computed at 153 a reference location prescribed on the bottom boundary to allow for outflow in response to 154 155 sedimentation, and the top boundary deformed through the use of FastScape.

FastScape is set up with an arbitrarily high sea level so that the entire model is considered submarine. This setup leads to a model with no acting stream power law, and sediment being moved solely through marine sediment diffusion. For simplicity, we additionally assume that there 159 is no compaction and no difference between sand and silt. As such, we use a diffusion coefficient 160 of 500 m^2/y for both, a value consistent with open marine environments in previous modelling studies (e.g., Rouby et al., 2013). During the syn-strike-slip phase of the tectonic model (0-5 Myr) 161 we supply sediment to the model in two ways: 1) To account for pelagic/hemipelagic 162 sedimentation (sediment rain), we deposit at a constant and uniform sedimentation rate of 0.2 163 mm/yr. 2) We assume there is an asymmetric off-model source of sediment, similar to the eastern 164 Mergui Ridge for the East Andaman Basin, that inputs sediment into the system from the eastern 165 boundary at a rate of 40 m²/yr. This is done through equation (9), wherein we uplift the ghost nodes 166 167 at each timestep so that a constant flux is prescribed through marine diffusion. After this syntectonic stage spanning 5 Myr, sediment supply to the system is halted, although marine diffusion 168 continues to work on the topography. 169

| Parameter | Symbol | Units | Sediment | Upper crust | Lower crust | Lithospheric mantle | Asthenosphere |
|--|-------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Reference density (at surface conditions) | ρ ₀ | kg m ⁻³ | 2520 | 2700 | 2850 | 3280 | 3300 |
| Thermal expansivity | α | K ⁻¹ | $3.7 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $2.7 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $2.7 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | 3.0.10-5 | 3.0.10-5 |
| Thermal diffusivity | к | $m^2 s^{-1}$ | $7.28 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | $9.26 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | $5.85 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | 8.38.10 ⁻⁷ | 8.33·10 ⁻⁷ |
| Heat capacity | Cp | J kg ⁻¹ K ⁻¹ | 1200 | 1200 | 1200 | 1200 | 1200 |
| Heat production | Н | W m ⁻³ | $1.2 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | $1.5 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | $0.2 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Cohesion | С | Pa | 20.10^{6} | 20.10^{6} | 20.10^{6} | 20.10^{6} | 20.10^{6} |
| Internal friction angle (unweakened) | ф | o | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Strain weakening interval | - | - | [0,1] | [0,1] | [0,1] | [0,1] | [0,1] |
| Strain weakening factor | $\phi_{\rm wf}$ | - | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| Creep properties | | | Sediment | Wet quartzite | Wet anorthite | Dry olivine | Wet olivine |
| Stress exponent (dis) | n | - | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Constant prefactor (dis) | A _{dis} | Pa ⁻ⁿ s ⁻¹ | 8.57·10 ⁻²⁸ | 8.57·10 ⁻²⁸ | 7.13.10-18 | 6.52·10 ⁻¹⁶ | 2.12.10-15 |
| Activation energy (dis) | E _{dis} | J mol ⁻¹ | $223 \cdot 10^{3}$ | $223 \cdot 10^{3}$ | $345 \cdot 10^3$ | 530·10 ³ | $480 \cdot 10^3$ |
| Activation volume (dis) | V _{dis} | m ³ mol ⁻¹ | 0 | 0 | 38·10 ⁻⁶ | 18·10 ⁻⁶ | 11.10-6 |
| Constant prefactor (diff) | A_{diff} | Pa ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ | 5.79·10 ⁻¹⁹ | 5.79·10 ⁻¹⁹ | 2.99·10 ⁻²⁵ | 2.25.10-9 | 1.5.10-9 |
| Activation energy (diff) | E_{diff} | J mol ⁻¹ | $223 \cdot 10^{3}$ | $223 \cdot 10^{3}$ | $159 \cdot 10^{3}$ | $375 \cdot 10^3$ | $335 \cdot 10^3$ |
| Activation volume (diff) | V_{diff} | m ³ mol ⁻¹ | 0 | 0 | 38.10-6 | 6.10-6 | $4 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| Grain size (diff) | d | m | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| Grain size exponent (diff) | m | - | 2.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 0 | 0 |

Table S1: ASPECT model parameters. Abbreviations: dis – dislocation creep, diff – diffusion

172 creep.

| Parameter | Symbol | Unit | Value |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-------|-------|
| Marine sand transport coefficient | K _{sand} | m²/yr | 500 |
| Surface sand porosity | φ_{sand} | - | 0 |
| Sand e-folding depth | Zsand | m | 0 |
| Marine silt transport coefficient | K _{silt} | m²/yr | 500 |
| Surface silt porosity | φ_{silt} | - | 0 |
| Silt e-folding depth | Z _{silt} | m | 0 |
| Sand-shale ratio | F | - | 1 |
| Thickness of transport layer | L | m | 100 |
| Sea level | h _{sea} | m | 5000 |

Table S2: FastScape model parameters.

175

178

173



Figure S1: Initial density (black) and temperature (red) profiles with depth. Colored backgrounds
represent the initial compositions, with light gray representing the upper crust, dark gray the lower

crust, dark blue the mantle lithosphere, and light blue the asthenosphere.





- **Figure S2:** Comparison showing the reference model with A) a 0.2 mm/yr extensional component.
- 181 B) no extensional component, leading to the formation of a small compressional pop-up in the
- 182 center.



Figure S3: Comparison of the FastScape basement and topography from two models runs: The black curves represent the reference model; the dotted red curves show the reference model without strike-slip motion. The dashed blue line represents the initial model elevation, the green line indicates the total subsidence in the reference model with strike-slip motion, and the yellow line shows the difference in subsidence when comparing models with and without strike-slip motion. In the case without strike-slip motion, maximum subsidence and basin asymmetry are both greatly reduced.





Figure S4: Regional map of the Manus back-arc region, with fault locations based on Fig. 1 in
Martinez and Taylor, 1996. Black lines indicate strike-slip faults, parallel orange lines spreading
centers, dashed red lines lava fields, and blue lines major rivers. This figure was made using
GeoMappApp (<u>www.geomapapp.org</u>; Ryan et al., 2009).



Fault locations based on Fig. 10 in Noda, 2013. Black lines show faults, blue lines major rivers,
and the Yinggehai basin is outlined in the dashed orange circle. This figure was made using
GeoMappApp (www.geomapapp.org; Ryan et al., 2009).



Figure S6: Regional map of the Jamaica Passage showing the Navassa strike-slip basin along the
Enriquillo-Plantain-Garden Fault Zone. Fault locations based on Fig. 6 in Corbeau et al., 2016.
This figure was made using GeoMappApp (<u>www.geomapapp.org</u>; Ryan et al., 2009).

208

Video S1: Full evolution of the tectonic reference model (Fig. 2C,K,G). Colors represent composition where tan is sediment, light gray is upper crust, dark gray is lower crust, dark blue is mantle lithosphere, and light blue is the asthenosphere. The white lines are temperature contours, gray-scale the strain rate, and arrows indicate the total velocity magnitude.

Video S2: Evolution of the middle slice of the top 30 km of the reference tectonic model. Colors
represent composition where tan is sediment, light gray is upper crust, dark gray is lower crust,
dark blue is mantle lithosphere, and light blue is the asthenosphere. The white lines are temperature

- contours, gray-scale the strain rate, and red arrows indicate the subsidence rate (Z velocity) along
- the 8 km depth contour.
- 223
- 224 **References**
- Bangerth, W., Dannberg, J., Gassmoeller, R., and Heister, T., 2019, ASPECT v2.1.0: Zenodo,
 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2653531.
- Braun, J., and Willett, S.D., 2013, A very efficient O(n), implicit and parallel method to solve the
 stream power equation governing fluvial incision and landscape evolution: Geomorphology,
 v. 180–181, p. 170–179, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2012.10.008.
- 230 Corbeau, J., Rolandone, F., Leroy, S., Mercier de Lépinay, B., Meyer, B., Ellouz-Zimmermann,
- N., and Momplaisir, R., 2016, The northern Caribbean plate boundary in the Jamaica
- Passage: Structure and seismic stratigraphy: Tectonophysics, v. 675, p. 209–226,
 doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2016.03.022.
- Davis, R.O., and Selvadurai, A.P., 2002, Plasticity and Geomechanics: Cambridge University
 Press.
- Glerum, A., Thieulot, C., Fraters, M., Blom, C., and Spakman, W., 2018, Nonlinear
 viscoplasticity in ASPECT: Benchmarking and applications to subduction: Solid Earth, v. 9,
 p. 267–294, doi:10.5194/se-9-267-2018.
- Heister, T., Dannberg, J., Gassmöller, R., and Bangerth, W., 2017, High Accuracy Mantle
 Convection Simulation through Modern Numerical Methods II: Realistic Models and
 Problems.: Geophysical Journal International, v. 210, p. 833–851,
- 242 doi:doi:10.1093/gji/ggx195.
- Hirth, G., and Kohlstedt, D., 2003, Rheology of the upper mantle and the mantle wedge: a view
 from the experimentalists: Inside the Subudction Factory Geophysical Monograph
 (American Geophysical Union), v. 183.
- Karato, S., and Wu, P., 1993, Rheology the Upper Mantle : Synthesis: v. 260.
- Kronbichler, M., Heister, T., and Bangerth, W., 2012, High Accuracy Mantle Convection
 Simulation through Modern Numerical Methods.: Geophysical Journal International, v. 191,
 doi:doi:10.1111/j.1365-246x.2012.05609.x.
- Mahattanachai, T., Morley, C.K., Charusiri, P., and Kanjanapayont, P., 2021, The Andaman
 Basin Central Fault Zone, Andaman Sea: Characteristics of a major deepwater strike-slip
 fault system in a polyphase rift: Marine and Petroleum Geology, p. 104997,
- doi:10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2021.104997.
- Martinez, F., and Taylor, B., 1996, Backarc spreading, rifting, and microplate rotation, between
 transform faults in the Manus Basin: Marine Geophysical Researches, v. 18, p. 203–224,
 doi:10.1007/BF00286078.
- 257 Noda, A., 2013, Strike-Slip Basin Its Configuration and Sedimentary Facies: Mechanism of

- Sedimentary Basin Formation Multidisciplinary Approach on Active Plate Margins,
 doi:10.5772/56593.
- Rose, I., Buffett, B., and Heister, T., 2017, Stability and Accuracy of Free Surface Time
 Integration in Viscous Flows.: Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, v. 262, p. 90–
 100, doi:doi:10.1016/j.pepi.2016.11.007.
- Rouby, D., Braun, J., Robin, C., Dauteuil, O., and Deschamps, F., 2013, Long-term stratigraphic
 evolution of Atlantic-type passive margins: A numerical approach of interactions between
 surface processes, flexural isostasy and 3D thermal subsidence: Tectonophysics, v. 604, p.
 83–103, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2013.02.003.
- Rutter, E.H., and Brodie, K.H., 2004, Experimental grain size-sensitive flow of hot-pressed
 Brazilian quartz aggregates: Journal of Structural Geology, v. 26, p. 2011–2023,
 doi:10.1016/j.jsg.2004.04.006.
- Ryan, W.B.F. et al., 2009, Global Multi-Resolution Topography synthesis: Geochemistry,
 Geophysics, Geosystems, v. 10, doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GC002332.
- Rybacki, E., Gottschalk, M., Wirth, R., and Dresen, G., 2006, Influence of water fugacity and
 activation volume on the flow properties of fine-grained anorthite aggregates: Journal of
 Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, v. 111, doi:10.1029/2005JB003663.
- Sippel, J., Meeßen, C., Cacace, M., Mechie, J., Fishwick, S., Heine, C., Scheck-Wenderoth, M.,
 and Strecker, M., 2017, The Kenya rift revisited: Insights into lithospheric strength through
 data-driven 3-D gravity and thermal modelling: Solid Earth, v. 8, p. 45–81, doi:10.5194/se8-45-2017.
- 279 Turcotte, D.L., and Schubert, G., 2013, Geodynamics: Cambridge University Press.
- Yuan, X.P., Braun, J., Guerit, L., Rouby, D., and Cordonnier, G., 2019a, A New Efficient
 Method to Solve the Stream Power Law Model Taking Into Account Sediment Deposition:
 Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, v. 124, p. 1346–1365,
 doi:10.1029/2018JF004867.
- Yuan, X.P., Braun, J., Guerit, L., Simon, B., Bovy, B., Rouby, D., Robin, C., and Jiao, R.,
 2019b, Linking continental erosion to marine sediment transport and deposition: A new
 implicit and O(N) method for inverse analysis: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 524,
 p. 115728, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2019.115728.
- 288