This manuscript is a preprint that has been accepted for publication in GEOLOGY. The final version of this manuscript is available via the 'Peer-reviewed Publication DOI' link on the right-hand side of this webpage. Please feel free to contact any of the authors; we welcome feedback.

Flexural strike-slip basins

Derek Neuharth^{1,2}, Sascha Brune^{1,2}, Anne Glerum¹, Chris K. Morley³, Xiaoping Yuan^{4,1},

Jean Braun^{1,2}

4	¹ GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Telegrafenberg, 14473 Potsdam, Germany.
5	² Institute of Geosciences, University of Potsdam, Germany.
6	³ PTTEP, Enco, Vibhavadi-Rangsit Road, Chatuchak, Bangkok, 10900, Thailand
7	⁴ School of Earth Sciences, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan, China
8	
9	ABSTRACT
10	Stailed align faulta and allogaically according with gull apart basing where continental amat is
11	Strike-slip faults are classically associated with pull-apart basins where continental crust is
12	thinned between two laterally offset fault segments. We propose a subsidence mechanism to
13	explain the formation of a new type of basin where no substantial segment offset or syn-strike-slip
14	thinning is observed. Such "flexural strike-slip basins" form due to a sediment load creating
15	accommodation space by bending the lithosphere. We use a two-way coupling between the
16	geodynamic code ASPECT and surface-processes code FastScape to show that flexural strike-slip
17	basins emerge if sediment is deposited on thin lithosphere close to a strike-slip fault. These
18	conditions were met at the Andaman Basin Central fault (Andaman Sea, Indian Ocean), where
19	seismic reflection data provide evidence of a laterally extensive flexural basin with a depocenter
20	located parallel to the strike-slip fault trace.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

26 MOTIVATION

Near plate boundaries, accommodation space for sedimentary basins is created by (1) lithospheric stretching or cooling, which controls rift-basin formation at divergent boundaries, and (2) lithospheric flexure such as in foreland basins in convergent settings and cratonic sag basins in continental interiors (Allen and Allen, 2013). Pull-apart basins at transform plate boundaries are thought to be related to the first process.

Pull-apart basins form between laterally offset strike-slip fault segments (Mann et al., 1983; Gürbüz, 2010). During strike-slip motion, the area between the offset faults is extended and basement subsidence occurs in this area due to crustal thinning (van Wijk et al., 2017). Pull-apart basins lengthen over time and form as long thin basins with a depocenter that is bounded by the strike- or oblique-slip segments (Seeber et al., 2004). While there are many pull-apart basin examples (e.g., the Dead Sea Basin: Garfunkel and Ben-Avraham, 1996; Death Valley Basin: Serpa et al., 1988), there has not been much discussion on other types of strike-slip basins.

Flexural basins form when an overlying load deflects the lithosphere, e.g., during mountain building, where an orogenic load creates accommodation space for sediment infill. However, under conditions without an orogenic load, basement subsidence may be a consequence of lower-crustal flow triggered by enhanced sedimentation in deep basins (Morley and Westaway, 2006; Clift et al., 2015), e.g., the fans of the Red River (Clift and Sun, 2006) and Pearl River (Dong et al., 2020; both examples are located at the northern continental margin of the South China Sea).

45 We infer that the creation of sedimentation-induced accommodation space requires and is 46 enhanced by (1) an easily deformable tectonic environment, and (2) focused sedimentation. Both 47 can occur in regions of prior tectonic subsidence. Furthermore, because strike-slip faults may 48 represent highly weakened plate boundaries (Zoback et al., 1987; Provost and Houston, 2003) and 49 transform continental margins commonly follow a phase of thinning (Jourdon et al., 2021), we 50 formulate the key hypothesis of this study: regions near strike-slip faults can represent a 51 combination of factors whereby significant basement subsidence is driven by sedimentary loading. 52 The positive feedback between focused sedimentation and flexural subsidence leads to the creation 53 of a previously unrecognized type of basin that we term "flexural strike-slip basin". We test our 54 hypothesis by (1) numerical forward modeling of a strike-slip system subjected to asymmetric

sedimentation, and (2) seismic reflection interpretation from the East Andaman Basin (EAB) in
the Andaman Sea (Indian Ocean).



57 58

59

60

61

Figure 1. (A) Andaman Sea map. ASSC—Andaman Sea spreading center; ABCF—Andaman Basin Central fault; EAB—East Andaman Basin. (B) Depth to the top of the basement in two-way travel time. (C) Seismic data of the EAB. See B for profile location. (D) Depth interpretation of C. (E) Modeled basin. Post-tectonic sediment is computed by adding basement subsidence from 5 to 10 m.y. to the topography at 5 m.y.

62 GEOLOGICAL SETTING OF THE ANDAMAN SEA

During the Cenozoic, the Andaman Sea formed a transtensional backarc basin when India
coupled with western Myanmar (Curray, 2005). Multiple strike-slip faults exist in the region,
including the active, dextral, Sagaing fault (Fig. 1; 18 mm/yr: Vigny et al., 2003; Maurin et al.,
2010) in the northeast of the Andaman Sea that connects southwestward to the Andaman spreading

center (Curray, 2005). South of the Sagaing fault is the inactive Andaman Basin Central (strikeslip) fault (ABCF; Morley, 2016, 2017; Mahattanachai et al., 2021).

69 The Andaman Sea's transfersional motion led to subsidence and a submarine environment, 70 causing the area to act as a sediment trap. Fault trends suggest the region near the ABCF expe-71 rienced WNW-ESE extension in the Oligocene that shifted to NNW-SSE transtensional strike-slip 72 motion during the early to mid-Miocene (lasting ~ 5 m.y.; Morley, 2017). The ABCF follows a 73 previous necking zone of hyperextended continental crust (7-10 km thick; Morley, 2017; 74 Mahattanachai et al., 2021). During strike-slip motion, the easterly Mergui Ridge was partially 75 subaerial and, along with peninsular Thailand, acted as an asymmetric clastic sediment source for 76 the EAB located along the ABCF (Mahattanachai et al., 2021).

77 The geometry of the EAB in relation to the ABCF is described in detail by Mahattanachai et al.
78 (2021), who concluded that the long (>200 km), deep (>4 km), westward-thickening basin on the
79 east side of the sub-vertical fault did not fit classic extensional or pull-apart basin characteristics.

80 MODEL SETUP AND EVOLUTION

81 We reproduce the key aspects of the ABCF region, namely that of a submarine environment, 82 thin lithosphere, and asymmetric sedimentation, using a viscoplastic $100 \times 8 \times 120$ km (X, Y, Z) 83 three-dimensional box model via a two-way coupling of the tectonic code ASPECT (https:// 84 aspect.geodynamics.org, version 2.3.0-pre, commit 886749d) (Figs. 2B and 2C; Kronbichler et al., 2012; Heister et al., 2017; Glerum et al., 2018; Bangerth et al., 2019; Text S1 in the Supplemental 85 86 Material) and the surface-processes code FastScape (https://fastscape.org) (Braun and Willett, 87 2013; Yuan et al., 2019b, 2019a; Text S2). We assume that a previous extensional event left the region submarine with thinned, 40-km-thick lithosphere. The model is initialized with 4 km of 88 89 upper crust, 4 km of lower crust, 32 km of mantle lithosphere, and 80 km of asthenosphere (Fig. 90 2B; Fig. S1 in the Supplemental Material). The eastern boundary (right edge in Fig. 2B) has no 91 slip in any direction, the western boundary (left edge in Fig. 2B) has no slip in the Z direction, 20 92 mm/yr in the Y direction to induce strike-slip motion, and is given a small (0.2 mm/yr) extensional 93 component in the X direction that helps avoid bending-induced compression but does not affect 94 the presented results (Fig. S2). To simulate an infinitely long strike-slip fault with minimal along-95 strike variation, the northern and southern boundaries are periodic, in that any material advected 96 out of the northern boundary will flow into the model from the southern boundary, or vice-versa.



97 Figure 2. (A) Surface-processes model at 4.75 m.y. and 2× vertical exaggeration. Sediment (beige) is the area 98 between topography (dash-dot line) and basement (solid black line). Ghost nodes (gray) are a single cell-size 99 (1 km in X and Y) layer surrounding the surface processes model implemented for periodic advection along 100 the Y direction and to control sedimentary side input, surround the surface model and do not interact with 101 the tectonic model. (B) Initial tectonic setup. Colors represent composition; white isotherms represent 102 temperature distribution. Arrows indicate total velocity magnitude. The northern and southern boundaries 103 are periodic, indicating that material flow out one boundary will become inflow on the opposing boundary. 104 LAB—lithosphere-asthenosphere boundary. (C) Cross sections of the top 30 km of the tectonic model along 105 S-S' in B showcase the formation of a flexural strike-slip basin in response to sedimentation. Subsidence rate 106 at the Moho is indicated in red. See Movies S1 and S2 in the Supplemental Material.

108 The initial lithostatic pressure at a reference location is prescribed on the bottom boundary to allow 109 for outflow in response to sedimentation. The strike-slip fault forms self-consistently above an 110 initial perturbation of the lithosphere-asthenosphere boundary (10% reduction of lithosphere 111 thickness) in the center of the model that acts as a weak zone for deformation to localize. 112 Accumulated plastic strain over an interval of 0–1 weakens the angle of friction from an initial 113 value of 30° to a final value of 7.5°, promoting brittle localization.

The surface-processes code FastScape is coupled to the top of the tectonic model (Text S3). The model is submarine and sediment is transported via diffusion with a coefficient of 500 m²/yr, consistent with open-marine environments in previous modeling studies (Rouby et al., 2013). Sediment is supplied to the domain in two ways: (1) the entire surface experiences 0.2 mm/yr of pelagic and/or hemipelagic "sediment rain" sedimentation; and (2) ghost nodes (Fig. 2A) at the eastern boundary are uplifted each time step to prescribe a constant sediment flux of 40 m²/yr,
mimicking an off-model sediment source similar to the Mergui Ridge for the EAB.

121 The models are run for 10 m.y., where the first 5 m.y. represent the syn-tectonic stage with 122 strike-slip motion and sedimentation to mimic the \sim 5 m.y. during which the ABCF was active. 123 The final 5 m.y. constitute the post-tectonic stage with no prescribed motion or sediment supply, 124 although sediment transport continues (for setup details, see Text S4).

125 **REFERENCE MODEL RESULTS**

In the reference model, strain localizes on a vertical fault near the model center (at ~ 0.5 m.y.; Fig. 2C). Both sides of the fault subside due to the influx of sediment, with the eastern side sinking faster (1.0 versus 0.4 mm/yr at 4.75 m.y.). By 5 m.y., the eastern side has subsided more than the western side (3.6 versus 1.0 km), rotating the strike-slip fault to subvertical. After strike-slip motion and sedimentation have ceased, the subsidence rate declines to 0.08 mm/yr as the sediment hill at the eastern boundary is distributed across the surface. By 10 m.y., both sides have subsided another 0.4 km, showing a synformal thickening geometry along the fault.

The model indicates that a flexural strike-slip basin emerges due to sedimentation above thin lithosphere close to a strike-slip fault, wherein the fault acts as a weak zone where subsidence focuses. In contrast to classical half-graben or pull-apart geometries, these basins form without a significant extensional component (i.e., without crustal thinning as seen in pull-apart basins).

137 CONTROLS ON FLEXURAL STRIKE-SLIP BASIN FORMATION

138 To test controls on flexural strike-slip basin formation, we ran a series of models varying in 139 sedimentation rate, lithospheric thickness, and fault strength. Sedimentation rate was changed by 140 altering the eastern-side influx from 0 (i.e., only sediment rain) to 60 m²/yr (Figs. 3A–3D). With 141 no lateral input, both sides subsided evenly, forming a synformal basin that is thickest at the fault 142 (Fig. 3A). This suggests that reference-model basin asymmetry is affected primarily by 143 sedimentation and not by the initial perturbation. At higher lateral input, the eastern side subsided 144 more, from a maximum basement deflection of 0.9 km with no input to 5.7 km for 60 m^2/yr of 145 input (Fig. 3D). The western side shows a less-pronounced deflection with higher sediment input 146 (0.8–1.6 km), suggesting either that the sides are not fully decoupled or that more sediment reached 147 the western side.

The effects of varying the lithospheric thickness from 60 to 30 km (Figs. 3E–3H) reduce the basement flexural deflection on the eastern side of the fault from 4.6 km at 30 km to 1.4 km at 60 km, suggesting that deep flexural basins are unlikely to form in regions with thick lithosphere.

The final key variable is friction-angle weakening (Figs. 3I–3L). This shows that fault strength affects flexural subsidence (4.2 versus 3.3 km deflection at 99% and 25% weakening, respectively), suggesting that regions with no weakening or without strike-slip motion (Fig. S3) would experience much less subsidence. Further, weak faults promote lithospheric decoupling and basin asymmetry related to asymmetric sedimentation.



157Figure 3. Modeled basin formation when subject to variable sediment input (A–D), lithosphere thickness (E–158H), and fault weakening (I–L). Dashed lines along Z = 0 show initial model elevation. Total sedimentation is159sediment thickness assuming an even distribution across the model.

160 FLEXURAL STRIKE-SLIP BASINS IN THE ANDAMAN SEA

Seismic data suggest that the EAB is an asymmetric basin that spans both sides of the ABCF
(Mahattanachai et al., 2021). On the western side, basin thickness is fairly uniform (1–2 km; Fig.
1D). Along the fault on the eastern side, the basin is substantially thicker (~5 km) and thins
eastward toward the sediment source areas of the Mergui Ridge and peninsular Thailand.

165 The Gulf of Moattama Basin formed along the active Sagaing fault and is a more ambiguous 166 example where a deep (>10 km) depocenter formed in the past \sim 6 m.y., although strike-slip fault 167 activity in the area probably dates to the Oligocene (Morley and Arboit, 2019). Although a gentle 168 releasing-bend geometry is present in the offshore fault trace, the basin did not undergo dramatic 169 subsidence until the latest Miocene-Pliocene, when a major transgression followed structural 170 uplift and inversion of basins onshore (e.g., Morley and Alvey, 2015). We suggest the axial 171 sediment influx along the Gulf of Moattama Basin resulted in the flexural strike-slip mechanism 172 enhancing the effects of the fault geometry.

The primary requirement for flexural strike-slip basin formation is weak or thin lithosphere and high sedimentation rates. There are two basin types, controlled by the sedimentation pattern: (1) symmetric, where both sides receive a similar sediment load (Fig. 3A); and (2) asymmetric, where the two distinct basin sides subside at different rates dependent on the sediment load they receive (Fig. 3C). In both types, the maximum flexure and basin depocenter occur along the fault trace and the basin thins strike-perpendicularly.

179 The Andaman Sea provides likely examples for each flexural strike-slip basin type:

(1) The Gulf of Moattama Basin, where northern axial sedimentation provided even sedimentation to each side of the fault and formed a *symmetric flexural basin*. While sedimentation
was not purely uniform, a synformal geometry developed centered along the fault zone, as in
Figure 3A.

(2) The EAB (Fig. 1D), where perpendicular sedimentation from the east forced greater flexure
 on the eastern side of the fault, forming an *asymmetric flexural basin*. The EAB and reference
 model basin both have a change in sediment thickness across the fault and basin thinning toward
 the sediment source. Furthermore, basin thicknesses (excluding post-tectonic sediment) along the

fault's eastern side (4.5 versus 5.2 km in the model and EAB, respectively) and western side (1.8
versus 1.3 km) are comparable between the model and the basin.

Despite the similarities, there are discrepancies between the modeled basin and the EAB.
Eastward thinning of the sediment layer is less pronounced in the model. Given that the basement
slope is affected by the lithosphere thickness and sediment load, three possible explanations are:

(1) The ABCF is capped by a regional unconformity with the post-tectonic sediments
(Srisuriyon and Morley, 2014; Morley, 2017), and the fault may have received more sediment
while active than expected from the seismic data.

(2) Given that the fault formed within a necking zone and the lithosphere thickness is not well
constrained, the lithosphere may have varied spatially (rheologically or in thickness) and been
thinner than the 40 km value used here.

(3) A more significant syn-strike-slip extensional component would have further deepened thebasin along the fault (Sobolev et al., 2005).

Also, our models do not consider basin translation with strike-slip motion. This is justified by comparison with the EAB, where the thicker eastern basin is located on the same side as the Mergui Ridge and is not affected by the translation. For the western basin, the ~350-km-long Mergui Ridge is longer than the total dextral strike-slip translation of ~90 km from the early to mid-Miocene.

206 We focused on the Andaman Sea, but the key requirements for flexural strike-slip basins-thin 207 lithosphere, focused sedimentation, and a weak fault—are possibly also met in the New Guinea 208 Basin in the Bismarck Sea (southwestern Pacific Ocean; Fig. S4; Martinez and Taylor, 1996) and 209 the Yinggehai Basin in the South China Sea (Fig. S5; Clift and Sun, 2006), although new seismic 210 data are needed to test this. Another candidate is the Navassa Basin in the Jamaica Passage (Caribbean Sea; Fig. S6; Corbeau et al., 2016), an asymmetric strike-slip basin that is not located 211 212 between offset segments. The basin likely formed during strike-slip motion and does not contain 213 older sedimentary units found in nearby basins along the fault.

214 CONCLUSION

Our study suggests a new class of flexural basins that form along strike-slip faults. These basins are characterized by a fault-parallel depocenter and sediment that thins strike-perpendicularly. The basins can be classified in two types, which are both represented in the Andaman Sea: (1) *symmetric flexural basins*, where axial sedimentation causes a synformal shape, as seen in the Gulf of Moattama Basin; and (2) *asymmetric flexural basins*, where asymmetric sedimentation forces one basin side to subside more than the other, as seen in the EAB.

Flexural strike-slip basins form due to a strike-slip fault that acts as a weak zone facilitating differential subsidence due to sediment loading. The fault decouples the lithosphere sides, allowing them to respond independently to the sediment load they receive, determining basin symmetry. For a flexural strike-slip basin to form, two criteria must be met: the strike-slip fault must (1) cut through thin lithosphere, and (2) be subjected to a sufficient tectonic load.

226 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

227 This study was conducted within the Helmholtz Young Investigators Group CRYSTALS (grant 228 VH-NG-1132). We thank the Computational Infrastructure for Geodynamics 229 (https://geodynamics.org/), which is funded by the U.S. National Science Foundation under awards 230 EAR-0949446 and EAR-1550901, for supporting the development of ASPECT code. The work 231 was supported by the North-German Supercomputing Alliance (HLRN, https://www.hlrn.de/). 232 Software and input files are found at http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4893421. Figures were made 233 using ParaView, InkScape, and GeoMapApp. We also thank Anthony Jourdon, Zhen Sun, and an 234 anonymous reviewer for their helpful reviews.

235 **REFERENCES**

- 236 Allen, P.A., and Allen, J.R., 2013, Basin Analysis 3rd edition:, 237 doi:10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004.
- Bangerth, W., Dannberg, J., Gassmoeller, R., and Heister, T., 2019, ASPECT v2.1.0: Zenodo,
 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2653531.
- Braun, J., and Willett, S.D., 2013, A very efficient O(n), implicit and parallel method to solve the
 stream power equation governing fluvial incision and landscape evolution: Geomorphology,
 v. 180–181, p. 170–179, doi:10.1016/J.GEOMORPH.2012.10.008.
- Clift, P.D., Brune, S., and Quinteros, J., 2015, Climate changes control offshore crustal structure
 at South China Sea continental margin: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 420, p. 66–

- 245 72, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2015.03.032.
- Clift, P.D., and Sun, Z., 2006, The sedimentary and tectonic evolution of the Yinggehai-Song
 Hong basin and the southern Hainan margin, South China Sea: Implications for Tibetan uplift
 and monsoon intensification: Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, v. 111, p. 1–28,
 doi:10.1029/2005JB004048.
- 250 Corbeau, J., Rolandone, F., Leroy, S., Mercier de Lépinay, B., Meyer, B., Ellouz-Zimmermann, 251 N., and Momplaisir, R., 2016, The northern Caribbean plate boundary in the Jamaica Passage: 252 Structure and seismic stratigraphy: Tectonophysics, v. 675, p. 209-226, 253 doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2016.03.022.
- Curray, J.R., 2005, Tectonics and history of the Andaman Sea region: Journal of Asian Earth
 Sciences, v. 25, p. 187–232, doi:10.1016/j.jseaes.2004.09.001.
- Dong, M., Zhang, J., Brune, S., Wu, S., Fang, G., and Yu, L., 2020, Quantifying Postrift Lower
 Crustal Flow in the Northern Margin of the South China Sea: Journal of Geophysical
 Research: Solid Earth, v. 125, doi:10.1029/2019JB018910.
- Garfunkel, Z., and Ben-Avraham, Z., 1996, The structure of the Dead Sea basin: Tectonophysics,
 v. 266, p. 155–176, doi:10.1016/S0040-1951(96)00188-6.
- Glerum, A., Thieulot, C., Fraters, M., Blom, C., and Spakman, W., 2018, Nonlinear viscoplasticity
 in ASPECT: Benchmarking and applications to subduction: Solid Earth, v. 9, p. 267–294,
 doi:10.5194/se-9-267-2018.
- Gurbuz, A., 2010, Geometric characteristics of pull-apart basins: Lithosphere, v. 2, p. 199–206,
 doi:10.1130/L36.1.
- Heister, T., Dannberg, J., Gassmöller, R., and Bangerth, W., 2017, High Accuracy Mantle
 Convection Simulation through Modern Numerical Methods II: Realistic Models and
 Problems.: Geophysical Journal International, v. 210, p. 833–851,
 doi:doi:10.1093/gji/ggx195.
- Jourdon, A., Kergaravat, C., Duclaux, G., and Huguen, C., 2021, Looking beyond kinematics: 3D
 thermo-mechanical modelling reveals the dynamics of transform margins: Solid Earth, v. 12,
 p. 1211–1232, doi:10.5194/se-12-1211-2021.
- Kronbichler, M., Heister, T., and Bangerth, W., 2012, High Accuracy Mantle Convection
 Simulation through Modern Numerical Methods.: Geophysical Journal International, v. 191,
 doi:doi:10.1111/j.1365-246x.2012.05609.x.
- Mahattanachai, T., Morley, C.K., Charusiri, P., and Kanjanapayont, P., 2021, The Andaman Basin
 Central Fault Zone, Andaman Sea: Characteristics of a major deepwater strike-slip fault
 system in a polyphase rift: Marine and Petroleum Geology, p. 104997,
 doi:10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2021.104997.
- Mann, P., Hempton, M.R., Dwight, C.B., and Burke, K., 1983, Development of Pull-Apart Basins
 Authors: Paul Mann, Mark R. Hempton, Dwight C. Bradley and Kevin Burke Published
 by: The University of Chicago Press Stable URL : http://www.jstor.org/stable/30064017.
 All use subject to JSTOR Terms and Conditio: The Journal of Geology, v. 91, p. 529–554.

- Martinez, F., and Taylor, B., 1996, Backarc spreading, rifting, and microplate rotation, between
 transform faults in the Manus Basin: Marine Geophysical Researches, v. 18, p. 203–224,
 doi:10.1007/BF00286078.
- Maurin, T., Masson, F., Rangin, C., Min, U.T., and Collard, P., 2010, First global positioning
 system results in northern Myanmar: Constant and localized slip rate along the Sagaing fault:
 Geology, v. 38, p. 591–594, doi:10.1130/G30872.1.
- Morley, C.K., 2017, Cenozoic rifting, passive margin development and strike-slip faulting in the
 Andaman Sea: A discussion of established v. New tectonic models: Geological Society
 Memoir, v. 47, p. 27–50, doi:10.1144/M47.4.
- Morley, C.K., 2016, The impact of multiple extension events, stress rotation and inherited fabrics
 on normal fault geometries and evolution in the Cenozoic rift basins of Thailand: Geological
 Society, London, Special Publications, v. 439, p. 413–445,
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1144/SP439.3.
- Morley, C.K., and Alvey, A., 2015, Is spreading prolonged, episodic or incipient in the Andaman
 Sea? Evidence from deepwater sedimentation: Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, v. 98, p. 446–
 456, doi:10.1016/j.jseaes.2014.11.033.
- Morley, C.K., and Arboit, F., 2019, Dating the onset of motion on the Sagaing fault: Evidence
 from detrital zircon and titanite U-Pb geochronology from the North Minwun Basin,
 Myanmar: Geology, v. 47, p. 581–585, doi:10.1130/G46321.1.
- Morley, C.K., and Westaway, R., 2006, Subsidence in the super-deep Pattani and Malay basins of
 Southeast Asia: A coupled model incorporating lower-crustal flow in response to post-rift
 sediment loading: Basin Research, v. 18, p. 51–84, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2117.2006.00285.x.
- 306 Provost, A.-S., and Houston, H., 2003, Stress orientations in northern and central California: 307 Evidence for the evolution of frictional strength along the San Andreas plate boundary 308 system: Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 108, v. p. 1 - 18,309 doi:10.1029/2001jb001123.
- Rouby, D., Braun, J., Robin, C., Dauteuil, O., and Deschamps, F., 2013, Long-term stratigraphic
 evolution of Atlantic-type passive margins: A numerical approach of interactions between
 surface processes, flexural isostasy and 3D thermal subsidence: Tectonophysics, v. 604, p.
 83–103, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2013.02.003.
- Seeber, L., Emre, O., Cormier, M.H., Sorlien, C.C., McHugh, C.M.G., Polonia, A., Ozer, N., and
 Cagatay, N., 2004, Uplift and subsidence from oblique slip: The Ganos-Marmara bend of the
 North Anatolian Transform, Western Turkey: Tectonophysics, v. 391, p. 239–258,
 doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2004.07.015.
- SERPA, L., DE VOOGD, B., WRIGHT, L., WILLEMIN, J., OLIVER, J., HAUSER, E., and TROXEL, B., 1988, Structure of the central Death Valley pull-apart basin and vicinity from COCORP profiles in the southern Great Basin: GSA Bulletin, v. 100, p. 1437–1450, doi:10.1130/0016-7606(1988)100<1437:SOTCDV>2.3.CO;2.
- Sobolev, S. V., Petrunin, A., Garfunkel, Z., and Babeyko, A.Y., 2005, Thermo-mechanical model
 of the Dead Sea Transform: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 238, p. 78–95,

- doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2005.06.058.
- Srisuriyon, K., and Morley, C.K., 2014, Pull-apart development at overlapping fault tips: Oblique
 rifting of a Cenozoic continental margin, northern Mergui Basin, Andaman Sea: Geosphere,
 v. 10, p. 80–106, doi:10.1130/GES00926.1.
- Vigny, C., Socquet, A., Rangin, C., Chamot-Rooke, N., Pubellier, M., Bouin, M.-N., Bertrand, G.,
 and Becker, M., 2003, Present-day crustal deformation around Sagaing fault, Myanmar:
 Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, v. 108,
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JB001999.
- van Wijk, J., Axen, G., and Abera, R., 2017, Initiation, evolution and extinction of pull-apart
 basins: Implications for opening of the Gulf of California: Tectonophysics, v. 719–720, p.
 37–50, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2017.04.019.
- Yuan, X.P., Braun, J., Guerit, L., Rouby, D., and Cordonnier, G., 2019a, A New Efficient Method
 to Solve the Stream Power Law Model Taking Into Account Sediment Deposition: Journal of
 Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, v. 124, p. 1346–1365, doi:10.1029/2018JF004867.
- Yuan, X.P., Braun, J., Guerit, L., Simon, B., Bovy, B., Rouby, D., Robin, C., and Jiao, R., 2019b,
 Linking continental erosion to marine sediment transport and deposition: A new implicit and
 O(N) method for inverse analysis: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 524, p. 115728,
 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2019.115728.
- Zoback, M.D. et al., 1987, New evidence on the state of stress of the san andreas fault system:
 Science, v. 238, p. 1105–1111, doi:10.1126/science.238.4830.1105.

1 Supplementary Information

2 Flexural strike-slip basins

4 Derek Neuharth^{1,2}, Sascha Brune^{1,2}, Anne Glerum¹, Chris K. Morley³, Xiaoping Yuan^{4,1}, 5 Jean Braun^{1,2}

6

3

- ⁷ ¹GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Telegrafenberg, 14473 Potsdam, Germany.
- 8 ²Institute of Geosciences, University of Potsdam, Germany.
- 9 ³PTTEP, Enco, Vibhavadi-Rangsit Road, Chatuchak, Bangkok, 10900, Thailand
- ⁴School of Earth Sciences, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan, China

11

12 Contents of this file

- 13 Text S1 ASPECT methods
- 14 Text S2 FastScape methods
- 15 Text S3 ASPECT/FastScape coupling
- 16 Text S4 Model setup
- 17 Table S1
- 18 Table S2
- 19 Figure S1
- 20 Figure S2
- 21 Figure S3
- 22 Figure S4
- 23 Figure S5
- 24 Figure S6
- 25
- 26 Additional supporting information (uploaded separately)
- 27 Video S1
- 28 Video S2
- 29

30 Text S1: ASPECT Methods

31 **1.1 Governing equations**

We perform numerical simulations of a 3D strike-slip system using the open source finite-element code ASPECT (Advanced Solver for Problems in Earth's ConvecTion, version 2.3.0-pre, commit 886749d; Heister et al., 2017; Kronbichler et al., 2012; Rose et al., 2017; Bangerth et al., 2019). ASPECT solves the following incompressible conservation equations assuming an infinite Prandtl number (i.e., without the inertial term),

$$-\nabla \cdot (2\eta \dot{\varepsilon}) + \nabla P = \rho \mathbf{g}, \qquad (1)$$

$$\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}) = 0, \qquad (2)$$

39
$$\overline{\rho}C_{p}\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla T\right) - \nabla \cdot k\nabla T = \overline{\rho}H$$
 (3)

$$40 \qquad \qquad + \alpha T \left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla P \right),$$

41
$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{c}_i}{\partial \mathbf{t}} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{c}_i = \mathbf{q}_i , \qquad (4)$$

where equation (1) represents the conservation of momentum, with η the effective viscosity, $\dot{\epsilon}$ the 42 deviator of the strain rate tensor (defined as $\frac{1}{2}(\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T))$, \mathbf{u} the velocity, P the pressure, ρ the 43 density, and g gravity. Equation (2) describes the conservation of volume. Equation (3) represents 44 the conservation of energy where $\overline{\rho}$ is the reference adiabatic density, C_p the specific heat capacity, 45 T the temperature, k the thermal conductivity, H the radiogenic heating, and α the thermal 46 expansivity. As right-hand-side heating terms, we include radioactive heating and adiabatic 47 heating, in that order. Finally, we solve the advection equation (4) for each compositional field c_i 48 (e.g., upper crust, lower crust, and accumulated plastic strain) with reaction rate q_i nonzero only 49 50 for the plastic strain field.

51 1.2 Rheology

52 We use a visco-plastic rheology (Glerum et al., 2018), which additionally includes plastic 53 weakening based on accumulated plastic strain. In the viscous regime, we use a composite of 54 diffusion and dislocation creep (Karato and Wu, 1993), formulated as:

55
$$\eta_{\text{eff}}^{\text{diff}|\text{dis}} = \frac{1}{2} A_{\text{diff}|\text{dis}}^{\frac{-1}{n}} d^{\text{m}} \dot{\varepsilon}_{\text{e}}^{\frac{1-n}{n}} \exp\left(\frac{\left(E_{\text{diff}|\text{dis}} + PV_{\text{diff}|\text{dis}}\right)}{nRT}\right), \tag{5}$$

where A is a scalar prefactor, d the grain size, $\dot{\epsilon}_{e}$ the square root of second invariant of the deviatoric strain rate, E the activation energy, P the pressure, V the activation volume, R the gas constant, T the temperature, and n the stress exponent. For diffusion, n = 1 and the equation becomes independent of strain rate. For dislocation creep, the grain size exponent m vanishes, rendering dislocation creep independent of grain size. Values for A, E, V, and n used in our models are composition-dependent and can be found in supplementary Table S1.

In the plastic regime, when viscous stresses exceed the yield stress, we use the Drucker-Prager
yield criterion (Davis and Selvadurai, 2002). The effective plastic viscosity is given by

64
$$\eta_{\text{eff}}^{\text{pl}} = \frac{\frac{6C\cos\phi}{\sqrt{3}(3-\sin\phi)} + \frac{6P\sin\phi}{\sqrt{3}(3-\sin\phi)}}{2\dot{\varepsilon}_{e}}, \qquad (6)$$

where C is the cohesion and ϕ the internal angle of friction. The accumulation of plastic strain is tracked as a compositional field. This field is used to linearly weaken ϕ from an initial value of 30° to a final value of 7.5° over the accumulated plastic strain interval of 0 to 1. The time-integrated value of the strain reaction rate q_i is approximated as $\dot{\epsilon}_e \cdot dt$ when plastic yielding occurs (with dt the current timestep size).

70 Text S2: FastScape Methods

71 FastScape is a landscape evolution code that changes the topographic surface through uplift, advection, the stream-power law, and hillslope diffusion (Braun and Willett, 2013). It can 72 73 additionally deposit fluvial sediment (Yuan et al., 2019a) and include a marine component, which 74 handles marine sediment (sand/silt) transport and deposition, and layer compaction based on 75 sand/silt porosity (Yuan et al., 2019b). It uses a 2D horizontal mesh with a uniform resolution. For 76 simplicity, we here assume that the entire model surface is submarine, with uniform properties (i.e., sand and silt transport coefficients are the same), and that there is no compaction (porosity is 77 78 zero). Hence, FastScape deforms the surface through the uplift rate and marine diffusion equation 79 only as

80
$$\frac{dh}{dt} = \mathbf{U} + K_m \nabla^2 h, \qquad (7)$$

81 where h is the topographic elevation, \mathbf{U} the uplift rate and K_m the marine sediment diffusion 82 coefficient.

83 Text S3: ASPECT/FastScape coupling

In this paper we use a two-way coupling of the tectonic ASPECT code and the landscape evolution 84 85 FastScape code. For this coupling, a FastScape shared library is called by an ASPECT plugin to deform its surface as described in the previous section. The plugin has three main components: 1) 86 Copy the surface height and velocity values from ASPECT. 2) Initialize and run FastScape at a 87 resolution equivalent to or greater than the one used at the surface of ASPECT. If it is the first 88 89 timestep of the tectonic model run, FastScape is initialized using height and velocity values from ASPECT. In subsequent timesteps, as FastScape runs separately and can be at a higher resolution 90 than ASPECT, only the velocity values from ASPECT are transferred to FastScape. Before 91

92 running FastScape, the initial topography values are saved. After running FastScape, the new and
93 previous topography are compared to determine a nodal vertical (Z) velocity,

94
$$\mathbf{V_z} = \frac{\mathbf{h_c} - \mathbf{h_p}}{d\mathbf{t_a}},\tag{8}$$

where h_p is the surface height at the start of the timestep (previous surface), and h_f the surface 95 96 height after FastScape has been run (current surface), and dt_a the ASPECT timestep. 3) Using the overarching mesh deformation functionality (see Rose et al., 2017), the Z velocity field is 97 98 interpolated onto the ASPECT surface to determine the displacement of the mesh surface and interior. From there, ASPECT responds to the change in topography calculated by FastScape due 99 to the induced change in forces that is included in the Stokes equations. At the beginning of the 100 101 next timestep, the updated velocities computed in the previous timestep are sent to FastScape once again. 102

The FastScape mesh includes an additional element-size layer of ghost nodes compared to the ASPECT surface mesh. The values of surface height on these nodes are not considered when interpolating the surface back to ASPECT and are used primarily to avoid FastScape boundary artifacts being sent to the ASPECT model (e.g., the boundaries do not uplift from advected topography). To avoid possible erroneous sediment flux out or into the model from artificial slopes, each timestep the ghost nodes are updated with the topography and velocity values of the nearest inward node (an ASPECT boundary node).

Besides passing ASPECT's uplift velocities, we use the plugin's FastScape interface to supply additional input to the surface process model in two ways: 1) to add marine background sedimentation via the sediment rain effect, and 2) to add a boundary sediment flux using the ghost nodes. For the sediment rain, at each nodal point we update FastScape with a flat height increase every ASPECT timestep. Through the diffusion component in equation (7), we prescribe a constantsediment flux at the boundary, assuming that

116

$$\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathbf{S} \,, \tag{9}$$

where \mathbf{Q} is the sediment flux and S the slope. Since K_m and Q are user-set parameters, to achieve this we alter S by uplifting the boundary ghost nodes every ASPECT timestep so that \mathbf{Q} remains constant.

120 Text S4: Model setup

In this study we examine how a strike-slip fault responds to sedimentation. We therefore set up a 121 3D box model with dimensions $100 \times 8 \times 120$ km (X, Y, and Z, where Z is the vertical component) 122 and 5 compositions representing a wet quartzite upper crust (Rutter and Brodie, 2004), wet 123 anorthite lower crust (Rybacki et al., 2006), dry olivine lithospheric mantle, wet olivine 124 asthenosphere (Hirth and Kohlstedt, 2003), and a sediment layer that has rheologic parameters 125 identical to wet quartzite, but with density and temperature parameters consistent with sediment 126 127 (Sippel et al., 2017). The total crustal thickness is set to 8 km (4 km upper crust, 4 km lower crust) 128 based on crustal estimates of the area (7-10 km; Mahattanachai et al., 2021). The lithospheric mantle extends between the Moho and the lithosphere-asthenosphere boundary (LAB) at 40 km 129 130 depth. The LAB depth, like the crust, has been perturbed by a previous extensional period. The remaining material beneath the LAB is considered asthenosphere (Fig. S1). While there is no initial 131 sediment layer, the top boundary is fixed to a sediment composition so that any top-inflow of 132 material due to topography changes other than uplift is sediment. 133

The ASPECT model mesh consists of two element sizes: 1 km and 2 km. The upper 8 km of the model is refined at 1 km to best resolve the crust and the forming sediment layer. This highresolution area additionally extends to a depth of 35 k from X = 42 km to X = 52 km to better resolve the strike-slip fault. All other areas are kept at 2 km resolution.

The initial temperature above the LAB is determined by a steady-state geotherm (Turcotte and Schubert, 2013), and below by a mantle adiabat. For simplicity, an initial weak zone is seeded through a small perturbation: we raise the LAB locally by 10% of the lithospheric mantle thickness.
We fix the top boundary temperature at 0 °C and the bottom boundary at the temperature initially

142 determined from the mantle adiabat at that depth. All other boundaries are set to zero heat-flux.

The coupled model is run for 10 Myr, where the model in the first 5 Myr includes non-zero velocity 143 boundary conditions. During this time, the western boundary is given a strike-slip component of 144 20 mm/yr (in Y), and an extensional component of 0.2 mm/yr (in X), while the Z-component of 145 velocity is set to no-slip. This gives a total of 100 km of dextral strike-slip motion and 1 km of 146 147 extension. The small extensional component is introduced to avoid compressional pop-ups that form at the shear zone as the lithosphere subsides due to the sediment load (Fig. S2). The exact 148 extensional value is chosen to accommodate horizontal stress forces related to isostatic 149 150 compensation. From 5-10 Myr, extension and strike-slip motion stop as the western boundary is set to no-slip in all directions. All other boundary conditions are constant for the entire model run, 151 with the eastern boundary being no-slip in all directions, the north and south boundaries set to 152 periodic to simulate an infinitely long strike-slip fault, the initial lithostatic pressure computed at 153 a reference location prescribed on the bottom boundary to allow for outflow in response to 154 155 sedimentation, and the top boundary deformed through the use of FastScape.

156 FastScape is set up with an arbitrarily high sea level so that the entire model is considered 157 submarine. This setup leads to a model with no acting stream power law, and sediment being 158 moved solely through marine sediment diffusion. For simplicity, we additionally assume that there 159 is no compaction and no difference between sand and silt. As such, we use a diffusion coefficient 160 of 500 m^2/y for both, a value consistent with open marine environments in previous modelling studies (e.g., Rouby et al., 2013). During the syn-strike-slip phase of the tectonic model (0-5 Myr) 161 we supply sediment to the model in two ways: 1) To account for pelagic/hemipelagic 162 sedimentation (sediment rain), we deposit at a constant and uniform sedimentation rate of 0.2 163 mm/yr. 2) We assume there is an asymmetric off-model source of sediment, similar to the eastern 164 Mergui Ridge for the East Andaman Basin, that inputs sediment into the system from the eastern 165 boundary at a rate of 40 m²/yr. This is done through equation (9), wherein we uplift the ghost nodes 166 167 at each timestep so that a constant flux is prescribed through marine diffusion. After this syntectonic stage spanning 5 Myr, sediment supply to the system is halted, although marine diffusion 168 continues to work on the topography. 169

Parameter	Symbol	Units	Sediment	Upper crust	Lower crust	Lithospheric mantle	Asthenosphere
Reference density (at surface conditions)	ρ ₀	kg m ⁻³	2520	2700	2850	3280	3300
Thermal expansivity	α	K ⁻¹	$3.7 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.7 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.7 \cdot 10^{-5}$	3.0.10-5	3.0.10-5
Thermal diffusivity	κ	$m^2 s^{-1}$	$7.28 \cdot 10^{-7}$	9.26.10 ⁻⁷	$5.85 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$8.38 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$8.33 \cdot 10^{-7}$
Heat capacity	C_p	J kg ⁻¹ K ⁻¹	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200
Heat production	Н	W m ⁻³	$1.2 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$1.5 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$0.2 \cdot 10^{-6}$	0	0
Cohesion	С	Pa	20.10^{6}	20.10^{6}	20.10^{6}	20.10^{6}	20.10^{6}
Internal friction angle (unweakened)	ф	o	30	30	30	30	30
Strain weakening interval	-	-	[0,1]	[0,1]	[0,1]	[0,1]	[0,1]
Strain weakening factor	$\phi_{\rm wf}$	-	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Creep properties			Sediment	Wet quartzite	Wet anorthite	Dry olivine	Wet olivine
Stress exponent (dis)	n	-	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.5	3.5
Constant prefactor (dis)	A _{dis}	Pa ⁻ⁿ s ⁻¹	8.57.10 ⁻²⁸	8.57.10-28	7.13.10-18	6.52·10 ⁻¹⁶	2.12.10-15
Activation energy (dis)	E _{dis}	J mol ⁻¹	$223 \cdot 10^{3}$	$223 \cdot 10^{3}$	$345 \cdot 10^3$	530·10 ³	$480 \cdot 10^3$
Activation volume (dis)	V _{dis}	m ³ mol ⁻¹	0	0	38.10-6	18.10-6	11.10-6
Constant prefactor (diff)	$\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{diff}}$	Pa ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	5.79·10 ⁻¹⁹	5.79·10 ⁻¹⁹	2.99·10 ⁻²⁵	2.25.10-9	1.5.10-9
Activation energy (diff)	E_{diff}	J mol ⁻¹	$223 \cdot 10^{3}$	$223 \cdot 10^{3}$	159·10 ³	$375 \cdot 10^3$	335·10 ³
Activation volume (diff)	V_{diff}	m ³ mol ⁻¹	0	0	38·10 ⁻⁶	6·10 ⁻⁶	$4 \cdot 10^{-6}$
Grain size (diff)	d	m	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
Grain size exponent (diff)	m	-	2.0	2.0	3.0	0	0

Table S1: ASPECT model parameters. Abbreviations: dis – dislocation creep, diff – diffusion

172 creep.

Parameter	Symbol	Unit	Value
Marine sand transport coefficient	K _{sand}	m²/yr	500
Surface sand porosity	φ_{sand}	-	0
Sand e-folding depth	Z _{sand}	m	0
Marine silt transport coefficient	K _{silt}	m²/yr	500
Surface silt porosity	φ_{silt}	-	0
Silt e-folding depth	Z _{silt}	m	0
Sand-shale ratio	F	-	1
Thickness of transport layer	L	m	100
Sea level	h _{sea}	m	5000

Table S2: FastScape model parameters.

175



Figure S1: Initial density (black) and temperature (red) profiles with depth. Colored backgrounds
represent the initial compositions, with light gray representing the upper crust, dark gray the lower
crust, dark blue the mantle lithosphere, and light blue the asthenosphere.





- **Figure S2:** Comparison showing the reference model with A) a 0.2 mm/yr extensional component.
- 181 B) no extensional component, leading to the formation of a small compressional pop-up in the
- 182 center.



Figure S3: Comparison of the FastScape basement and topography from two models runs: The black curves represent the reference model; the dotted red curves show the reference model without strike-slip motion. The dashed blue line represents the initial model elevation, the green line indicates the total subsidence in the reference model with strike-slip motion, and the yellow line shows the difference in subsidence when comparing models with and without strike-slip motion. In the case without strike-slip motion, maximum subsidence and basin asymmetry are both greatly reduced.





Figure S4: Regional map of the Manus back-arc region, with fault locations based on Fig. 1 in
Martinez and Taylor, 1996. Black lines indicate strike-slip faults, parallel orange lines spreading
centers, dashed red lines lava fields, and blue lines major rivers. This figure was made using
GeoMappApp (www.geomapapp.org; Ryan et al., 2009).



Fault locations based on Fig. 10 in Noda, 2013. Black lines show faults, blue lines major rivers,
and the Yinggehai basin is outlined in the dashed orange circle. This figure was made using
GeoMappApp (www.geomapapp.org; Ryan et al., 2009).



Figure S6: Regional map of the Jamaica Passage showing the Navassa strike-slip basin along the
Enriquillo-Plantain-Garden Fault Zone. Fault locations based on Fig. 6 in Corbeau et al., 2016.
This figure was made using GeoMappApp (<u>www.geomapapp.org</u>; Ryan et al., 2009).

208

Video S1: Full evolution of the tectonic reference model (Fig. 2C,K,G). Colors represent composition where tan is sediment, light gray is upper crust, dark gray is lower crust, dark blue is mantle lithosphere, and light blue is the asthenosphere. The white lines are temperature contours, gray-scale the strain rate, and arrows indicate the total velocity magnitude.

Video S2: Evolution of the middle slice of the top 30 km of the reference tectonic model. Colors
represent composition where tan is sediment, light gray is upper crust, dark gray is lower crust,
dark blue is mantle lithosphere, and light blue is the asthenosphere. The white lines are temperature

- contours, gray-scale the strain rate, and red arrows indicate the subsidence rate (Z velocity) along
- the 8 km depth contour.
- 223
- 224 **References**
- Bangerth, W., Dannberg, J., Gassmoeller, R., and Heister, T., 2019, ASPECT v2.1.0: Zenodo,
 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2653531.
- Braun, J., and Willett, S.D., 2013, A very efficient O(n), implicit and parallel method to solve the
 stream power equation governing fluvial incision and landscape evolution: Geomorphology,
 v. 180–181, p. 170–179, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2012.10.008.
- 230 Corbeau, J., Rolandone, F., Leroy, S., Mercier de Lépinay, B., Meyer, B., Ellouz-Zimmermann,
- N., and Momplaisir, R., 2016, The northern Caribbean plate boundary in the Jamaica
- Passage: Structure and seismic stratigraphy: Tectonophysics, v. 675, p. 209–226,
 doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2016.03.022.
- Davis, R.O., and Selvadurai, A.P., 2002, Plasticity and Geomechanics: Cambridge University
 Press.
- Glerum, A., Thieulot, C., Fraters, M., Blom, C., and Spakman, W., 2018, Nonlinear
 viscoplasticity in ASPECT: Benchmarking and applications to subduction: Solid Earth, v. 9,
 p. 267–294, doi:10.5194/se-9-267-2018.
- Heister, T., Dannberg, J., Gassmöller, R., and Bangerth, W., 2017, High Accuracy Mantle
 Convection Simulation through Modern Numerical Methods II: Realistic Models and
 Problems.: Geophysical Journal International, v. 210, p. 833–851,
- 242 doi:doi:10.1093/gji/ggx195.
- Hirth, G., and Kohlstedt, D., 2003, Rheology of the upper mantle and the mantle wedge: a view
 from the experimentalists: Inside the Subudction Factory Geophysical Monograph
 (American Geophysical Union), v. 183.
- Karato, S., and Wu, P., 1993, Rheology the Upper Mantle : Synthesis: v. 260.
- Kronbichler, M., Heister, T., and Bangerth, W., 2012, High Accuracy Mantle Convection
 Simulation through Modern Numerical Methods.: Geophysical Journal International, v. 191,
 doi:doi:10.1111/j.1365-246x.2012.05609.x.
- Mahattanachai, T., Morley, C.K., Charusiri, P., and Kanjanapayont, P., 2021, The Andaman
 Basin Central Fault Zone, Andaman Sea: Characteristics of a major deepwater strike-slip
 fault system in a polyphase rift: Marine and Petroleum Geology, p. 104997,
- doi:10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2021.104997.
- Martinez, F., and Taylor, B., 1996, Backarc spreading, rifting, and microplate rotation, between
 transform faults in the Manus Basin: Marine Geophysical Researches, v. 18, p. 203–224,
 doi:10.1007/BF00286078.
- 257 Noda, A., 2013, Strike-Slip Basin Its Configuration and Sedimentary Facies: Mechanism of

- Sedimentary Basin Formation Multidisciplinary Approach on Active Plate Margins,
 doi:10.5772/56593.
- Rose, I., Buffett, B., and Heister, T., 2017, Stability and Accuracy of Free Surface Time
 Integration in Viscous Flows.: Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, v. 262, p. 90–
 100, doi:doi:10.1016/j.pepi.2016.11.007.
- Rouby, D., Braun, J., Robin, C., Dauteuil, O., and Deschamps, F., 2013, Long-term stratigraphic
 evolution of Atlantic-type passive margins: A numerical approach of interactions between
 surface processes, flexural isostasy and 3D thermal subsidence: Tectonophysics, v. 604, p.
 83–103, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2013.02.003.
- Rutter, E.H., and Brodie, K.H., 2004, Experimental grain size-sensitive flow of hot-pressed
 Brazilian quartz aggregates: Journal of Structural Geology, v. 26, p. 2011–2023,
 doi:10.1016/j.jsg.2004.04.006.
- Ryan, W.B.F. et al., 2009, Global Multi-Resolution Topography synthesis: Geochemistry,
 Geophysics, Geosystems, v. 10, doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GC002332.
- Rybacki, E., Gottschalk, M., Wirth, R., and Dresen, G., 2006, Influence of water fugacity and
 activation volume on the flow properties of fine-grained anorthite aggregates: Journal of
 Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, v. 111, doi:10.1029/2005JB003663.
- Sippel, J., Meeßen, C., Cacace, M., Mechie, J., Fishwick, S., Heine, C., Scheck-Wenderoth, M.,
 and Strecker, M., 2017, The Kenya rift revisited: Insights into lithospheric strength through
 data-driven 3-D gravity and thermal modelling: Solid Earth, v. 8, p. 45–81, doi:10.5194/se8-45-2017.
- 279 Turcotte, D.L., and Schubert, G., 2013, Geodynamics: Cambridge University Press.
- Yuan, X.P., Braun, J., Guerit, L., Rouby, D., and Cordonnier, G., 2019a, A New Efficient
 Method to Solve the Stream Power Law Model Taking Into Account Sediment Deposition:
 Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, v. 124, p. 1346–1365,
 doi:10.1029/2018JF004867.
- Yuan, X.P., Braun, J., Guerit, L., Simon, B., Bovy, B., Rouby, D., Robin, C., and Jiao, R.,
 2019b, Linking continental erosion to marine sediment transport and deposition: A new
 implicit and O(N) method for inverse analysis: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 524,
 p. 115728, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2019.115728.
- 288