# A Near-Real-Time Approach for Monitoring Forest Disturbance Using Landsat Time Series: Stochastic Continuous Change

**3 Detection** 

4 5

7

Su Ye\*1, John Rogan<sup>1</sup>, Zhe Zhu<sup>2</sup>, J. Ronald Eastman<sup>3</sup>

# 6 Abstract

8 Forest disturbances greatly affect the ecological functioning of natural forests. Timely information 9 regarding extent, timing and magnitude of forest disturbance events is crucial for effective disturbance 10 management strategies. Yet, we still lack an acute, near-real-time and high-performance remote sensing 11 tools for monitoring abrupt and subtle forest disturbances. This study presents a new approach called 12 'Stochastic Continuous Change Detection (S-CCD)' using a dense Landsat data time series. S-CCD 13 improves upon the 'COntinuous monitoring of Land Disturbance (COLD)' approach by incorporating a 14 mathematical tool called the 'state space model', which treats trends and seasonality as stochastic 15 processes, allowing for modeling temporal dynamics of satellite observations in a recursive way. The 16 accuracy assessment is evaluated based on 3,782 Landsat-based disturbance reference plots (30 m) from a 17 probability sampling distributed throughout the Conterminous United States. Validation results show that 18 the best F1 score of S-CCD is 0.793 with 20% omission error and 21% commission error, slightly higher 19 than that of COLD (0.789). In addition, two disturbance sites respectively associated with fire and insect 20 disturbances are used for qualitative map-based analysis. Both quantitative and qualitative analysis 21 indicate that S-CCD can achieve noticeably less omission errors than COLD for detecting those 22 disturbances with subtle/gradual spectral change such as insect attack and drought stress. S-CCD enables 23 complete real-time monitoring, and up to ~4.4 times speedup for computation. This research addresses the 24 need for near-real-time monitoring and large-scale mapping of forest health, and offers a new approach 25 for operationally performing change detection tasks from long-term and dense Landsat-based time series. 26 27 28 29 # This preprint has been submitted to Remote Sensing of Environment for peer review 30 31 32 Key words: Time series analysis, Forest disturbance, State space model, Kalman filter, Landsat, Near 33 real-time 34 35

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Email <u>remotesensingsuy@gmail.com</u> Tele +1 508 826 4355

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Graduate School of Geography, Clark University, 950 Main Street, Worcester, MA 01610, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Department of Natural Resources and the Environment, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT 06269, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Clark Labs, Clark University, Worcester, MA 01610, USA

36 37

38

## 1. Introduction

39 In the last two decades, linked to the recent elevated air temperature and prolonged drought, an increase in 40 the occurrence and severity of forest disturbance has been documented over large parts of the globe (Dale 41 et al., 2001; Seidl et al., 2017; Turner et al., 1998); notably, insect outbreak (Kautz et al., 2017; Paritsis and 42 Veblen, 2011), wildfire (Pechony and Shindell, 2010; Westerling, 2016) and drought (Allen et al., 2015). 43 Forest disturbance events directly emit carbon to the atmosphere through oxidation and decomposition of 44 wood (Masek et al., 2008), and yield significant impacts on ecosystem services of national forests such as 45 climate regulation and biological diversity conservation (Curran and Trigg, 2006). Therefore, it is important 46 to systematically gather information regarding the extent, timing and magnitude of forest disturbance in an 47 accurate and timely manner, enabling an early warning and effective management to prevent further loss of forested land (Rogan and Mietkiewicz, 2015). 48

49 For decades, satellite remote sensing has been promoted as a key data source for operational forest 50 monitoring (Pasquarella et al., 2017). Particularly, the opening of the Landsat archive (Woodcock et al., 51 2008) has led to improved opportunities for characterizing forest disturbances from a long-term and 52 consistent Landsat time series (Zhu, 2017). Compared with low-resolution datasets such as Moderate 53 Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS, 250-1000 m resolution), Landsat-based time series are 54 provided in a sufficient temporal length of 40-year global record of fine-grained observations (30 m) 55 (Masek et al., 2013). Therefore, Landsat is often perceived as the best free-access remotely sensed data 56 source for resolving the full range of disturbance occurrence (Cohen et al., 2017; Cohen et al., 2016; 57 Kennedy et al., 2014; Ye et al., 2018). Recently, the release of Landsat Analysis Ready Data (ARD) has 58 eased automation for monitoring large-scale forest disturbances (Dwyer et al., 2018). The Landsat ARD 59 gridded all available Landsat-4 and -5 TM, Landsat-7 ETM+ and Landsat-8 OLI/TIRS to an Albers Equal Area (AEA) Conic map projection, and are consistently geo-registered and atmospherically corrected; and 60 61 hence holds the highest level of scientific standards and processing required for immediate use (Zhu, 2019).

62 The Landsat ARD requires the minimum of user effort for data preprocessing, greatly facilitating a large-63 scale and long-term time series analyses (Dwyer et al., 2018).

64 A wealth of methodologies on satellite-based time series analysis have been developed for land cover 65 change detection and characterization (Kennedy et al., 2010; Verbesselt et al., 2010a; Zhu and Woodcock, 66 2014b; Zhu et al., 2012). These algorithms are often categorized based upon their monitoring strategies: 67 offline or online monitoring (Bullock et al., 2019; Zhu, 2017). Offline monitoring focuses on a retrospective 68 analysis when the collection of time series data is completed, and seeks to reconstruct forest disturbance 69 history. The representative approaches for this category include LandTrendr (Kennedy et al., 2010), DBEST 70 (Jamali et al., 2015) and the ensemble approach (Bullock et al., 2019). Online monitoring is applied to a 71 practical scenario that the new observations are successively collected and processed in a timely fashion, 72 and hence can be used for near real-time monitoring. Representative approaches for online monitoring are 73 Breaks for Additive Season and Trend Monitor (BFAST Monitor) algorithm (Verbesselt et al., 2012) and 74 Continuous Change Detection and Classification (CCDC) (Zhu and Woodcock, 2014b; Zhu et al., 2019), 75 though they are also applicable for offline monitoring. The BFAST was originally designed for temporal 76 segmentation of MODIS-based time series (Verbesselt et al., 2010a), and was later modified (BFAST 77 Monitor) to address near real-time detection for drought-related vegetation disturbance (Verbesselt et al., 78 2012). BFAST Monitor is a univariate approach that uses a single spectral band or index, while it has been 79 reported that multiple bands or indices is more preferable because forest disturbance has a multi-spectral 80 expression requiring multi-band inputs (Cohen et al., 2017; Zhu et al., 2019).

CCDC is a multivariate time-series model that uses all available Landsat 4-8 data for change characterization and land cover classification (Zhu and Woodcock, 2014b). The CCDC first applies the Fmask (Zhu and Woodcock, 2012) and Tmask algorithm (Zhu and Woodcock, 2014a) to screen clouds, cloud shadows and snow, and then build a harmonic model for each spectral band based on remaining clear observations. A breakpoint indicative of the timing of the disturbance is identified when the minimum discrepancy between actual and predicted reflectance of spectral bands for a monitoring window is greater

87 than a predefined change threshold (Zhu and Woodcock, 2014b). Recently, an improved algorithm called 'COntinuous Monitoring of Land Disturbance' (COLD) was developed based upon CCDC (Zhu et al., 2019). 88 89 COLD introduced several improvements such as disturbance extraction, temporally-adjusted Root Mean 90 Square Error (RMSE), change angles for disturbance confirmation (Zhu et al., 2019). An important finding 91 for COLD is that using the highest frequency for harmonic model updates, that is per observation instead 92 of per a time span for the CCDC, can reduce  $\sim 20\%$  commission errors (Zhu et al., 2019). Zhu et al. (2019) 93 tested the performance of COLD using 7,200 Landsat time series plots randomly selected across the 94 conterminous United States, and reported that the COLD algorithm achieves a higher accuracy than CCDC, 95 with 27% omission error and a 28% commission error for a variety of land disturbance types.

96 However, while the COLD algorithm has achieved improved performance for change detection accuracy, 97 there are several issues limiting its implementation for operational monitoring. First, COLD is 98 computationally expensive, which takes ~5000 computing hours for a Landsat ARD time series (Zhu et al., 99 2019). The high computational requirement imposes limits on the application of COLD for a wide range of 100 scientific research, especially for a large-area mapping such as at a state or continental scale. The cause for 101 the slow speed is mainly from the per observation updating approach (Zhu et al., 2019). For example, if the 102 time series of a single pixel has 500 clear observations, COLD needs to re-train the model using the 'Least 103 Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator' (LASSO) algorithm for approximately 500 times to complete 104 a detection for this pixel. Computational redundancies arise from reconstructing models from scratch for 105 each new observation being added to the time series. Second, the former version of COLD, namely CCDC, 106 was designed to detect land cover change, and is less helpful for detecting those disturbances that yield 107 small to medium spectral change magnitude (Brown et al., 2019; Cohen et al., 2017; Zhu et al., 2019). A 108 possible reason provided is that CCDC identifies gradual changes as the slope in the harmonic regression 109 model as opposed to attributing them to a change on a specific date. Though this issue was alleviated by 110 COLD, it has not been fully solved vet because COLD reported only 60% producer accuracy for the 111 disturbance category 'stress' (Zhu et al., 2019). Third, within the current workflow of COLD, near real-

time monitoring is not fully operational because: 1) COLD is a memory-intensive algorithm which requires loading all images to update model coefficients and to calculate temporally-adjusted RMSE for each new observation; 2) a certain steps of COLD such as minimum RMSE require an input of a complete time series, which does not satisfy the need of near real-time monitoring.

In this study, we describe a new algorithm called 'Stochastic Continuous Change Detection' (S-CCD) that is developed to detect forest disturbance from Landsat time series in a recursive fashion. S-CCD introduces the state space theory into the current framework of COLD, aiming to address three objectives: 1) to enhance detection accuracy, especially for those forest change with small spectral change magnitudes while keeping a low rate for commission errors; and 2) to provide an operational framework for near real-time monitoring; 3) to improve computational efficiency, enabling a long-term time series analysis for a largearea forest disturbance characterization.

In what follows, we first provide an intuitive explanation for state space models and the Kalman filter as the mathematical foundation of S-CCD (Section 2), describe our S-CCD algorithm focusing on different steps with COLD (Section 3), introduce our reference dataset and validation metrics (Section 4) and exhibit the results for both quantitative and qualitative evaluation (Section 5), and finally discuss advantages and future work for S-CCD (Section 6). Of particular note is that Section 5.3 presents a high-performance software package for both S-CCD and COLD implemented in C language.

129

# 9 2. State space model and the Kalman filter

The new approach built upon the State Space Model (SSM), an established time-series mathematical framework that allows for modeling a dynamic of observed measurements as being explained by a vector of latent state variables. The SSM has two foundational 'stochastic' assumptions: 1) observations are formulated as a sum of a stochastic item linked to the uncertainty within the data themselves, namely 'observational noise' ( $\epsilon_t$ ), and a vector of latent variables called 'states' ( $\alpha_t$ ); 2) states which are evolving over time as a stochastic process with being affected by a 'process noise' ( $n_t$ ). Different from classical

- 136 decomposition models such as harmonic regression used in BFAST and CCDC, SSM allows for trend and
- 137 cycle components to be evolving randomly rather than deterministically (see Fig. 1), hence we called it as
- 138 'Stochastic Continuous Change Detection'.



139

Fig. 1. The comparison between a harmonic regression model (A) and a state space model (B) for
fitting curves. The harmonic regression model has a rigidity for consistent coefficients such as
intercepts, slope and Fourier coefficients, while the state space model assumes that each component
is evolving as a stochastic process and yields the optimal estimate for each time step based upon its
state covariance and observational noise, so that the model coefficients vary over time.

145

146 The general Gaussian SSM can be written in the form as below (Durbin and Koopman, 2012):

147 Observation equation: 
$$y_t = Z a_t + \epsilon_t$$
,  $\epsilon_t \sim N(0, H)$  (1)

148 State equation: 
$$a_{t+1} = Ta_t + \eta_t, \ \eta_t \sim N(0, Q)$$
 (2)

149 Where  $y_t$  is the observation at time t,  $\epsilon_t$  and  $\eta_t$  are two mutually independent random variables that follow 150 a normal distribution with mean 0 and variance H, and variance Q, respectively. Z is a system matrix in a 151 binary form, which indicates those state items that directly contributes to the observation. T is a 152 transformation matrix defining how a state vector evolves over time (mathematical definitions for Q, Z and 153 T are detailed in the appendix). State space representation is central to statistical treatment of structural time

- series models, owing to its ability of allowing for structural components to be modeled explicitly by state
  variables (Brockwell and Davis, 2013; Durbin and Koopman, 2012). SSM holds great promise for
  processing remote sensing time series, which are well known for the structure of 'trend + cycles' (Eastman
  et al., 2013; Verbesselt et al., 2010b; Zhu and Woodcock, 2014b).
- 158 The SSM for the state of 'trend' is formulated as a random-walk model:

159 
$$\mu_{t+1} = \mu_t + \xi, \ \xi \sim N(0, \sigma_{\xi}^2)$$
 (3)

160 Where  $\xi$  is a process noise item for the trend. The 'cycle' process requires two state variables to define:

161 
$$c_{t+1} = c_t \cos \lambda_c + c_t^* \sin \lambda_c + \omega_t, \ \omega_t \sim N(0, \sigma_\omega^2)$$
 (4)

162 
$$c_{t+1}^* = -c_t \sin \lambda_c + c_t^* \cos \lambda_c + \omega_t^*, \ \omega_t^* \sim N(0, \sigma_{\omega^*}^2)$$
 (5)

163 Where  $\lambda_c$  is the frequency of the cycle,  $\omega_t$  and  $\omega_t^*$  are independent noise items.  $c_t$  is the primary cycle 164 state, while  $c_{t+1}^*$  is an accessory state variable that is not included for prediction of  $y_t$  and only used to 165 enable a recursive form of mathematical computation (so its corresponding element in Z is 0). For a classic 166 model for 'trend + annual cycle + semi-annual cycle', the state vector can therefore be given by

167 
$$a_t = (\mu_t, c_{t,annual}, c^*_{t,annual}, c_{t,semi}, c^*_{t,semi})$$
 (6)

168 Compared with 6-coefficients harmonic model, our state space model has no component corresponding to 169 'slope', because S-CCD is essentially a Markov model forecasting present states based on only the last 170 observation, not all historical observations (i.e. decreasing or increasing trend). The advantages for 171 eliminating 'slope' component will be discussed in Section 6.

The Kalman filter is the most common tool providing an operational treatment for SSM. The Kalman filter was first developed for estimating real-time trajectory of the spacecraft for the Apollo program (Schmidt, 174 1981), and was later introduced to other application domains such as the control of linear systems (Davis and Vinter, 1985) and econometric modeling (Pasricha, 2006). Recently, the Kalman filter was applied to improve satellite-based time series analysis for applications such as crop phenology estimation (Vicente-

Guijalba et al., 2014), synthetic NDVI image generation (Sedano et al., 2014) and near real-time monitoring
of defoliation (Olsson et al., 2016). The Kalman filter is claimed to produce an optimal estimate in the sense
that it always reaches the minimum mean square error, and is capable of predicting measurements in a
recursive manner so that new measurements can be processed as they arrive (Durbin and Koopman, 2012;
Kalman, 1960). As such, the Kalman filter has great potential for being a fundamental tool of satellite-based
near real-time monitoring.

Fig. 2 presents an intuitive explanation of a Kalman filter for adjusting its modelling curve successivelyonce a new observation is available. The Kalman Filter defines one-ahead-step prediction for the date t as

$$185 \quad \hat{y}_t = a_t * Z \tag{7}$$

186 When a new observation, '2000-12-27', is introduced into the time series, there is a discrepancy between 187 the one-ahead-step prediction (the solid orange dot) and the new observation (the green cross) called 188 'innovation'. In a Kalman filter, the 'innovation' can be divided into two components: 1) observational 189 noise; and 2) model updates brought by the new observation. The Kalman gain is the relative weight 190 assigned to actual model updates, which can be estimated by covariances of states and observational noise. 191 Once the Kalman gain is computed, the Kalman filter can filter out observational noise from the innovation. 192 The new model coefficient will be adjusted based upon the remaining part that is considered only associated 193 with actual model updates, yielding a new fitting curve 'filtered state' (the blue dot). The filtered states (or 194 adjusted coefficients) will then be used to predict the next observation (the dashed orange line).



195

Fig. 2. An intuitive explanation of Kalman filter for a Landsat time series. When a new observation
at the date of '2000-12-27' is introduced to the system, the Kalman filter measures the difference
between the actual observation (the green cross at '2000-12-27') and the predicted value (the green
dot), namely 'innovation'. The fitting curve for the model will be adjusted to align with the optimal
estimate for the current states (the 'blue dot') by filtering out 'observational noise' from
'innovation'.

202

203 Vegetation dynamics often exhibit a complex trend, which is not guaranteed to be adequately approximated 204 by a single linear mode (Burkett et al., 2005; Zhao et al., 2019). The assumption for stochastically varying 205 states for SSM and Kalman filters avoids the rigidity of classical decomposition that assumes the 206 stationarity of linearity and seasonality, hence complex dynamics from time-series data are uncovered and 207 more local fluctuations can be captured (Brockwell and Davis, 2013). The flexibility of dynamic modeling 208 directly leads to better fitness of the model, and hence potentially increases the sensitivity of the model to 209 subtle changes because change magnitudes are often calculated relative to measurement of model fitness 210 such as Root Mean Square Error (RMSE). Other advantages of a state space analysis for satellite-based 211 time series include: 1) simple mathematical treatment of missing data (shown in Appendix) which is critical 212 for dealing with satellite-based time series known for its temporal irregularity; 2) explicit consideration for

213 measurement uncertainties for the noisy nature of remote sensing data; 3) high computational efficiency214 due to its recursive form.

## **3. Method**

Fig. 3 presents the workflow of S-CCD. S-CCD consisted of three primary stages: data preparation, model initialization and continuous monitoring. We used five spectral bands of surface reflectance products (green, red, NIR, SWIR1 and SWIR2) as the algorithm inputs because Zhu et al. (2019) have shown that these five spectral inputs alone can achieve the best performance compared with being combined with vegetation indices. We applied the same steps as COLD for S-CCD for data preparation, for which we refer to Section 3.1.1 of (Zhu et al., 2019).



Fig. 3. The workflow of the proposed Stochastic Continuous Change Detection (S-CCD). Same as the original COntinuous monitoring of Land Disturbance (COLD), the workflow consists of three stages: 1) data preparation; 2) model initialization; 3) continuous monitoring. The different steps between S-CCD and COLD are highlighted as yellow polygons.

226

## 227 3.1 Build Initial Model

- 228 After a clear time series is prepared by Fmask (Zhu and Woodcock, 2012), initialization model window
- and Tmask (Zhu and Woodcock, 2014a), S-CCD needs to seek a stable stage to define a statistical reference
- for change identification which adopts the stability test and 'looking back' procedure (Zhu et al., 2019).

The subtle modification for S-CCD is that we used 18 instead of 12 as the required minimum number of clear observations for an initialization window: our new approach assumes a fixed structure of 'trend + annual + semiannual' for a time series which can be equivalent to 6-coefficients harmonic model. The suggested minimum observation window for a LASSO regression is 'number of coefficients \* 3', hence the minimum observation number is set as 18. The reason for excluding a trimodal component (8-coefficient model) is discussed in Section 6.

## 237 3.2 State space model (SSM) initialization

In S-CCD, an additional step is to initialize the parameters and the structural elements for state space models before the continuous monitoring starts. The initial SSM parameters include observational noise (*H*), process noise (*Q*), initial states ( $a_0$ ) and initial covariance ( $P_0$ ).

241 The parameters H and Q are the two most important SSM parameters, representing the uncertainty level for 242 observations and each stochastic process. They are often estimated by maximizing likelihood through a 243 Quasi-Newton numerical searching algorithm in literature (Durbin and Koopman, 2012; Helske, 2016). 244 However, after initial tests, we learned that the Quasi-Newton algorithm was extremely inefficient for 245 processing millions of pixel-based time series. To overcome the issue, we designed a fast method for 246 estimating H and Q. We set the observation noise as the squared RMSE of LASSO regression for the 247 initialization window: H = RMSE \* RMSE. To estimate O, the Kalman filter with the initial process noise  $Q_{ini} = diag(0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$  is first applied to estimate filtered states for each observation within the 248 249 initialization window. Then, the process noise is corrected using the results of estimated filtered state from 250 the first run: the filtered trend state is ideally consistent if there is no process noise, and thereby, a single 251 process noise can be estimated as:

252 
$$\xi_n = (\mu_{t(n)|t(n)} - \mu_{t(n-l)|t(n-l)}) / sqrt(t(n) - t(n-l))$$
(8)

253 Where t(n - l) and t(n) is the date for two temporally neighboring observations, namely t-1 th and tth 254 observation,  $\mu_{t(n-l)|t(n-l)}$  and  $\mu_{t(n)|t(n)}$  represents filtered trend states for these two observations. The process noise for the trend state can be calculated as  $\sigma_{\xi}^2 = var(\xi_n)$ . The process noises for components are viewed as being proportional to the mean of the absolute value of the corresponding states, so the seasonal process noise can be calculated as:

258 
$$\sigma_{\omega}^{2} = \frac{\sum_{n=l}^{N} |c_{t(n)}|}{\sum_{n=l}^{N} |\mu_{t(n)}|} \sigma_{\xi}^{2}$$
(9)

Initial states  $a_0$  are estimated from using 6-coefficient LASSO regression to fit all observations within an initialization window. Initial covariance  $P_0$  represents the uncertainty level of  $a_0$ .  $P_0$  is often assumed to be the isotropic matrix,  $P_0 = \lambda I$ . The literature indicates that the uncertainty of Landsat surface reflectance product is under the surface reflectance (SR) specification, defined as 5% × SR + 0.005 (Claverie et al., 2015; Ju et al., 2012). Accordingly, we used 5% for estimating uncertainties of initial states, that is  $\lambda =$  $(Z \alpha_t * 5\%)^2 / sum(Z)$ .

### 265 3.3 Temporally adjusted peek window

266 For each newly-collected clear observation, S-CCD compares predictions from the Kalman filter and actual 267 observations based upon a number of consecutive anomaly observations, called the 'peek window' for 268 CCDC-like approaches (Davis et al., 2017). COLD approach determines the number of consecutive 269 observations via calculating the median revisit days across the entire time series. If the median revisit days 270 are shorter than normal Landsat temporal density (16 days), more consecutive observations need to be 271 included, and the probability threshold that all observations need to exceed is decreased to compensate (Zhu 272 et al., 2019). This approach requires an entire time series to compute median revisit days. We developed a 273 completely online approach for adjusting the peek window for S-CCD. The number of observations for an 274 temporally-adjusted peek window is defined as the minimum number satisfying 1) minimum requirements for consecutive observations, i.e., *conse<sub>def</sub>*; and 2) the minimum peek days (*min\_peek\_days*): 275

276 
$$conse_{def} = min\{x | x \ge conse_{def} \text{ and } span(x) \ge min\_peek\_days\}$$
 (10)

277 The defaulted consecutive number  $conse_{def} = 6$ . The  $min_peek_days$  is related to the temporal span 278 indicating consistency of a disturbance event affecting vegetation. If min peek days is set to be too long, 279 signals of a disturbance might be diluted due to post-disturbance forest regrowth; if too short, some 280 ephemeral changes such as soil moisture change might be misidentified as disturbance. As the normal 281 Landsat density is 16 days per clear observation (Zhu et al., 2019), the normal peek window width for min\_peek\_days is 6 \* 16 = 96 days. We explored the algorithm performance by using several 282 283 min\_peek\_daysbelow the normal peek window width (e.g. 60, 70, 80 days). Choosing min\_peek\_daysas 284 80 days shows the best result (see S1 in the supplementary material). The threshold probability is also 285 adjusted when a peek window chooses a consecutive observation number larger than conseder, following 286 Equation S4 in (Zhu et al., 2019).

287 Another advantage of the new peek window in terms of COLD approach is making it feasible to account 288 for variability in Landsat observation frequency across not just space, but also time. The new definition of 289 the peek window considers that the consecutive number is not fixed for a single time series. Time series 290 segments collected in the early days (e.g. before the launch of Landsat 7 ETM+ on 1999) often have a lower 291 temporal density than the most recent collections. Large changes in frequency driven by the number of 292 active sensors aboard Landsat satellites had an influence on the CCDC/COLD change detection records 293 (Brown et al., 2019): higher detection rates often occur at higher observation frequency, including 294 commission errors brought by ephemeral forest change. The new peek window enables an adjustment of 295 consecutive observations based upon the local temporal density for the peek window, because it defines the 296 peek window according to the physical attribute of a disturbance signal, namely lasting days, not satellite 297 observation count.

## 298 **3.4 Recursive temporally-adjusted RMSE**

Squared differences between predictions and observations is used to evaluate deviation of the current peekwindow from the 'stable stage'. RMSE is used to normalize the square difference, which is critical for

301 decisions on the occurrence of breakpoints. Considering that RMSE often exhibits a yearly pattern over the 302 whole time series (Zhu et al., 2015), the COLD algorithm employs a temporally-adjusted RMSE that is 303 calculated based on the temporally closest 24 observations to the peek window. For operational near real-304 time monitoring, this temporally-adjusted RMSE needs to be re-computed by loading all images back into 305 the model for a new observation with its date. We designed a novel recursive method based upon two 306 histograms respectively for clear observation counts (Fig. 4A) and square of RMSE (Fig. 4B) for Days of 307 Year (DOY), which eliminates the need for processing all images for each new observation. Both 308 histograms are defined as a bin width of 6 days, and 61 bins in total. The two histograms keep updating 309 when a new observation is available. To compute a temporally-adjust RMSE for a new observation, S-310 CCD will start from the bin at the middle date of the peek window ('Step 1' in Fig. 4A), and expand the 311 RMSE window by an increment of one bin on the left and the right side each time, until the RMSE window 312 include  $\geq 24$  observations ('Step 3' in Fig. 4A). The temporal RMSE is computed as the average 313 RMSE\*RMSE based on the sum of RMSE\*RMSE and the total count within the resultant RMSE window.



314

Fig. 4. The explanation for a recursive calculation of temporal RMSE: the algorithm searches a
RMSE window that just covers ≥ 24 observations by an increment of a bin on both sides in an
observational count histogram (Fig. 4A). Once it stops (Step3 in Fig. 4A), the temporal RMSE is
computed as the average RMSE\*RMSE within the resulting RMSE window.

319

320 Likewise, to enable near real-time monitoring, we used a dynamic minimum RMSE (or temporal lag-1

321 madogram), instead of a static minimum RMSE used in (Zhu et al., 2019), to define the minimum value of

322 RMSE for each band: the madogram is updated each year using all clear past observations.

323 Therefore, the new RMSE for S-CCD is computed as

324 
$$RMSE_{i} = max(\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{\in \varphi} RMSE_{i,b} * RMSE_{i,b}}{\sum_{\in \varphi} count_{i,b}}}, dynamic\_min\_RMSE_{i})$$
(11)

325 Where  $\varphi$  is a group of all bins (b) within the resultant *RMSE* window, and *i* is *i*th Landsat band.

326 We define the Standardized Change Vector  $(SCV_n)$  as a vector of the difference between a multispectral 327 observation *n* at time t(n) and its one-step-ahead prediction relative to *RMSE*:

328 
$$SCV_n = \left[\frac{y_{t(n),l} - \hat{y}_{t(n),l}}{RMSE_l}, \frac{y_{t(n),2} - \hat{y}_{t(n),2}}{RMSE_2}, \cdots, \frac{y_{t(n),B} - \hat{y}_{t(n),B}}{RMSE_B}\right]$$
 (12)

B is the number of bands used, i.e., 5 (green, red, NIR, SWIR1 and SWIR2). Similar to the COLD algorithm, we used the minimum change magnitude for each change vector within the peek window to detect the breakpoint. The minimum change magnitude (MCM) is used as the indicator of breakpoints, which is calculated as the minimum norm of  $SCV_n$  for a peek window. MCM follows the Chi-squared distribution with k degree of freedom (Zhu et al., 2019), where k is the number of the used spectral bands, namely '5'. A breakpoint candidate is identified if it meets the condition, where p refers to the current peek window:

335 
$$MCM = \{\|SCV_n\|^2\} \sim \chi^2(k) > \chi^2_{threshold}(5)$$
 (13)

#### 336 **3.5** Confirm change using angular spread

337 Ephemeral and systematic noise may also lead to relatively large change magnitudes for all observations 338 within a peek window. The disturbance signal, however, should have a consistent change direction for 339 multispectral surface reflectance bands. Therefore, the time series model needs to confirm the change from 340 the consistency of change angles once the Chi-squared distribution test is passed. COLD confirms breaks 341 using the mean included angle between pairs of neighboring change vectors smaller than 45 degrees. We 342 found that this strategy is sensitive to outliers: for example, if there is an outlier in the six consecutive 343 observations, two out of five neighbor pairs will be affected by this outlier (40% of the candidate angles); 344 if there are two outliers in a peek window, more than half of neighbor pairs are biased. We designed a new 345 change angle index called 'angular spread', referred as the angle between the standardized change vector 346 for *n*th observation  $(SCV_n)$  and the median standardized change vector (MedSCV) (see Fig. 5). The mediam 347 change vector of a peek window here is used to represent the average of the spectral response of a 348 disturbance. The observations for a disturbance should concentrate around the median change vector in an

ideal condition. We define 'Mean Angular Spread' for a peek window (Equation 14) to represent the average angular departure of each candidate change vector to medium change vector. We compared the performance of the maximum mean included angle as 45 degrees (the COLD approach) and the maximum angular spread with 30, 45 degree. The best result is achieved by using angular spread as 30 degrees (Fig. S2 in the supplementary material). The advantage of using 'Mean Angular Spread' is that is less sensitive to outliers existing in a peek window. For example, an outlier only affects a single change angle out of six angles for a default peek window (16.7% of the candidate angles).

356 Mean Angular Spread = 
$$\frac{1}{conse_{adj}} \sum_{n=1}^{conse_{adj}} \theta_{(SCV_n, MedSCV)} < 30^{\circ}$$
 (14)



358 Fig. 5. The explanation of 'angular spread' for a peek window consisted of 6 consecutive

observations with two spectral bands (B1, B2). Angular spread is computed as the mean angle for  $\theta$ ,

360 which is the included angle between the Standardized Change Vector  $(SCV_1, \ldots, SCV_6)$  and the

- 361 Median Standardized Change Vector (*Medium SCV*).
- 362

357

## 363 **3.6 Update model using the Kalman filter**

364 For those observations that are identified as being unchanged, non-consecutive outlier removal will be used

with a change probability of 0.99999 (same as Zhu et al. (2019)). If the observation passes the outlier test,

it will be inputted to the system to update the model using the Kalman filter (see the Appendix). Instead of

rebuilding LASSO regression each time, the Kalman filter has an extremely simple mathematical treatmentfor updating models.

#### 369 3.7 Disturbance identification

370 Breakpoints detected by the above procedure are not necessarily associated with forest disturbance, but 371 maybe forest recovery. As the final step, we need to single out those breaks that are related to forest health 372 decline led by disturbance. A typical forest disturbance will cause lower NIR, higher Red and higher SWIR 373 values. Such spectral change, however, might be asynchronous. For example, when a forest is attacked by 374 mountain pine beetle, the increase of SWIR often occurs first due to increased water stress, then is 375 increasing red band, and finally the NIR band decreases owing to needle drop. Hence, we created an index 376 called 'disturbance evidence' (see Equation 15) based on the medium Standardized Change Vector 377 (MedSCV) associated with detected breakpoints. 'Disturbance evidence' aims to provide a combined 378 analysis for multiple bands instead of a single band index. The breaks that are identified as being 379 disturbance-related need to have a disturbance evidence larger than zero:

380 
$$Disturbance evidence = MedSCV_{RED} - MedSCV_{NIR} + MedSCV_{SWIR1} > 0$$
 (15)

We compared '*Disturbance Evidence*' with COLD disturbance extraction with thresholds 0, -0.01, -0.02,
and -0.03. '*Disturbance Evidence*' had a higher best F1 score better than all other COLD disturbance
extraction methods at all five thresholds (see Fig. S3 in the supplementary material).

384

## 4. Study area, data and accuracy assessment

Our accuracy assessment is consisted of a quantitative (plot-based) analysis against a comprehensive forest disturbance dataset, and qualitative (map-based) evaluation over two disturbance sites. For the quantitative accuracy assessment, a benchmark forest disturbance database (Cohen et al., 2016; Zhu et al., 2019) is chosen that includes 3,503 Landsat-based forest plots across the conterminous United States (US) with well-interpreted disturbance timing and types. The plots were sampled by a two-stage stratified cluster design (Cohen et al., 2016). A stratified sampling was first applied to select 180 Thiessen Scene Areas

391 (TSAs; (Kennedy et al., 2010)) out of 420 TSAs divided into Mountain West, Lowland West, Central, 392 Northeast and Southeast US ecoregions as strata. For the second stage of sampling, 7,200 initial Landsat 393 plots were randomly chosen with a sample of 40 Landsat plots for each TSA, and then those plots with the 394 primary type of its land cover type was 'forest' at any time during the period of 1984-2012 were selected, 395 following the procedure of Cohen et al. (2016). Further, reference plots that do not satisfy the criteria of 396 enough clear sky observations (at least 24), have large data gaps (more than three years without any 397 observations), or have a disturbance with an interval of longer than 10 year, or difficult to interpret were 398 excluded (Zhu et al., 2019). As a result, a total of 3,782 forest plots across the conterminous US were 399 identified as our reference samples.

400 For the Landsat plots, forest disturbance occurrence between 1984 and 2012 were interpreted by USDA 401 Forest Service by combining plot-based time series visualization and high resolution Google Earth images 402 (Cohen et al., 2016); these samples were checked and corrected by five interpreters by eliminating the 403 disturbance plots that were not visually confirmed from the Landsat time series (Zhu et al., 2019). Causal 404 agent class of disturbances were interpreted with the aid of high-resolution Google Earth imagery, and an 405 ancillary database from multiple government agencies such as U.S. Forest Service Aerial Detection 406 Surveying maps<sup>4</sup>, Natural Resource Manager (NRM) database<sup>5</sup>, etc (Zhu et al., 2019). As a result, 3,782 407 forest plots were separated into 2,704 undisturbed plots and 1,078 disturbed plots, which have 1,413 408 disturbance occurrences in total (some plots have successive disturbance occurrences such as fire and 409 timber harvest). Among these disturbance occurrence, the most causal agent of disturbances is harvest (n =410 903), followed by mechanical (n = 149), stress (n = 141), fire (n = 127), and others (n = 83, e.g., hydrology), 411 wind, debris, land use change). For a detailed description of these disturbance causal agent classes, we refer 412 to (Cohen et al., 2016). The spatial distribution of 3,782 Landsat plots labeled as undisturbed or the number 413 of disturbance occurrences is shown in Fig. 6. We randomly selected 50% of the reference samples (1,891

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> <u>http://www.foresthealth.fs.usda.gov/portal/Flex/IDS</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> <u>https://www.fs.fed.us/nrm/index.shtml</u>

414 Landsat plots) for algorithm development and parameter calibration. The other 50% of the reference
415 samples were used as a holdout validation set to evaluate the comparative performance of S-CCD and
416 COLD.



417

418 Fig. 6: the spatial distribution of 3,782 reference sites, and two Landsat ARD scenes chosen as the

419 study areas. The reference sites include 2,704 undisturbed plots ('white circles') and 1,078

420 disturbed plots (red circles), which were collected using a stratified sampling based on the

421 ecoregions across the United States. There are 1,413 disturbance occurrences in total, and the

422 disturbance categories were dominated by the disturbance category 'Harvest' (63.9%), followed by

423 'Mechanical' (10.5%) and 'Stress' (9.9%). Landsat ARD 10/10 is located in the south Colorado

424 including a region where Papoose fire happened in 2013; Landsat ARD 30/6 is located in the New

- 425 England, including a site affected by gypsy moth infestation in 2016 and 2017.
- 426

427 Considering that different algorithms may have different sensitivities to disturbance magnitudes based on

- 428 change probability thresholds, the omission and commission rates based on a series of change probability,
- 429 namely 0.90, 0.925, 0.95, 0.975, 0.99, were chosen for accuracy assessment. The overall performance is
- 430 evaluated using the F1 score, because it provides a balanced assessment for omission and commission rates.
- 431 The definition of omission, commission rates and F1 score are the same as Zhu et al. (2019).

432 
$$F1 \ score = \frac{(1-commission)*(1-omission)}{2-commission-omission}$$
(16)

433 In addition to quantitative accuracy assessment, qualitative map-based comparisons are performed by 434 evaluating break year maps detected by two algorithms. We chose two Landsat ARD tiles that were 435 respectively affected by fire and insect disturbance. The fire site sits at the San Juan and Rio Grande 436 National Forests in southwestern Colorado, where the second largest fire in Colorado history, West Fork 437 Fire Complex, burned 438 square kilometers of forested in June and July 2013 (Verdin et al., 2013). The 438 burned area was dominated by Engelmann spruce (*Picea engelmannii*) and Subalpine fir (*Abies lasiocarpa*) 439 (Carlson et al., 2017), and the burn severity was moderate or high for 59 percent of the area within the burn 440 perimeter (Verdin et al., 2013). The West Fork Complex consisted of three lightning-caused wildfires, 441 namely West Fork, Papoose and Windy Pass. Our study site is located Landsat ARD tile path/row 10/10 442 (see the black rectangle in Fig. 6), which covers all the burned region of Papoose fire (see Fig.9). Caused 443 by lightning, the Papoose fire starts on June 19, 2013, spread with a southeast direction, and was 444 considerably dampened by precipitation on July 19, 2013 (Cyphers et al., 2019; United States Department 445 of, 2014). All Landsat ARD images between 1996 and 2019 (25 years) were downloaded for analysis. A 446 fire perimeter map for this specific fire provided by GeoMAC mapping application 447 (https://rmgsc.cr.usgs.gov/outgoing/GeoMAC/) was used as our reference map. Directed by United States 448 Geological Survey (USGS), GeoMAC updates the fire perimeter data based upon inputs from incident 449 intelligence sources, GPS data, infrared imagery from satellite platform (Walters et al., 2011), and provides 450 the most accurate perimeter map for this fire to our best knowledge.

The second disturbance site was chosen for gypsy moth (*Lymantria dispar*) infestation in Southern New England, which covers southern Massachusetts, northeast Connecticut, and northwest Rhode Island. This is a peri-urban region with 56.7% forest cover (i.e., 34.8% hardwood, 17.8% mixed and 4.1% conifer), and 26.7% developed area and 10.8% wetlands (See Fig. 8D). This study area contains the locations that experienced from gypsy moth outbreak that started in 2015, spiked in 2017 (Pasquarella et al., 2018). Compared with fire or harvest disturbance, insect disturbances often lead to short-term or gradual spectral

457 changes (Vogelmann et al., 2016). A gypsy moth infestation can consume a large quantity of foliage and 458 sometimes causes a near-total defoliation over a season or two (Townsend et al., 2004), but often starts to 459 recover very soon for the following year, so the change signal is commonly ephemeral (Vogelmann et al., 460 2016). In addition, infested stands typically consist of two or more dominant tree species usually 461 represented by multi-aged and multi-sized populations(Hart and Veblen, 2015), making the detection all 462 the more challenging because of mixed spectral response from various tree species. All Landsat ARD 463 images for path/row 30/6 in recent 10 years (2010-2019) were downloaded and preprocessed. The Aerial 464 Detection Survey (ADS) data<sup>6</sup> were used as reference dataset. The ADS data are polygon-based forest 465 health maps from visually-defined polygons for a variety of specific insects and disease, annually reported 466 by United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). It has been reported that the ADS data have high 467 omission and commission rates respectively as 32% and 33% (Johnson and Ross, 2008). The ADS data, 468 however, are a valuable source for indicating an approximate region for forest disease at a broad-scale 469 (minimum mapping unit as 5 ha) (Hart and Veblen, 2015; Preisler et al., 2012), and the timing of disease 470 occurrence.

## 471 **5. Results**

472 5.1 Quantitative accuracy assessment

We tested COLD and S-CCD against a holdout validation set (n = 1891) for a series of threshold probability. The omission, commission and F1 score (see annotation around markers) for each test have been shown in Fig. 7A. S-CCD outperforms COLD at lower thresholds at 0.90, 0.925 and 0.95 for F1 score, while COLD is slightly better at higher thresholds at 0.975 and 0.99. The best accuracy they reached are close: S-CCD achieve the best performance as a F1 score of 0.793 (0.95 threshold probability, 20.1% omission and 21.4% commission errors), while COLD reaches the best accuracy as F1 score of 0.789 (0.975 threshold probability, 24.6% omission and 17.2% commission errors).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> <u>http://www.foresthealth.fs.usda.gov/portal/Flex/IDS</u>

480 We also evaluated the performance of S-CCD and COLD against seven forest disturbance categories with 481 a threshold probability of 0.95 (Fig. 7B). Though COLD showed the best F1 score at the probability 0.975, 482 a probability threshold of 0.95 is chosen considering: 1) to keep consistent for comparison; and 2) COLD 483 achieved a better balance of omission and commission errors at probability 0.95. As Fig. 7B shows, S-CCD 484 and COLD have very close omission errors for 'Harvest', 'Mechanical' and 'Wind'; S-CCD achieved lower 485 omission error rate in the disturbance category 'Stress' (16.4% v.s. 24.6%) and 'Fire' (31.3% v.s. 35.8%), 486 but is worse in 'Hydrology' (12.5% v.s. 37.5%) and 'Other' (32.5% v.s. 22.5%). Surprisingly, the two 487 approaches both have relatively high omission errors for 'fire' disturbance, which is often known for 488 causing large change magnitude from satellite images (Cohen et al., 2016). The reason is that some low-489 severity/underground fire cases are included in the reference dataset, which causes only subtle spectral 490 change magnitude. The extremely low error rate for 'Wind' category is because the number of 'Wind' 491 samples (n = 13) is small and might be underrepresented in our database. Note that per-category accuracy 492 results of COLD for our tests are distinct with the results in Section 4.1 in (Zhu et al., 2019), which is 493 mainly due to 1) we used a lower threshold, 0.95, instead of 0.99; 2) different choices for training and 494 holdout reference samples due to random split; 3) only forest samples are analyzed.

495





Fig. 7. Quantitative accuracy assessment results of COLD and S-CCD based on a holdout reference
sample set (n = 1,891). A) shows omission, commission errors using a series of probability
thresholds as 0.9, 0.925, 0.95, 0.975 and 0.99. The F1 score is used to evaluate the overall accuracy
combining omission and commission errors, annotated around each marker. B) reports the
omission errors of COLD and S-CCD for each disturbance category.

502

#### 503 5.2 Qualitative accuracy assessment

For qualitative comparison, S-CCD and COLD were implemented to detect timing of forest disturbances
respectively for Papoose fire and gypsy moth infestation. A consistent probability threshold as 0.95 was
applied for both algorithms.

Fig. 8 shows the detection results of S-CCD and COLD for the Papoose fire site. Visually, COLD and S-CCD show very similar detection results for abrupt disturbance categories such as moderate/high-severity fire. As this region has been heavily affected by spruce beetle since 2010 (Hart and Veblen, 2015), we selected the breakpoints only detected in 2013, that is the year for Papoose fire, with an assumption that most of these breakpoints are associated with the fire, not spruce beetle attack. To assess accuracy of timings for these breakpoints, we outputted month maps for the breakpoints detected in 2013. These breakpoints mostly occurred in June and July (see Fig. 8A and B), which are well matched with the active temporal

514 window of Papoose fire on the historical records (Cyphers et al., 2019; United States Department of, 2014), 515 indicating high temporal accuracy of detected breakpoints for both methods. To further evaluate spatial 516 accuracy for damage mapping, we compare two detection maps with GeoMAC fire perimeter map (Fig. 517 8C). GeoMAC contains some commission errors for mapping fire damage areas that are actually bare land 518 from High-resolution Satellite imagery, while COLD and S-CCD both accurately labeled them as 'no-attack' 519 (see the example of Fig. 8D, E and F). It is noteworthy that Landsat 7 related scan-line corrector (SLC) 520 artifacts can be both seen in the two breakpoint month maps, where the 'month of disturbance' was labeled 521 as July, one month later than it should be. The disturbance dates detected by COLD and S-CCD may show 522 several days to a month as delayed, if the pixels happen to have data gaps right after the disturbance dates 523 due to the SLC-off issue.

524

525





For the gypsy moth scene (Fig. 9), we compared years of breakpoints detected with Aerial Surveying

Detection (ADS) data. Considering the ADS disturbance maps have the inter-mixing of several years of

537 insect-induced tree mortality, the reference map chose the disturbance year as the first year that a 538 disturbance captured by the ADS. Most disturbance events detected by COLD and S-CCD are concentrated 539 at the deciduous forest cover regions of the 2016 NLCD map (Fig. 9D). The disturbance years indicated by 540 two algorithms matched that of the ADS data, which are primarily the year of 2016 and 2017. This finding 541 is consistent with the historical record that a major outbreak began in early summer 2016, led by a series of 542 unusually dry springs (2014–2016) (Pasquarella et al., 2017). Admittedly, both algorithms yield much 543 fewer affected regions than that the ADS shows. Considering that Pasquarella et al. (2017) got the same 544 result for their study in the Southern New England region, those omission errors might be due to 545 overestimation of tree mortality regions in aerial sketch mapping. For comparative analysis, we found that 546 COLD missed some regions affected by gypsy moth (e.g., the dashed blue circle in Fig. 9A), while S-CCD 547 found most parts of the same region as forest disturbance. The general locations and the disturbance years 548 for extra regions detected by S-CCD have agreement with the ADS data (see the dashed circle in Fig.9C), 549 proving that these extra breakpoints detected by S-CCD for this region are related to forest damage caused 550 by gypsy moth. In addition, the damaged trees, which appears to be 'gray' color, can be clearly identified 551 from 2018 high-resolution Google satellite image (see Fig. 9G), and the geometric shape of damaged area 552 in the high-resolution imagery align with that of the disturbance region detected by S-CCD (Fig. 9F).



553

| 554 | Fig. 9. Qualitative comparison for gypsy moth site (Landsat ARD 30/6) in Southern New England    |
|-----|--|
| 555 | among COLD (A), S-CCD (B) and the ADS reference map (C). (D) shows the land cover types from     |
| 556 | NLCD 2016. COLD and S-CCD both use the probability threshold as 0.95. The color for (A), (B)     |
| 557 | and (C) denote the disturbance year indicated by each data source: the spectrum ranging from red |
| 558 | to blue corresponds to the years from 2011 to 2018. Fig.9 E), F) and G) shows an example region  |
| 559 | that COLD missed gypsy moth damage which can be clearly identified in the high-resolution        |
| 560 | Google imagery.  |
|     |  |

561

## 562 5.3 Efficiency test and software implementation

563 We implemented COLD and S-CCD in the high-performance C programming language. The C package

- named 'S-CCD' is downloadable from <u>https://github.com/SuYe99/s-ccd</u> (the repo was temporally set to be
- private for now, and the package was sent into 'supplementary materials' for the review process). A Python

interface is also provided by the package. The software implemented a shared memory parallelization for
COLD and S-CCD under a Linux/MacOS desktop environment, and can be easily adapted for a HighPerformance Computing (HPC) environment. It is noteworthy that our C-based COLD has been 15-20
times faster than the original MATLAB-based implementation.

570 To test the efficiency for C-based COLD and S-CCD, we used a 'dummy' Landsat ARD scanline which is 571 a standard sample set of 5,000 pixel-based time series plots selected from our reference sample set. The 572 sample set consists of 3,782 forest plots and 1,218 non-forest plots. To uncover the effects of monitoring 573 span on the speed, we pruned each time series into three different lengths of time series records, that is 10 574 years (2008-2017), 20 years (1998-2017) and 34 years (1984-2017). The results are summarized in Fig. 575 10. S-CCD can achieve up to ~4.4 times faster than the C-based COLD (with 34-year time series records). 576 With the length of records decreasing, the efficiency improvement of S-CCD over COLD declines, as ~3.5 577 times at 20-year time series records, and  $\sim 1.8$  time faster at 10-year time series records. This is because S-578 CCD improves efficiency mainly at the step of the model update; a longer time series needs a greater 579 number for model updates to complete a detection, and hence more significant efficiency improvement 580 made by S-CCD. For a standard 20-year Landsat ARD time series, our S-CCD program takes only ~6 581 minutes to finish a detection for a 5000-pixel scanline, and ~500 computing hours for a Landsat ARD scene.





Fig. 10. The result for the efficiency test based on a dummy Landsat ARD scanline (5,000 sample
pixels). Each time series are pruned as three versions for time series records, that is 10 years (2008-2017), 20 years (1998-2017), 34 years (1984-2017). The result shows that the efficiency of S-CCD
was increased as the length of time series increased, and can be up to 4.4 times faster than COLD.
The CPU is Intel(R) Core (TM) i7-4790, 3.60GHz.

## 588

## 589 **6. Discussion**

590 The quantitative accuracy assessment indicates that the differences between the best accuracy achieved by 591 S-CCD and COLD are only 0.4% (see Fig. 7A, 0.793 v.s. 0.789). The primary reason is that our plot 592 database is generated from random sampling over a nation-wide region, for which 63% of the forest 593 disturbances are harvesting activities; the two approaches have very similar performance for detecting 594 strong spectral signals yielded by those disturbances with medium- or high-severity and homogeneous tree 595 damages such as harvest disturbances (omission errors: 16% v.s. 16.2%). Our map-based evaluation for 596 Papoose fire case can confirm this conclusion.

| 597 | We noticed that, however, S-CCD achieved noticeably less omission errors than COLD for those lower-         |
|-----|---|
| 598 | magnitude disturbances such as drought stress and low-severity fire (see Fig. 7b). This finding was         |
| 599 | confirmed by our qualitative comparison for the case study of gypsy moths. The reason is three-fold. First, |
| 600 | S-CCD allows for known changes in the structure of the system over time, and often can achieve a better     |
| 601 | model fitting than COLD that assumes the rigidity of "linear trend + harmonic cycles". We calculated the    |
| 602 | average RMSE for each band using all our 3,782 samples. The results, as shown in Table 1, indicate that     |
| 603 | S-CCD has generally lower average RMSE than COLD for all seven spectral bands other than NIR and            |
| 604 | thermal bands (thermal band is not used to compute change magnitudes for both approaches, and thereby       |
| 605 | has no effects on break detection). As the two approaches both evaluated change magnitude relative to the   |
| 606 | RMSE, the lower RMSE means that S-CCD model is more sensitive to those low-magnitude spectral               |
| 607 | changes.  |

Table 1: the average RMSE of COLD and S-CCD for each band using 3,782 forest samples across
 the conterminous United States (the bold columns are the two bands that S-CCD has higher RMSE
 than COLD)

| Band  | Blue    | Green   | Red     | NIR     | SWIR1   | SWIR2   | Thermal |
|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| COLD  | 122.682 | 117.127 | 122.473 | 273.336 | 197.937 | 151.032 | 389.227 |
| S-CCD | 119.061 | 110.761 | 118.476 | 279.478 | 191.518 | 150.098 | 401.061 |

<sup>611</sup> 

612 Second, S-CCD uses a new temporally-adjusted peek window, for which the window width is defined as 613 calendar days, not a fixed observation number. Fig.11 is an example of NIR-based time series from our 614 gypsy moth case: S-CCD successfully detected the gypsy moth attack with a breakpoint as '2016-05-17' 615 (the black solid line in Fig. 11B) while missed by the COLD. COLD employs a fixed number of 12 616 observations for its peak window as the median revisit days is 8 days for this case (see Formula S2 in the 617 supplementary material of (Zhu et al., 2019)). If the peek window spans over a period of sparse clear 618 observation such as May and June on 2016, the peek window has to be extended to later months in order to 619 include enough clear observations. Differently, S-CCD defines the peek window as the minimum width of

80 calendar days, so when the monitoring stands at '2016-05-17' (the breakpoint detected), the peek window of S-CCD stops at the date '2016-07-20' (see the red dashed line in Fig. 11B), roughly one month earlier than that of COLD ('2016-08-21', see the red dashed line in Fig. 11A). A gradual decrease in NIR band ('greendown') is commonly observed during the later summer in deciduous broadleaf forests (Elmore et al., 2012; Melaas et al., 2013), which is also shown in the harmonic modeling curve of Fig 11A. As a result, the damage signal was overridden by normal phenological changes at the end of the peek window for COLD, causing the breakpoint to be missed for detection.



627

Fig. 11. An example where S-CCD detects the breakpoint that was missed by COLD, from a gypsy moth attack site. The black line: the breakpoint detected. The red dashed lines: the ending dates of the peek window for each method, when the monitoring stands at '05-17-2016' (the peak window of S-CCD is finished with one month earlier than COLD). The blue rectangle shows the recent signal for increasing NIR associated with forest regeneration, which is revealed by 'trend' component of S-CCD (The trimodel component is set as a constant '0' for S-CCD here because the trimodel component has been excluded for S-CCD).

- 636 Third, if COLD/CCDC misses observations at the beginning of a gradual change, the algorithm will
- 637 incorporate these 'change' observations to refit the model with a slight slope associated with 'degradation'.
- 638 This slope results in lower change magnitudes for subsequent observations, possibly causing a complete

<sup>635</sup> 

missing detection for the break (Bullock et al., 2019). Owing to no slope component in our predefined
structure (see Equation 6), S-CCD, however, assumes that the trend state to be constant for future, rather
than continue degrading, thereby owns a chance to detect the breakpoint later.

642 S-CCD also provides a rich set of information for help elucidate short-term fluctuations via its continuous 643 varying expression for trend and seasonality. For the example of Fig.11, the NIR trend component for S-644 CCD appears to be increasing after the year 2018 (see the blue rectangle in Fig.11B), indicating the 645 greenness is recovering. COLD fails to unveil these subtle signals because it generalizes linear trend and 646 seasonality using a simple harmonic model. S-CCD is more informative for probing such-like short-term 647 and subtle signals which might have important ecological implications, owing to its ability to model more 648 complex nonlinear temporal dynamics. It is worth mentioning that our software package provides this 649 functionality of visualization for plotting dynamics of each state.

650 Another obvious advantage of S-CCD is that it is a completely online monitoring algorithm, which can be 651 directly used for near real-time forest monitoring. S-CCD improves several steps of COLD and enables a 652 continuous monitoring in a completely recursive form, such as the adjusted peek window, recursive 653 temporal RMSE. More importantly, the core technique for S-CCD, the Kalman filter, is a powerful real-654 time algorithm well known for its high computational efficiency and short-memory requirement. For COLD, 655 limited by its manner for re-constructing the model per observation, it requires reading all images into the 656 system to rebuild harmonic curves for each new observation. Instead, S-CCD just needs to update those 657 parameter files (e.g., states and covariance) once the initialization is finished, which can reduce ~ 95% of 658 data inputs for monitoring each new observation. In addition, the Kalman filter has an extremely simple 659 mathematical treatment for dealing with missing data, therefore is an ideal tool for processing remote 660 sensing time series which often have irregular temporal intervals between successive observations.

A possible concern is that S-CCD assumes a consistent structure of time series for trend, annual and semiannual harmonic items (equal to 6-coefficient harmonic models), while Zhu et al. (2019) suggested using a harmonic model with a maximum coefficient as 8, including trend, annual, semi-annual and trimodal 664 harmonic items (frequency =  $\frac{1}{3}$  year). As such, we tested adding an additional trimodal cycle component 665 into the presumed time series structure of S-CCD, and found that the best F1 score decreased from 0.795 666 to 0.706 for S-CCD (see Fig. S4 in the supplementary material). In a harmonic regression, trimodal 667 component can be viewed as an additional modifier to annual and semi-annual curves (Eastman et al., 2009). 668 The state space model adopted a totally different strategy to resolve unexplained variance from annual and 669 semi-annual curves: the state space model assumes that the trend and seasonality states are evolving as time 670 goes by (see Fig. 2B), instead of following a fixed set of harmonic coefficients, thus the unexplained 671 variances can be 'ingested' immediately by changes in structure over time. Another reason might be that 672 we focus on forest disturbance detection for this study, while the trimodal component is often found to be 673 more useful for modeling cropland dynamics.

674 Admittedly, COLD is designed for detecting/characterizing all types of land disturbances, not limited to 675 forest disturbances. We tested S-CCD against a reference dataset for comprehensive land types, and got a 676 slightly lower F1 score compared with COLD (0.69 vs 0.71). Our test shows that S-CCD performs less 677 ideal under a highly-fluctuated environment, and is more prone to over-detection due to those ephemeral 678 changes that have a high change magnitude, such as moisture change for grassland/bare land and 679 agricultural rotation. To alleviate this issue, our software package enables users to specify a mask for 680 focused study area, which can exclude the regions where uninterested land change occur and greatly 681 improve processing efficiency as well. Yet, there is still much studies that is needed to analyze 682 characteristics of detected breaks and select breaks only linked to targeted physical processes. Another 683 reason for the unsatisfactory results is that we often have multiple historical data sources to confirm forest 684 disturbances such as the ADS and the LANDFIRE products, but reliable references for the other non-forest 685 land disturbance are lacking. The quality of our non-forest land samples might affect the final accuracy 686 result. Our future work will be directed into 1) modifying S-CCD to accommodate other applications such 687 as agricultural shifts and urban expansion, and 2) tuning the algorithm for the optimal parameters, such as

688 probability threshold and *min\_peek\_days*, for better detection of targeted change agents and timely warning689 of land disturbance.

### 690 7. Conclusion

We presented an improved time series framework, Stochastic Continuous Change Detection (S-CCD), for near real-time forest disturbance monitoring. The new approach introduces the state space model into the current framework for Continuous Monitoring of Land Disturbance (COLD), to facilitate a complete near real-time analytics of forest dynamics and improve computational efficiency. S-CCD provides an accurate mapping for timing and change magnitude of forest disturbance, and uncovers complex nonlinear dynamics from time series data. Especially S-CCD can improve the monitoring for those disturbances that induce subtle spectral changes.

#### 698 Acknowledgement

This work has been supported with funding from American Society of Photogrammetry and Remote
Sensing (ASPRS) William A. Fisher Scholarship and Albert, and Norma and Howard Geller '77 Endowed
Research Awards to Su Ye. We also gratefully acknowledge the support of USGS-NASA Landsat Science
Team (LST) Program for Toward Near Real-time Monitoring and Characterization of Land Surface Change
for the Conterminous US (140G0119C0008) to Zhe Zhu.

704

705

- 706
- 707
- 708

709

# 710 Appendix

711

712 The system matrices for 'trend+annual+semi-annual' time series structural model are defined by

713 
$$Z = (1, 1, 0, 1, 0)$$
 (A1)

714 
$$Q = diag(\sigma_{\xi}^2, \sigma_{\omega,annual}^2, \sigma_{\omega^*,annual}^2, \sigma_{\omega,semi}^2, \sigma_{\omega^*,semi}^2)$$
(A2)

715 
$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{365.25}\right) & \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{365.25}\right) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{365.25}\right) & \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{365.25}\right) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{2*365.25}\right) & \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{2*365.25}\right) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{2*365.25}\right) & \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{2*365.25}\right) \end{bmatrix}$$
(A3)

716

717 The Kalman filter recursion for the general Gaussian model of form are

718 
$$v_{t,i} = y_{t,i} - Z a_{t,i}$$
 (A4)

719 
$$F_{t,i} = Z P_{t,i} Z^{T} + H_{i}$$
 (A5)

720 
$$K_{t,i} = P_{t,i}Z^{i}$$
 (A6)

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
(A7) \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
722 \\
7$$

(A8) 
$$a_{t+1,i} = T a_{t|t,i}$$

723 
$$P_{t+1,i} = T(P_{t,i} - K_{t,i}K_{t,i}^T F_{t,i}^{-1})T^T + Q_i$$
(A9)

- 725  $y_{t,i}$ : the observation at time *t* for band *i*
- 726  $v_{t,i}$ : the innovation, namely the difference between predicted and actual observations, at time t for band i
- 727 Z: the system matrix that determines which items in the state vector are included for the observation
- 728  $K_{t,i}$ : the Kalman gain which is the relative ratio of being assigned to the model update is from the
- 729 innovation at time t for band i
- 730  $P_{t,i}$ : the covariance matrix at time t for band i
- 731  $a_{t|t,i}$ : the filtered states at time t for band i
- 732  $F_{t,i}$ : the variance of the innovation  $v_{t,i}$
- 733  $Q_i$ : the process noise for band *i*
- 734  $H_i$ : the observational noise for band *i*
- 735

For missing observation, there is no innovation  $v_{t,i}$ . Therefore, the mathematical treatment for state and covariance matrix updates can be simply put as

738 
$$a_{t+1,i} = T a_{t|t,i}$$
 (A10)

739 
$$P_{t+1,i} = TP_{t,i}T^T + Q_i$$
 (A11)

## 740 **Reference**

- Allen, C.D., Breshears, D.D., & McDowell, N.G. (2015). On underestimation of global vulnerability to
   tree mortality and forest die-off from hotter drought in the Anthropocene. *Ecosphere*, *6*, 1-55
- Brockwell, P.J., & Davis, R.A. (2013). *Introduction to Time Series and Forecasting*. Springer Science &
   Business Media
- Brown, J.F., Tollerud, H.J., Barber, C.P., Zhou, Q., Dwyer, J.L., Vogelmann, J.E., Loveland, T.R.,
  Woodcock, C.E., Stehman, S.V., Zhu, Z., Pengra, B.W., Smith, K., Horton, J.A., Xian, G., Auch,
  R.F., Sohl, T.L., Sayler, K.L., Gallant, A.L., Zelenak, D., Reker, R.R., & Rover, J. (2019).
  Lessons learned implementing an operational continuous United States national land change
  monitoring capability: The Land Change Monitoring, Assessment, and Projection (LCMAP)
  approach. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 111356
- Bullock, E.L., Woodcock, C.E., & Holden, C.E. (2019). Improved change monitoring using an ensemble
   of time series algorithms. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 111165
- Burkett, V.R., Wilcox, D.A., Stottlemyer, R., Barrow, W., Fagre, D., Baron, J., Price, J., Nielsen, J.L.,
  Allen, C.D., Peterson, D.L., Ruggerone, G., & Doyle, T. (2005). Nonlinear dynamics in
  ecosystem response to climatic change: Case studies and policy implications. *Ecological Complexity*, 2, 357-394
- Carlson, A.R., Sibold, J.S., Assal, T.J., & Negrón, J.F. (2017). Evidence of compounded disturbance
   effects on vegetation recovery following high-severity wildfire and spruce beetle outbreak. *PLoS ONE*, *12*, e0181778
- Claverie, M., Vermote, E.F., Franch, B., & Masek, J.G. (2015). Evaluation of the Landsat-5 TM and
   Landsat-7 ETM+ surface reflectance products. *Remote Sensing of Environment, 169*, 390-403
- Cohen, W.B., Healey, S.P., Yang, Z., Stehman, S.V., Brewer, C.K., Brooks, E.B., Gorelick, N., Huang,
  C., Hughes, M.J., & Kennedy, R.E. (2017). How Similar Are Forest Disturbance Maps Derived
  from Different Landsat Time Series Algorithms? *Forests*, *8*, 98
- Cohen, W.B., Yang, Z., Stehman, S.V., Schroeder, T.A., Bell, D.M., Masek, J.G., Huang, C., & Meigs,
  G.W. (2016). Forest disturbance across the conterminous United States from 1985–2012: The
  emerging dominance of forest decline. *Forest ecology and management*, 360, 242-252
- Curran, L.M., & Trigg, S.N. (2006). Sustainability science from space: quantifying forest disturbance and
   land-use dynamics in the Amazon. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the* United States of America, 103, 12663-12664
- 771 Cyphers, L., Mackes, K., & Duda, K. (2019). Timber Losses from West Fork Complex Fire in Southwest
   772 Colorado. *For. Prod. J.*
- Dale, V.H., Joyce, L.A., McNulty, S., Neilson, R.P., Ayres, M.P., Flannigan, M.D., Hanson, P.J., Irland,
   L.C., Lugo, A.E., & Peterson, C.J. (2001). Climate change and forest disturbances. *BioScience*,
   51, 723-734
- 776 Davis, M.H.A., & Vinter, R.B. (1985). Stochastic models. Stochastic Modelling and Control, 60-99
- Durbin, J., & Koopman, S.J. (2012). *Time Series Analysis by State Space Methods: Second Edition*. OUP
   Oxford
- Dwyer, J., Roy, D., Sauer, B., Jenkerson, C., Zhang, H., & Lymburner, L. (2018). Analysis Ready Data:
  Enabling Analysis of the Landsat Archive. *Remote Sensing*, 10

- 781 Eastman, J.R., Sangermano, F., Ghimire, B., Zhu, H., Chen, H., Neeti, N., Cai, Y., Machado, E.A., &
  782 Crema, S.C. (2009). Seasonal trend analysis of image time series. *International journal of remote*783 *sensing*, 30, 2721-2726
- Eastman, J.R., Sangermano, F., Machado, E.A., Rogan, J., & Anyamba, A. (2013). Global trends in
  seasonality of normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI), 1982–2011. *Remote Sensing*, 5,
  4799-4818
- Filmore, A.J., Guinn, S.M., Minsley, B.J., & Richardson, A.D. (2012). Landscape controls on the timing
   of spring, autumn, and growing season length in mid-Atlantic forests. *Global change biology*, *18*,
   656-674
- Hart, S.J., & Veblen, T.T. (2015). Detection of spruce beetle-induced tree mortality using high-and
   medium-resolution remotely sensed imagery. *Remote Sensing of Environment, 168*, 134-145
- Helske, J. (2016). KFAS: Exponential Family State Space Models in R. arXiv [stat.CO]
- Jamali, S., Jönsson, P., Eklundh, L., Ardö, J., & Seaquist, J. (2015). Detecting changes in vegetation
   trends using time series segmentation. *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 156, 182-195
- Johnson, E.W., & Ross, J. (2008). Quantifying error in aerial survey data. *Australian Forestry*, 71, 216 222
- Ju, J., Roy, D.P., Vermote, E., Masek, J., & Kovalskyy, V. (2012). Continental-scale validation of
   MODIS-based and LEDAPS Landsat ETM+ atmospheric correction methods. *Remote Sensing of Environment, 122*, 175-184
- Kalman, R.E. (1960). A new approach to linear filtering and prediction problems. *Int. J. Eng. Trans. A*,
   801 82, 35-45
- Kautz, M., Meddens, A.J., Hall, R.J., & Arneth, A. (2017). Biotic disturbances in Northern Hemisphere
   forests-a synthesis of recent data, uncertainties and implications for forest monitoring and
   modelling. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 26, 533-552
- Kennedy, R.E., Andréfouët, S., Cohen, W.B., Gómez, C., Griffiths, P., Hais, M., Healey, S.P., Helmer,
  E.H., Hostert, P., Lyons, M.B., & Others (2014). Bringing an ecological view of change to
  Landsat-based remote sensing. *Front. Ecol. Environ.*, 12, 339-346
- Kennedy, R.E., Yang, Z., & Cohen, W.B. (2010). Detecting trends in forest disturbance and recovery
   using yearly Landsat time series: 1. LandTrendr—Temporal segmentation algorithms. *Remote Sensing of Environment, 114*, 2897-2910
- Masek, J.G., Goward, S.N., Kennedy, R.E., Cohen, W.B., Moisen, G.G., Schleeweis, K., & Huang, C.
  (2013). United States Forest Disturbance Trends Observed Using Landsat Time Series. *Ecosystems*, 16, 1087-1104
- Masek, J.G., Huang, C., Wolfe, R., Cohen, W., Hall, F., Kutler, J., & Nelson, P. (2008). North American
  forest disturbance mapped from a decadal Landsat record. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, *112*,
  2914-2926
- Melaas, E.K., Friedl, M.A., & Zhu, Z. (2013). Detecting interannual variation in deciduous broadleaf
   forest phenology using Landsat TM/ETM+ data. *Remote Sensing of Environment, 132*, 176-185

819 Olsson, P.-O., Lindström, J., & Eklundh, L. (2016). Near real-time monitoring of insect induced
 820 defoliation in subalpine birch forests with MODIS derived NDVI. *Remote Sensing of* 821 *Environment*, 181, 42-53

- Paritsis, J., & Veblen, T.T. (2011). Dendroecological analysis of defoliator outbreaks on Nothofagus
   pumilio and their relation to climate variability in the Patagonian Andes. *Global change biology*,
   17, 239-253
- Pasquarella, V., Bradley, B., & Woodcock, C. (2017). Near-Real-Time Monitoring of Insect Defoliation
   Using Landsat Time Series. *Forests*, *8*, 275
- Pasquarella, V., Elkinton, J.S., & Bradley, B.A. (2018). Extensive gypsy moth defoliation in Southern
  New England characterized using Landsat satellite observations. *Biol. Invasions*, 20, 3047-3053
- 829 Pasricha, G.K. (2006). Kalman Filter and its Economic Applications
- Pechony, O., & Shindell, D.T. (2010). Driving forces of global wildfires over the past millennium and the
   forthcoming century. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 107*, 19167-19170
- Preisler, H.K., Hicke, J.A., Ager, A.A., & Hayes, J.L. (2012). Climate and weather influences on spatial
   temporal patterns of mountain pine beetle populations in Washington and Oregon. *Ecology*, 93,
   2421-2434
- Rogan, J., & Mietkiewicz, N. (2015). Land cover change detection. Land Resources Monitoring,
   Modeling, and Mapping with Remote Sensing; Thenkabail, PS, Ed, 579-603
- 837 Schmidt, S.F. (1981). The Kalman filter Its recognition and development for aerospace applications. J.
   838 *Guid. Control Dyn.*, 4, 4-7
- 839 Sedano, F., Kempeneers, P., & Hurtt, G. (2014). A Kalman Filter-Based Method to Generate Continuous
  840 Time Series of Medium-Resolution NDVI Images. *Remote Sensing*, *6*, 12381-12408
- Seidl, R., Thom, D., Kautz, M., Martin-Benito, D., Peltoniemi, M., Vacchiano, G., Wild, J., Ascoli, D.,
  Petr, M., & Honkaniemi, J. (2017). Forest disturbances under climate change. *Nature climate change*, 7, 395-402
- Townsend, P.A., Eshleman, K.N., & others (2004). Remote sensing of gypsy moth defoliation to assess
   variations in stream nitrogen concentrations. *Ecological*
- Turner, M.G., Baker, W.L., Peterson, C.J., & Peet, R.K. (1998). Factors influencing succession: lessons
   from large, infrequent natural disturbances. *Ecosystems*, 1, 511-523
- 848 United States Department of, A. (2014). West Fork Fire Complex
- Verbesselt, J., Hyndman, R., Newnham, G., & Culvenor, D. (2010a). Detecting trend and seasonal
   changes in satellite image time series. *Remote Sensing of Environment, 114*, 106-115
- Verbesselt, J., Hyndman, R., Zeileis, A., & Culvenor, D. (2010b). Phenological change detection while
   accounting for abrupt and gradual trends in satellite image time series. *Remote Sensing of Environment, 114*, 2970-2980
- Verbesselt, J., Zeileis, A., & Herold, M. (2012). Near real-time disturbance detection using satellite image
   time series. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 123, 98-108
- Verdin, K.L., Dupree, J.A., & Stevens, M.R. (2013). Postwildfire debris-flow hazard assessment of the
   *area burned by the 2013 West Fork Fire Complex, southwestern Colorado*. US Department of the
   Interior, US Geological Survey
- Vicente-Guijalba, F., Martinez-Marin, T., & Lopez-Sanchez, J.M. (2014). Crop Phenology Estimation
   Using a Multitemporal Model and a Kalman Filtering Strategy. *IEEE Geoscience and Remote* Sensing Letters, 11, 1081-1085

- Vogelmann, J.E., Gallant, A.L., Shi, H., & Zhu, Z. (2016). Perspectives on monitoring gradual change
   across the continuity of Landsat sensors using time-series data. *Remote Sensing of Environment*,
   *185*, 258-270
- Walters, S.P., Schneider, N.J., & Guthrie, J.D. (2011). Geospatial Multi-Agency Coordination (GeoMAC)
   wildland fire perimeters, 2008. *Data Series*
- Westerling, A.L. (2016). Increasing western US forest wildfire activity: sensitivity to changes in the timing of spring. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B*, 371, 20150178
- Woodcock, C.E., Allen, R., Anderson, M., Belward, A., Bindschadler, R., Cohen, W., Gao, F., Goward,
  S.N., Helder, D., & Helmer, E. (2008). Free access to Landsat imagery. *Science*, *320*, 1011-1011
- Ye, S., Rogan, J., & Sangermano, F. (2018). Monitoring rubber plantation expansion using Landsat data
  time series and a Shapelet-based approach. *ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote Sens.*, *136*, 134-143
- Zhao, K., Wulder, M.A., Hu, T., Bright, R., Wu, Q., Qin, H., Li, Y., Toman, E., Mallick, B., Zhang, X., &
  Brown, M. (2019). Detecting change-point, trend, and seasonality in satellite time series data to
  track abrupt changes and nonlinear dynamics: A Bayesian ensemble algorithm. *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 232, 111181
- 877 Zhu, Z. (2017). Change detection using landsat time series: A review of frequencies, preprocessing,
  878 algorithms, and applications. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, *130*, 370879 384
- 880 Zhu, Z. (2019). Science of Landsat Analysis Ready Data. Remote Sensing, 11, 2166
- Zhu, Z., & Woodcock, C.E. (2012). Object-based cloud and cloud shadow detection in Landsat imagery.
   *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 118, 83-94
- Zhu, Z., & Woodcock, C.E. (2014a). Automated cloud, cloud shadow, and snow detection in
   multitemporal Landsat data: An algorithm designed specifically for monitoring land cover
   change. *Remote Sensing of Environment, 152*, 217-234
- Zhu, Z., & Woodcock, C.E. (2014b). Continuous change detection and classification of land cover using
  all available Landsat data. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 144, 152-171
- Zhu, Z., Woodcock, C.E., Holden, C., & Yang, Z. (2015). Generating synthetic Landsat images based on
  all available Landsat data: Predicting Landsat surface reflectance at any given time. *Remote Sensing of Environment, 162*, 67-83
- Zhu, Z., Woodcock, C.E., & Olofsson, P. (2012). Continuous monitoring of forest disturbance using all
   available Landsat imagery. *Remote Sensing of Environment, 122*, 75-91
- Zhu, Z., Zhang, J., Yang, Z., Aljaddani, A.H., Cohen, W.B., Qiu, S., & Zhou, C. (2019). Continuous
   monitoring of land disturbance based on Landsat time series. *Remote Sensing of Environment*

895