Construction of fault geometry by finite-fault inversion of teleseismic data

³ Kousuke Shimizu,¹ Yuji Yagi,² Ryo Okuwaki,^{2,3,4} and Yukitoshi Fukahata⁵

¹Graduate School of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8572, Japan. E-mail: seismo55smz@gmail.com

² Faculty of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8572, Japan.
³ Mountain Science Center, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8572, Japan.
⁴ COMET, School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK.
⁵ Disaster Prevention Research Institute, Kyoto University, Uji, Kyoto 611-0011, Japan.

4

5 SUMMARY

Conventional seismic source inversion estimates the earthquake rupture process on an assumed fault plane that is determined a priori. It has been a difficult challenge to obtain the fault geometry together with the rupture process by seismic source inversion because of 8 the nonlinearity of the inversion technique. In this study, we propose an inversion method 9 to estimate the fault geometry and the rupture process of an earthquake from teleseismic 10 P waveform data, through an elaboration of our previously published finite-fault inver-11 sion analysis (Shimizu et al. 2020). That method differs from conventional methods by 12 representing slip on a fault plane with five basis double-couple components, expressed 13 by potency density tensors, instead of two double-couple components compatible with 14 the fault direction. Because the slip direction obtained from the potency density tensors 15 should be compatible with the fault direction, we can obtain the fault geometry consis-16 tent with the rupture process. In practice we rely on an iterative process, first assuming 17 a flat fault plane and then updating the fault geometry by using the information included 18

in the obtained potency density tensors. In constructing a non-flat model-fault plane, we 19 assume for simplicity that the fault direction changes only in either the strike or the dip 20 direction. After checking the validity of the proposed method through synthetic tests, we 21 applied it to the M_W 7.7 2013 Balochistan, Pakistan, and M_W 7.9 2015 Gorkha, Nepal, 22 earthquakes, which occurred along geometrically complex fault systems. The modelled 23 fault for the Balochistan earthquake is a curved strike-slip fault convex to the south-east, 24 which is consistent with the observed surface ruptures. The modelled fault for the Gorkha 25 earthquake is a reverse fault with a ramp-flat-ramp structure, which is also consistent with 26 the fault geometry derived from geodetic and geological data. These results exhibit that 27 the proposed method works well for constraining fault geometry of an earthquake. 28

Key words: Image processing; Waveform inversion; Inverse theory; Earthquake dynamics; Earthquake source observations

31 1 INTRODUCTION

The geometry of an earthquake fault reflects a stress field arising from regional tectonics. In mountainous areas, for example, fault geometry tends to be non-planar (e.g. Fielding et al. 2013; Avouac et al. 2014; Elliott et al. 2016), which is related to the topography and growth process of mountains (e.g. Elliott et al. 2016). It has also been shown that spatial variations in the fault geometry play an important role in rupture propagation (e.g. Aki 1979; Wald & Heaton 1994; Okuwaki & Yagi 2018; Okuwaki et al. 2020). Thus, fault geometry has important information that adds detail to our understanding of regional tectonics and rupture behaviour.

The seismic waveform typically contains information on both rupture propagation and fault ge-39 ometry underground. Multiple point source inversions have been developed to estimate focal mecha-40 nisms and source locations of subevents of large rupture events from seismic waveforms (e.g. Kikuchi 41 & Kanamori 1991; Duputel et al. 2012a,b; Duputel & Rivera 2017; Shi et al. 2018; Yue & Lay 2020). 42 Although this technique allows us to roughly track rupture propagation from the locations of several 43 point sources, rupture propagation between subevents cannot be well resolved, obscuring the details 44 of rupture propagation and its relationship to fault geometry. 45 Finite-fault inversion of seismic waveforms has been widely used for resolving rupture propaga-46

tion in detail along a model fault plane (e.g. Olson & Apsel 1982; Hartzell & Heaton 1983). However,
 it had been generally difficult to constrain the fault geometry of an earthquake solely by using it be-

⁴⁹ cause of strong nonlinearity in the inversion analysis (Fukahata & Wright 2008; Asano & Iwata 2009).

An inappropriate assumption of fault geometry increases modelling errors, which may greatly distort
 solutions (e.g. Ragon et al. 2018; Shimizu et al. 2020).

In a recent paper, we refined the method of Yagi & Fukahata (2011), which explicitly introduced 52 uncertainty of Green's functions into seismic source inversion, to develop a novel method of finite-fault 53 inversion that extracts information on fault geometry as well as rupture propagation from teleseismic 54 P waveforms (Shimizu et al. 2020). The key to the method is that it adopts five basis double-couple 55 components (Kikuchi & Kanamori 1991), which are not restricted to the two slip components com-56 patible with the fault direction, to represent fault slip. Of course, the true fault geometry should be 57 compatible with the actual slip direction, but because the teleseismic *P*-wave Green's function is in-58 sensitive to slight changes in the absolute source location, the new inversion method enables us to infer 59 the spatiotemporal distribution of potency density tensors (e.g. Ampuero & Dahlen 2005) along the 60 assumed model fault plane. Potency density tensors, which are obtained by dividing a moment density 61 tensor by rigidity, contain information on the direction of fault displacement. 62

However, the locations of potency density tensors estimated on an assumed model fault plane can 63 deviate from their true location, which means that the spatial distribution of the strike and dip angles 64 of potency density tensors cannot directly yield the fault geometry. Moreover, the estimated potency 65 density cannot be directly interpreted as slip because the assumed model plane is not always identical 66 to the real fault plane. Rupture propagation velocity and its relation to fault geometry are also difficult 67 to properly understand. Thus, source models obtained by the inversion method of Shimizu et al. (2020) 68 may not be interpreted in the same way as those obtained by conventional inversion methods, in which 69 a shear slip direction is fixed on the assumed model fault plane. 70

Here, we propose an iterative inversion method to construct fault geometry from teleseismic P71 waveforms that uses the method of Shimizu et al. (2020) to solve the spatial distribution of strike and 72 dip angles on the assumed fault. Iterative solutions allow us to update the fault geometry step by step, 73 yielding a fault geometry that is consistent with the spatial distribution of strike and dip angles. With 74 an improved source model, we can better estimate the relationship between rupture propagation and 75 fault geometry. This paper reports our evaluation of the proposed method through synthetic tests and 76 our successful application of it to waveforms of the M_W 7.7 2013 Balochistan, Pakistan and the M_W 77 7.9 2015 Gorkha, Nepal, earthquakes, which occurred on well-characterised, geometrically complex 78 fault systems. 79

80 **2 METHOD**

We used the inversion method of Shimizu et al. (2020) to construct fault geometries consistent with 81 the spatial distribution of the strike or dip of the obtained potency density tensors. Since the potency 82 density tensors obtained by the inversion method of Shimizu et al. (2020) depend to some degree on 83 the assumed model fault geometry, we used the inversion analysis iteratively to construct the fault 84 geometry, at each step solving the spatial distribution of potency density tensors on the assumed fault 85 plane. In this study, we assumed for simplicity that the fault geometry changes only either along strike 86 or along dip. This assumption leads to two types of model fault: a vertical fault with variable strike 87 and uniform dip direction, and a nonvertical fault with variable dip and uniform strike. The proposed 88 method follows four steps. 89

⁹⁰ Step 1: Set an initial model fault plane

The initial model fault is a single flat plane, which is placed to roughly cover the possible source region of an earthquake (Step 1 in Fig. 1). The model fault is discretized into a number of flat subfaults evenly spaced along the strike and dip directions, with each subfault identical in strike and dip to the model fault plane. The initial rupture point coincides with the earthquake hypocentre obtained from other studies.

⁹⁶ Step 2: Perform a potency density tensor inversion

The finite-fault inversion of Shimizu et al. (2020) is performed to obtain the spatial distribution of potency density tensors on the initial model fault plane. Displacement of a seismic waveform u_j observed at a far-field station *j* is represented by a linear combination of potency rate density functions of five basis double-couple components (Kikuchi & Kanamori 1991) on the assumed model fault plane *S*:

$$u_j = \sum_{q=1}^5 \int_S G_{qj}(t,\xi) * \dot{D}_q(t,\xi) d\xi + e_{bj}(t), \tag{1}$$

where G_{qj} is the Green's function of the *q*th basis double-couple component, \dot{D}_q is the potency rate density function of the *q*th double-couple component, e_{bj} is background and instrumental noise, ξ represents a location on the model fault plane *S*, and * is the convolution operator in the time domain. By introducing the modelling error of the Green's function into the inversion analysis (Yagi & Fukahata 2011), the potency rate density function is stably obtained from observed waveforms (Shimizu et al. 2020). The spatial distribution of the potency density tensors is obtained by integrating the potency rate density functions with respect to time.

¹⁰⁸ Step 3: Estimate strike/dip along the model fault

¹⁰⁹ In this study, we considered that a fault plane has curvature only along the strike, in which case the ¹¹⁰ fault has a uniform dip, or has curvature only along the dip direction, in which case the fault has a

uniform strike. We calculate the average of the estimated potency density tensors along the direction 111 in which the fault is not curved. Thus, for example, along the strike direction of the model fault plane, 112 we obtain focal mechanisms averaged in the dip direction (Step 2 in Fig. 1). To construct a model 113 fault plane, we must select one of the two nodal planes determined by the averaged focal mechanism, 114 which we do for each subfault by calculating the inner product between the normal vectors of the two 115 nodal planes and the normal vector of a reference plane defined by the analyst. The nodal plane with 116 the larger inner product (in the absolute) value is selected as the realistic fault plane (Step 3 in Fig. 1). 117 Step 4: Update the model fault geometry or finish the iteration 118

Taking the nodal plane selected in step 3 as the direction of the fault plane, we update the fault geometry by assigning the direction of that nodal plane to the centre of each subfault. We smoothly connect the central points of the subfaults by a spline interpolation with a quadratic function f_i :

$$y = f_i(x),$$

$$f_i(x) = a_i(x - x_i)^2 + b_i(x - x_i) + c_i \qquad (x_i \le x \le x_{i+1}),$$

$$i = 1, 2, ..., N - 1,$$
(2)

where *x* is the distance from the hypocentre along the strike/dip direction of the initial flat model plane, *y* is the displacement of the model fault plane perpendicular to the initial flat model plane, and *N* is the number of subfaults along the strike/dip. The x_i term, which corresponds to a knot of the quadratic function f_i , is the *x* coordinate of the central point of the *i*th subfault along the strike/dip.

Here, the unknown parameters are a_i , b_i , and c_i ; the total number of them is 3(N-1). The displacement y and its derivative are continuous at the nodes from i = 2 to N - 1:

$$f_{i-1}(x_i) = f_i(x_i),$$

$$f'_{i-1}(x_i) = f'_i(x_i),$$

$$i = 2, 3, ..., N - 1.$$
(3)

The number of these conditions is 2(N - 2). In addition, the gradient of the fault plane at each knot is given by the direction of the nodal plane selected in step 3:

$$y'(x_i) = d_i,$$

 $i = 1, 2, ..., N,$ (4)

where d_i represents the gradient of the fault plane at the *i*th subfault along the strike/dip. The number of this condition is *N*. Therefore, by fixing the location of the hypocentre (i.e. $f_i(x) = 0$), we can uniquely determine the values of a_i , b_i , and c_i and obtain the updated geometry of the model fault plane (Step 4 in Fig. 1).

After updating the fault geometry, the model fault plane is discretized into subfaults again. Here, the grid interval is taken to be the same as the original one and the distance of the strike/dip direction, to which the fault is bending, is measured not along the original fault strike/dip (the *x* axis) but along the fault plane. The model fault plane obtained in step 4 is used to update the fault geometry, and the process returns to step 2 (Fig. 1).

The iterations end when the strike/dip direction obtained by step 3 is sufficiently close to that of the model fault plane used in the inversion analysis. The closeness of the two strikes/dips is based on the inner product between the unit vectors representing the two strikes/dips. When the inner product averaged over the subfaults along the strike/dip is acceptably closes to 1, the model fault plane is adopted as the fault plane geometry.

To sum up, the nonlinear inversion method starts from step 1 and then proceeds from step 2 to 4 iteratively. We assign (step 1) or update (step 4) the fault geometry, with which we solve the potency density tensor distribution (step 2), and then extract the information from that solution (step 3) to update the fault geometry (step 4).

148 **3 SYNTHETIC TESTS**

We performed synthetic tests of the proposed method for a strike-slip fault (case 1) and a dip-slip fault 149 (case 2). For both cases, we prepared input source models, described below, and calculated synthetic 150 waveforms by using theoretical Green's functions. In both cases, the slip-rate function at each subfault 151 was represented as a combination of linear B-spline functions with a time interval of 0.8 s. Theoretical 152 Green's functions were calculated following the method of Kikuchi & Kanamori (1991) at 0.1 s inter-153 vals, where the attenuation time constant t^* for the P wave was taken to be 1.0 s. The 1-D near-source 154 velocity structures for the cases 1 and 2 are listed in Tables S1 and S2 in the Supporting Information, 155 respectively. In the calculation of synthetic waveforms, we added errors of Green's function and back-156 ground noise to synthetic waveforms. As an error of Green's function, we added random Gaussian 157 noise with zero mean and a standard deviation of 5% of the maximum amplitude of each calculated 158 Green's function. We then added random Gaussian noise with zero mean and a standard deviation of 1 159 μ m as the background noise. In the inversion process, we resampled the calculated synthetic waveform 160 data at 0.8 s intervals without applying any filter to either the calculated waveforms or the theoretical 161 Green's functions. 162

163 3.1 Case 1: Vertical strike-slip fault bending along strike

We applied the proposed method for a vertical fault with variable strike and uniform dip direction. The 164 fault is composed of two vertical flat fault planes, each one 75 km long and 20 km wide, with strikes 165 of 160° and 200°, respectively (Fig. 2a). The slip distribution of the input source model with two slip 166 patches is shown in Fig. 2b. The slip direction is pure right lateral. The input slip-rate function at each 167 subfault had a total duration of 6 s. The hypocentre location was 26.900°N, 65.400°E at a depth of 7.5 168 km. Rupture of each subfault was triggered by the expanding circular rupture front propagating from 169 the hypocentre at 3 km/s. Synthetic waveforms were calculated for the selected stations shown in Fig. 170 2c. 171

In the inversion analysis, the initial model fault was a vertical plane 150 km long and 20 km wide with a strike of 180° (Fig. 3a). The potency rate density functions on this plane were expanded by bilinear B-spline functions with a spatial interval of 5 km and by linear B-spline functions with a temporal interval of 0.8 s and a total duration of 6 s. The hypocentre was the same one used as the input. The maximum rupture front velocity was assumed to be 3 km/s. We adopted a plane with a strike of 354° and a dip of 89°, derived from the total potency tensor obtained by a preliminary analysis, as the reference plane used for selecting realistic nodal planes.

The obtained fault model after two iterations reproduced the straight parts and bend in the input 179 fault very well (Fig. 3a). The slip distribution with two slip patches (Fig. 3c) was also consistent with 180 the input source model, including the slip direction (Fig. 2b). Testing the model's sensitivity to the 181 strike of the initial model plane by changing it to 170° and 190° , we obtained nearly the same results 182 (Figs 3 b and c). However, large deviations of the initial fault plane from the true one and the modelling 183 error of the Green's function, which increases with distance from the hypocentre, may cause unstable 184 estimates of fault geometry, as seen at the southern end of the model fault with 170° strike. These 185 results confirm that the proposed method works well for faults with bending along strike when the 186 initial model fault plane is reasonably accurate. 187

188 3.2 Case 2: Reverse faulting along a bending fault

We applied the proposed method for a nonvertical fault with variable dip and uniform strike. The fault is composed of three adjacent planes with different dips (Fig. 4a). The three planes had a 285° strike and together extended 65 km; from top to bottom their dips were 20°, 0° and 20°, and their widths were 20 km, 25 km, and 20 km, respectively. The slip distribution of the input source model is shown in Fig. 4b. The input slip-rate function at each subfault had a total duration of 10 s. The hypocentre location was 28.231°N, 84.731°E at a depth of 15 km. Rupture in each subfault was triggered by

the expanding circular rupture front propagating from the hypocentre at 3 km/s. Synthetic waveforms were calculated for the selected stations shown in Fig. 4c.

In the inversion analysis, the initial model fault was a horizontal plane 65 km long and 75 km wide, and 15 km deep with a strike of 285° and a dip of 0° (Fig. 5b). The potency rate density functions on this plane were expanded by bilinear B-spline functions with a spatial interval of 5 km and by linear B-spline functions with a temporal interval of 0.8 s and a total duration of 10 s. The hypocentre was the same one used as the input. The maximum rupture front velocity was assumed to be 3.0 km/s. We adopted a plane with a strike of 273° and a dip of 11°, derived from the total potency tensor obtained by a preliminary analysis, as the reference plane used for selecting realistic nodal planes.

The obtained fault model after two iterations, shown in Fig. 5a as a 3-D view and in Fig. 5b as a cross sectional view, features a dip that ranges from 4° around the hypocentre to 18° and 19° near the up-dip and down-dip edges, respectively. The obtained fault model reproduced the input fault geometry and its slip distribution well (Fig. 5d), although its geometry was slightly smoother. Testing the model's sensitivity to the dip of the initial model plane by changing it to 10° and 20° , we obtained nearly the same results (Figs 5 c and d). These results confirm that the proposed method works well for faults with bending along dip.

211 4 APPLICATION TO REAL WAVEFORMS

In order to further examine the validity of the proposed method, we applied it to the M_W 7.7 2013 Balochistan, Pakistan, and the M_W 7.9 2015 Gorkha, Nepal, earthquakes. Fault geometries of the both earthquakes have been well constrained by previous studies showing that they occurred on nonplanar faults. Thus, these earthquakes provide us opportunities to test whether the proposed method can reconstruct curved fault geometries.

217 4.1 The 2013 Balochistan earthquake

²¹⁸ The Balochistan earthquake was a strike-slip event as indicated by Global Centroid Moment Tensor

- (GCMT; Dziewonski et al. 1981; Ekström et al. 2012, https://www.globalcmt.org/CMTsearch.html;
- last accessed 17 January 2020) solution and the *W*-phase moment tensor solution determined by the
- ²²¹ U.S. Geological Survey, National Earthquake Information Center (USGS NEIC; https://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/
- last accessed 17 January 2020). Satellite images acquired after the earthquake (Avouac et al. 2014; Jo-
- livet et al. 2014; Zinke et al. 2014) show surface ruptures that describe a curve convex to the south-east.
- ²²⁴ The teleseismic *P*-waveform inversion analysis of Shimizu et al. (2020) yielded a source model sug-

gesting strike-slip faulting in which the strike rotates from 205° at the north end to 240° at the south end.

Our inversion analysis used the observed vertical components of teleseismic P waveforms at 36 227 stations shown in Fig. 2c, the same data used by Shimizu et al. (2020). We adopted the USGS epicentre 228 of 26.900°N, 65.400°E and the hypocentral depth of 7.5 km used by Shimizu et al. (2020). Theoretical 229 Green's functions were calculated the same way as the synthetic tests in Section 3, using the 1-D near-230 source velocity structure (Supporting Information Table, S1) used in Avouac et al. (2014). The initial 231 fault plane was 200 km long and 20 km wide, with a strike of 230° and a dip of 90° , that roughly 232 followed the trace of the surface rupture observed by Zinke et al. (2014) (Fig. 6a). The potency rate 233 density functions on this plane were expanded by bilinear B-spline functions with a spatial interval of 234 5 km and by linear B-spline functions with a temporal interval of 0.8 s and a total duration of 31 s. 235 We also assumed the maximum rupture-front velocity to be 4 km/s and the potency rate density to be 236 zero after 60 s from the rupture initiation, following the finite-fault inversion analysis of Shimizu et al. 237 (2020). We adopted a plane with a strike of 226° and a dip of 69° , derived from the total potency tensor 238 obtained by a preliminary analysis, as the reference plane used for selecting realistic nodal planes. 239

The inversion results after the third iteration, shown in Fig. 6, had an excellent fit between the 240 observed and synthetic waveforms at all stations (Supporting Information Fig. S1). The estimated fault 241 trace is 205 km long and curved, with a strike that changes from 218° at the northern edge around 50 242 km north-east of the epicentre, to 213° around the epicentre, to 241° at the southern edge around 140 243 km south-west of the epicentre (Fig. 6a). Its geometry is consistent with the surface ruptures observed 244 after the earthquake (Zinke et al. 2014), shown by the grey line in Fig. 6a, though the estimated 245 fault geometry is slightly smoother than the observed surface rupture trace. Focal mechanisms along 246 the fault trace (Fig. 6a), obtained by integrating the potency density tensors (Fig. 6b) along the dip 247 direction, clearly show that strike-slip faulting is dominant. Integrating the potency density tensors 248 (Fig. 6b) over the model fault plane yields the total potency tensor of this earthquake (Fig. 6a), which 249 indicates strike-slip faulting with a strike of 226° and a dip of 69° . The total seismic moment release 250 is 6.16 \times 10 Nm (M_W 7.8), which is comparable to the estimate of 7.53 \times 10²⁰ Nm (M_W 7.8) by 251 Shimizu et al. (2020) and the GCMT solution of 5.59×10^{20} Nm (M_W 7.8). The estimated source-252 time function, with a prominent peak at around 12 s and three minor peaks at around 28, 43, and 58 s 253 (Fig. 6a), is comparable to the result of Shimizu et al. (2020). 254

Although focal mechanisms have two nodal planes, we could select the realistic fault plane from the focal mechanisms obtained in this inversion analysis by using the reference plane (Figs 6 a and b). Decomposing the potency density tensors at the Earth's surface into the strike-slip component (positive for left-lateral fault slip) and the dip-slip component (positive for reverse fault slip), as shown in Fig.

 $_{259}$ 6c, demonstrates that left-lateral strike-slip is predominant, reaching a maximum of 16.3 m near the epicentre and gradual decrease toward both ends of the fault. The dip-slip component has a maximum value of 3.0 m at a point 25 km north-east of the epicentre and decreases to -1.3 m at a point 100 km south-west of the epicentre with small fluctuation (Fig. 6c).

Dip angles on the fault plane range from 57° to 89° (Fig. 6d). Dip is recognizably dependent on depth, being steeper in the shallower part of the fault plane consistent with the idea of a listric fault, especially around the epicentre and 100 km south-west of the epicentre (Fig. 6d). Around the epicentre, the dip gradually increases from 68° at 17.5 km depth to 72° at 2.5 km depth (Fig. 6d). Around 100 km south-west of the epicentre, the depth dependence of the dip angle is clearer than that around the epicentre; the dip angle increases from 60° at 17.5 km depth to 71° at 2.5 km depth (Fig. 6d).

270 4.2 The 2015 Gorkha earthquake

²⁷¹ Both the GCMT solution (Dziewonski et al. 1981; Ekström et al. 2012) and the W-phase moment ten-

sor solution determined by the USGS NEIC (https://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/eventpage/us20002926,

last accessed 17 January 2020) indicate that the Gorkha earthquake was a thrust event with a fault sur-

face dipping at 7°. A teleseismic *P*-waveform inversion analysis (Yagi & Okuwaki 2015) produced a

²⁷⁵ finite-fault source model in which the main rupture area is distributed around 50 km east of the epicen-

tre. The Gorkha earthquake has been reported to have occurred along the Main Himalayan Thrust (e.g.

Elliott et al. 2016; Hubbard et al. 2016; Duputel et al. 2016). An analysis of Interferometric Synthetic

Aperture Radar (InSAR) and Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) data (Elliott et al. 2016)

²⁷⁹ showed that the earthquake occurred on a north-dipping fault with a ramp-flat-ramp structure, dipping

at 30° from the surface to 5 km depth, 7° in a relatively flat section 75 km wide, and 20° in the deepest

section 30 km wide. Hubbard et al. (2016) proposed a similar geometric model of the Main Himalayan

²⁸² Thrust, covering the source area of the Gorkha earthquake, on the basis of geological data in which

the central portion had a 7° dip and the adjoining portions on the up-dip and down-dip sides had a 26°

dip. Duputel et al. (2016) also proposed a ramp-flat-ramp fault geometry for the Gorkha earthquake on the basis of a receiver function analysis.

Our inversion analysis used the observed vertical components of teleseismic *P* waveforms at the 54 stations shown in Fig. 4c, the same data used by Yagi & Okuwaki (2015). We adopted the USGS epicentre of 28.231°N, 84.731°E and the hypocentral depth of 15 km used by Yagi & Okuwaki (2015). Theoretical Green's functions were calculated the same way as the synthetic tests in Section 3, using the 1-D near-source velocity structure (Supporting Information Table. S2) from the CRUST 1.0 model (Laske et al. 2013). The initial fault plane was 160 km long and 110 km wide, with a strike of 285° and

a dip of 0° , that entirely covered the possible source region estimated by Yagi & Okuwaki (2015) (Fig. 292 7a). The potency rate density functions on the model fault plane were expanded by bilinear B-spline 293 functions with a spatial interval of 10 km and 5 km along the strike and dip directions, respectively, 294 and by linear B-spline functions with a temporal interval of 1.0 s and a total duration of 28 s. We also 295 assumed the maximum rupture-front velocity to be 3 km/s and the potency rate density to be zero after 296 60 s from the rupture initiation, following Yagi & Okuwaki (2015). We adopted a plane with a strike 297 of 326° and a dip of 8° , derived from the total potency tensor obtained by a preliminary analysis, as 298 the reference plane used for selecting realistic nodal planes. 299

The inversion results after the third iteration, shown in Fig. 7, had an excellent fit between the 300 observed and synthetic waveforms (Supporting Information Fig. S2). The fault plane dips towards the 301 north-east and is 105 km wide (Fig. 7b). The spatial distribution of potency density tensors (Fig. 7a) 302 shows that the main rupture area (>50 % of the maximum slip) is distributed around 50 km east of the 303 epicentre, where the maximum slip is 5.0 m. The main rupture area is dominated by thrust faulting 304 with dips ranging from 2° to 22°. The total potency tensor indicates thrust faulting with a strike of 305 332° and a dip of 9° (Fig. 7a). The total seismic moment release is 9.1×10^{20} Nm (M_W 7.9), which 306 matches the 9.1 \times 10²⁰ Nm (M_W 7.9) estimated by Yagi & Okuwaki (2015). The cross section of the 307 estimated fault plane (Fig. 7b), taken perpendicular to the fault strike (the A–B line shown in Fig. 7a), 308 shows that the dip changes from 42° at the up-dip edge (45 km south-west of the hypocentre) to a 309 minimum of 6° at the hypocentre to 15° at the down-dip edge (55 km north-east of the hypocentre). 310 As seen in the 3-D view of the fault model (Fig. 7d), the main rupture area is mostly distributed in the 311 part of the fault with lower dip ($<10^\circ$). 312

313 5 DISCUSSION

In this study, we proposed a nonlinear inversion method to construct the fault geometry of an earth-314 quake through the development of the finite-fault inversion method of Shimizu et al. (2020). They 315 estimated spatial distribution of potency density tensors on an assumed fault plane, from which we 316 can extract information on slip direction on the fault plane. Through synthetic tests and application 317 to real waveform data, we showed that our proposed method can construct the fault geometry well, 318 even if the strike or dip varies along the fault plane. Thus, it is possible to directly compare the ob-319 tained source model with other observed data, as can be done for source models obtained by using 320 conventional inversion methods. 321

The clear surface displacements from the Balochistan earthquake documented by Zinke et al. (2014) can be readily compared with our source model (Fig. 6a) and seen to be in good agreement. The increased surface displacement around the hypocentre in our model (Fig. 6b) is also consistent

with the distribution of surface displacement across the fault trace in satellite images (e.g. Avouac et al. 2014; Zinke et al. 2014). The Arabia plate subducts beneath the Eurasia plate in the southern part of the Makran accretionary wedge, and active thrust faults exist in the Makran accretionary wedge (Haghipour et al. 2012), the site of the Balochistan earthquake hypocentre. The shallowing dip with increasing depth on the estimated fault plane (Fig. 6d) may suggest that the earthquake ruptured a thrust fault that has listric geometry.

Because the Gorkha earthquake did not produce surface ruptures (e.g. Avouac et al. 2015), there 331 are no observational data that can be directly compared with our estimated fault geometry. Our source 332 model of the Gorkha earthquake has a fault geometry with a ramp-flat-ramp structure (Figs 7 b and d), 333 which is consistent with the fault geometry modelled by using geophysical and geological data (e.g. 334 Elliott et al. 2016; Hubbard et al. 2016; Duputel et al. 2016), although the flat part is narrower in our 335 model. The estimated slip distribution, with larger slip in the flat part (Figs 7 a and d), is also consistent 336 with the analysis of InSAR and GNSS data by Elliott et al. (2016). The fault geometry modelled by 337 Hubbard et al. (2016), using geological knowledge and the slip distribution estimated by Avouac et al. 338 (2015), also places the main rupture area in the flat part of the fault. Therefore, our proposed method, 339 based solely on teleseismic data, yields a source model of the Gorkha earthquake that is comparable to 340 fault geometry and slip distributions independently estimated from geophysical and structural geology 341 data. 342

Because our proposed method uses spline interpolation in constructing fault geometry, continuous 343 and geometrically smooth faults are best suited to this method. Furthermore, a realistic strike or dip 344 was selected for each subfault on the basis of the similarity of the resolved nodal plane to the single 345 reference plane. This procedure implicitly assumes that the strike or dip varies by less than 45° be-346 cause a rotation of a focal mechanism around its own B axis greater than 45° places the conjugate 347 nodal plane closer to the reference plane. This assumption was sound in the cases the Balochistan and 348 Gorkha earthquakes because the strike and dip of their faults varied by less than 45°. Our proposed 349 method may be extended to construct a fault geometry with a greater variation of strike or dip than 350 45° by determining a realistic nodal plane on the basis of the nodal plane of the adjacent subfault and 351 extending this procedure sequentially in the direction away from the epicentre. On the other hand, it 352 is difficult to use our proposed method to construct a conjugated fault system or a segmented fault 353 system, such as the faults of the M_W 7.8 2016 Kaikoura, New Zealand, and the M_W 7.9 2018 Alaska 354 earthquakes. 355

In each application of our method to both synthetic and real waveforms, it took only a few iterations of the finite-fault inversion to reconstruct the fault geometry, which was expected from the assumption that the fault geometry can be constructed from strike or dip data alone. Although this assumption results in a weak nonlinearity in our method, nonlinearities may also stem from the low spatial resolution of teleseismic data and the fact that the uncertainty of the Green's function is taken into account in the finite-fault inversion (Shimizu et al. 2020).

362 6 CONCLUSIONS

We proposed and tested a method of constructing fault geometry that relies on only teleseismic data, 363 using a finite-fault inversion iteratively to estimate potency density tensor distributions that can express 364 slips in an arbitrary direction. We assumed that an estimated fault plane has bends only along the 365 strike or only in the dip direction, which leads to a weak nonlinearity of the method. After testing the 366 performance of the method through synthetic tests, we applied this method to the 2013 Balochistan and 367 2015 Gorkha earthquakes, which previous studies have shown to have occurred along geometrically 368 complex fault systems. For both events, our estimates of the fault geometry were consistent with 369 previous studies that analysed different observational data. This method works well for constructing 370 the fault geometry of an earthquake that ruptured a geometrically smooth and continuous fault plane. 371

372 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the editor and the reviewers for their handling and reviewing our manuscript. This work 373 was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI Grant-in-Aid 374 for Scientific Research (JP19K04030) and JSPS KAKENHI Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research 375 on Innovative Areas (JP16H06477, JP18H05447). The authors thank Keita Kayano for his valu-376 able comments and suggestions during the early stage of this study. Teleseismic waveforms from the 377 networks, GEOSCOPE, Hong Kong Seismographic Network, Global Telemetered Seismograph Net-378 work (USAF/USGS), New China Digital Seismograph Network, Global Seismograph Network (GSN-379 IRIS/IDA), Global Seismograph Network (GSN-IRIS/USGS), the Canadian National Seismograph 380 Network, Czech Regional Seismic Network, GEOFON, the MEDNET Project, Pacific21, and the 381 United States National Seismic Network, were downloaded from the IRIS-DMC website (https://ds.iris.edu/ds/nodes/dmc 382 All the figures were created with matplotlib (Hunter 2007, Version 3.2.1; https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3714460) 383 and ObsPy (Krischer et al. 2015; Megies et al. 2011; Beyreuther et al. 2010, Version 1.2.1; http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3 384 385

386 REFERENCES

Aki, K., 1979. Characterization of barriers on an earthquake fault, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid

388 *Earth*, **84**(B11), 6140–6148.

- Ampuero, J. P. & Dahlen, F. A., 2005. Ambiguity of the moment tensor, Bulletin of the Seismological Society
- *of America*, **95**(2), 390–400.
- Asano, K. & Iwata, T., 2009. Kinematic Source Inversion using Strong Motion Data Considering Three-Dimensional Fault Geometry, in *AGU Fall Meeting Abstracts*, vol. 2009, pp. S31A–1688.
- Avouac, J. P., Ayoub, F., Wei, S., Ampuero, J. P., Meng, L., Leprince, S., Jolivet, R., Duputel, Z., & Helmberger,
- ³⁹⁴ D., 2014. The 2013, Mw 7.7 Balochistan earthquake, energetic strike-slip reactivation of a thrust fault, *Earth*
- and Planetary Science Letters, **391**, 128–134.
- Avouac, J.-P., Meng, L., Wei, S., Wang, T., & Ampuero, J.-P., 2015. Lower edge of locked Main Himalayan
- ³⁹⁷ Thrust unzipped by the 2015 Gorkha earthquake, *Nature Geoscience*, **8**(9), 708–711.
- Beyreuther, M., Barsch, R., Krischer, L., Megies, T., Behr, Y., & Wassermann, J., 2010. ObsPy: A Python
- Toolbox for Seismology, *Seismological Research Letters*, **81**(3), 530–533.
- Duputel, Z. & Rivera, L., 2017. Long-period analysis of the 2016 Kaikoura earthquake, *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 265, 62–66.
- ⁴⁰² Duputel, Z., Kanamori, H., Tsai, V. C., Rivera, L., Meng, L., Ampuero, J. P., & Stock, J. M., 2012a. The 2012
- ⁴⁰³ Sumatra great earthquake sequence, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, **351-352**, 247–257.
- ⁴⁰⁴ Duputel, Z., Rivera, L., Kanamori, H., & Hayes, G., 2012b. W phase source inversion for moderate to large ⁴⁰⁵ earthquakes (1990-2010), *Geophysical Journal International*, **189**(2), 1125–1147.
- ⁴⁰⁶ Duputel, Z., Vergne, J., Rivera, L., Wittlinger, G., Farra, V., & Hetényi, G., 2016. The 2015 Gorkha earthquake:
- A large event illuminating the Main Himalayan Thrust fault, *Geophysical Research Letters*, **43**(6), 2517– 2525.
- 409 Dziewonski, A. M., Chou, T., & Woodhouse, J., 1981. Determination of earthquake source parameters from
- waveform data for studies of global and regional seismicity, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*,
 86(B4), 2825–2852.
- Ekström, G., Nettles, M., & Dziewoński, A. M., 2012. The global CMT project 2004 2010: Centroid-
- moment tensors for 13,017 earthquakes, *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, **200-201**, 1–9.
- Elliott, J. R., Jolivet, R., Gonzalez, P. J., Avouac, J. P., Hollingsworth, J., Searle, M. P., & Stevens, V. L.,
- ⁴¹⁵ 2016. Himalayan megathrust geometry and relation to topography revealed by the Gorkha earthquake, *Nature* ⁴¹⁶ *Geoscience*, 9(2), 174–180.
- Fielding, E. J., Sladen, A., Li, Z., Avouac, J. P., Bürgmann, R., & Ryder, I., 2013. Kinematic fault slip evolution source models of the 2008 M7.9 wenchuan earthquake in china from SAR interferometry, GPS
- and teleseismic analysis and implications for longmen shan tectonics, *Geophysical Journal International*,
- ⁴²⁰ **194**(2), 1138–1166.
- Fukahata, Y. & Wright, T. J., 2008. A non-linear geodetic data inversion using ABIC for slip distribution on a
 fault with an unknown dip angle, *Geophysical Journal International*, **173**(2), 353–364.
- Haghipour, N., Burg, J. P., Kober, F., Zeilinger, G., Ivy-Ochs, S., Kubik, P. W., & Faridi, M., 2012. Rate of
- crustal shortening and non-Coulomb behaviour of an active accretionary wedge: The folded fluvial terraces
- in Makran (SE, Iran), *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, **355-356**, 187–198.

- 426 Hartzell, S. H. & Heaton, T. H., 1983. Inversion of strong ground motion and teleseismic waveform data for
- the fault rupture history of the 1979 Imperial Valley, California, earthquake, *Bulletin of the Seismological*
- 428 Society of America, **73**(6), 1553–1583.
- Hubbard, J., Almeida, R., Foster, A., Sapkota, S. N., Bürgi, P., & Tapponnier, P., 2016. Structural segmentation
- controlled the 2015 MW 7.8 Gorkha earthquake rupture in Nepal, *Geology*, **44**(8), 639–642.
- Hunter, J. D., 2007. Matplotlib: A 2D graphics environment, *Computing in Science & Engineering*, **9**(3), 90–95.
- Jolivet, R., Duputel, Z., Riel, B., Simons, M., Rivera, L., Minson, S. E., Zhang, H., Aivazis, M. A., Ayoub, F.,
- Leprince, S., Samsonov, S., Motagh, M., & Fielding, E. J., 2014. The 2013 Mw7.7 Balochistan earthquake:
- Seismic potential of an accretionary wedge, *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, **104**(2), 1020–
 1030.
- Kikuchi, M. & Kanamori, H., 1991. Inversion of Complex Body Waves-III, *Bulletin of the Seismological* Society of America, 81(6), 2335–2350.
- Krischer, L., Megies, T., Barsch, R., Beyreuther, M., Lecocq, T., Caudron, C., & Wassermann, J., 2015. ObsPy:
- a bridge for seismology into the scientific Python ecosystem, *Computational Science & Discovery*, 8(1),
 14003.
- Laske, G., Masters, G., Ma, Z., & Pasyanos, M., 2013. Update on CRUST1.0—A 1-degree global model of
- Earth's crust, *EGU General Assembly 2013*, **15**, 2658.
- Megies, T., Beyreuther, M., Barsch, R., Krischer, L., & Wassermann, J., 2011. ObsPy What can it do for
 data centers and observatories?, *Annals of Geophysics*, 54(1), 47–58.
- Okuwaki, R. & Yagi, Y., 2018. Role of geometric barriers in irregular-rupture evolution during the 2008
 Wenchuan earthquake, *Geophysical Journal International*, 212(3), 1657–1664.
- ⁴⁴⁸ Okuwaki, R., Hirano, S., Yagi, Y., & Shimizu, K., 2020. Inchworm-like source evolution through a geo-
- metrically complex fault fueled persistent supershear rupture during the 2018 Palu Indonesia earthquake,
 EarthArXiv.
- ⁴⁵¹ Olson, A. H. & Apsel, R. J., 1982. Finite faults and inverse theory with applications to the 1979 Imperial
- 452 Valley earthquake, *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, **72**(6), 1969–2001.
- Ragon, T., Sladen, A., & Simons, M., 2018. Accounting for uncertain fault geometry in earthquake source
 inversions I : theory and simplified application, *Geophysical Journal International*, 214, 1174–1190.
- 455 Shi, Q., Wei, S., & Chen, M., 2018. An MCMC multiple point sources inversion scheme and its application to
- the 2016 Kumamoto Mw 6.2 earthquake, *Geophysical Journal International*, **215**(2), 737–752.
- 457 Shimizu, K., Yagi, Y., Okuwaki, R., & Fukahata, Y., 2020. Development of an inversion method to extract
- information on fault geometry from teleseismic data, *Geophysical Journal International*, **220**(2), 1055–1065.
- 459 Wald, D. J. & Heaton, T. H., 1994. Spatial and temporal distribution of slip for the 1992 Landers, California,
- earthquake, *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, **84**(3), 668–691.
- 461 Yagi, Y. & Fukahata, Y., 2011. Introduction of uncertainty of Green's function into waveform inversion for
- seismic source processes, *Geophysical Journal International*, **186**(2), 711–720.

- 463 Yagi, Y. & Okuwaki, R., 2015. Integrated seismic source model of the 2015 Gorkha, Nepal, earthquake,
- ⁴⁶⁴ *Geophysical Research Letters*, **42**(15), 6229–6235.
- 465 Yue, H. & Lay, T., 2020. Resolving Complicated Faulting Process Using Multi-Point-Source Representation:
- Iterative Inversion Algorithm Improvement and Application to Recent Complex Earthquakes, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, **125**(2), 1–24.
- ⁴⁶⁸ Zinke, R., Hollingsworth, J., & Dolan, J. F., 2014. Surface slip and off-fault deformation patterns in the
- 469 2013 Mw7.7 Balochistan, Pakistan earthquake: Implications for controls on the distribution of near-surface
- coseismic slip, *Geochemistry*, *Geophysics*, *Geosystems*, **15**(12), 5034–5050.

471 SUPPORTING INFORMATION

- ⁴⁷² Figure S1. Fitting between observed and synthetic waveforms of the Balochistan earthquake
- Figure S2. Fitting between observed and synthetic waveforms of the Gorkha earthquake
- Table S1. Velocity structure in the source region of the 2013 Balochistan earthquake
- ⁴⁷⁵ **Table S2.** Velocity structure in the source region of the 2015 Gorkha earthquake



Figure 1. Schematic illustration of the workflow of the iterative inversion process to construct fault geometry. The x axis is the distance from the hypocentre along the strike (or dip) direction of the initial flat model-fault plane. The y axis is the displacement of the updated model fault plane perpendicular to the x axis. The star denotes the location of the hypocentre. Grey bars with grey circles at their midpoints represent subfaults of the model fault plane used in the finite-fault inversion analysis. The beach ball at each subfault in step 2 represents a focal mechanism obtained by the finite-fault inversion of Shimizu et al. (2020). In step 3 we select one of the nodal planes (blue line) of the double-couple components to represent the fault geometry determined by spline interpolation with quadratic functions. The orange line of this iteration is used as the model fault geometry in the next iteration.



Figure 2. Input source model for case 1. (a) Fault geometry. The input fault plane consists of two vertical rectangles with different strikes that meet the surface along the black lines and intersect on the blue line. The yellow star denotes the hypocentre. (b) Slip distribution on the input fault plane; contour interval is 4 m. The arrows are slip vectors, and the star denotes the hypocentre. (c) Station distribution (red triangles) around the epicentre (star) in an azimuthal equidistant projection. The grey circles indicate the 30° and 90° teleseismic distances.



Figure 3. Results of synthetic test case 1. (a) True, initial, and estimated fault traces. The grey line represents the trace of the true fault plane. Grey and red circles represent the central points of subfaults of the initial and estimated model fault planes, respectively. The star denotes the epicentre. (b) Sensitivity of results to the strike of the initial model fault plane. All three initial fault planes (open circles) yield estimated fault traces (filled circles) that are nearly indistinguishable at the scale of this plot. (c) Estimated slip distribution on the model fault plane; contour interval is 4 m. The arrows represent slip vectors.



Figure 4. Input source model for case 2. (a) Fault geometry. The input fault plane consists of three rectangles with a ramp-flat-ramp structure. Black and blue lines are top of model fault and intersections of sub-planes, respectively. The yellow star denotes the hypocentre. (b) Slip distribution on the input fault plane; contour interval is 2.5 m. The arrows represent slip vectors. (c) Station distribution (red triangles) around the epicentre (star) in an azimuthal equidistant projection. The grey circles indicate the 30° and 90° teleseismic distances.



Figure 5. Results of synthetic test case 2. (a) Estimated fault geometry. The star denotes the hypocentre. (b) Cross sections of the true, initial, and estimated fault planes. (c) Sensitivity of results to the dip of the initial fault plane. All three initial fault planes (open circles) yield estimated fault traces (filled circles) that are indistinguishable at the scale of this plot. (d) Estimated slip distribution on the model fault plane; contour interval is 2.5 m. The arrows represent slip vectors.



20

0

40

Time (s)

60



Surface rupture (Zinke et al. 2014)

Strike of estimated fault

Figure 6. Source model of the 2013 Balochistan earthquake estimated by the proposed method. (a) The initial fault geometry is shown by grey circles at the centre of subfaults. The small beachball symbols show the focal mechanisms of the subfaults on the estimated fault trace, obtained by integrating the potency density tensors, shown in (b), with respect to the dip direction. Blue bars and numbers indicate the strike of the subfaults at the hypocentre and both ends of the estimated fault. The large beachball symbol shows the total potency tensor of the earthquake, obtained by integrating the potency density tensors shown in (b), over the fault plane. The grey line represents the surface rupture trace observed by Zinke et al. (2014). The inset shows the estimated moment rate function of the earthquake. The star denotes the epicentre. (b) Distribution of potency density tensors on the estimated fault plane. Beachball symbols indicate the focal mechanism at each subfault and their colour indicates the slip amount. (c) Profiles along the model fault trace of the strike-slip and dip-slip components, estimated from the potency density tensors at the top of the fault plane. The grey vertical bar represents the location of the eigentre. (d) Distribution of dip (colour) on the estimated fault plane.



Figure 7. Source model of the 2015 Gorkha earthquake estimated by the proposed method. (a) Distribution of potency density tensors on the estimated fault plane. The light grey line outlines the initial fault plane. Small beachball symbols indicate the focal mechanism for each subfault and their colour indicates the slip amount according to the colour scale in (d). The large beachball symbol shows the total potency tensor of the earthquake, obtained by integrating the potency density tensors over the fault plane. Arrow indicates azimuth of 3D view of (d). (b) Cross section of the model-fault plane along line A–B in (a). Grey and red circles represent the central points of subfaults of the initial and estimated model fault planes, respectively. Blue bar indicates the dip of each subfault. Denoted numbers are dip angles at the hypocentre and both ends of the estimated fault. (c) Estimated moment rate function of the earthquake. (d) Estimated fault geometry and slip amount (colour) viewed from the north-east indicated by the arrow in (a).

Supporting Information for

Construction of fault geometry by finite-fault inversion of teleseismic data

Kousuke Shimizu,¹ Yuji Yagi,² Ryo Okuwaki,^{2,3,4} and Yukitoshi Fukahata⁵

¹Graduate School of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8572, Japan. E-mail: seismo55smz@gmail.com

²Faculty of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8572, Japan.

³Mountain Science Center, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8572, Japan.

⁴COMET, School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK.

⁵Disaster Prevention Research Institute, Kyoto University, Uji, Kyoto 611-0011, Japan.

CONTENTS

Figure S1	Fitting between observed and synthetic waveforms of the Balochistan earthquake
Figure S2	Fitting between observed and synthetic waveforms of the Gorkha earthquake

- **Table S1**Velocity structure in the source region of the 2013 Balochistan earthquake
- **Table S2**Velocity structure in the source region of the 2015 Gorkha earthquake

2013 Balochistan earthquake



Figure S1. Waveform fitting at all stations between observed (gray line) and synthetic waveforms (red line) in the analysis of the Balochistan earthquake by using the constrained fault model. The waveforms are plotted with a sampling interval of 0.05 s. Station code, azimuth, and epicentral distance are shown above the traces.

2015 Gorkha earthquake



Figure S2. Waveform fitting at all stations between observed (gray line) and synthetic waveforms (red line) in the analysis of the Gorkha earthquake. The waveforms are plotted with a sampling interval of 0.05 s. Station code, azimuth, and epicentral distance are shown above the traces.

V_P	V_S	Density	Thickness
(km/s)	(km/s)	(10^3kg/m^3)	(km)
5.44	3.00	2.50	4.00
6.25	3.45	2.60	12.00
6.53	3.60	2.70	14.00
6.80	3.90	2.90	12.00
7.50	4.30	2.90	3.00
8.11	4.49	3.30	0.00

Table S1. Near-source velocity model from Avouac et al. (2014) used for calculating Green's functions.

 Table S2. Near-source velocity model from CRUST1.0 (Laske et al. 2013) used for calculating Green's functions.

V_P	V_S	Density	Thickness
(km/s)	(km/s)	$(10^3$ kg/m ³)	(km)
6.00	3.52	2.72	27.25
6.30	3.68	2.79	13.08
6.60	3.82	2.85	14.17
8.44	4.68	3.45	0.00

REFERENCES

- Avouac, J. P., Ayoub, F., Wei, S., Ampuero, J. P., Meng, L., Leprince, S., Jolivet, R., Duputel, Z., & Helmberger, D., 2014. The 2013, Mw 7.7 Balochistan earthquake, energetic strike-slip reactivation of a thrust fault, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 391, 128–134.
- Laske, G., Masters, G., Ma, Z., & Pasyanos, M., 2013. Update on CRUST1.0—A 1-degree global model of Earth's crust, *EGU General Assembly 2013*, **15**, 2658.