1	This paper is a non-peer reviewed preprint submitted to EarthArXiv, which has been submitted to a
2	special publication of the American Geophysical Union for peer review.
3	
4	Seismic and Acoustic Monitoring of Submarine Landslides: Ongoing Challenges, Recent Successes
5	and Future Opportunities
6 7	Clare, M.A. ^{1*} , Lintern, D.G. ² , Pope, E. ³ , Baker, M. ³ , Ruffell, S ³ ., Zulkifli, Z. ¹ , Simmons, S., ⁴ , Urlaub, M. ⁵ , Belal, M. ¹ , Talling, P.J. ³
8	1) National Oceanography Centre, Southampton, SO14 3ZH, UK
9	2) Natural Resources Canada, Geological Survey of Canada, Sidney, British Columbia V8L 4B2,
10	Canada
11	3) Departments of Earth Sciences and Geography, University of Durham, Stockton Road, Durham,
12	UK, DH1 3LE, UK
13	4) Earth and Environment Institute, Hull, UK
14 15	5) GEOMAR Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research, Kiel, Germany.
16 17	*Contact: <u>m.clare@noc.ac.uk</u>
18	

19	Seismic and Acoustic Monitoring of Submarine Landslides: Ongoing Challenges, Recent Successes
20	and Future Opportunities
21 22	Clare, M.A. ¹ , Lintern, D.G. ² , Pope, E. ³ , Baker, M. ³ , Ruffell, S ³ ., Zulkifli, Z. ¹ , Simmons, S., ⁴ , Urlaub, M. ⁵ , Belal, M. ¹ , Talling, P.J. ³
23	6) National Oceanography Centre, Southampton, SO14 3ZH, UK
24	7) Natural Resources Canada, Geological Survey of Canada, Sidney, British Columbia V8L 4B2,
25	Canada
26	8) Departments of Earth Sciences and Geography, University of Durham, Stockton Road, Durham,
27	UK, DH1 3LE, UK
28	9) Earth and Environment Institute, Hull, UK
29 30	10) GEOMAR Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research, Kiel, Germany.
31	Abstract
32	Submarine landslides pose a hazard to coastal communities due to the tsunamis they can generate, and
33	can damage critical seafloor infrastructure, such as the network of cables that underpin global data
34	transfer and communications. These mass movements can be orders of magnitude larger than their
35	onshore equivalents and are found on all of the world's continental margins; from coastal zones to hadal
36	trenches. Despite their prevalence, and importance to society, offshore monitoring studies have been
37	limited by the largely unpredictable occurrence of submarine landslide and the need to cover large
38	regions of extensive continental margins. Recent subsea monitoring has provided new insights into the
39	preconditioning and run-out of submarine landslides using active geophysical techniques, but these tools
40	only measure a very small spatial footprint, and are power and memory intensive, thus limiting long
41	duration monitoring campaigns. Most landslide events therefore remain entirely unrecorded. Here we first
42	show how passive acoustic and seismologic techniques can record acoustic emissions and ground motions
43	created by terrestrial landslides. We then show how this terrestrial-focused research has catalysed
44	advances in the detection and characterisation of submarine landslides, using both onshore and offshore
45	networks of broadband seismometers, hydrophones and geophones. We then discuss some of the new
46	insights into submarine landslide preconditioning, timing, location, velocity and their down-slope
47	evolution that is arising from these advances. We finally outline some of the outstanding challenges, in
48	particular emphasising the need for calibration of seismic and acoustic signals generated by submarine

49 landslides and their run-out. Once confidence can be enhanced in submarine landslide signal detection 50 and interpretation, passive seismic and acoustic sensing has strong potential to enable more complete 51 hazard catalogues to be built, and opens the door to emerging techniques (such as fibre-optic sensing), to 52 fill key, but outstanding, knowledge gaps concerning these important underwater phenomena.

53

54 1. Introduction

55 Submarine landslides can be orders of magnitude larger than those on land, occur on remarkably low 56 angle (<2 degree) slopes, and can generate run-out that travels hundreds to thousands of kilometres into 57 the deep-sea (Moore et al., 1989; Hampton et al., 1996; Nisbet and Piper, 1998; Piper et al., 1999; Carter 58 et al., 2014). Underwater slope failures can generate tsunamis that inundate coastal communities that 59 sometimes result in major loss of life (e.g. Harbitz et al., 2014; Tappin et al., 2014), and adversely impact 60 critical seafloor infrastructure networks, such as the cables and pipelines on which we rely for global 61 communications, the Internet, and energy supplies (Piper et al., 1999; Carter et al., 2014). To date, most 62 studies of submarine landslides and their run-out have been based upon analysis of the deposits that past 63 events left behind. These studies have used combinations of: i) seafloor surveys (e.g. multibeam 64 echosounders and side scan sonars) to image submarine landslides in planform (e.g. Prior et al., 1982; 65 McAdoo et al., 2000; Mountjoy et al., 2009; Casas et al., 2016; Normandeau et al., 2019; Brackenridge et 66 al., 2020); ii) sub-surface geophysical surveys to determine the geometry and internal character of 67 submarine landslide deposits (e.g. Gee et al., 2006; Bull et al., 2009; Vardy et al., 2012; Nwoko et al., 68 2020); iii) intrusive sampling or coring to calibrate geophysical interpretations, provide material for 69 geochronological analysis (age and recurrence determination), and/or determine their source using 70 geochemical and other analytical techniques (e.g. Geist and Parsons, 2010; Dugan, 2012; Urlaub et al., 71 2013; Vanneste et al., 2014); iv) in-situ or laboratory-based testing to understand geotechnical and 72 geomechanical behaviour of submarine landslides (e.g. Sultan et al., 2010; Ai et al., 2014; Miramontes et 73 al., 2018); v) analysis of ancient, exhumed submarine landslides from rock outcrops (e.g. Brookes et al., 74 2018; Bull et al., 2019; Ogata et al., 2019) and; vi) physical and numerical modelling to quantify slope 75 stability, post-failure behaviour and resultant impacts (e.g. to seafloor infrastructure or the tsunami that 76 they may initiate) (e.g. Sultan et al., 2010; Harbitz et al., 2014; Tappin et al., 2014; Puzrin et al., 2016).

77

78 These techniques provide valuable insights into the location, extent and nature of past failures, as well as 79 providing the building blocks for generating models that enable inference of the likely behaviour of future 80 events; however, they do not capture the behaviour of field-scale submarine landslides in action and do 81 not reveal the in-situ conditions at the time of failure. Numerical models therefore remain relatively 82 poorly constrained and many key questions remain unanswered; particularly with regards to the initiation, 83 kinematics and impacts of submarine landslides. For instance, what are the preconditioning factors for 84 failure and over what timescales are they important? Why are external triggers (e.g. earthquakes, tropical 85 cyclones) more effective in some settings compared to others? What lag-times may be involved following 86 cumulative or sudden perturbations that precede failure, and what controls that delay? Such information is 87 critical to understand precisely how and when landslides are triggered and develop effective early 88 warning systems, and to understand any climate change feedbacks (e.g. Maslin et al., 2004; Paull et al., 89 2007; Harbitz et al., 2014; Brothers et al., 2013; Normandeau et al., 2021). Key questions also remain 90 unanswered on the kinematic behaviour of submarine landslides as they propagate. For example, what 91 kinematics are involved during different styles of slope failure and how does that change as the mass 92 travels down-slope? Why do some slopes fail suddenly, with major and rapid displacements, while others 93 move much more slowly and progressively? Does failure type control the nature and extent of run-out, 94 and if so, how? These, and many other, questions remain open, largely due to the challenges involved in 95 monitoring failure processes and their precursor conditions in marine settings, in real time, that often 96 cover very large areas.

97

98 Some of the challenges that inhibit monitoring of submarine landslides include:

99 The water depths of many of the settings where submarine landslides occur place financial and 100 logistical constraints on long-duration and continuous monitoring (e.g. Talling et al., 2013; Clare 101 et al., 2017). While slope failures can occur in shallow water deltaic or fjord settings (e.g. Prior et 102 al., 1989; Biscara et al., 2012), many occur in hundreds to thousands of metres water depth and 103 have been documented in the deepest hadal trenches on Earth (Strasser et al., 2013; Kioka et al., 104 2019).

The *remote nature* (i.e. location far from shore) of many submarine landslides provides
 constraints for power, communications and data transfer. This in turn limits the resolution and
 frequency of measurements that can be made due to a reliance on in-situ power supplies and
 recovery and redeployment of instruments by expensive vessels (Urlaub and Villinger, 2019).

109 The unpredictable nature of submarine landslides over time. As many studies have suggested that 110 submarine landslide recurrence may be Poissonian (i.e. approximately random), predicting when 111 a specific seafloor slope may fail is a key challenge (Geist and Parsons, 2010; Urgeles and 112 Camerlenghi et al., 2013; Urlaub et al., 2013). While relatively small (e.g. <1000 m³) submarine 113 landslides might be relatively frequent (e.g. one or more per year) in high sediment-supply 114 settings (e.g. fjord-head deltas), the events that pose a greater threat to seafloor infrastructure or 115 are tsunamigenic (e.g. >>1 km³) tend to recur on much longer timescales (e.g. 100s->1000s of 116 years). Continuous observations are required to capture these episodic events.

The *large extent of areas affected by slope instability* means that monitoring at point-locations may completely miss events that occur elsewhere along the same margin (McAdoo et al., 2000;
 Urgeles and Camerlenghi et al., 2013; Casas et al., 2016; Collico et al., 2020; Gamboa et al.,
 2021). Being in precisely the right place at the right time is highly unlikely in all but a few settings where the controls on slope instability are well constrained (e.g. seasonally-active, spatially-focused sediment supply at steep submarine deltas; Lintern et al., 2016).

The *powerful nature of the landslide and its run-out* poses a hazard to monitoring infrastructure
 that is placed in the way of a landslide (e.g. Inman et al., 1976; Khripounoff et al., 2003). Recent
 examples of field-based monitoring demonstrate how even relatively small flows can damage and
 displace sensors and associated equipment (e.g. Inman et al., 1976; Clare et al., 2020 and
 references therein). Large slope failures would likely completely destroy monitoring arrays in
 their path.

129

130 1.1. Recent advances in direct monitoring of submarine landslides

131 Despite these challenges, recent technological advances have enabled monitoring of several aspects of 132 submarine landslide behaviour. Geotechnical monitoring provides information in relation to the 133 preconditioning of submarine landslides, through use of in-situ devices that monitor changes in 134 subsurface conditions, such as pore pressure (e.g. Prior et al., 1989; Strout and Tjelta, 2005; Stegmann et 135 al. 2011). Technological advances have triggered a recent growth in geophysical monitoring of aspects of 136 submarine landslides including: i) repeated seafloor surveys (at timescales from decades to minutes in 137 some cases) to document elevation changes, evolution of the landslide itself, the effects of landslide run-138 out on the seascape, and subsequent reworking by other marine processes (e.g. Smith et al., 2007; Biscara 139 et al., 2012; Kelner et al., 2016; Mastbergen et al., 2016; Fujiwara et al., 2017; Chaytor et al., 2020; 140 Heijnen et al., 2020; Guiastrennec-Faugas et al., 2021; Normandeau et al., 2021); ii) time-lapse reflection 141 seismic surveys to monitor changes in subsurface conditions (e.g. Blum et al., 2010; Hunt et al., 2021; 142 Roche et al., 2021; Waage et al., 2021); (iii) direct monitoring of turbidity currents (some of which likely 143 initiated from submarine landslides) using moored or vessel-based, active acoustic sensors, such as 144 Acoustic Doppler Current Profilers (ADCPs) and multibeam sonars, that enable measurement of flow velocity and estimation of suspended sediment concentrations (e.g. Xu et al., 2010, 2011; Hughes Clarke 145 146 et al., 2012; Khripounoff et al., 2012; Simmons et al., 2020); and iv) monitoring changes in seafloor 147 movement and elevation via geodetic location of acoustic transponders (e.g. Campbell et al., 2015; Paull 148 et al., 2018; Urlaub et al., 2018; Zhao et al., 2021). Such studies tend to involve either campaign-style 149 surveys (often with years between individual campaigns), short duration (i.e. weeks to months-long) 150 continuous measurements (as they are limited by power supply and data storage), or else require cabled 151 connection for data transfer and external power supply. Therefore, while they provide detailed (i.e. 152 temporally or depth/laterally-resolved) measurements, such approaches necessarily cover short time 153 periods and limited areas relative to the full extent of continental slopes that may be affected by slope 154 instability, as well as the extent of an individual landslide event itself (Urgeles and Camerlenghi et al., 155 2013; Urlaub and Villinger, 2019; Brackenridge et al., 2020; Fan et al., 2020). These studies also 156 primarily focus on slope preconditioning, or the run-out produced by slope failures; hence, significant 157 knowledge gaps remain with regards to the inception of slope failures, their kinematics, and their 158 transition from slope failure to more dilute run-out, which are key, but poorly constrained parameters in tsunami modelling, impact assessments for critical seafloor infrastructure, and in understanding deepwater sediment transport in general.

161

162 1.2. Aims

163 There is thus a compelling need for low power-consumption sensors that can cover large spatial domains, 164 which are capable of monitoring a wide range of environmental conditions (including the timing, location 165 and behaviour of submarine landslides), and for systems that are deployed offshore to generate 166 sufficiently small data volumes, such that sustained, long-endurance, autonomous monitoring is possible. 167 Here, we show how passive acoustic and seismological monitoring has started to address these constraints 168 and can contribute to filling key knowledge gaps. First, we explore the application of passive acoustic and 169 seismological monitoring of terrestrial landslides, and the relevance of those techniques in the marine 170 realm. Second, we discuss which aspects of submarine landslides may be detected using passive acoustic 171 and seismological monitoring. Here, we explore the kinds of environmental noise or ground motion that 172 are generated by submarine landslides, and therefore what signals we should anticipate recording. Third, 173 we present examples from recent marine studies that have successfully monitored precursor conditions, 174 initiation, movement and impacts of submarine landslides using passive acoustic and seismological 175 approaches. Finally, we conclude with some of the on-going technological developments, and the future 176 application of other techniques, including those detailed in other chapters of this volume.

177

178 2. Passive geophysical monitoring of terrestrial landslides

179 Early terrestrial studies successfully identified subsurface mining blasts and earthquakes using 180 seismometers, which stimulated further research into the potential of passive geophysical monitoring to 181 detect onshore slope failures (Galitzin, 1915; Jeffreys, 1923; Antsyferov, 1959; Cadman and Goodman, 182 1967). The elastic straining of soils and rock, friction due to displacement along a failure plane, within the 183 sliding mass, and collision of the landslide mass at its down-slope limit were subsequently recognised as 184 signals that could be recorded by seismologic monitoring techniques (e.g. Cadman and Goodman, 1967). 185 It is now well known that progressive failure and detachment of unstable masses can generate local 186 ground motions that are equivalent to earthquakes; hence, land-based seismological networks are

187 increasingly used to determine the timing and location of slope failures, particularly in remote settings 188 where repeat topographic surveys are rare or non-existent (e.g. Hibert et al., 2019). Such studies enable 189 identification of many landslides that would otherwise be missing from historical records, thus providing 190 significant improvements in the completeness of hazard catalogues (Ianucci et al., 2020).

191

192 In addition to creating ground motions, terrestrial landslide activity can also generate acoustic emissions, 193 most of which tend to have a frequency content similar to, or just below, the spectrum of audible sound 194 (Chichibu et al. 1989; Rouse et al. 1991; Dixon and Spriggs, 2007; Dixon et al., 2015). Acoustic 195 emissions are generated as a slope is subjected to stress, shear and/or the landslide mass starts to move 196 downslope (Dixon et al., 2015); hence, both acoustic emission and seismological monitoring have started 197 to gain recognition as an onshore early warning tool to identify the early stages or precursors to slope 198 failure (e.g. Mainsant et al., 2012; Dixon et al., 2015; Michlmayr et al., 2017; Le Breton et al., 2021). 199 Analysis following the Anak Krakatau volcanic sector collapse in Indonesia (which generated a 200 devastating tsunami in December 2018) revealed that broadband seismic and infrasound monitoring 201 networks detected not only the landslide event, but also potential triggering events that preceded the 202 collapse (Walter et al., 2019; Figure 1). Regional tsunami monitoring networks did not detect the resultant 203 surface wave due to its localised point source, as they were designed to detect longer line sources (Ye et 204 al., 2020). The collapse itself was represented by a short-lived (one to two-minute-long) low frequency 205 (0.01-0.03 Hz) signal; the first P-wave of which was detected at nine onshore seismometers across the 206 Sumatra and Java region, pin-pointing its location at Anak Krakatau (Walter et al., 2019; Figure 1). This 207 event had a moment magnitude (M_w) equivalent to 5.3. A higher frequency (0.1-4 Hz) seismic event was 208 recorded 115 seconds prior to the sector collapse, while the collapse signal was followed by five minutes 209 of a continuous tremor-like signal at high frequencies (0.7-4 Hz) that was attributed to post-failure 210 eruptive activity. Infrasound arrays as far afield as Australia (>1000 km to the south-west) recorded a 211 high-energy impulse that matched the origin times of the short-period seismic signal corresponding to the 212 collapse event (Walter et al., 2019). This example is particularly pertinent, not only because it 213 demonstrates the capability of passive seismological and acoustic monitoring to detect terrestrial

214 landslides onshore, but also because approximately half of the failed mass was actually under water (Hunt



216



Figure 1: Seismic and infrasound records of the 2018 Anak Krakatau volcanic island collapse from
Walter et al. (2019), including: A) location of regional seismic monitoring network; B) vertical

component of 0.4-1Hz seismic records at various stations; C) Normalised seismic amplitudes at the station nearest to Anak Krakatau recording a high frequency event prior to the collapse (1) and a low frequency signal that is related to the landslide (2); D) infrasonic spectrogram records of frequency, beam power and back azimuth used to locate the event from a seven-element infrasound array. E) Broadband seismogram (above), and band pass filtered by 20-50 seconds (middle) and 1-10 Hz as recorded before, during and after the 2009 Hsiaolin onshore landslide in Taiwan by Lin (2015).

226

227 Onshore seismologic networks have been used to detect terrestrial landslides, including the Hsiaolin 228 hillslope landslide, which occurred when Typhoon Morakot hit Taiwan in 2009. Broadband seismic data 229 recorded: i) very long period seismic signals (20-50 seconds) that were interpreted to be related to elastic 230 rebound of exposed stratigraphy as the overlying landslide mass was evacuated and moved downslope; 231 and ii) large amplitude high frequency signals (1-10 Hz) that were interpreted to result from the impact of 232 the landslide mass at the base of slope (Lin, 2015). Based on the time between these seismic events and 233 the documented run out distance of 2,500 m, Lin (2015) estimated a maximum velocity of >80 m/s. A 234 total of 51 other landslides were also detected on the same day by the same broadband network (Lin et al., 235 2010). Perhaps most remarkably, 14 of these were detected offshore. Hence, in instances where 236 broadband seismic networks are sufficiently dense, they can provide a useful tool for hazard monitoring.

237

238 Processes that generate ground motion and create collisions within similar gravity-driven mass 239 movements have also been successfully recorded using various passive geophysical techniques, 240 including: i) submerged hydrophones and microphones to measure bedload transport based on noise 241 generated by impacts caused by granular material in rivers and streams (Downs et al., 2016; Geay et al., 242 2017; Rickenmann, 2017; Geay et al., 2020); ii) bespoke geophone and infrasound arrays that detect self-243 generated noise and vibrations related to snow avalanches (Suriñach et al., 2005; Bessason et al., 2007; 244 van Herwijnen and Schweizer, 2011; Lacroix et al., 2012; Van Herwijnen et al., 2016); and iii) use of 245 existing seismological stations to detect ice avalanches, rock falls and glacial outburst floods from sudden 246 ground movements as the mass impacts the ground (Caplan-Auerbach and Hugel, 2007; Deparis et al.,

247 2008; Cook et al., 2018, 2021). The successful demonstration of passive geophysical tools to monitor 248 terrestrial mass movements has opened the door for the application of similar techniques in offshore 249 settings, with a view to overcoming some of the many shortcomings of active source monitoring tools 250 (e.g. particularly in relation to power and spatial limitations). Resolution and signal-to-noise ratio are 251 often orders of magnitude poorer for submerged instruments, as a result of: i) poor coupling with the 252 seafloor arising from their crude placement (i.e. where instrument frames are dropped from a ship, as 253 compared to the precise placement of instruments onshore; and ii) the environmental noise of the water 254 column, which is affected by a variety of natural processes and human activities.



Figure 2: Example of seismic records of terrestrial landslide, from Hibert et al. (2019), which was detected by 17 stations between 136 and 385 km from the event itself. A) Seismic signal filtered between 1 and 10 Hz. B) Aerial photograph of the landslide which provided the validation of the signal interpretation. C) Seismic signals related to the same landslide at 16 locations, which are shown in D) in relation to the landslide location.

261 3. Which aspects of submarine landslides should we be able to detect with passive systems?

262 Based on these past studies, a range of information can theoretically be recorded from submarine 263 landslides by passive seismic and acoustic monitoring (Figure 3). We now discuss some of these aspects, 264 broadly in the order in which they would occur (i.e. prior to, during and then following a slope failure). 265 First, data can be acquired in the build up to a slope failure, providing information on preconditioning, 266 including temporal variations in volcanic or subsurface fluid flow activity, as well as potentially 267 identifying any plausible external triggers such as earthquakes, tropical cyclones, storms or rapid 268 sediment delivery by floods. Next, the timing and location of failure can potentially be ascertained by 269 triangulating seismic signals (e.g. the case of the Anak Krakatau event), as a result of ground motions 270 and/or noise generated as shearing occurs along the basal failure surface (and potentially also along its 271 lateral margins), and as that shear propagates through the subsurface (Puzrin et al., 2016). As the 272 landslide mass becomes mobile, ground motions and noise will likely be generated by friction created 273 along the failure plane (Campbell et al., 1995; Brodsky et al., 2003; Gee et al., 2005), due to internal 274 deformation of the moving sediment mass (e.g. Mountjoy et al., 2009; Buss et al., 2019; Sammartini et 275 al., 2021), and if the mass starts to disintegrate as it entrains and mixes with ambient seawater (e.g. 276 Masson et al., 2006). A reduction in vertical effective stress caused by evacuation of overlying sediments, 277 or temporary loading and unloading as a result of the transit of an overriding landslide mass can trigger 278 elastic rebound, producing long period signals (Kanamori and Given, 1982; Kawakatsu, 1989; Lin, 2015) 279 that may occur suddenly, or steadily over prolonged timescales (e.g. >months in onshore examples; Lin, 280 2015; Leung and Ng, 2016). The displaced mass that moves downslope may travel considerable distances 281 (tens to hundreds of km), over relatively low angle (<1-2 degrees) slopes. The ground motions and noise 282 generated will depend on the changing rheology and kinematics of that moving mass, and its interactions 283 with the seafloor and any topography with which it encounters (e.g. Mountjoy et al., 2018). Friction 284 arising from displacement and erosion at the base of the moving mass should be detectable, however the 285 nature of the seafloor substrate may enhance or attenuate any signals that are generated. As the landslide 286 disintegrates, it may switch from a plastically deforming mass of sediment, to a dense slurry dominated 287 by grain to grain collisions, or a more dilute flow that is characterized by sediment suspended primarily 288 by turbulence (e.g. Talling et al., 2007; Sumner and Paull, 2014; Paull et al., 2018). Each of these modes

will likely generate different signals. Where the moving mass generates a sudden physical impact and
comes to rest, such as at breaks in slope (ten Brink et al., 2006), following a sudden height drop
(Moernaut and De Batist, 2011), or where it meets pronounced topographic barriers (Frey-Martínez et al.,
2006), strong, high frequency signals should be anticipated (Caplan-Auerbach and Hugel, 2007; Deparis
et al., 2008). Finally, the indirect effects of the landslide may also generate a seismic signal, such as
where displacement of the overlying water mass generates a tsunami (e.g. Yuan et al., 2005).





Figure 3: Schematic illustration of some aspects of submarine landslides capable of generating
seismic and/or acoustic noise as discussed in this chapter, relating to preconditioning and triggering
(1A-D), landslide inception and down-slope displacement (2A-C) and its subsequent evolution and
impacts (3A-E).

301

302 4. Recent advances and opportunities in passive monitoring of submarine landslides

Motivated by successful terrestrial landslide monitoring, and enabled by advances in offshore geophysical
 monitoring (as highlighted by the other chapters in this volume and Baumgartner et al. 2018), several

305 recent studies have demonstrated that passive monitoring of several aspects of submarine landslides is no 306 longer just a possibility, but a tangible reality. Many challenges remain; most notably the fingerprinting 307 of seismic and acoustic signals. The paucity of direct submarine landslide monitoring studies (for reasons 308 discussed earlier in this chapter) means that many of these signals remain poorly calibrated; hence, 309 understanding the precise cause and source of signals is often unclear. That being said, recent studies 310 have provided important forward steps in understanding previously unresolved aspects of submarine 311 landslides and provide opportunities to fill other outstanding knowledge gaps. We now highlight studies 312 that illuminate three of these areas: i) timing and location; ii) kinematics; and iii) run-out.

313

4.1. Determining the timing and location of submarine landslides at a margin scale using landbased seismological networks

316 Using networks of land-based seismological monitoring stations, seismic sources that did not correspond 317 to catalogued earthquakes or storms have been located from radiating surface waves sourced in the Gulf 318 of Mexico and the South China Sea. In the case of the Gulf of Mexico, 85 such non-earthquake seismic 319 signals were identified between 2008 and 2015, located in various locations on the offshore continental 320 slope, and have been attributed to submarine landslide activity (Fan et al., 2020; Figure 4). Individual 321 landslide locations have previously been proposed from analysis of onshore seismological monitoring 322 (e.g. Dewey & Dellinger, 2008; Ten Brink et al., 2008), but this is the first study to identify multiple 323 submarine landslides. The seismic energy recorded is presumed to have arisen from the fast downslope 324 movement of relatively rigid landslide masses (Fan et al., 2020). Given the density of offshore oil and gas 325 infrastructure in the Gulf of Mexico (which is known to be vulnerable to damage by submarine landslides 326 and their run-out), this study provides a much-improved understanding of the locations that are prone to 327 slope failure (Chaytor et al., 2020). As well as identifying a number of seismic signals attributed to 328 terrestrial landslides from an onshore broadband seismometer network, Lin (2015) also interpreted 329 arrivals from offshore-generated ground motions in a region offshore SW Taiwan, to relate to submarine landslides, occurring shortly after the passage of the powerful Typhoon Morakot (8th August 2009). The 330 331 triangulated location of these seismic sources was found to be clustered around submarine canyon flanks 332 and steep continental slopes, which are known to be more prone to slope instability from previous

seafloor surveys (Yeh et al., 2021). Submarine sediment flows, that were likely triggered by slope failures
and related to this event, damaged a number of telecommunications cables that crossed the Gaoping
Canyon (Carter et al., 2014; Pope et al., 2017).

336

337 The ability to identify the timing and location of submarine landslides using existing onshore 338 seismological networks (i.e. without the need for deployment of bespoke underwater sensors) offers a 339 potentially game-changing opportunity to fill gaps in hazard catalogues that are required for the robust 340 and resilient design of offshore engineering projects (e.g. oil and gas, renewables, subsea cables) and for 341 developing hazard assessments for coastal communities (e.g. to inform tsunami warning and guide any 342 related civil response planning). There is still a need to calibrate these interpretations through follow up 343 seafloor surveys to document the true efficacy of the method and determine which landslide types are 344 reliably detected. Indeed, Fan et al. (2020) point out that this approach will likely only detect landslides 345 that generate sufficient seismic energy; hence, slow (e.g. creep-like) or small landslides are unlikely to be 346 detected. While submarine landslide catalogues may become more extensive using this method, they will 347 remain incomplete for such events that do not generate discernable seismic noise. Given the fact that 348 tsunamigenic landslides tend to be faster and larger, this method therefore provides a very promising step 349 forward in submarine landslide detection across very large areas, and will only be strengthened as 350 broadband seismic networks are expanded. A further positive is that these passive detection methods 351 provide a low cost approach to tackle the current biases in submarine landslide studies, given that the 352 majority of these presently focus on the North Atlantic and offshore from more economically developed 353 countries (Clare et al., 2019).

354

355





Figure 4: Identification of submarine landslides using terrestrial seismometer networks from Fan et al. (2020). A) Locations of seismic signals interpreted to relate to submarine landslides in the Gulf of Mexico using the onshore seismic monitoring network. Inset shows earthquakes in the

360 monitored time period (2008-2015) of magnitude 4 or above. B) Rayleigh wave arrival times 361 (colours of circles) and propagation directions (lines) for an earthquake, and C) a submarine 362 landslide that is thought to have been triggered by that same earthquake (red star). D) Bandpass 363 filtered (20-50 seconds) waveforms for a selection of the seismic stations used to locate the 364 submarine landslide. Grey signals show precursor earthquake and black shows the signal of the 365 landslide itself.

- 366
- 367

4.2. Quantifying landslide kinematics using hydrophones

368 Submarine landslide-generated noise has been used to determine the existence of events in remote 369 oceanic settings that would otherwise have likely gone unnoticed. Networks of hydrophones, including 370 the Hawaii Undersea Geo-Observatory in the Pacific Ocean, recorded a combination of partly-subaerial 371 and fully-submarine landslides on the flanks of volcanic islands, which generated acoustic signals that 372 were recorded up to 7000 km from their source; sometimes exceeding the amplitudes generated by 373 volcanic eruptions by up to an order of magnitude (Caplan-Auerbach et al., 2001; Chadwick et al., 2012; Caplan-Auerbach et al., 2014; Figure 5). The distinctive, extremely low frequency signals recorded are 374 375 thought to relate to the collapse of large landslide blocks, composed of highly competent volcanic 376 material, as well as from their disintegration as they travelled downslope as an avalanche (Chadwick et 377 al., 2012). In these instances, the triggering event generally seems to relate to enhanced volcanic activity, 378 such as at the West Mata volcano near Tonga, and at Kilaeuea volcano, Hawaii (Caplan-Auerback et al., 379 2001; 2014). In addition to pinpointing their location, and identifying the timing relative to volcanic activity, the multi-pathing of the propagated sound waves enabled determination of the landslide transit 380 381 velocities, which are estimated at between 10 and 25 m/s (Caplan-Auerbach et al., 2014). The location (in 382 particular the water depth) of a landslide source, and its initial velocity, are key inputs for tsunami 383 modelling (e.g. Løvholt et al., 2015). Typically, these parameters remain poorly or entirely un-384 constrained, which results in a wide uncertainty in any predictive tsunami modelling. Therefore, these and 385 future observations using similar hydrophone networks can play an important role in improving our 386 understanding of a globally significant hazard (e.g. Tappin et al., 2008; Harbitz et al., 2014; Goff and 387 Terry, 2016). Again, calibration of the hydrophone signals is needed; hence, field studies that make multiparameter measurements of submarine landslide activity will contribute significantly to the fingerprintingof different acoustic responses, and will enhance confidence in the future acoustic detection and

390 characterization of submarine landslides.

391



392

393 Figure 5: Hydrophone spectrograms showing evidence of submarine landslides in the SW Pacific 394 from Caplan-Auerbach et al. (2014). A) The signal between 300 and 450 seconds is interpreted as a 395 submarine landslide due to its broadband spectrum and variable frequency content. It is distinct 396 from degaassing explosions (low frequency, <50 Hz) events and regional earthquakes (very low 397 frequency between 470 and 600 seconds). B) Hydrophone time series and spectrogram for a 398 submarine landslide. Interference bands are noted – at 35 Hz at the start of the landslide and 20 Hz 399 near the end. The change in spacing of frequency bands indicates a moving source and is used to 400 infer the vector velocity of the landslide.

401

402 **4.3.** Characterising landslide run-out to enhance hazard assessments

403 Submarine landslide run-out is often far more extensive than that on land, and may evolve dramatically 404 with regard to its rheology, as flows mix with seawater and/or entrain seafloor sediment (Parker, 1982; 405 Zeng et al., 1991; Mohrig and Marr, 2003; Gee et al., 2006; Heerema et al., 2020). As these mass flows 406 can travel at high speeds and across large distances, they can damage sensors placed in their path (e.g. see 407 several examples in Clare et al., 2020). Therefore the use of passive, remote sensing acoustic and seismic 408 monitoring tools, placed out of the direct pathway of such flows, can enable undisturbed monitoring and 409 reduce instrument damage or loss. Recent studies have demonstrated how hydrophones may record 410 turbidity currents (some of which were triggered by landslides) in fjord settings that are fed by seasonally 411 active rivers, including on the Fraser and Squamish deltas; both in British Columbia (Lintern et al., 2016; 412 2019; Hizzett et al., 2018; Hay et al., 2021; Figure 6). At the Fraser Delta, hydrophones are deployed on a 413 seafloor observatory that is connected to shore via the VENUS cabled network, enabling real-time 414 streaming of data, which also includes measurements by complementary active source geophysical 415 sensors (Lintern and Hill, 2010). Sensors such as Acoustic Doppler Current Profilers, provide the 416 calibration and confidence that the acoustic signals (which include both high (1-300 kHz) and low (Hz) 417 frequency ranges) can be attributed to turbidity currents (Lintern et al., 2016; 2019). At the Squamish 418 Delta, a hydrophone suspended from a vessel, 10 m above an active submarine channel, recorded the 419 noise generated from sixteen turbidity currents that reached speeds of 2 m/s (Hay et al., 2021). Extensive 420 calibration was provided by a pair of 500 kHz multibeam sonars placed on the same frame as the 421 hydrophone, three echosounders (28, 200 and 70-110 kHz) mounted on the vessel itself, and a 1200 kHz 422 ADCP suspended from a separate frame, also 10 m above the seafloor (15 m from the hydrophone 423 frame). This novel study showed that where turbidity currents exceeded 1 m/s, they generated noise well 424 above ambient ocean conditions, across a spectrum of 10-500 kHz; interpreted to result from highly 425 energetic sand-sized particle collisions. Cross-reference of hydrophone and ADCP-derived velocity 426 measurements, revealed that spectral density (at 100 kHz frequencies) is proportional to the speed of the 427 flow front, where a 100-fold increase in spectral density relates to a doubling in frontal speed (Figure 7; 428 Hay et al., 2021). Even more powerful sediment flows (i.e. >2 m/s, and potentially >10 m/s) that occur 429 within larger submarine canyons and channels (e.g. Carter et al., 2014; Azpiroz et al., 2017; Paull et al., 430 2018), or that travel vast distances across open continental slopes (e.g. Piper et al., 1999), may generate 431 similar acoustic signals, and/or are likely to also generate ground motions (depending on their velocity, 432 interaction with, and the nature of the surrounding topography and seafloor substrate) that can be detected 433 with seismometers, but this requires future investigation.

434

435 Seafloor cabled-networks, such as the Neutrino Mediterranean Observatory-Submarine Network 1 436 (NEMO-SN1), have demonstrated that offshore seismic monitoring can also play a future role in 437 detecting the run-out from submarine landslides. While background environmental noise was often found 438 to complicate conclusive signal identification, high frequency and long duration (at least longer than that 439 of earthquakes) signals recorded by an ocean bottom broadband seismometer on the NEMO-SN1 network 440 offshore Mount Etna were attributed to submarine landslide run-out (Sgroi et al., 2014). Therefore, this 441 approach can apparently be readily applied using marine seismometer networks, and similar deployment 442 of more than one seismometer would allow for greater characterization of events, including pin-pointing 443 their source. These recordings were of particularly high quality because the dedicated installation 444 procedure using a Remotely Operated Vehicle ensured excellent coupling with the seafloor. This is not 445 always the case for Ocean Bottom Seismometer surveys, as these instruments are typically dropped from 446 a surface vessel and land in a relatively uncontrolled manner on the seafloor.

447



448

Figure 6: Hydrophone spectrograms showing turbidity current events in British Columbia. (a)
Passing directly through a hydrophone at the delta dynamics laboratory at the Fraser Delta. (b)
Passing below a mooring in the Bute Inlet Channel, British Columbia from Lintern et al. (2019).





Figure 7: Cross-comparison of band-averaged noise spectral densities (S_{pp}) with frontal velocities
(U₀) of turbidity currents recorded in the Squamish Delta, British Columbia for two frequency
bands (from Hay et al., 2021). Coloured symbols represent different turbidity current events. Solid

457 black line is a best-fit trend and the dashed black line shows the detection threshold above ambient

458 noise levels.

459

460 4.4. Opportunities using distributed cable-based sensing

461 Spatially-resolved distributed sensing along seafloor fibre-optic cables now enables broadband seismic 462 and acoustic monitoring across long distances (over 10s-100s of km). Several recent studies have 463 demonstrated temporally- and spatially-resolved monitoring of ground motion (acting like distributed 464 networks of seismometers) generated by earthquakes, fault displacements, and acoustic noise created by 465 ocean waves and deep-sea bottom currents that resuspend sediment at the seafloor (e.g. Blum et al., 2010; 466 Marra et al., 2018; Ajo-Franklin et al., 2019; Lindsey et al., 2019; Williams et al., 2019; Zhan et al., 2020; 467 Nishimura et al., 2021; Zhan et al., 2021; Wilcock, 2021; Figure 8). Distributed acoustic sensing data 468 acquired along a fibre-optic cable in the Nankai subduction zone, western Japan, was found to have a 469 comparable performance to adjacent broadband ocean bottom seismometers at seismic frequencies of >1470 Hz, provided the cable was well-coupled to the seafloor (Ide et al., 2021). The same study concluded that, 471 even though such distributed acoustic monitoring records one component of strain, seismic source 472 localisation within tens of kilometres of the cable can still be achieved. At lower frequencies (i.e. 0.02-473 0.05 Hz) the distributed acoustic sensing observations were notably noisier compared to those from ocean 474 bottom seismometers, thus making detection of such events challenging, unless events occur very close to 475 the cable. The low frequency regime may be critical regional scale landslide detection (i.e. Fan et al., 476 2020); hence this technique may be most appropriate for detecting relatively local low or far-field high 477 frequency signals, but more studies are required that provide similar comparisons, to test the sensitivities 478 of different cable configurations, interrogator units and analytical approaches (Lindsey and Martin, 2021; 479 Lior et al., 2021).

480

Recent advances have seen the application of sensing to detect changes in the State of Polarisation over the full length of a 10,000 km-long telecommunications cable, which enabled recording of moderate to large earthquakes, as well as pressure signals from ocean swells (thus illustrating the potential for realtime tsunami detection over transoceanic distances; Zhan et al., 2021). This distance far exceeds that

485 reached by previous studies and did not require specialist interrogator units or ultra-stable laser sources; 486 instead monitoring the State of Polarisation to detect anomalies that are attributed to strong seismic waves 487 or long-period water waves (Zhan et al., 2021). It should be noted, however, that these long-range 488 measurements were spatially integrated (i.e. averaged along the entire fibre length); hence, additional, 489 independent information is required to locate and further characterise the hazard, besides the locally-490 recorded signal timing and magnitude. Zhan et al. (2021) also recognised that site-specific effects can 491 affect the sensitivity of measurements, wherein the seabed coupling and nature of the seabed substrate on 492 which the cable is placed can result in spatially variable signal attenuation. Indeed, the coupling of the 493 cable with the seafloor may be particularly important for cable-based sensing. When assessing the 494 efficacy of Distributed Acoustic Sensing to detect earthquakes, Lioer et al. (2021) found that performance 495 was notably reduced in areas of highly irregular, rocky seafloor, where the cable is locally in free span, 496 raised above the seafloor across sections, and enhanced in areas of low relief, soft seafloor, particularly 497 where cables may become embedded or buried by sedimentation. Going forwards, cable sensing is likely 498 to be complimentary to other approaches, but also has strong potential to fill in major geographic gaps in 499 seismic monitoring, potentially making use of the existing global network of submarine cables and 500 accessing new cables (Ranasinghe et al., 2018; Howe et al., 2019; Mizutani et al., 2020; Mecozzi et al., 501 2021; Wilcock, 2021).

502

503 While Distributed Acoustic Sensing can provide valuable information, such sensing is limited to no more 504 than a few hundred km from shore; hence, additional sensors, that could include hydrophones or 505 geophones, distributed at nodal locations along a cable may help to fill this gap over longer distances. 506 This is the premise of the SMART (Science Monitoring and Reliable Telecommunication) Cables 507 initiative, which proposes attaching instruments, including ground motion, pressure and temperature 508 sensors, as external nodes on repeaters (typically spaced 60-80 km apart) on new or decommissioned 509 submarine telecommunication cables (Ranasinghe et al., 2018; Howe et al., 2019; Mecozzi et al., 2021). 510 The CAM (Continent-Azores-Madeira) Ring cable is likely to be the first operational SMART Cable 511 system (coming online in 2024), connecting mainland Portugal with the Azores. As these nodes are 512 powered and connected by cables, they will potentially provide opportunities for real-time seismo-

513 acoustic monitoring far from shore. This is particularly relevant for the CAM Ring cable, as the strongest 514 earthquakes occur far offshore, and many of the previously mapped submarine landslides are located on 515 the flanks of remote submerged seamounts (Gamboa et al., 2021; Matias et al., 2021). Numerical 516 modelling indicates that the CAM Ring SMART cable should significantly reduce uncertainty in pinpointing the location and timing of offshore earthquakes and identify events (such as submarine 517 518 landslides) that are currently undetectable by the existing onshore and coastal monitoring networks, while 519 detection of the passage and direction of tsunamis should provide improved early warning of coastal 520 impacts, and thus time to prepare emergency responses (Matias et al., 2021).



521

Figure 8: Frequency spectrograms from Distributed Acoustic Sensing along a seafloor fibre optic
cable offshore Monterey Bay, California from Lindsey et al., 2019. These demonstrate how this

technique can be used to detect: A) short-lived tidal and near-bed currents; B) tidal effects due to pressure changes; C) and internal waves. Therefore, this approach may also be appropriate to measure aspects such as the run-out of submarine landslides (e.g. turbidity currents), displaced water masses in front of a moving mass or ground motions generated by the landslide itself.

528

5. The application of passive geophysical monitoring in advancing submarine landslide science

We now revisit the overarching challenges and science questions that were outlined in the introductory section, specifically addressing how emerging seismic and acoustic techniques can fill outstanding knowledge and capacity gaps, which uncertainties remain, and outline a proposed strategy for the forward steps to advance submarine landslide science.

534

535

536

5.1. Can passive seismic and acoustic techniques overcome the logistical challenges that have

previously-hindered monitoring of submarine landslides?

537 First, we respond to the logistical challenges; namely the often-deep water and remote location of 538 submarine landslides, and their unpredictable and powerful nature. Provided sensors and receivers are 539 protected in appropriate pressure housing, passive seismo-acoustic landslide monitoring using 540 hydrophones and geophones can be performed across the full range of water depths in the global ocean, 541 from coastal (e.g. Hay et al., 2021) to thousands of metres water depth (e.g. Caplan-Auerbach et al., 542 2014). To date, we are not aware of any landslide monitoring in hadal water depths, but it is certainly 543 logistically possible, and the use of ocean bottom seismometers and Distributed Acoustic Sensing along 544 fibre-optic cables is increasing in many deep ocean settings to record earthquake and non-earthquake 545 related noise (e.g. >4000 m offshore Chile, Batsi et al., 2019; Ide et al., 2021). Given the relatively early 546 stages of many the techniques we have described, most previous studies have relied upon individual 547 instruments, or limited networks of seabed or moored instruments, with narrow spatial coverage. Hence, 548 widespread (i.e. basin- to ocean-scale) passive monitoring of submarine landslides very much remains an 549 open and future challenge. However, onshore seismic monitoring networks are far more dense than those 550 offshore and, where margin geometry permits, these can immediately be used to identify submarine

landslides that may occur hundreds to thousands of kilometres from shore, where their location is not
known *a priori* (Fan et al., 2020).

553

554 The Gulf of Mexico is perhaps an ideal candidate, as its physiographic geometry suits the use of 555 triangulation from an onshore network to pinpoint the offshore location of submarine landslides. Arc-556 shaped convergent continental margins are also good future candidates for this approach, as are enclosed 557 basins such as the Mediterranean, as they provide similarly well-suited geometries, and are often 558 themselves 'blind spots' for landslide-tsunami hazard where new insights are required (e.g. Sunda Arc; 559 Goff and Terry, 2016). The geometry of many margins will not suit this approach, however. As 560 recognised by Lin (2015), deployment of wider and denser networks of onshore and offshore 561 seismometers will be required in such settings for limitations to be overcome. Distributed Acoustic 562 Sensing along fibre-optic cables provides opportunities for long distance monitoring, and potentially to 563 fill several spatial gaps in offshore monitoring capacity, however, this technology cannot currently be 564 extended beyond the first repeater, and is thus presently limited over distances of less than a few hundreds 565 of kilometres from shore (Matias et al., 2021). This means that many submarine landslides, which occur 566 far offshore, cannot yet be recorded by this technique. Longer range (i.e. tens of thousands of kilometres) 567 monitoring is possible along cables that connect continents, either as integral measurements with limited 568 spatial resolution (e.g. Marra et al., 2018; Zhan et al., 2021), or at powered SMART sensor nodes along 569 the cable (e.g. Howe et al., 2019).

570

All of the passive monitoring approaches enable placement of sensing equipment out of the path of submarine landslides and their run-out, which has hindered many previous efforts where sensors, moorings and platforms have been damaged or lost (e.g. Khripounouff et al. 2003; Clare et al., 2020 and references therein). These new techniques therefore ensure that not only the timing initiation of a landslide can be recorded, but measurements can also be made during the landslide event itself, from which key aspects of landslide behaviour can be determined.

577

578

5.2. Which aspects of submarine landslides can we currently assess from passive remote sensing

579

techniques and what needs to be resolved?

580 5.2.1. Landslide timing

581 The timing of submarine landslides has been determined from signals detected by submerged 582 hydrophones and geophones, as well as land-based seismic monitoring systems. Therefore, observational 583 passive monitoring datasets can provide new insights into the timing and frequency of submarine 584 landslides; starting to fill a key knowledge gap. For example, the use of land-based seismic monitoring in 585 the Gulf of Mexico identified 85 previously-undetected submarine landslide events (Fan et al., 2020). The 586 ability to identify past submarine landslide signals provides important information to develop more robust 587 hazard assessments and inform resilient routing and siting of offshore infrastructure (Chaytor et al., 588 2020), while the quick identification of landslide signals has potential to enhance existing tsunami 589 warning systems (Fan et al., 2020; Matias et al., 2021). An immediate opportunity lies in back-analysis of 590 legacy datasets, to explore whether past landslide signals exist, but have been ignored by previous studies 591 as they focused on different frequency spectral ranges. Such an approach has already shown value in 592 identifying gas or fluid flow-related processes from ocean bottom seismometers or ocean currents from 593 Distributed Acoustic Sensing datasets (Baksi et al., 2019).

594

595 5.2.2. Landslide triggers and preconditioning

596 In addition to determining whether a landslide has occurred or not, ascertaining the timing of landslide 597 inception allows us to investigate the environmental conditions in the build up to that event; and thus 598 determine likely triggering and/or preconditioning factors. Limitations in the precise determination of 599 submarine landslide timing (e.g. due to uncertainties in radiocarbon dating) has hindered identification of 600 these links previously, but this is now possible, as highlighted by acoustic and seismic monitoring prior of 601 the 2018 Anak Krakatau volcanic collapse (Walter et al., 2019) and offshore landslides following 602 earthquakes in Taiwan (Lin, 2005). Future monitoring is likely to lead to the identification of new or 603 potentially surprising triggers, such as the intriguing link between distant earthquakes and submarine 604 landslides in the Gulf of Mexico (Fan et al., 2020). Passive monitoring technology is now sufficiently 605 mature to test previous hypotheses on landslide triggering and investigate new ones; however, operational

606 early warning systems (i.e. that automatically raise alerts ahead of a potential landslide event) still 607 remains a future prospect for all but a few locations, as this requires a robust understanding of the 608 conditions prior to failure or identification of a landslide as it happens. This will therefore be more 609 feasible at sites where triggers and/or preconditioning are more predictable and closely linked to 610 enhanced sediment supply from rivers (i.e. where landslides are more prone during or following periods 611 of higher river discharge, such as the bedload-dominated Fraser or Squamish Delta; Lintern et al., 2020; 612 Hay et al., 2021) or relate to heightened volcanic activity (e.g. Anak Krakatau, Indonesia; Mount Etna, 613 Mediterranean; Urlaub et al., 2018; Walter et al., 2019).

614

615 5.2.3. Landslide location

616 It is possible to pinpoint the location of submarine landslides through triangulation (to a precision of 617 approximately tens of kms; Caplan-Auerbach et al., 2014; Lin, 2015; Fan et al., 2020); however, as 618 already noted, this approach is limited to locations where sensor networks are sufficiently widely and 619 densely distributed. There is a wealth of existing onshore (and to a limited extent offshore) broadband 620 seismic datasets in several regions where the same approach could be taken, to investigate whether 621 similar landslide-like signals exist, if they can be located spatially, and if they correspond to any of the 622 recent direct measurements of slope instability and their run-out, or existing maps of landslide 623 vulnerability (e.g. Paul et al., 2018; Urlaub et al., 2018; Obelcz et al., 2020; Gamboa et al., 2021). We 624 suggest that detailed bathymetric surveys should be performed in areas where seismic signals attributed to 625 submarine landslides have been located to determine which types of landslide are recorded, as well as to 626 the test the efficacy of landslide detection. The Gulf of Mexico, Mediterranean, Caribbean and regions 627 offshore south-west Taiwan are prime candidates for this field calibration.

628

629 5.2.4. Landslide kinematics

If a landslide mass is known, it is possible to infer other aspects of submarine landslide behaviour from the resultant seismic and acoustic signals. For instance, inversion of these signals can be used to derive force histories, from which volume, velocity, changes in basal friction, run-out distance, and other important aspects of landslide kinematics can be determined, as demonstrated by successful application of 634 these approaches in terrestrial settings (e.g. Brodsky et al., 2003; Ekström and Stark, 2013; Yamada et al., 635 2013; Moretti et al., 2015). These aspects will likely be more challenging to constrain than landslide 636 timing and location, however; requiring concerted research and field calibration. Sites where passive and 637 active monitoring are performed concurrently will provide valuable opportunities to calibrate the nature 638 of seismic and/or acoustic signals, such as at the Squamish Delta, Canada, where variations in noise 639 spectra were linked to changes in the concentration and grain size of sediment avalanches, and noise 640 intensity corresponded to the velocity of the flow front of turbidity currents (Hay et al., 2021). Moored 641 arrays of direct active sensors (e.g. ADCPs) have been used to track the passage and evolution of 642 landslide run-out and turbidity currents down submarine canyons, over tens of kilometres (e.g. Paull et 643 al., 2018). The addition of co-located hydrophones and geophones to such moored or seafloor arrays will 644 enable calibration of seismic and acoustic signals as well as determining threshold limits for detection.

645

646 5.2.5. Site-specific effects

647 We suggest that different deployment configurations should be trialed to investigate how signals attenuate 648 in different settings, as well as investigating the effects of increasing distance and differing angles of 649 incidence from source. The effects of seafloor morphology may attenuate the signal generated by 650 submarine landslides and complicate its propagation pathway, which is particularly important for 651 directional instruments such as hydrophones. While hydrophones have been shown to detect events on 652 relatively open delta slopes (Hay et al., 2021), to what extent the topographic effects of deeply-incised 653 submarine canyons may limit detection of events by similar sensors placed outside the canyon, along 654 narrow fjords or other irregular seafloor terrain remains poorly constrained. It is also important to identify 655 and differentiate landslide-related noise from ambient seismic and acoustic noise that arises from natural 656 background processes and human activities in the ocean. In noisy marine environments, ambient noise 657 may overprint or entirely obscure the records of submarine landslides; hence, an understanding of the 658 levels, frequency and time windows of that background noise is important when designing monitoring 659 strategies (Lior et al., 2021). Societal lockdowns in 2020 and 2021 associated with the COVID-19 660 pandemic have been identified as periods of significantly reduced seismic noise both on land and at sea 661 (Lecocq et al., 2020; Ryan et al., 2020), and may therefore provide a rare window of reduced background

662 noise to explore local to global datasets. If signals can be sufficiently calibrated and confidently attributed 663 to submarine landslides, historical records can be re-analysed to extend landslide catalogues in many 664 regions worldwide, adding significant value to already valuable legacy datasets and providing new 665 avenues for research and informing hazard management strategies.

666

667 5.2.6. Challenges in data transfer

668 As passive geophysical systems require much less power than active sensors (e.g. such as ADCPs), they 669 can improve the endurance of offshore monitoring, lengthening monitoring windows in time. Future 670 challenges still lie in transmission of data from sites that are far from shore (i.e. in abyssal to hadal water 671 depths), particularly if an end goal is to support early-warning systems. This issue is largely moot for 672 cabled systems as they support high bandwidth data transfer; although as already noted, the current reach 673 of Distributed Acoustic Sensing limits long distance (>100s km) monitoring. For ocean bottom 674 seismometers or hydrophones on seabed frames or moorings, there is currently no simple solution, as data 675 file sizes typically exceed that currently permissible by acoustic data transmission.

- 676
- 677

5.3. Suggestions for future directions

We now finish by making some specific suggestions with regards to the future activities, listed in abroadly prioritised order.

680

5.3.1. Focus on calibration of hydrophone and geophone records at specific, well-known,
active sites

We suggest that efforts should be focused on specific sites and settings to provide the calibration needed to strengthen confidence in the characterisation of submarine landslides from passive monitoring data. It is sensible to target active sites where previous monitoring has demonstrated regular landslide activity, where pre- and post-event bathymetric data are available, and where passive instruments (hydrophones, geophones, fibre-optic cables etc.) can be deployed concurrently with active sensors that record key parameters such as event timing, subsurface pore pressure, elevation changes, and flow velocity and sediment concentration. The Fraser Delta is one such location, being connected by seafloor cables to 690 Ocean Networks Canada's VENUS network (that enable real-time data transfer to shore) in an area of 691 high sediment supply with sub-annual landslide activity. Synchronous deployment of hydrophones and 692 seismometers, with current meters, inclinometers and piezometers (among other sensors) provides an 693 ideal test bed for calibrated interpretation of seismic and acoustic signals attributed to small-scale 694 submarine landslides (Lintern and Hill, 2010; Lintern et al, 2020). Other cable-connected sites, such as 695 the EMSO (European Multidisciplinary Seafloor and water column Observatory) Ligure-Nice 696 observatory provide opportunities to monitor the development of potential precursor conditions, but 697 landslide recurrence is orders of magnitude lower at this site compared to the Fraser Delta; hence, the 698 likelihood of catching a landslide itself is much less likely (Bompais et al., 2019). Similarly, the DONET 699 (Dense Oceanfloor Network system for Earthquakes and Tsunamis) cabled sensor network located 700 offshore SW Japan provides opportunities to detect submarine landslides, but intriguingly no evidence for 701 such activity was found within a few hours of two large (>6 M_w) earthquakes, with a study concluding 702 that slopes may not be particularly susceptible to slope failure in that region (Gomberg et al., 2021). 703 Indeed, most cabled observatories tend to avoid areas of very frequent landslide activity, so it will also be 704 necessary to perform field calibration in other settings using more conventional landers or moorings that 705 are not connected by cables, which requires sea-going research cruises. Sites with high, and predictable, 706 sediment supply and where active field campaigns are planned will be the preferred candidates for 707 synchronous deployment of active and passive monitoring equipment, such as major submarine canyons 708 that connect to large rivers, or whose head intersects seasonally-variable littoral transport cells (e.g. 709 canyons where sub-annual turbidity currents have previously been recorded: Congo Canyon, West Africa; 710 Gaoping Canyon, Taiwan; Var Canyon, Mediterranean; Capbreton Canyon, Bay of Biscay; Khripounoff 711 et al. 2012; Azpiroz-Zabala et al., 2017; Paull et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2018). On a larger-scale, it will 712 also be important to acquire data at active volcanic sites where onshore and offshore monitoring is on-713 going, such as the geodetic monitoring offshore Mount Etna, or land-based acoustic emission and GPS 714 monitoring at Anak Krakatau (Urlaub et al., 2018; Walter et al., 2018). These activities should go hand in 715 hand with efforts to determine site-specific effects on attenuation (e.g. due to topographic, substrate and 716 other effects), which will benefit from numerical modelling to help identify and design the optimal sensor 717 (e.g. where is the strongest or earliest signal expected?).

718

5.3.2. Explore and calibrate existing land-based seismic monitoring data

720 We suggest that more can, and should be, made of legacy broadband seismic datasets to explore past 721 catalogues for evidence of submarine landslides. This method has been demonstrated offshore USA and 722 Taiwan (Lin, 2015; Fan et al., 2020) and is more widely applicable, using data that have already been 723 collected. Analysis of past time-series data should then be complemented with the acquisition of new 724 seafloor survey data, to confirm that the spatial locations of landslide signals do indeed correspond with 725 landslide events, and determine if a specific type(s) of landslide is more likely to generate a detectable 726 seismic signal. This calibration will be most effective in areas where detailed swath multibeam echo-727 sounder surveys have already been performed (in particular high-resolution datasets acquired using 728 Autonomous Underwater Vehicles), as differential elevations between multiple surveys provide a time 729 window for a landslide, as well as its location. While repeat seafloor surveys are relatively rare, their use 730 is growing, particularly in active submarine canyons (e.g. Smith et al., 2007; Paull et al., 2018), volcanic 731 island flanks (e.g. Le Friant et al., 2010; Caplan-Auerbach et al., 2014; Hunt et al., 2021), offshore river 732 deltas (e.g. Lintern et al., 2016; Obelcz et al., 2017), including across the Mississippi submarine delta 733 where seafloor infrastructure is threatened by submarine slope failures (Chaytor et al., 2020; Obelcz et al., 734 2020). The footprint of these surveys tends to be quite limited; hence, it will most likely be necessary to 735 target specific areas, focusing on acquiring high-resolution bathymetric data that enables identification of 736 landslide scars and/or deposits. Evidence of landslide impacts on seafloor infrastructure (e.g. cable 737 breaks, pipeline damage) may provide a useful addition point of calibration as this provides constraint on 738 both timing and location of the event (e.g. Carter et al., 2014). Analysis of legacy seismic monitoring 739 datasets, as well as new ones, will benefit from application of emergent machine learning techniques that 740 permit analysis of vast datasets to train algorithms to automatically identify landslide events, and 741 potentially identify previously-unrecognised environmental patterns that relate to landslide 742 preconditioning (Thirugnanam et al., 2020; Deng et al., 2021).

743

744 5.3.3. Calibrate and test fibre-optic detection of submarine landslides

745 The emergence of fibre-optic sensing to monitor offshore geohazards (in particular Distributed Acoustic 746 Sensing) is an exciting prospect, but one that currently remains unproven at field-scale for submarine 747 landslide detection. The frequency ranges for detection appear to largely fall within the capabilities of 748 Distributed Acoustic Sensing, although confident identification of low frequency (<<1 Hz) signals may be challenging, particularly in noisy environments (e.g. due to natural ocean processes and human 749 750 activities) or where cables are poorly coupled with the seafloor. Synchronous deployment of arrays of 751 ocean bottom seismometers and hydrophones in active landslide settings are needed to provide the 752 confidence in interpretation of Distributed Acoustic Sensing data as well as assessing the distance (both 753 along-cable, and adjacent to it) over which measurements can reliably be made of the different signals 754 created by submarine landslides. When installing new pipelines and cable, or when seafloor structures are 755 decommissioned and left in situ, consideration could be given to integrate passive monitoring 756 instrumentation to complement that used for monitoring infrastructure integrity (Wilcock et al., 2021). As 757 SMART cables are installed (planned from 2024), opportunities will arise for accessing distributed sensor 758 packages that may include hydrophones and/or geophones, adding valuable new potential locations for 759 submarine landslide detection (Howe et al., 2019; Matias et al., 2021).

760

761 5.3.4. Extending the global monitoring network to enable operational early warning systems

762 In the longer-term there is a need for expanded acoustic and seismic monitoring networks offshore; not 763 only for detection of submarine landslides, but also for a host of ocean and earth science applications 764 (Wilcock et al. 2021). Future opportunities may exist through collaboration with global monitoring 765 programmes, such as MERMAIDS (Mobile Earthquake Recording in Marine Areas by Independent 766 Divers), which employs a network of autonomous floats equipped with hydrophones (0.1-50 kHz) that 767 passively drift across the ocean for deployments lasting up to five years; capable of transmitting 768 seismograms in near real-time (Sukhovich et al., 2015; Hello and Nolet, 2020). Data gathered in such a 769 manner may provide useful opportunistic snapshots of certain aspects of landslide activity, but may only 770 yield limited data to answer outstanding science questions given the mobile nature of the floats and the 771 lack of ground motion data. Therefore static seafloor sensors, rather than floating ones, will also be 772 required, but a similar approach (i.e. that focuses developing low cost, and long endurance monitoring

773 platforms) is an important future direction. Whether seismic monitoring networks are extended offshore 774 attached to cables, via distributed fibre-optic sensing, or using stand-alone moorings, seafloor landers or 775 nodes, the development of more cost-effective sensors that do not require regular servicing, and are 776 capable of transmitting data remotely, will transform our ability to monitor and ultimately provide early 777 warning for submarine landslides. It is unlikely that we will fully rely upon passive monitoring 778 approaches, but a future outlook could include automated decision-making by smart networks that 779 determine whether a significant event has occurred, prompting the release of pop-up floats to transmit 780 data, or active (high power-use) systems that are triggered to start recording, or switch to a higher 781 sampling rate, by passive (lower power-use) sensors.

782

783 6. Concluding remarks

784 While there are still many open questions concerning submarine landslides and the hazards they pose, the 785 recent growth in marine geophysical monitoring is rapidly providing data to start filling many of those 786 gaps. Passive seismic and acoustic monitoring is in its relative infancy in this field, but has already shown 787 significant promise in enabling the detection of submarine landslides over large areas, to ascertain their 788 precise timing (and hence determine likely triggers), provide constraints on their transit velocity and run-789 out distance, and their effects (e.g. tsunami generation). We consider that these longer endurance and 790 often more cost effective techniques are likely to form a complementary addition to existing approaches, 791 rather than replace them entirely, particularly as many aspects of landslides can generate ground motions 792 and/or acoustic noise. Ongoing calibration needs to be a priority to reliably discern and interpret signals 793 generated by submarine landslides. Greater understanding through modeling will help to identify optimal 794 sensor configurations. Distributed sensing along fibre-optic cables is an intriguing proposition, however, 795 there is a compelling need to understand precisely what a submarine landslide signal looks like and over 796 what spatial scale such signals can be reliably recorded. Studies are immediately required that test the 797 sensitivity of passive landslide detection (using onshore and offshore networks) through concurrent 798 deployment of active sensors. Once that calibration is performed across a breadth of sites and settings, we 799 suggest that passive seismic and acoustic monitoring has the potential to answer a host of key, 800 outstanding questions and significantly advance the field of submarine landslide science.

801

802 7. Acknowledgements

803 Clare and Talling acknowledge funding from the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC), 804 including 'Environmental Risks to Infrastructure: Identifying and Filling the Gaps' (NE/ P005780/1), 805 'New field-scale calibration of turbidity current impact modelling' (NE/P009190/1), "Developing a 806 Global Listening Network for Turbidity Currents and Seafloor Processes" (NE/S009965/1 and 807 NE/S010068/1). The authors also acknowledge discussions with collaborators as part of Talling's NERC 808 International Opportunities Fund grant (NE/M017540/1) 'Coordinating and pump-priming international 809 efforts for direct monitoring of active turbidity currents at global test sites'. Clare also acknowledges 810 support from the International Cable Protection Committee. Talling was supported by a NERC and Royal 811 Society Industry Fellowship hosted by the International Cable Protection Committee. Additional funding 812 for Clare was provided by NERC National Capability Climate Linked Atlantic Sector Science 813 Programme (NE/R015953/1). E. Pope was supported by a Leverhulme Early Career Fellowship (ECF-814 2018-267). We thank Bruce Howe and an anonymous reviewer for constructive feedback that improved 815 the manuscript.

816

817 8. References

- Ai, F., Strasser, M., Preu, B., Hanebuth, T.J., Krastel, S. and Kopf, A., 2014. New constraints on
- 819 oceanographic vs. seismic control on submarine landslide initiation: a geotechnical approach off
- Uruguay and northern Argentina. *Geo-Marine Letters*, *34*(5), pp.399-417.
- Ajo-Franklin, J.B., Dou, S., Lindsey, N.J., Monga, I., Tracy, C., Robertson, M., Tribaldos, V.R., Ulrich,
- 822 C., Freifeld, B., Daley, T. and Li, X., 2019. Distributed acoustic sensing using dark fiber for near-
- surface characterization and broadband seismic event detection. *Scientific reports*, 9(1), pp.1-14.
- Antsyferov, M.S., 1959. Seismo-Acoustic Methods in Mining (Consultants Bureau, New York, 1966);
- 825 SD Vinogradov. Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR Geophys. Ser, 2.
- 826 Azpiroz-Zabala, M., Cartigny, M.J., Talling, P.J., Parsons, D.R., Sumner, E.J., Clare, M.A., Simmons,
- 827 S.M., Cooper, C. and Pope, E.L., 2017. Newly recognized turbidity current structure can explain
- 828 prolonged flushing of submarine canyons. *Science advances*, *3*(10), p.e1700200.

- 829 Batsi, E., Tsang-Hin-Sun, E., Klingelhoefer, F., Bayrakci, G., Chang, E.T., Lin, J.Y., Dellong, D.,
- 830 Monteil, C. and Géli, L., 2019. Nonseismic Signals in the Ocean: Indicators of Deep Sea and Seafloor
- 831 Processes on Ocean-Bottom Seismometer Data. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 20(8),
- **832** pp.3882-3900.
- 833 Baumgartner, M.F., Stafford, K.M. and Latha, G., 2018. Near real-time underwater passive acoustic
- 834 monitoring of natural and anthropogenic sounds. In *Observing the Oceans in Real Time* (pp. 203-226).
- 835 Springer, Cham.
- 836 Bessason, B., Eiríksson, G., Thórarinsson, Ó., Thórarinsson, A. and Einarsson, S., 2007. Automatic
- detection of avalanches and debris flows by seismic methods. *Journal of Glaciology*, *53*(182), pp.461472.
- Biscara, L., Hanquiez, V., Leynaud, D., Marieu, V., Mulder, T., Gallissaires, J. M., Crespin, J.-P,
 Braccini, E. and Garlan, T. (2012). Submarine slide initiation and evolution offshore Pointe Odden,
 Gabon-Analysis from annual bathymetric data (2004–2009). Marine Geology, 299, 43-50.
- 842 Blum, J.A., Chadwell, C.D., Driscoll, N. and Zumberge, M.A., 2010. Assessing slope stability in the
- 843 Santa Barbara Basin, California, using seafloor geodesy and CHIRP seismic data. Geophysical
 844 Research Letters, 37(13).
- 845 Bompais, X., Garziglia, S., Blandin, J. and Hello, Y., 2019, June. EMSO-Ligure Nice, a Coastal Cabled
- Observatory Dedicated to the Study of Slope Stability. In OCEANS 2019-Marseille (pp. 1-8). IEEE.
- 847 Brackenridge, R.E., Nicholson, U., Sapiie, B., Stow, D. and Tappin, D.R., 2020. Indonesian Throughflow
- 848 as a preconditioning mechanism for submarine landslides in the Makassar Strait. *Geological Society*,
- 849 London, Special Publications, 500(1), pp.195-217.
- 850 Brodsky, E.E., Gordeev, E. and Kanamori, H., 2003. Landslide basal friction as measured by seismic
- 851 waves. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 30(24).
- Brothers, D.S., Luttrell, K.M. and Chaytor, J.D., 2013. Sea-level-induced seismicity and submarine landslide
 occurrence. *Geology*, 41(9), pp.979-982.
- Brooks, H.L., Hodgson, D.M., Brunt, R.L., Peakall, J. and Flint, S.S., 2018. Exhumed lateral margins and
- increasing flow confinement of a submarine landslide complex. *Sedimentology*, 65(4), pp.1067-1096.

- 856 Bull, S., Arnot, M., Browne, G., Crundwell, M., Nicol, A. and Strachan, L., 2019. Neogene and
- 857 Quaternary Mass-Transport Deposits From the Northern Taranaki Basin (North Island, New Zealand)
- 858 Morphologies, Transportation Processes, and Depositional Controls. *Submarine Landslides:*
- 859 Subaqueous Mass Transport Deposits from Outcrops to Seismic Profiles, pp.171-180.
- 860 Bull, S., Cartwright, J. and Huuse, M., 2009. A review of kinematic indicators from mass-transport
- complexes using 3D seismic data. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, *26*(7), pp.1132-1151.
- 862 Buss, C., Friedli, B. and Puzrin, A.M., 2019. Kinematic energy balance approach to submarine landslide
- evolution. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, *56*(9), pp.1351-1365.
- 864 Cadman, J.D. and Goodman, R.E., 1967. Landslide noise. *Science*, 158(3805), pp.1182-1184.
- 865 Campbell, C.S., Cleary, P.W. and Hopkins, M., 1995. Large-scale landslide simulations: Global
- deformation, velocities and basal friction. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 100(B5),
 pp.8267-8283.
- 868 Campbell, K.J., Kinnear, S. and Thame, A., 2015. AUV technology for seabed characterization and
 869 geohazards assessment. *The leading edge*, *34*(2), pp.170-178.
- 870 Caplan-Auerbach, J., Fox, C.G. and Duennebier, F.K., 2001. Hydroacoustic detection of submarine
- 871 landslides on Kilauea volcano. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 28(9), pp.1811-1813
- 872 Caplan-Auerbach, J. and Huggel, C., 2007. Precursory seismicity associated with frequent, large ice
- avalanches on Iliamna volcano, Alaska, USA. *Journal of Glaciology*, *53*(180), pp.128-140.
- 874 Caplan-Auerbach, J., Dziak, R.P., Bohnenstiehl, D.R., Chadwick, W.W. and Lau, T.K., 2014.
- 875 Hydroacoustic investigation of submarine landslides at West Mata volcano, Lau Basin. Geophysical
- 876 Research Letters, 41(16), pp.5927-5934.
- 877 Carter, L., Gavey, R., Talling, P.J. and Liu, J.T., 2014. Insights into submarine geohazards from breaks in
- subsea telecommunication cables. *Oceanography*, 27(2), pp.58-67.
- 879 Casas, D., Chiocci, F., Casalbore, D., Ercilla, G. and De Urbina, J.O., 2016. Magnitude-frequency
- distribution of submarine landslides in the Gioia Basin (southern Tyrrhenian Sea). *Geo-Marine*
- **881** *Letters*, *36*(6), pp.405-414.
- 882 Chadwick Jr, W.W., Dziak, R.P., Haxel, J.H., Embley, R.W. and Matsumoto, H., 2012. Submarine
- landslide triggered by volcanic eruption recorded by in situ hydrophone. *Geology*, 40(1), pp.51-54.

- 884 Chaytor, J.D., Baldwin, W.E., Bentley, S.J., Damour, M., Jones, D., Maloney, J., Miner, M.D., Obelcz, J.
- and Xu, K., 2020. Short-and long-term movement of mudflows of the Mississippi River Delta Front
- and their known and potential impacts on oil and gas infrastructure. *Geological Society, London,*
- 887 *Special Publications*, *500*(1), pp.587-604.
- Chichibu, A., Jo, K., Nakamura, M., Goto, T. and Kamata, M., 1989. Acoustic emission characteristics of
 unstable slopes. *Journal of Acoustic Emission*, 8(4), pp.107-112.
- 890 Clare, M.A., Vardy, M.E., Cartigny, M.J., Talling, P.J., Himsworth, M.D., Dix, J.K., Harris, J.M.,
- Whitehouse, R.J. and Belal, M., 2017. Direct monitoring of active geohazards: Emerging geophysical
- tools for deep-water assessments. *Near Surface Geophysics*, 15(4), pp.427-444.
- 893 Clare, M., Lintern, D.G., Rosenberger, K., Clarke, J.E.H., Paull, C., Gwiazda, R., Cartigny, M.J., Talling,
- P.J., Perara, D., Xu, J. and Parsons, D., 2020. Lessons learned from the monitoring of turbidity
- 895 currents and guidance for future platform designs. *Geological Society, London, Special*
- 896 *Publications*, *500*(1), pp.605-634.
- 897 Clare, M., Chaytor, J., Dabson, O., Gamboa, D., Georgiopoulou, A., Eady, H., Hunt, J., Jackson, C., Katz,
- 898 O., Krastel, S. and León, R., 2019. A consistent global approach for the morphometric characterization
- of subaqueous landslides. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*, 477(1), pp.455-477.
- 900 Collico, S., Arroyo, M., Urgeles, R., Gràcia, E., Devincenzi, M. and Peréz, N., 2020. Probabilistic
- 901 mapping of earthquake-induced submarine landslide susceptibility in the South-West Iberian
- 902 margin. Marine Geology, 429, p.106296.
- 903 Cook, K.L., Andermann, C., Gimbert, F., Adhikari, B.R. and Hovius, N., 2018. Glacial lake outburst
- floods as drivers of fluvial erosion in the Himalaya. *Science*, *362*(6410), pp.53-57
- 905 Cook, K.L., Rekapali, R., Dietze, M., et al. 2021. Detection and potential early warning of catastrophic
- flow events with regional seismic networks, *Science*, *374*, *87-92*.
- 907 Deng, L., Smith, A., Dixon, N. and Yuan, H., 2021. Machine learning prediction of landslide deformation
- 908 behaviour using acoustic emission and rainfall measurements. Engineering Geology, p.106315.
- 909 Deparis, J., Jongmans, D., Cotton, F., Baillet, L., Thouvenot, F. and Hantz, D., 2008. Analysis of rock-
- fall and rock-fall avalanche seismograms in the French Alps. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of*
- 911 *America*, 98(4), pp.1781-1796.

- 912 Dewey, J. W., & Dellinger, J. A. (2008). Location of the Green Canyon (offshore southern Louisiana)
- 913 seismic event of February 10, 2006, U.S. *Geological Survey Open-File Report*, **31p**, 2008–1194.
- Dixon, N. and Spriggs, M., 2007. Quantification of slope displacement rates using acoustic emission

915 monitoring. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 44(8), pp.966-976.

- Dixon, N., Spriggs, M.P., Smith, A., Meldrum, P. and Haslam, E., 2015. Quantification of reactivated
- 917 landslide behaviour using acoustic emission monitoring. *Landslides*, *12*(3), pp.549-560.
- 918 Downs, P.W., Soar, P.J. and Taylor, A., 2016. The anatomy of effective discharge: the dynamics of
- 919 coarse sediment transport revealed using continuous bedload monitoring in a gravel-bed river during a

920 very wet year. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 41(2), pp.147-161.

- 921 Dugan, B. (2012). Petrophysical and consolidation behavior of mass transport deposits from the northern
- Gulf of Mexico, IODP Expedition 308. *Marine Geology*, 315, 98-107.
- 923 Ekström, G. and Stark, C.P., 2013. Simple scaling of catastrophic landslide
- 924 dynamics. Science, 339(6126), pp.1416-1419.
- 925 Fan, W., McGuire, J.J. and Shearer, P.M., 2020. Abundant spontaneous and dynamically triggered
- submarine landslides in the Gulf of Mexico. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 47(12),
- 927 p.e2020GL087213.
- 928 Frey-Martínez, J., Cartwright, J. and James, D., 2006. Frontally confined versus frontally emergent
- submarine landslides: A 3D seismic characterisation. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, 23(5), pp.585604.
- 931 Fujiwara, T., dos Santos Ferreira, C., Bachmann, A.K., Strasser, M., Wefer, G., Sun, T., Kanamatsu, T.
- and Kodaira, S., 2017. Seafloor displacement after the 2011 Tohoku-Oki earthquake in the northern
- Japan trench examined by repeated bathymetric surveys. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(23), pp.11-
- **934** 833.
- 935 Galitzin, B., 1915. Sur l'angle d'emergence des rayons sismiques. Bull. (Izv.) Central Seismic Commission
- 936 *Russian Academy of Science*, 7(2).
- 937 Gamboa, D., Omira, R. and Terrinha, P., 2021. A database of submarine landslides offshore West and
- 938 Southwest Iberia. *Scientific Data*, 8(1), pp.1-9.

- 939 Geay, T., Belleudy, P., Gervaise, C., Habersack, H., Aigner, J., Kreisler, A., Seitz, H. and Laronne, J.B.,
- 940 2017. Passive acoustic monitoring of bed load discharge in a large gravel bed river. *Journal of*

941 *Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, *122*(2), pp.528-545.

- 942 Geay, T., Zanker, S., Misset, C. and Recking, A., 2020. Passive Acoustic Measurement of Bedload
- 943 Transport: Toward a Global Calibration Curve?. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth
- 944 *Surface*, *125*(8), p.e2019JF005242.
- Gee, M.J.R., Gawthorpe, R.L. and Friedmann, J.S., 2005. Giant striations at the base of a submarine
- 946 landslide. *Marine Geology*, 214(1-3), pp.287-294.
- 947 Gee, M.J.R., Gawthorpe, R.L. and Friedmann, S.J., 2006. Triggering and evolution of a giant submarine
- 948 landslide, offshore Angola, revealed by 3D seismic stratigraphy and geomorphology. *Journal of*
- 949 Sedimentary Research, 76(1), pp.9-19.
- 950 Geist, E.L. and Parsons, T., 2010. Estimating the empirical probability of submarine landslide occurrence.
- 951 In Submarine mass movements and their consequences (pp. 377-386). Springer, Dordrecht.
- 952 Goff, J. and Terry, J.P., 2016. Tsunamigenic slope failures: the Pacific Islands 'blind
- 953 spot'?. Landslides, 13(6), pp.1535-1543.
- 954 Gomberg, J., Ariyoshi, K., Hautala, S. and Johnson, H.P., The Finicky Nature of Earthquake Shaking-
- 955 Triggered Submarine Sediment Slope Failures and Sediment Gravity Flows. Journal of Geophysical
- 956 Research: Solid Earth, p.e2021JB022588.
- 957 Guiastrennec-Faugas, L., Gillet, H., Peakall, J., Dennielou, B., Gaillot, A. and Jacinto, R.S., 2021.
- 958 Initiation and evolution of knickpoints and their role in cut-and-fill processes in active submarine
- 959 channels. *Geology*, 49(3), pp.314-319.
- Hampton, M.A., Lee, H.J. and Locat, J., 1996. Submarine landslides. *Reviews of geophysics*, 34(1),
- 961 pp.33-59.
- 962 Harbitz, C.B., Løvholt, F. and Bungum, H., 2014. Submarine landslide tsunamis: how extreme and how
- 963 likely?. *Natural Hazards*, 72(3), pp.1341-1374.
- Hay, A.E., Hatcher, M.G. and Hughes Clarke, J.E., 2021. Underwater noise from submarine turbidity
- 965 currents. JASA Express Letters, 1(7), p.0

- 966 Heerema, C.J., Talling, P.J., Cartigny, M.J., Paull, C.K., Bailey, L., Simmons, S.M., Parsons, D.R., Clare,
- 967 M.A., Gwiazda, R., Lundsten, E. and Anderson, K., 2020. What determines the downstream evolution
- 968 of turbidity currents?. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 532, p.116023.
- 969 Heijnen, M.S., Clare, M.A., Cartigny, M.J., Talling, P.J., Hage, S., Lintern, D.G., Stacey, C., Parsons,
- 970 D.R., Simmons, S.M., Chen, Y. and Sumner, E.J., 2020. Rapidly-migrating and internally-generated
- 971 knickpoints can control submarine channel evolution. *Nature communications*, 11(1), pp.1-15.
- 972 Hello, Y. and Nolet, G., 2020. Floating seismographs (MERMAIDS). Encyclopedia of Solid Earth
- **973** *Geophysics*, pp.1-6.
- Hibert, C., Michéa, D., Provost, F., Malet, J.P. and Geertsema, M., 2019. Exploration of continuous
- 975 seismic recordings with a machine learning approach to document 20 yr of landslide activity in
- Alaska. Geophysical Journal International, 219(2), pp.1138-1147.
- 977 Hizzett, J.L., Hughes Clarke, J.E., Sumner, E.J., Cartigny, M.J.B., Talling, P.J. and Clare, M.A., 2018.
- 978 Which triggers produce the most erosive, frequent, and longest runout turbidity currents on
- deltas?. Geophysical Research Letters, 45(2), pp.855-863.
- 980 Howe, B.M., Arbic, B.K., Aucan, J., Barnes, C.R., Bayliff, N., Becker, N., Butler, R., Doyle, L., Elipot,
- 981 S., Johnson, G.C. and Landerer, F., 2019. SMART cables for observing the global ocean: science and
- 982 implementation. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 6, p.424.
- 983 Hughes Clarke, J.E, Brucker, S., Muggah, J., Church, I., Cartwright, D., Kuus, P., Hamilton, T., Pratomo,
- D. and Eisan, B., 2012. The Squamish ProDelta: monitoring active landslides and turbidity currents.
- 985 In Canadian Hydrographic Conference 2012, Proceedings (p. 15).
- Hunt, J.E., Tappin, D.R., Watt, S.F.L., Susilohadi, S., Novellino, A, Ebmeier, S.K, Cassidy, M., Engwell,
- 987 S.L., Grilli, S.T., Hanif, M., Priyanto, W.S., Clare, M.A., Abdurrachman, M., Udrekh, U. 2021. (In
- 988 Press). Submarine landslide megablocks show half of Anak Krakatau island failed on December 22nd,
- 989 2018, *Nature Communications*.
- 990 Iannucci, R., Lenti, L. and Martino, S., 2020. Seismic monitoring system for landslide hazard assessment
- and risk management at the drainage plant of the Peschiera Springs (Central Italy). *Engineering*
- **992** *Geology*, *277*, p.105787.

- 993 Ide, S., Araki, E. and Matsumoto, H., 2021. Very broadband strain-rate measurements along a submarine
- fiber-optic cable off Cape Muroto, Nankai subduction zone, Japan. Earth, Planets and Space, 73(1),pp.1-10.
- 996 Inman, D.L., Nordstrom, C.E. and Flick, R.E., 1976. Currents in submarine canyons: An air-sea-land
- 997 interaction. *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics*, 8(1), pp.275-310.
- 998 Jeffreys, H., 1923. The Pamir Earthquake of 1911 February 18, in Relation to the Depths of Earthquake
- 999 Foci. *Geophysical Journal International*, *1*, pp.22-31.
- 1000 Kanamori, H. and Given, J.W., 1982. Analysis of long-period seismic waves excited by the May 18,
- 1001 1980, eruption of Mount St. Helens—A terrestrial monopole?. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid*
- 1002 *Earth*, 87(B7), pp.5422-5432.
- 1003 Kawakatsu, H., 1989. Centroid single force inversion of seismic waves generated by landslides. *Journal*
- 1004 of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 94(B9), pp.12363-12374.
- 1005 Kelner, M., Migeon, S., Tric, E., Couboulex, F., Dano, A., Lebourg, T., & Taboada, A. (2016). Frequency
- and triggering of small-scale submarine landslides on decadal timescales: Analysis of 4D bathymetric
- data from the continental slope offshore Nice (France). Marine Geology, 379, 281-297.
- 1008 Khripounoff, A., Vangriesheim, A., Babonneau, N., Crassous, P., Dennielou, B. and Savoye, B., 2003.
- 1009 Direct observation of intense turbidity current activity in the Zaire submarine valley at 4000 m water
- 1010 depth. *Marine Geology*, *194*(3-4), pp.151-158.
- 1011 Khripounoff, A., Crassous, P., Bue, N.L., Dennielou, B. and Jacinto, R.S., 2012. Different types of
- sediment gravity flows detected in the Var submarine canyon (northwestern Mediterranean
- 1013 Sea). Progress in Oceanography, 106, pp.138-153.
- 1014 Kioka, A., Schwestermann, T., Moernaut, J., Ikehara, K., Kanamatsu, T., McHugh, C.M., dos Santos
- 1015 Ferreira, C., Wiemer, G., Haghipour, N., Kopf, A.J. and Eglinton, T.I., 2019. Megathrust earthquake
- drives drastic organic carbon supply to the hadal trench. *Scientific reports*, 9(1), pp.1-10
- 1017 Lacroix, P., Grasso, J.R., Roulle, J., Giraud, G., Goetz, D., Morin, S. and Helmstetter, A., 2012.
- 1018 Monitoring of snow avalanches using a seismic array: Location, speed estimation, and relationships to
- 1019 meteorological variables. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 117(F1).

- 1020 Lecocq, T., Hicks, S.P., Van Noten, K., Van Wijk, K., Koelemeijer, P., De Plaen, R.S., Massin, F.,
- 1021 Hillers, G., Anthony, R.E., Apoloner, M.T. and Arroyo-Solórzano, M., 2020. Global quieting of high-
- 1022 frequency seismic noise due to COVID-19 pandemic lockdown measures. *Science*, *369*(6509),
- **1023** pp.1338-1343.
- 1024 Leung, A.K. and Ng, C.W.W., 2016. Field investigation of deformation characteristics and stress
- 1025 mobilisation of a soil slope. *Landslides*, *13*(2), pp.229-240.
- Lin, C.H., 2015. Insight into landslide kinematics from a broadband seismic network. *Earth, planets and space*, 67(1), p.8.
- 1028 Lin, C.H., Kumagai, H., Ando, M. and Shin, T.C., 2010. Detection of landslides and submarine slumps
- using broadband seismic networks. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 37(22).
- 1030 Lindsey, N.J. and Martin, E.R., 2021. Fiber-Optic Seismology. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary
- 1031 Sciences, 49, pp.309-336.+
- 1032 Lindsey, N.J., Dawe, T.C. and Ajo-Franklin, J.B., 2019. Illuminating seafloor faults and ocean dynamics

1033 with dark fiber distributed acoustic sensing. *Science*, *366*(6469), pp.1103-1107.

- 1034
- Lintern, D. G., & Hill, P. R. (2010). An underwater laboratory at the Fraser River delta. Eos, Transactions
 American Geophysical Union, 91(38), 333-334.
- 1037 Lintern, D. G., Hill, P. R., & Stacey, C. (2016). Powerful unconfined turbidity current captured by cabled
- 1038 observatory on the Fraser River delta slope, British Columbia, Canada. Sedimentology.
- 1039 Lintern, D.G., Mosher, D.C. and Scherwath, M., 2019. Advancing from subaqueous mass movement case
- studies to providing advice and mitigation. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 477, 1-
- 1041 14, 21 June 2019, https://doi.org/10.1144/SP477-2018-190
- 1042 Lior, I., Sladen, A., Rivet, D., Ampuero, J.P., Hello, Y., Becerril, C., Martins, H.F., Lamare, P., Jestin, C.,
- 1043 Tsagkli, S. and Markou, C., 2021. On the Detection Capabilities of Underwater Distributed Acoustic
- 1044 Sensing. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 126(3), p.e2020JB020925.
- 1045 Løvholt, F., Pedersen, G., Harbitz, C.B., Glimsdal, S. and Kim, J., 2015. On the characteristics of
- 1046 landslide tsunamis. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and
- 1047 *Engineering Sciences*, *373*(2053), p.20140376.

- 1048 Mainsant, G., Larose, E., Brönnimann, C., Jongmans, D., Michoud, C. and Jaboyedoff, M., 2012.
- 1049 Ambient seismic noise monitoring of a clay landslide: Toward failure prediction. Journal of 1050 *Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, *117*(F1).
- 1051 Marra, G., Clivati, C., Luckett, R., Tampellini, A., Kronjäger, J., Wright, L., Mura, A., Levi, F.,
- 1052 Robinson, S., Xuereb, A. and Baptie, B., 2018. Ultrastable laser interferometry for earthquake 1053
- detection with terrestrial and submarine cables. Science, 361(6401), pp.486-490.
- Maslin, M., Owen, M., Day, S. and Long, D., 2004. Linking continental-slope failures and climate 1054 1055 change: Testing the clathrate gun hypothesis. Geology, 32(1), pp.53-56.
- Masson, D.G., Harbitz, C.B., Wynn, R.B., Pedersen, G. and Løvholt, F., 2006. Submarine landslides: 1056
- 1057 processes, triggers and hazard prediction. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: 1058 Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 364(1845), pp.2009-2039.
- 1059 Mastbergen, D., van den Ham, G., Cartigny, M., Koelewijn, A., de Kleine, M., Clare, M., ... & Vellinga,
- 1060 A. (2016). Multiple flow slide experiment in the Westerschelde Estuary, The Netherlands. In
- 1061 Submarine Mass Movements and their Consequences (pp. 241-249). Springer International 1062 Publishing.
- 1063 Matias, L.M., Carrilho, F., Sá, V., Omira, R., Niehus, M., Corela, C., Barros, J. and Omar, Y., 2021. The
- 1064 contribution of submarine optical fiber telecom cables to the monitoring of earthquakes and tsunamis
- 1065 in the NE Atlantic. Frontiers in Earth Science, 9, p.611.
- McAdoo, B.G., Pratson, L.F. and Orange, D.L., 2000. Submarine landslide geomorphology, US 1066
- 1067 continental slope. Marine Geology, 169(1-2), pp.103-136.
- 1068 Mecozzi, A., Cantono, M., Castellanos, J.C., Kamalov, V., Muller, R. and Zhan, Z., 2021. Polarization
- 1069 sensing using submarine optical cables. Optica, 8(6), pp.788-795.
- 1070 Michlmayr, G., Chalari, A., Clarke, A. and Or, D., 2017. Fiber-optic high-resolution acoustic emission
- 1071 (AE) monitoring of slope failure. Landslides, 14(3), pp.1139-1146.
- 1072 Miramontes, E., Garziglia, S., Sultan, N., Jouet, G. and Cattaneo, A., 2018. Morphological control of
- 1073 slope instability in contourites: a geotechnical approach. Landslides, 15(6), pp.1085-1095.

1074 Mizutani, A., Yomogida, K. and Tanioka, Y., 2020. Early tsunami detection with near-fault ocean-bottom
1075 pressure gauge records based on the comparison with seismic data. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*

1076 *Oceans*, 125(9), p.e2020JC016275.

- 1077 Moernaut, J. and De Batist, M., 2011. Frontal emplacement and mobility of sublacustrine landslides:
- 1078 results from morphometric and seismostratigraphic analysis. *Marine Geology*, 285(1-4), pp.29-45.
- 1079 Mohrig, D. and Marr, J.G., 2003. Constraining the efficiency of turbidity current generation from
- 1080 submarine debris flows and slides using laboratory experiments. Marine and Petroleum
- 1081 Geology, 20(6-8), pp.883-899.
- 1082
- 1083 Moore, J.G., Clague, D.A., Holcomb, R.T., Lipman, P.W., Normark, W.R. and Torresan, M.E., 1989.
- Prodigious submarine landslides on the Hawaiian Ridge. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 94(B12), pp.17465-17484.
- 1086 Moretti, L., Allstadt, K., Mangeney, A., Capdeville, Y., Stutzmann, E. and Bouchut, F., 2015. Numerical
- 1087 modeling of the Mount Meager landslide constrained by its force history derived from seismic
- data. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 120(4), pp.2579-2599.
- 1089 Mountjoy, J.J., McKean, J., Barnes, P.M. and Pettinga, J.R., 2009. Terrestrial-style slow-moving
- earthflow kinematics in a submarine landslide complex. *Marine geology*, 267(3-4), pp.114-127.
- 1091 Mountjoy, J.J., Howarth, J.D., Orpin, A.R., Barnes, P.M., Bowden, D.A., Rowden, A.A., Schimel, A.C.,
- 1092 Holden, C., Horgan, H.J., Nodder, S.D. and Patton, J.R., 2018. Earthquakes drive large-scale
- submarine canyon development and sediment supply to deep-ocean basins. *Science advances*, 4(3),
- 1094 p.eaar3748.
- 1095 Mulder, T. and Cochonat, P., 1996. Classification of offshore mass movements. Journal of Sedimentary
- research, 66(1), pp.43-57.
- 1097 Nisbet, E.G. and Piper, D.J., 1998. Giant submarine landslides. *Nature*, 392(6674), pp.329-330.
- 1098 Nishimura, T., Emoto, K., Nakahara, H., Miura, S., Yamamoto, M., Sugimura, S., Ishikawa, A. and
- 1099 Kimura, T., 2021. Source location of volcanic earthquakes and subsurface characterization using fiber-
- optic cable and distributed acoustic sensing system. Scientific reports, 11(1), pp.1-12.

- 1101 Normandeau, A., Campbell, D.C., Piper, D.J. and Jenner, K.A., 2019. Are submarine landslides an
- underestimated hazard on the western North Atlantic passive margin?. *Geology*, 47(9), pp.848-852.
- 1103 Normandeau, A., MacKillop, K., Macquarrie, M., Richards, C., Bourgault, D., Campbell, D.C., Maselli,
- 1104 V., Philibert, G. and Clarke, J.H., 2021. Submarine landslides triggered by iceberg collision with the
- seafloor. *Nature Geoscience*, 14(8), pp.599-605.
- 1106 Nwoko, J., Kane, I. and Huuse, M., 2020. Megaclasts within mass-transport deposits: their origin,
- 1107 characteristics and effect on substrates and succeeding flows. *Geological Society, London, Special*
- **1108** *Publications*, *500*(1), pp.515-530.
- 1109 Obelcz, J., Xu, K., Georgiou, I.Y., Maloney, J., Bentley, S.J. and Miner, M.D., 2017. Sub-decadal
- submarine landslides are important drivers of deltaic sediment flux: Insights from the Mississippi
- 1111 River Delta Front. Geology, 45(8), pp.703-706.
- 1112 Obelcz, J., Wood, W.T., Phrampus, B.J. and Lee, T.R., 2020. Machine learning augmented time-lapse
- bathymetric surveys: A case study from the Mississippi river delta front. Geophysical Research
- 1114 Letters, 47(10), p.e2020GL087857.
- 1115 Ogata, K., Festa, A. and Pini, G.A. eds., 2019. Submarine Landslides: Subaqueous Mass Transport
- 1116 Deposits from Outcrops to Seismic Profiles (Vol. 247). John Wiley & Sons.
- 1117 Parker, G., 1982. Conditions for the ignition of catastrophically erosive turbidity currents. Marine
- 1118 Geology, 46(3-4), pp.307-327.
- 1119 Paull, C.K., Talling, P.J., Maier, K.L., Parsons, D., Xu, J., Caress, D.W., Gwiazda, R., Lundsten, E.M.,
- Anderson, K., Barry, J.P. and Chaffey, M., 2018. Powerful turbidity currents driven by dense basal
- 1121 layers. *Nature communications*, 9(1), pp.1-9.
- 1122 Paull, C.K., Ussler, W. and Holbrook, W.S., 2007. Assessing methane release from the colossal Storegga
- submarine landslide. *Geophysical research letters*, *34*(4).
- 1124 Piper, D.J., Cochonat, P. and Morrison, M.L., 1999. The sequence of events around the epicentre of the
- 1125 1929 Grand Banks earthquake: initiation of debris flows and turbidity current inferred from sidescan
- sonar. *Sedimentology*, *46*(1), pp.79-97.
- 1127 Pope, E.L., Talling, P.J., Carter, L., Clare, M.A. and Hunt, J.E., 2017. Damaging sediment density flows
- triggered by tropical cyclones. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 458, pp.161-169.

- Puzrin, A.M., Germanovich, L.N. and Friedli, B., 2016. Shear band propagation analysis of submarine
 slope stability. *Géotechnique*, 66(3), pp.188-201.
- Prior, D.B., Bornhold, B.D., Coleman, J.M. and Bryant, W.R., 1982. Morphology of a submarine slide,
 Kitimat arm, British Columbia. *Geology*, *10*(11), pp.588-592.
- 1133 Prior, D.B., Suhayda, J.N., Lu, N.Z., Bornhold, B.D., Keller, G.H., Wiseman, W.J., Wright, L.D. and
- 1134 Yang, Z.S., 1989. Storm wave reactivation of a submarine landslide. *Nature*, *341*(6237), pp.47-50
- 1135 Puzrin, A.M., Germanovich, L.N. and Friedli, B., 2016. Shear band propagation analysis of submarine
- slope stability. *Géotechnique*, *66*(3), pp.188-201.
- 1137 Ranasinghe, N., Rowe, C., Syracuse, E., Larmat, C. and Begnaud, M., 2018. Enhanced global seismic
- 1138 resolution using transoceanic SMART cables. *Seismological Research Letters*, 89(1), pp.77-85.
- 1139 Rickenmann, D., 2017. Bedload transport measurements with geophones, hydrophones and underwater
- 1140 microphones (passive acoustic methods). *Gravel Bed Rivers and Disasters, Wiley & Sons, Chichester,*
- 1141 *UK*, pp.185-208.
- 1142 Roche, B., Bull, J.M., Marin-Moreno, H., Leighton, T.G., Falcon-Suarez, I.H., Tholen, M., White, P.R.,
- 1143 Provenzano, G., Lichtschlag, A., Li, J. and Faggetter, M., 2021. Time-lapse imaging of CO2 migration
- 1144 within near-surface sediments during a controlled sub-seabed release experiment. *International*
- 1145 *Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, 109, p.103363.
- Rouse, C., Styles, P. and Wilson, S.A., 1991. Microseismic emissions from flowslide-type movements in
 South Wales. *Engineering Geology*, *31*(1), pp.91-110.
- 1148 Ryan, J.P., Joseph, J.E., Margolina, T., Peavey Reeves, L., Hatch, L., DeVogelaere, A., Southall, B.,
- 1149 Stimpert, A. and Baumann-Pickering, S., 2020. Quieting of low-frequency vessel noise in Monterey
- 1150 Bay National Marine Sanctuary during the COVID-19 pandemic. The Journal of the Acoustical
- 1151 Society of America, 148(4), pp.2734-2734.
- 1152 Sammartini, M., Moernaut, J., Kopf, A., Stegmann, S., Fabbri, S.C., Anselmetti, F.S. and Strasser, M.,
- 1153 2021. Propagation of frontally confined subaqueous landslides: Insights from combining geophysical,
- sedimentological, and geotechnical analysis. Sedimentary Geology, p.105877.

- 1155 Sgroi, T., Monna, S., Embriaco, D., Giovanetti, G., Marinaro, G. and Favali, P., 2014. Geohazards in the
- 1156 western Ionian Sea: Insights from non-earthquake signals recorded by the NEMO-SN1 seafloor
- observatory. Oceanography, 27(2), pp.154-166.
- 1158 Simmons, S.M., Azpiroz-Zabala, M., Cartigny, M.J.B., Clare, M.A., Cooper, C., Parsons, D.R., Pope,
- 1159 E.L., Sumner, E.J. and Talling, P.J., 2020. Novel acoustic method provides first detailed
- 1160 measurements of sediment concentration structure within submarine turbidity currents. *Journal of*
- 1161 *Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *125*(5), p.e2019JC015904.
- 1162 Smith, D.P., Kvitek, R., Iampietro, P.J. and Wong, K., 2007. Twenty-nine months of geomorphic change
- 1163 in upper Monterey Canyon (2002–2005). *Marine Geology*, 236(1-2), pp.79-94.
- 1164 Stegmann, S., Sultan, N., Kopf, A., Apprioual, R., & Pelleau, P. (2011). Hydrogeology and its effect on
- slope stability along the coastal aquifer of Nice, France. Marine Geology, 280(1), 168-181.
- 1166 Strasser, M., Kölling, M., Ferreira, C.D.S., Fink, H.G., Fujiwara, T., Henkel, S., Ikehara, K., Kanamatsu,
- T., Kawamura, K., Kodaira, S. and Römer, M., 2013. A slump in the trench: Tracking the impact of
 the 2011 Tohoku-Oki earthquake. *Geology*, *41*(8), pp.935-938.
- 1169 Strout, J. M., & Tjelta, T. I. (2005). In situ pore pressures: What is their significance and how can they be
- reliably measured?. Marine and Petroleum Geology, 22(1), 275-285.
- 1171 Sukhovich, A., Bonnieux, S., Hello, Y., Irisson, J.O., Simons, F.J. and Nolet, G., 2015. Seismic
- 1172 monitoring in the oceans by autonomous floats. *Nature communications*, *6*(1), pp.1-6.
- 1173 Sultan, N., Savoye, B., Jouet, G., Leynaud, D., Cochonat, P., Henry, P., Stegmann, S. and Kopf, A., 2010.
- 1174 Investigation of a possible submarine landslide at the Var delta front (Nice continental slope, southeast
- 1175 France). *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 47(4), pp.486-496.
- 1176 Sumner, E.J. and Paull, C.K., 2014. Swept away by a turbidity current in Mendocino submarine canyon,
- 1177 California. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *41*(21), pp.7611-7618.
- 1178 Suriñach, E., Vilajosana, I., Khazaradze, G., Biescas, B., Furdada, G. and Vilaplana, J.M., 2005. Seismic
- detection and characterization of landslides and other mass movements. *Natural Hazards and Earth*
- **1180** *System Sciences*, *5*(6), pp.791-798.

- 1181 Talling, P.J., Paull, C.K. and Piper, D.J., 2013. How are subaqueous sediment density flows triggered,
- what is their internal structure and how does it evolve? Direct observations from monitoring of active
 flows. *Earth-Science Reviews*, *125*, pp.244-287.
- 1184 Talling, P.J., Wynn, R.B., Masson, D.G., Frenz, M., Cronin, B.T., Schiebel, R., Akhmetzhanov, A.M.,
- 1185 Dallmeier-Tiessen, S., Benetti, S., Weaver, P.P.E. and Georgiopoulou, A., 2007. Onset of submarine
- debris flow deposition far from original giant landslide. *Nature*, 450(7169), pp.541-544.
- 1187 Tappin, D.R., Grilli, S.T., Harris, J.C., Geller, R.J., Masterlark, T., Kirby, J.T., Shi, F., Ma, G.,
- Thingbaijam, K.K.S. and Mai, P.M., 2014. Did a submarine landslide contribute to the 2011 Tohoku
 tsunami?. *Marine Geology*, *357*, pp.344-361.
- 1190 Tappin, D.R., Watts, P. and Grilli, S.T., 2008. The Papua New Guinea tsunami of 17 July 1998: anatomy
- of a catastrophic event. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, 8(2), pp.243-266.
- 1192 Ten Brink, U.S., Geist, E.L. and Andrews, B.D., 2006. Size distribution of submarine landslides and its
- implication to tsunami hazard in Puerto Rico. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 33(11).
- 1194 Ten Brink, U., Twichell, D., Geist, E., Chaytor, J., Locat, J., Lee, H., Buczkowski, B., Barkan, R., Solow,
- 1195 A., & Andrews, B. (2008). Evaluation of tsunami sources with the potential to impact the US Atlantic
- and Gulf coasts, U.S. Geological Survey Administrative report to the US Nuclear Regulatory
- Commission 300.
- 1198 Thirugnanam, H., Ramesh, M.V. and Rangan, V.P., 2020. Enhancing the reliability of landslide early
- warning systems by machine learning. Landslides, 17(9), pp.2231-2246.
- 1200 Urgeles, R. and Camerlenghi, A., 2013. Submarine landslides of the Mediterranean Sea: Trigger
- 1201 mechanisms, dynamics, and frequency-magnitude distribution. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*
- 1202 *Earth Surface*, 118(4), pp.2600-2618.
- 1203 Urlaub, M., Petersen, F., Gross, F., Bonforte, A., Puglisi, G., Guglielmino, F., Krastel, S., Lange, D. and
- 1204 Kopp, H., 2018. Gravitational collapse of Mount Etna's southeastern flank. *Science Advances*, 4(10),
 1205 p.eaat9700.
- 1206 Urlaub, M., Talling, P.J. and Masson, D.G., 2013. Timing and frequency of large submarine landslides:
- 1207 implications for understanding triggers and future geohazard. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 72, pp.63-
- 1208 82.

- 1209 Urlaub, M., Talling, P.J. and Clare, M., 2014. Sea-level-induced seismicity and submarine landslide
- 1210 occurrence: Comment. Geology, 42(6), pp.e337-e337.
- 1211 Urlaub, M. and Villinger, H., 2019. Combining in situ monitoring using seabed instruments and
- numerical modelling to assess the transient stability of underwater slopes. *Geological Society, London,*
- 1213 *Special Publications*, 477(1), pp.511-521.
- 1214 Vanneste, M., Sultan, N., Garziglia, S., Forsberg, C. F., & L'Heureux, J. S. (2014). Seafloor instabilities
- and sediment deformation processes: the need for integrated, multi-disciplinary investigations. Marine
 Geology, 352, 183-214.
- 1217 Van Herwijnen, A., Heck, M. and Schweizer, J., 2016. Forecasting snow avalanches using avalanche
- 1218 activity data obtained through seismic monitoring. *Cold Regions Science and Technology*, *132*, pp.68-
- **1219** 80.
- 1220 Van Herwijnen, A. and Schweizer, J., 2011. Seismic sensor array for monitoring an avalanche start zone:
 1221 design, deployment and preliminary results. *Journal of Glaciology*, *57*(202), pp.267-276.
- 1222 Vardy, M.E., L'Heureux, J.S., Vanneste, M., Longva, O., Steiner, A., Forsberg, C.F., Haflidason, H. and
- 1223 Brendryen, J., 2012. Multidisciplinary investigation of a shallow near-shore landslide, Finneidfjord,
- 1224 Norway. Near Surface Geophysics, 10(4), pp.267-277.
- 1225 Waage, M., Singhroha, S., Bünz, S., Planke, S., Waghorn, K.A. and Bellwald, B., 2021. Feasibility of
- using the P-Cable high-resolution 3D seismic system in detecting and monitoring CO2
- 1227 leakage. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 106, p.103240.
- 1228 Walter, T.R., Haghighi, M.H., Schneider, F.M., Coppola, D., Motagh, M., Saul, J., Babeyko, A., Dahm,
- 1229 T., Troll, V.R., Tilmann, F. and Heimann, S., 2019. Complex hazard cascade culminating in the Anak
- 1230 Krakatau sector collapse. *Nature communications*, *10*(1), pp.1-11.
- 1231 Wilcock, W., 2021. Illuminating tremors in the deep. Science, 371(6532), pp.882-884.
- 1232 Williams, E.F., Fernández-Ruiz, M.R., Magalhaes, R., Vanthillo, R., Zhan, Z., González-Herráez, M. and
- 1233 Martins, H.F., 2019. Distributed sensing of microseisms and teleseisms with submarine dark
- fibers. *Nature communications*, *10*(1), pp.1-11.
- 1235 Xu, J.P., 2010. Normalized velocity profiles of field-measured turbidity currents. *Geology*, 38(6), pp.563-
- 1236 566.

- 1237 Xu, J.P., 2011. Measuring currents in submarine canyons: Technological and scientific progress in the
- 1238 past 30 years. *Geosphere*, 7(4), pp.868-876.
- Yamada, M., Kumagai, H., Matsushi, Y. and Matsuzawa, T., 2013. Dynamic landslide processes revealed
 by broadband seismic records. Geophysical Research Letters, 40(12), pp.2998-3002.
- 1241 Ye, L., Kanamori, H., Rivera, L., Lay, T., Zhou, Y., Sianipar, D. and Satake, K., 2020. The 22 December
- 1242 2018 tsunami from flank collapse of Anak Krakatau volcano during eruption. *Science advances*, *6*(3),
- 1243 p.eaaz1377.
- 1244 Yeh, Y.C., Tsai, C.L., Hsu, S.K., Lin, H.S., Chen, K.T., Cho, Y.Y. and Liang, C.W., 2021. Continental
- shelf morphology controlled by bottom currents, mud diapirism, and submarine slumping to the east
- 1246 of the Gaoping Canyon, off SW Taiwan. *Geo-Marine Letters*, *41*(1), pp.1-11.
- 1247 Yuan, X., Kind, R. and Pedersen, H.A., 2005. Seismic monitoring of the Indian Ocean
- tsunami. *Geophysical research letters*, *32*(15).
- 1249 Zeng, J., Lowe, D.R., Prior, D.B., Wiseman JR, W.J. and Bornhold, B.D., 1991. Flow properties of
- 1250 turbidity currents in Bute Inlet, British Columbia. Sedimentology, 38(6), pp.975-996.
- 1251 Zhan, Z., 2020. Distributed acoustic sensing turns fiber-optic cables into sensitive seismic
- antennas. *Seismological Research Letters*, 91(1), pp.1-15.
- 1253 Zhan, Z., Cantono, M., Kamalov, V., Mecozzi, A., Müller, R., Yin, S. and Castellanos, J.C., 2021.
- 1254 Optical polarization–based seismic and water wave sensing on transoceanic
- 1255 cables. Science, 371(6532), pp.931-936.
- 1256 Zhang, Y., Liu, Z., Zhao, Y., Colin, C., Zhang, X., Wang, M., Zhao, S. and Kneller, B., 2018. Long-term
- in situ observations on typhoon-triggered turbidity currents in the deep sea. Geology, 46(8), pp.675-
- **1258** 678.
- 1259 Zhao, S., Wang, Z., Nie, Z., He, K. and Ding, N., 2021. Investigation on total adjustment of the
- 1260 transducer and seafloor transponder for GNSS/Acoustic precise underwater point positioning. Ocean
- 1261 *Engineering*, 221, p.108533.

1262