1 COVID-19 Impacts Highlight the Need for Holistic Evaluation of Research and in the 2 Hydrologic Sciences

3

4 This manuscript has been submitted for publication in Water Resources Research. Please note

5 that this version has not undergone peer review and has not been formally accepted for

- 6 publication. Subsequent version of this manuscript may have slightly different content. If
- 7 accepted, the final version of this manuscript will be available via the Peer Reviewed Publication
- 8 DOI link on the right-hand side of this webpage. Please contact the corresponding author with
- 9 any questions or concerns.
- 10

11 Authors:

- 12 CUAHSI Board of Directors & Officers
- 13

14 **Corresponding author:**

- 15 Adam S. Ward
- 16 O'Neill School of Public and Environmental Affairs
- 17 Indiana University
- 18 418 MSB-II
- 19 Bloomington, IN 47405
- 20
- 21 Email: adamward@indiana.edu
- 22 Phone: 812-865-4820

2324 Abstract

- 25 As we reckon with the effect of COVID-19 on the research enterprise in hydrologic science, it is
- 26 important to acknowledge that disruptions will be persistent and that institutional-level
- 27 adjustments, while helpful, are not sufficient to mitigate all impacts on hydrologic scientists.
- Here, we describe the breadth of research contributions in the hydrologic sciences, consider
- how the pandemic has impacted this portfolio of contributions, document one impact that is already being realized in publication of research, and suggest guidance to the hydrologic
- 31 science community, institutions, review panels, and funding organizations in considering these
- 32 impacts at various stages of hiring and promotion in our community. Acknowledging the
- 33 diversity of contributions to research is particularly valuable because it provides a more
- 34 objective, transparent, and holistic basis for evaluating individuals within the context of norms of
- 35 the hydrologic science community. With clearly established values, it is easier to identify
- 36 impacts of life events, such as those related to the COVID-19 pandemic, as they are manifested
- 37 in individuals under a diversity of circumstances.
- 38

39 Key Points

- 40 1. Hydrologists' research contributions are diverse, not limited to publications
- 41 2. COVID-19 pandemic disrupted and required reprioritization of research efforts
- 42
 3. Hydrologists should have actionable, meaningful plans on how to incorporate pandemic
 43 impact statements in promotion and hiring decisions
- 44

45

46 The impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on research have been widespread, severe, and will 47 continue to require consideration in the evaluation of research productivity over the lifespan of 48 hydrologists' research careers (e.g., National Academies, 2021; Aubry et al, 2020; Malisch et al, 49 2020; Shillington et al, 2020; Krukowski et al, 2021; Sotto-Santiago et al, 2021). As we reckon 50 with the effect of COVID-19 on the global research enterprise and individual researchers, it is 51 important to acknowledge that impacts may be persistent and that institutional-level adjustments 52 (e.g., extended tenure clocks), while helpful, may not be sufficient to mitigate all impacts on 53 hydrologic scientists. At the same time, the pandemic occurred against the backdrop of a 54 broader discourse in higher education about the evolving nature of what constitutes scholarly 55 activity and how increasingly diverse contributions should be valued and evaluated (e.g., Klein 56 and Falk-Krzenski, 2017; National Academies, 2015; Klenk and Meehan, 2015; Montoya et al. 57 2020; Davies et al. 2021) Thus, our objective in this commentary is to describe the breadth of 58 research contributions in the hydrologic sciences, consider how the pandemic has impacted this 59 portfolio of contributions, document one impact that is already being realized in publication of 60 research, and to suggest guidance as to how these impacts could be taken into account at 61 various stages of hiring and promotion in our community.

62

63 Acknowledging the diversity of contributions to research is particularly valuable because it

64 provides a more objective, transparent, and holistic basis for evaluating individuals within the

65 context of norms of the hydrologic science community. A holistic evaluation is one that

66 recognizes that advances in scientific fields can take many forms and may be shared and

67 communicated in many ways. It recognizes the impact of research beyond simply counting

68 publications; it looks to see how that research shapes our understanding of nature, our

69 management practices, or the future direction of our field. With clearly established values, it is

easier to identify impacts, such as those related to the COVID-19 pandemic, as they manifest,

71 rather than leaving individuals to assert the impacts on an ad-hoc basis for their present and

72 future careers. Establishing holistic evaluations in the hydrological sciences will also support

individuals facing either future individual-level or societal-level challenges or disruptions to their
 research programs.

75

76 This comment refines and builds upon a statement from the leadership of the Consortium of

- 77 Universities for the Advancement of Hydrologic Science, Inc. (CUAHSI, 2021), adding empirical
- 78 data and raising its visibility to the community. As the Board and officers of an organization that

79 represents and serves the hydrological science community, we are uniquely positioned to

80 provide this perspective and to inform hiring, promotion, tenure and other evaluative bodies

- 81 about the norms, expectations, and values in our community.
- 82

83 Hydrologists' research contributions are diverse

84 Advancement of the hydrologic sciences requires progress in diverse arenas (Fig. 1), and the

types of contributions by researchers in the hydrologic sciences vary substantially from

86 individual to individual. While each individual's portfolio will be unique and will involve different

87 activities, it is critical to recognize that many types of research contributions are valued by our

community. Notably, the balance and weighting of these activities will vary as a function of

- 89 individual, institution, and position. Still, acknowledging the breadth of research contributions in
- 90 our field provides a framework to organize our understanding of not only the holistic
- 91 contributions, but also the interconnected impacts of life-events such as a pandemic.
- 92

93 In addition to traditional research products (e.g., manuscripts, books and book chapters, 94 competitive funding), other products and services are valued as research outputs in hydrologic 95 science (Fig. 1). We seek to articulate research outputs and activities that are consistent with 96 types of contributions we value as a discipline, but which may not always be fully 97 acknowledged in hiring, promotion, and tenure decisions. During the pandemic, the effort to 98 sustain research efforts (e.g., the integrity of long-term data sets and experiments) may have 99 been prioritized over more traditional research products. For example, the hydrologic scientist 100 who maintained the integrity of a long-term data set or experiment will have faced substantial 101 challenges in logistics, safety planning, approvals, and working alone when teams would have 102 traditionally worked in close proximity. Thus, the pandemic has precipitated an urgent need to 103 document the range of contributions that we value in our field. The full breadth of these 104 endeavors must be accounted for as we assess the productivity of individuals in light of 105 COVID-19 and beyond.

106



Fig. 1. Hydrologic science relies upon diverse research contributions from our community

(terrestrial system, above). Examples of contributions valued in the field include: (1) Generating new knowledge: improved understanding of hydrologic processes and generation of new theory describing these processes. (2) Interdisciplinary linkages and feedbacks: efforts to merge hydrologic theory with knowledge from allied disciplines to understand synergistic and symbiotic processes, including those that may occur at physical and disciplinary boundaries.(3) Data creation: generation, curation, and sharing of data from field and laboratory studies, which are a cornerstone of development of hydrologic understanding.(4) Synthesis efforts: synthesis of place-based studies into generalizable frameworks and the proactive testing of transferability of models and techniques. (5) Development of new tools, techniques, and approaches: the development, validation, and sharing of new methodologies and technologies for observing the hydrologic cycle, and the effort to proliferate these across the community. (6) Model development and use: physical, numerical, and statistical modeling approaches to interpret and forecast hydrological processes, including model development, building computational infrastructure, and using models to inform decision-making. (7) Applied research, extension, and public **engagement:** the transfer of hydrologic science to practice through applied research, extension, and public engagement. We value the time, skill, and expertise required to conduct or co-create timely research-based knowledge and share via cooperative extension programs and through policy engagement. (8) Advancing diversity, equity, inclusion, and justice: the contributions that advance justice in hydrologic science in broad ways including for environmental justice and to ensure greater diversity, equity, and inclusion within our community. These contributions (the watershed and stream network) contribute to a host of products and outcomes (only examples of which are explicitly pictured above), all of which are valued by our community. See CUAHSI (2021) for additional details and further examples.

107

108 Effects of pandemic disruptions on hydrologic sciences research

109 While many of the commonly identified impacts of the pandemic on scientific research are

110 mirrored in the hydrologic sciences (e.g., loss of lab access, cancellation of conferences), we

believe it important to articulate some specific examples through which these disruptions are

112 manifest and are particularly acute in the hydrologic sciences. Below we provide a non-

exhaustive list of examples to help readers envision the specific impacts on research within the
 hydrologic sciences. We identify six major categories of impacts on hydrologic science

115 research:

- Hydrologic sciences research is sensitive to temporal disruptions. Missing an important
 Hydrologic sciences research is sensitive to temporal disruptions. Missing an important
 event such as snowmelt, postponement of field experiments, and interruption of long term data sets, including those collected by government agencies, challenged
 scientists during the pandemic.
- Hydrologic sciences research is sensitive to disruptions in site access and travel. Many
 field sites and lab facilities continue to impose access restrictions. Travel to maintain
 field sites, collect data to validate sensor deployments, visit collaborators, conduct
 interviews or focus groups, and execute field experiments were limited or severely
 altered during the pandemic.
- Hydrologic sciences research is dependent on access to large-scale or distributed
 equipment. Loss of access to shared infrastructure included reductions in coordinated
 deployment of specialized equipment (e.g., airborne data collection) and prioritization of
 COVID-related research on large-scale computing facilities.

- 4. *Hydrologic science is a multi-disciplinary collaborative field.* Pandemic conditions
 reduced opportunities to build collaborations through conferences, workshops, and site
 visits. Reduced density requirements meant students entered lab groups working
 remotely, and early career scientists contended with building collaborative networks
 and research groups in an online-only environment and limited collaboration,
 consultation, and information gatherings.
- 5. Experimental and lab-based hydrologic research was disrupted by loss of access to
 research labs and maker spaces. For example, access to lab spaces was restricted by
 many institutions, preventing experiments and sample analyses from being conducted
 during the pandemic and challenging the establishment of labs by early career

researchers. Labs and lab supplies were repurposed to pandemic efforts.

- 139
- 140

141 Early evidence of COVID-19 impacts at Water Resources Research

142 To assess early evidence of the pandemic's impact on hydrologic science, we analyzed 143 submissions to Water Resources Research from January 2018 through June 2021. First, we 144 found evidence that submittals from female corresponding authors in the U.S. (the most 145 represented country of origin for authors in our data set) were increased (Q3-20) or held steady 146 early in the pandemic (Q4-20), consistent with reports that time spent on writing increased as 147 time spent in the lab, field, and elsewhere decreased (Gonzales and Keane, 2020a; 2020b). 148 Subsequently, these submissions decreased to their lowest levels in the period analyzed during 149 Q1- and Q2-21. We interpret this decrease as an indicator of pandemic impacts across a 150 demographic known to be disproportionately impacted by the pandemic (e.g., Myers et al. 2020; 151 Viglione, 2020). Declines in productivity are also apparent across overall submissions, with 152 projected 2021 submissions below pre-pandemic (2018-19) levels for the U.S., Australia, 153 Canada, China, and the Mexico, Central, & South America grouping (Fig. 3). Finally, we 154 acknowledge here that other demographics will have been disproportinately impacted (e.g., 155 primary caregivers, families for whom education of children was disrupted), but data on these

- 156 demographics were not available for analysis.
- 157

158 These trends suggest that research that was in an earlier phase when the pandemic began is 159 now missing from the publication pipeline and it remains to be seen what proportion of this early 160 stage research has been merely delayed or entirely aborted. We anticipate that submittals from 161 some demographics may remain depressed for up to several years as the research slowed or 162 stalled during the pandemic matures toward publication. Further, the return to pre-pandemic 163 working conditions will not occur uniformly in time. Instead, access to vaccines, both in a global 164 context (e.g., variability in access to vaccines in different locations) and relative to individual 165 circumstances (e.g., primary caregiver for a person who cannot be vaccinated), will be 166 differential in the immediate future.

167

A decline in publication productivity due to the pandemic has the potential to manifest later as a
'less productive' CV for some individuals if not interpreted in context of (a) the pandemic's
impact on research, and (b) the unequal impact across demographic groups and parts of the
world. Importantly, we underscore that analysis of submittals to this journal is but one indicator
of impact on one (itself not homogenous) demographic group in one geographic location and

- does not represent the totality of impact on any individual. Instead, we present this as
- 174 representative of the breaking wave of impact that we expect will be felt for the next decade or
- 175 longer, and as early evidence that the pandemic's effects are real, measurable, and therefore
- 176 cannot be ignored.
- 177



Fig. 2. Quarterly submission of papers submitted to *Water Resources Research* (published by the American Geophysical Union, AGU) by U.S.-based lead authors. The precipitous drop in submittals during the pandemic (since Q3-2020) is an early signal of the lack of new research being completed during the pandemic.

178 179



Fig. 3. Annual submissions to Water Resources Research based on country of residence for the corresponding author. Declines in submittals from the U.S. - the most represented country of origin in the data set - were apparent in 2020 and are projected to further decline in 2021. Declines in 2021 relative to pre-pandemic years (2018-19) are also projected in Australia, Canada, China, and the Mexico, Central, and South America grouping.

180

181

182 Suggestions to the community to ensure the holistic evaluation of hydrologic scientists

183 The types of contribution and impacts outlined above and elsewhere (CUAHSI, 2021) provide a

basis for the field of hydrologic science to consider how we evaluate candidates in a more
 holistic manner. This is particularly important as the pandemic impacts likely are only beginning

186 to be fully realized and will ultimately have shaped and defined the essential training and/or

- 187 early years in research for our present students, post-doctoral researchers, and early career
- faculty. How, then, shall we acknowledge and more importantly account for both the diversity of
- 189 contributions to research in hydrologic science and the disparate impacts on individuals' training
- 190 and careers?
- 191
- 192 To those applying for positions, promotions, and/or tenure: Consider directly articulating your
- 193 past, present, and potential contributions across the breadth of research areas and products
- 194 that are valued by our community. Explicitly describe impacts across the breadth of research
- 195 contributions and products that are valued by our community (Fig. 1).

196

197 To external evaluators, reviewers, and those in positions to hire: Evaluations should be 198 written in the context of COVID-19 impact, acknowledging the acute and long-lasting 199 impacts on the candidate. Recognize that the contributions hydrologists value and products 200 they generate should not be considered a checklist for assessment, but instead a 201 demonstration of the diverse ways that contributions are made and documented in our field. 202 In evaluation letters, consider it your obligation to discuss the hydrology-specific issues 203 related to the COVID-19 pandemic that were faced by the candidate and contextualize 204 these experiences in the discipline. It is essential to highlight impacts that may not have 205 been ubiquitous across all disciplines to educate review committees whose composition 206 frequently spans a diverse array of intellectual backgrounds.

207

208 To review committees and senior faculty: We encourage you to ask for statements about 209 COVID-19 impacts on research, teaching, mentoring, and service and to take seriously the 210 concerns raised by candidates and reviewers. Do this with care and intent as impact 211 statements require significant time and effort. You play an incredibly important role, 212 intermediary to candidates and administrators, that can provide important context about the 213 impacts of the pandemic. With the breadth of research contributions and products in our 214 discipline, candidates' experiences may not have mirrored your own. Importantly, while we 215 may all 'look like hydrologists' to other disciplines, the specific needs and impacts in our 216 field are highly variable across our areas of expertise.

217

218 To academic and professional leaders: Voice support for the inclusion of the full breadth 219 of research products and contributions that are valued in our discipline and consider how 220 COVID-19 impacted them. Inclusion of this information, with guidance, and explicit 221 requests asking for consideration of how COVID-19 impacted the candidate should be 222 considered requisite in external review requests and internal review processes. Finally, 223 recognize that -- while often helpful and appreciated -- extended tenure clocks or delays 224 of mandatory merit and promotion review may not adequately or equitably address all 225 possible long-term impacts of the pandemic (Manchester et al., 2013). The effects of 226 COVID-19 on hydrologic science researchers are non-uniform. Consequently, uniform 227 policies to address these impacts may exacerbate inequalities. Instead, particular focus 228 should be given to support groups that have been especially impacted, and care taken to 229 address individuals' needs rather than apply generic, universal policies.

230

To funding agencies: Program officers should continue to proactively engage with their
 communities to understand pandemic impacts and identify creative ways to mitigate the
 impacts. Agencies should consider differential COVID-19 impacts across subdisciplines in
 allocating recovery funds among disciplines, programs, and projects. Future review panels
 should explicitly consider COVID-19 impacts as research progress, products, and experience by
 PIs may have been delayed or diminished.

In summary, taking a holistic approach to evaluate and recognize the diverse and critical ways
 that hydrologists contribute to the hydrological sciences is necessary in light of the COVID-19

- 240 pandemic. Holistic evaluation will help hydrologists navigate and mitigate the impacts of COVID-
- 19 and provide a consistent basis for evaluation of hydrologists. Our response as a discipline to
- the COVID-19 pandemic, and acknowledgement of the breadth of research types and products
- 243 in our community, also provides a basis for evaluating impacts from other individual- or society-
- level disruptions that cause hydrologists to prioritize some investments of time over others.
- 245 246

247 Acknowledgements

248 This commentary was primarily authored by Alejandro Flores, Anne Jefferson, Steve Loheide, 249 Jeanne M. VanBriesen, and Adam S. Ward with concurrence from all members of the CUAHSI 250 Board of Directors, officers, and the executive director at the time of publication. The authors 251 recognize and thank Paige Wooden, Sr. Program Manager for AGU Publications for her efforts 252 to derive, share, and help interpret data on WRR submittals. Effort to prepare this statement 253 was supported in part by NSF Awards 1849458 and 2028737. Original artwork in Fig. 1 was 254 created by Dom Ciruzzi. The opinions expressed in this commentary reflect the views of the authors and should not be construed as endorsement nor concurrency of funding agencies nor 255 256 the authors' individual institutions.

- 257
- 258

259 **References**

- Aubry, LM; Laverty, TM; Ma, Z (2020) Impacts of COVID-19 on ecology and evolutionary
- biology faculty in the United States. Ecological Applications 31 (2)
- 262 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.2265</u>
- 263

CUAHSI (2021). CUAHSI Statement on Holistic Evaluation of Research in the Hydrologic
 Sciences, HydroShare, <u>https://doi.org/10.4211/hs.21e61fe839004fd399439a2a3391a763</u>

- 266 Davies, S. W., Putnam, H. M., Ainsworth, T., Baum, J. K., Bove, C. B., Crosby, S. C., et al.
- 267 (2021). Promoting inclusive metrics of success and impact to dismantle a discriminatory reward 268 system in science. *PLOS Biology*, *19*(6), e3001282.
- 269 https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PBIO.3001282
- 270 Gonzales, L and C Keane (2020a) Caregiving and domestic responsibility impacts from the
- 271 pandemic, American Geosciences Institute, Data Brief 2021-020. Accessed 13-Jul-2021.
- 272 https://www.americangeosciences.org/geoscience-currents/caregiving-and-domestic-
- 273 <u>responsibility-impacts-pandemic</u>
- 274
- 275 Gonzales, L and C Keane (2020b) Academic activities by gender and faculty rank during the
- 276 pandemic, American Geosciences Institute, Data Brief 2021-019. Accessed 13-Jul-2021.
- 277 <u>https://www.americangeosciences.org/geoscience-currents/academic-activities-gender-and-</u>
- 278 <u>faculty-rank-during-pandemic</u>
- 279
- 280 Klein, JT and HJ Falk-Krzesinki (2017) Interdisciplinary and collaborative work: Framing
- promotion and tenure practices and policities. Research Policy, 46(6) 1055-1061.
- 282 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2017.03.001</u>

283

- 284 Klenk, N and K Meehan (2015) Climate change and transdisciplinary science: 285 Problematizing the integration imperative, 54, 160167. 286 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2015.05.017 287 288 Krukowski, RA; Jagsi, R.; Cardel, MI (2021) Academic productivity differences by gender 289 and child age in science, technology, engineering, mathematics, and medicine faculty 290 during the COVID-19 pandemic. Journal of Women's Health, Vol 30, No 3. 291 https://doi.org/10.1089/jwh.2020.8710 292 293 Malisch, JL; Harris, BN; Sherrer, SH; Lewis, KA et al (2020) Opinion: in the wake of COVID-19, 294 academia needs new solutions to ensure gender equity, Proceedings of the National Academy 295 of Sciences, 117 (27) 15378-15381. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2010636117 296 297 Manchester, C. F., Leslie, L. M., & Kramer, A. (2013). Is the Clock Still Ticking? An Evaluation 298 of the Consequences of Stopping the Tenure Clock, Nature 66(1), 3-31. 299 https://doi.org/10.1177/001979391306600101 300 Montoya, LD; Mendoza, LM; Prouty, C; Trotz, M; Verbyla, ME (2021) Environmental 301 Engineering for the 21st Century: Increasing Diversity and Community Participation to Achieve 302 Environmental and Social Justice, Environmental Engineering Science. 38(5) 288-297. 303 https://doi.org/10.1089/ees.2020.0148 304 305 National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. 2021. Impact of COVID-19 on 306 the Careers of Women in Academic Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. Washington, DC: 307 The National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/26061. 308 309 National Research Council. 2015. Enhancing the Effectiveness of Team Science. Washington, 310 DC: The National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/19007 . 311 312 Shillington, AM; Gehlert, S.; Nuriu, PS; Delva, J.; Hooyman, NR; Manderscheid, RW; Palinkas, 313 LA (2020) Commentary: COVID-19 and long term impacts on tenure line careers. Journal of 314 Society for Social Work and Research. Vol 11, Number 4. https://doi.org/10.1086/712579 315 316 Sotto-Santiago, S.; Dilly, Christen; O'Leary, H.; Craven, H., Kara, A.; Brown, C.; Kressel, A.;
- 317 Rohr-Kirchgraber, T.; DiMeglio, L. (2021) Reframing Academic Productivity, Promotion and
- 318 Tenure as a results of the COLVID-19 pandemic, The Journal of Faculty Development, Vol 319 35, No 1., pp 10-18.

320

321 Viglione, G. (2020). Are women publishing less during the pandemic? Here's what the data say. 322 Nature, 581(7809), 365-366. https://doi.org/10.1038/D41586-020-01294-9

323

324