A seismic monitoring approach to detect and quantify river sediment mobilisation by steelhead redd-building activity

Michael Dietze, GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Section 4.6 Geomorphology, Potsdam, Germany (mdietze@gfz-potsdam.de),

James Losee, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Olympia, Washington, USA (James.Losee@dfw.wa.gov),

Lina E. Polvi, Department of Ecology and Environmental Science, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden (lina.polvi@umu.se),

Daniel Palm, Department of Wildlife, Fish and Environmental Studies, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Umeå, Sweden (Daniel.Palm@slu.se)

Abstract

The role of spawning salmonids in altering river bed morphology and sediment transport is significant yet poorly understood. This is due, in large part, to limitations in monitoring the reddbuilding process in a continuous and spatially extended way. A complimentary approach may be provided through the use of a small seismic sensor network analyzing the ground motion signals generated by the agitation of sediment during the redd building process. We successfully tested the viability of this approach by detecting and locating artificially-generated redd signals in a reach of the Mashel River, Washington State, USA. We then utilize records of 17 seismic stations, in which we automatically detected seismic events that were subsequently manually checked, yielding a catalogue of 45 potential redd-building events. Such redd-building events typically lasted between one and twenty minutes and were comprised of a series of clusters of 50-100 short energetic pulses in the 20-60 Hz frequency range. The majority (> 90 %) of these redd building events occurred within eleven days, predominantly during the early morning and late afternoon. The seismically derived locations of the signals were in agreement with independently mapped redds. Improved network geometry and installation conditions are required for more efficient detection, robust location and improved energetic insights to redd building processes in larger reaches. The passive and continuous nature of the seismic approach in detecting redds and describing fish behavior provides a novel tool for fish biologists and fisheries managers, but also for fluvial geomorphologists, interested in guantifying the amount of sediment mobilised by this ecosystem engineer. When complemented with classic approaches, it could allow for a more holistic picture of the kinetics and temporal patterns (at scales from seconds to multiple seasons) of a key phase of salmonid life cycles, with potential implications for biology, ecology, and fluvial geomorphology.

This paper is the second, revised version of a peer reviewed preprint uploaded to EarthArXiv, and submitted to "Earth Surface Processes and Landforms".

Potsdam, 12 June 2020

A seismic monitoring approach to detect and quantify 1 river sediment mobilisation by steelhead redd-building activity

M. Dietze¹, J. Losee², L.E. Polvi³, D. Palm⁴

¹GFZ German Research Center for Geosciences, Section 4.6 Geomorphology, Potsdam, Germany, Tel.: $+49\ 331\ 288\ 288\ 27$ ²Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, 600 Capitol Way N. Olympia, Washington, USA, 98501

³Department of Ecology and Environmental Science, Umeå University, 901 87 Umeå, Sweden ⁴Department of Wildlife, Fish and Environmental Studies, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences,

901 83 Umeå, Sweden

Key Points:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

- Environmental Seismology 12
- Ecosystem Engineers 13
- Salmonid Spawning 14
- Gravel-bed Rivers 15
- Biogeomorphology 16

Corresponding author: Michael Dietze, mdietze@gfz-potsdam.de

17 Abstract

The role of spawning salmonids in altering river bed morphology and sediment trans-18 port is significant yet poorly understood. This is due, in large part, to limitations in mon-19 itoring the redd-building process in a continuous and spatially extended way. A com-20 plementary approach may be provided through the use of a small seismic sensor network 21 analysing the ground motion signals generated by the agitation of sediment during the 22 redd-building process. We successfully tested the viability of this approach by detect-23 ing and locating artificially-generated redd signals in a reach of the Mashel River, Wash-24 25 ington State, USA. We then utilize records of 17 seismic stations, in which we automatically detected seismic events that were subsequently manually checked, yielding a cat-26 alogue of 45 potential redd-building events. Such redd-building events typically lasted 27 between one and twenty minutes and were comprised of a series of clusters of 50–100 short 28 energetic pulses in the 20–60 Hz frequency range. The majority (> 90 %) of these redd-29 building events occurred within eleven days, predominantly during the early morning and 30 late afternoon. The seismically derived locations of the signals were in agreement with 31 independently mapped redds. Improved network geometry and installation conditions 32 are required for more efficient detection, robust location and improved energetic insights 33 to redd-building processes in larger reaches. The passive and continuous nature of the 34 seismic approach in detecting redds and describing fish behaviour provides a novel tool 35 for fish biologists and fisheries managers, but also for fluvial geomorphologists, interested 36 in quantifying the amount of sediment mobilised by this ecosystem engineer. When com-37 plemented with classic approaches, it could allow for a more holistic picture of the ki-38 netics and temporal patterns (at scales from seconds to multiple seasons) of a key phase 39 of salmonid life cycles. 40

41 **1** Introduction

In the form of ecosystem engineers or bioturbators, biota can have significant ef-42 fects on physical earth surface processes (Viles, 1988). Examples include biological weath-43 ering (de Oliveira Frascá & Del Lama, 2018), slope stabilization by vegetation (Phillips 44 et al., 2016) and river bank destabilization by invading species (Harvey et al., 2019). Within 45 rivers, ecosystem engineers and bioturbators serve both to trap sediment and reduce ero-46 sion, such as beaver and riparian plants stabilizing stream banks, and to increase ero-47 sion and sediment transport, such as grazing animals and crayfish (Polvi & Sarneel, 2018). 48 While many of these examples are easily detectable and can be surveyed continuously, 49 some biotically-driven causes of sedimentation or erosion are much harder to constrain 50 using traditional methods, and only their resulting effects can be surveyed. For exam-51 ple, nest building in riverine systems by salmonids is a process that affects river bed sed-52 iment movement (Gottesfeld et al., 2004; Hassan et al., 2008) but is rarely monitored 53 in real time. 54

Salmonid spawning includes building a nest, known as a redd, where eggs are placed 55 and incubated until emergence. The process of redd construction includes the rapid move-56 ments of the caudal fin by the female, which agitates the bed material and ultimately 57 transports sediment from a site to excavate a pit. The entire redd-building process has 58 been shown to take up to five days (Burner, 1951) but detailed information on this pro-59 cess is limited. After the initial pit has been excavated, the female deposits eggs in the 60 pit where they are fertilized by one or more males (Quinn, 2018). The eggs are then buried 61 by the female through additional excavation upstream of the pit. Depending on the species, 62 the spawning event and associated redd construction involves the excavation of a signif-63 icant amount of gravel- and cobble-sized sediment. Specifically, the total length of a redd 64 ranges from 0.31 m to greater than 3 m depending on stream dynamics, species and size 65 of the female (Burner, 1951; Losee et al., 2016). For example, S. Gallagher and Gallagher 66

(2005) documented redds for the anadromous form of *Oncorhynchus mykiss* also known
as steelhead, averaging 0.72 m in length.

The process of redd building by salmonids has been associated with the removal 69 of benthic organisms (Field-Dodgson, 1987) and sediment transport consistent with that 70 observed during flood events (Gottesfeld et al., 2004). For example, Hassan et al. (2008) 71 found in a selection of small North American streams that in years with low-recurrence 72 interval snow melt floods, redd building by salmonids transported as much sediment as 73 fluvial processes. In years of high flows and dynamic flooding events, salmonids may not 74 75 directly transport as much sediment as natural fluvial processes but serve to enhance sediment mobility by reducing armouring (Hassan et al., 2008). As scarce as such empir-76 ical evidence currently may be, they illustrate that an important consequence of redd-77 building can be an altered river bed morphology, by increasing the diversity in river bed 78 morphology, generating a deposit protruding from the riverbed, decreasing armouring 79 and decreasing the degree of particle imbrication (e.g. Rennie & Millar, 2000; Hassan 80 et al., 2008). Over geological time scales, this may alter longitudinal profiles of rivers and 81 increase the erosion efficiency of the entire catchment (Fremier et al., 2018). On the con-82 trary, a biologically induced increase of bed roughness may also result in reduced shear 83 stress. In summary, the medium- to long-term effect of salmonid-induced river bed re-84 organization is uncertain given the limited number of quantitative studies on this topic, 85 leading only to idealized formulation approaches in long-term models (e.g. Fremier et 86 al., 2018). 87

Likewise, detailed information on the timing and duration of redd-building activ-88 ity is unknown. Traditionally, biologists and fisheries managers have relied on the visual 89 identification and enumeration of salmonid redds to determine spawning stock biomass 90 and spawn timing. This work is done through regular monitoring activities, involving 91 one or more stream surveyors visually identifying, enumerating and marking spawning 92 sites every seven to ten days (S. P. Gallagher et al., 2007; Madel & Losee, 2016). Apart 93 from constraining the creation within the lapse time of surveys, retrospective mapping 94 (e.g. Losee et al., 2016) has been used to provide detailed information on the morphol-95 ogy and geometric properties of the redd but provides limited information regarding the 96 timing of redd construction, duration of spawning events and other behavioural char-97 acteristics. More detailed information associated with redd-building activity has emerged 98 through selected snorkel surveys (e.g. Rand & Fukushima, 2014), and laboratory studaq ies (Needham & Taft, 1934; Berejikian et al., 2008). These approaches have the advan-100 tage of delivering direct high resolution information on the fish's activity during spawn-101 ing but are limited to daylight or very simplified conditions. Together, none of the ex-102 isting approaches have been shown to provide a continuous, high resolution and spatially 103 extended record of redd-building activity in a given reach of a river. 104

An alternative and potentially complementary approach to detect, describe and enu-105 merate spawning sites may be provided by environmental seismology, an emerging re-106 search field that investigates the seismic signals emitted by Earth surface processes. Mod-107 ern seismic sensors like geophones or broadband seismometers are sensitive enough to 108 detect processes that emit only minimal impact energy to the ground, such as falling rain 109 drops and wind turbulence (Turowski et al., 2016; Dietze et al., 2017), or rock and ice 110 111 crack signals (Polvi et al., in review). Seismic sensors have already been used to study sediment mobilization in rivers (Burtin et al., 2016; Schmandt et al., 2017), a process 112 which is inherently difficult to constrain under natural conditions due to the infrequent 113 occurrence of transport, often under hostile flow conditions. There, sediment particles 114 impacting the river bed emitted seismic signals with a characteristic spectral signature. 115 and these signals could be inverted for the mass of sediment that is moving through the 116 river's cross section at a given time interval (e.g. Dietze, Lagarde, et al., 2019). 117

In this study, we us a small seismic network in an important steelhead (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) spawning area, the Mashel River, Washington State, USA, to investigate whether

the redd-building process of steelhead can be detected and located using seismic signals.

- We also aim to describe fine-scale temporal and spatial patterns of redd construction from
- ¹²² observed seismic signals.

¹²³ 2 Study site and instrumentation

The Mashel River is a tributary of the Nisqually River, which originates from the 124 Nisqually Glacier on the slopes of Mt. Rainier and drains $1,890 \text{ km}^2$ of the western slope 125 of the Cascade Mountain Range. Our study reach was approximately 150 m long on a 126 2^{nd} order stream segment of the Mashel River. Bankfull width ranged from approximately 127 25–70 m and average bed slope was 0.0005 m/m. The sediment size distribution was fairly 128 well sorted, composed of coarse gravel to cobbles, with a D_{16} of 19.4 mm, D_{50} of 55.1 129 mm, and D_{84} of 123.1 mm. This reach was chosen based on the high density of steelhead 130 spawners in past years relative to other parts of the Mashel River. To relate seismic sig-131 nals to environmental conditions, we used daily meteorological data (NOAA, 2020) and 132 15-min discharge data (USGS, 2020). 133

We deployed 17 seismic stations on land on the left and right banks, approximately 134 2-5 meters from the bank, except for four stations (Fig. 1 a) that were placed at greater 135 distance to explore the spatial range of river-derived signals. Stations were installed with 136 an average spacing of 25 m (average river width) as an irregular network. Since we did 137 not know the ideal network design for the type of signals we recorded, we chose this setup 138 based on pragmatic decisions. The installed stations consisted of PE6B 4.5 Hz vertical 139 component geophones and Digos DataCube data loggers recording at 400 Hz. The spike-140 equipped sensors were pushed into the ground and the loggers were placed next to the 141 sensor. For longer term installations, one would either place the sensors in pits or cover 142 them with sediment to shield them from atmospheric signals. However, due to the amount 143 of sensors and time constraints this was not possible in this study. The system was equipped 144 with internal batteries, allowing for up to 2 weeks of continuous operation and maintained 145 with fresh batteries for the life of the study (approximately 4 weeks). The stations were 146 deployed on 29 April 2019 and dismantled on 27 May 2019. To constrain essential seis-147 mic ground properties, we performed an active seismic survey. For this, a metal plate 148 $(25 \times 10 \text{ cm})$ was placed directly next to individual seismic stations and signals were in-149 duced by ten subsequent blows with a 5 kg sledge hammer. 150

The potential spectral properties of the redd-building process were inspected by 151 manually mimicking redd-building activities, using three different approaches, for approx-152 imately one minute each: 1) In the first approach, a person created a hydraulic jet that 153 entrained sediment by intensively flipping a rubber diving fin with its hands. 2) The bed 154 material was moved around at the same site with a boot. 3) Finally, the bed material 155 was gently agitated with a stiff paddle, again without touching the sediment. Before and 156 after each experiment, we exerted a sequence of three hits with a hammer onto a boul-157 der at the left bank of the river to identify each experiment's start and end time. 158

The study area was visited at regular intervals and manually surveyed for new redd 159 features (26 April, 08 May, 13 May, and 23 May 2020). The same two trained survey-160 ors were responsible for identifying redds for the duration of the study. Surveyors wore 161 polarized glasses and recorded locations of steelhead redds using standardized survey method-162 ology (Madel & Losee, 2016). As mentioned above, redds that are constructed by salmonids 163 typically include a well-defined depression (pit) immediately upstream of a mound (tail 164 spill). These features are also identifiable as being absent of macrophytes. Each redd was 165 flagged with the date, the surveyor's initials, and other descriptive details as needed to 166 avoid double-counting redds. Additionally, coordinates of redd locations were recorded 167 using a hand-held GPS. We assumed that all observed redds were created by steelhead; 168 this was based on several factors: 1) the absence of other salmonids during the sampling 169 period; 2) the observed presence of adult steelhead; and 3) the relatively large size of ob-170



Figure 1. Study area with instrumentation scheme and environmental data. a) The 150 m long straight reach of the Mashel River, Washington State, USA, was instrumented by 17 seismic stations. Redd sites (blue polygons) found during periodic mapping campaigns are located inside the seismic network. Aerial image source: Google Maps. b) Precipitation (blue bars, NOAA station Mayfield Power Plant) and discharge data (black line, station USGS no. 12087000) for the instrumented period. Circles at the top depict manually identified seismic events; black circles are regular events, red circles are events only recorded at station M11, cf. Tab. 1 for details. Background shows a seismic spectrogram of the full period as recorded by station M11.

served redds relative to those of other redd-building species potentially present (cuthroat
trout Oncorhynchus clarkia clarkii and Pacific Lamprey Entosphenus tridentatus).

3 Data processing

All seismic data were processed with the R package eseis v 0.6.0 (Dietze, 2018a, 2018b). The SI contain dedicated R scripts of all major processing and analysis steps. Seismic data were also interactively visualised using the software Snuffler v. 2018.1.29 (Heimann et al., 2017). Raw measurement files were converted from the Cube logger data format to hourly files (SAC format, IRIS, 2017), organised in a coherent structure (see SI).

To identify discrete events from the continuous stream of ground motion data, we 180 applied a classic STA-LTA trigger algorithm (Allen, 1982), which is sensitive to sudden 181 rises in ground motion amplitudes. We applied this algorithm to hourly signal snippets 182 of all analysed stations, overlapping by 5 minutes on both sides. Hourly snippets (400 183 times 3600 samples) turned out to be ideal in terms of computer memory balance, and 184 the overlap guaranteed that we did not miss events at the snippet margins. The signals 185 were detrended, filtered between 10–20 Hz (window showing the least spectral overlap 186 with the river as constant seismic source) and envelopes, representing hull functions of 187 the signals, were calculated (see SI for code and details). For the subsequent STA-LTA 188 algorithm, we used a short time window of 0.5 s, a long time window of 180 s, an on-ratio 189 of 5 and an off-ratio of 1. The window sizes were based on the assumption that poten-190 tial events would show a rapid and impulsive onset and would not last longer than three 191 minutes. Since the algorithm usually detects many spurious events, we removed all picks 192 with durations less than 0.2 s and longer than 5 min to ensure that signals were not spu-193 rious and represented gravel transport results from fish movement. Events shorter than 194 0.2 s are usually spurious instantaneous spikes (Dietze et al., 2017), whereas events longer 195 than a few minutes are caused by earthquakes or anthropogenic sources such as trains 196 or, especially in this particular study, planes (see results). Furthermore, we removed events 197 that were not recorded by at least three stations and within a joint occurrence time win-198 dow of 1 s, because signals must be detected by at least three stations in order to locate 199 the signal source. The seismic wave velocity in loose sediment is typically a few hundred 200 m/s (Bourbie et al., 1987); therefore, for a maximum distance of 167 m across the utilised 201 network, a seismic wave from a source to a station requires less than 1 s. 202

In order to identify potential redd-building events, all remaining events were checked 203 manually for consistency and validity. Checks were based on the following criteria: 1) 204 presence of short pulses, forming clusters of activity that lasted less than one minute (Needham 205 & Taft, 1934), 2) absence of systematically increasing and decreasing amplitudes, indica-206 tive of approaching and passing terrestrial animals, including humans, 3) absence of dis-207 tinct arrivals of seismic phases, indicative of earthquakes; and 4) absence of gliding fre-208 quency bands (e.g. Fig. 3 a), typical for planes. These criteria were investigated both 209 by studying the raw seismic waveforms interactively and by computing spectrograms, 210 plots of the time evolution of seismic power spectra. The spectrograms were computed 211 using the sub/window averaging technique (Welch, 1967) of deconvolved signals (see SI). 212

The manually-validated events were located using the signal migration technique 213 (Dietze, 2018b). This approach makes use of the finite wave velocity of seismic signals 214 and calculates the relative travel time delay of signals between all possible station pairs. 215 In a grid search procedure, all potential locations (raster pixels) are tested for their po-216 tential time delays for the same station pairs. The final source location is provided as 217 a density function of the average difference between empirical and pixel-specific poten-218 tial time delay. The signal migration routine was based on the deconvolved, 10–20 Hz 219 filtered, tapered signal envelopes. Only events with a signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) greater 220 than 3 and recorded by at least three stations were located, using the apparent seismic 221 wave velocity as constrained by the active seismic survey (see below). The resulting lo-222 cation estimates were truncated to values greater than the quantile $q_{0.99}$, a usual value 223 to define the range of location uncertainty, approximately 10-20 % of the inter-station 224 distance (e.g., Dietze et al., 2017). 225

The average apparent seismic wave velocity was determined by the active seismic survey. The time differences between blows as recorded on the closest station and all other stations were determined by cross correlation of the signal envelopes and converted to a velocity using the distance of each station to the one closest to the hammer blows.

230 4 Results

4.1 Mapped redd locations

During the study period, surveyors identified a total of four completed redds within 232 the study reach (Fig. 1 a): redd no. 1 was mapped by 08 May, redd no. 2 by 13 May and 233 redds no. 3 and no. 4 by 23 May. All new redds identified during the study period were 234 within 5 m of the left bank. In addition, redd no. 2 showed signs of some fresh digging 235 in between survey dates as the flag we used to mark it had been slightly covered up with 236 fresh sediment. Redd size, shape and sediment composition (coarse gravel and cobbles) 237 were consistent characteristics from other steelhead spawning sites (S. P. Gallagher et 238 al., 2007). 239

240

231

4.2 Environmental conditions during experiment

During the first half of the survey period, the Mashel River showed a steadily de-241 creasing discharge with minor diurnal fluctuations (Fig. 1 b). From 15 May until the end 242 of the study period, there were several multi-hour long periods of rain, causing distinct 243 flashy peaks of the river discharge. The rain events were visible in the seismic spectra 244 (Fig. 1 b) as broadband bursts of high energy. The sub-minute resolution of the seismic 245 data also showed that the rain events did not cover an entire day but only a few hours. 246 The seismic waveforms further showed the typical signature of repeated raindrop impacts: 247 numerous < 0.2 s long single 20–200 Hz pulses (cf. Dietze et al., 2017). The rain-driven 248 high flows did not show up visually in the seismic spectrogram, neither as a clear power 249 increase of the persistent 25–50 Hz band nor as a prominent broadband (20–70 Hz) sig-250 nal indicative of bedload transport (cf. Fig. 2 b and Dietze, Lagarde, et al. (2019)). Like-251 wise, we saw no indications of recent over bank flooding conditions during our site vis-252 its. 253

254

4.3 Artificial redd-building signal properties

The seismic signatures of our three artificial redd-building experiments (Fig. 2) showed 255 the effects of the applied mechanisms. Type 1 (fin movement causing pebble agitation) 256 and type 2 (moving sediment with boot) both generated seismic signals more than 10 257 dB above background, peaking at 25–40 Hz (Fig 2 a). However, the type 2 mechanism 258 generated a stronger broadband signal overall, approximately 7–8 dB higher than type 259 1 between 50 and 150 Hz. The type 3 mechanism (contactless pebble agitation with stiff 260 paddle) only marginally exceeded the background level (blue versus grey curve in Fig. 2 a). 261 Overall, all three agitation types show similar spectral peaks as the background signal 262 space. 263

We seismically located distinct amplitude peaks in the signal sequences to test how 264 well the positions of artificial redd-construction activities can be estimated. Locations 265 of the sequences of three hits with a hammer onto a boulder prior to the actual redd ex-266 periments (Fig. 2 c) deviated from the true site by $3.0^{+0.4}_{-0.2}$ m (median and quartile range) 267 Two randomly chosen 2–3 s intervals during the type 1 and type 2 redd-construction experiments could also be located with deviations $2.5^{+0.1}_{-0.3}$ m. For the hammer blow signals, 268 269 270 we were able to use a narrow filter frequency window of 16–20 Hz, focusing on frequencies below the river induced signals (Fig. 2 b). For the weaker redd-building experiment 271 signals, we needed to use a wider frequency window of 16–25 Hz to allow for a sufficient 272 signal to noise ratio. The active seismic survey yielded an apparent seismic wave veloc-273 ity of 350 ± 40 m/s. We used the average value for further analyses. For details on the 274 results see the SI. 275



Figure 2. Artificial redd construction experiment signatures. a) Seismic spectra of the three different redd-building approaches and background spectrum. Colour code also used in other panels. b) Spectrogram of the full artificial redd-building sequence, recorded by station M07. Note how three hits with a cobble on a boulder (yellow bars in top part legend) initiate the actual experiments (red, green and blue bars denoting the three types). Dots above top legend indicate time sections used for location of signals. c) Location results of selected event periods as denoted in b). Inset shows enlarged version of the location results. Experiment start and end times were indicated by three hits on a boulder located as indicated by yellow star. The redd-building experiment locations are indicated by the blue star and the seismic location results are depicted by coloured circles.

4.4 Event signal characteristics

The STA-LTA routine yielded several thousand potential events, of which most were rejected automatically. We manually checked the remaining 591 potential events using the software Snuffler. These checks were based on a joint observation of the signals recorded by stations closest to the independently mapped redd locations (M07, M08, M10, M11 and M12), as well as spatially adjacent stations if these helped to clarify expected amplitude reductions and signal arrival time delays with increasing spatial distance from the potential source.

Checks included the criteria defined in section 3. We checked the properties of in-284 dividual seismic pulses, including durations, amplitudes and amplitude differences, the 285 pauses between the pulses, and the evolution of pulse properties throughout the entire 286 duration of a potential redd-building signature, which is composed of a series of individ-287 ual pulses. Most individual signals were clearly visible above background at 3–4 stations, 288 depending on the amplitudes of individual pulses (see for example Fig. 3 b). Whenever 289 possible (i.e., a viable signal was recorded by at least three stations), we located the seis-290 mic source of individual pulses and rejected a pulse sequence if at least 10 % of the vis-291 ible pulses could not be located consistently at the same position within the river chan-292 nel (i.e., overlapping location estimates within the 99 % polygon). In total, we identi-293 fied 45 potential redd-building signal sequences from 29 April through 27 May. 294

We use one example period (Fig. 3) to illustrate the characteristics of signals in-295 dicative of redd-building activities (Fig. 4). After several hours without any short-pulsed 296 signals, station M11 recorded a series of 256 mostly high-amplitude signals ($\pm 50 \mu m/s$), 297 lasting $0.33^{+0.13}_{-0.11}$ s each. The entire phase lasted approximately 12 min and exhibited four 298 discrete activity clusters. Each cluster, which consisted of 50 to 100 individual pulses, 299 lasted approximately 2 to 3 min, separated by pauses of roughly the same duration (Fig. 4 a). 300 There were no consistent trends of seismic amplitude with time, neither during clusters 301 nor throughout the entire sequence. The sequence was recorded at 10:10 PST time. Seis-302 mic location estimates of those signal sources that were distinctive from at least three 303 seismic stations (Fig. 3 c and d) point consistently to a region within the river channel, 304 approximately five to ten metres upstream of station M11, with an average deviation from 305 the independently mapped redd location of 8 m (excluding one outlier, orange dot in Fig. 3 d). 306

We also found similar results, with most of the above-mentioned characteristics of 307 clusters of pulses, for the other potential redd-building signals (Tab. 1). These other events 308 usually lasted several minutes. They either exhibited two to five clusters of broadband 309 seismic pulses, each lasting less than a second, or showed a continuous though non-rhythmic 310 occurrence of individual pulses. Those events that were suitable for estimating their source 311 location (i.e., signals recorded by at least three stations above background noise level) 312 all resulted from activity within the river channel. However, the location uncertainty makes 313 any more precise links to independently mapped redd buildings unreliable. In all cases, 314 the seismic location estimates showed higher uncertainties (e.g., 22 m on average for redd 315 no. 1, based on signals recorded for more than 10 min on 2019-05-06 19:23 PST) than 316 the artificial experiments (Fig. 2) and the results for redd no. 2 (Fig. 3). 317

The seismic records also exhibited signals that were not straightforward to associate with redd-building activity. One such type of signal sometimes occurred for extensive time periods; two hours on 20 May 18:30 PST and ten hours on 21 May 07:30 PST (Fig. 5 a). The signals show similar properties as noted above for the example event (Fig. 3): short, discrete, broad band pulses, forming clusters of up to ten pulses, which were separated by several seconds of calmness. The signals were visible on at least three stations (M11, M10, M08) and could in many cases be located around redd no. 4 (Fig. 1).

Another outstanding, recurring signal pattern was repeatedly recorded at station M11 (Fig. 5 b). A total of 32 such events were observed throughout the instrumented



Figure 3. Seismically constrained salmonid redd activity. a) Spectrogram from station M11 showing example of plane signature as harmonic tremor (17:10–17:15 PST) and clusters of short broadband pulses (17:19–17:33 PST). Note the continuous frequency band at 30–50 Hz due to river discharge. b) Seismic waveforms of four close-by stations. Red vertical lines allow comparing the joint timing of redd-building signals at different stations. Yellow dots depict signals used for location estimates. Dot with red outline is outlier in d). c) Close-up of one redd-building cluster with a sequence of short pulses due to tail movements of steelhead. d) Seismic source location map of the signals indicated in b). e) Picture of the redd created between 8–13 May. The reworked area is indicated by the dashed yellow line.



Figure 4. Characteristics of redd-building pulses and events. a) Cumulative number of individual pebble agitation pulses with time for example event from Fig. 3. b) Box plots of pulse duration and inter-pulse periods as measured at the closest seismic station. c) Cumulative number of redd-building events throughout entire survey period. d) Distribution of signal occurrence at the diurnal scale, shown as kernel density estimate plot (kernel size 16 min).

Table 1. Summary of identified seismic events (potentially) associated with redd-building activities. Seismic locations (easting and northing in UTM coordinates, signals filtered between 10 and 20 Hz throughout) are only provided when an event was clearly recorded by at least three stations. Stations with maximum seismic amplitude (A_{max}) indicate station most proximal to the potential seismic source. Index ¹ denotes events only recorded at station M11, cf. Fig. 5 b. Potential redd ID refers to IDs as shown in Fig. 1.

Event	Start time (PST)	Duration (s)	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	Station A_{max}	Redd ID
1	2019-05-06 19:23:00	600	NA	NA		1
2	2019-05-10 10:17:00	900	NA	NA	M11	2
3	2019-05-12 00:15:00	60	551217	5188989	M12	1
4	2019-05-12 06:42:35	125	551214	5189017	M11	2
5	2019-05-12 07:07:30	620	551227	5189019	M11	2
6	2019-05-12 07:10:00	1800	NA	NA	M11	2
7	2019-05-12 14:37:10	270	551220	5189006	M12	4
8	2019-05-13 08:11:00	300	NA	NA	$M11^1$	NA
9	2019-05-13 04:25:40	400	551219	5189008	M07	3
10	2019-05-14 09:54:00	300	NA	NA	$M11^1$	NA
11	2019-05-14 11:08:00	300	NA	NA	$M11^1$	NA
12	2019-05-15 08:52:00	100	NA	NA	$M11^1$	NA
13	2019-05-17 19:01:00	100	NA	NA	$M11^1$	NA
14	2019-05-18 06:02:00	300	NA	NA	$M11^1$	NA
15	2019-05-18 06:19:00	300	NA	NA	$M11^1$	NA
16	2019-05-18 07:53:00	300	NA	NA	$M11^1$	NA
17	2019-05-18 09:23:00	200	NA	NA	$M11^1$	NA
18	2019-05-18 11:06:00	400	NA	NA	$M11^1$	NA
19	2019-05-18 11:09:38	60	551228	5188999	M08	4
20	2019-05-18 11:21:00	200	NA	NA	$M11^1$	NA
21	2019-05-18 11:52:00	200	NA	NA	$M11^1$	NA
22	2019-05-18 12:25:00	200	NA	NA	$M11^1$	NA
23	2019-05-18 14:51:00	300	NA	NA	$M11^1$	NA
24	2019-05-18 17:50:00	300	NA	NA	$M11^1$	NA
25	2019-05-18 18:30:00	200	NA	NA	$M11^1$	NA
26	2019-05-19 09:54:00	200	NA	NA	$M11^1$	NA
27	2019-05-19 13:40:00	200	NA	NA	$M11^1$	NA
28	2019-05-19 19:45:00	1000	NA	NA	$M11^1$	NA
29	2019-05-20 06:19:00	300	NA	NA	$M11^1$	NA
30	2019-05-20 07:14:00	200	NA	NA	$M11^1$	NA
31	2019-05-20 07:59:00	300	NA	NA	$M11^1$	NA
32	2019-05-20 08:25:00	200	NA	NA	$M11^1$	NA
33	2019-05-20 08:38:00	600	NA	NA	$M11^1$	NA
34	2019-05-20 12:45:00	200	NA	NA	$M11^1$	NA
35	2019-05-20 18:35:00	7500	NA	NA	M12	4
36	2019-05-20 18:53:00	300	NA	NA	$M11^1$	NA
37	2019-05-21 05:50:00	900	NA	NA	$M11^1$	NA
38	2019-05-21 06:25:00	200	NA	NA	$M11^1$	NA
39	2019-05-21 07:30:00	36000	NA	NA	M12	4
40	2019-05-22 06:29:00	600	NA	NA	$M11^1$	NA
41	2019-05-23 05:42:00	600	NA	NA	$M11^1$	NA
42	2019-05-23 08:01:00	200	NA	NA	M07	3
43	2019-05-23 08:58:00	60	NA	NA	$M11^1$	NA
44	2019-05-22 19:33:00	90	551225	5188995	M08	4
45	2019-05-23 08:57:00	120	551219	5188984	M12, M11	4



Figure 5. Seismic spectrograms and waveforms of additional signals recorded during the survey period. a) A two-hour long activity period characterised by short period 25–55 Hz pulses, most prominently recorded by station M12. b) Example of recurring activity periods with similar properties as shown in a) or Fig. 3, recorded at station M11¹.

period. Signal properties were in general similar to the other events from Tab. 1. However, the seismic amplitudes were 20–30 % weaker than the signals from Fig. 3; although the signals were clearly visible at station M11, the signals were not distinct from background noise levels at the other stations. Accordingly, it was not possible to estimate the location of their sources.

332 5 Discussion

333

5.1 Proof of concept

We demonstrate the potential of a seismic approach for identifying the spatial and 334 temporal patterns of redd-building activity using two independent approaches – com-335 paring seismic data collected during construction of man-made artificial redds and dur-336 ing construction of redds by a native, wild salmonids. The artificially-induced signals (man-337 made redds, Fig. 2) showed major spectral overlap with the frequency window of the river-338 induced seismic signature (Dietze, Lagarde, et al., 2019; Gimbert et al., 2014) and only 339 type 1 and 2 agitation yielded a seismic signal sufficiently different from background noise 340 (Fig. 2 a). This complements our work demonstrating the ability of our seismic approach 341 to detect four redds created by steelhead in the natural setting. 342

The links between seismic data and manually mapped redds are based on both joint 343 time windows, and seismic source location estimates matching with mapped locations. 344 These links, although robust, open up room for interpretation, predominantly because 345 of the large mapping time intervals, and to a lesser degree because of the spatial uncer-346 tainty of the seismic location estimate. Thus, future work should be focused on further 347 validation of seismic signal inferences of salmonid redd construction over a variety of species 348 and spatial/temporal scales. Whenever a location for the events from Tab. 1 was pos-349 sible, it pointed at a seismic source inside the river. This already rules out any poten-350 tial terrestrial causes for the measured signals. Although signals such as those from Fig. 3 351 could in principle be generated by animals like woodpeckers, the location constraint does 352 not support such a hypothesis. Likewise, spatially mobile seismic sources, such as per-353 sons wading the river or animals passing a seismic station outside the river, would stand 354 in conflict with the stable seismic location results and the lack of systematic increases 355 and decreases of seismic amplitudes at a given seismic station. Other signals from in-356

side the river but not related to fish activity might be river bedload transport. However, 357 studies from rivers in different settings, from sand- to gravel- and even boulder-beds chan-358 nels and from flash-flood dominated to continuously active (Polvi et al., in review; Di-359 etze, Lagarde, et al., 2019; Dietze, Gimbert, et al., 2019; Burtin et al., 2016) consistently 360 showed that bedload transport results in overall increased amplitudes of the seismic sig-361 nals of certain frequencies and not in the emergence of erratic short seismic pulses. Fur-362 thermore, the seismic spectrogram of the entire study period (Fig. 1 b) did now show 363 any indications of sustained bedload movement during the rain-driven high flow events. 364 Finally, rain drop impacts can be excluded as an explanation of the seismic pulses from 365 Fig. 3 or Fig. 5 because these seismic pulses (which were recorded by at least three sta-366 tions) provided location estimates within the river channel. Thus, we propose that the 367 seismic signals we report here were indeed caused by biotic activity within the river chan-368 nel, more specifically by steelhead actively redistributing river bed material. 369

The redd-construction signal example illustrated in Fig. 3 showed that redd-building 370 signals could be recorded up to a distance of at least 50 m (distance between redd no. 371 1 and station M10) and yield very clear signals (signal-to-noise-ratio > 40) at distances 372 of less than 10 m (e.g., M07, M11). The artificially-induced signals that generated suf-373 ficient seismic energy could be located, using the migration technique, with deviations 374 of less than five metres on average. This sets the location precision baseline for any other 375 internal river location exercises. While high location precision may be less important when 376 the goal is simply to detect when, how and how long redd building activity occurs, this 377 feature becomes essential when the goal is to map out individual redd buildings and their 378 evolution with time. Given a river width of 25 m and an average distance between four 379 mapped redds of $20.4^{+7.7}_{-5.3}$ m, the seismic method allows for sufficient accuracy to discrim-380 inate between different redds; however, this is a tentative estimate based on the small 381 number of samples. The location estimate could be improved in subsequent surveys by 382 i) using a denser station network (less than 10 m station spacing), ii) sampling the sig-383 nals by more than 400 Hz, a recording frequency which allows no more than approxi-384 mately one meter accuracy in this environment when using the arrival time-based mi-385 gration approach to locating seismic sources, and iii) reducing the noise background, for 386 example by burying the sensors. A drawback of this study design was that the geophones 387 were not buried but installed on the ground. This resulted in many spurious event de-388 tections that ultimately turned out to be plane crossings (Fig. 3 a). Likewise, stations 389 more than 50 m away from the banks (results not shown) did not record any of the sig-390 nals registered by the network compartments close to the stream. 391

392

5.2 Redd building anatomy

For over a century, biologists and fisheries managers have contemplated the spawn-393 ing behaviour of salmonids. For species that spawn more than once, and therefore ben-394 efit from surviving post spawning, the mating behaviour and associated redd-building 395 activities are often elusive and thought to take place in the evening hours. However, a 396 small number of studies have documented spawning of steelhead and other species oc-397 curring during daylight hours. The current study sheds light on this data gap and sug-308 gests that the majority of spawning for steelhead trout takes place during daylight hours 399 and is focused around the crepuscular period. For steelhead, there is likely a trade-off 400 between attracting a mate, avoiding predation and metabolic demands associated with 401 spawning that may be tied to stream temperature. Needham and Taft (1934) recorded 402 short periods of digging prior to spawning followed by additional short periods of dig-403 ging to bury recently expelled and fertilized eggs. This was then repeated one or more 40/ times at the same site across multiple days (and possibly nights). Our passively recorded 405 measurements of gravel transport associated with spawning are in agreement with the 406 observations of Needham and Taft (1934) and take the spawning description one step 407 further by describing the event at a much finer scale and highlighting the importance of the crepuscular period for spawning. Specifically, at the diurnal scale, redd-building 409

activity in the current study showed a distinct pattern (Fig. 4 d). The majority of redd-410 building signals occurred between early morning and noon local time (i.e., 05–13 PST), 411 with a focused onset and a slowly receding rate. A secondary cluster emerges in the evening 412 (i.e., 17–20 PST). There are no significant differences between the repeated events only 413 recorded at station M11 (Fig. 5 b) and the other events. We interpret this diurnal pat-414 tern as preferred fish activity during daytime but avoiding the middle of the day with 415 highest temperatures and direct sunlight. It remains unclear if these long activity pe-416 riods, lasting several hours, are typical for steelhead across the range. With a protracted 417 spawning period occurring over more than 4 months, steelhead lend themselves to ad-418 ditional work that collects information across a greater number of spawners. Addition-419 ally, focusing this work on semelparous species that have a less flexible spawning win-420 dow may provide insight into how different life history strategies shape spawning behaviour. 421

This work resulted in a dramatic improvement in the understanding of spawning 422 behaviour of steelhead and paves the way for improved tools to monitor salmonids and 423 the effects they have on the hydraulic and sedimentological characteristics of a stream. 424 In addition, this first attempt at applying seismic monitoring to fisheries management 425 highlight important next steps to fine tune this work. The duration of a steelhead spawn-426 ing event in this study averaged 6 days. About 90 % of spawning took place during day-427 light hours (07–18 PST), and 60 % of spawning behaviour took place in morning hours 428 before noon (Fig. 4 c). 429

It has been shown that the process of building a redd can take several days for steel-430 head, including both the stage before and the stage after the spawning phase (Needham 431 & Taft, 1934; Burner, 1951; Fuchs & Caudill, 2019). Thus, one single sequence of pulses 432 433 lasting 10–20 min will certainly not be enough to create a proper redd, and it is to be expected that there must be additional and extensive seismic redd-building signals. For 434 redd no. 2 (Fig. 1 a, Tab. 1), there were four discrete pulse sequences with a location 435 matching a surveyed redd. In addition, there is the day-long, repeated occurrence of sev-436 eral minute-long sequences that were only visible at station M11 which is closest to redd 437 no. 2. In principle, these findings could be interpreted as the seismic signature of the full 438 redd-building, spawning and redd-finalisation process, in agreement with previous data 439 (Burner, 1951; Gottesfeld et al., 2004). Particularly given that during an 11-day period 440 we were able to detect indications of activity located at or near all of the independently 441 mapped redd locations. However, without more robust location information, this remains 442 tentative, especially for redd locations so close together in space. For instance, redds no. 443 3 and no. 4 could perhaps be linked with several repeated seismic activity clusters be-444 tween 12 and 23 May given the close proximity where these spawning events took place. 445 However, a robust seismic location estimate would be needed to properly support this 446 interpretation and would be recommended for future work. 447

5.3 Perspectives

448

Based on previous experience with seismic sensors to detect and quantify fluvial 449 sediment transport dynamics (Dietze, Lagarde, et al., 2019; Polvi et al., in review), the 450 boundary conditions for a functional seismic network were determined. Given the gen-451 eral success of the seismic approach to detect and quantitatively describe the process of 452 redd building, we propose objectives and strategies of subsequent research in that direc-453 tion. 1) A longer instrumentation time is required to survey the full spawning season. 454 This requires rethinking logistics of power provision and station maintenance. 2) The 455 network layout, which was designed in this study to account for a hitherto unknown type 456 of seismic source, should be optimised. At a minimum, this means that there is no need 457 to deploy stations far away from the banks. Rather, stations should be set up close to 458 the banks, at distances of less than 10 m from each other. In addition, the sensors should 459 be deployed below the surface to reduce signal contamination by sources such as air traf-460 fic and weather phenomena. 3) An active seismic survey covering the entire reach to be 461

monitored proved essential to constrain the seismic wave velocity, required for robust source 462 location estimates. Further seismic details can be provided by estimating the seismic en-463 ergy emitted by the fish activity, which can be interpreted as an equivalent of kinetic energy. For this step, one could use existing laws to relate seismic amplitudes as recorded 465 by several stations to the amplitude at the located source (e.g. Burtin et al., 2016). 4) 466 Fundamentally, a future study would benefit from more independent confirmation data. 467 These could be provided by time lapse imagery on sub areas of the surveyed reach, detailed mapping of (previously seismically detected and located) redds to check to which 469 extent these redds have been modified between mapping surveys, and how much mate-470 rial has been mobilised and redistributed. Finally, 5) utilisation of automatic approaches 471 to redd building event detection would be essential to reduce the amount of manual work-472 load. Machine learning solutions open promising avenues in this regard, especially since 473 the unique properties of the signals identified by us (rhythmic short pulses of similar spec-474 tral patterns from inside the stream) could be easily translated into features, required 475 for seismic event classification (e.g. Hibert et al., 2017). 476

477 6 Conclusions

We successfully tested a new method to survey a fundamentally important phe-478 nomenon in river ecology as well as fluvial geomorphology: salmonid redd-building ac-479 tivity in gravel-bed rivers. The seismic approach can be highly complementary to the 480 range of methods classically employed. Furthermore, in many regions, visual surveying 481 of redds is not possible because of, for example, low visibility due to high turbidity or 482 humic water, difficult to distinguish redds due to dark-coloured sediment, and deep wa-483 ter. Therefore, the seismic method would allow data on redd-building to be collected for 484 the first time in many regions (e.g., northern Europe). It also allows for continuous mon-485 itoring regardless of environmental conditions, providing high-resolution insight into the 486 dynamics of redd-building, from minute-long excavation activity clusters to the kinet-487 ics of individual pebble agitation pulses, and it allows estimates of the location of these 488 individual pulses. Based on these detailed data, we found that excavation appears to oc-489 cur preferentially during daytime, starting in the early morning, with a pause in the mid-490 dle of the day and another peak in the late afternoon, with almost no activity during the 491 night. Individual activity pulses of bed material agitation, lasting less than a second and forming clusters of 50 to 100 pulses are separated by minute-long pauses – a pattern that 493 is in agreement with results from other studies on the redd-building process. The deci-494 sively generic network design showed that in future studies, stations should be deployed 495 linearly along both banks in order to optimise the detection and location quality. 496

In addition to learning more about spawning behavior, this study can open doors 497 to understanding geomorphic change by salmonids. While seasonal sediment transport 498 by salmonids has been quantified, with seismological methods, we can make more pre-499 cise calculations of sediment flux (Dietze et al. 2019), clearly partitioned between flu-500 vial and biological processes. Seismic location estimates of redd-building signals can al-501 low a better understanding of potential sub-reach morphologic effects of spawning. More-502 over, the approach would not be restricted to redd building activity, but could be gen-503 eralised to further biological agents that actively move sediment particles, given that the 504 seismic signature of their activity is distinct enough to be detected and attributed to the 505 animal under focus. Thus our results provide a methodology with the potential to ad-506 dress large unanswered questions about ecosystem engineers and bioturbators (Polvi & 507 Sarneel, 2018), including effects on smaller and larger spatial scales than traditionally 508 measured. 509

510 Acknowledgments

⁵¹¹ We thank the following for assistance in the field, with set-up, geomorphic measurements,

and redd surveys: Annika Holmgren and Gustav Hellström with the Swedish University

of Agricultural Sciences and Gabe Madel, Riley Freeman and Steve Boessow with the 513

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. We thank the Geophysical Instrument Pool 514 Potsdam (GIPP) for provision of the seismic stations. 515

7 Data availability statement 516

The raw seismic data are available under the DOI 10.5880/GFZ.4.6.2020.004 (Dietze 517 et al., 2020). The SI contain all code and information necessary to reproduce the results 518 of this study. All other data is available from the public resources as referenced in the 519 text. 520

References 521

528

548

549

- Allen, R. (1982). Automatic phase pickers: Their present use and future prospects. 522 Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 72, S225–S242. 523 Berejikian, B., Johnson, T., Endicott, R., & Lee-Waltermire, J. (2008).In-524
- creases in steelhead (oncorhynchus mykiss) redd abundance resulting from 525 two conservation hatchery strategies in the hamma hamma river, washington. 526 Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 65(4), 754-764. doi: 527
- 10.1139/F08-014Bourbie, T., Coussy, O., & Zinszner, B. (1987). Acoustics of porous media. Gulf 529 Publishing Company. 530
- Burner, C. J. (1951). Characteristics of spawning nests of columbia river salmon. US 531 Department of the Interior. 532
- Burtin, A., Hovius, N., & Turowski, J. M. (2016). Seismic monitoring of torrential 533 and fluvial processes. Earth Surface Dynamics, 4, 285-307. doi: 10.5194/esurf 534 -4-285-2016
- de Oliveira Frascá, M. H. B., & Del Lama, E. A. (2018). Biological weathering. In 536 P. T. Bobrowsky & B. Marker (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of engineering geology* (pp. 537 61–62). Springer International Publishing. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-73568-9_29 538
- Dietze, M. (2018a). 'eseis' an R software toolbox for environmental seismology. v. 539 0.4.0. GFZ Data services. doi: http://doi.org/10.5880/GFZ.5.1.2018.001 540
- The R package "eseis" a software toolbox for environmen-Dietze, M. (2018b).541 tal seismology. Earth Surface Dynamics, 6, 669-686. doi: 10.5194/esurf-6-669 542 -2018543
- Dietze, M., Gimbert, F., Turowski, J., Stark, K., Cadol, D., & Laronne, J. 544 (2019).The seismic view on sediment laden ephemeral flows – modelling of ground 545 motion data for fluid and bedload dynamics in the arroyo de los piños [Com-546 puter software manual]. Retrieved from http://micha-dietze.de/pages/ 547
 - publications/other/Dietze_et_al_2019b.pdf (Paper to SEDHYD conference)
- Dietze, M., Lagarde, S., Halfi, E., Laronne, J. B., & Turowski, J. M. (2019). Joint 550 sensing of bedload flux and water depth by seismic data inversion. Water Re-551 sources Research, 55(11), 9892-9904. doi: 10.1029/2019WR026072 552
- Dietze, M., Losee, J., Polvi, L., & Palm, D. (2020). Seismic data from a project on 553 monitoring of salmonid nest building, Mashel River, USA, v. 0.1.0. GFZ Data 554 services. doi: http://doi.org/10.5880/GFZ.4.6.2020.004 555
- Dietze, M., Mohadjer, S., Turowski, J., Ehlers, T., & Hovius, N. (2017).Validity. 556 precision and limitations of seismic rockfall monitoring. Earth Surface Dynamics, 2017, 1-23. doi: 10.5194/esurf-2017-12 558
- Field-Dodgson, M. (1987). The effect of salmon redd excavation on stream substrate 559 and benthic community of two salmon spawning streams in canterbury, new 560 zealand. Hydrobiologia, 154, 3–11. doi: 10.1007/BF00026826 561
- Fremier, A. K., Yanites, B. J., & Yager, E. M. (2018).Sex that moves moun-562 tains: The influence of spawning fish on river profiles over geologic timescales. 563

564	Geomorphology, 305, 163 - 172. (Resilience and Bio-Geomorphic Systems
565	Proceedings of the 48th Binghamton Geomorphology Symposium) doi:
566	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2017.09.033
567	Fuchs, N., & Caudill, C. (2019). Classifying and inferring behaviors using real-
568	time acceleration biotelemetry in reproductive steelhead trout (Oncorhunchus
569	mukiss). Ecology and Evolution, 9, 11329–11343. doi: 10.1002/ece3.5634
570	Gallagher, S., & Gallagher, C. (2005). Discrimination of chinook salmon, coho
571	salmon, and steelhead redds and evaluation of the use of redd data for es-
572	timating escapement in several unregulated streams in northern california.
573	North American Journal of Fisheries Management, 25(1), 284-300. doi:
574	10.1577/M04-016.1
575	Gallagher, S. P., Hahn, P. K. J., & Johnson, D. H. (2007). Redd counts. In
576	D. H. Johnson et al. (Eds.). Salmonid field protocols handbook: techniques
577	for assessing status and trends in salmon and trout populations (pp. 197–234).
578	Bethesda, Marvland: American Fisheries Society.
579	Gimbert, F., Tsai, V., & Lamb, M. (2014). A physical model for seismic noise gener-
580	ation by turbulent flow in rivers. Journal of Geophysical Research, 119, 2209–
581	2238. doi: 10.1002/2014JF003201
582	Gottesfeld A S Hassan M A Tunnicliffe J F & Poirier B W (2004) Sed-
583	iment dispersion in salmon spawning streams: The influence of floods and
584	salmon redd construction <i>JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources</i>
585	Association, 40(4), 1071-1086, doi: 10.1111/j.1752-1688.2004.tb01068.x
586	Harvey, G. L., Henshaw, A. L., Brasington, L. & England, J. (2019). Burrowing in-
587	vasive species: An unquantified erosion risk at the aquatic-terrestrial interface.
588	<i>Reviews of Geophysics</i> , 57(3), 1018-1036, doi: 10.1029/2018RG000635
580	Hassan M A Gottesfeld A S Montgomery D B Tunnicliffe J F Clarke
590	G. K. C., Wynn, G., Macdonald, S. J. (2008). Salmon-driven bed load
591	transport and bed morphology in mountain streams. Geophysical Research
592	Letters, $35(4)$, doi: 10.1029/2007GL032997
593	Heimann, S., Kriegerowski, M., Isken, M., Cesca, S., Daout, S., Grigoli, F.,
594	Dahm, T. (2017). Purocko - an open-source seismology toolbox and library.
595	GFZ Data services. doi: http://doi.org/10.5880/GFZ.2.1.2017.001
596	Hibert, C., Provost, F., Malet, JP., Maggi, A., Stumpf, A., & Ferrazzini, V. (2017).
597	Automatic identification of rockfalls and volcano-tectonic earthquakes at the
598	piton de la fournaise volcano using a random forest algorithm. J. Volcanol.
599	Geotherm. Res., 340, 130-140. doi: 10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2017.04.015
600	IRIS. (2017). Incorporated research institutions for seismology – using sac. Available
601	at ds.iris.edu/ (2017/12/16).
602	Losee, J. P., Phillips, L., & Young, W. C. (2016). Spawn timing and redd mor-
603	phology of anadromous coastal cutthroat trout oncorhynchus clarkii clarkii
604	in a tributary of south puget sound, washington. North American Journal of
605	Fisheries Management, 36(2), 375-384. doi: 10.1080/02755947.2015.1129001
606	Madel, G., & Losee, J. (2016). Research and monitoring of adult Oncorhynchus
607	mykiss in the nisqually river (Tech. Rep.). Washington Department of Fish
608	and Wildlife.
609	Needham, P., & Taft, A. (1934). Observations on the spawning of steelhead trout.
610	Trans. Amer. Fish Soc., 64.
611	NOAA. (2020). National weather forecast service. https://w2.weather.gov/
612	climate/xmacis.php?wfo=sew. (Accessed: 2020-03-10)
613	Phillips, J., Samonil, P., Pawlik, ., Trochta, J., & Dank, P. (2016, 10). Domination
614	of hillslope denudation by tree uprooting in an old-growth forest. Geomorphol-
615	ogy, 276. doi: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2016.10.006
616	Polvi, L., Dietze, M., Lotsari, E., Turowski, J., & Lind, L. (in review). Seismic
617	monitoring of a subarctic river: seasonal variations in hydraulics, sediment
618	transport and ice dynamics. in review. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth

619	Surface.
620	Polvi, L., & Sarneel, J. (2018). Ecosystem engineers in rivers: An introduction
621	to how and where organisms create positive biogeomorphic feedbacks. WIREs
622	Water, 5(2), e1271. doi: 10.1002/wat2.1271
623	Quinn, T. (2018). The behavior and ecology of pacific salmon and trout. University
624	of Washington Press.
625	Rand, P. S., & Fukushima, M. (2014). Estimating the size of the spawning pop-
626	ulation and evaluating environmental controls on migration for a critically
627	endangered asian salmonid, sakhalin taimen. Global Ecology and Conservation,
628	2, 214 - 225. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2014.09.007
629	Rennie, C., & Millar, R. (2000). Spatial variability of streambed scour and fill: A
630	comparison of scour depth in chum salmon (oncorhynchus keta) redds and ad-
631	jacent bed. Canadian Journal of Fish and Aquatic Science, 57, 928–938. doi:
632	10.1016/j.geomorph.2016.10.006
633	Schmandt, B., Gaeuman, D., Stewart, R., Hansen, S., Tsai, V., & Smith, J. (2017).
634	Seismic array constraints on reach-scale bedload transport. Geology, 45, 299–
635	302. doi: 10.1130/G38639.1
636	Turowski, J. M., Dietze, M., Schöpa, A., Burtin, A., & Hovius, N. (2016). Vom
637	flustern, raunen und grollen der landschaft. seismische methoden in der geo-
638	morphologie. System Erde, b , $56-61$. doi: $10.2312/GFZ$.syserde.06.01.9
639	USGS. (2020). National water information system: Web interface. https://
640	waterdata.usgs.gov/nwis/uv?site_no=12087000. (Accessed: 2020-03-10)
641	Viles, H. (1988). Biogeomorphology. Blackwell.
642	Weich, P. (1967). The use of fast Fourier transform for the estimation of power
643	spectra: A method based on time averaging over short, modified periodograms.
644	IEEE Iransactions on Audio and Electroacoustics, 15, 70–73.