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\textbf{Abstract}
Interest in hydrocarbon exploration from the the Lesser Himalayan Sequence (LHS) has recently been revived amongst petroleum geoscientists. Understanding the paleostress regime and the deformation processes are the two important steps to understand the structural geology of any (petroliferous) terrane. Arc-parallel shear is an integral deformation process in orogeny. The scale of the consequent deformation features can range from micro-mm up to regional scale. Unlike orogen-perpendicular shear, different driving forces can produce orogen-parallel shears. We review these mechanisms/theories from several orogens including the Himalaya and compile 44 locations worldwide with reported orogen-parallel shear. Due to continuous crustal shortening by the India-Eurasia collision, the squeezed rock mass at the plate interface has produced the Himalayan Mountain chain. In addition, the rock mass also escapes laterally along the orogenic trend. Tectonic stress-field governs this mass flow. Field study and microstructural analysis in the northwest LHS (India) reveals orogen-parallel brittle and ductile shear movement. Y- and P- brittle shear planes, and the S- and C- ductile shear planes reveal the following shears documented on the ~ NW-SE trending natural rock selections: (i) top-to-NW up, (ii) top-to-SE up, (iii) top-to-NW down, and (iv) top-to-SE down. Our paleostress analysis indicates top-to-SE down and top-to-NW down shears occurred due to stretching along ~ 131°-311° (\textit{D}_{\text{ext}}), whereas top-to-SE up and top-to-NW up shear fabric originated due to shortening along ~133.5°-313.5° (\textit{D}_{\text{compr}}). Previous authors considered that the orogen-parallel extension generated ~ 15-5 Ma due to vertical thinning of the Himalaya. The NE-trending Delhi-Haridwar Ridge below the LHS plausibly acted as a barrier to the flowing mass and piled up the rock mass in the form of NW-SE/orogen-parallel compression. The NW-SE compression can be correlated with the \textit{D}_3 of Hintersberger et al. (2011) during ~ 4-7 Ma. (\textbf{Words: 290})
1. Introduction

“...although many of the key concepts in the structural geology of fold and thrust belts have earlier origins in other orogens, the impetus has come from the desire to exploit geological resources that reside in the subsurface.” - Hammerstein et al. (2020)

Structural geology and tectonic models of fold and thrust belts/collisional orogens primarily control the spatial distribution of the hydrocarbon reserves (Cooper, 2007). Small and complicated structures in such terrains hold the possibility of hydrocarbon (review in Hammerstein et al., 2019). In 1960s the Oil and Natural Gas Commission (ONGC) tried to explore hydrocarbon in the Himalaya and got a limited success. Independent and infrequent geoscientific studies in the Himalaya continued in this direction (e.g., Rao, 1986; Mukherjee and Chakrabarti, 1996). Palaeontological studies on Ediacara led Tewari (2012) to speculate hydrocarbon source rock from the Neo-Proterozoic terrain of the Lesser Himalayan Sequence (LHS), Garhwal Indian Himalaya. In fact, Neoproterozoic-Cambrian hydrocarbon reserves have been gaining attention worldwide. For example, Proterozoic rocks in the Jammu region (NW Himalaya, India) are presently under study for hydrocarbon (Hakhoo et al., 2016).

Despite pessimist scientific views that fold and thrust belts/collisional orogens such as the Himalaya in general has a poor chance of hydrocarbon exploration (Goffey et al., 2010), or the postulation that some specific part of the LHS can be non-productive (Mishra and Mukhopadhyay 2012), ONGC has been targeting the Krol unit of rocks from the LHS, NW Himalaya, India (Bhattacharya, Internet Reference; Bose and Mukherjee 2020). The Krol and Tal units of the LHS are potential reservoir of moderate quality (reviewed in table 1 of Mishra and Mukhopadhyay, 2012). Besides, the Blaini unit in the LHS have a good hydrocarbon potential (review in Craig et al., 2018). LHS rocks in Pakistan (Riaz et al., 2019) and in Nepal (Neupane et al. 2020) also have quite good potential and active hydrocarbon (shale gas, liquid H-C etc.) producing fields (e.g., Pakistan: Jhelum riverine system; Nepal: oil and gas seepages in Dailekh).

Several research papers on paleostress analysis elucidate the role of such a study in deciphering the petroleum geology of (sedimentary) terrains. To refer a few, Sippel et al. (2010) reconstructed the paleostress field in the petroliferous Oslo graben (Norway) and linked them with the rift-related local igneous activities. Kulikowski and Amrouch (2018) used 3D seismic data to analyze paleostress from the Cooper–Eromanga Basin (Australia) that has implication in understanding the hydrocarbon migration pathways (also see Zeng et al., 2010 for a similar study). Scheiber and Viola (2018) analyzed paleostress from fractures in the country rocks at the northern Bømlo islands (Norway). They separated deformation phases and linked those with the Caledonian orogeny and rifting. A similar approach of separating out deformation phases by performing paleostress studies was conducted by Ju et al. (2017) from the Sichuan basin (China). Kleinspehn et al. (1989) utilized paleostress study in deciphering the subsidence history of the petroliferous Central Basin of Spitsbergen, which is an important input in the basin’s petroleum geology.

Around 55 Ma back, the northward advancing Indian plate made a continent-continent collision with the Eurasian plate (Klootwijk et al., 1992; Yin, 2006; Copley et al., 2010) and started initiating the classic Cenozoic Himalayan Mountain chain. The orogen commonly comprises of compressional (Yin, 2006) and intriguing extensional structures (Kellet et al., 2019). These can be well understood at orogen-parallel natural (sub-)vertical rock sections (Godin et al., 2006). Not only the shortened rock materials at the interface of Indian and the Eurasian plate led to orogenesis, but rock materials also
flowed/sheared laterally (Molnar and Tapponnier, 1975). This is well understood for the Tibetan region as well where materials extended E-W in addition to significant N-S shortening (GPS velocity study, Zhang et al., 2004). However, in the Himalaya ~ N-S shortening also dominates in terms of in-sequence and out-of-sequence thrusting, that verges both towards foreland (towards ~ S) and hinterland (towards ~ N) (e.g., Ni and Barazangi, 1984; Mukherjee, 2013; Mukherjee, 2015; Bose and Mukherjee, 2019a,b; Ghosh and Mukherjee 2021).

Orogen-parallel extension and compression have been reported from worldwide (review in Fig. 1), including the Himalaya (review in Fig. 2). Twelve possibilities of geneses are compiled for such deformation (Table 1).

Orogenesis has been deduced mostly based on the study of regional thrusts [e.g., Gansser, 1964; Burchfiel et al., 1992; Vannay et al., 2004 in case of the Himalaya] in which the small-scale brittle deformation signatures have sometimes been missed. However, these (sub)meter-scale faults and ductile shear zones have great potential to provide detail deformation phases of different segments of the mountain. For example, the Karakorum fault situated between the Tibetan Plateau and the Himalaya slipped > 1000 km to accommodate the crustal shortening (Peltzer and Tapponnier, 1988). Interestingly, study of small-scale brittle structures from the southern part of the Karakorum Fault tightly constrained the slip magnitude of this fault to be only 65 km (e.g., Murphy et al., 2002) to < 400 km (e.g., Lacassin et al., 2004).

In this article, we document shear senses parallel to the regional orogenic trend in the NW Lesser Himalaya Sequence (Uttarakhand state, India) to understand the deformation behaviour parallel to the orogen. We attempt to correlate orogen-parallel rock movement with the existing models. We present meso- and micro-scale evidence of orogen-parallel shears and perform paleostress analysis of brittle shears. Various models explaining origin of extensional and compressional deformation parallel to the arc are compared. However, lack of cross-cut relation restricts our understanding of their relative timing. This work is important since orogen-parallel shears can trigger landslides and earthquakes.

2. Orogen-parallel rock movement
2.1 Orogen-parallel extension, the concept

Extensional structures parallel to the orogen trend has been recorded profusely (Fig. 1) but lacks unified theory for their genesis. From over-thickening of the crust to extension within the orogen marks a remarkable shift in the stress regime. Shape/geometry of an orogen, gravity driven mass flow, change in mantle flow along the collisional margin, angular convergence between two colliding plates, dome extrusion, approaching plate acting as rigid component, basement cover relationship etc. can govern the orogen-parallel extension. To explain these, the following models have been postulated with different driving forces.

(a) Gravitational collapse (mechanism: Fig. 3.i; global occurrence: Fig. 1)

Collisional margin induces a significant change in gravitational potential energy during lithospheric deformation. A gravity-driven ductile flow compensates the generated anomalous potential energy producing extensional structures parallel to the ductile flow direction (Rey et al., 2001). The mechanism depends on horizontal compressional stresses, basal shear stress between the two plate boundaries, change in potential energy, strength of the adjoining materials and the crustal strength (Rey et al., 2001 and references therein).

(b) Radial thrusting and expansion (mechanism: Fig. 3.ii; global occurrence: Fig. 1)

Converging plate acting as an indenter after collision gives rise to radially outward system dying out at the orogen margin. To preserve such an expanding shape of the collisional margin, local extensional and strike-slip features (Seeber and Armbruster, 1984, Murphy and Copeland, 2005) develop synchronous with the radial listric thrusts. Extension is presumably maximum at the central part of the collisional margin and dies
out towards the flanks. Platt and Vissers (1989) additionally suggested that due to additional emplacement of asthenosphere mantle under the collisional margin, surface elevates and eventually the orogen grows.

(c) Oroclinal bending (mechanism: Fig. 3.iii; global occurrence: Fig. 1)
Orogens bent around a vertical axis of rotation are called oroclines (Carey, 1955). Progressive oroclines (Johnston et al., 2013) are characterized by small-scale structures, thin-skinned tectonics, a single stress-field generating the thrust belt as well as the orogenic bending. Secondary oroclines (Johnston et al., 2013) are plate-scale. Here orogeny involves lithospheric mantle along with the crust and orogenic bending. A compression near-perpendicular to the original compressive stress-field develops. In secondary oroclines, lithospheric mantle plays a vital role in modifying surface features. The theory is popular in many orogens and explains their extensional structures.

(d) Removal of Mantle (mechanism: Fig. 3.iv; global occurrence: Fig. 1)
England and Houseman (1989) numerically modelled collision-induced overthickened lithosphere where the hot asthenosphere replaces the colder and denser mantle lithosphere. This involves “convectional thinning” of the lithosphere that elevates the topography. A differential stress between the elevated topography and its low-lying surroundings may lead to extensions locally in a regionally compressive tectonic setting giving rise to orogen-parallel extension.

(e) Oblique convergence (mechanism: Fig. 3.v; global occurrence: Fig. 1)
When a plate approaches another plate obliquely, the line of action of stress normal to the plate boundary results in compression within the plate, whereas the orogen-parallel component results in strike-slip faults, extensional faults/shear zones within the fore-arc region (Avé Lallemant and Guth, 1990). Inter-plate earthquake data along the convergent margin can confirm this oblique convergence based on the angle between the shortening slip directions and the direction of convergence (McCaffrey, 1996). Oblique convergence is characterized by an orogen-parallel extension with meso-scale cylindrical isoclinal folds and stretching lineations sub-parallel to the orogenic trend (Ellis and Watkinson, 1987).

(f) Domal extrusion (mechanism: Fig. 3.vi; global occurrence: Fig. 1)
Convergence between two plates overthickens, heats up and weakens the continental crust (e.g., England and Richardson, 1977). Plate-scale deformation melts crust partially (e.g., Nelson et al., 1996). Further convergence develops extensional and strike-slip faults and exhumes high-grade rocks at the domal core (e.g., Lee et al., 2000; Lee et al., 2004; Dutta and Mukherjee, in press). These exhumed metamorphic domes weaken crust further and accommodates more intrusion at shallow crustal level during the ongoing collision leading to localized orogen-parallel extension (Aoya et al., 2005).

(g) Rigid plate indenters (mechanism: Fig. 3.vii; global occurrence: Fig. 1)
A rigid subducting basement, upon collision with another plate, results in initially gently dipping thrusts followed by orogen-parallel extension in the form of a “continental escape” in response to crustal thickening (Ratschbacher et al., 1989). The collisional features are governed by the geometry and the dip of the approaching rigid plate. Bonini et al. (1999) studied the progressively changing geometry of a rigid indenter after collision and coined the term “effective indenter”. In this model, the normal and the thrust faults predate the orogen-parallel strike slip faulting (Martinod et al., 2000).

(h) Basement Influence on cover (mechanism: Fig. 3.vii; global occurrence: Fig. 1)
Reactivation of pre-existing structures in the basement is common in collisional margins and plays a crucial role in orogenesis (Brown et al., 1999 and references therein). Lacombe and Bellahsen (2016) pointed out the requirement of thick-skinned tectonics to understand crustal deformation on a collisional boundary.
Basement highs in the present-day foreland regions placed at a high-angle to the collisional margin shortens the crust leading to orogen-parallel extensions on the cover rocks.

2.2 Orogen-parallel compression-The concept:
Orogen-parallel compression has been recorded only from a few orogens globally (Fig. 1). Schellart and Lister (2004) doubted compression parallel to the orogen based on the large-scale elastic behaviour of the lithosphere and the retaliate behaviour of the lithospheres surrounding the orogen. Orogen-parallel compression appears to be area-specific and can be due to rotation of the colliding plates, oblique convergence, and existence of barrier to prevent lateral mass-flow. Models for orogen-parallel compression are presented below.

(a) Oblique Convergence: (mechanism: Fig. 4.i; global occurrence: Fig. 1)
When a low-dipping subducting plate attains high-angle of obliquity at collisional margin in between two plates, friction between the colliding plates increases temporarily to effectively produce orogen-parallel compression (Boutelier and Oncken, 2010). Plates may curve as a response to stress, and this plays a vital role to develop such compression (Boutelier and Oncken, 2010).

(b) Plate rotation (mechanism: Fig. 4.ii; global occurrence: Fig. 1)
If a microplate is involved in a collisional margin, rotation and reorientation of such plate accompanied by continuous collision produces orogen-parallel compression (Boccaletti and Sani, 1998; Viti et al., 2004). A small plate rotating under compression must have high mechanical strength and remain in no contact with surrounding plates (Viti et al., 2004) (Fig. 3iii). Wide-scale bend, strike-slip fault and small-scale lateral escape of materials are characteristics of orogen-parallel compression (Mantovani et al., 1997a, 2002; Gelabert et al., 2002; Mantovani, 2004).

(c) Abrupt termination of lateral mass flow (mechanism: Fig. 4.iii; global occurrence: Fig. 1)
Collisional boundaries are characterized by overthickening followed by lateral escape of such mass to dissipate the accumulated energy. If these laterally escaping mass encounters a sudden dead end/stress buttress ([regional structural boundary, e.g., Nanga Parbat syntaxis in Himalayan orogen, Seeber and Pêcher, 1998]), the mass tends to accumulate against such termini. If the termini are perpendicular to orogen, orogen-parallel compression will be the outcome (Seeber and Pêcher, 1998).

3. Orogen-parallel shear in Himalaya:
Orogen-parallel shear from the arcuate Himalayan chain have been recorded by several workers from Tethyan-, Higher-, Lesser- and the Siwalik Himalaya (Fig. 2).

3.1 Orogen-parallel extension in the Himalaya-Tibet system
(a) Gravitational collapse (Fig. 3.i for mechanism; Himalayan occurrence: Fig. 2)
Higher elevations are prone to gravitational collapse, and as compression continues material escapes laterally in form of east–west extension in Himalaya-Tibet region (e.g., Molnar and Tapponier, 1975, 1978; Tapponnier and Molnar, 1976; Tapponnier et al., 1982; Valli et al., 2007, 2008).

(b) Radial thrusting and expansion (Fig. 3.ii for mechanism; Himalayan occurrence: Fig. 2)
The radial expansion theory is a step further from the radial thrusting (Section 2.1.b) in case of the Himalaya, where the sequential development of the thrust faults viz., the Main Central Thrust (MCT, ~ 25 – 0.7 Ma; Godin et al., 2006, Larson et al., 2015 review in Martin, 2017b), the Main Boundary Thrust (MBT, ~ 11 – 9 Ma; review in Godin et al., 2018) and the Main Frontal Thrust (MFT, < 2.5 Ma; review in Mukherjee, 2015), is accounted for. Due to its arcuate geometry, arc-parallel stretching in the Himalaya increases towards the foreland. However, given that the MCT is the oldest amongst the three major discontinuities, Murphy et al. (2009) identified that the Tethyan Himalaya and the Trans-Himalaya are the areas of maximum orogen-parallel stretching followed by Higher Himalayan
Crystallines (HHC), LHS and then the Siwalik Himalaya.

(c) Oroclinal bending (Fig. 3.iii for mechanism; Himalayan occurrence: Fig. 2)
The Karakorum Fault and Yadong-Gulu rift cover a large part of Tibetan N-S rift system (e.g., Klooijwijk et al., 1985; Ratschbacher et al., 1994) The central part of the Himalaya and southern Tibet are the most affected regions due to the arcuate shape of the Himalayan arc. Opposite sense of shear (Dutta and Mukherjee, 2019) at the syntaxes indicates rotation of crustal blocks due to the orogenic bending.

(d) Removal of Mantle (Fig. 3.iv for mechanism; Himalayan occurrence: Fig. 2)
With the ongoing collision between the Indian and the Eurasian plate, an instability within mantle convection led to up-arching of the crustal surface (England and Houseman, 1989). Stress difference between the elevated surface and the surroundings produced orogen-parallel extension in the Himalaya.

(e) Oblique convergence (Fig. 3.v for mechanism; Himalayan occurrence: Fig. 2)
No extensional structures developed in the central part of the Himalaya as the orientation of the interface between the Indian plate and Eurasian plate is ~ orthogonal to the direction of convergence. As per this model, Western Himalaya experiences westward material flow and the eastern Himalaya towards east due to the regional resultant stress orientation (Styron et al., 2011).

(f) Dome extrusion (Fig. 3.vi for mechanism; Himalayan occurrence: Fig. 2)
Weakened collisional crust with continuous convergence exhumes the metamorphic core complexes within the Tethys Himalaya [e.g., Malasashan Dome, Kangmar Domes etc. (Aoya, 2005)] on the surface, inducing lateral extension leading to orogen-parallel extension.

(g) Rigid plate indenters (Fig. 3.vii for mechanism; Himalayan occurrence: Fig. 2)
The Indian plate as the rigid indenter collided with Eurasian plate and as a result orogen-parallel extensional rift system developed. As per this theory, extension was restricted in the Central Himalaya (Kapp and Guynn, 2004).

(h) Basement Influence on cover (Fig. 3.viii for mechanism; occurrence in the Himalaya: Fig. 2)
 Reactivation of pre-existing basement fault system within the Indian continent created lateral deformation zones within the Himalayan cover by affecting the geometry of the Main Himalayan Thrust (Godin et al., 2018). Bouger gravity anomaly data reveals linear structural features along the Himalayan Mountain range are deeply connected with the underplated basement faults (Godin et al., 2014).

(i) Mass accumulation and stored energy dissipation (Fig. 3.ix for mechanism; occurrence in the Himalaya: Fig. 2)
After Indo-Eurasian plate collision, the Himalaya and the Tibetan plateau continuously stored mass and therefore gravitational potential energy. A full grown orogen starts squandering the energy. At this time, domination in between accumulated energy and dissipated energy takes place. The stored energy is dissipated by the Himalayan front by re-organizing the structural and the erosion patterns. Such reorganization can be accompanied by seismicity. The reorganization can produce orogen-parallel extension. In a full grown orogen squandering of energy dominates as the accumulation of energy fades out (Hodges et al., 2001).

3.2. Orogen-parallel compression in Himalaya
(Fig. 4 for mechanism; Himalayan occurrence: Fig. 2):
Orogen-parallel compression in the Himalaya is deciphered from the syntaxial boundaries. In east, the Namcha Barwa syntaxis (Wadia, 1931) and in the west the Nanga Parbat syntaxis (Madin et al., 1989) in form of antiforms (Seeber and Pêcher, 1998) and are coeval with the Himalayan regional compression (Burg et al., 1998).

In the NW Himalaya, orogen-parallel compression has been well documented within the LHS (e.g., in form of fold, doubly plunging folds, faults, klippes, cross-cut relations;
Agarwal and Kumar, 1973; Misra and Bhattacharya, 1973; Jain 1987; Chakraborty and Mavaliya, 1995; Dubey and Jayangondaperumal, 2005) and within the Siwalik range in the Dehradun-Roorkee transect in terms of brittle deformed clasts (Dutta et al., 2019). To the authors' knowledge, orogen-parallel compressional structures are absent in the Central and in the Eastern Himalaya (Fig. 2).

Major deformation within Himalaya pre-dating the Tertiary collision is not universally accepted. In the context of thick-skinned tectonics, pre-Himalayan deformation and its possible influence on Himalayan orogeny are to be considered profoundly. See Repository 1 for detail pre-Himalayan deformation (and metamorphism).

4. Timings of orogen-parallel shear
After the Indian plate collided with the Eurasian plate, massive crustal thickening perpendicular to the orogen triggered lateral movement of mass manifested as orogen-parallel extension between 15-5 Ma. For example, Nagy et al. (2015) reported extension ~15-13 Ma from the upper Karnali valley (Nepal) based on $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar dating of white micas from the HHC. Zircon fission track dating reveals 12-11 Ma activation of orogen-parallel extension from the HHC in the Sutlej valley, NW Indian Himalaya (Vannay et al., 2004). Inception of orogen-parallel rock movement is presumed to be ~ 10 Ma within the HHC (cross-cut relation between the 12.5 Ma old Khula Kangri Granite and the South Tibetan Detachment: Edward and Harrison, 1997). Repository 2 presents orogen-parallel shear from the Tibetan region.

5. Geology of the study area
The LHS is bounded by two active thrusts, the MBT to the south, and the MCT to the north. The MCT and the MBT separate the LHS from the HHC and the Siwalik Himalaya, respectively. The LHS is sub-divided by various major and minor longitudinal thrust sheets (Fig. 2, Table 2). Lack of ubiquitous fossil evidence, low-grade metamorphism (Miller et al., 2000) and brittle to brittle-ductile deformation render it difficult to compile a detailed and exhaustive stratigraphy. Nevertheless, previous workers (Valdiya, 1980; Srivastava and Mitra, 1994; Ahmad et al., 2000; DeCelles et al., 2001; Célérier et al., 2009; Richards 2005) broadly divided the LHS into: (i) Outer Lesser Himalayan Sequence (OLHS), and (ii) Inner Lesser Himalayan Sequence (ILHS), based on the degree of deformation, age difference, strain rate and temperature. The north-dipping Tons Thrust (Célérier et al., 2009, Ahmad et al., 2000, Richards et al., 2005), separates the OLHS at south from the ILHS at north.

5.1 Lithologic & structural information
The entire LHS was deposited under a marine condition along with the Tethyan Himalayan Sequence (THS) within two separate basins (reviews in Thakur, 1992; Srivastava and Mitra, 1994). Fore-structures are foreland verging and dip towards the hinterland. Back-structures, on the other hand, dip and verge towards the foreland and hinterland, respectively. Fore and back structures are well documented and reported from the study area (Banerjee et al., 2019; Bose and Mukherjee, 2019a). Table 2 compiles the succession.

U-Pb isotopic signatures of detrital zircon suggest deformed LHS sediments’ depositional ages, Paleoproterozoic to Neoproterozoic, are comparable with undeformed Vindhyan sediments within the Indian craton (McKenzie et al., 2011). The OLHS (succession in Table 2), underwent fault-propagation folding to create the Mussoorie Syncline and Garhwal Syncline (fig. 1 in Kumar and Dhaundiyal, 1980) with the Tal Formation at the core (Shanker and Ganesan, 1973; Valdiya, 1978). Parallel to the synclinal axis is the northerly dipping Kathu-ki-Chail Thrust, which displaced the Tal Formation rocks locally creating klippes of the Precambrian Ramgarh Group and the Almora Group Crystallines (Fig. 5; Dubey and Jayangondaperumal, 2005). The Nagthat and the Chandpur Formations are separated by a north-dipping Aglar Thrust to the south, and a south-dipping Basul Thrust to the north (Fig. 5, Table 2; Jain, 1971). The Tons Thrust marks the contact between the OLHS and the ILHS (Célérier et al., 2009). It is a prominent back-thrust (Bose and Mukherjee, 2019a), which is also named as the Srinagar Thrust (Thakur and
Kumar, 1994; Valdiya 2010) and the North Almora Thrust (Agarwal and Kumar, 1973; Kayal et al., 2002), Dharkot Thrust, and the Chail 3 Thrust (Bhatt, 1996).

The ILHS is intensely folded and faulted (Valdiya, 1995). The Berinag Thrust (/Dharasu Thrust / Singauni Thrust / Dunda Thrust/ Uttarkashi Thrust, Jain, 1971; Agarwal and Kumar, 1973) is the most prominent discontinuity within the ILHS and is a folded thrust belt, separates the Rautgara-, Mandhani- and Deoban Formations with the Berinag Formation (Fig. 2, Table 2). The north dipping Munsiari Thrust (Lower strand of the MCT: MCTL) marks the upper limit of the LHS (Mukherjee, 2013) located near the Sainj village (also see Catlos et al., 2020; Montemagni et al. 2020). See Repository 3 for local structural detail.

Unlike the much well-studied orogen-perpendicular shear in ductile and brittle regimes (e.g., Mukhopadhyay and Mishra, 2005; Célérier et al., 2009; Agarwal et al., 2016; Bose and Mukherjee, 2019a,b), orogen-parallel shear received much less attention from the LHS. In and around our study area, no absolute timing/constraint on orogen-parallel compression and extension has been available.

5.2 Deformation timing
The S/SW-verging Main Boundary Thrust (MBT) marking the southernmost boundary of Lesser Himalaya Sequence was activated around 9-11 Ma (apatite fission track dating: Meigs et al., 1995; Thakur et al., 2014, 40Ar/39Ar dating of white micas: DeCelles et al., 2001; Robinson et al., 2006). The northern (and upper) and the southern (and the lower) strands of the Main Central Thrust, MCTU and MCTL, activated ~ 8-9 and ~ 5-4 Ma, respectively (Montemagni et al. 2020). Himalayan compression reactivated the Kathu-ki-Chail Thrust (Dubey, 2014), however, the exact timing remains unknown.

The once north-dipping Tons Thrust transported the autochthonous OLHS ~ 50-100 km south and rested it against the base of the ILHS (Célérier et al., 2009). Tons Thrust reactivated at ~ 14 Ma as a back-thrust (Patel et al., 2015; Agarwal et al., 2016).

The Berinag Thrust-fold belt responsible for klippes within ILHS sheared S/SW up (Bose and Mukherjee, 2019a). Mandal et al. (2016) predicted Berinag Thrust to be genetically equivalent to the Munsiari Thrust (Ramgarh-Munsiari Thrust). However, Singh et al. (2012) suggested a younger age (apatite fission track date of 0.3 ± 0.1 to 0.9 ± 0.2 Ma) for the Berinag Thrust than that of the Munsiari Thrust (1.7 ± 0.4 Ma, 1.8 ± 0.2 Ma and 2.3 ± 0.3 Ma; apatite fission track) and correlated with reactivation of duplex within the ILHS.

40Ar/39Ar dating and zircon fission track dating by Vannay et al. (2004) suggests Munsiari Thrust developed during 4-7 Ma from the Sutlej valley, Himachal Pradesh, India. Catlos et al. (2002) interpreted that the Munsiari Thrust emplaced ~ 5.9 ± 0.2 Ma based on Th-Pb monazite dating (also see ~ 5-4 Ma age recently deduced by Montemagni et al. 2020). However, an older age of ~ 19.8 ± 2.6 Ma for the Munsiari Thrust was also noted by Metcalfe (1993; Ar/Ar dating on hornblende).

Brittle deformation study reveals that the NE-SW compression (correlated with D1 by Hintersberger et al., 2011) predates the NW-SE orogen-parallel compression. Ductile to brittle deformation transition within the Himalaya as well as the NE-SW compression happened possibly around 15-17.5 Ma (Hintersberger et al. 2011). Hintersberger et al. (2011) reported NE-SW extension (D1) within LHS ~ 4-7 Ma based on 40Ar/39Ar dating of white mica from the LHS (Sutlej valley). This can be correlated with the NW-SE compression.

5.3. Seismicity & subsurface information
The LHS is one of the most seismically active regions in the mountain belt (e.g., Molnar et al., 1973, review in Rajendran et al., 2017; Fig. 5 for compiled plots in the study area). The International Seismological Center (ISC) data reveals 44 moderates to large earthquake events (5 ≤ Ml ≤ 6.3) in last 60 years (in between 1958-2017) in the Kumaun-Garhwal Himalaya (Pasari and Arora, 2017). Between April 2005 to June 2008, Kanna and Gupta (2020) identified 27 earthquakes of Ml ≤ 3.5 in
the Garhwal Himalaya. Within the ILHS, most of the seismic activities are recorded in between the Berinag Thrust and the Munsari Thrust (Kanaujia et al., 2016). Besides, scattered seismicity was also observed in between the Berinag Thrust and the Tons Thrust, however, mostly restricted in the vicinity of the latter. Most of the earthquake focal points are 30-50 km deep below the LHS (Thakur, 1992). The 20-Oct-1991 6.8 Mw earthquake in the Uttarkashi area and the 28-March-1999 6.5 Mw earthquake at the Chamoli area are the two major seismic events in the Garhwal Lesser Himalaya (Khattri et al., 1994; Kayal et al., 2002). Kumar and Mahajan (1994) reported maximum damage in between Bhatwari and Gangori area due to Uttarkashi Earthquake. Low value of fractal dimension of earthquakes in the Garhwal Himalaya indicates that the main faults (MFT, MBT, MCT) are primarily responsible for seismicity (Teotia et al., 1999).

The present-day GPS-derived stress direction broadly trends SSW/SW direction. However, a subordinate/ anomalous SSE trend has also been reported (Gautam et al., 2017 and references therein). The later is worth mentioning in the context of orogen-parallel rock movement (Fig. 5).

The ~ NE-SW trending Delhi-Haridwar Ridge (DHR; Arora et al., 2012, also referred as Delhi-Haridwar-Harsil ridge in Bagri, 2006a,b; yellow dash line in Fig. 5), a northward protrusion of the Aravalli range, lies underneath the LHS from 28° N–30° N to 76°–79° E (Verma et al., 1995). Small-scale transverse structures within Lesser Himalaya having similarities with Aravalli trend gives the first indication of extension of Aravalli range underneath the Himalaya (Valdiya, 1976 and references therein). The northernmost end of the ridge has remained indeterminate. Godin and Harris (2014) suggest that the ridge continues up to the Karakorum Fault. As per one view, DHR’s northern extension is up to Uttarkashi (Bagri, 2006a). However, Kanujia et al. (2016) proposed that the DHR does not extend beyond the MCT, with an offset at the Tons Thrust. This subsurface ridge has possibly affected the stress field, seismicity, and the exhumation rate in its vicinity, and played a major role in deforming the LHS (e.g., Khattri, 1992; Raval, 1995; Bollinger et al., 2004; Gahalaut and Kundu, 2012; Ravi Kumar et al., 2013, Srivastava and Cobbold, 2014; Godin and Harris, 2014).

5.4. Landslides
Areas with high slope, area affected by seismic events, unconsolidated soil, barren land, high relative relief (150-200 m), joint sets, slopes facing south, near road, near drainage, near reservoir and areas near geological structures/weak planes are susceptible for landslides in the study area (compiled in Fig. 5; e.g., Saha et al., 2002; Bagri 2006b; Pareek et al., 2013; Kumar and Anabalagan, 2016). The detail is presented in Repository 4.

6. Present work
6.1 Field observations
Structural fieldwork was carried out from ~10 km NE of Uttarkashi up to Mussoorie along the National Highway-34, and State Highway-30 for a total of ~152 km in the LHS. Exposures of schists, phyllites, slates, quartzites, dolomites, conglomerates and limestones occur, covering a part of the MCT schist zone, and all of the ILHS and OLHS.

Y-plane/boundary faults and P-planes/Riedel shears, sigmoid rock bulges and vein geometries are utilized from the sub-vertical road sections to decipher the shear senses. Shear movements perpendicular those given by the fore and back structures are documented in this study to understand the deformation produced.

We encountered two ubiquitous cases of 3D-sigmoidal bulge of sheared rock mass (Figs. 6,7). In both the cases, the sigmoid geometry is preserved only on the NW-SE sections (Figs. 6b,d; 7a,d) and not on the NE-SW sections. In the later rock section, the sigmoids manifest merely as parallel straight lines (Figs. 6c; 7b,e) and thus are unsuitable for shear sense determination. Although the field transect trends ~ NE-SW, local turns along the road sections allow us to study NW-SE sections selectively. To pick up orogen-parallel deformation we documented four categories of
brittle shear senses on the NW-SE sections: (a) top-to-NW up (Fig. 8), (b) top-to-NW down (Fig. 9), (c) top-to-SE up (Fig. 10), and (d) top-to-SE down (Fig. 11). However, lack of cross-cut relations amongst these shear renders their relative temporal relations indeterminate.

6.2 Microstructural study
Three oriented rock samples – two biotite schists (samples S1 and S2) and a single quartz-mica phyllite (sample S3) – are collected from the ILHS and the OLHS, respectively. The purpose is to examine and confirm the presence of orogen-parallel shear at micro-scale, which otherwise looks ubiquitous repeatedly in the meso-scale. In all other field locations we confirmed the arc-parallel shear based on the well-known shear sense indicators, therefore, we did not go for thin-section studies from rocks from those locations. Samples S-1 and S-2 (Fig. 5) come from the MCT-Zone i.e., the Berinag Formation and are collected from the footwall of the Munsiari Thrust. The outcrops for S-1 and S-2 exhibit top-to-NW up (Fig. 12a), and top-to-SE down (Fig. 12b) shear senses, respectively. The sample S-3 (N30°32.841’; E 78°19.437’, Fig. 5) comes from the Chandpur Formation, and shows a top-to-NW up shear at meso-scale (Figs. 12c,d).

Thin-sections parallel to the dip directions (section trends for S-1 (110°-290°), S-2 (165°-345°), S-3 (101°-281°) and perpendicular to the primary shear planes are prepared. In absence of clear-cut lineations in the field (e.g., Piazolo and Passchier 2002, this is the most reasonable way of choosing orientation of thin-sections. Attitude of the main foliations (primary shear planes) are plotted in Fig. 5. The purpose is to confirm the shear sense where we had doubt in shear sense observed in field. In the subsequent paleostress analyses, we incorporated field data, and no inputs were taken from these thin-sections. Significant grain size reduction, alterations of existing minerals, mainly feldspar, recrystallization, shear fabrics etc. indicate that the rocks are significantly deformed in ductile and brittle regimes. S-1 and S-2 show ductile features viz., as S-C fabrics (Fig.13c), quartz ribbons (Fig. 14d) and partial recrystallization of feldspar grains (Fig.13d). S-3 consists of typical brittle deformation features e.g., extensional joints (Figs. 13a, 14b) and curved fracture planes and grain-size reduction (Figs. 13a, 14b). Curved extensional brittle plane developed within quartz-sericite mylonite (Fig. 13a), and partly altered sigmoid feldspar porphyroclast within biotite-rich matrix (Fig. 13b), biotite fish within mylonitized matrix (Fig. 14c), and asymmetric ribbon of quartz within biotite matrix (Fig. 14d) show a top-to-SE shear. Top-to-NW shear is recorded as curved quartz vein within the quartz-sericite mylonitic matrix (Fig. 14b), and partly recrystallized sigma-shaped feldspar within the mylonitic matrix (Fig. 13d) near the MCT. Sigma-shaped aggregates of fragmented feldspar porphyroclasts bound by steeply (Fig. 13c) and gently (Fig. 15b) dipping C-planes near the MCT zone record a top-to-NW down shear. Top-to-SE up shear is represented by sigmoid biotite fish (Fig. 14a) from the mylonitic schist of the Berinag Formtions. Extensional shear (top-to-SE down) (Fig. 15a) is also observed from the quartz-feldspar-biotite mylonite.

6.3 Paleostress Analysis
Repository 5 presents the principals involved in this study.

6.3.1 Steps
84 fault kinematic data viz. brittle Y-, P-planes/riedel shears; S-, C-planes; sigmoids; vein geometries (assumed to represent the outcomes of the youngest deformation in this region) have been documented from more than 98 locations in the field (locations in Fig. 5). Plane Y- and plane C- attitudes are separately processed using three paleostress analysis software: (i) SG2PS (V.2), (ii) T-TECTO (Studio X5), and (iii) Win-TENSOR (S.8.8; detailed in Repository 5). Paleostress analysis has been recommended to be run by different means in order to confirm the results (Simón, 2019). Field data exhibits an abundance of gently to moderately dipping fault/shear planes and few steeply dipping ones. Due to lack of striation data, movement along the fault/shear plane was assumed to be dip-slip in nature. This is a standard assumption adopted by field geologists. Y-Plane/ C-plane are considered to
be the fault planes, along with the geographic direction of movement i.e. NW or SE. The analyses are carried out to identify primarily the paleostress principal axes orientations.

The data to be input by the user for each of the software are presented in the Appendix-I.

Slip senses collected from the field are classified into four categories. (i) Top-to-NW up, (ii) top-to-SE up, (iii) top-to-NW down, and (iv) top-to-SE down. A two-step process is followed. In the first run (R-1), paleostress solution is derived for each individual set of slip-sense. Subsequently, the entire dataset is used as input and the software clubbed them into two groups based on their two sets of slip-senses supposedly developed under the same stress regime (R-2). We finally compare the results deduced from R-1 and R-2.

6.3.2 Results (Table 3, Fig. 16)
Different software results are tabulated for R-1 as well as R-2. Comparison on the aforementioned three paleostress software reveals a close match in between WinTensor and SG2PS results, however, T-Tecto displays not a very significant deviation (~5-11°) in the orientation of principal stress axes with others. This happens because the software has different statistical ways of analyzing the data.

Principal stress axes in SG2PS are oriented like an Andersonian stress regime, i.e., orthogonal to each other with two stress axes lying horizontal. Whereas, for T-Tecto and WinTensor, the principal axes orientations remain ~ orthogonal and at a very low angle to the horizontal. With all the 84 data input, SG2PS identifies two deformation events, marked by two different orientations of principal stress axes (Table. 3), however, represents the result in a single stereo-plot superposing the results one on the other. This often forces the user to identify, separate and define the data group beforehand. From Win-Tensor, additionally, stress ratio (R = \sigma_2-\sigma_3/ \sigma_1-\sigma_3: Delvaux and Sperner, 2003) can be derived and then the stress index (R') can be obtained. For example, for extensional stress tensor R' = R, strike-slip stress tensor R' = (2-R) and under compressional stress tensor type R' = (2+R). Based on the stress index, stress regimes can be of various types. For example, for top-to-NW up and top-to-SE up shears in our study area, R' = 2.73 indicates pure compressive regime. For top-to-NW down and top-to-SE down shears, R' = 0.21 denotes radial extensive stress regime. However, Win-Tensor software has a limited control over dataset and often seen to be using a selected amount of data thus neglecting the remaining data, which may have an influence in the paleostress results. For example, the software considered only 72 fault data as good data amongst 84. By analyzing the paleostress data using different methods, we checked the the authenticity of the results.

Rarely, T-Tecto neglects any data from dataset. It segregates individual deformation phases and generates two different plots smoothly.

7. Discussions
The new data set consists of attitudes of meter to cm-scale shear planes (foliation plane in case of ductile shear and fault plane/ Y-plane for brittle shear) documented along the Bhagarathi section from ~10 km NE of Uttarkashi up to Mussoorie.

Arc-parallel extension and compression are observed throughout the area sporadically. Few areas are affected with denser data under the influence of major regional structures. Such locations are the hangingwall and on the footwall blocks of the Tons Thrust, Berinag Thrust and around the Mussoorie synclinal axis. Based on field observations from HHC, orogen-parallel extension within NW Himalaya was earlier predicted to be extended in lower elevation such as the LHS (Hintersberger et al., 2011). The prediction is re-iterated by the field evidence cited in the present study.

Pre-Himalayan extensional and compressional events, though reported from various places from the orogen, are scarce (Repository 1). NE-plunging isoclinal folds have been reported from the Kumaon Himalaya (Patel et al., 2011) parallels the orogenic trend. Abundant and prominent orogen-parallel deformation features within the Garhwal LHS indicate the
recorded deformations are much recent events. Overall acceptance of pre-Tertiary deformations within Himalaya is still unpopular and in various cases recorded pre-Himalayan deformations were later linked with Himalayan deformation as the understanding of the orogeny upgraded (Bhargava et al., 2011). However, reactivation of pre-existing weak planes belonging to Indian plate can produce deformation within the cover rock (Godin et al., 2018).

The Dehradun lineament (Fig. 2) underlying the study area trends NW-SE can be linked with orogen-parallel extensional shear fabrics. From Fig. 2, a rough correlation can be drawn in which extension around Leo-Pargil dome, Zada basin, NW Tethyan and Higher Himalayan extension are correlated with the Dehradun lineament. The Gurla-Mandhata-Humla fault system can be linked with lineament situated in between the Dehradun and the Lucknow lineament. Extension in the Marsyandi valley and in the Malahshan Dome can be associated with the Pokhara lineament. Occurrence of the Kangmar Dome can be tied with the 88°E lineament. The Kolkata lineament possibly has a connection with the NE Higher and the LHS extension.

Paleostress analyses using three software in this work identified that the orogen-parallel compressional shear direction is ~ 43.5°NE and that for the orogen-parallel extension is ~ 41°NE.

7.1 Possible mechanisms for orogen-parallel deformation (extension and compression) in NW Indian LHS

The arc-parallel extension in the NW Indian LHS could be explained by: (i) “radial thrusting and expansion model” combining arc-geometry and major thrust fronts (e.g. Seeber and Armbuster, 1984; Murphy et al., 2009), (ii) “removal of mantle” (e.g. England and Houseman 1989), (iii) accumulation of mass and energy under collision and dissipation of the stored energy (Hodges et al., 2001), or (iv) basement influence on cover (e.g. Godin et al., 2018; Lacombe and Bellahsen, 2016). The “oblique convergence model” (McCaffrey and Nablek, 1998; McCaffrey, 1996) can explain both arc-parallel compression and extension provided the local stress magnitude exceeds the regional one (Boutelier and Oncken, 2010). This local stress can either create extension or compression parallel to the arc. Presence of both the arc-perpendicular (NE-SW) and arc-parallel (NW-SE) brittle/ductile shear fabrics – documented in this study from the NW Indian LHS makes the model consistent with either arc-parallel compression or else arc-parallel extension. Consequently, alternate mechanisms could be required to address the coexistence. “Gravitational collapse”, which discusses escape tectonics can explain arc-parallel shear, however, those brittle structures are more likely to trend E-W and are unlike observations of this work (NW-SE shear) from the field and also under an optical microscope.

Although models such as “orogen bending” and “rigid plate indentor” justify the occurrences of arc-parallel extensional structures within the LHS (and the HHC), they are incompatible to develop orogen-parallel shears at the eastern and the western portions of the Himalaya. The NW Indian Lesser Himalaya Sequence is devoid of extruded domes, thus we can dismiss “dome extrusion”-induced extension in the region.

Table 4 presents the remainder models and their chances of occurring orogen-parallel extension.

7.2 Most suitable model(s) for arc-parallel extension in NW Indian LHS

The model “radial thrusting and extension” incorporate the southward migration of the thrust fronts along with their arcuate geometries. It addresses the development of NE-SW striking extensional features in the NW Indian LHS due to arc-parallel stretching, to preserve the arcuate geometry of the orogen. Within NW Higher Himalayan Crystalline both ductile and brittle shear fabrics are correlated with the model (Hintersberger et al., 2011).

Lithosphere underneath the collisional margin experiences “convective thinning” and thus develops rifts. Through such rifts are found in Tibet, these are rare within the Himalaya.
Several authors (e.g., England and Houseman, 1989) attempted to correlate Himalayan orogen-parallel extension with thick-skinned tectonics. Validity of such a model would require a deeper insight and thus remains as a possibility to explain arc-parallel shear within NW Indian Himalaya.

Taloor et al. (2020) identified five levels of river terraces and based on geomorphic studies from the Garhwal LHS. Pandey et al. (2005) recorded five stages of uplift. These point out that the “mass accumulation and stored energy dissipation” could have happened in the LHS. Dharasu and Varunavat (Fig. 5 for location; e.g., Sarkar et al., 2011, Joshi et al., 2003) are localities around which severe landslides have been reported. Besides, frequent landslides along the existing river pathways in the LHS have been common (locations in Fig. 5). Theoretically, landslides are in direct link with geological structures, earthquake epicenters, high relative relief, joint sets, slopes facing towards the regional rock movement (S direction), apart from human activities such as road and reservoir construction. Squandering of accumulated energy in form of landslides, erosional activities within Garhwal Himalaya under tectonic influence is well evident. Orogen-parallel extension towards NW-SE trend is thus can be correlated with such process.

The fourth and the final possibility (“basement influence on cover”) for the orogen-parallel extension involves thick-skinned tectonics, in which the subsurface pre-existing surface plays a crucial role in deforming the surface structure. The Delhi-Haridwar ridge (Godin and Harris, 2014) situated below the NW LHS plausibly influenced the surface structure with its additional elevation from the surroundings (Fig. 17a). The DHR has been stated to be the cause of mass movement in Varunavat and a reason or the Uttarkashi earthquake (Bagri 2006a). Over-riding mass experiences an additional stretching (NE-SW trending) and can develop NW-SE extensional structures/shear fabrics (Fig. 17b).

Several ridge and lineaments (Fig. 2) oriented NE-SW within Indian plate can generate seismic events, modifying river drainage pattern and the Himalayan surface morphology (Godin et al., 2018). As per Godin et al. (2018), based on analogue centrifuge models, the lineaments/basement faults of the Indian plate are capable of producing orogen-parallel extension at surface level. Our field-evidence, NE-SW trending extensional shear fabrics above the DHR, justifies this model. It is further premised by us that such kind of shear fabrics exist in the Himalayan rocks overlying these sub-surface lineaments/basement faults (Fig. 2; e.g., Simla, Dehradun, Lucknow, Pokhara, Kathmandu, 88°E, Kolkata linemants; Delhi-Haridwar ridge, Faizabad ridge and Munger-Saharsa ridge). This observation provokes a new opening for future Himalayan research.

The first three hypotheses are regionally significant, especially at higher elevations of the Himalaya-Tibet region. On the other hand, the fourth hypothesis i.e., presence of the DHR would locally influence the Siwalik and the LHS only. The four possibilities are not mutually exclusive and might act in different degrees to produce the arc-parallel extension. Sub-surface presence of the DHR and its direct correlation to the arc-parallel extension is debated, however, we suspect that the high elevation did influence the surface structures in the region.

7.3 Best model for arc-parallel compression in the NW Indian Lesser Himalaya Sequence
As per Section 5.2, the “oblique convergence” model – although a popular one – can either justify arc-parallel extension or compression, but not both. This is a major limitation to the applicability of this model in our study area where both the regional (NE-SW deformation: fore-structures) and the local stress-induced structures occur. Besides, their explanation is conditional i.e., the local stress component should dominate over the regional one.

We eliminated the “plate rotation” theory since neither the Indian nor the Eurasian plates are micro-plates. This left us with the only model proposed by Seeber and Pêcher (1998): “abrupt
termination of the lateral mass-flow". Arc-parallel compression requires an abrupt boundary to prevent the lateral mass-flow. South to our study area in sub-surface the elevated DHR can cause an indirect effect to terminate the flowing mass and allow them to pile up, thereby generating arc-parallel compression (Fig. 17c).

Previous workers (Agarwal and Kumar, 1973; Misra and Bhattacharya 1973; Jain, 1987; Dubey and Jayangondaperumal, 2005) also reported orogen-parallel compression from the Garhwal LHS as byproducts of some other studies. Although earlier studies expressed the existence of orogen-parallel compressive stress-field, for the first time the present work attempts to genetically correlate orogen-parallel compression with basement high (Fig. 17c). It is further predicted such kind of deformation can be observed above the other Himalayan sub-surface ridges.

7.4 Relation between arc-parallel shear with landslides, seismicity and regional faults in NW LHS

In this section, brittle and ductile deformation ranging from μm to m-scale and its possible relation and effect are viewed in larger scale taking in consideration of geodynamic framework of the LHS.

Natural landslides in the study area are concentrated along the river section where undercutting of stream plays the most important role. South/ south-east/ south-west facing planes are more susceptible to landslides upon receiving of heavy rainfall and the direction of rock-movement is overall southward in the Himalaya. SE-dipping planes being a part of orogen-parallel deformation thus further provides additional weak zones from which the landslides can initiate, given proper geological and geomorphic settings.

The study area consists of several earthquake epicentres (Fig. 5). GPS derived stress pattern in NW Lesser Himalaya reveals present day SSe-trending stress axis, thus orogen-parallel deformations could contribute in generating earthquakes in the LHS. This may further nucleate landslides as observed along the Alakananda valley in the Garhwal Himalaya. After the 28-March-1999 Chamoli earthquake, 56 seismicity-related active landslides occurred in the Garhwal Himalaya (Barnard et al., 2001).

The Bhagirathi River course within the study area is guided by several zone of weaknesses (e.g., strike-slip faults, thrusts, antiformal and synformal hinges). The observed orogen-parallel shear although appears ubiquitously within ILHS and OLHS, however, density of their occurrence can roughly be correlated with the regional structures (e.g., Tons Thrust, see Fig. 5).

At present the study lacks direct link between orogen-parallel shears and landslides, earthquake epicenters and regional faults. Nevertheless their close spatial occurrences (Fig. 5) will require more investigations along with GPR studies.

The Ganga basin south to the Himalaya is typically characterized by two depressions (Sarda deep and Gandhak deep) situated in between three established ridges (Delhi-Haridwar ridge, Faizabad ridge and Munger Saharsa ridge; e.g., Sinha et al., 2005; Negi and Thakur, 1989). Based on geomorphic studies, Goswami (2012) observed a direct link amongst sedimentary thickness, basement highs and reactivated basement faults. Basement structures are capable of bending cover rocks and can bend an orogen itself (Goswami, 2012). Such a structure controlling orogen-parallel shear could be possible.

8. Conclusions

A renewed interest in LHS for hydrocarbon exploration led us to study its paleostress pattern based on hetherto much less described arc-parallel shear from this terrain. The NW LHS in Uttarakhand (India) experienced two distinct phases of Himalayan arc-parallel deformations: (i) orogen-parallel extension \( D_{ext} \), and (ii) orogen-parallel compression \( D_{compr} \). Paleostress analysis reveals \( D_{ext} \) generates an expansion along ~ 131°-311° and \( D_{compr} \) a shortening along ~ the same direction (133.5°-313.5°). We could not derive temporal relation
between these two phases due to the absence of cross-cut evidence in the field, under an optical microscope and inconclusive geochronologic information available in the literature.

Based on previous studies and this work, we understand that the arc-parallel shear continues from the Indus-Tsangpo Suture Zone (ITSZ) up to the Siwalik range. Thus, arc-parallel deformation is much more ubiquitous in the Himalayan belt than previously discussed. We speculate that the Delhi-Haridwar Ridge (DHR) and the Dehradun lineament played a significant role in modifying the strain, seismicity and deformation pattern within the NW Indian LHS at the upper crustal level.

The study proposes collision-induced crustal overthickening escapes rock masses laterally resulting in arc-parallel extension. The DHR plausibly indirectly acted as a barrier to the lateral flow, may cause the material to pile up against its wall thereby producing arc-parallel compression. Onset of orogen-parallel extension ranged from ~15-5 Ma (Stockli et al., 2002; Nagy et al., 2015). NW LHS experienced orogen-parallel compression that presumably initiated ~4-7 Ma during the NE-SW extension (D$_0$ of Hintersberger et al., 2011). This can mean that the arc-parallel compression is syn to post-kinematic to the arc-parallel extension. The new structural geological input obtained from this study will be helpful in tectonic modeling of the terrain, and therefore in petroleum geoscience of this terrain.
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**Appendix-I:**

SG2PS: (i) data ID; (ii) group code – ‘A’ to ‘I’ is accepted for different set of data from the same location (our data are assigned to the same group); (iii) colour code – ‘0’ to ‘9’ can be assigned to plot the groups in different colours; (iv) location details – a minimum of one is required; (v) LOC_X and LOC_Y – coordinates of the locations may or may not be provided; (vi) formation name – not mandatory; (vii) data type – ‘strike’ for slickenside dataset; (viii) DIP_DIR – dip direction of the Y-plane/ C-plane; (ix) DIP – dip amount of the Y-plane/ C-plane; (xi) L_DIP_DIR – rake of the slickenline on the fault/shear plane; (x) L_DIP – geographic direction from which rake is calculated; (xii) dip sense – ‘+ve’ (reverse slip), ‘down’ (normal slip); (xiii) PALONORTH – represents previously known north direction (ranging 0°-360°), is left blank; (xii) comments – comments on the data type. We chose Angelier’s (1990) inversion technique from the list as the method is able to determine a misfit vector and can correct in between the measured and the calculated shear vector (detail in Sasvári and Baharev, 2014).

T-Tecto: (i) reliability of fault plane data – * (unknown), P (probable), C (certain); (ii) type of fault – N (normal), I (reverse), D (dextral), S (sinistral), ? (unknown); (iii) dip direction of the fault plane; (iv) dip amount of the fault plane; (v) lineation – rake of the slickenline on the fault plane; (vi) direction – geographic direction from which lineation calculated.

Win-Tensor: (i) strike of the fault plane/Y-plane; (ii) dip direction of the fault plane/Y-plane; (iii) rake of slip – ‘+ve’ (reverse slip) and ‘-ve’ for normal slip; (iv) level of certainty – C (certainty), P (probable), S (supposed), X (unknown).
Appendix II:
Abbreviations

GPR: Ground Penetrating Radar
USGS: United States Geological Survey
DHR: Delhi Haridwar Ridge
HHC: Higher Himalayan Crystalline
ILHS: Inner Lesser Himalayan Sequence
ISC: International Seismological Center
LHS: Lesser Himalayan Sequence
MBT: Main Boundary Thrust
MCT: Main Central Thrust
MCT\(_2\): Lower strand of the Main Central Thrust
MFT: Main Frontal Thrust
OLHS: Outer Lesser Himalayan Sequence
THS: Tethyan Himalayan Sequence
MHT: Main Himalayan Thrust

Repository 1:
Pre-Himalayan deformation (and metamorphism):
From NW Tethyan Himalayan Muth Formation, microstructural analysis of deformation bands reveals rare evidence of Pre-Himalayan orignation. The band orientation indicates N-S stretching and E-W contraction (Dragantis et al., 2005). Isoclinal folds in gneiss-migmatite formation are demarcated as Pre-Himalayan orogen product (Jain et al., 2002 and references therein). In Nepal, rare pre-India-Euresian collisional deformation signatures were found in form of Cambrian-Ordovician granite, which cuts through regional folds and foliation, remain younger than garnet-grade regional metamorphism (Bhargava et al., 2011 and references therein). From the Kumaon HHC Himalaya, Patel et al. (2011) identified pre-Himalayan deformation (D\(_1\)) along with three Himalayan deformations (D\(_2\), D\(_3\) and D\(_4\)). Coaxial reorientation of isoclinal folds (D\(_1\)) into NE-plunging folds (D\(_2\)) are rare in nature. However, Moharana et al. (2013) suggested the isoclinal folds are product of progressive Himalayan collisional deformation based on the observations within MCT zone (Madlakia-Munsriari Dhapa section, NE Kumaun). During Eocene Eohimalayan phase NW-SE trending isoclinal folds (D\(_1\)) presumably resulted due to reactivation of earlier existing structures in THS of western Himalaya (NW India and N Nepal; Aikman et al., 2008). Godin et al. (2018) identified several lineaments trending NW-SE based on Bouguer gravity data belonging to Indian plate extending up to Tibet covered by Himalayan rocks. Analogue centripuge model predicted the reactivation of such lineaments can produce orogen-parallel extension structures on the cover rock.

N-S oriented folds with granite emplacement along axial planar cleavage dated ~1850 Ma (\(^{40}\)Ar/\(^{39}\)Ar dating of muscovite) in LHS from the Darjeeling-Sikkim Indian Himalaya denotes pre-collisional deformation within the Himalayan orogen (Acharya et al., 2017). Microstructural study of garnet porphyroblast indicates Paleoproterozoic deformation within LHS (Sikkim Himalaya, Saham 2013). Tripathi et al. (2011) hypothesized a Palaeozoic extensional event (U-Pb geochronology on zircon crystal) based on the magnetic fabrics within Kinnaur Kalish Granite (age: 477.6 ± 3.4 and 472 ± 4 Ma) and associated granites as Pre-Himalayan deformation in South Tibetan Detachment Zone, (Himachal Himalaya, India).

Within the Central Lesser Himalaya, undeformed foliation plane orientation, sedimentary structure and parallel basin sequence indicates the rocks did not underwent any serious Pre-Himalayan deformations (Robinson and McQuarrie, 2012). U-Pb dating of zircon postulates Bhatwari Gneiss (Garhwal Lesser Himalaya, India) was involved in arc-magmatism in the process of forming Columbia Supercontinent (Sen et al., 2018).

Based on muscovite \(^{40}\)Ar/\(^{39}\)Ar and K-Ar whole rock cooling age, Oliver et al. (1995) implied pre-Himalayan metamorphism reached up to biotite-grade in the Garhwal Himalaya, whereas garnet Sm-Nd dating data from Zanskar Himalaya suggests Pre-Himalayan metamorphism rose to garnet grade (Prince et al., 1998).

Repository 2:
Orogen-parallel shear from the Tibet (Fig. 2): The Thakkhola graben in south Tibet commenced at 14 Ma (\(^{40}\)Ar/\(^{39}\)Ar dating on mica: Coleman and Hodges, 1995). Around 12-13 Ma
is marked as beginning of Kung Co rift extension using the (U-Th)/He thermochronology on zircon and apatite (Lee et al., 2011). From the Gurla Mandhata detachment system in SW Tibet, \(^{40}\)Ar/\(^{39}\)Ar data from muscovite and biotite suggest 11 Ma as the initiation of orogen-parallel extension (Murphy et al., 2002; Murphy et al., 2009). \(^{40}\)Ar/\(^{39}\)Ar thermochronology in the Nyaiingentanghla shear zone from southern Tibet reveals orogen-parallel extension age to be ~ 8 Ma (Harrison et al., 1995). Stockli et al. (2002) suggested the onset of extension within the Gulu rift (NE part) in Tibet is ~ 7-5 Ma [apatite (U-Th/He) thermochronology].

**Repository 3:**

Local structural detail:

Based on field observations and cross-cut relations between the structural features, an orthogonal switch in the stress fields trending NE-SW and NW-SE was recorded by the previous authors (e.g., Agarwal and Kumar, 1973; Misra and Bhattacharya, 1973; Jain, 1987; Dubey and Jayangondaperumal, 2005). Agarwal and Kumar, (1973) and Misra and Bhattacharya (1973) recorded first phase of deformation resulting NW-SE trending fold later refolded into NE-SW trending doubly plunged folding (second deformation phase). Saklani (1979) and Shekhar et al, (2006) considered folds developed under NW-SE compression are of third deformation phase. Fourth generation of folding trends E-W in Garhwal Lesser Himalaya (Shekhar et al., 2006).


Bagri (2006a) mapped metabasic rocks layer running south of the MCT. No sharp contact between the LHS and the HHC is found near Sainj since the MCT, is covered by landslide materials, which connotes the active nature of the MCT, (Bagri 2006a). Two sets of joints are found from the Uttarkashi area, with one striking ~40°NE and the other 130°-140°SE (Bagri, 2006b).

Three deformation phases have been worked out in field from Purola near Utttrakashi of unknown timing. These are D1: S1 foliation development; D2: S2 foliation development and flexure slip folding; D3: refolding of veins and chevron folding (Pachauri 2005). Bhatt and Saklani (1990) showed in micro-scale that the quartz grains in the Pratapnagar thrust sheet (=Berinag/Dunda thrust), the Pratapnagar quartzite (=Berinag quartzite) are stretched along ~ NE-SW and underwent progressive plane and constrictional strain. Bhatt and Saklani (1994) further show that rocks on the Pratapnagar thrust were dominantly simple sheared and bear lineations plunging 10-25°, whereas those away from the thrust were pure sheared. The Pratapnagar Thrust shows both brittle and ductile shear evidence (Bhatt and Saklani, 1994). Dunda Thrust and Singauni Thrust show opposite sense of shears (Bhatt 1996). From Garhwal Himalaya structures locally show significant variations. For example, the thrust sheets have been affected by four phases of deformation. These are D1: coaxial F1 and F2 folding, F3 folding during D2 shear, and D3 and D4 produced F4 folding and transverse faults, respectively (Bhatt 1996). Saklani (1979) reported NW and SE dip direction of schistosity of the Mukhem Schist.

Pandey et al. (2005) identified ~ NE-SW trending 1096 lineaments within the Tehri-Uttarkashi District in the LHS. Landsat images reveal several major lineaments within the Garhwal Lesser Himalayan, the Nagaon Lineament that trends 130°– 310° parallels the Tons Thrust for 110 km, the 170°– 350° trending Tehri Lineament, which continues for ~ 180 km from the Indo-Gangetic plane up to the MCT and across both the ILHS and OLHS (Bharktya and Gupta, 1982). Geomorphic studies by Pandey et al. (2005) reveal five phases of uplift in the Tehri-Uttarkashi region.
However, timings of these uplift have stayed unconstrained. From Tehri District Mangain et al. (2012) reported N-S trending anticline. In Tehri dam foundation, the main foliation dips ~ S. Here several shear planes were traced that are either longitudinal or diagonal to the foliation plane (Nawani and Sanwal 1996). Several younger steep faults cut across older thrusts e.g., the Ramgarh Thrust cuts the MCT. Such younger thrusts are the out-of-sequence thrusts (review in Mukherjee, 2015).

Around 6 mm y⁻¹ arc normal extension from Tethyan NW Himalaya was estimated by Jade et al. (2014) and correlated with the E-W Tibetan extension.

**Repository 4:** Landslides:
Bernard et al. (2001) predicted ~ 66% of the landslides within the Garhwal Himalaya are initiated due to increase in human interference (e.g. road construction). Heavy construction works involving landslides lead earthquakes in the past (e.g., Kashmir earthquake, 2005; Owen et al., 2008). Kumar and Anbalagan (2016) pointed out reservoir water fluctuation area to be one of the major factors for the increased frequency of landslide around Tehri reservoir. Bagri (2006b) suggested, movement of Delhi-Haridwar Ridge in response with Indian plate movement triggers the rock mass slumping. The 23-Sept-2003 Varunavat landslide, one of the significant landslides within Garhwal Himalaya, was due to heavy rainfall (Sarkar et al., 2011).

Type of rocks and geologically structure contributes 72% of the landslides occurring within Garhwal Himalaya (Naithani 2007). Greywackes, siltstone, phyllites, limestones and slates are more prone to landslides within Garhwal Himalaya (Pareek et al., 2013). Rautgara Formations are more prone to landslides (Nair and Singh, 2020), whereas Kumar and Anabalagan (2016) pointed out that the Blaini and the Rautgara formation are stable in terms of landslide susceptibility, whereas the Chandpur Formation is highly vulnerable. Mithal (1988) considered that the joint planes and foliation planes with less vegetation made Krol limestone and Nagthat quartzite more landslide prone, compared to rocks of higher metamorphic grade or massive in nature (massive Krol limestone). Saha et al. (2005) suggested bivariate statistical method to be useful in marking regional scale landslide zone, dealing with landslide prone area along structural weak zones, dividing smaller areas from very low to very high susceptibility zones. Repositories 6 and 7 present landslides documented in this study.

**Repository 5:** Fundamentals and principles involved in the paleostress studies:
Paleostress analysis was introduced in 1970s to decode past stress regimes based on brittle plane data (e.g., Carey and Brunier, 1974). The stress inversion method relies on a few basic assumptions (reviewed in Vanik et al., 2018; Shaikh et al., 2020): (i) stress field under which brittle deformation took place should be homogeneous; (ii) all slip data (attitudes of fault planes and that of the slip lineations) are the product of a single deformation, therefore to run paleostress analysis, manual segregation of heterogenous data set into homogenous subset provides a better control (Kounov, 2011); (iii) deformation does not involve block rotation; (iv) slips along separate fault planes are mutually independent; (v) displacement is small compared to the size of the fault; and (vi) resolved maximum shear stress direction parallels the direction of slip.

The software Structural Geology to Postscript (SG2PS) version 2 (Sasvári and Baharev, 2014) compiles seven paleostress inversion algorithms contributed by various authors into a single software. The software offers a range of different paleostress methods e.g., Turner (1953), Spang (1972), Michael (1984), Angelier (1990), Fry (1999), Shan et al. (2004), and Mostafa (2005). Field dataset can be run using any of these methods – the choice lies with the user. Apart from determining the orientation of principal stress axes, the software also runs Bingham statistics, which calculates the arithmetic average of a processed dataset to produce directional distribution of fractures by
generating a density ellipsoid in which the principal stress axes directions are modified as maximum, intermediate, and minimum density directions. The distribution geometry is denoted by the axes length of the density ellipsoid.

The **T-TECTO version X5** is a paleostress software (Žalohar and Vrabec, 2007) that applies the Gauss numerical method to perform the inversion operation. Apart from the Right Dihedra Method (RDM), it also provides Visualization of the Gauss Function Method (VGF Method: Žalohar and Vrabec, 2007). This yields a statistical averaged solution for the orientation of principle stress axes and stress ratio (a ratio between normal stress and shear stress on the slip-plane). The VGF method can be utilized for different type of fault planes (Vanik et al. 2018), and additionally all types of fracture planes without visible slip (Dutta et al. 2019).

The **Win-Tensor version 5.8.8** paleostress analysis software (Delvaux and Sperner, 2003) utilizes Angelier’s paleostress reconstruction method (Angelier, 1989, 1991, 1994) to calculate the optimum reduced stress axes. The software provides an improved version of graphical Right Dihedral Method (RDM). Here the direction of resolved stress axes for each fault plane are averaged out to derive the orientation of three principal stress axes and relative magnitude of principal stress axes (default value of σ₁ =100, σ₃ =0; σ₁ ≤ σ₂ ≤ σ₃). Slip sense along the fault has to be provided by the user as an input. Therefore, correctly deciphering the same in the field is crucial. The improved RDM version provides a stress ratio \( R= (σ₂ - σ₃)/(σ₁ – σ₃) \) and recognizes the stress regime as well. A counting deviation is an additional function by which misfit data gets eliminated from a heavily populated dataset.

**References**


exhumation related to compressional crustal folding. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences 16(2), 239-252.


Hintersberger, E., Thiede, R. C., Strecke, M. R. 2011. The role of extension during brittle deformation within the NW Indian Himalaya. Tectonics 30(3).


Manuscript accepted for publication in Marine and Petroleum Geology


Kumar, G, & Dhaundiyal, J. N. (1980). On the stratigraphic position of the Tal formation,

Kumar, M. R., Mishra, D. C., Singh, B. 2013. Lithosphere, crust and basement ridges across Ganga and Indus basins and seismicity along the Himalayan front, India and Western Fold Belt, Pakistan. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences 75, 126-140.


Miller, C., Klötzli, U., Frank, W., Thöni, M., Grasemann, B. 2000. Proterozoic crustal evolution in the NW Himalaya (India) as recorded by circa 1.80 Ga mafic and 1.84 Ga granitic magmatism. Precambrian Research 103(3-4), 191-206.


Pasari, S., & Arora, S. Earthquake probabilities in the Kumaun-Garhwal Himalaya from stochastic modeling of moderate-to-large seismic events. International Journal of Applied and Natural Sciences 6, 31-44.


Ratschbacher, L., Frisch, W., Liu, G., Chen, C. 1994. Distributed deformation in southern and western Tibet during and after the India-Asia


and implications for oroclinal bending. Tectonophysics, 664, 182-190.


Takeshita, T., & Yagi, K. 2004. Flow patterns during exhumation of the Sambagawa


Wesnousky, S. G., Kumar, S., Mohindra, R., & Thakur, V. C. (1999). Uplift and convergence along the Himalayan Frontal Thrust of India. Tectonics, 18(6), 967-976.


Figures and captions

Fig. 1. Global distribution of orogen-parallel deformation reported in the literature, compiled in this study. See Table 1 for related information. Map is constructed using Google My Maps, accessed on 12-Oct-2020). Numbers: existing models enlisted in the legend at top right corner. 1a: Yilgarn Craton, Australia- Davis and Maidens (2003); 1b: Samnagawa metamorphic Complex, Japan- Takeshita and Yagi (2004); 1c: Alpine orogen- Jolivet et al. (1999); 2a: Himalayan orogen- Treloar and Coward (1991); 2b: Gilbraltar Arc in Alboran Sea- Platt and Vissers (1989), Martinez and Azañón(1997) and references therein; 3a: Central Anatolian Plateau- Özsayin and Dirik (2011); 3b: New England orogen- Shaanan et al. (2015); 3c: NW and Central Iberia- José et al. (2014); 3d: Median Tectonic Zone of New Zealand- Bradshaw et al. (1995); 3c: South Carpathians- Schmid et al. (1998); 4a: Trans-Hudson orogen- Ansdell et al. (1995); 4b: Western Anatolia- Dilek and Altunkaynak (2007); 4c: Southern Tibet- Hoke et al. (2000); 4d: Southern Tibet- Miller et al. (1999); 4e: Zargos mountains- Moutherau et al. (2012); 4f: Delamerian- Ross fold belt in Australia- Turner et al. (1996); 5a: South-Central Canadian Cordillera- Ellis and Watkinson (1987); 5b: Western Alps- Ellis and Watkinson (1987); 5c: Variscides of France- Ellis and Watkinson (1987); 5d: Himalayan orogeny- Ellis and Watkinson (1987); 5e: NE Venezuela- Avé Lallemant and Guth (1990); 6a: Eastern Alps- Ratschbacher et al. (1989); 6b: Eastern Alps- Frisch and Kuhlemann (2000); 6c: Betics in southeast Spain-Martinez et al. (2004); 6d: NW Iberia in Spain- Viruete (1999); 6e: The Menderes massif in southwest Turkey- Hetzel et al. (1995); 6f: Variscan French Massif- Faure (1995); 7a: Alps- Ratschbacher et al. (1989); 7b: Alps- Bonini et al. (1999); 7c: Alps- Robl and Stüwe (2005); 7d: Aegean arc- Martinod et al. (2000); 7e: Himalayan orogen- Kapp and Guyn (2004); 8a: Southern Urals- Brown et al. (1999); 8b: Himalaya- Godin et al. (2018); 8c: Zagros Mountain- Lacombe and Bellahsen (2016); 8d: NW Taiwan- Lacombe and Bellahsen (2016); 8e: N Apennines- Lacombe and Bellahsen (2016); 9a: Himalayan orogen- Hodges et al. (2001); 10a: Central America- McCaffey (1996); 10b:
Northern Chile Forearc/Andes- Allemendinger et al. (2005); **10c**: Central Andes- Boutelier and Oncken (2010); **11a**: Northern Apennines- Carosi et al. (2004) and references therein; **11b**: Northern Apennines- Viti et al. (2004); **12a**: Nanga Parbat, Himalaya- Seeber and Pêcher (1998); **12b**: Namcha Barwa syntaxis, Himalaya- Seeber and Pêcher (1998).
Fig. 2. Geologic map of the Himalaya (reproduced and modified from Zhang et al., 2015; Godin et al., 2018). Arc-parallel deformation recorded within the Himalayan belt by various authors is compiled on it in this work. Blue circle: orogen parallel extension; Yellow circle: orogen-parallel compression. Major tectonic discontinuities: ITS: Indus Tsangpo Suture; STD: South Tibetan Detachment; MCT: Main Central Thrust; MBT: Main Boundary Thrust; MFT: Main Frontal Thrust. Light brown shaded region represents basement highs belonging to Indian plate. Thick grey lines: basement faults/ lineaments belonging to the Indian plate based on Bouguer gravity data (Godin et al., 2018). Red lines: active fault planes belonging to India and Himalaya-Tibet system. Light blue: depression. Locations are represented by black circle and mountain peaks are by black triangles.
Fig. 3. Schematic existing orogen-parallel extension models throughout the globe. Not to scale. Blue arrow: extension, Red arrow: compression, green arrow: strike-slip. (i) Gravitational collapse model; (ii) radial thrusting and extension model; (iii) orocline bending model; (iv) removal of mantle model; (v) oblique convergence model; (vi) dome extrusion model; (vii) rigid plate indentor model; (viii) basement influence on cover model; (ix) mass accumulation and stored energy dissipation model.
Fig. 4. Schematic diagrams of existing orogen-parallel compression models throughout the globe. Not to scale. Red arrow represents compression, green arrow represents strike-slip component. (i) oblique convergence model; (ii) abrupt termination of lateral mass-flow model; (iii) plate-rotation model.
Fig. 5. Field locations plotted on a geological map of the Garhwal Lesser Himalaya Sequence. Taken from the compilation made by Bose and Mukherjee (2019a). Stress orientation.
Fig. 6. (a) Schematic diagram: 3D sigmoid inside a solid box. Onto the two vertical planes ABCD and EFGH appearance of the sigmoid are presented in (b) and (c), respectively. (d) Natural example of a 3D sigmoid showing top-to-SW (up) sense of shear from the Rautgara Quartzites, as observed in the field. Height of the person: ~172 cm. (e) Micro-scale 3D sigmoid of quartz fish (after fig. 5.35 in Passchier and Trouw, 2005). Fig. 6d. snapped from near-vertical road-sections from the study area.
Fig. 7. 3D sigmoid illustrating brittle shear sense (a) Partly broken sigmoid (orange dashed lines) from the Berinag Quartzites, exhibits top-to-SE (up) shear in sectional view where a single Y-plane (dip/dip direction: 36°/340°, marked by green full arrow), is fairly developed. (b) P-planes (dip/dip direction: 76°/280°, marked by blue arrow) are partially preserved. (c) Schematic diagrams of the sections ABCDE and BCGF as in (a) and (b), respectively. The P-planes appear as parallel planes in the section BCGF, similar to Fig. 6c. (d) Top-to-SW (up) sense of shear (sigmoid marked by orange dashed line) in the RautgaraFormation (Green arrow: Y-plane, dip/dip direction: 45°/53°; blue arrow: P-plane, dip/dip direction: 81°/40°). (e) Shear sense absent along 135°-315° section for the same sigmoid (marked by orange dashed lines). (k, l) Schematic diagrams explaining the absence of shear fabric, when viewed in different sections i.e., ACDF vs. HIKL. Fig. 7a,b,d,e are snapped from near-vertical road-sections.
Fig. 8. Field evidences of top-to-NW/WNW(up) shear documented along the Dehradun-Uttarkashi transect in the NW Lesser Himalaya Sequence. (a) Sigmoid P-planes (dip/dip direction: 60°/150°) bounded by Y-planes (dip/dip direction: 17°/150°) within Rautgara Slates. (b) Quartz fish in MCT Zone Schist showing ductile shear (C-plane orientation: dip/dip direction: 45°/130°). (c) Brittle shear zone within the Berinag Quartzite with well-developed Y- (dip/dip direction: 45°/130°) and P-planes (dip/dip direction: 70°/130°). (d) Curved P-planes within the Nagthat Quartzite. Y-planes (dip/dip direction: 6°/135°) are sharp. P-plane- dip/dip direction: 44°/154°. Insets displays the respective stereoplots and sketches. All the images are snapped from near-vertical road-sections.
Fig. 9. Field evidences of top-to-NW down shear documented along the Dehradun-Uttarkashi transect in the NW Lesser Himalaya Sequence. (a) Plastically deformed sigmoid quartz-mica-rich layer. The biotite-rich layers (cleavage domains) deformed in a brittle manner (see inset), MCT Zone Schist. Y-plane orientation: dip/dip direction: 40°/300°; P-plane orientation: dip/dip direction: 35°/310°. (b) Brittle sub-horizontal shear zone with distinct Y- and P-planes in the Nagthat Quartzite. Y-plane: dip/dip direction: 5°/224°; P-plane: dip/dip direction: 45°/335°. (c) Sigmoid P-planes (dip/dip direction: 27°/162°) bound by well-developed Y-planes (dip/dip direction: 37°/285°) within the Berinag Quartzite. (d) Y-planes are near parallel to the bedding within the Berinag Quartzite, and the P-planes are curved (Y plane orientation: dip/dip direction: 50°/290°; P-plane orientation: dip/dip direction: 25°/79°). Insets display the respective stereoplots and sketches. All the images are snapped from near-vertical road-sections.
Fig. 10. Field photographs of top-to-SE (up) shear documented along the Dehradun-Uttarkashi transect in the NW LHS. (a) Quartz-mica rich layer (microlithons) ductile shear in cm-scale (C plane orientation: dip/dip direction: 10°/345°) which was later underwent brittle deformation-devoid of any shear sense, MCT zone schist. (b) 2 sets of brittle shears developed (Y plane orientation: dip/dip direction: 19°/321°; P plane orientation: dip/dip direction: 53°/323°) within the Rautgara Quartzite revealing top-to-SE (up) shear sense. A fracture developed near parallel to the Y-plane (mark by orange color: F). (c) Brittle shear zone within the Nagthat Quartzite. Y-planes (dip/dip direction: 13°/346°) bound the poorly developed P-planes. (d) Brittle top-to-SE (up) shear exhibited within the Berinag Quartzite. Y-planes (Y plane orientation: dip/dip direction: 37°/338°; P plane orientation: dip/dip direction: 60°/336°) are sub-horizontal, developed at 29° angle with the bedding and P-planes are gently curved. S. Mukherjee as marker (height, elbow to head ~ 80cm). Insets display the respective stereoplots and sketches. All the images are snapped from vertical road-sections.
Fig. 11. Field evidences of top-to-SE down shear documented along the Dehradun-Uttarkashi transect in the NW Lesser Himalaya Sequence. (a) Curved Y-planes (dip /dip direction: 17°/150°) bound the P-planes (dip /dip direction: 25°/285°) in the Rautgara Slates. (b) Brittle shear zone within the Rautgara Quartzite consisting sharp Y-planes (dip /dip direction: 36°/134°) and fairly developed P-plane (dip /dip direction: 30°/300°), different set of Y-planes (markedby blue and orange lines, respectively) are non-parallel. (c) Sigmoid P-planes (dip/dip direction: 8°/50°) bounded by poorly developed Y- shear planes (dip /dip direction: 16°/135°) within the Berinag Quartzite. (d) Curved Y- (dip/dip direction: 7°/104°) and P-planes (dip/dip direction: 24°/264°) within the Nagthat Quartzite. Well defined Y-planes parallel the bedding plane. Steeply dipping fractures are locally sub-parallel to P-plane. Insets display the respective stereoplots and sketches. All the images are snapped from near-vertical road-sections.
Fig. 12. Structures along the Mussoorie-Uttarkashi transact in the NW Lesser Himalaya Sequence. Sample locations plotted in Fig. 2. (a) Sample S-1. Sigmoid feldspar porphyroclast [top-to NW (up) slip] within the Berinag Formation. The length of the pen visible as marker is ~ 5 cm. Attitude of C-plane: 45°/130° (dip/dip direction; measured outside photograph). (b) Sample S-2. Distinct Y- and P- brittle planes show top-to-SE down slip within the Berinag Formation. S. Mukherjee as scale (waist to head height ~ 80 cm). Attitude of the C- and S-planes: 20°/155° (yellow arrow) and 52°/170° (red arrow), respectively. (c) Sample S-3. Brittle shear zone in the Chandpur Formation with Y- and P-planes showing top-to-NW (up) slip. S. Mukherjee as scale (height ~ 174 cm). (d) Zoomed portion of (c) with sigmoid P-planes (68°/138°; red arrow) bound by distinct Y-planes (30°/105°; yellow arrow) within the Chandpur Formation.
Fig. 13. Photomicrographs exhibiting brittle and ductile shear. (a) Mylonitization in the quartzite, feldspar grains transforming to sericites, and significant grain-size reduction. The extensional quartz vein cross-cuts the original rock and indicates top-to-SE shear (under plane-polar, Chandpur Phyllite, hangingwall of the Tons Thrust). Sample belongs from OLHS. (b) Sigmoid, partly altered feldspar porphyroclast within a biotite-rich matrix. Top-to-SE slip (cross-polarized light. Biotite-schist of the Berinag Formation, footwall of Munsiari Thrust/MCT_L, NW Inner Lesser Himalaya Sequence). (c) Fractured feldspar porphyroclast with fragmented tails partially bound by plastically deformed, elongated biotites defining locally the foliation plane. Top-to-NW down slip (cross-polarized light, Berinag Formation, Inner Lesser Himalaya Sequence, footwall of Munsiari Thrust/MCT_L). (d) Sigmoid feldspar porphyroclast with poorly developed C-plane wrapped by elongate biotite grains within mylonitic matrix. Top-to-NW ~ horizontal slip (cross-polarized light, Berinag Formation, Inner LHS, footwall of the Munsiari Thrust/MCT_L).
Fig. 14(a) Mylonitized schist with the biotite fish showing top-to-SE (up) shear. Grain boundary migration along the cleavage planes also documented (Cross-polarized light, Berinag Formation, Inner LHS, footwall of the Munsiari Thrust/MCT₁). (b) Crushed randomly oriented quartz and muscovite grains. Top-to-SE shear deduced from sigmoid-extensional fracture, similar to tensional gash (plane polarized light, Chandpur phyllite, hangingwall of the Tons Thrust. Sample belongs from Outer LHS. (c) Top-to-SE sheared biotite fish. Severely mylonitized quartz grains and altered feldspar porphyroclast lie below and above the C-plane, respectively (cross-polarized light, Berinag Formation, Inner Lesser Himalaya Sequence, footwall of the Munsiari Thrust/MCT₁). (d) Fractured and fragmented sigmoidal imbricated ribbon quartz grains indicate a top-to-SE down slip in pelitic schist. The foliation warp around the quartz aggregate (plane polarized light, Berinag Formation, Inner Lesser Himalaya Sequence, footwall of the Munsiari Thrust/MCT₁).
Fig. 15(a) Feldspar porphyroclasts show a top-to-SE down slip. The plastically deformed biotite grains show elongated behaviour and define the poorly developed foliation plane (plane-polarized light, Berinag Formation, Inner LHS, footwall of the Munsiari Thrust/MCT₁). (b) Grain boundary bulging (green arrow) in quartz. Top-to-NW (right) shear within altered K-feldspar grains (bottom right) bounded by plastically deformed biotite grains. Cross-polarized light, Berinag Formation, Inner LHS, Footwall of the Munsiari Thrust/MCT₁).
Fig. 16. Paleostress analysis of the observed shear fabrics are enlisted using three different software. Initially, the data set was manually grouped based on four different observed shears from the study area [(a1, b1, c1): Top-to-NW down shear. (a2, b2, c2): Top-to-NW up shear. (a3, b3, c3): Top-to-SE down shear. (a4, b4, c4): Top-to-SE up shear.] Later all 84 data grouped together and the paleostress was analysed. In which, all the software was able to segregate the data in between two different deformation phases. **Phase 1:** (a5, b5, c5) Top-to-NW down and top-to-SE down shear (Extensional shear). **Phase-2:** (a6, b6, c6) Top-to-SE up and top-to-NW up shear (Compressional shear). Analysis of field data using column-1: SG2PS software version 2 (Angelier’s inversion technique), column-2: T-Tecto version X5, third column: WinTensor version 5.8.8. Orientation of the principal stress axes are mentioned at the bottom left corner for SG2PS, bottom right corner for T-Tecto and top left corner for Win-Tensor. n = no of data used to run the software. “Results” Section compares outcomes from these columns.
Fig. 17. Block diagrams of the proposed model for the NW LHS, not to scale. (a) Subduction of the Indian plate beneath the Eurasian plate. The Indian plate contains two notable ridges: the Delhi-Haridwar Ridge (DHR) and the Faizabad Ridge as surface highs (Godin and Harris, 2014). Red arrows: compression direction. (b) Post-collisional scenario. Southward propagating thrusts (e.g. MCT, MBT) developed. Elevated portion at the hinge of the DHR exerted arc-parallel, ~ NW-trending extension (blue arrows). (c) Present scenario. Lateral mass flow (blue arrows from 17b) terminated abruptly against the sub-surface elevated ridge, piled up and produces arc-parallel compression (red arrows). MHT: Main Himalayan Thrust.
### Tables & captions

#### Table 1. Globally reported orogen-parallel extension and compression, compiled in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl no.</th>
<th>Model name</th>
<th>Example</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Orogen-parallel extension</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Samnagawa metamorphic Complex(^b)</td>
<td>b. Takeshita and Yagi (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Alpine orogen(^c)</td>
<td>c. Jolivet et al. (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Radial Thrusting and Expansion</td>
<td>Gibraltar Arc in Alboran Sea(^a)</td>
<td>a. Platt and Vissers (1989); Martínez and Azañón., 1997 and references therein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Himalayan orogen(^b)</td>
<td>b. Treloar and Coward (1991); Murphy and Copeland (2005); Murphy et al.(2009); Hintersberger et al. (2010); Seeber and Armbuster (1984); Armijo et al.(1986)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Oroclinal Bending</td>
<td>Himalayanorogen(^a)</td>
<td>a. Ratschbacher et al. (1994); Kapp and Yin (2001); Robinson et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Central Anatolian Plateau(^b)</td>
<td>b. Özsayin and Dirik (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New England orogen(^c)</td>
<td>c. Shaanan et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NW and Central Iberia(^d)</td>
<td>d. José et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Median Tectonic Zone of New Zealand(^e)</td>
<td>e. Bradshaw et al. (1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>South Carpathians(^f)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Event Type</td>
<td>Locations</td>
<td>References</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|4. | Removal of Mantle              | Himalayan orogen<sup>a</sup> Trans-Hudson orogen<sup>b</sup> Western Anatolia<sup>c</sup> Southern Tibet<sup>d</sup> Zargosmountains<sup>e</sup> Delamerian-Ross fold belt in Australia<sup>f</sup> | a. England and Houseman (1989) 
   |   |                               |                                                                           | b. Ansdell et al. (1995) 
   |   |                               |                                                                           | c. Dilek and Altunkaynak (2007) 
   |   |                               |                                                                           | d. Hoke et al. (2000); Miller et al.(1999) 
   |   |                               |                                                                           | e. Mouthereauetal.(2012) 
   |   |                               |                                                                           | f. Turner et al.(1996) |
|5. | Oblique Convergence            | Himalayan orogen<sup>a</sup> South-Central CanandianCordillera<sup>b</sup>, Western Alps<sup>c</sup>, Variscides of France<sup>d</sup> NE Venezuela<sup>e</sup> | a. McCaffrey and Nabelek (1998); Styron et al. (2011) 
   |   |                               |                                                                           | a-d. Ellis and Watkinson (1987) 
   |   |                               |                                                                           | e. Avé Lallemant and Guth (1990) |
|6. | Dome Extrusion                 | Eastern Alps<sup>a</sup> Betics in southeast Spain<sup>b</sup> NW Iberia in Spain<sup>c</sup> The Menderes massif in southwest Turkey<sup>d</sup> Variscan French Massif<sup>e</sup> Himalayan orogen<sup>f</sup> | a. Ratschbacher et al. (1989); Frisch and Kuhlemann, (2000) 
   |   |                               |                                                                           | b. Martinez et al. (2004) 
   |   |                               |                                                                           | c. Viruete (1999) 
   |   |                               |                                                                           | d. Hetzel et al. (1995) 
   |   |                               |                                                                           | e. Faure (1995) 
   |   |                               |                                                                           | f. Thiede et al. (2006); Aoya et al. (2005) |
|7. | Rigid Plate indentor           | Alps mountain belt<sup>a</sup> Aegean arc<sup>b</sup> Himalaya orogen<sup>c</sup> | a. Ratschbacheretal.(1989); Bonini et al. (1999); Robl and Stüwe (2005), 
   |   |                               |                                                                           | b. Martinod et al. (2000) 
|8. | Basement influence on cover    | Southern Urals<sup>a</sup> Himalaya<sup>b</sup>                          | a. Brown et al. (1999) 
<p>|   |                               |                                                                           | b. Godin et al.(2018) |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mass accumulation and stored energy dissipation</th>
<th>Orogen parallel compression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 9 | Zagros Mountain<sup>c</sup>  
NW Taiwan<sup>c</sup>  
N Apennines<sup>c</sup> | Himalayan orogen<sup>a</sup>  
a. Hodges et al. (2001) |

**Orogen parallel compression**

| 10. | Oblique Convergence | Central America<sup>a</sup>  
Northern Chile  
Forearc/Andes<sup>b</sup>  
Central Andes<sup>c</sup> | a. McCaffey (1996)  
b. McCaffey (1996); Allemendinger et al. (2005)  
c. Boutelier and Oncken (2010) |
| 11. | Plate Rotation | Northern Apennines<sup>a</sup> | a. Carosi et al. (2004) and references therein; Viti et al. (2004) |
Table 2. Litho-tectonic succession of the LHS, compiled from Jain (1971); Jain et al. (1971); Agarwal and Kumar (1973); Jain and Varadaraj (1978); Kumar and Dhaundiyal (1979); Kumar and Dhaundiyal (1980); Azmi and Pancholi (1981); Sharma and Bhatt (1990); Thakur (1992); Bhatt (1996); Thakur and Kumar (1994); Kayal et al., (2002); Dubey and Jayangondaperumal (2005); Sekhar et al., (2006); Richards (2005); Célérier et al. (2009); Valdiya (2010); Colleps et al. (2019). Note: a. Azmi and Pancholi (1981), b. Kumar and Dhaundiyal (1979), c. Thakur (1992), d. 1220 Ma (Thakur, 1992), e. ~ 967 Ma (U/Pb dating of Galena mineralization; Thakur, 1992), f. Richards (2005), g. ≤ 1.8 Ga (Colleps et al., 2019), h. ≤ 950 Ma (Colleps et al., 2019), i. ≤ 850 Ma (Colleps et al., 2019), ≤ 770 Ma (Colleps et al., 2019), k. 635-541 Ma (Colleps et al., 2019). Green coloured formation are touched in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Division of Lesser Himalaya Sequence</th>
<th>Formation (Age)</th>
<th>Lithology/key features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>MAIN BOUNDARY THRUST</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OUTER LESSER HIMALAYA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tal Formation (Cambrian-Ordovician&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;/ Jurrassic to Cretaceous&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;/Lower Cambrian&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>Rich argillaceous matter of greenish-grey shale, black phosphorite-chert, bluish grey limestone altered with white purple quartzite deposited under shallow marine to inter tidal condition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krol Formation (Cambrian&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;/ Permian to Triassic&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;/Early Cambrian&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;/ Neoproterozoic&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>Blue and black colored limestones altered with yellow-white, grey and buff colored quartzite and purple green, greyish green, black carbonaceous phyllite.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blaini Formation (Permo-Carboniferous&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;/ Neoproterozoic&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>Greenish-grey boulder conglomerate layers with sedimentary and low grade metamorphic provenance, along with carbonaceous as well as green-purple shale, grey siltstone, grey and purple dolomite, grey limestone, grey quartzites with non-fossiliferous glacio-marine origin.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nagthat Formation (Neoproterozoic&lt;sup&gt;f,i&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>Sericite schistose quartzites, purple, white and green quartz arenite, altered with shale and phyllites deposited under shallow tidal-sandbar zone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BASUL THRUST AND AGLAR THRUST</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chadpur Formation/ Dharmandal Group/ Saryu</td>
<td>Greyish green phyllite intercalated with grey and very fine metasiltstone and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formation/ Dharasu Formation (Neoproterozoic)</td>
<td>buff, grey metagraywacke, pinkish brown sublitharenitic quartzite occurring in massive habit.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TONS THRUST (SRINAGAR, NORTH ALMORA, DHARKOT, CHAIL 3 THRUST)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rautgara Formation/ Kotga Banali Group/ Dunda Slate (Neo-Mesoproterozoic)</th>
<th>Muddy quartzite (subgreywacke to sublitharenite) altered with grey-greenish and purple color phyllite and green, purple, greyish black color slate. Ripple marks and mudcracks indicate delta deposit.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**INNER LESSER HIMALAYA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deoban Formation (Neoproterozoic)</th>
<th>Thick layers of stromatolite-cherty dolomites altered with quartzite and grey slates deposited under shallow marine condition.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mandhali Formation (Neoproterozoic)</th>
<th>Blue and black colored limestones altered with yellow-white, grey and buff colored quartzite and purple green, greyish green, black carbonaceous phyllite.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**BERINAG THRUST (UTTARKASHI, DUNDA, SINGUNI, DHARASU THRUST)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Berinag Formation/Gamri Quartzite Dichli dolomite/ Pratapnagar Group/ Garhwal Group (Mesoproterozoic)</th>
<th>Thick bedded of sugary sericitic quartzite, pinkish white to light green quartz arenite with little chlorite schist alteration. Oldest formation of LHS.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**MUNSIARI THRUST (MCT,)**

**MCT ZONE SCHISTS**
Table 3. Paleostress analyses results from different software. For SG2PS S1, S2 and S3 represent principal stress axes whereas for T-Tecto and WinTensor $\sigma_1$, $\sigma_2$ and $\sigma_3$ represents principal stress axes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sense of movement</th>
<th>Run</th>
<th>SG2PS (trend/plunge in degrees)</th>
<th>T-Tecto (trend/plunge in degrees)</th>
<th>WinTensor (trend/plunge in degrees)</th>
<th>Fig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S1</td>
<td>S2</td>
<td>S3</td>
<td>$\sigma_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top-to-NW down</td>
<td>R-1</td>
<td>230/90</td>
<td>41/00</td>
<td>131/00</td>
<td>16a_1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>315/0</td>
<td>45/00</td>
<td>215/90</td>
<td>16a_2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>183/90</td>
<td>45/00</td>
<td>315/00</td>
<td>16a_3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top-to-SE down</td>
<td></td>
<td>314/0</td>
<td>44/00</td>
<td>201/90</td>
<td>16a_4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R-2</td>
<td>203/90</td>
<td>43/00</td>
<td>313/00</td>
<td>16a_5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top-to-NW up</td>
<td></td>
<td>315/0</td>
<td>45/00</td>
<td>217/90</td>
<td>16a_6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top-to-NW down &amp;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>top-to-SE down</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Discussion and correlation of orogen-parallel deformation in NW LHS and existing models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Models</th>
<th>References</th>
<th>Salient point</th>
<th>Figure no.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Orogen-parallel extension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Gravitational Collapse</td>
<td>Davis and Maidens (2003); Takeshita and Yagi (2004); Jolivet et al. (1999); Dalmayrac and Molnar (1981); Molnar and Chen (1983); Royden and Burchfiel (1987); Molnar and Lyon-Caen (1989); Ratschbacher et al. (1994)</td>
<td>Orogen-parallel extensional structures are not expected to develop within NW Lesser Himalaya Sequence, however, development of structures trending east-west is likable.</td>
<td>2i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Radial Thrusting and Expansion</td>
<td>Platt and Vissers (1989); Martínez and Azañón (1997) and references therein; Treloar and Coward. (1991); Murphy and Copeland (2005); Murphy et al. (2009); Hintersberger et al. (2010); Seeber and Armbuster (1984); Armijo et al. (1986)</td>
<td>Orogen-parallel extensional structures are developed at lower frequency with respect to Higher Himalayan Crystalline</td>
<td>2ii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Orogen bending</td>
<td>Ratschbacher et al. (1994); Kapp and Yin (2001); Robinson et al. (2007); Özsayin and Dirik (2011); Shaanan et al. (2015); José et al. (2014); Bradshaw et al. (1995); Schmid et al. (1998)</td>
<td>Development of orogen-parallel extensional structure at NW Himalaya, will not be possible as Central Himalaya is the severely affected region</td>
<td>2iii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Removal of mantle</td>
<td>England and Houseman (1989); Ansdell et al. (1995); Dilek and Altunkaynak (2007); Hoke et al. (2000); Miller et al. (1999); Moutereau et al. (2012); Turner et al. (1996)</td>
<td>Development of orogen-parallel extensional structure is possible (?)</td>
<td>2iv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Oblique convergence (Type-I)</td>
<td>McCaffrey and Nabelek (1998); Styron et al. (2011); Ellis and Watkinson (1987);</td>
<td>Development of orogen-parallel extensional structure is possible, given</td>
<td>2v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avé Lallemant and Guth (1990)</td>
<td>the regional stress component &lt; local stress component</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6. Dome extrusion</strong></td>
<td>Ratschbacher et al. (1989); Frisch and Kuhlemann (2000); Martínez et al. (2004); Viruete (1999); Hetzel et al. (1995); Faure (1995); Thiede et al. (2006); Aoya et al. (2005)</td>
<td>Development of orogen-parallel extensional structure is not possible in NW Lesser Himalaya Sequence</td>
<td>2vi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>7. Rigid Central Himalaya</strong></td>
<td>Ratschbacher et al. (1989); Bonini et al. (1999); Robl and Stüwe (2005), Martinod et al. (2000)</td>
<td>Development of orogen-parallel extensional structure at NW Himalaya, will not be possible as Central Himalaya is the severely affected region and only the producer of orogen-parallel extensional structure</td>
<td>2vii</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8. Basement influence on cover</strong></td>
<td>Brown et al. (1999); Godin et al. (2018); Lacombe and Bellahsen (2016)</td>
<td>Development of orogen-parallel structure is possible under the influence of extension due to the additional elevation underneath, surface migration of pre-existing faults</td>
<td>2viii</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>9. Mass Accumulation and stored energy dissipation</strong></td>
<td>Hodges et al. (2001)</td>
<td>Orogen-parallel extensional structures are developed at lower frequency with respect to Higher Himalayan Crystalline</td>
<td>2ix</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Orogen-parallel compression</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>10. Oblique convergence (Type-II)</strong></td>
<td>McCaffey (1996); Allemendinger et al. (2005); Boutelier and Oncken (2010)</td>
<td>Development of orogen-parallel compressional structure is possible, given the regional stress component &lt; local stress component; in which case</td>
<td>3i</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>orogen-parallel extension is not possible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td><strong>Plate rotation</strong></td>
<td>Carosi et al. (2004) and references therein; Viti et al. (2004)</td>
<td>Orogen-parallel compressional structure is not possible as both Indian and Eurasian plate never underwent significant rotation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td><strong>Abrupt termination of lateral mass-flow</strong></td>
<td>Seeber and Pêcher (1998); Biswas et al. (this work)</td>
<td>Development of orogen-parallel compressional structure is most credible under the influence of subsurface high (DHR).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Repository figures and captions:

Repository Fig. 6: Landslides producing debris flow from the Garhwal Lesser Himalaya. Debris material contains soil and rock fragments (up to boulder size). (a) in MCT zone schists and quartzites at 30.8136° N, 78.6205° E, Location 1 also known as Lata ghatori slide in Fig. 5. (b) At Munsiai Thust footwall at 30.7725° N, 78.5997° E, Location 6 in Fig. 5. (c) Within Berinag Formation quartzites at 30.76° N, 78.5811° E, at location 8 in Fig. 5. (d) within quartzites of Berinag Formation at 30.7544° N, 78.5593° E, near location 4 in Fig. 5, also known as Ganeshpur slide.
Repository Fig. 7: Boulder size rock particles avalanching within Garhwal Lesser Himalaya. Debris material contains soil and rock fragments. (a) Within Berinag Formation quartzites at 30.7432° N, 78.3586° E, Location 25 in Fig. 5 also known as Raturi sear slide. S. Mukherjee (height ~ 165 cm) as the marker. (b) In Berinag Formation quartzites at 30.6802° N, 78.3497° E, south of location 29 in Fig. 5, marked as ‘k: unnamed’ also reported by Nair and Singh (2020). (c) within Rautgara Formation quartzites at 30.6599° N, 78.3364° E, marked as ‘l: unnamed’ in Fig. 5, also reported by Nair and Singh (2020). (d) In quartzites of Rautgara Formation at 30.6517° N, 78.3322° E, marked as ‘m: unnamed’ in Fig. 5, also reported by Nair and Singh (2020).
Repository Fig. 8: Paleostress analysis of the observed shear fabrics are presented using three different software. The dataset was manually grouped based on four different observed shears from the study area: (a1, b1, c1): Top-to-NW down shear. (a2, b2, c2): Top-to-NW up shear. (a3, b3, c3): Top-to-SE down shear. (a4, b4, c4): Top-to-SE up shear. Analysis of field data using column-1: SG2PS software version 2 (Angelier’s inversion technique), column-2: T-Tecto version X5, third column: WinTensor version 5.8.8. Orientation of the principal stress axes are mentioned at the bottom left corner for SG2PS, bottom right corner for T-Tecto and Top left corner for Win-Tensor. n = no of data used to run the software.