Trench migration and slab buckling control the formation of the Central Andes
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Abstract

The formation of the Central Andes dates back to ~50 Ma, but its most pronounced phase, including the growth of the Altiplano-Puna Plateau and pulsatile tectonic shortening phases, occurred within the last 25 Ma. The reason for this evolution remains unexplained. Using geodynamic numerical modeling we infer that the primary cause of the pulses of tectonic shortening and growth of Central Andes is the changing geometry of the subducted Nazca plate, and particularly the steepening of the mid-mantle slab segment which results in a slowing down of the trench retreat and subsequent shortening of the advancing South America plate. This steepening first happens after the end of the flat slab episode at ~25 Ma, and later during the buckling and stagnation of the slab in the mantle transition zone. The Intensity of the shortening events is enhanced by the processes that mechanically weaken the lithosphere of the South America plate, which were suggested in previous studies. These processes include delamination of the mantle lithosphere and weakening of the foreland sediments. Our new modeling results are consistent with the timing and amplitude of the deformation from geological data in the Central Andes at the Altiplano latitude.
Introduction

The Central Andes is a natural laboratory to study inherent tectonics and geodynamics processes. Although the subduction of the oceanic Nazca plate below the South American plate has been ongoing since ~200 Ma, most of the Andean orogen formed in the last ~15 Ma. The Central Andes hosts the second largest plateau in the world, the Altiplano-Puna plateau, which is on average ~4 km high and extends over an area of 500,000 km² (Fig. 1ab). This unusually short growth time is recorded by the geological shortening rate (Oncken et al., 2006, 2012). A few different mechanisms are thought to have contributed to the shortening of the Central Andes at the Altiplano latitude (~21°S):

● The westward absolute motion of the South American plate (~2 cm/yr) provides the main shortening force (Silver et al., 1998; Sobolev & Babeyko, 2005; Martinod et al., 2010; Husson et al., 2012), where the relative velocity between the trench and the plate determines the tectonic stress regime (Lallemand et al., 2005; Funiciello et al., 2008; Lallemand et al., 2008; Holt et al., 2015). Slower trench migration as a consequence of the slab anchoring in the lower mantle over the last ~40 Ma (Faccenna et al., 2017; Schepers et al., 2017) is argued to have initiated the shortening in the Central Andes.

● A high interplate friction of ~0.05-0.07 due to the low supply of sediments at the trench promotes the stress transfer from the slab to the overriding plate, increasing the shortening rate (Lamb & Davis, 2003; Sobolev & Babeyko, 2005; Sobolev et al., 2006; Gerbault et al., 2009; Heuret et al., 2012; Tan et al., 2012; Cosentino* et al., 2018; Horton 2018; Muldashev & Sobolev, 2020; Brizzi et al., 2020).

● The weakening of the continental lithosphere that results from the eclogitization of the mafic lower crust (Sobolev & Babeyko, 1994; Babeyko et al., 2006) and the delamination of the lithospheric mantle (Kay & Mahlburg Kay, 1993; Beck & Zandt, 2002; Beck et al., 2015) helps strain to localize and thereby increases the shortening.
Weak sediments in the foreland help initiate simple shear shortening by starting the underthrusting of the Brazilian Cratonic shield (Allmendinger & Gubbels, 1996; Allmendinger et al., 1997; Kley, 1999; Babeyko & Sobolev, 2005; Gao et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2022).

Despite the multitude of proposed shortening mechanisms, none adequately explain the evolution and variability of deformation in the Central Andes during the last ~35 Ma (Fig. 1c). However, the quality of the shortening rate compilation from Oncken et al (2006-2012, Fig. 1c) offers a solid base to investigate this problem through geodynamic models. Although the data may carry intrinsic uncertainties from using different measurement methods, it shows a systematic consistency in shortening amplitudes across time and latitude.

Shortening rates along the Altiplano section at 21°S are the most temporally resolved and suggest four different phases of deformation in the last ~50 Ma (Fig. 1c). Between ~50 to 33 Ma (Phase 1), the shortening rate linearly increased to ~3.5 mm/yr before suddenly escalating at ~33 Ma to ~8 mm/yr. From ~33 to 15 Ma (Phase 2), the shortening rate fluctuated in a range between ~4 and ~7 mm/yr that eventually narrowed to ~6 mm/yr. From ~15 to 7 Ma (Phase 3), shortening pulsed to a maximum of ~11 mm/yr before dropping back to ~5 mm/yr. From ~7 Ma to present day (Phase 4) the shortening rate again pulsed to a maximum of ~16 mm/yr before dropping back to the ~8 mm/yr seen at present-day.

Utilizing high-resolution geodynamic models, with buoyancy-driven subduction, and validating them through geological shortening data from the Central Andes, this study sheds light on a new mechanism that provides an explanation for the variability of the shortening rate. The models additionally address the gap in deformation intensity between 10 and 4 Ma and the decline in intensity to present-day levels. Our results suggest that a complex interaction between the oceanic and continental plates controls the timing and variability of the deformation in the Central Andes since the Oligocene.
**Fig. 1** a Structural map of the Central Andes (modified from Oncken et al., 2006), overlain with the extent of the active magmatic arc (red) and the foreland areas with thin-skinned (yellow) and thick-skinned (light-blue) deformation. Blue shaded areas indicate the neighbouring flat-slab regions. White arrows show the present day absolute plate velocity (Becker et al., 2015). b Schematic tectonics of the Altiplano transect at 21°S (dashed rectangle in a), modified from Oncken et al. (2006) and Armijo et al. (2015). The question mark indicates an unclear presence of the lithosphere. c Estimated shortening rate evolution of the Altiplano transect. WC: Western Cordillera; AP: Altiplano Plateau; EC: Eastern Cordillera; SB: Subandean Ranges.

**Results**

**Numerical model set up.** We used the finite element software ASPECT (Bangerth et al., 2021) to develop a visco-plastic subduction model S1 that simulates ductile and brittle deformation. Subduction is initiated by prescribing an oceanic plate velocity of 7 cm/yr in the first 6.5 My, which represents the plate velocity between 35-30 Ma (Sdrolias and Müller, 2006). Then, the oceanic plate freely sinks through the mantle due to slab pull. The continental plate is prescribed with a trenchward velocity of 2 cm/yr, corresponding to the average plate velocity during the last 40 Ma. As gaps in the Andean volcanic activity at ~35 Ma suggest a phase of flat slab subduction (Barazangi & Isacks, 1976; Ramos & Scientific, 2002; Ramos & Folguera, 2009), we initialized the model with a flat-subduction stage (Fig. 2a). After initialization (Fig. 2b), the flat slab segment is ~250 km long at ~100 km depth, similar to the current Pampean flat slab (Marot et al., 2014).
The geometry of the continental plate is based on structural reconstructions and crustal balance estimations during the Oligocene (Hindle et al., 2005; Sobolev et al., 2006; Armijo et al., 2015). For the shortening analysis, we differentiated two continental domains: the orogen and the thicker foreland. We used an oceanic lithospheric thickness consistent with a 40 My old (Maloney et al., 2013) plate near the trench (Turcotte et al., 2002). We assumed a steady-state geotherm for the lithosphere and an adiabatic temperature profile for the asthenosphere and let the temperature re-equilibrate during initialization.

Four key ingredients are used to simulate plate interaction in Model S1: First, a high-resolution (1 km) visco-plastic subduction interface with a low effective friction (0.05), causing the brittle-ductile transition to occur at ~45 km depth. Second, the implementation of the Gabbro-Eclogite-Stishovite phase transitions for the oceanic crust, and the Olivine - Wadsleyite-Ringwoodite-Post Spinel transitions for the asthenosphere and lithospheric mantle (Arredondo & Billen, 2016, 2017; Faccenda & Dal Zilio, 2017). Third, the use of a deformable mesh to simulate the topography (see Methods for details). Fourth, self-consistent subduction that is buoyancy-driven.
Fig. 2 Model setup. $T_{pot}$ is the mantle potential temperature. a shows the initial state of the model. b is the zoom-in area of plate interface during the initial flat slab subduction stage.

**Numerical model results.** From ~7 to ~11 My (Fig. 3a), subduction evolves dynamically. The slab steepens and accelerates, slowing down the trench retreat. Part of the continental mantle starts delaminating as plastic strain localizes in the top of the continental crust. During this time, topographic uplift is restricted to the Central domain. At ~10 My, the block of continental lithosphere consisting of eclogitized lower crust and mantle delaminates and sinks with the slab. At ~10.5 My, the slab velocity decreases as trench retreat reinitiates. From ~11 to ~20 My (Fig. 3b), relatively fast slab rollback continues as the slab sinks into the transition zone. At ~18 My, the slab reaches the lower mantle but does not immediately penetrate into it, instead it is deflected and slowly traverses horizontally along the 660-km phase transition. At ~20 My, the slab buckles by folding twice to the west and to the east at the transition zone as the trench continues to retreat.

At ~23.5 My, the upper mantle slab-segment steepens and halts trench retreat (hereby referred to as trench blockage). At this time, slab velocity increases and strain localizes on the previous faults and in the eastern orogenic domain. Simultaneously, the lithospheric mantle successively delaminates in the east as the deformation intensifies and migrates west towards the foreland (Fig. 3c). Underthrusting of the cratonic shield initiates at ~26 My during the delamination of the mantle lithosphere. The eastern domain uplifts from ~20 to 24 My, then slightly subsides at ~24 Ma.

From ~25 to ~31 My the topography significantly uplifts and reaches elevations similar to the present-day (Fig. 4). At ~29 My, active deformation in the foreland decreases and trench retreat reinitiates as the new slab segment reaches the lower mantle transition trenchward of the older, stalled, slab segment. After this time, topography no longer significantly changes (Fig. 4). At ~30 My, the slab buckles a second time followed by another stage of trench
blockage at ~35 My (Fig. 3d) as the slab steepens and accelerates. By ~33.5 My, the cratonic shield has re-initiates underthrusting beneath the orogenic domain. At ~37.5 My foreland deformation becomes less efficient and the mantle wedge starts to delaminate as trench retreat reinitiates. Overall, the trench retreats ~340 km, the orogen shortens ~200km and because of underthrusting the foreland shortens by ~105 km (Fig. 7ab, movie S1).

Fig. 3 Evolution of the subduction model S1. UPM, TZ and LM are the upper mantle, transition zone and lower mantle, respectively. a The steepening of the slab is associated with the continental lithospheric mantle removal. b The slab freely sinks and flattens at lower mantle transition. c The slab buckles, the continent delaminates, the deformation migrates eastward and the foreland underthrusts. d The slab buckles a second time and the foreland underthrusts.
We have also ran the 5 alternative simulations to Model S1: (i) three models with variable interplate friction coefficient (0.015, 0.035, 0.06; model S2a-c, supplementary Fig. 2, 3, movie S2a-c); (ii) one model without eclogitization of the lower crust to illustrate its importance for the weakening of the overriding plate and for the localization of the deformation (model S3, supplementary Fig. 2, 5, movie S3); and (iii) one model to evaluate the importance of higher heat flow and lower crustal viscosity related to partial melting (model S4; supplementary Fig. 2, 5, 6, movie S4). The description of these models is detailed in the Supplementary material (see supplementary information).

Discussion

Our results suggest that the timing of the shortening events is a direct consequence of the interaction between the buckling subducting plate and the weakened overriding plate. We distinguish four notable deformation phases that correspond in amplitude, timing and space to the shortening rate from the geological compilation (Onken et al., 2012). Overall, deformation migrates across the orogenic domain to the eastern foreland.

**Phase I**: Central domain deformation (~6.5 to ~11 My, Fig. 4): Plastic strain is localized in the Central domain due to flat slab steepening and the partial removal of the lithosphere.

**Phase II**: Eastern Cordillera domain deformation (~11 to ~20 My, Fig. 4): Distributed plastic strain slowly accumulates in the east. No significant deformation is observed in the continent due to efficient trench retreat.

**Phase III**: Deformation migrates from the Eastern Cordillera to the foreland domain (~20 to ~29 My, Fig. 4): Strain intensifies in the Eastern Cordillera domain and migrates to the foreland, where the Brazilian Cratonic shield starts to underthrust below the orogen. The delamination follows this migration.

**Phase IV**: Foreland domain deformation (~29 to ~38 My, Fig. 4): Underthrusting of the shield slows down. At ~33.5 My, it re-accelerates until ~35 My before decelerating until 38 My.
The compressive stress generated by the difference of velocity between the trench and the overriding plate is accommodated in one of two ways: 1) orogenic shortening, 2) underthrusting of the foreland. The effectiveness of deformation localization depends on the strength of the overriding plate and the interplate coupling. Here, we discuss the key processes that affect the strength of the overriding plate, the subduction and deformation dynamics of the slab, and, finally, the interaction between the two plates.

![Diagram showing topographic evolution of the reference model with deformation phase timings shown using dotted black lines, and key features of each phase are written in black. Lv is for Longitudinal Valley.](image)

**Fig. 4** Topographic evolution of the reference model, with deformation phase timings shown using dotted black lines, and key features of each phase are written in black. Lv is for Longitudinal Valley.

**Overriding plate**

**Delamination.** Extensive lithospheric delamination is known to have taken place under the Altiplano-Puna plateau (Kay & Kay, 1993; Beck & Zandt, 2002; Beck et al., 2015) and contributed to present-day elevations (Garzione et al., 2006, 2008, 2017; Wang et al., 2021). This process is thought to be the result of the eclogitization of the mafic lower crust and lithospheric mantle, which is likely facilitated by the hydration of the sub-lithosphere from the ~200 Ma subduction history (Babeyko et al., 2002, 2006), and thick (~45 km) initial crust at
~30 Ma (Hindle et al., 2005; Sobolev et al., 2006; Armijo et al., 2015). Model S3 demonstrates that without eclogitization delamination and shortening are inhibited (supplementary Fig. 2). Moreover, the lithospheric removal due to eclogitization leads to a localization of deformation and subsequent weakening in the overriding plate. Nevertheless, model S4 shows that a very weak orogenic domain localizes too much deformation in the orogen and does not guarantee the migration of the deformation to the foreland (supplementary Fig.5).

Due to flat slab steepening in Phase I, we observe two delamination stages after the first lithospheric removal of the overriding plate. First, the initial removal exposes the crust at the western edge that is directly in contact with the asthenosphere, thereby increasing its temperature and decreasing the viscosity at its base. As a result, the lower crust delaminates faster in the west, causing it to asymmetrically drip to the east (i.e., Stage 1 in Fig. 5a). The pure shear deformation localizes in the orogenic domain until delamination is complete. Second, when the viscous deformation of the orogen connects with the plastic deformation of its foreland at 26 My, the foreland underthrusts beneath the orogen due to weak sediments. This results in orogenic thickening and a switch from pure shear to simple shear shortening. Consequently, deformation migrates to the east causing delamination to accelerate (Stage 2 in Fig. 5b).

**Fig. 5** showing the two stages of delamination. a Stage 1: Asymmetric delamination, facilitated by the heating and thickening of the continental crust. b Stage 2: Delamination
acceleration, accompanied by migration of the deformation to the foreland and initiation of the foreland underthrusting.

**Mechanical weakening of the foreland sediments.** In the Altiplano, the presence of weak sediments is the key factor in switching deformation from pure to simple shear at ~10 Ma. Simple-shear shortening is associated with higher strain localization over fewer faults and the formation of deep low-angle detachments. In the foreland, these faults are situated at the base of the sediment cover and are characteristic of the thin-skin deformation style. Porous sediment layers, in particular the paleozoic layers (Allmendinger and Gubbels, 1996), may have accumulated enough fluids at the front of the orogen to reduce their frictional strength to ~0.05 or less and initiate the underthrusting of the Brazilian cratonic shield (Babeyko et al., 2006).

This thin-skin style of deformation is often opposed to the thick-skin style, where strain is more distributed throughout the domain and may involve basement rock. At the latitude of the Puna, thick-skin deformation resulted in a final shortening amount much lower than in Altiplano (~150 km versus ~300 km; Kley et al., 1999; Sobolev and Babeyko, 2005; Babeyko & Sobolev, 2005). This shortening difference suggests that forces were accommodated elsewhere, which we suggest to be the retreating trench.

Commonly, thick-skin deformation is thought to result from the reactivation of pre-existing normal faults that formed in past extensional events (Carrera and Muñoz, 2013). The weak faults localize strain faster and enhance the shortening magnitude. However, their reactivation could also compete against an efficient switch from pure to simple shear deformation.

In the reference model, underthrusting takes place in two stages. The first stage happens during trench blockage at ~20.5 My, causing the deformation to migrate to the foreland. When the active brittle shear zone, from the failure of the foreland sediments, connects to the ductile shear zone accommodating the on-going delamination underthrusting becomes more efficient. The delamination also facilitates the underthrusting of the Brazilian cratonic shield that meets
less resistive forces. Underthrusting of the shield forces the upper crust to viscously flow and thicken. The topography uplifts, reaching present-day elevations (~4 km) at ~31 My (~7 Ma ago). A second stage of underthrusting occurs in the last ~4 Ma when the trench again becomes blocked, but this event does not significantly change the topography (Fig. 4).

**Subducting plate**

While the absolute motion of the South American plate provides the main force (Martinod et al., 2010; Husson et al., 2012) for the tectonic shortening, the magnitude of the compressive stress in the South American plate margin is determined by the the resistance of the Nazca plate (i.e., by the ability of the trench to retreat Lallemand et al., 2005; Funiciello et al., 2008; Lallemand et al., 2008; Holt et al., 2015). In the central Andes, the trench has migrated west over the last ~40 Ma as a result of the rollback and subsequent sinking of the bending slab in the asthenosphere, as well as the forced trench retreat from the excess velocity of the overriding plate (Schepers et al., 2017). Recent studies have proposed that the trench velocity can also be affected by deep subduction dynamics (Faccenna et al., 2017; Briaud et al., 2020).

In this section, we discuss the implications of these subduction dynamics.

**Fig. 6** Subduction dynamics. Black triangle and circle indicate the position of the trench and the foreland edge, respectively. Colored circles indicate slab evolution in figure 8. 

- **a** Steepening and sinking of the flat slab leads to an increase of plate velocity and slows down the trench. 
- **b** The slab front is impeded in the viscous lower mantle transition zone. 
- **c** The stagnant slab folds, meanwhile the trench retreats. 
- **d** The slab folds but the lack of obstacle leads to its steepening.
Flat slab steepening. The cause of flat subduction is still debated. It likely results from the shallowing of the slab from long lasting subduction, as well as greater buoyancies related to the Juan Fernandez ridge (Schellart, 2020; Schellart & Strak, 2021) that has migrated to the south in the last ~35 Ma (Fig. 1; Yáñez et al., 2001; Bello-González et al., 2018). In this study, we are interested in the consequence of slab steepening after the passage of the ridge. Our models suggest that a flat slab at ~100 km depth, analogous to the Pampean flat slab, could scrape the base of the lithosphere. Eventually at ~7 My, the slab steepens and accelerates as the trench becomes blocked (Fig. 6a). The continental mantle coupled to the flat slab segment is pulled down and viscously accommodates the deformation. When the lower crust eclogitizes, plastic strain localizes in the top portion of the crust, slab steepening then accelerates due to the eclogitization until, eventually, parts of the lithosphere are removed. This flat subduction plays a key role in triggering the initial weakening of the overriding plate, and is facilitated by lower-crustal eclogitization.

Buckling instability cycles. We identified two buckling cycles, at ~20 My and at ~30 My. Within each cycle, three main events are distinguished that may affect the trench migration rate:

1. Slab impediment (Fig. 6b) takes place when the slab meets viscous resistance. This is the case when the slab is impeded by the viscous lower mantle at the beginning of a buckling cycle (~17 My and ~29.5 My), or before steepening. For instance, when the slab reaches the viscous lower mantle it does not immediately penetrate it. The first slab segment in contact with the lower mantle slows down and viscously resists the new, still sinking, segment. This difference of velocity between the two segments is accommodated through bending in the slab. During these slab impediment events the dip of the slab becomes shallower and the trench continues retreating. This mechanism differs from slab anchoring (Faccenna et al.,
293 2017), in which the difference of velocity between the two segments is too small to cause the folding of the slab.

295 (2) Slab folding (Fig. 6c) events occur when, after slab impediment, the slab dip flips in the transition zone. The now shallower slab dip enable the trench retreat, though no significant deformation is observed. Each buckling cycle consists of two folding events, the first to the west and the second to the east at ~20, 21 My and ~30, 33 My.

299 (3) Slab steepening (Fig. 6d) is a drastic event that occurs at the end of a buckling cycle after the second folding event, (~23.5 My and ~33.5 My). Chronologically, the sinking slab meets resistance from the last fold to the east (i.e., Impediment) and bends to the west as for the first folding event. However, the overriding plate has forced the trench to retreat during the previous events, which, prevents the slab from piling up. The slab continues to sink in the transition zone, steepens and accelerates. The trench slows down and blocks the overriding plate that shortens to accommodate the ongoing deformation. When the trench is blocked the horizontal stress in the overriding plate can reach values of ~350 MPa (supplementary Fig. 1, movie S1b). Overall, slab shallowing is associated to periods of trench retreat related to the folding events, whereas slab steepening is associated to periods of trench blockage following folding events folding events.

Interaction between overriding and subducting plates

Interplate coupling. Our models predict that an effective friction of 0.35 to 0.05 is required in the Central Andes to obtain significant deformation that is consistent with previous estimates (Sobolev and Babeyko, 2005; Sobolev et al., 2006). Higher friction values result in lower oceanic-plate velocities. The effective friction is dependent on the sediment thickness at the trench, which at present day may vary from ~0.5 km to ~2 km in the Central and Southern Andes, respectively (Lamb and Davis, 2003). This latitudinal variation results from the efficiency at which the surface processes supply sediments to the trench. In the last ~6 Ma, glacial erosion supplied a large amount of sediments to Southern Andes trench. Whereas
in the Central Andes, the internal drainage of the Altiplano-Puna plateau is related to low erosional rates that have contributed to sediment starvation at the trench (Lamb & Davis, 2003).

**Slab buckling and overriding plate interaction.** The unusual timing of the growth of the Andes results from a sequence of events generated by plate interactions. While subduction dynamics exert a major control on the deformation of the sinking plate by blocking trench migration, the strength of the overriding plate ultimately controls where strain localizes and forces the trench to retreat when it is not blocked. This plate strength is evolving, first, with the passage of the flat slab that may have initially weakened the lithosphere through partial removal of the mantle lithosphere, and through thermal weakening related to crustal exposure near the hotter asthenosphere (Isacks, 1988), and second, by triggering the subsequent delamination (see previous section).

Pulsatil behavior in the deformation of the Nazca plate is observed in paleoelevation reconstructions (Boschman, 2021; Garzione et al., 2008), the magmatic activity (Decelles et al., 2009), and from stable isotope data (Leier et al., 2013). We suggest that buckling instabilities in a subducting plate offer a plausible explanation in the variability and timing of the Nazca plate deformation during the last ~20 Ma as well as the present-day deep seismicity distribution (supplementary Fig. 7b). We find that shortening rate pulses occur at the end of each buckling cycle when slab steepening inhibits trench retreat (Fig. 7cd), and that these pulses reproduce similar signals to what is seen in the geological data. Additionally, in the last ~2 Ma the geological data shows a decrease in the shortening rate, which is also predicted by our model through underthrusting. At later stages, the trench retreat resumes and underthrusting loses its efficiency, which could indicate the beginning of a new buckling cycle.

Previous studies have suggested that the lower mantle viscosity and the dip, age, thickness and strength of the oceanic plate may affect the buckling periodicity and timing of slab stagnation in the transition zone (Ribe et al., 2007; Lee & King, 2011; Quinteros et al.,
2010; Quinteros & Sobolev, 2013; Marquardt & Miyagi, 2015; Cerpa et al., 2017; Briaud et al., 2020). Analyzing the variety of interchangeable parameters affecting the buckling process exceeds the scope of this study.

Previous seismic tomography studies indicate two large negative seismic anomalies near the transition zone (at depths of 600 km and 900 km) that are attributed to slab accumulations (Widiyantoro, 1997; Liu, 2003; Chen et al., 2019). The deeper accumulation may relate to a slab anchoring (Faccenna et al., 2017, Supplementary Fig.7), suggesting that previous accumulation cycles could have occurred before and have “avalanched” in the lower mantle (Briaud et al., 2020; Hu & Gurnis, 2020), wherein they may have become detached from the shallower slab. Indeed, over the last ~200 Ma quick alternations between compressive and extensive phases (e.g., the compressive peruvian phase or extensive Salta rift between ~120 Ma and ~60 Ma; Faccenna et al., 2017) may indicate that slab buckling events have happened earlier in the subduction history. However, because of the absence of an efficient weakening mechanism to trigger delamination and too thin crust to facilitate eclogitization, the orogen experienced no significant deformation. Potentially, we suggest that these avalanche events may have repeated at least 3 times over the last ~90 Ma, as suggested by the 3 cycles of convergence rate recognized in Martinod et al., (2010).

Conclusion.

In this study, we propose that dynamic slab mechanics result in cycles of slab buckling that can explain the timing and amplitude of the tectonic shortening pulses seen in the Central Andes since the Late Eocene. Using geodynamic numerical models, we infer that the primary cause of these pulses that contributed to the growth of the Central Andes is the evolving geometry of the subducting Nazca plate. In particular, the steepening of the slab near the transition zone slows down the trench retreat and subsequent shortening of the advancing South American plate. This steepening first occurs after the end of the flat slab episode at ~25 Ma. By eroding the lower part of the mantle lithosphere, this episode predisposes the
margin for the next deformation phases by decreasing its strength. Later, slab steepening occurs following the buckling of the slab in the mantle transition zone. This new buckling-steepening mechanism sheds light on the causes of the rapid pulsatile growth of the Central Andes during the last ~20 Ma, and the model evolution is consistent with geological data (Oncken et al., 2012) and with the timing of uplift (Garzione et al., 2017) of the Altiplano plateau.
Fig. 7 Summary exposing the relation between continental plate deformation (a and b) and oceanic plate dynamics (b and c) for the reference model. Background colors indicate the shortening phases. Colored pills indicate the slab evolution stage as in figure 6. a Smoothed shortening rate for the orogenic and foreland domain (see data acquisition and processing for details). b Cumulative shortening for the orogenic and foreland domain and cumulative trench retreat. Numbers indicate the shortening phases. c Velocity of the oceanic plate (black line) and trench migration rate (purple line). d Average slab dip for different depth intervals.
Methods

Governing equations We used the geodynamic finite element code ASPECT (Advanced Solver for Problems in Earth’s ConvecTion, version 2.3.0-pre, Bangerth et al., 2021; Kronbichler et al., 2012; Heister et al., 2017; Rose et al., 2017) to setup a 2D subduction model (e.g., Faccenna et al., 2017). The model solves three conservation equations for the momentum \(1\), mass \(2\) and energy \(3\) and the advection and reaction equations for the different compositional fields. The energy equation includes the radiogenic heating, shear heating and adiabatic heating.

\[-\nabla \cdot (2\eta \dot{\varepsilon}) + \nabla p = \rho g, \quad (1)\]

\[\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad (2)\]

\[\rho \cdot \mathbf{C}_p \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla T \right) - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{k} \nabla T = \rho H + (2\eta \dot{\varepsilon}) \cdot \dot{\varepsilon} - \alpha T \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{g}, \quad (3)\]

\[\frac{\partial c_i}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla c_i = q_i, \quad (4)\]

with the deviatoric strain rate tensor \(\dot{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T \right), \mathbf{u} = u(x^+, t)\) the velocity field, \(p = p(x^+, t)\) the pressure, \(T = T(x^+, t)\) the temperature, \(\mathbf{C}_p\) is the heat capacity, \(\rho\) is the density, \(\rho_r\) is the reference density, \(\mathbf{k}\) is the conductivity, \(\alpha\) is the thermal expansivity, \(H\) is the radiogenic heat production, \(\eta\) is the viscosity, \(t\) is the time, \(c_i\) is the composition and \(q_i\) is the reaction rate.

Although the model is incompressible, we wanted to simulate realistic phase transformations that require a temperature and pressure dependent compressible density formulation, therefore, we used the equation of state of Murnaghan (5) (Murnaghan, 1944).

\[\rho_f = \rho_{ref i} \left( 1 + \left( P - \frac{\alpha_i}{\beta_i} \right)(T - T_{ref}) \right) \cdot ki \cdot \beta_i^{1/ki}, \quad (5)\]
Where $\rho_f$ is the final density and $\rho_{r_i}$ is the reference density for each composition at surface pressures and a surface temperature of 293 K (Tref). $\alpha_i$ is the thermal expansivity, $\beta_i$ is the isothermal compressibility, and $k_i$ is the isothermal bulk modulus pressure derivatives.

We used a visco-plastic material model that allows for viscous (ductile) and plastic (brittle) deformation (Glerum et al., 2018). The viscous regime is handled using a harmonic average of contribution dislocation and diffusion creep (6), whereas the plastic regime uses the Drucker-Prager criterion. The dominant mechanism (viscous vs. plastic) is determined through the yield stress.

$$\eta_{\text{diff|disl}} = 0.5 A_{\text{diff|disl}}^{(-1/n)} d^m \varepsilon_e^{(1-n)/n} \exp\left(\frac{Q_{\text{diff|disl}} + P \cdot V_{\text{diff|disl}}}{nRT}\right),$$

(6)

$A$ is the prefactor rescaled from uniaxial experiment, $n$ is the stress exponent, $d$ and $m$ are the grain size and grain size exponent, $\varepsilon_e$ is the square root of deviatoric strain rate, $Q$ is the energy of activation, $V$ is the volume of activation, $P$ the pressure, $R$ the gas constant and $T$ the temperature. Dislocation is independent of the grain size so $d^m$ is removed from the equation. For a 2d model the yield stress $\sigma_y$ is equivalent to the mohr Coulomb surface criterion (7).

$$\sigma_y = C \cdot \cos(F) + P \cdot \sin(F),$$

(7)

Where $C$ is the Cohesion, $P$ the pressure and $F$ the internal friction angle in radian. We also included linear plastic strain softening of the friction and cohesion that depends on the strain accumulation over time (supplementary, Table 1).

The effective viscosity is then calculated by

$$\eta = \frac{\sigma_y}{2\varepsilon_e},$$

(8)

**Model set up.** We split the box into 2 sub-boxes; a 96 km thick (in depth) box that represents the lithosphere, and an 804 km thick box that represents the asthenosphere. This gives us more flexibility by allowing us to set independent boundary conditions for each box.
on the east and west boundaries. For example, we prescribe the lithosphere velocities
whereas the asthenosphere uses the initial lithostatic pressure to simulate an open boundary.
The final box is 2592 x 900 km (calculated to have square cells, and an aspect ratio of \( \sim 1:3 \)
or \( \sim 1:6 \) if we only consider the upper mantle; Gerya, 2019). The adaptive mesh refines based
on the compositional fields and the strain rate. Additionally, the asthenospheric mantle
resolution is resolved to a fixed resolution of 32 km and the slab’s mantle lithosphere at 4 km.
The topography is uplifted and advected using the ASPECT-FaStscape coupling (Braun &
Willet, 2013; Bovy, 2021; Neuharth et al., 2021a; 2021b). This method allows us to track and
store the topography for analysis. However, other than a very small (~1e-6 m²/yr) diffusion
coefficient that does not affect the results presented here, we exclude surface processes.

Subduction interface. Our models use a visco-plastic subduction interface based on the
weakest quartzite rheological flow law from Ranalli (1997). This rheology was shown to be
efficient in modeling a quartz-dominated “melange” at the interface (Sobolev et al., 2006;
Muldashev & Sobolev, 2020).

Rheology. (Supplementary Table. 1) The oceanic plate is composed of an 8 km oceanic
crust (3000 kg/m³) divided into 5 km of weak wet quartzite (Ranalli, 1997) and 3 km of mafic
diabase (Mackwell et al., 1998). The oceanic mantle consists of 73 km of dry olivine (Hirth &
Kohlstedt, 2004), and is compositionally lighter than the asthenosphere (\( \rho_{\text{Asthenosphere}} - 20 \)
kg/m³). The lithosphere is given an initial dip of ~15° to facilitate the initial flat slab stage (Van
Hunen et al., 2004; Huangfu et al., 2016; Liu & Currie, 2016; Dai et al., 2020). A 12 km thick
“ridge” (2800 kg/m³) of weak quartz (Ranalli, 1997) is placed along the dipping subduction
interface to aid in subduction initialization.

The geometry and length of the continent are based on a structural reconstruction and a
volume conservation at 30 Ma (Armijo et al., 2015; Sobolev et al., 2006) that have been
calibrated to have an ~850 km long continent when the model is restarted after the
initialization phase. In the central domain, the upper crust (2800 kg/m³) is a 33 km thick layer
of wet quartzite (Gleason & Tullis, 1995) and the lower crust (3000 kg/m³) is a 12 km thick layer of diabase (Mackwell et al., 1998). The continental mantle (3280 kg/m³) is wet olivine (Hirth & Kohlstedt, 2004) and 45 km thick. In the cold forearc the continental mantle thickens to 65 km. In the foreland, sediments (2670 kg/m³) are 5 km thick (Gleason & Tullis, 1995). The upper crust and the lower crust are 12 and 10 km thick, respectively. The depleted Brazilian cratonic shield (3240 kg/m³) is considered dry olivine (Hirth & Kohlstedt, 2004) and extends to a depth of 130 km. The foreland is thicker than the central domain and therefore colder (Sobolev et al., 2006; Ibarra & Prezzi, 2019; Ibarra et al., 2019).

Asthenoospheric densities are recalculated so that the final density after considering the pressure and temperature matches the PREM model (Dziewonski & Anderson, 1981). To simulate the rheology of the hydrated mantle wedge in the upper mantle, we use wet olivine laws for dislocation and diffusion (Hirth & Kohlstedt, 2004) (3300 kg/m³). We prescribed constant viscosity for the transition zone (410-520 km ~6.75e20 Pa.s and 520-660 km ~1.05e21 Pa.s) and the lower mantle (~7.5e21 Pa.s) based on the Steinberger & Calderwood (2006) viscosity profile.

The main phase transitions were consider for the mantle are the Olivine-Wadsleyite at 410 km depth (Clapeyron slope, λ, of 2 MPa/K), Wadsleyite-Ringwoodite at 520 km (λ =3.5 MPa/K) and Post-spinel at 660 km (λ = -0.5 MPa/K; Quinteros & Sobolev, 2013). Gabbro-eclogite transition (+450 kg/m³) is completed at pressures of ~1.9 GPa (~60 km depth) and 800°C for the oceanic crust and ~1.2 GPa (~40 km depth) and 700°C for the lower crust (Babeyko et al., 2006; Sobolev & Babeyko, 1994; Sobolev et al., 2006). Coesite-Stishovite phase transition also takes place at a pressure of ~9 GPa (~270 km depth) (Faccenda & Dal Zilio, 2017).

**Initialization.** Our goal is to investigate the temporal variation of the overriding plate shortening starting from flat subduction. For that reason, we do not allow plastic strain to accumulate during initialization. To initiate the flat slab, we prescribed a ~400 km long plateau domain that corresponds to the dipping part of the slab, in which we split the 73 km oceanic
lithosphere into 43.8 km of depleted “Harzburgite” (3233 kg/m³) and 51.1 km of “Lherzolite” (3300 kg/m³; Arredondo & Billen, 2017). This gives an average density of ~3260 kg/m³. Additionally, during initialization there is no eclogitization in the “ridge”.

We pushed the oceanic plate at 7 cm/yr, similar to the absolute orthogonal velocity of the Nazca plate at ~35 Ma, and we pushed the overriding plate at 2 cm/yr (Sdrolias & Müller, 2006). The left asthenosphere boundary is open whereas the right and the bottom are set to free slip to avoid any “artificial mantle wind” that could arise from pressure perturbations. During initialization, we use a fully viscous interface to achieve flat subduction without any significant deformation in the overriding plate. We set the minimum viscosity to 1e20 Pa.s for the first 1 My in order to dampen the high velocities that could arise from isostatic rebound. After 1 My the minimum viscosity is switched to 1e19 Pa.s. The interface viscosity is set to 5e19 Pa.s as this gives the minimum coupling strength required for flat subduction. As the slab warms, the oceanic crust eclogitized and its tip steepens, the initialization stops when the slab tip reaches 300 km depth.

When the model is restarted after the flat subduction phase, the interface is set to include visco-plastic deformation. The “ridge” density is set to 3000 kg/m³ to prevent relamination and eclogitization of the continent when the temperatures overcome a blocking temperature of 700°C (Sobolev & Babeyko, 1994; Babeyko et al., 2006). The minimum viscosity is set to 2.5e18 Pa.s. The “Harzburgite” and “Lherzolite” density are changed to represent normal oceanic mantle (3280 kg/m³), and the left boundary is fully open.

**Data acquisition and processing.** Shortening for the main orogenic domain is acquired by tracking the extremities of the upper crust at the surface, from the trench to the sediments in the foreland. Underthrusting is obtained by tracking the difference between the eastern extremity of the orogenic domain and the western extremity of the craton. Next, to find the shortening rate we divided the total shortening by the timestep. To be comparable to the geological shortening rate that has a temporal resolution between 1 to 5 My (Oncken et al.,
2006) we smoothed the solution using a 5 My moving average filter. The position of the trench corresponds to the lowest point of the topography. We determine the position of the trench using the minimum topography in the model, and then determine the velocity by dividing the change of position by the time step. The noise observed in the solution (e.g Fig.7) is caused by the difference of resolution at the trench; we applied a moving average filter of 200 ka to reduce it without losing the main signal. Note that we refer to the plastic strain rate and the viscous strain rate whereas they are the second invariant of the square root of the deviatoric strain rate in the plastic and viscous domain, respectively. The plastic strain refers to the integrated plastic strain rate over time and allows us to identify places that were already deformed and weakened.

**Model limitations.** The main limitation of our model is its two-dimensionality. The use of 2D modeling is appropriate for the Central Andes, where toroidal flow affecting the edges of the Nazca plate can be neglected. However, Hindle et al., (2005) estimated latitudinal crustal flow to contribute between ~10% to 30% of the present day crustal thickness of the Central Andes. In our models the crustal thickening is mainly caused by intraplate shortening. As a result, the lithospheric thickness of the orogen in our models is lower than the actual crustal thickness of the Central Andes. For example, in model S1 the final orogenic lithosphere thickness is ~57 km, whereas it should increase to ~62-74 km taking into account the latitudinal component.

In model S1 the final dip of the slab is steeper than in seismic tomography (supplementary Fig. 7a), which plausibly indicates the occurrence of deep mantle flow that is not considered in our model, or that trench retreat is underestimated (supplementary Fig. 7b). Buckling of the slab could provide an explanation for the deep seismicity distribution (supplementary Fig. 7b). Alternatively, Model S2a (interplate friction 0.015) indicates that a slight change of effective low friction at the interface can result in a shallower slab due to efficient trench retreat (supplementary Fig. 2).
We find that with an interplate effective friction of 0.05 (supplementary Fig.4), the maximum amplitude of the modeled subduction velocity is lower than the absolute normal velocity of the Nazca plate (~12.5 cm/yr at ~20 Ma, Sdrolias & Müller, 2006). This suggests that because we neglect 3D effects, we may overestimate the average interface friction resulting in reduced velocities relative to the paleomagnetic data.
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