1	
2 3 4 5 6 7	This manuscript has been submitted for publication in <i>Basin Research</i> , has undergone one round of peer-review, but has <b>NOT</b> been accepted as it is undergoing further peer-review. Subsequent versions of the manuscript may differ from this one. If accepted, the final, published version of this manuscript will be available via a link on this webpage. Please feel free to contact the corresponding author with questions and/or feedback.
8 9	Inverting passive margin stratigraphy for marine sediment
10	transport dynamics over geologic time
11	Charles M. Shobe <sup>1,2</sup> , Jean Braun <sup>2,3</sup> , Xiaoping Yuan <sup>2,4</sup> , Benjamin Campforts <sup>2,5</sup> , Boris
12	Gailleton <sup>2</sup> , Guillaume Baby <sup>6,7</sup> , François Guillocheau <sup>6</sup> , and Cécile Robin <sup>6</sup>
13	<sup>1</sup> Department of Geology and Geography, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV, USA
14	<sup>2</sup> Helmholtz Centre Potsdam, GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Potsdam, Germany
15	<sup>3</sup> Institute of Geosciences, University of Potsdam, Potsdam, Germany
16	<sup>4</sup> Hubei Key Laboratory of Critical Zone Evolution, School of Earth Sciences, China University
17	of Geosciences, Wuhan, China
18	<sup>5</sup> Community Surface Dynamics Modeling System, Boulder, CO, USA
19	<sup>6</sup> Géosciences Rennes, Université de Rennes, CNRS, UMR 6118, 35000 Rennes, France
20	<sup>7</sup> Physical Science and Engineering Division, King Abdullah University of Science and
21	Technology, Thuwal, Saudi Arabia
22	
23 24 25 26 27 28 29	CMS (*corresponding author): charles.shobe@mail.wvu.edu JB: jbraun@gfz-potsdam.de XY: xyuan@gfz-potsdam.de BC: benjamin.campforts@colorado.edu BG: boris.gailleton@gfz-potsdam.de GB: baby.guillaume@gmail.com FG: francois.guillocheau@univ-rennes1.fr

30 CR: cecile.robin@univ-rennes1.fr

- 31 Inverting passive margin stratigraphy for marine sediment
- 32 transport dynamics over geologic time
- 33 Charles M. Shobe<sup>1,2</sup>, Jean Braun<sup>2,3</sup>, Xiaoping Yuan<sup>2,4</sup>, Benjamin Campforts<sup>2,5</sup>, Boris
- 34 Gailleton<sup>2</sup>, Guillaume Baby<sup>6,7</sup>, François Guillocheau<sup>6</sup>, and Cécile Robin<sup>6</sup>
- 35 <sup>1</sup>Department of Geology and Geography, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV, USA
- <sup>2</sup>*Helmholtz Centre Potsdam, GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Potsdam, Germany*
- 37 <sup>3</sup>Institute of Geosciences, University of Potsdam, Potsdam, Germany
- <sup>4</sup>Hubei Key Laboratory of Critical Zone Evolution, School of Earth Sciences, China University
- 39 of Geosciences, Wuhan, China
- 40 <sup>5</sup>Community Surface Dynamics Modeling System, Boulder, CO, USA
- 41 <sup>6</sup>Géosciences Rennes, Université de Rennes, CNRS, UMR 6118, 35000 Rennes, France
- 42 <sup>7</sup>*Physical Science and Engineering Division, King Abdullah University of Science and*
- 43 Technology, Thuwal, Saudi Arabia

### 44

# 45 HIGHLIGHTS

- We compare two, 2-D stratigraphic forward models against observed marine stratigraphy.
- One model uses purely local transport dynamics while one incorporates nonlocal
- 48 transport.
- The model incorporating nonlocal transport processes produces the better fit to the data.
- Nonlocal, momentum-driven transport processes produce diagnostic stratigraphy.
- Inferring past terrestrial landscape dynamics from stratigraphy may require nonlocal
- 52 models.

53

#### 54 ABSTRACT

Passive margin stratigraphy contains time-integrated records of landscapes that have long 55 56 since vanished. Quantitatively reading the stratigraphic record using coupled landscape evolution 57 and stratigraphic forward models (SFMs) is a promising approach to extracting information 58 about landscape history. However, there is no consensus about the optimal form of simple SFMs 59 because there has been a lack of direct tests against observed stratigraphy in well constrained test 60 cases. Specifically, the extent to which SFM behavior over geologic space and time scales should 61 be governed by local (downslope sediment flux depends only on local slope) versus nonlocal (sediment flux depends on factors other than local slope, such as the history of slopes 62 63 experienced along a transport pathway) processes is currently unclear. Here we develop a 64 nonlocal, nonlinear SFM that incorporates slope bypass and long-distance sediment transport, both of which have been previously identified as important model components but not 65 66 thoroughly tested. Our model collapses to the local, linear model under certain parameterizations 67 such that best-fit parameter values can indicate optimal model structure. Comparing 2-D implementations of both models against seven detailed seismic sections from the Southeast 68 69 Atlantic Margin, we invert the stratigraphic data for best-fit model parameter values and 70 demonstrate that best-fit parameterizations are not compatible with the local, linear diffusion 71 model. Fitting observed stratigraphy requires parameter values consistent with important 72 contributions from slope bypass and long-distance transport processes. The nonlocal, nonlinear 73 model yields improved fits to the data regardless of whether the model is compared against only 74 the modern bathymetric surface or the full set of seismic reflectors identified in the data. Results 75 suggest that processes of sediment bypass and long-distance transport are required to model

realistic passive margin stratigraphy, and are therefore important to consider when inverting the
 stratigraphic record to infer past perturbations to source regions.

78

#### 79 **INTRODUCTION**

80 Reconstructing landscape evolution trajectories—and the environmental boundary conditions

81 that governed them—from the geologic past is a key goal in geomorphology. Such

82 reconstructions are challenging because erosion processes continually destroy past topography,

83 leaving only minor traces of ancient landscapes (e.g., river terraces; Molnar et al., 1994; Schanz

et al., 2018; Yuan et al., 2022) from which to deduce past landscape boundary conditions.

85 Fortunately, every source has its sink; all sediment eroded from a terrestrial drainage basin must

86 go somewhere. The sedimentary record, in regions where it is preserved and where there exists

87 plausible long-term connectivity between source and sink, therefore represents our best hope of

88 inferring time-resolved records of landscape change and its tectonic and climatic drivers with

89 reasonable accuracy and precision. One geologic setting with particularly high potential for the

90 preservation of relatively complete records of terrestrial erosion is marine passive margin basins,

91 which contain Earth's most complete archives of sediment sourced from adjacent, eroding

92 terrestrial environments (e.g., Steckler et al., 1988; Allen and Allen, 2013).

Passive margin stratigraphy can, under the right conditions, be used to reconstruct past
tectonic and climatic perturbations to Earth's surface (e.g., Poag and Sevon, 1989; Poag, 1992;
Pazzaglia and Brandon, 1996; Baby et al., 2018; Ding et al., 2019a). While the stratigraphic
record can suffer from signal buffering, stratigraphic incompleteness, and signal shredding (e.g.,
Sadler, 1981; Jerolmack and Paola, 2010; Straub et al., 2020), the variability that leads to these
effects is thought to yield average behavior that can be predicted at passive margin evolution

timescales (tens to hundreds of Ma). Passive margin stratigraphy may reflect large-scale, long-99 100 lasting perturbations to landscapes provided that those perturbations have amplitudes and 101 durations that exceed the background level of "noise" in the sedimentary system (Straub et al., 102 2020). Historically, efforts to read the stratigraphic record of passive margins have focused on 103 the study of sediment thickness, volume, texture, lithological/mineralogical makeup, and 104 chemistry, yielding interpretations about past terrestrial erosion dynamics (e.g., Poag and Sevon, 105 1989). As numerical stratigraphic forward models (SFMs) became more common (e.g., Steckler 106 et al., 1993; 1996; Syvitski and Hutton, 2001; Granjeon and Joseph, 1999; Burgess et al., 2006; 107 Burgess, 2012), stratigraphic modelers began to use inverse techniques to extract environmental 108 forcing information from forward simulation of the stratigraphic record (e.g., Lessenger and 109 Cross, 1996; Cross and Lessenger, 1999; Bornholdt and Westphal, 1998; Bornholdt et al., 1999; 110 Imhof and Sharma, 2006; 2007; Olivene et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2021). The great potential of 111 that record for revealing past landscape evolution has led to efforts to couple landscape evolution models (LEMs) and SFMs (e.g., Granjeon and Joseph, 1999; Salles and Hardiman, 2016; Salles 112 113 et al., 2018; Ding et al., 2019a,b; Yuan et al., 2019a, Salles, 2019; Zhang et al., 2020) to build 114 full source-to-sink models, and in some cases to use large ensembles of those models to directly 115 invert observed stratigraphy for terrestrial erosion dynamics (e.g., Yuan et al., 2019a). The idea 116 underpinning such inversions is that misfit between observed and modeled stratigraphy can be 117 minimized to reveal best-fit values for relevant forcing parameters such as rock uplift rate, 118 assuming that the model is an accurate representation of erosion, transport, and deposition 119 processes integrated over geologic time.

Many previous efforts focused on margin spatial scales and ~100 Ma timescales have used an
approach in which marine sediment transport is conceptualized as being linearly dependent on

122 local bathymetric slope, which when combined with mass conservation yields a linear-diffusion-123 like model (e.g., Moretti and Turcotte, 1985; Kenyon and Turcotte, 1985; Rivenaes, 1992; 1997; 124 Ross et al., 1994; Paola, 2000; Braun et al., 2013; Rouby et al., 2013; Yuan et al., 2019a; Zhang 125 et al., 2020). However, this approach might not be capable of producing large-scale stratal 126 geometries that agree with observations. In the stratigraphy of many passive margin basins, we 127 observe substantial accumulations of sediment hundreds of kilometers from shore on the 128 continental rise and abyssal plain that must have bypassed the higher-gradient continental slope 129 (Lowe, 1976; Syvitski et al., 1988) and then been transported long distances over negligible 130 slopes on the basin floor (Wynn et al., 2002; Talling et al., 2012, Luchi et al., 2018; Hereema et 131 al., 2020).

132 The sole dependence of sediment flux on local slope neglects both sediment transport over 133 very low slopes and the potential influence of nonlocal transport processes, or those processes 134 for which the distribution of sediment travel distances is heavy-tailed such that some sediment 135 moves long distances relative to the scale of the model grid (e.g., Foufoula-Georgiou et al., 136 2010). Transport processes are especially likely to deviate from local-slope-dependent behavior 137 when sediment particles are fine enough to be suspended in the water column as observed in 138 turbidity currents and other marine mass flows (e.g., Parker et al., 1986; Mohrig et al., 1998). In 139 a nonlocal conceptualization of downslope sediment transport, erosion or deposition at a point 140 has some dependence on surface slope elsewhere (Furbish and Roering, 2013; Doane et al., 141 2018). Nonlocal processes like sediment plumes from river mouths, turbidity currents, marine 142 landslides, and debris flows are responsible for much of the long-distance transport observed 143 along passive margins and are therefore relevant for any model that seeks to simulate passive 144 margin stratigraphy. Such processes and deposits may not be fully consistent with the

145	assumptions or predictions of local, linear transport models because they may require nonlocal
146	and/or nonlinear conceptualizations of sediment transport dynamics.
147	Stratigraphic forward modeling studies have moved beyond local, linear diffusion models to
148	incorporate nonlocal sediment transport dynamics with varying degrees of complexity (e.g.,
149	Granjeon and Joseph, 1999; Syvitski and Hutton, 2001; Sømme et al., 2009; Granjeon, 2014;
150	Harris et al., 2016; Ding et al., 2019a, Falivene et al., 2019). However, the extent to which
151	nonlocality should play a role in large-scale SFMs remains unclear, as previous comparisons
152	between local and nonlocal transport formulations have not always revealed clear differences
153	(Granjeon, 2014), and few studies have focused on the deep, distal portions of margins where
154	nonlocal process dynamics may contribute most to shaping margin form. While substantial effort
155	has been devoted to parameterizing large-scale terrestrial landscape evolution models (e.g.,
156	Guerit et al., 2019; Yanites et al., 2018; Barnhart et al., 2019; Barnhart et al., 2020a,b,c) to test
157	how well they predict landscape form (e.g., van der Beek and Bishop, 2003; Valla et al., 2010;
158	DiBiase and Whipple, 2011; Hobley et al., 2011; Barnhart et al., 2020b), the same is not true of
159	SFMs. The mathematical form of simple, long-term/large-scale seascape evolution models that
160	best represents the development of passive margin stratigraphy is currently an open question.
161	Here we test a generalized two-dimensional (2-D) SFM that moves beyond local, linear
162	diffusion by incorporating, as suggested by previous work, sediment transport dynamics that
163	allow sediment to bypass steep slopes and travel beyond the base of the continental slope. Our
164	approach is intended not to simulate such processes explicitly, but to model their integrated
165	effects over geologic time. We test the relative applicability of this nonlocal model and the local,
166	linear model by quantitative comparison against seismic stratigraphic data from well-studied
167	passive margin basins along the Southeast Atlantic Margin (SAM), southern Africa. Results from

168	model-data comparison indicate that, at least over ~100 Ma timescales, passive margin seascape
169	evolution and the development of marine stratigraphy are most consistent with a model that
170	incorporates nonlocal and nonlinear transport dynamics. This indicates that passive margin
171	evolution may be dominated by nonlocal, nonlinear sediment transport processes that may be
172	critical ingredients in models used to invert passive margin stratigraphy for past environmental
173	forcings.
174	
175	MODELING SEASCAPE EVOLUTION OVER GEOLOGIC TIME
176	
177	Model Dimensionality
178	Below, we cast the local, linear and nonlocal, nonlinear models in a form that, by
179	convention in the SFM literature (and in contrast to conventions governing LEMs), is referred to
180	as 2-D because any point in the model grid can be uniquely specified by a horizontal and a
181	vertical coordinate. This choice is essential to keep our model evaluation exercise tractable and
182	interpretable given the available stratigraphic data, but it is important to note that fully 3-D SFMs
183	are routinely used (e.g., Falivene et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2021) and in some cases allow
184	development of preferential nonlocal sediment transport pathways (e.g., submarine canyons) that
185	the models we test here can only claim to represent on average over geologic time (e.g.,
186	Granjeon, 2014).
187	
188	The Local, Linear Diffusion Model
189	The simplest and longest-standing approach to modeling seascape evolution (and therefore

190 the way, by tracking the bathymetric surface through time, of modeling marine stratigraphy) is to

191 use an analogy to the heat equation that yields a linear diffusion equation where elevation z is the 192 variable "diffusing" over time and where the gradient driving diffusion is the bathymetric slope 193  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}$  (Kenyon and Turcotte, 1985; Ross et al., 1994). The downslope sediment flux per unit contour

194 length 
$$q_s$$
 goes linearly with local slope ( $S = \frac{\partial z}{\partial x}$  for simplicity):

$$195 \qquad q_s = -K_d S\,,\,(1)$$

and the divergence of sediment flux sets the rate of bathymetric change:

197 
$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial q_s}{\partial x} = K_d \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x^2}.$$
 (2)

Here  $K_d$  [L<sup>2</sup>/T] is a transport coefficient that governs the rate of bathymetric diffusion. The key assumption in this approach is that downslope sediment flux goes linearly with the local slope, such that no variables beyond  $K_d$  and bathymetry influence the rate of seascape evolution.

There is no clear physical basis for such a slope-dependent diffusion equation at low slopes (i.e., on the continental shelf) and shallow water depths (see Paola, 2000 for a review), and an *ad hoc* solution has been to assert that the diffusion rate constant declines with water depth d (e.g., Kaufman et al., 1992; van Balen et al., 1995) as wave- and storm-driven bed shear stresses are reduced:

206 
$$K_d(d) = K_{d_0} e^{-a/d_*} . (3)$$

Here  $K_{d_0}$  is the diffusion rate constant at the water surface (d = 0) and  $d_*$  is the e-folding depth scale that governs the decline in  $K_d$  with depth below the water surface. When  $d_*$  is small relative to the total basin depth (i.e., when there are substantial declines in sediment transport efficiency with depth), the linear diffusion approach yields morphologies analogous to continental shelves, shelf breaks, and steeper continental slopes. Similar results are achieved by asserting that terrestrial sediment fluxes deposit at a fixed slope when they reach the shoreline

213	and then become subject to marine sediment transport by linear diffusion (Yuan et al., 2019a).
214	Linear diffusion models, with or without modifications in the shallow environment, deliver little
215	sediment beyond the base of the continental slope because the governing equation asserts that the
216	downslope sediment flux approaches zero as the local slope approaches zero.
217	The inconsistency of local, linear diffusion models with observations of nonlocal transport
218	and long-distance sedimentation has long been noted (e.g., Syvitski et al., 1988), and has
219	motivated model modifications such as adding advective components of sediment transport
220	(Niedoroda et al., 1995, Pirmez et al., 1998; Thran et al., 2020), allowing sediment bypass on
221	slopes above some angle (e.g., Lowe, 1976; Syvitski et al., 1988; Ross et al., 1994; Thran et al.,
222	2020), and enforcing that only some (potentially slope-dependent) proportion of the sediment
223	flux may be deposited at any given point, with the rest being routed downslope (Ding et al.,
224	2019a, Thran et al., 2020). There are also several higher-complexity, 3-D SFMs that incorporate
225	nonlocal transport by explicitly simulating advective processes (e.g., Granjeon and Joseph, 1999;
226	Granjeon, 2014; Falivene et al., 2019). Here we generalize ideas from existing SFMs, as well as
227	recent advances from terrestrial landscape evolution modeling, into a simple SFM that
228	incorporates two key modifications to account for both transport over low slopes and nonlocal
229	transport.

230 A Modified Seascape Evolution Model

The modified model is a generalization of existing ideas for how seascape evolution might deviate from the local, linear model that (1) is simple enough to be applied over basinfilling timescales, (2) is parsimonious enough to allow iterative calibration of all parameters, and (3) collapses under certain parameter values to the local, linear model. The model is most intuitively cast in terms of a balance between the volumetric entrainment rate per unit bed area *E* 

and volumetric deposition rate per unit bed area *D* (e.g., Beaumont et al., 1992; Kooi and
Beaumont, 1994; van Balen et al., 1995; Davy and Lague, 2009; Carretier et al., 2016; Shobe et
al., 2017; Yuan et al., 2019b; Campforts et al., 2020; Braun, 2021). The statement of mass
conservation that governs the change in bathymetry at a point is:

$$240 \quad \frac{\partial z}{\partial t} = -E + D \ . \ (4)$$

This framework is convenient because both of the models we propose to compare—the local, linear model and the nonlocal, nonlinear model—can be represented by altering the functional forms of *E* and *D*. As shown by Carretier et al. (2016), assuming that the entrainment rate is linearly proportional to the local slope *S*:

245 
$$E = K_e S$$
, (5)

that  $K_e$  is an entrainment rate constant [L/T], and that the deposition rate is the volumetric sediment flux per unit width  $q_s$  over the model grid cell spacing dx:

$$248 \qquad D = \frac{q_s}{dx}, (6)$$

yields the local, linear model with behavior identical to equation 2. Its two key assumptions are that sediment entrainment depends only on local slope and that the deposition rate depends only on the downslope sediment flux.

The nonlocal, nonlinear model uses equation 5 to calculate sediment entrainment but makes two key modifications to equation 6 inspired by observations from passive margin depositional systems. These are intended to allow (1) a nonlinear dependence of sediment transport on local slope to account for the transition to mass failures and turbidity currents at higher slopes as well as sediment bypass on slopes unable to sustain further steepening beyond some critical slope at which frequent failures are generated, and (2) transport of sediment over negligible slopes as observed in data from deep marine deposits (e.g., Wynn et al., 2002). Our

259	modified model rests heavily on recent advances in terrestrial and marine modeling, especially
260	the framework proposed by Carretier et al. (2016) for hillslope sediment transport.
261	Carretier et al. (2016) proposed altering equation 6 to encapsulate a nonlinear dependence
262	of the deposition rate on slope such that sediment deposition declines as slope increases towards
263	some imposed threshold (e.g., Andrews and Bucknam, 1987; Roering et al., 1999), such that:
264	$D = \frac{q_s \left(1 - \left(\frac{S}{S_c}\right)^2\right)}{dx}.$ (7)
265	Here $S_c$ is the critical slope, best thought of physically as the slope at or above which no further

deposition can occur and all remaining sediment continues downslope. As discussed by Carretier
et al. (2016), this model is nonlocal in the sense that sediment supplied from upslope can
continue downslope if the deposition rate is insufficient to disentrain all sediment. Similar
approaches to sediment bypass have also been used in recent seascape evolution models (e.g.,
Thran et al., 2020).

Equation 7 has one feature that makes it less than suitable for modeling marine transport: at a slope of zero, all sediment in transport is deposited. This is not a problem encountered in the eroding hillslopes for which the model was developed (Carretier et al., 2016), but contradicts the observed behavior of marine sediment transport processes like turbidity currents that can travel hundreds of km over negligible slopes. Because our goal is to simulate the integrated effects of such events over basin-filling timescales, our model must have a mechanism for transport of sediment over negligible slopes.

To allow transport of sediment over near-zero slopes, we modify Carretier et al's (2016) model by adopting from Ding et al. (2019a) the idea that only some proportion of sediment in transport will be deposited at any given location. We incorporate this modification by altering equation 7 to:

282 
$$D = \frac{q_s \left(1 - \left(\frac{S}{S_c}\right)^2\right)}{\lambda}, (8)$$

283 where  $\lambda$  is a sediment transport length scale that is at least the model grid cell spacing. When  $\lambda >> dx$ , only some small proportion of the amount of sediment in transport is deposited. The 284 285 rest continues in transport towards the distal portion of the margin. When  $\lambda = dx$ , all sediment in 286 transport is deposited. While this approach is heuristic—values of  $\lambda$  likely depend on grain size 287 but are not tied explicitly in our model to specific properties of the sediment or the transport 288 system—it allows the model to incorporate the general sediment transport patterns thought to 289 occur in the deep, distal portions of continental margins. Modeled sediment can travel long 290 distances down the continental slope because entrainment is linearly proportional to slope 291 (equation 5) and because deposition becomes negligible as slopes approach the critical slope of 292 non-deposition (equation 8). At the base of the continental slope, low slopes drive reduced 293 sediment entrainment rates and increased deposition rates, but the condition  $\lambda >> dx$  allows 294 continued transport across the abyssal plain in lieu of direct calculations of debris flow/turbidity 295 current transport (e.g., Parker et al., 1986). The modified model allows an approximation of 296 nonlocal transport in the sense that the amount of sediment deposited at a given distance from 297 shore depends not only on the local slope at that point but on all the points upslope that have 298 contributed sediment to-or removed it from-active transport.

At a point, the rate of elevation change responds to the sediment flux per unit width  $q_s$ , the entrainment coefficient  $K_e$ , the slope *S* relative to the critical slope of non-deposition  $S_c$ , and the sediment transport length scale  $\lambda$  (Figure 1). For a given  $\lambda$ , there is a shift from net deposition to net erosion as *S* approaches  $S_c$  as the deposition rate declines and the entrainment rate increases. At a given  $\frac{S}{S_c}$  increasing  $\lambda$  causes a shift towards less deposition (or more net entrainment) as more sediment remains in transport. The  $S/S_c$  at which there exists a shift from net deposition to net entrainment (i.e., a shift from positive  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial t}$  to negative  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial t}$ ) depends on  $\lambda$ . For  $S/S_c > 1$ , no deposition can occur,  $\lambda$  ceases to matter, and entrainment continues to scale

307 linearly with slope.

308



Figure 1: Model behavior—as shown by the rate of elevation change—as a function of  $\frac{S}{S_{n}}$  (where  $S = \frac{\partial z}{\partial x}$ ) and 309 310  $\lambda$ . Decreasing the transport length scale leads to increased deposition, and therefore positive changes in 311 elevation, when the slope is below the slope of non-deposition. When the slope is at or above the slope of non-312 deposition, the transport length scale ceases to matter because no deposition occurs and all sediment bypasses 313 the cell. The sediment entrainment rate increases linearly with slope, and deposition rate decreases 314 nonlinearly with slope, leading to net erosion as slopes increase towards the slope of non-deposition. The 315 erosion coefficient is held constant in this figure. 316 317 We follow previous work (Kaufman et al., 1992; van Balen et al., 1995) in our treatment of 318 both the local, linear model and the nonlocal, nonlinear model by asserting that the erosion

319 coefficient  $K_e$  declines exponentially with water depth *d* below some surface value  $K_{e_0}$ :

320 
$$K_e(d) = K_{e_0} e^{-d/d_*}$$
. (9)

This accounts for the erosive energy that may prevent the development of steep slopes close to the shoreline. The complete governing equation for the commonly used linear, local model in the erosion-deposition framework is found by substituting equations 5, 6, and 9 into equation 4:

324 
$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial t} = -K_{e_0} e^{-a/d_*} S + \frac{q_s}{dx} . (10)$$

The complete equation for bathymetric evolution under the nonlocal, nonlinear model is found by substituting equations 5, 8, and 9 into equation 4:

327 
$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial t} = -K_{e_0} e^{-d/d_*}S + \frac{q_s \left(1 - \left(\frac{S}{S_c}\right)^2\right)}{\lambda}. (11)$$

Equation 10 has two parameters: the sediment entrainment coefficient at zero water depth  $K_{e_0}$  [L/T] and the depth scale  $d_*$  [L] over which the entrainment coefficient declines with depth. Equation 11 has two additional parameters: the slope of non-deposition  $S_c$  [-] and the sediment transport length scale  $\lambda$  [L]. Sediment compaction due to the deposition of overburden is calculated using the assumption of an exponential decay in porosity  $\varphi$  with depth below the bathymetric surface h (e.g., Sclater and Christie, 1980; Yuan et al., 2019a):

334 
$$\varphi(h) = \varphi_0 e^{-h/h_*}$$
, (12)

where  $\varphi_0$  is the surface porosity and  $h_*$  is the e-folding length scale governing the decay of porosity with depth. We used  $\varphi_0$  and  $h_*$  values of 0.56 and 2830 m, respectively, obtained by averaging the sand and clay compaction parameters of Guillocheau et al. (2012).

We only apply equation 11 to positive slopes (defined as sloping from the shore towards the basin). For adverse slopes, we assert for simplicity that E = 0 and  $D = \frac{q_s}{dx}$ . The formulation for adverse slopes would be important in environments where they occur more commonly, but initial tests indicated minimal influence in our simulations where most slopes tilt towards the basin floor. 343

344 Conditions for the Collapse of the Nonlocal, Nonlinear Model to the Linear, Local Model 345 The nonlocal, nonlinear model (equation 11) is convenient because it collapses to the local, 346 linear model (equation 10) under certain parameter values such that the key differences between 347 the two approaches can be undone with parameter changes alone. When the slope of nondeposition  $S_c$  is infinitely large, or in practice is many times greater than the greatest slopes in 348 349 the model domain, there is no slope-driven reduction in the deposition rate and therefore no 350 sediment bypass on steep slopes. Similarly, when the sediment transport length scale  $\lambda$  is equal 351 to the model grid spacing dx (this corresponds physically to a case in which sediment cannot 352 travel far over near-zero slopes), there is no transport over flat regions. Parameter values in this 353 model are therefore a direct proxy for model structure (e.g., Barnhart et al., 2020a), meaning that 354 finding parameterizations that match observations can determine optimal model structure and 355 yield insight into seascape evolution processes.

356

# 357 METHOD FOR INVERSION OF PASSIVE MARGIN STRATIGRAPHY

Our goal, rather than simulating margin evolution under an assumed set of parameter values, is to develop insight into model structure by using a data-driven inversion to find the parameter values that yield the best match between modeled and measured passive margin stratigraphy. Best-fit parameter values will illuminate whether the deviations from the linear diffusion approach encoded within our model (sediment bypass and long-distance transport) are necessary to match observed stratigraphy.

### 364 Study Area: the Southeast Atlantic Margin, Southern Africa

365 The SAM is a well-studied passive margin sedimentary basin off the western coast of 366 southern Namibia and South Africa (Figure 2). Our study area consists of the Cape, Orange, 367 Lüderitz, and Walvis basins, which are bounded on the southeast by the Agulhas fracture zone 368 and on the northwest by the Rio Grande fracture zone. The basins were initially formed by early 369 Cretaceous rifting that opened the South Atlantic Ocean as Africa separated from South America 370 (e.g., Hirsch et al., 2010). Rifting initiated at ca. 250 Ma (Hirsch et al., 2010), but we focus only 371 on post-rift stratigraphy (Guillocheau et al., 2012; Baby et al., 2018; 2019). The earliest post-rift 372 units are dated to ca. 131 Ma (Baby et al., 2018). We selected the SAM because of the large 373 number of long (in terms of distance from the shoreline) seismic sections that have been 374 collected and interpreted (Guillocheau et al., 2012; Baby et al., 2018; 2019). Sections that have 375 continuous coverage from the shoreline to the nearly flat basin floor—typically reached at a 376 distance of between 300 and 600 km from shore on the SAM—are essential to constraining the 377 extent to which the long-distance sediment transport dynamics in our model adequately describe 378 the development of passive margin stratigraphy.



Figure 2: Study area and seismic data, modified from Baby et al. (2019). We use sections 1 and 3-8 and retain
 the section numbers from Baby et al. (2019) for clarity. We do not use section 2 for our parameter estimation
 experiments because the thickness of deposits beyond 500 km from the shoreline is unknown. RGFZ is the
 Rio Grande Fracture Zone; AFFZ is the Agulhas-Falkland Fracture Zone.

384 385 Seven seismic sections interpreted by Baby et al. (2018; 2019) comprise the dataset that

386 we will use to test the two models and determine optimal model structure and parameter values

387 (Figure 2). We omit one of their sections—their section 2 (Figure 2)—from our analysis because

it is by far the shortest (< 500 km) and because at its end point there are deposits approximately 3

389 km thick. It is not possible to evaluate models for long-distance sediment transport using section

- 390 2 because the section ends before deposits reach a negligible thickness.
- 391 The data that is most easily compared to SFM output is the geometry of seismic

392 reflectors. We use as our benchmark data sections that have been converted from two-way travel

time to depth. Each section has nine seismic reflectors of interest, each representing the top of a

394 particular unit as defined by Baby et al. (2019). The first (deepest) reflector of interest is the

contact between basement/syn-rift deposits and the first post-rift deposits, interpreted by Baby et
al. (2019) to occur at ca. 131 Ma. The ninth (uppermost) reflector is the modern bathymetric
surface. Because the basement/syn-rift surface will be manipulated as a model boundary
condition, there remain eight reflectors that can be used for model-data comparison when
determining best-fit model structure and parameter values.

### 400 Inversion Methodology

401 The procedure of our data-driven inversion approach—more formally classified as a 402 parameter inference exercise using a genetic algorithm—is to run successive "generations" (sets 403 of realizations) of the model that are run in parallel and then compared against data using a misfit 404 function we define below in the "Inversion Experimental Setup" section. The first generation 405 uses parameter values randomly drawn from a uniform distribution. Each generation yields a 406 subset of model runs with acceptable fits; a new generation of model realizations is then created 407 by randomly perturbing the parameter values (in our case using a Gaussian perturbation kernel 408 (Klinger et al., 2018)) of the runs from the previous generation that were deemed acceptable. By 409 running successive generations of realizations, the inversion procedure converges on a region of 410 the parameter space that yields best-fit parameter values. Because parameter values represent the 411 contributions of slope bypass and long-distance transport processes, best-fit parameter values 412 reveal the importance, or lack thereof, of those processes to passive margin evolution. For our 413 inversions we used the ABC-SMC (approximate Bayesian computation—sequential Monte 414 Carlo) algorithm implemented in PyABC (Klinger et al., 2018), an open-source Python package 415 that allows efficient parameter estimation using the iterative procedure described above. See 416 Sisson et al. (2007) and Toni et al. (2009) for details of ABC-SMC approaches, and Table S1 for 417 algorithm parameters used in our study.

418	There are many choices that govern inversion behavior, including the choice of the algorithm
419	itself. Our chosen approach is purposefully similar to genetic algorithm methods used in prior
420	efforts to infer parameters of SFMs (e.g., Lessenger and Cross, 1996; Bornholdt and Westphal,
421	1998; Bornholdt et al., 1999; Cross and Lessenger, 1999; Imhof and Sharma, 2006; 2007;
422	Falivene et al., 2014; Yuan et al., 2019a), but differs in the details of how successful
423	parameterizations are selected from each generation and perturbed to produce the next.
424	Exploratory testing of different parameter inference algorithm choices did not lead to
425	meaningfully different results.
426	Conducting such an inversion exercise requires estimating or assuming initial and boundary
427	conditions for the model that cannot be precisely known from geophysical and stratigraphic data
428	(for example, the subsidence history of the basin floor over the past 130 Ma). We also need to
429	define how model-data misfit will be calculated.
430	Model Setup and Initial and Boundary Conditions
431	All model simulations run from 130 Ma, the approximate beginning of the post-rift
432	evolution of the SAM, to present day, with a timestep of 1,000 years. Model grid resolution is 10
433	km, a large spatial discretization but one commonly used in large-scale basin modeling (e.g.,
434	Granjeon, 2014) and that is sufficient to resolve the first-order morphology of the margin.
435	Because our goal is to invert for best-fit model parameters, rather than boundary conditions, we
436	must assume a set of boundary conditions lest we introduce too many variables into the
437	inversion. Assessment of inversion sensitivity to boundary conditions is a critical next step, but is
438	not treated here. The two key boundary conditions, both of which are functions of time, are the
439	geometry of the basement/syn-rift layer and the sediment flux to the modeled basin.

440 **Basement geometry.** The model is supplied with a value for basement elevation at every 441 point, both initially and at every subsequent timestep. We set initial basement geometry at 130 442 Ma by assuming that the initial post-rift basement had approximately 1/3 the depth, relative to a 443 steady datum, of the modern basement. We then assume that the basement subsided at an 444 exponentially declining rate (McKenzie, 1978) between 130 Ma and present, such that the 445 basement elevation over time at any point declines from its initial elevation to its known present 446 elevation, rapidly at first and then more slowly (with an e-folding time scale held constant at 447 23.67 Ma for all sections). These simplistic assumptions are broadly consistent with expectations 448 derived from simple thermal subsidence models (e.g., McKenzie, 1978) and give time series of basement elevations in agreement with those deduced from basin reconstruction studies from the 449 450 Orange Basin (Hirsch et al., 2010). We do not model flexural subsidence due to sediment and 451 water loading (except in the sense that the deepest portions of the basement subside the fastest 452 from the initial to final condition) so that we can have consistent basement geometry between all 453 model runs for a given section to aid model comparison.

454 The other key simplification inherent to our treatment of basement geometry is that we do 455 not include any uplift or tilting of the margin over the course of its evolution. Stratigraphic 456 analysis (Rouby et al., 2009; Baby et al., 2018), thermochronologic measurements (Stanley et al., 2021), basin modeling (Hirsch et al., 2010), and numerical modeling (Dauteuil et al., 2013; 457 458 Braun et al., 2014; Stanley et al., 2021) suggest that portions of the SAM experienced two 459 periods of rock uplift. The first was a pulse of tilting from ca. 81-66 Ma that affected the Orange 460 and Lüderitz basins and could have caused a maximum of 1,000 m of rock uplift in the proximal 461 portion of the margin (the distal portions of the margin, closer to the hinge point of the tilt, would 462 have experienced much less rock uplift; Aizawa et al., 2000; Paton et al., 2008; Hirsch et al.,

463	2010; Baby et al., 2018). This pulse is hypothesized to result from passage of Southern Africa
464	over a mantle superswell (Braun et al., 2014). The second hypothesized rock uplift pulse
465	occurred at ca. 30 Ma (though basin reconstruction studies report the pulse as occurring later at
466	ca. 16 Ma (Hirsch et al., 2010)) and had an amplitude of approximately 300-350 m (Baby et al.,
467	2018); the cause of this pulse remains unknown. We choose not to incorporate these
468	perturbations into our basement boundary condition. The magnitude and timing of uplift pulses
469	are inconsistent—and inconsistently constrained—among the four basins for which we have data
470	(Baby et al., 2018), and there is still debate about the existence and importance of the more
471	recent pulse (O'Malley et al., 2021). The magnitude of these perturbations is small relative to the
472	up to 7 km of deposits on the SAM. We acknowledge that incorporating these uplift pulses might
473	improve model-data misfit, but we argue that there is insufficient clarity in the data to
474	incorporate them, and that neglecting them would not lead to different conclusions with respect
475	to differentiating between the models we investigate.

476 *Terrestrial sediment flux.* The model requires a value for the terrestrial sediment flux 477 supplied to the basin at every timestep. Basin-scale sediment flux reconstructions for the SAM 478 rely on interpolation between seismic sections to derive estimates of volumetric sediment 479 delivery to the margin over the past 130 Ma (Guillocheau et al., 2012; Baby et al., 2019). 480 However, a cursory look at the sections of interest (Figure 2) shows that the total sediment 481 volume, as well as the volume during any given time interval, varies significantly among 482 sections within a given basin. To remove uncertainty surrounding the role of sediment flux, we 483 take the simplest possible approach: for each stratigraphic section to which we compare our 484 model, we calculate the sediment flux for each time period by integrating the volume of sediment 485 per unit margin width contained between each set of reflectors along each section while

486 accounting for post-deposition porosity loss due to compaction (see Shobe et al., 2022 for code). 487 This approach yields a total sediment volume per unit basin width  $[L^2]$  for each unit in each 488 section. Because the time duration represented by each section is known from previous work 489 (Guillocheau et al., 2012; Baby et al., 2018; 2019), we can then divide each unit's volume per 490 unit basin width by the time interval to get an average sediment flux to the section per unit width 491 per unit time  $[L^2/T]$ . Figure 3 shows the sediment flux time series obtained by integration, as 492 well as the basin-integrated sediment flux time series from Baby et al. (2019). The sediment flux 493 time series in any one section is reasonably similar to the basin-integrated sediment flux. 494 Estimates from our section integration approach are subject to uncertainty due to stratigraphic 495 incompleteness (e.g., Straub et al., 2020) caused by sediment moving into and out of the plane of 496 the section (i.e., parallel to the margin). There also are non-terrestrial sediments (i.e., carbonates 497 and pelagic deposits; Guillocheau et al., 2012; Baby et al., 2018) in our sections that are counted 498 as terrestrially derived sediment fluxes under our methodology. Incompleteness and non-499 terrestrial sources likely introduce significant uncertainty into the terrestrial sediment flux 500 estimates. Given that the alternative to accepting these uncertainty sources is to assume that 501 reconstructed basin-scale sediment fluxes were evenly distributed among all sections in a given 502 basin, an idea not supported by section volumes or isopach maps (Baby et al., 2019), we argue 503 that we have made the safer assumption by conserving mass within each section we analyze to 504 enable direct comparison of modeled and measured seismic sections. Potential effects of 505 uncertainty in the sediment supply are worthy of future investigation.

506 *Sea level.* We hold sea level constant throughout all model experiments. The amplitude 507 of eustatic sea level variations (~120 m) is small relative to the length and depth scales of the 508 SAM both globally over the past 100 Ma (Bessin et al., 2017) and more recently throughout the

Quaternary off southern Africa specifically (Ramsay and Cooper, 2002). Further, the influence
of eustatic sea level on sediment delivery over geologic timescales to the deep, distal portions of
continental margins—the places where nonlocal transport dynamics may most influence
stratigraphy—is unclear (Sømme et al., 2009; Harris et al., 2016; 2018; 2020; Falivene et al.,
2020).



514

Figure 3: (A) Volumetric fluxes of solid sediment from southern Africa to the four basins comprising the SAM (Baby et al., 2019). These estimates were derived from interpolating between the sections shown in Figure 3 (Guillocheau et al., 2012; Baby et al., 2018; 2019). (B) Volumetric solid sediment fluxes per unit basin width derived in this study by integrating over the depth and length of each seismic section and assuming an exponentially declining porosity profile. Given that the basins range from 500-1000 km wide, the two estimates agree to an order of magnitude.

522 Inversion Experimental Setup

523 We use two approaches to compare numerical model outcomes against the stratigraphic 524 record. The first (experiment 1) is to compare the modeled and measured modern bathymetric 525 surface without taking into account the geometry of subsurface reflectors. This has the advantage 526 of simplicity as it does not require accounting for the post-deposition compaction of older 527 reflectors. The second approach (experiment 2) is to simultaneously compare between the model 528 and the data the position of all reflectors (except for the top of the basement/syn-rift deposits, 529 which is a boundary condition). This latter approach is more complicated, but provides a time-530 integrated picture of model-data (mis)fit rather than relying on only the modern surface. The 531 multi-reflector approach may be particularly important when working with data from the SAM, 532 as the geometry of the uppermost layer (11-0 Ma) is thought to be heavily influenced by contour 533 currents in addition to processes transporting sediment seaward from the coast (Baby et al., 534 2018). In both experiments, best-fit model parameter values are constrained for each section 535 independently. This approach allows comparison of best-fit parameter values among sections to 536 assess the variability of best-fit values across the SAM. 537 For each set of experiments, we also ran an inversion using a parameterization of our model 538 that collapses to the standard linear diffusion model by setting the sediment transport length scale

equal to the grid spacing and removing slope as a control on the sediment deposition rate.

540 Comparison of best-fit results between the nonlocal, nonlinear model and the local, linear model

541 will reveal whether the additional complexity we have implemented to approximate nonlocal,

542 nonlinear sediment transport leads to model results that better match observations from the SAM.

543

Experiment 1: Calculating misfit using the modern bathymetric surface. In this

544 experiment we compare the modeled bathymetric surface after 130 Ma to the bathymetric

545 surface revealed in Baby et al. (2019). Because the basement elevation at 130 Ma of model time

is imposed to match the observed basement elevation, this is equivalent to comparing the observed  $(h_{obs})$  and modeled  $(h_{sim})$  thickness of sediment deposited at every point *i* along a section. The misfit function can be written as:

549 
$$\mu = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{(h_{obs} - h_{sim})^2}{\delta^2}}, (13)$$

where *N* is the number of cells in the model domain—and the number of points to which the seismic section has been downsampled—such that all points except for the boundary condition tied to z = 0 are considered.  $\delta$  is the error associated with our observations. Because we do not have an explicit estimate of  $\delta$  at every point, which would be a quantity derived during the seismic interpretation process, the value of  $\delta$  has no influence on the inversion process because the divisor is constant throughout all of our experiments. Only in a case of spatially or temporally varying  $\delta$  would its value affect the search for a best-fit set of parameter values.

557 *Experiment 2: Calculating misfit using all reflectors.* Our second, more sophisticated 558 inversion scheme compares the elevation above basement of the eight reflectors from a given 559 seismic section against the same measurements from each modeled section. This comparison 560 gives rise to the misfit function:

561 
$$\mu = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N_r(N-1)} \sum_{j=1}^{N_r} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{(h_{obs} - h_{sim})^2}{\delta^2}}, (14)$$

where  $N_r$  is the number of reflectors being compared between each measured and modeled section (in our case  $N_r = 8$ ).

The set of possible misfit functions for an inverse problem is infinite, necessitating somewhat arbitrary choices. Our misfit functions are purely geometric—that is, they use deposit shape alone. This is appropriate given the simplicity of our model, but we note that additional constraints such as sand percentages derived from well-log data can allow the inference of

568	additional model parameters (e.g., Falivene et al., 2014). Other options for constructing misfit
569	functions include comparing deposit thickness or geometry at only a few key points (e.g., Yuan
570	et al., 2019a) or, if working in more than one planview dimension, comparing metrics of
571	planview margin geometry like the shelf edge (Zhang et al., 2021) or the stratigraphic centroid
572	(Martin et al., 2009; Granjeon, 2014).
573	
574	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
575	The Nonlocal, Nonlinear Model Calibrated Against the Modern Bathymetric Surface
576	Best-fit Parameter Values
577	Of the four parameters we varied in the nonlinear, nonlocal model, two dominate model
578	behavior and show narrow ranges that yield the best fit to the stratigraphic data (Figure 4, Table
579	S2). The two key parameters are the sediment transport distance and the slope of non-deposition.
580	Inversions converge on relatively narrow best-fit regions for these two values, such that
581	substantial deviation from the best-fit values results in much worse model-data fit. The same is
582	not true of the surface sediment erodibility and the erodibility depth scale. For all seven sections,
583	these parameters show large regions over which they provide fits of relatively unchanging
584	quality. This indicates that the sediment transport distance and slope of non-deposition drive
585	most of the variability in model outcomes. Physically, this suggests that it is the spatial pattern of
586	deposition, rather than remobilization of previously deposited sediments, that shapes the SAM.
587	Comparing parameter distributions across the seven sections (best seen in the kernel density
588	plots in Figure 4) reveals that every section converges on best-fit parameters that depart
589	significantly from the local, linear model. The majority of sections converge on values for the
590	sediment transport length scale of slightly over $2x10^5$ m. Recalling that the local model is

recovered with a value of 10<sup>4</sup> m (our grid cell spacing), this result indicates that the shape of the 591 592 modern bathymetric surface in the SAM requires significant long-distance transport even across 593 low slopes. The best-fit slope of non-deposition is between ~0.02 and ~0.06 for all sections 594 except one-section 1-which has no portions of the parameter space that provide a good fit to 595 the data (Figure 5). Such low slopes of non-deposition imply a significant role for slope bypass, 596 or nonlocal downslope sediment transport. Best-fit  $S_c$  values many times the maximum slopes 597 observed on the SAM would indicate that sediment transport can be reasonably approximated by 598 transport that depends only on local slope (because sediment bypass becomes negligible when  $S \ll S_c$ ; equation 8, Figure 1). Given that our inverse analyses reveal  $S_c$  values ranging from 599 ~0.02 to ~0.06 in the sections where we find reasonable model-data fit, we do not find support 600 601 for the local transport approximation. Instead, the best fit between modeled and measured 602 stratigraphy is achieved when sediment can bypass slopes of more than a few degrees.



603

604 Figure 4: Results for all seven sections from the search for a best-fit parameterization of the nonlocal, 605 nonlinear model with the inversion procedure constrained only by the modern bathymetric surface. Scatter 606 plots show model-data misfit (color) as a function of the four key parameters. Kernel density estimate (KDE) 607 plots show the distribution of values for each parameter. Because the inversion procedure runs more model 608 realizations in regions of the parameter space with reduced model-data misfit, peaks in the KDE plots can be 609 interpreted as showing the region of each parameter's range that leads to the lowest misfit. Narrow peaks in 610 the KDE plots indicate parameters with well-constrained best-fit values, while broad peaks indicate 611 parameters for which a wide range of values produces similar misfit. Numbered sets of plots refer to the 612 seismic section used for the inversion. Maximum and minimum misfit values vary between sections; color 613 values have been scaled for interpretability.

614

### 615 Comparison of Modeled and Observed Stratigraphy

616 For five of the seven sections, the inversion yielded best-fit parameter estimates that led to

617 best-fit simulations that qualitatively and quantitatively fit the data reasonably well (Figure 5).

- 618 These sections have gently sloping continental shelves with altitudes below, rather than level
- 619 with, sea level, and smooth, convex-up shelf edges. They have concave-up continental slopes

620 grading into gently sloping continental rise/abyssal plain deposits. Sediment is not always found 621 as far from shore as in the data, but noticeable accumulations of sediment are observed up to 622  $\sim$ 1000 km from shore. Two sections, 1 and 7, yielded what we interpret to be substantially worse 623 fits as defined by the mismatch of major morphometric features like the continental shelf edge 624 and the curvature of the continental slope. It is difficult to know why the fits are substantially 625 worse for sections 1 and 7. One key commonality that the two sections share is a relatively high 626 proportion of the total sediment volume stored at the extreme distal end of the section. While our 627 approach does allow for more realistic modeling of long-runout sediment transport than the 628 classic local, linear approach does, there is still a fundamental tension in which allowing 629 sediment to accumulate at the very distal end of the modeled section requires too much inhibition 630 of deposition at the proximal end. It may not be possible for our model to deposit enough 631 sediment in distal reaches while preserving steep, well-defined shelf edges. This weakness would not be resolved in section 1 by raising the maximum possible  $S_c$  value (Figure 4); increases in  $S_c$ 632 633 would further inhibit transport to the basin floor.

634 Comparison of modeled and observed subsurface reflectors, though it was not quantitatively 635 incorporated into the misfit function in this experiment, shows that the pattern of reflectors is 636 almost completely depositional. There are few-and only minor-instances of reflectors being 637 truncated by overlying units, indicating that the story in these models is one of continuous 638 deposition rather than episodes of deposition and re-erosion driven by variations in the terrestrial 639 sediment flux time series. This is broadly concordant with the interpreted geologic history of the 640 SAM, in which—barring the episodes of rock uplift that we have not modeled here—there is 641 little erosional truncation of units except by eustatic variations in the nearshore. This 642 concordance of modeled and observed stratigraphy suggests that our model is not only producing

- 643 reasonable final bathymetry, but is building a stratigraphic record that reflects the long-term
- 644 average of the processes shaping the SAM.



645 646

Figure 5: Comparison between modeled and measured stratigraphy for all seven sections with two measures 647 of misfit. While all modeled reflectors are shown (and are compacted to account for overburden), only the 648 modern bathymetric surface was used to assess model-data fit in this experiment; subsurface modeled

649 reflectors were not compared against data to assess fit. Percent error points that appear to be missing are 50 >100%; Values of exactly 100% error typically occur where the model deposited no sediment. μ is total misfit
 given by equation 13; VE is vertical exaggeration.

652

#### 653 Comparison Between the Nonlocal, Nonlinear Model and the Local, Linear Model

Here we compare inversion results between the two models to assess whether the nonlocal,

nonlinear model leads to substantially better fits between modeled and measured stratigraphy.

656 We search for the best-fit local, linear model using the same procedure as for our new model; the

only two parameters to optimize in the local, linear model are the surface sediment erodibility  $K_e$ 

and the depth scale over which it decays  $d_*$ .

659 Using only the modern bathymetric surface as a constraint, the local, linear model converges 660 to a narrow range of surface erodibility values and a broader region of erodibility decay depths 661 for sections 3-8 (Figure 6, Table S2). Section 1, ever the outlier, converges on a large erodibility 662 value that decays rapidly with depth. All sections except section 6 indicate that the model is 663 "searching" for erodibility decay depth values even greater than the 40,000 m maximum value in 664 the inversion. At the maximum values of 40,000 m, erodibility in the deepest parts of the margin 665 only declines to ~80% of its value at the water surface such that sediment entrainment can still occur in the deep, distal reaches of the margin wherever nonzero slopes are found. We interpret 666 667 this behavior as the local, linear model compensating for its lack of mechanisms for long-668 distance sediment transport by allowing substantial erosion at great depth. Interestingly, the 669 tendency of the inversion procedure to identify  $d_*$  values large enough that sediment erodibility 670 does not meaningfully decline with depth suggests that while erodibility decay with depth may 671 give rise to realistic-looking shallow marine morphometric features like shelf breaks (Kaufman 672 et al., 1992; van Balen et al., 1995), such an approach may ultimately be counterproductive when 673 we expand our view to include the distal portion of the margin because it yields models that

- 674 cannot transport sediment far enough from shore without some other process or additional
- 675 changes in erodibility with depth or distance from shore.
- 676



678 Figure 6: Results for all seven sections from the search for best-fit parameter values for the local, linear 679 diffusion model constrained only by the modern bathymetric surface. The tall, narrow region of good-fitting 680 models indicates that only a narrow range of surface erodibility values leads to minimized misfit. The 681 majority of sections (all except 6) have converged to the maximum values of the erodibility decay depth scale, 682 indicating that even higher values would lead to further improvements in model-data fit. Given that under 683 our imposed maximum value of 40,000 m, erodibility in the deepest regions of the margin only declines to 684 ~80% of its value at the water surface, further improvements to model-data fit from increasing the maximum 685 decay depth would be marginal.

686

677

687 The local, linear model provides, for all sections that can be reasonably fit by either 688 approach, a worse fit to the modern bathymetric surface than was obtained with the nonlocal, 689 nonlinear model (Figure 7, 8). While best-fit parameterizations of the local, linear model do exhibit sediment delivery to the distal portions of the sections (achieved through large erodibility 690 691 decay depths that yield non-negligible erodibility at depth), this comes at the cost of model-data 692 fit in the nearshore environment. The large erodibility decay depths required to enable transport 693 of sediment far from shore precludes the local, linear model from achieving the rounded, shallow 694 continental shelf edge observed in the data. Instead, a shelf of sorts is created simply by 695 progradation of the shoreline as sediments accumulate in the nearshore but are prevented from

696 accumulating above sea level under the assumption that the shoreline will prograde under such 697 conditions. Shoreline progradation, combined with an erodibility that is nearly constant 698 throughout the depth profile, results in sharp shelf breaks grading immediately into the concave-699 up continental slope rather than the smooth, convex-up shelf breaks observed in the seismic data. 700 The local, linear model is effectively being forced to choose between accurately reproducing the 701 shelf edge and delivering sediment to the distal portions of the margin. Because our misfit 702 function incorporates every point along each section, the model minimizes misfit if it delivers 703 sediment far from shore even at the cost of reproducing the shelf and shelf-edge. A misfit 704 function that focused on the nearshore (e.g., Yuan et al., 2019a) would likely lead to the opposite 705 end-member of this tradeoff.

706 Though our misfit function in this experiment did not incorporate comparison between 707 observed and modeled subsurface reflectors, the local, linear model—even in its best-fit 708 parameterizations—does not stand up to a qualitative assessment of the form of the subsurface 709 reflectors it produces (Figure 7). To deliver sediment far from shore, the local, linear model must 710 first deposit that sediment in a proximal location and then erode those deposits during times of 711 low terrestrial sediment flux. The time series of reflectors produced in most of the local, linear 712 best-fit simulations reveal a steep, prograding wedge of sediment that is then smoothed out to 713 lower gradients through subsequent erosion. Except for the brief periods in SAM history when 714 the margin experienced substantial rock uplift, which we do not model, there is limited evidence 715 for significant erosional truncation beyond that occurring in the nearshore due to eustatic 716 variations (Baby et al., 2018). The reflectors from the nonlocal, nonlinear model (Figure 5) do 717 not show this pattern of progradation of a steep-fronted sediment wedge followed by later 718 truncation by erosion; they instead show consistent accumulation of sediments through time at

719 any given location, including the distal reaches of the basin. Interpretation of the stratigraphic 720 record suggests that the latter behavior is more consistent with the history of the SAM. 721 It is unsurprising that the nonlocal, nonlinear model provides a better fit to the data than the 722 local, linear model (Figure 8) in all but one case where neither model provided a reasonable fit 723 and imposed parameter ranges prevented the more complex model from fully minimizing misfit 724 (Figure 4)—the latter model is a restricted subset of the former. The critical results of this 725 comparison are that (1) the model requires significant deviation from linear diffusion parameter 726 values (i.e., a large travel distance relative to the model grid cell spacing and a critical slope low 727 enough that sediment bypass is common) to provide a reasonable match between modeled and 728 observed bathymetry, (2) the local, linear model cannot through parameter adjustments provide 729 fits that approximate the outcomes of the nonlocal, nonlinear model, (3) the dynamics of the 730 local, linear model as revealed by subsurface reflectors are not supported by observations from 731 the SAM, and (4) seven of eight sections show a reduction in misfit—and four of seven sections 732 show at least a factor of two reduction—achieved by adding nonlocal, nonlinear transport 733 dynamics (Figure 8). This suggests that long-distance transport and slope-dependent sediment 734 bypass processes are required to form the canonical shapes of passive margin stratigraphy, and 735 therefore argues that these processes are essential ingredients in SFMs, at least for passive 736 margin settings.

#### 



Figure 7: Comparison between modeled and measured stratigraphy for the best-fit local, linear diffusion
 model realization for each section. Bottom panels show two measures of misfit. While all modeled reflectors

737

are shown (and are compacted to account for overburden), only the modern bathymetric surface was used to



742 assess fit. μ is total misfit given by equation 13; VE is vertical exaggeration.

743



Figure 8: Misfit values for the best-fit model for each section using the nonlocal, nonlinear model (dark blue)
and the local, linear model (light blue) when the model fit is determined by comparing only against the
modern bathymetric surface. The nonlocal, nonlinear model yields better fitting best-fit realizations for six of
seven sections.

### 750 The Influence of Considering Multiple Reflectors

751 Parameters estimated by the inversion that takes into account all eight reflectors are surprisingly 752 similar to those estimated when using only the modern bathymetric surface to constrain the 753 inversion. For brevity we show average parameter values for the 50 best-fitting model 754 realizations from the single reflector and multiple-reflector inversions plotted against each other 755 (Figure 9) such that points falling on the 1:1 line indicate consistent parameter values achieved 756 by the two methods. See Table S3 and Figures S1-S4 for detailed results of multi-reflector 757 inversions. 758 Inclusion of all reflectors in the misfit calculation for the nonlocal, nonlinear model

resulted in a shift towards slightly greater best-fit travel distance values (Figure 9A), likely

760 because the data requires that good-fitting models be able to distribute sediment to the distal 761 portion of the basin even relatively early in the margin's evolution when there do not yet exist 762 the slopes required to drive sediment bypass in the absence of another mechanism for long-763 distance transport. The critical slope of non-deposition (Figure 9B) remained remarkably 764 consistent between the surface-only and multiple-reflector inversions, suggesting that the model 765 most effectively adjusts to the need to deliver early deposits far from shore with changes in the 766 travel distance, which affects transport over all slopes, rather than the critical slope, which only 767 affects transport over meaningful gradients. Physically this may indicate the importance of long-768 runout sediment transport processes (e.g., turbidity currents, marine debris flows) that may 769 initially be generated by significant bathymetric slopes but then transport sediment up to 770 hundreds of km over vanishingly low slopes. The erodibility and erosion depth scale (Figure 9C 771 and D, respectively) show more scatter between inversion methods; this is not surprising given 772 that there is a large region of good-fitting values for both parameters (e.g., Figure 4). 773 Including all reflectors when searching for best-fit parameters for the local, linear model 774 leads to surface erodibility values that largely fall near the 1:1 line (Figure 9E), indicating that 775 the composition of the misfit function did not have a strong effect on the best-fit value. The same 776 is true of the erodibility decay depth scale (Figure 9F) with the exception of two values that 777 changed significantly between the surface-only and multiple-reflector inversion schemes. We 778 attribute the overall consistency between parameter values derived using the two different 779 methods to the fact that all reflectors in our seismic data show a similar pattern: long-distance 780 transport beginning from the earliest stages of post-rift margin evolution followed by the largely 781 depositional draping of successive units atop previous deposits. In this respect the modern 782 surface is not geometrically distinct from the subsurface reflectors, which may explain why

783 incorporating the subsurface reflectors leads to little improvement in model-data fit. A model can 784 either achieve parameter values that allow it to develop these types of deposits (i.e., in the 785 nonlocal, nonlinear model) in which case the specific number and age of reflectors used does not 786 have a significant effect on inferred best-fit parameter values, or it cannot achieve 787 parameterizations that allow long-distance, deposition-driven stratal stacking patterns (i.e., in the 788 local, linear model) in which case the specifics of the misfit function do not matter because the 789 fit to eight reflectors will be no better than the fit to a single one. We initially undertook the 790 multiple-reflector inversion because the modern bathymetric surface is thought to be heavily 791 influenced by contour currents (Baby et al., 2018). Adding seven subsurface reflectors does not 792 substantially change inferred best-fit parameters, which may indicate that variability in contour 793 current effects among units does not cause a radical enough change in stratigraphic 794 architecture—relative to the effects of subsidence and terrestrial sediment flux—to influence our 795 simple models.

796 When the misfit function incorporates all eight reflectors, the nonlocal, nonlinear model 797 yields a better fit to the observed stratigraphic data than the local, linear model does for all seven 798 sections (Figure 10). The improvement in model-data fit gained from adding nonlocal, nonlinear 799 sediment transport dynamics exists regardless of whether we use only the modern surface or all 800 reflectors as a basis for comparison. The misfit values between the two models are much closer 801 when all reflectors are used for the inversion (Figure 10). This arises from the introduction of 802 seven additional constraints on the model, many of which it must inevitably fail to match (Figure 803 5) even in its best-fit parameterization. However, the consistent reduction in misfit that 804 accompanies the nonlocal, nonlinear model signals that those dynamics are required to produce 805 stratigraphy that matches observations. The only scenario where this would not hold true is one

in which a misfit function was used that did not take into account the distal portions of the basin
at all. Given the substantial accumulations of sediment in the distal portions of the SAM (Figure
2), we argue that finding models that adequately simulate those deposits is a prerequisite for
closing the source-to-sink mass balance.





813 methods. In the case of the nonlinear, nonlocal model (column 1), the two most important parameters fall

814 close to the 1:1 line, indicating that the inversion method (whether subsurface information is incorporated or 815 not) does not have a strong influence on the best-fit parameter values and therefore on predicted margin

stratigraphy. In the case of the local, linear model (column 2), erodibility values are consistent between

817 methods while erosion depth scale values show more scatter.

818



Figure 10: Misfit values for the best-fit model for each section using the nonlocal, nonlinear model (dark blue)
and the local, linear model (light blue) when the model fit is determined by comparing against all seismic
reflectors. The nonlocal, nonlinear model yields better fitting best-fit realizations for all seven sections.

# 824 Limitations and Implications for Inversion of the Stratigraphic Record

825 Our motivation in testing SFMs is to enable the inversion of the stratigraphic record for 826 information about past terrestrial environments and geomorphic processes. If reasonably 827 effective SFM structures and parameterizations can be identified *a priori*, then coupled 828 LEM/SFMs will be more useful for inferring drivers of past landscape evolution. Our results 829 favor the idea that SFMs should incorporate mechanisms for sediment bypass and long-distance 830 transport, and that these processes cannot be adequately mimicked with parameter changes in the 831 commonly used local, linear diffusion model for seascape evolution. Our study further 832 emphasizes that *both* mechanisms of nonlocality (bypass and long-distance transport) are

833 required to achieve the model-data agreement we find; Figure 4 demonstrates that one element or 834 the other is not sufficient to place the model in the good-fitting region of the parameter space. 835 The nonlocal, nonlinear model we tested represents an amalgamation of ideas from 836 previous workers that have not previously been evaluated in detail against stratigraphic data, and 837 our analysis reveals that it provides a substantial improvement over the more widely used local, 838 linear model. However, the nonlocal, nonlinear model still needs improvement. Aside from 839 subsuming a wide array of marine transport processes into two key transport parameters, its most 840 critical shortcoming is that it only heuristically accounts for the momentum that allows transport 841 processes like turbidity currents and marine debris flows to carry sediment into the distal 842 portions of basins. More effective conceptualizations of sediment entrainment and 843 disentrainment, possibly following recent advances in hillslope geomorphology (e.g., Doane et 844 al., 2018; Furbish et al., 2021), might further improve SFMs with the understanding that the 845 models will always need to simulate the spatial and temporal average of marine sediment 846 transport if they are to prove feasible for inverse analyses that require  $10^{5}$ - $10^{6}$  forward model 847 realizations. Improving model fit—especially abrupt slope breaks driven by changes in process 848 dominance—may require multiprocess models (e.g., Granjeon et al., 1999; Syvitski and Hutton, 849 2001), but their parameter-rich nature may hinder parameter estimation exercises and make them 850 susceptible to overfitting to a given calibration location. There exist sufficient models in the 851 literature that span a wide range of complexity that, as in this study, the future challenge is more 852 about rigorously testing models against data to find the simplest workable theory than it is about 853 developing new models.

Though we used seven seismic sections spanning four basins to evaluate different SFMs, our study is limited to a single passive margin. Best-fit regions of the parameter space for the

856	nonlinear, nonlocal model's travel distance and critical slope of non-deposition parameters
857	consistently showed that the model was not collapsing to its local, linear parameterization, but
858	the key parameters still exhibited considerable variability among sections (Figure 4). While our
859	analysis may have restricted the range of possible values that need to be considered when using
860	such a model to invert the stratigraphic record, a set of global parameter values cannot be
861	assumed. Similarly, we have not established sensitivity of inversion outcomes to initial and
862	boundary conditions and additional processes-including eustatic sea level, lithospheric flexure,
863	and terrestrial sediment supply-which are well-understood in the SAM relative to other regions
864	but still carry considerable uncertainty (e.g., Guillocheau et al., 2012).
865	Flexure is a process of particular interest given that it can influence the location of
866	depocenters and resulting stratal geometries. We have not treated flexure here to ensure that
867	modeled stratigraphy is compared in the context of a consistent time-evolving basement
868	geometry. We suspect that adding flexure to the model would not alter the conclusion that
869	nonlocal processes govern the development of passive margin stratigraphy. The generally
870	proximal deposition in the local, linear model (Figure 7) might cause flexural subsidence in those
871	locations, thereby potentially reducing bathymetric slopes and resulting fluxes of sediment
872	towards the distal portions of the basin. The longer-distance deposition given by the nonlocal,
873	nonlinear model (Figure 5) may result in less proximal flexural subsidence and the maintenance
874	of greater bathymetric slopes, allowing enhanced transport towards the deep, distal portions of
875	the margin. Nonetheless, the relative importance of nonlocal transport processes in models
876	including flexural subsidence is important to examine.
877	A final open question is the applicability of our findings given the reduced

878 dimensionality of our modeling exercise. We tested 2-D implementations of our candidate

879 models. This means that the models enforced purely margin-perpendicular sediment transport, 880 when in reality margin-parallel components of transport-such as contour currents that are 881 known to have influenced the SAM (Baby et al., 2018)—also occur. Our 2-D implementations 882 also cannot simulate processes that cause the development of preferential sediment transport 883 pathways, like submarine canyons and channels. We therefore must interpret the improvement in 884 fit given by our nonlocal, nonlinear model as arising due to the model's ability to simulate 885 average sediment transport patterns that occur as a result of nonlocal processes whose effects 886 likely vary spatially over geologic time, like for example a submarine channel undergoing 887 avulsions across a deep-sea fan. Though there exist plenty of 3-D SFMs (e.g., Granjeon and 888 Joseph, 1999; Salles et al., 2018; Falivene et al., 2019), testing optimal SFM structure in two 889 dimensions remains an important stepping stone towards inverting terrestrial landscape history 890 from stratigraphy because the simplicity and parsimony of 2-D models allows relatively efficient 891 calibration even in data-poor situations.

892

#### 893 CONCLUSIONS

894 We evaluated a simple, nonlocal, nonlinear model for marine sediment transport and the

development of marine stratigraphy over geologic time. The model builds on the concepts of

sediment bypass espoused by previous authors (e.g., Syvitski et al., 1998; Ross et al., 1994; Ding

et al., 2019a;) that have not previously been directly tested against observed stratigraphy.

898 Quantitative comparison of the model against seven stratigraphic sections from the SAM reveals899 that:

900	1.	The nonlocal, nonlinear model can achieve parameterizations that develop realistic
901		marine bathymetry and stratigraphy, though variability in best-fit parameter values exists
902		among the seven seismic sections tested.
903	2.	The nonlocal, nonlinear model does not converge on parameter values that result in a
904		collapse to the local, linear model. The local, linear model cannot fit the data. It fails both
905		to fit the modern bathymetric surface and to yield seascape evolution trajectories that
906		match observations.
907	3.	The key difference between the two models lies in the ability of the nonlocal, nonlinear
908		model to deliver sediment to distal portions of the basin without compromising its ability

to develop realistic nearshore morphology and stratigraphy.

910
4. Points (1) through (3) hold true regardless of whether model parameters are optimized
911
911
912
912
913
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
919
919
910
910
910
910
910
911
912
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
919
919
910
910
910
910
910
910
911
912
913
914
915
915
916
917
918
918
919
919
919
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
911
912
913
914
914
915
915
916
917
917
918
918
919
919
919
910
910
910
910
910
911
911
912
912
913
914
915
914
915
915
915
916
917
918
918
919
919
919
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
910
91

914 5. Processes of sediment bypass and long-distance transport govern the architecture of the
915 stratigraphic record over basin-filling timescales, making it essential that SFMs capture at
916 least the spatial and temporal averages of these nonlocal processes.

917

918 Given the general lack of terrestrial evidence for past landscape evolution dynamics, the 919 stratigraphic record represents our best chance to learn about the erosion trajectories of 920 landscapes long gone. We tentatively suggest that the transport dynamics encapsulated in the 921 nonlocal, nonlinear model govern the development of passive margin stratigraphy. Our ability to 922 invert the stratigraphic record, either on its own for inferring sediment supply to basins or

923	coupled with landscape evolution models to infer past tectonic, climatic, and/or lithologic
924	boundary conditions, would benefit from improved understanding of such nonlocal transport
925	processes.

926

### 927 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

- 928 Model code, data, and inversion scripts are publicly available at
- https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.20205077 (Shobe et al., 2022). C.M. Shobe was supported
- 930 by H2020 Marie Sklodowska-Curie Actions grant no. 833132 (STRATASCAPE). We
- acknowledge time on the West Virginia University Thorny Flat high-performance computing
- 932 cluster which is supported by the National Science Foundation under Major Research
- 933 Instrumentation program award #1726534. We thank Benoît Bovy, Tim Carr, Rachel Glade, Kim
- Huppert, Luca Malatesta, Delphine Rouby, Jaime Toro, and Amy Weislogel for helpful
- 935 discussions. Thanks to Guillermo Franco, Nate Garver-Daniels, and Daniel Turpen for HPC
- support and to Xuesong Ding, Oriol Falivene, and Associate Editor Peter Burgess for
- 937 constructive reviews.

### 938 **REFERENCES CITED**

- Aizawa, M., Bluck, B., Cartwright, J., Milner, S., Swart, R., and Ward, J., 2000, Constraints on
- 940 the geomorphological evolution of Namibia from the offshore stratigraphic record,
- 941 Communications of the Geological Survey of Namibia, v. 12, p. 337—346.
- Allen, P.A. and Allen, J.R., 2013, Basin Analysis, Principles and Application to Petroleum Play
  Assessment, Wiley-Blackwell, 632 p.
- 944 Andrews, D.J. and Bucknam, R.C., 1987, Fitting degradation of shoreline scarps by a nonlinear
- 945 diffusion model, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, v. 92, no. B12, p. 12857—
- 946 12867, doi:10.1029/JB092iB12p12857.

947	Baby, G., Guillocheau, F., Morin, J., Ressouche, J., Robin, C., Broucke, O., and Dall'Asta, M.,
948	2018, Post-rift stratigraphic evolution of the Atlantic margin of Namibia and South Africa:
949	Implications for the vertical movements of the margin and the uplift history of the South
950	African Plateau, Marine and Petroleum Geology, v. 97, p. 169–191,
951	doi:10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2018.06.030.
952	Baby, G., Guillocheau, F., Braun, J., Robin, C., and Dall'Asta, M., 2019, Solid sedimentation
953	rates history of the Southern African continental margins: Implications for the uplift
954	history of the South African Plateau, Terra Nova, v. 32, no. 1, p. 53-65,
955	doi:10.1111/ter.12435.
956	van Balen, R.T., van der Beek, P.A., and Cloetingh, S.A.P.L., 1995, The effect of rift shoulder
957	erosion on stratal patterns at passive margins: Implications for sequence stratigraphy, Earth
958	and Planetary Science Letters, v. 134, p. 527-544, doi:10.1016/0012-821X(95)98955-L.
959	Barnhart, K.R., Glade, R.C., Shobe, C.M., and Tucker, G.E., 2019, Terrainbento 1.0: a Python
960	package for multi-model analysis in long-term drainage basin evolution, Geoscientific
961	Model Development, v. 12, p. 1267-1297, doi:10.5194/gmd-12-1267-2019.
962	Barnhart, K.R., Tucker, G.E., Doty, S., Shobe, C.M., Glade, R.C., Rossi, M.W., and Hill, M.C.,
963	2020a, Inverting topography for landscape evolution model process representation: Part 1,
964	conceptualization and sensitivity analysis, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface,
965	v. 125, no. 7, doi:10.1029/2018JF004961.
966	Barnhart, K.R., Tucker, G.E., Doty, S., Shobe, C.M., Glade, R.C., Rossi, M.W., and Hill, M.C.,
967	2020b, Inverting topography for landscape evolution model process representation: Part 2,
968	calibration and validation, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, v. 125, no. 7,

969 doi:10.1029/2018JF004963.

970	Barnhart,	K.R.,	Tucker.	G.E.,	Doty.	S.,	Shobe,	C.M.,	Glade,	R.C.,	Rossi.	M.W.,	and Hill	. M.C.,
		,			,			- · · · ·	,	,	,			, ,

- 971 2020c, Inverting topography for landscape evolution model process representation: Part 3,
- 972 determining parameter ranges for select mature geomorphic transport laws and connecting
- 973 changes in fluvial erodibility to changes in climate, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth
- 974 Surface, v. 125, no. 7, doi:10.1029/2019JF005287.
- Beaumont, C., Fullsack, P., and Hamilton, J., 1992, Erosional control of active compressional
  orogens, *in* McClay, K.R., ed., Thrust tectonics, p. 1—18.
- 977 van der Beek, P., and Bishop, P., 2003, Cenozoic river profile development in the Upper Lachlan
- 978 catchment (SE Australia) as a test of quantitative fluvial incision models, Journal of
- 979 Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, v. 108, no. B6, doi:10.1029/2002JB002125.
- 980 Bessin, P., Guillocheau, F., Robin, C., Braun, J., Bauer, H., and Schroëtter, J.-M., 2017,
- 981 Quantification of vertical movement of low elevation topography combining a new
- 982 compilation of global sea-level curves and scattered marine deposits (Armorican Massif,
- 983 western France), Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 470, p. 25—36,
- 984 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2017.04.018.
- Bornholdt, S., and Westphal, H., 1998, Automation of stratigraphic simulations: quasi-backward
- 986 modeling using genetic agorithms, *in:* Mascle, A., Puigdefabregas, C., Luterbacher, H.P.,
- 987 and Fernandez, M., eds., Cenozoic Foreland Basins of Western Europe, Geological Society
- 988 Special Publications v. 134, p. 371-379.
- 989 Bornholdt, S., Nordlund, U., and Westphal, H., 1999, Inverse stratigraphic modeling using
- genetic algorithms, *in:* Harbaugh, J.W., Watney, W.L., Rankey, E.C., Slingerland, R.,
- 991 Goldstein, R.H., and Franseen, E.K., eds., Numerical Experiments in Stratigraphy: Recent

- 992 Advances in Stratigraphic and Sedimentologic Computer Simulations,
- 993 doi:10.2110/pec.99.62.0085.
- 994 Braun, J., 2021, Comparing the transport-limited and  $\zeta$ -q models for sediment transport, Earth 995 Surface Dynamics, v. 10, p. 301-327, doi:10.5194/esurf-10-301-2022.
- Braun, J., Deschamps, F., Rouby, D., and Dauteuil, O., 2013, Flexure of the lithosphere and the
- 997 geodynamical evolution of non-cylindrical rifted passive margins: Results from a
- 998 numerical model incorporating variable elastic thickness, surface processes and 3D thermal
- 999 subsidence, Tectonophysics, v. 604, p. 72—82, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2012.09.033.
- 1000 Braun, J., Guillocheau, F., Robin, C., Baby, G., and Jelsma, H., 2014, Rapid erosion of the
- 1001 Southern African Plateau as it climbs over a mantle superswell, Journal of Geophysical
- 1002 Research: Solid Earth, v. 119, p. 6093—6112, doi:10.1002/2014JB010998.
- 1003 Burgess, P.M., Lammers, H., van Oosterhout, C., and Granjeon, D., 2006, Multivariate sequence
- 1004 stratigraphy: Tackling complexity and uncertainty with stratigraphic forward modeling,
- 1005 multiple scenarios, and conditional frequency maps, American Association of Petroleum
- 1006 Geologists Bulletin, v. 90, no. 12, p. 1883—1901, doi:10.1306/06260605081.
- 1007 Burgess, P.M., 2012, A brief review of developments in stratigraphic forward modelling 2000-
- 2009, *in* Roberts, D.G. and Bally, A.W., eds., Regional Geology and Tectonics: Principles
  of Geologic Analysis, p. 378-404.
- 1010 Campforts, B., Shobe, C.M., Steer, P., Vanmaercke, M., Lague, D., and Braun, J., 2020,
- 1011 HyLands 1.0: a Hybrid Landscape evolution model to simulate the impact of landslides
- and landslide-derived sediment on landscape evolution, v. 13., p. 3863—3886,
- 1013 doi:10.5194/gmd-13-3863-2020.

- 1015 transport during landscape evolution, Earth Surface Dynamics, v. 4, p. 237–251,
- 1016 doi:10.5194/esurf-4-237-2016.
- 1017 Cross, T.A. and Lessenger, M.A., 1999, Construction and application of a stratigraphic inverse
- 1018 model, in: Harbaugh, J.W., Watney, W.L., Rankey, E.C., Slingerland, R., Goldstein, R.H.,
- 1019 and Franseen, E.K., eds., Numerical Experiments in Stratigraphy: Recent Advances in
- 1020 Stratigraphic and Sedimentologic Computer Simulations, doi:10.2110/pec.99.62.0069.
- 1021 Dauteuil, O., Rouby, D., Braun, J., Guillocheau, F., and Deschamps, F., 2013, Post-breakup
- 1022 evolution of the Namibian margin: Constrains from numerical modeling, Tectonophysics,
- 1023 v. 604, p. 122—138, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2013.03.034.
- Davy, P. and Lague, D., 2009, Fluvial erosion/transport equation of landscape evolution models
   revisited, Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 114, F03007, doi:10.1029/2008JF001146.
- 1026 DiBiase, R.A. and Whipple, K.X., 2011, The influence of erosion thresholds and runoff
- variability on the relationships among topography, climate, and erosion rate, Journal of
  Geophysical Research, v. 116, doi:10.1029/2011JF002095.
- 1029 Ding, X., Salles, T., Flament, N., Mallard, C., and Rey, P.F., 2019a, Drainage and sedimentary
- 1030 responses to dynamic topography, Geophysical Research Letters, v. 46, no. 24, p. 14385—
  1031 14394, doi:10.1029/2019GL084400.
- 1032 Ding, X., Salles, T., Flament, N., and Rey, P., 2019b, Quantitative stratigraphic analysis in a
- 1033 source-to-sink numerical framework, Geoscientific Model Development, v. 12, p. 2571—
- 1034 2585, doi:10.5194/gmd-12-2571-2019.
- 1035 Doane, T.H., Furbish, D.J., Roering, J.J., Schumer, R., and Morgan, D.J., 2018, Nonlocal
- 1036 sediment transport on steep lateral moraines, Eastern Sierra Nevada, California, USA,

- 1037 Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, v. 123, no. 1, p. 187–208,
- 1038 doi:10.1002/2017JF004325.
- 1039 Falivene, O., Frascati, A., Gesbert, S., Pickens, J., Hsu, Y., and Rovira, A., 2014, Automatic
- 1040 calibration of stratigraphic forward models for predicting reservoir presence in exploration,
- 1041 American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, v. 98, no. 9, p. 1811-1835,
- 1042 doi:10.1306/02271413028.
- 1043 Falivene, O., Frascati, A., Bolla Pittaluga, M., and Martin, J., 2019, Three-dimensional reduced-
- 1044 complexity simulation of fluvio-deltaic clastic stratigraphy, Journal of Sedimentary
- 1045 Research, v. 89, p. 46-65, doi:10.2110/jsr.2018.73.
- 1046 Falivene, O., Prather, B.E., and Martin, J., 2020, Quantifying sand delivery to deep water during
- 1047 changing sea-level: Numerical models from the Quaternary Brazos Icehouse continental
  1048 margin, Basin Research, v. 32, p. 1711-1733, doi:10.1111/bre.12449.
- 1049 Foufoula-Georgiou, E., Ganti, V., and Dietrich, W.E., 2010, A nonlocal theory of sediment
- 1050 transport on hillslopes, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, v. 115, no. F2,
- 1051 doi:10.1029/2009JF001280.
- 1052 Furbish, D.J. and Roering, J.J., 2013, Sediment disentrainment and the concept of local versus
- 1053 nonlocal transport on hillslopes, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, v. 118,
- 1054 no. 2, p. 937—952, doi:10.1002/jgrf.20071.
- 1055 Furbish, D.J., Roering, J.J., Doane, T.H., Roth, D.L., Williams, S.G., and Abbott, A.M., 2021,
- 1056 Rarefied particle motions on hillslopes—Part 1: Theory, Earth Surface Dynamics, v. 9, np.
- 1057 3, p. 539—576, doi:10.5194/esurf-9-539-2021.
- 1058 Granjeon, D. and Joseph, P., 1999, Concepts and applications of a 3-D multiple lithology,
- 1059 diffusive model in stratigraphic modeling, *in:* Harbaugh, J.W., Watney, W.L., Rankey,

- 1060 E.C., Slingerland, R., Goldstein, R.H., and Franseen, E.K., eds., Numerical Experiments in
- 1061 Stratigraphy: Recent Advances in Stratigraphic and Sedimentologic Computer Simulations,
- 1062 p. 197—210, doi:10.2110/pec.99.62.0197.
- 1063 Granjeon, D., 2014, 3D forward modelling of the impact of sediment transport and base level
- 1064 cycles on continental margins and incised valleys, International Association of
- 1065 Sedimentology Special Publication, v. 46, p. 453-472.
- 1066 Guerit, L., Yuan, X.P., Carretier, S., Bonnet, S., Rohais, S., Braun, J., and Rouby, D., 2019,
- 1067 Fluvial landscape evolution controlled by the sediment deposition coefficient: Estimation
- 1068 from experimental and natural landscapes, Geology, v. 47, no. 9, p. 853–856,
- 1069 doi:10.1130/G46356.1.
- 1070 Guillocheau, F., Rouby, D., Robin, C., Helm, C., Rolland, N., Le Carlier de Veslud, C., and
- 1071 Braun, J., 2012, Quantification and causes of the terrigeneous sediment budget at the scale
- 1072 of a continental margin: a new method applied to the Namibia-South Africa margin, Basin

1073 Research, v. 24, p. 3—30, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2117.2011.00511.x.

- 1074 Harris, A.D., Covault, J.A., Madof, A.S., Sun, T., Sylvester, Z., and Granjeon, D., 2016, Three-
- 1075 dimensional numerical modeling of eustatic control on continental-margin sand

1076 distribution, Journal of Sedimentary Research, v. 86, p. 1434-1443,

- 1077 doi:10.2110/jsr.2016.85.
- 1078 Harris, A.D., Baumgartner, S.E., Sun, T., and Granjeon, D., 2018, A poor relationship between
- sea level and deep-water sand delivery, Sedimentary Geology, v. 370, p. 42-51,
- 1080 doi:10.1016/j.sedgeo.2018.04.002.
- 1081 Harris, A.D., Covault, J.A., Baumgartner, S., Sun, T., and Granjeon, D., 2020, Numerical
- 1082 modeling of icehouse and greenhouse sea-level changes on a continental margin: Sea-level

- 1083 modulation of deltaic avulsion processes, Marine and Petroleum Geology, v. 111, p. 807-
- 1084 814, doi:10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2019.08.055.
- 1085 Hereema, C.J. et al., 2020, What determines the downstream evolution of turbidity currents?
- Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 532, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2019.116023.
- 1087 Hirsch, K.K., Schenck-Wenderoth, M., van Wees, J.-D., Kuhlmann, G., and Paton, D.A., 2010,
- 1088 Tectonic subsidence history and thermal evolution of the Orange Basin, Marine and
- 1089 Petroleum Geology, v. 27, p. 565—584, doi:10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2009.06.009.
- 1090 Hobley, D.E.J., Sinclair, H.D., Mudd, S.M., and Cowie, P.A., 2011, Field calibration of sediment
- 1091 flux dependent river incision, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, v. 116, no.
- 1092 F4, doi:10.1029/2010JF001935.
- 1093 Imhof, M.G. and Sharma, A.K., 2006, Quantitative seismostratigraphic inversion of a prograding
  1094 delta from seismic data, *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, v. 23, p. 735-744,
- 1095 doi:10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2006.04.004.
- Imhof, M.G. and Sharma, A.K., 2007, Seismostratigraphic inversion: Appraisal, ambiguity, and
   uncertainty, *Geophysics*, v. 72, no. 4, p. R51-R66, doi:10.1190/1.2720496.
- 1098 Jerolmack, D.J. and Paola, C., 2010, Shredding of environmental signals by sediment transport,
- 1099 Geophysical Research Letters, v. 37, no. 19, doi:10.1029/2010GL044638.
- 1100 Kaufman, P., Grotzinger, J.P., and McCormick, D.S., 1992, Depth-dependent diffusion algorithm
- 1101 for simulation of sedimentation in shallow marine depositional systems, Kansas Geological
- 1102 Survey Bulletin, v. 233, p. 489—508.
- 1103 Kenyon, P.M. and Turcotte, D.L., 1985, Morphology of a delta prograding by bulk sediment
- transport, Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 96, no. 11, p. 1457–1465,
- 1105 doi:10.1130/0016-7606(1985)96<1457:MOADPB>2.0.CO;2.

1106	Klinger, E., Rickert, D., and Hasenauer, J., 2018, pyABC: distributed, likelihood-free inference,
1107	Bioinformatics, v. 34, no. 20, p. 3591—3593, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bty361.
1108	Kooi, H. and Beaumont, C., 1994, Escarpment evolution on high-elevation rifted margins:
1109	Insights derived from a surface processes model that combines diffusion, advection, and
1110	reaction, Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 99, no. 12, p. 12191-12209.
1111	Lessenger, M.A. and Cross, T.A., 1996, An inverse stratigraphic simulation model—is
1112	stratigraphic inversion possible? Energy Exploration and Exploitation, v. 14, no. 6, p.
1113	627—637, doi:10.1177/014459879601400606.
1114	Lowe, D.R., Grain flow and grain flow deposits, Journal of Sedimentary Petrology, v. 46, no. 1,
1115	p. 188—199.
1116	Luchi, R., Balachandar, S., Seminara, G., and Parker, G., 2018, Turbidity currents with
1117	equilibrium basal driving layers: A mechanism for long runout, Geophysical Research
1118	Letters, v. 45, no. 3, p. 1518—1526, doi:10.1002/2017GL075608.
1119	Martin, J., Paola, C., Abreu, V., Neal, J., and Sheets, B., 2009, Sequence stratigraphy of
1120	experimental strata under known conditions of differential subsidence and variable base
1121	level, American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, v. 93, no. 4, p. 503-533,
1122	doi:10.1306/12110808057.
1123	McKenzie, D., 1978, Some remarks on the development of sedimentary basins, Earth and
1124	Planetary Science Letters, v. 40, no. 1, p. 25—32, doi:10.1016/0012-821X(78)90071-7.
1125	Mohrig, D., Ellis, C., Parker, G., Whipple, K.X., and Hondzo, M., 1998, Hydroplaning of
1126	subaqueous debris flows, Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 110, no. 3, p. 387-
1127	394, doi:10.1130/0016-7606(1998)110<0387:HOSDF>2.3.CO;2.

1128	Molnar, P., Brown, E.T., Burchfiel, B.C., Deng, Q., Feng, X., Li, J., Raisbeck, G.M., Shi, J.,
1129	Zhangming, W., Yiou, F., and You, H., 1994, Quaternary climate change and the
1130	formation of river terraces across growing anticlines on the north flank of the Tien Shan,
1131	China, The Journal of Geology, v. 102, no. 5, p. 583-602, doi:10.1086/629700.
1132	Moretti, I. and Turcotte, D.L., 1985, A model for erosion, sedimentation, and flexure with
1133	application to New Caledonia, Journal of Geodynamics, v. 3, no. 1-2, p. 155-168,
1134	doi:10.1016/0264-3707(85)90026-2.
1135	O'Malley, C.P.B., White, N.J., Stephenson, S.N., and Roberts, G.G., 2021, Large-scale tectonic
1136	forcing of the African Landscape, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, v.
1137	126, doi:10.1029/2021JF006345.
1138	Niedoroda, A.W., Reed, C.W., Swift, D.J.P., Arato, H., and Hoyanagi, K., 1995, Modeling
1139	shore-normal large-scale coastal evolution, Marine Geology, v. 126, p. 181-199,
1140	doi:10.1016/0025-3227(95)98961-7.
1141	Paola, C., 2000, Quantitative models of sedimentary basin filling, Sedimentology, v. 47, no. s1,
1142	p. 121—178, doi:10.1046/j.1365-3091.2000.00006.x.
1143	Parker, G., Fukushima, Y., and Pantin, H.M., 1986, Self-accelerating turbidity currents, Journal
1144	of Fluid Mechanics, v. 171, p. 145-181, doi:10.1017/S0022112086001404.
1145	Paton, D.A., van der Spuy, D., di Primio, R., and Horsfield, B., 2008, Tectonically induced
1146	adjustment of passive-margin accommodation space: influence on the hydrocarbon
1147	potential of the Orange Basin, South Africa, American Association of Petroleum

1148 Geologists Bulletin, v. 92, no. 5, p. 589—609, doi:10.1306/12280707023.

1149	Pazzaglia, F.J. and Brandon, M.T., 1996, Macrogeomorphic evolution of the post-Triassic
1150	Appalachian mountains determined by deconvolution of the offshore basin sedimentary
1151	record, Basin Research, v. 8, no. 3, p. 255–278, doi:10.1046/j.1365-2117.1996.00274.x.
1152	Pirmez, C., Pratson, L.F., and Steckler, M.S., 1998, Clinoform development by advection-
1153	diffusion of suspended sediment: Modeling and comparison to natural systems, Journal of
1154	Geophysical Research, v. 103, no. B10, p. 24141-24157, doi:10.1029/98JB01516.
1155	Poag, C.W., 1992, U.S. Middle Atlantic continental rise: Provenance, dispersal, and deposition
1156	of Jurassic to Quaternary sediments, in Poag, C.W. and Graciansky, P.C., eds., Geologic
1157	Evolution of Atlantic Continental Rises: Springer, p. 100-156.
1158	Poag, C.W. and Sevon, W.D., 1989, A record of Appalachian denudation in postrift Mesozoic
1159	and Cenozoic sedimentary deposits of the U.S. Middle Atlantic continental margin,
1160	Geomorphology, v. 2, no. 1—3, p. 119—157, doi:10.1016/0169-555X(89)90009-3.
1161	Ramsay, P.J. and Cooper, J.A.G., 2002, Late Quaternary sea-level change in South Africa,
1162	Quaternary Research, v. 57, no. 1, p. 82—90, doi:10.1006/qres.2001.2290.
1163	Rivenaes, J.C., 1992, Application of a dual-lithology, depth-dependent diffusion equation in
1164	stratigraphic simulation, Basin Research, v. 4, p. 133—146, doi:10.1111/j.1365-
1165	2117.1992.tb00136.x.
1166	Rivenaes, J.C., 1997, Impact of sediment transport efficiency on large-scale sequence
1167	architecture: results from stratigraphic computer simulation, Basin Research, v. 9, p. 91-
1168	105, doi:10.1046/j.1365-2117.1997.00037.x.
1169	Roering, J.J., Kirchner, J.W., and Dietrich, W.E., 1999, Evidence for nonlinear, diffusive
1170	sediment transport on hillslopes and implications for landscape morphology, Water
1171	Resources Research, v. 35, no. 3, p. 853—870, doi:10.1029/1998WR900090.

1172	Ross, W.C., Halliwell, B.A., May, J.A., Watts, D.E., and Syvitski, J.P.M., 1994, Slope
1173	readjustment: A new model for the development of submarine fans and aprons, Geology,
1174	v. 22, p. 511—514, doi:10.1130/0091-7613(1994)022<0511:SRANMF>2.3.CO;2.
1175	Rouby, D., Bonnet, S., Guillocheau, F., Gallagher, K., Robin, C., Biancotto, F., Dauteuil, O., and
1176	Braun, J., 2009, Sediment supply to the Orange sedimentary system over the last 150 My:
1177	An evaluation from sedimentation/denudation balance, Marine and Petroleum Geology,
1178	v. 26, no. 6, p. 782-794, doi:10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2008.08.004.
1179	Rouby, D., Braun, J., Robin, C., Dauteuil, O., and Deschamps, F., 2013, Long-term stratigraphic
1180	evolution of Atlantic-type passive margins: A numerical approach of interactions
1181	between surface processes, flexural isostasy and 3D thermal subsidence, Tectonophysics,
1182	v. 604, p. 83—103, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2013.02.003.
1183	Sadler, P.M., 1981, Sediment accumulation rates and the completeness of stratigraphic sections,
1184	The Journal of Geology, v. 89, no. 5, p. 569—584, doi:10.1086/628622.
1185	Salles, T., 2019, eSCAPE: Regional to global scale landscape evolution model v2.0,
1186	Geoscientific Model Development, v. 12, p. 4165-4184, doi:10.5194/gmd-12-4165-
1187	2019.
1188	Salles, T. and Hardiman, L., 2016, Badlands: An open-source, flexible and parallel framework to
1189	study landscape dynamics, Computers & Geosciences, v. 91, p. 77-89,
1190	doi:10.1016/j.cageo.2016.03.011.
1191	Salles, T., Ding, X., and Brocard, G., 2018, pyBadlands: A framework to simulate sediment
1192	transport, landscape dynamics and basin stratigraphic evolution through space and time,
1193	PLoS ONE, v. 13, no. 4, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0195557.

- 1194 Schanz, S.A., Montgomery, D.R., Collins, B.D., and Duvall, A.R., 2018, Multiple paths to
- straths: A review and reassessment of terrace genesis, Geomorphology, v. 312, p. 12–
  doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2018.03.028.
- 1197 Sclater, J.G. and Christie, P.A.F., 1980, Continental Stretching: An explanation of the Post-Mid-
- 1198 Cretaceous subsidence of the central North Sea Basin, Journal of Geophysical Research:
- 1199 Solid Earth, v. 85, no. B7, p. 3711—3739, doi:10.1029/JB085iB07p03711.
- 1200 Shobe, C.M., Tucker, G.E., and Barnhart, K.R., 2017, The SPACE 1.0 model: a Landlab
- 1201 component for 2-D calculation of sediment transport, bedrock erosion, and landscape
- 1202 evolution, Geoscientific Model Development, v. 10, no. 12, p. 4577–4604,
- 1203 doi:10.5194/gmd-10-4577-2017.
- 1204 Shobe, C.M., Braun, J., Yuan, X.P., Campforts, B., Gailleton, B., Baby, G., Guillocheau, F., and
- 1205 Robin, C., 2022, Code and data to accompany "Inverting passive margin stratigraphy for
- 1206 marine sediment transport dynamics over geologic time": Figshare data set, (available at
- 1207 https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.20205077).
- 1208 Sisson, S.A., Fan, Y., and Tanaka, M.M. (2007) Sequential Monte Carlo without likelihoods,
- 1209 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, v. 104, no. 6, p. 1760-1765,
- 1210 doi:10.1073/pnas.0607208104.
- 1211 Sømme, T.O., Helland-Hansen, W., and Granjeon, D., 2009, Impact of eustatic amplitude
- 1212 variations on shelf morphology, sediment dispersal, and sequence stratigraphic
- 1213 interpretation: Icehouse versus greenhouse systems, Geology, v. 37, no. 7, p. 587-590,
- 1214 doi:10.1130/G25511A.1.
- 1215 Steckler, M.S., Reynolds, D.J., Coakley, B.J., Swift, B.A., and Jarrad, R., 1993, Modelling
- 1216 passive margin sequence stratigraphy, *in:* Posamentier, H.W., Summerhayes, C.P., Haq,

- B.U., and Allen, G.P., eds., Sequence Stratigraphy and Facies Associations, p. 19–41,
  doi:10.1002/9781444304015.ch2.
- 1219 Steckler, M.S., Swift, D.J.P., Syvitski, J.P., Goff, J.A., and Niedoroda, A.W., 1996, Modeling the
- sedimentology and stratigraphy of continental margins, Oceanography, v. 9, no. 3, p.
- 1221 183—188.
- 1222 Steckler, M.S., Watts, A.B., and Thorne, J.A., 1988, Subsidence and basin modeling at the U.S.
- 1223 Atlantic passive margin, *in:* Sheridan, R.E. and Grow, J.A., eds., The Atlantic Continental
- Margin, U.S.: Geological Society of America, The Geology of North America, v. 1—2, p.
  399—416..
- 1226 Stanley, J.R., Braun, J., Baby, G., Guillocheau, F., Robin, C., Flowers, R.M., Brown, R.,
- 1227 Wildman, M., and Beucher, R., Constraining plateau uplift in southern Africa by
- 1228 combining thermochronology, sediment flux, topography, and landscape evolution
- 1229 modeling, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, v. 126, no. 7,
- 1230 doi:10.1029/2020JB021243.
- 1231 Straub, K.M., Duller, R.A., Foreman, B.Z., and Hajek, E.A., 2020, Buffered, incomplete, and
- 1232 shredded: The challenges of reading an imperfect stratigraphic record, Journal of
- 1233 Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, v. 125, no. 3, doi:10.1029/2019JF005079.
- 1234 Syvitski, J.P.M., Smith, J.N., Calabrese, E.A., and Boudreau, B.P., 1988, Basin sedimentation
- and the growth of prograding deltas, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, v. 93, no.
  C6, p. 6895—6906, doi:10.1029/JC093iC06p06895.
- 1237 Syvitski, J.P.M. and Hutton, E.W.H., 2001, 2D SEDFLUX 1.0C:: an advance process-response
- numerical model for the fill of marine sedimentary basins, Computers & Geosciences, v.
- 1239 27, no. 6, p. 731—753, doi:10.1016/S0098-3004(00)00139-4.

- 1240 Talling, P.J., Summer, E.J., Masson, D.G., and Malgesini, G., 2012, Subaqueous sediment
- density flows: Depositional processes and deposit types, Sedimentology, v. 59, p. 1937—
  2003, doi:10.1111/j.1365-3091.2012.01353.x.
- 1243 Thran, A.C., East, M., Webster, J.M., Salles, T., and Petit, C., 2020, The influence of carbonate
- 1244 platforms on the geomorphological development of a mixed carbonate-siliciclastic margin
- 1245 (Great Barrier Reef, Australia), *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, v. 21,
- 1246 doi:10.1029/2020GC008915.
- 1247 Toni, T., Welch D., Strelkowa, N., Ipsen, A., and Stumpf, M.P.H., 2009, Approximate Bayesian
- 1248 computation scheme for parameter inference and model selection in dynamical systems,
- Journal of the Royal Society Interface, v. 6, p. 187-202, doi:10.1098/rsif.2008.0172.
- 1250 Valla, P.G., van der Beek, P.A., and Lague, D., 2010, Fluvial incision into bedrock: Insights
- 1251 from morphometric analysis and numerical modeling of gorges incising glacial hanging
- 1252 valleys (Western Alps, France), Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, v. 115,
- no. F2, doi:10.1029/2008JF001079.
- 1254 Wynn, R.B., Weaver, P.P.E., Masson, D.G., and Stow, D.A.V., 2002, Turbidite depositional
- 1255 architecture across three interconnected deep-water basins on the north-west African
- 1256 Margin, Sedimentology, v. 49, no. 4, p. 669-695, doi:10.1046/j.1365-3091.2002.00471.x.
- 1257 Yanites, B.J., Becker, J.K., Madritsch, H., Schnellmann, M., and Ehlers, T.A., 2018, Lithologic
- 1258 effects on landscape response to base level changes: A modeling study in the context of the
- 1259 Eastern Jura Mountains, Switzerland, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, v.
- 1260 122, p. 2196—2222, doi:10.1002/2016JF004101.
- 1261 Yuan, X.P., Braun, J., Guerit, L., Simon, B., Bovy, B., Rouby, D., Robin, C., and Jiao, R., 2019a,
- 1262 Linking continental erosion to marine sediment transport and deposition: A new implicit

- 1263 and O(N) method for inverse analysis, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 524,
- 1264 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2019.115728.
- 1265 Yuan, X.P., Braun, J., Guerit, L., Rouby, D., and Cordonnier, G., 2019b, A new efficient method
- 1266 to solve the stream power law model taking into account sediment deposition, Journal of
- 1267 Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, v. 124, p. 1346—1365, doi:10.1029/2018JF004867.
- 1268 Yuan, X.P., Guerit, L., Braun, J., Rouby, D., and Shobe, C.M., 2022, Thickness of fluvial
- deposits records climate oscillations, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, v. 127,
  no. 4, doi:10.1029/2021JB023510.
- 1271 Zhang, J., Sylvester, Z., and Covault, J., 2020, How do basin margins record long-term tectonic
- 1272 and climatic changes? Geology, v. 48, no. 9, p. 893—897, doi:10.1130/G47498.1.
- 1273 Zhang, J., Flaig, P., Wartes, M., Aschoff, J., and Shuster, M., 2021, Integrating stratigraphic
- 1274 modelling, inversion analysis, and shelf-margin records to guide provenance analysis: An
- 1275 example from the Cretaceous Colville Basin, Arctic Alaska, Basin Research, v. 33, no. 3,
- 1276 p. 1954-1966, doi:10.1111/bre.12543.