Global biomass fires and infant mortality

Hemant Pullabhotla¹, Mustafa Zahid², Sam Heft-Neal³,
Vaibhav Rathi⁴, Marshall Burke⁵

¹Center on Food Security and the Environment, Stanford University (hemantpk@stanford.edu; @Hpullabhotla)
²Center on Food Security and the Environment, Stanford University (mhzahid@stanford.edu; @mustafahzahid)
³Center on Food Security and the Environment, Stanford University (sheftneal@stanford.edu; @samheftneal)
⁴Department of Economics, Stockholm University (vaibhav.rathi@iies.su.se; @VaibhavRathi27)
⁵Department of Earth System Science, Stanford University; National Bureau of Economic Research
(mburke@stanford.edu; @MarshallBBurke)

We welcome your feedback on this research. We note that this is a non-peer-reviewed pre-print. The manuscript has been submitted for peer-review at Science. Later versions of this manuscript may include revisions based on peer-review and comments received. If accepted at Science or elsewhere, the final version of this manuscript will be available via the “Peer-reviewed Publication DOI” link on the EarthArXiv page for this paper.
Global biomass fires and infant mortality

Hemant Pullabhotla¹, Mustafa Zahid¹, Sam Heft-Neal¹, Vaibhav Rathi², Marshall Burke¹,³,⁴

¹Center on Food Security and the Environment, Stanford University
²Department of Economics, Stockholm University
³Dept. of Earth System Science, Stanford University
⁴National Bureau of Economic Research

April 14, 2022

Global outdoor biomass burning is a major contributor to air pollution, especially in low and middle-income countries.¹,² Recent years have witnessed substantial changes in the extent of biomass burning, including large declines in Africa.³,⁴ However, direct evidence on the contribution of biomass burning to global health outcomes remains limited. Here we use georeferenced data on more than 2 million births matched to satellite-derived burned area exposure to estimate the burden of biomass fires on infant mortality. We find that each additional square kilometer of burning increases infant mortality in nearby downwind locations by more than 2%, and we estimate that local biomass burning is responsible for more than a third of infant deaths across the tropics where heavy burning is common. This share has increased over time due to the rapid decline in other important causes of infant death. Applying our model estimates across newly harmonized district-level data covering 98% of global infant deaths, we find that exposure to outdoor biomass burning resulted in nearly 130,000 additional infant deaths per year globally over our 2004-2018 study period. Despite the observed decline in biomass burning in Africa, nearly 75% of global infant deaths due to burning still occur in Africa. While fully eliminating biomass burning is unlikely, we estimate that even achievable reductions – equivalent to the lowest observed annual burning in each location during our study period – would have avoided more than 70,000 infant deaths per year globally since 2004.
Globally, an estimated four million square kilometers of vegetation burns each year.\textsuperscript{5,6} These outdoor biomass fires emit various aerosols, greenhouse gases, and a variety of hazardous trace gases, with significant air quality implications. Biomass fires are estimated to contribute nearly 62\% of global particulate organic carbon, 27\% of black carbon,\textsuperscript{7} 32\% of carbon monoxide and 40\% of carbon dioxide,\textsuperscript{8} and form the single largest source of fine particulate matter (PM2.5) in many developing countries.\textsuperscript{9,10} However, relative contributions of biomass burning to regional air quality depend on the magnitude of emissions from other sources and vary with trends in burning, which show broad regional heterogeneity over the last two decades. For example, Africa has seen an estimated 18.5\% decline in the total burned area, 80\% of which occurred in Northern Hemisphere Africa. Conversely, fire activity is estimated to have increased in many areas of South and South-Eastern Asia, likely due to increased adoption of agricultural residue burning practices.\textsuperscript{11,12}

Levels and trends in biomass burning are substantially attributable to human activity,\textsuperscript{5,13} either directly, as in tropical regions where land clearing or residue burning is common, or indirectly, as in temperate or boreal forests where anthropogenic climate change is rapidly amplifying wildfire risk.\textsuperscript{14} Given the human role in these fires, their large associated pollutant emissions, the often distant transport of these pollutants into populated areas, and growing evidence from local or regional studies on the health impacts of such burning,\textsuperscript{15–18} understanding the implications of global biomass burning is critical for designing optimal environmental regulations and public health policies.

Yet accurately quantifying exposures to smoke from biomass burning and impacts of these exposures on health remains challenging, particularly at large spatial scales. First, biomass burning results in a wide variety of emissions, complicating atmospheric model-based approaches to measuring the health impacts of burning. Biomass fires result in gases such as carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, ozone, and nitrogen oxides as well as pollutants such as particulate matter and persistent organic pollutants such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans (PCDD/Fs).\textsuperscript{2–19,20} Each of these pollutants is likely to have separate and additive human health impacts through multiple biological channels. Emissions from biomass burning are also poorly constrained empirically, resulting in high levels of uncertainty in modeling approaches that use emissions inventories to study impacts.\textsuperscript{21,22} Additionally, to estimate health impacts, modeled emissions are often combined with health dose-response relationships that are mainly derived from data in wealthy regions, and these functions might not accurately characterize responses in low and middle-income countries. Consequently, estimates of the health impacts on biomass burning that rely on modeled emission estimates likely provide an incomplete assessment of the actual health costs of exposure to burning.
A second challenge is to separate the pollution-driven health impacts of fires from other socioeconomic factors correlated with fire activity. As noted, vegetation fires are predominantly anthropogenic, with more than 90% of overall fire activity estimated to have human-induced causes.\(^5,^{13}\) Thus accurately quantifying the health impacts of biomass fires requires disentangling the likely negative effects of the pollution they generate from the potential health or livelihood benefits of the economic activity with which they are associated. A few recent studies circumvent these challenges in estimating the impact of fires on health outcomes.\(^{15–18}\) However, these studies are limited to narrow geographies. Existing studies at a region or global scale primarily rely on exposures from chemical transport model simulations and empirical frameworks that are not well-equipped to isolate health impacts from other co-varying factors.\(^{10,23,24}\) Consequently, the global health implications of outdoor biomass burning and its changing patterns in recent years remain unclear.

Here we quantify the impact of exposure to biomass burning on infant health by combining satellite measures of burned area with geo-located household survey data on infant mortality from nationally representative Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS). Our approach of characterizing exposure as observed burned area in the vicinity offers several empirical advantages over using modeled biomass fire emissions. First, it limits the measurement error that could arise from using chemical transport or dispersion models that often rely on uncertain underlying parameterization or emissions inventories.\(^{22}\) Second, our estimated effect reflects the overall impact of exposure to biomass fires, accounting for all varieties of pollutants present in the smoke from vegetative matter combustion. This provides a more accurate assessment of the net health damages from biomass fires rather than the effect of any one single pollutant associated with emissions from fires. An additional advantage of the burned area measure is that it provides a transparent and direct link to an outcome over which policymakers, in principle, could have direct influence.

We use infant mortality data from 116 Demographic and Health Surveys representing 54 countries across the developing world and encompassing 2,237,307 births between 2004 to 2018 (Fig 1, Extended Data Fig 1). Using survey information on the location and timing of each birth, we estimate exposure to burned biomass during the nine months leading up to and 12 months following the month of birth (Methods, Extended Data Fig 1.2), the period that existing studies suggest are critical for early life outcomes.\(^{25}\) These data constitute our main sample for estimating the impact of burned area on infant health.

To extrapolate derived estimates beyond countries where DHS data are available, we also compile sub-national infant mortality data across 105 countries that fall within the ranges of infant mortality and biomass burned area observed in the estimation sample (Methods, Fig 1). This extended
Fig 1. | Global prevalence and change in outdoor vegetation burning and infant mortality (2003 - 2018). (a) Annual average biomass burned area globally 2003 to 2018 (b) Increase or decrease in average burned area between 2003-2010 to 2011-2018 (c) Annual average infant mortality rate (deaths per '000 births) 2003 – 2018. (d) Percentage change in infant mortality from 2003-2010 to 2011-2018. Countries in white borders indicate those with DHS data used in the main estimation. Infant mortality data in c and d are shown for the countries in the extended sample (see Methods) used for calculating global infant mortality attributable to outdoor biomass burning exposure.

Sample encompasses nearly 98% of the total infant deaths and 80% of total biomass burning observed globally between 2003 and 2018. Using estimates from the DHS sample, we calculate the infant mortality attributable to biomass burning exposure across these 105 countries, which comprise the bulk of the global population exposed to biomass burning and where an overwhelming majority of infant deaths occur.

Exposure to outdoor vegetation burning can increase infant mortality by increasing exposure to poor air quality. On the other hand, households may derive income and economic benefits from the activities associated with burning, including preparation or clearing of land for crop or animal agriculture, the procurement of forest services, or other livelihood activities. To isolate the air quality component, we leverage changes in wind direction and compare health impacts when additional area is burned upwind or downwind of a given location (Extended Data Fig 2). While both upwind and downwind burned areas could influence economic activity, pollution from upwind burned areas is more likely to be transported to the birth location and reduce air quality.

We provide supporting evidence for the relatively larger pollution impact from upwind burned areas (compared to downwind burning) by using data on particulate matter pollution from available ground monitors situated in low and middle-income countries, matched to up and downwind burned areas around those monitors.
We estimate the effect of exposure to biomass burning on infant mortality using plausibly exoge-

 nous variation in upwind burned area determined by wind direction changes. Specifically, we

 compare mortality outcomes for different infants who are born in the same location but, given

 changes in wind direction and burning activity over time, are exposed to different amounts of

 upwind burning in the months prior to and post birth. We flexibly account for other seasonal or

 regionally-trending factors that could be correlated with both variation in burned area and infant

 mortality, and also include controls for other time-varying local weather conditions (temperature,

 precipitation, and wind speed) and child, maternal and household characteristics that affect health

 outcomes (Methods).

 Results

 We find that that post-birth exposure to biomass burning upwind of birth location increases the

 risk of infant mortality (Fig 2a). A one square kilometer increase in upwind burned area expo-

 sure increases infant mortality by 2.1% – an increase of 1.06 (95% confidence interval 0.017 -

 2.10) additional deaths per ’000 births relative to the sample mean infant mortality rate of 52.5

 deaths per ’000 births (Fig 2a, Fig 2c, Extended Data Table 2). Effects are driven by fires that are

 more proximate to birth locations (Extended Data Fig 4). In contrast to post-birth exposure, we

 see no effect of in utero exposure to biomass burning on infant mortality (Extended Data Fig 3,

 Extended Data Table 2). We see positive, albeit noisy, effects of pre-birth exposure (overall, or

 trimester-wise exposure) on neonatal mortality risk within the first month of birth (Extended Data

 Fig 4).

 Outdoor vegetation burning that occurs downwind of a birth location has no impact on the risk

 of infant mortality (Fig 2a, 2c). The lack of an effect from downwind burning is consistent with

 an underlying mechanism of biomass burning impacting infant health through deteriorating air

 quality. To directly test for evidence of this mechanism, we combine data on particulate pollu-

 tion (PM$_{2.5}$) from nearly 2,000 available ground monitoring stations in low and middle-income

 countries (Extended Data Fig 5) with measures of upwind and downwind biomass burned area

 in the vicinity to construct a monthly panel spanning the period 2014 to 2018. Using these data,

 we estimate the relative impacts of upwind and downwind outdoor biomass burning on PM$_{2.5}$.

 We see a significant increase in PM$_{2.5}$ at ground station monitors due to upwind burned areas but

 find no effect of downwind burned areas (Fig 2b). An additional square kilometer of area burned

 in the upwind direction increases PM$_{2.5}$ by 0.49 µg/m$^3$ [95% confidence interval 0.05 - 0.93] –

 an increase of 1% relative to the sample mean of 48.2 µg/m$^3$ (Fig 2d). Similar to the patterns in

 infant mortality, upwind burning in a closer vicinity (within 30 km) has a much larger effect on

 PM$_{2.5}$, relative to burned areas at a further distance (Extended Data Fig 3b, Extended Data Fig

 6a). These results suggest that changes in air quality are the plausible link between upwind burn-
ing and increased infant mortality.

**Fig 2. | Impact of up and downwind burned area on infant mortality and particulate matter pollution.** Exposure to biomass burning in up-wind direction within 30 km around a location increases a infant mortality (IMR) and b particulate matter pollution (PM 2.5). a and b show the response plots (centered at mean of up-wind burned area and outcome variable) for infant mortality (deaths per ‘000 births) and PM 2.5. Shaded regions in a and b show the bootstrapped 95% confidence intervals. c and d shows the marginal effect (coefficients and 95% confidence interval whiskers) of a 1-kilometer square increase in burned area in up and down-wind directions on infant mortality (c) and PM 2.5 (d). Results shown are based on the regression specification in Equation 1 (Methods). Sample used in a and c is the DHS births data (N ≈ 2.3 million) across more than 90, 000 locations (Extended Data Fig 1). b and d use monthly ground station data for nearly 2000 monitors (N = 10,966 station-months), largely in Asia and Latin America (Extended Data Fig 5). Up and downwind burned area are based on monthly wind-direction vectors estimated from climate reanalyses data for each location-month (see Methods for details).
The estimated effect of exposure to biomass burning on infant mortality remain robust to a variety of alternative models, including models in which differential trends and seasonal effects are allowed to vary sub-nationally across 1- or 2-degree grid cells (Extended Data Table 2) or models that exclude weather variables. Results are also unchanged with the exclusion or inclusion of child, mother, and household characteristics, suggesting that results are unlikely being driven by household-level factors that may be correlated with both infant mortality and exposure to biomass burning (Extended Data Table 2). Finally, the estimates also remain robust to varying the radius used to calculate the exposure to biomass burning (Extended Data Fig 7). The magnitude of the upwind biomass burned area effect declines with an increase in the distance at which burning occurs. These results are strikingly similar to how the effect of upwind burning on PM2.5 concentrations varies with distance (Extended Data Fig 6b). These results provide additional corroboration that exposure to biomass burning affects infant mortality by increasing air pollution.

We find that prevailing levels of baseline infant mortality moderate the response to biomass burning exposure (Fig 3a, Extended Data Table 3). An additional square kilometer of burned area has a relatively higher effect on infant mortality in locations with low baseline mortality rates than locations with high baseline infant mortality. This heterogeneity in the infant mortality response is consistent with other evidence\(^\text{26,27}\) and suggests that exposure to smoke from biomass burning is a more prominent risk factor in areas where other risk factors to infant health, such as malaria, pose a lesser threat. Baseline ambient particulate pollution are negatively but not significantly related to the response of infant mortality to burned area exposure (Fig 3b, Extended Data Table 3). We also find no evidence that household wealth helps mitigates the harmful effects of exposure to smoke from outdoor biomass burning (Fig 3c, Extended Data Table 3). Both of these findings are again consistent with earlier evidence that found a linear (rather than concave) dose-response relationship between air pollution exposure and infant health at moderate PM2.5 levels, and found limited evidence for a moderating effect of household wealth.\(^\text{26}\)

We combine our estimates from the DHS sample with harmonized infant mortality data from across low- and middle-income countries to estimate the annual number of infant deaths attributable to outdoor biomass fires in the 2004-2018 period. We define attributable deaths as infant deaths that would have been avoided if biomass burning was completely eliminated and calculate them as the difference between the number of model predicted deaths under observed biomass burning conditions and under a hypothetical counterfactual scenario where outdoor biomass burned area was zero. Model results come from the estimation of Equation 3, which accounts for the moderating effect of the prevailing baseline infant mortality rate shown in Fig 3a. The statistical model is estimated on the DHS sample and then applied to the expanded sample of 105 low and middle-income countries for which we were able to assemble district-level infant mortality data, limiting
the sample in the expanded data to locations that are within the ranges of burned area and infant mortality observed in the DHS-based estimation sample (Extended Data Fig 10; Methods). Collectively these countries account for 98% of global infant deaths in our sample period and thus allow us to comprehensively assess the role of biomass burning as a determinant of infant mortality.

We find that, on average, eliminating exposure to smoke from biomass burning would have avoided nearly 5% of global infant deaths from 2004-2018. This share increases to more than a third in areas with high levels of exposure to outdoor biomass burning. Regions where this percentage is the highest include parts of Sub-Saharan Africa, areas around the Amazon basin in Brazil and equatorial South America, Southeast Asia, and parts of the North China plains (Fig 4a).

The temporal patterns in infant mortality attributable to outdoor biomass burning exposure track observed changes in burned area. Average infant exposure to outdoor biomass burning increased somewhat in the initial years of the sample period until 2007, and then flattened or declined slightly through 2018 (Fig 4b). The trend in estimated infant mortality attributable to biomass burning exposure (Fig 4c) reflects this observed pattern in exposure and is relatively flat at around 1 additional death per ‘000 births across all sample years (Fig 4c). While exposure to biomass burned area and infant mortality attributable to biomass burning exposure have remained relatively stable, the overall infant mortality rate globally has steadily declined (Fig 4b), thanks in part to growing incomes and expanded access to health services and technologies. As other contributors to infant mortality have declined, we estimate that biomass burning-attributable infant deaths have increased as a share of total infant deaths (Fig 4d), from 2.3% (95% confidence interval 0.23 - 4.28) in 2004 to 3.6% (95% confidence interval 0.74 - 6.50) in 2018.
Fig 4. | Avoided infant deaths from reducing post-birth exposure to outdoor biomass burning. a Average share of overall infant deaths avoided if biomass burning was reduced to zero over the period 2004 to 2018. b Births-weighted annual trends annual trends in infant mortality and burned area as a percentage of baseline levels (2003 infant mortality and 2001-03 average burned area). c, d and e, respectively, show the annual trends in (c) births-weighted infant mortality (deaths per 000 births) attributable to biomass burning exposure, (d) average infant mortality due to biomass burning exposure as share of overall infant mortality (%), and (e) number of avoided infant deaths in ’000s by region that result from eliminating biomass burning. f shows the total avoided infant deaths (in ’000s) under three different scenarios of biomass burning – holding burned area exposure at the baseline observed values, reduction to achievable levels (the minimum observed burned area at each grid cell location during 2004-18), and complete reduction. The colors in the stacked bar charts in e and f show the break-up of the total infant deaths across three broad regions in the sample – Africa, Asia and the Americas. The solid lines in c and d show the sample median, and the shaded regions show the 25th to 75th (darkest), 10th to 90th (medium), and 5th to 95th (lightest) percentile ranges based on bootstrapped estimates of predicted infant mortality values at each 1 km X 1 km grid cell, for each year.
We estimate that if biomass burning were eliminated entirely, countries across our sample would have experienced a reduction of nearly 130,000 infant deaths on average per year (95% confidence interval 26,000 - 237,000). Countries in Africa would have seen the most significant gains in avoided infant deaths, with 98,000 avoided deaths on average per year (95% confidence interval 15,000 - 183,000) (Extended Data Fig 10), with an additional average decline per year of 27,000 deaths in Asia and 4,600 in Latin America (Fig 4e).

These estimates reflect a scenario in which biomass burning is brought down to zero. Because complete elimination in biomass burning may not be possible, we repeat the calculation using an alternate counterfactual scenario where outdoor biomass burned area in each location is held to the lowest observed level in any year for that location – a plausibly achievable reduction. Under this reduction scenario, we estimate that 1.1 million infant deaths would have been avoided globally (70,000 per year) since 2004 (Fig 4f). This is roughly 60% of the estimated reduction in infant deaths under the complete elimination of biomass burning suggesting that achievable biomass burning reductions could reduce the overall infant mortality burden by more than half.

We also calculate the contribution of recent trends in biomass burning to infant health outcomes by comparing differences in predicted mortality under observed trends versus under a setting where burning was fixed at baseline levels (computed as the three-year average of location-specific burning over 2001-2003). We estimate that observed reductions in burning averted 147,000 infant deaths in Africa and more than 2,000 additional infant deaths in the Americas, relative to a world in which burning was fixed at 2001-2003 levels. On the other hand, because biomass burning in Asia increased over the study period, holding burning at baseline levels would have led to almost 61,000 fewer infant deaths in the region over the 2004 - 2018 period (Fig 4f).

These regional differences result from the contrasting regional trends in biomass burning witnessed in recent years. Biomass burned area has declined substantially in the African region but experienced a modest increase across countries in Asia, relative to the baseline 2001 - 2003 period (Extended Data Fig 8). In absolute terms, children in our African sample experienced a more than 20% reduction in average upwind burned area, from 4.75 km² per year in 2003 to 3.75 km² by 2018 (Extended Data Fig 9a). During the same period, the infant mortality rate in Africa declined from 73 to 45 deaths per 1,000 births (Extended Data Fig 9b), resulting in a reduction in annual all-cause infant deaths from 2.4 million in 2003 to 1.9 million in 2018 (Extended Data Fig 9c). Despite a decline in exposure, the overall reduction in infant mortality implies that biomass burning contributes to an increasing share of infant mortality in Africa (Extended Data Fig 10b). Annual infant deaths attributable to biomass burning exposure on the continent continue to remain around 100,000 deaths per year throughout the sample period (Extended Data Fig 10c). As
a result, even though Africa experienced a substantial decrease in exposure compared to other
regions, we estimate that nearly 75% of global infant deaths due to burning still occur in Africa.

**Discussion**

The results of our study complement the limited existing evidence on the effects of biomass burn-
ing on overall mortality across all age groups and are broadly consistent with findings from stud-
ies focused on early childhood mortality. Quasi-experimental evidence using changes in wind
direction similar to the research design in this study finds that agricultural fires contribute to all-
cause mortality across all age groups in China, infant mortality in India, and still-birth in
Brazil. Our results help expand these regional estimates into a near-global picture of the role
of biomass burning on child health.

Our results also help confirm findings from studies that use exposure based on chemical transport
models (CTMs) combined with dose-response functions from literature to estimate premature
deaths in both regional (South-East Asia, Brazil, and Indonesia) and global settings. Empirical con-
firmation of these model-based studies is important, as emissions inventories from
biomass burning – a key input into CTM concentration estimates – can have high regional and
temporal uncertainty and differ substantially across available products, and because exist-
ing concentration-response (CR) relationships used to assess health impacts might not accurately
capture the specific impact of pollutants emitted during biomass burning.

Our estimates are qualitatively similar to comparable findings from this CTM/CR work. For in-
stance, from 2016 to 2019, removing anthropogenically set fires was estimated to avoid 265,000
global premature deaths annually among children under five – a number comparable to our an-
nual estimate of 130,000 deaths among individuals under the age of one. A previous study using
cross-country DHS data similar to our estimation sample and relying on within-sibling compar-
ison and CTM-based exposure estimated that over the 2000-2014 period, biomass fire exposure
contributed to 9 percent of overall child (under-18) mortality in their sample of 55 low-income
and middle-income countries. Our estimates suggest that biomass fires contribute to five per-
cent of global infant mortality, broadly in agreement with these previous findings, but that contrib-
utions for infants are substantially higher in a large portion of low income countries.

The effects that we find on infant mortality are also supported by growing evidence that prenatal
exposure to smoke from fires results in adverse pregnancy and birth outcomes such as preterm
birth, pregnancy loss and low birth weights. These adverse health impacts at birth could
potentially result in a higher risk of infant mortality in the subsequent months. Our results are
also consistent with evidence from studies that show exposure to smoke from large wildfires is
associated with adverse birth outcomes and increased infant mortality – both in developed\textsuperscript{38–40} as well as low-middle income countries.\textsuperscript{41–44} However, exposure from such fire events tends to result in short, extreme pollution episodes rather than widespread, repeated exposure to less extreme but unsafe levels of pollution that accompany the bulk of global fire activity, predominantly caused by seasonal human activities.\textsuperscript{3}

Our findings on spatial heterogeneity in the contribution of biomass burning to infant mortality also helps corroborate the regional distribution of mortality estimates found in earlier studies. We find that the contribution of outdoor biomass fires to the overall infant mortality rate is exceptionally high in some low-income locations such as Sub-Saharan Africa, but also high in somewhat higher-income locations with relatively lower overall infant mortality but which are experiencing increasing fires – for instance, in Thailand, Laos, Cambodia and other areas of Southeast Asia (Fig 4a, Extended Data Fig 12).\textsuperscript{45} These patterns echo results from previous studies that also suggest that many parts of Sub-Saharan Africa and Southeast Asia are particularly at risk of high fire-attributable mortality.\textsuperscript{10,23,24}

While particulate matter exposure is a known driver of poor infant health outcomes, the extent to which biomass burning drives these effects is not clear. To assess biomass’s contributions to total PM2.5 impacts we combine our estimates of biomass-burning-attributable infant deaths with estimates from the Global Burden of Disease (GBD)\textsuperscript{46} on attributable infant deaths from all PM2.5 sources to estimate the share of overall PM2.5 deaths attributable to biomass burning. We calculate that biomass fires contribute an average of 15.4 percent of total PM infant deaths at the country level over the 2004 to 2018 period (Extended Data Fig 12a). Globally, while PM2.5-related infant deaths have been declining, infant deaths due to biomass fires have been on the rise (Extended Data Fig 12b). As a result, again based on GBD estimates of total infant deaths attributable to all PM2.5 pollution, we calculate that the contribution of biomass fires to overall PM-related infant deaths has risen from 11 percent in 2004 to over 21 percent by 2018 (Extended Data Fig 12c).

Our results additionally suggest that the negative health impacts of biomass burning likely dominate any potential health benefits associated with economic activity that generates the anthropogenic biomass fires. The coefficient on the downwind burned area which captures the potential local economic benefits of burning is close to zero (Fig 2c). In cross-sectional analysis, we also do not find any evidence that households that are located in places with high burned areas are wealthier (Extended Data Fig 13). Consistent with other recent empirical studies,\textsuperscript{15–17} we find that the health impacts of biomass burning are concentrated within relatively close proximity to the burning itself. This suggests that jurisdictions that undertake policies to reduce burning
within a locality will likely also be the primary beneficiaries of that policy in terms of health improvements. This stands in contrast to perhaps more challenging policy settings such as large wildfires or Saharan dust, in which transboundary movement of pollutants are a substantial source of health impacts.\textsuperscript{27,41,47}

Finally, global fire model simulations project an increase in fire activity and burned area in the near future due to human activities and temperature-driven increases linked to climate change.\textsuperscript{48,49} These projected increases have the potential to reverse the decline in burned area observed in recent years. Our results suggest that such increases in burned areas would accelerate the contribution of outdoor biomass fire exposure to air pollution-related infant deaths and worsen overall infant mortality. Policies to mitigate anthropogenic fire activity, therefore, offer great promise for improving global health outcomes.

References


Methods

Infant mortality data. Data on infant mortality outcomes used in the estimation sample are drawn from births data in the Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS). The DHS are nationally representative surveys conducted in many low and middle-income countries worldwide. Surveyed households are selected using a two-stage sampling procedure. DHS first selects enumeration areas (or clusters), usually drawn from the most recent population census. Within each enumeration cluster, DHS then selects a random set of survey participants based on a listing of all households within the sample enumeration area. The survey interviews all women aged 15-49 in the selected households.\(^{50}\) In addition to a number of health-related information, for each woman interviewed, the DHS records their complete birth histories, including the month and year of birth for each child ever-born, the mortality outcome for each birth, and the age of death if the child has not survived. The DHS also provides the geographic coordinates for the primary enumeration sample cluster for most survey rounds. We construct a monthly time series of births recorded at each cluster location using these recalled birth histories data and location information. Our primary outcome variable is a binary indicator taking the value one if the child was reported to have died within 12 months after birth. We use data on the births recorded in all available DHS rounds occurring between 2004 to 2018 (Extended Data Table 1). Our final sample consists of 2,237,307 births, and the mean sample infant mortality rate is 53 deaths per 1000 births.

In addition to the DHS births data used in the estimation, we also construct a new harmonized dataset of sub-national infant mortality rates to calculate the number of attributable deaths due to fire smoke globally. To generate the IMR estimates, we utilize a gridded data product published by the IHME (Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation) in a 2019 study,\(^{51,52}\) with IMR estimates at a 5kmX5km spatial resolution, and estimates and vital statistics of countries not in the IHME product.\(^{53–55}\) The IHME product does not cover all the countries in our prediction sample due to several reasons. For example, the list excludes Brazil and Mexico due to the availability of vital statistics, and China and Turkey due to middle-high SDI (Socio-Demographic Index) score.\(^{51}\) To generate the estimates for the countries not included in the IHME product, we utilize vital statistics for Turkey,\(^{54}\) Mexico,\(^{53}\) state-level IHME estimates for India,\(^{52}\) 2017 GBD study estimates for Brazil,\(^{56}\) and a study on child mortality in China.\(^{55}\) For Brazil and China estimates, we could only obtain under-5 mortality estimates. To generate the IMR estimates, we calculate the national level ratio of IMR-to-Under 5 mortality and scale down the Under-5 mortality estimates for each unit (counties for China and states for Brazil) by multiplying the mortality estimate by the ratio. Finally, not all datasets cover the full extent of the study period. As a result, we extrapolate the estimates where necessary to generate the IMR estimates for the missing years. To
utilize the study estimates of IMR effects in calculating the attributable number of deaths globally, we need an inclusion criterion that ensures the extended sample fall within the distribution of the observed range of infant mortality rates observed in the DHS estimation sample. The out-of-sample country is included in our prediction sample if 90% or more of its IMR estimates fall within the 5th and 95th percentiles of our estimation sample countries’ estimates.

We use these data to construct a panel of yearly infant mortality rates at a 5 km grid-cell level. We combine these data with estimates of annual number of births within each grid cell constructed from WorldPop, and the annual outdoor biomass burned area. We also limit our counterfactual scenario estimates to countries that have ranges of burned area and infant mortality within the supports of our DHS-based estimation sample (Extended Data Fig 10). 105 countries met both of these criteria and were included in our analysis. Collectively, these 105 countries account for 98% of total infant deaths during our study period. To construct the annual births country level totals, we first utilized the WorldPop’s 2015 gridded data product to assign each grid a percentage of total births that occurred in the country that the cell falls into. After obtaining the percentage we then utilized a country level world births UN data set to compute the number of annual births for the year falling within the study period (2003-2018), by multiplying the percentage of total births that occurred in country according to WorldPop 2015 gridded estimates by the total births in that year.

**Burned area data.** We estimate exposure to outdoor biomass burning using burned area data from the European Space Agency Climate Change Initiative fire data product. Specifically, we use the LTDR Fire_cci version 1.1 pixel product (FireCCILT11) on monthly global burned area. FireCCILT11 provides burned area data at 0.05-degree (≈ 5 km) spatial resolution based on Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) imagery. Validation studies show that FireCCILT11 provides consistent and accurate estimates of burned area over a long time period. We also find good agreement in the overall and regional trends observed using the FireCCILT11 with other sources of burned area over the study period. Each birth in our estimation sample, on average, is exposed to 11.5 square kilometers of outdoor biomass burned area per month during pregnancy and in the 12 months after birth (within a 30 km radius around the birth location). Recently, products incorporating small fires show more burned area than previous products, but the general spatial distribution across products is found to be similar. If locations with a higher burned area in our sample are also likely to have more small fires, then our estimates reflect the overall impact of both small and large fires. Empirically, we are also constrained by the limited temporal and spatial coverage of burned area products that account for small fires.
**Weather data.** Monthly data on precipitation, temperature, wind direction and wind speed come from the fifth generation of European ReAnalysis (ERA5) data. ERA5 data provide global climate reanalysis variables at a 30-km grid, at three hourly intervals. The data was downloaded from the Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) Climate Data Store. We use the aggregated monthly products and extract the weather variables at the location of each birth for the pre- and post-birth months.

**Construction biomass burning exposure.** Using the wind direction at the location of each birth, we identify up and down-wind quadrants for each month during pregnancy and in the 12 months after birth. The “upwind” quadrant refers to the direction from which wind is blowing to the birth location, while the “downwind” quadrant is where the wind is blowing away from the birth location (Extended Data Fig 2). We then calculate the outdoor biomass burned area in the up and downwind quadrants. Our main estimates use burned areas within a 30 km radius around the birth location. Results from using burned areas within other distances are shown in the robustness tests. Using the 30 km radius, we estimate an average upwind burned area of 2.9 km$^2$ per month and an almost similar amount of 2.8 km$^2$ of downwind burned area. On average, upwind burned area forms about 25% of the total burned area in the births regression sample. To ease data processing, we use this proportion to approximate the amount of upwind burned area exposure around the grid cells in the extended sample. We calculate the total burned area around each grid cell and assign one-fourth of this to be in the upwind direction.

**Air pollution ground station monitoring data.** Data on monthly particulate matter pollution ($PM_{2.5}$) measured at ground station monitors is drawn from daily recorded $PM_{2.5}$ measurements collected by monitors in the openAQ database (https://openaq.org). We subset the data to stations located in low and middle-income countries as these are more likely to reflect pollution sources and pollution levels that represent the births sample used in our estimates. Our final sample consists of 2040 monitors and has an average monthly $PM_{2.5}$ of 48.2 μg/m$^3$. Similar to the births data, we extract monthly weather variables and calculate up and down-wind burned areas at each ground station monitor using ERA5 and FireCCILT11 data.

**Empirical strategy.** We use the following regression model to estimate the effect of burned area exposure on infant mortality:

\[
y_{i,c,g,m,y} = \sum_d \beta_{1,d} BA_{ap,d,i,c,m,y}^{pre} + \sum_d \beta_{2,d} BA_{ap,d,i,c,m,y}^{post} + \sum_d \beta_{3,d} BA_{down,d,i,c,m,y}^{pre} + \sum_d \beta_{4,d} BA_{down,d,i,c,m,y}^{post} + \delta X_{i,c,g,m,y} + \mu_c + \lambda_{g,m} + \delta_{g,y} + \varepsilon_{i,c,g,m,y}
\]
where the outcome variable is an indicator for birth $i$, in cluster $c$ located within country $g$, occurring in month $m$ and year $y$ resulting in a death within 12 months of birth. $BA^{pre}$ and $BA^{post}$ are, respectively, burned area (in $km^2$) for the 9 months before and 12 months after birth (including month of birth). The sub-scripts $up, d$ and $down, d$ refer, respectively, to the burned area in upwind and downwind directions in distance bins $d$ around cluster $c$ corresponding to each birth. We use burned area within $0 - 30$, $30 - 40$, and $40 - 50$ km radii around each cluster to flexibly allow for burned area effect to vary by distance. Up and downwind exposure refer to the outdoor biomass burned areas in the up and downwind quadrants (Extended Data Fig 2), measured as the average monthly burned area in square kilometers during pre-birth and post-birth periods. We include a set of individual and household characteristics $X_{i,c,g,m,y}$ such as child gender and birth order, age and education of the mother, as well as weather variables (quadratic polynomials of temperature, precipitation, and wind speed, and wind-direction). Our regression include $\mu_c, \lambda_{g,m}$, and $\delta_y$, respectively, DHS cluster, country by birth month and country by year of birth fixed effects. We weight observations by the product of survey-specific household survey weights (supplied by DHS) and country population weights in order to generate estimates that are representative of the 54 countries across our sample. Our results show that pre-birth exposure does not substantially impact mortality risk, and the impacts are driven primarily by the post-birth exposure to biomass burned area within the 0-30 km in the upwind direction (Extended Data Fig 3).

Using a similar regression model, we estimate the impact of up and downwind burned areas on monthly particulate pollution $PM_{2.5}$ measured at ground station monitors located in low and middle-income countries.

$$PM_{2.5}_{i,t} = \sum_d \beta_{1,d}BA_{up,i,t} + \sum_d \beta_{2,d}BA_{down,i,t}$$

$$+ \delta X_{i,t} + \mu_i + \lambda_t + \nu_{i,t}$$ (2)

The outcome here is monthly average $PM_{2.5}$ in micrograms per cubic meter at ground station monitor $i$ in month-year $t$. We calculate the monthly burned area around each ground station monitor in up and down-wind directions within the same distance bins as we use in the infant mortality regression in equation 1. We estimate the effect of outdoor biomass burning on particulate pollution using a fixed effects regression model with location and month fixed effects $\mu_i$ and $\lambda_t$, respectively. These fixed effects account for any unobserved, time-invariant factors specific to monitor locations, and shocks common to each month. We also include a vector of weather controls (precipitation, temperature, and wind variables) to account for local climatic conditions that may be correlated with $PM_{2.5}$ at the ground stations. We find that, similar to the infant mortality effect, burned area within the 0-30 km in the upwind direction results in an increase in $PM_{2.5}$.
We also examine the sensitivity of the infant mortality and particulate pollution regressions to the radius used to compute burned area radius. Our central estimates use a 30 km radius to define nearby burning. We vary this radius in 5-km increments from 25 to 40 km for infant mortality and the pollution model (Extended Data Fig 7). Overall, the point estimates remain stable across the definitions of nearby burning, with a slight decrease in magnitude as we increase the radius. The coefficient point estimates become less precise as the exposure distance becomes too narrow or wide. Using a smaller radius (0-25 km) reduces the sample exposure measure’s variation, increasing the standard errors. On the other hand, as the exposure buffer widens (0-40 km), we increase the likelihood of measurement error in the upwind exposure, which attenuates the point estimates and reduces precision.

The key takeaway is that we observe a similar diminishing effect of burning with distance for pollution and infant mortality models (Extended Data Fig 7). The remarkably identical pattern we observe for both outcomes lends further support to pollution being the primary mechanism through which outdoor biomass burning affects infant mortality: burning that occurs further away has less impact on particulate air pollution and, therefore, has a smaller effect on health.

To estimate the moderating effect of baseline infant mortality, ambient baseline $PM_{2.5}$, or wealth levels, we interact linear post-birth exposure within 0-30 km with the respective variables:

$$ y_{i,c,g,m,y} = \alpha_1 B A_{post,0-30,i,c,m,y} + \alpha_2 (B A_{post,0-30,i,c,m,y} \times Z_i) + \alpha_3 B A_{down,0-30,i,c,m,y} + \alpha_4 (B A_{down,0-30,i,c,m,y} \times Z_i) + \delta X_{i,c,g,m,y} + \mu_c + \lambda_{g,m} + \delta_y + u_{i,c,g,m,y} $$

where $Z_i$ is the baseline infant mortality (IMR), ambient baseline $PM_{2.5}$, or wealth levels. Baseline IMR is constructed as follows: we take the sample infant mortality rate for the year prior to birth averaged over clusters located within 1-degree grid-cells around each birth location. Baseline $PM_{2.5}$ is similarly constructed as the lagged average $PM_{2.5}$ at 1-degree grid-cells around each birth location. In case of wealth level $Z_i$ is a vector of dummy variables for wealth quintile. We see no significant variation in the impact of burned area across household wealth or baseline pollution levels. However, the impact of upwind burning exposure reduces with an increase in baseline infant mortality (Extended Data Table 3).

**Infant mortality attributable to biomass burning globally.** Our model linking infant mortality to nearby burned area is estimated on the sample of observed births in the DHS. In order to
better understand the global impacts of biomass burning on infant health, we apply the estimated
relationships to the broader sample of 105 countries available in our extended sample
at 5-km grid cell level. We derive infant mortality due to burning under three different scenarios
where the counterfactual burned area $BA_{ct}^{cf}$ for each grid cell $c$ and year $t$ is defined as: scenario
(i) $BA_{ct}^{cf} = 0$ i.e. burned area is eliminated completely, scenario (ii) $BA_{ct}^{cf} = BA_{c0}$ i.e. burned area
is fixed at the observed baseline value (the 3-year average from 2001 to 2003), and scenario (iii)
$BA_{ct}^{cf} = \min(BA_{ct})$, 2004 < $t$ < 2018, for each grid cell $c$, i.e. burned area is reduced to the min-
imum observed within each grid cell during the sample period. For each scenario, we calculate
$\Delta IMR_{ct}$, the change in IMR for each year in each grid cell owing to changes in the burned area.

We start by estimating the counterfactual change in burned area $\Delta BA_{ct}$ for each grid cell-year:

$$\Delta BA_{ct} = BA_{ct} - BA_{ct}^{cf} \tag{4}$$

where $BA_{ct}$ is the observed burned area and $BA_{ct}^{cf}$ is the counterfactual burned area correspond-
ing to each scenario. We then apply the estimated parameters from the regression in Equation 3,
the coefficients on upwind post-birth exposure ($\alpha_1$) and its interaction with baseline infant mor-
mality ($\alpha_2$), to estimate the change in infant mortality. While doing this, we ensure that the prevail-
ing infant mortality rate ($IMR_{ct-1}$) we use reflects the evolution of infant mortality corresponding
to the counterfactual scenario in the preceding year. We start by initializing infant mortality rate
to $IMR_{c0}$, the baseline grid cell-level IMR ($t = 0$ corresponds to 2003 in our study period). The
attributable change in infant mortality at $t = 1$ is:

$$\Delta IMR_{ct} = \alpha_1 \Delta BA_{ct} + \alpha_2 \Delta BA_{ct} \times IMR_{ct-1} \tag{5}$$

We then update the measure of prevailing IMR to account for the estimated change in infant mort-
mality ($\Delta IMR_{ct}$) under the counterfactual. This updated IMR ($IMR_{ct}^{new}$) is given by:

$$IMR_{ct}^{new} = \Delta IMR_{ct} + IMR_{ct-1} \tag{6}$$

Using the updated IMR, we estimate the change in infant mortality for the next year under the
counterfactual change in burned area:

$$\Delta IMR_{ct} = \alpha_1 \Delta BA_{ct} + \alpha_2 \Delta BA_{ct} \times IMR_{ct}^{new} \tag{7}$$

We repeat this process until the last year in our sample (2018) giving us a time series of $\Delta IMR_{ct}$
for each grid cell location. We iterate over bootstrapped parameter estimates $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$ in equa-
tion 3 ain order to derive confidence intervals for the location-specific predictions $\Delta IMR_{ct}$. Us-
ing the observed infant mortality rate and $\Delta IMR_{ct}$, we calculate the share of total infant mortality ($S_{ct}$) attributable to biomass burning exposure:

$$ S_{ct} = \frac{\Delta IMR_{ct}}{IMR_{ct}} $$

(8)

Finally, we estimate the number of infant deaths attributable to biomass burning exposure in each location ($ID_{ct}$):

$$ ID_{ct} = \Delta IMR_{ct} \times b_{ct} $$

(9)

where $b_{ct}$ is number of births at location $c$ for year $t$ from WorldPop. For each year, we sum $ID_{ct}$ across all locations to calculate the total number of attributable infant deaths across our extended sample of 105 countries.
Extended Data
Extended Data Fig 1. | Location of births in estimation sample, average infant mortality and burned area exposure.  

**a** Location of sample clusters in the DHS data used for estimation ($N = 93063$). DHS data provides geographic coordinates for households at the sample cluster level.  

**b** Cluster-level sample average infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 births) over the estimation period 2004 - 2018.  

**c** Cluster-level average post-birth exposure to biomass burned area in (square kilometers per month). Exposure is based on monthly burned area recorded in the up-wind quadrant within a 30 km distance, in the 12 months after birth. The range of values in **b** and **c** are capped at the $99^{th}$ percentile of the distribution for better visualization. White borders highlight the countries in the DHS births sample used in the estimation.
Extended Data Fig 2. | Schematic showing definition of up and downwind burned areas. We estimate exposure to up and downwind biomass burned areas for each birth based on the prevailing wind direction (based on climate reanalysis data) for each month in the pre- and post-birth period. Exposure is calculated by taking the average monthly up and downwind burned areas for the pre- and post-birth periods. In the example shown here, the wind is blowing from the northeast to the southwest. Therefore, upwind (relative to the birth location or ground station monitor) burned area is the biomass burned in the northeast quadrant within a 30-km radius. Biomass burning in the opposing quadrant forms the downwind burned area. The same procedure is used to define up and downwind burned areas around air pollution ground monitors at a monthly resolution. We hypothesize that upwind burned area increases air pollution and result in adverse health outcomes.
Extended Data Fig 3. | Regression estimates of pre- and post-birth exposure to outdoor biomass burning on infant mortality. a and b show the coefficient estimates from the regression model in Equation 1 for pre-birth and post-birth exposure, respectively. Circles indicate point estimates, and whiskers the 95% confidence interval on the point estimate. a Pre-birth exposure does not have an effect on risk of infant mortality. b Post-birth exposure to nearby biomass burning (within 30 km) in the upwind direction increases infant mortality by 1.06 deaths for an additional 1 km² increase in burned area. Biomass burning that is further away (30 to 40 or 40 to 50 km) has no effect. Downwind burned areas do not have a significant effect on infant mortality – consistent with pollution from burning blowing away from the location of birth.
Extended Data Fig 4. | Regression estimates of pre-birth exposure to outdoor biomass burning on mortality within 1-month of birth. **a** Coefficient estimate on upwind burned area within 0-30 km on mortality within 1-month after birth. **b** Coefficient on trimester-wise upwind burned areas. Circles indicate point estimates, and whiskers the 95% confidence interval on the point estimate. Each plot shows estimates from a separate regression model. Regression specification are similar to Equation 1, but exclude post-birth exposure variables. All other control variables are included in the regressions. **a** shows the estimate from model using average exposure over the whole pre-birth period. **b** uses a model with average exposure within each trimester of the pre-birth period. Sample used is the DHS births data (N = 2.3 million). The sample mean under 1-month mortality is 36.4 deaths per ’000 births.
Extended Data Fig 5. Location, average $PM_{2.5}$ and burned area exposure at ground station monitors. 

**a** Location of ground station monitors used for estimation ($N = 2040$). 

**b** Monthly sample average $PM_{2.5}$ ($\mu g/m^3$) from 2014 - 2018 recorded by monitors. 

**c** Average upwind exposure to biomass burned area in (square kilometers per month). Exposure is based on monthly burned area recorded in the upwind quadrant within a 30 km distance. The range of values in **b** and **c** are capped at the 99th percentile of the distribution for better visualization. White borders highlight the countries in the DHS births sample used in the infant mortality estimation.
Extended Data Fig 6. | Regression estimates of outdoor biomass burning on PM$_{2.5}$ at ground station monitors. Plot show the coefficient estimates for the impact of monthly burned area in up and downwind directions around ground station monitors on PM$_{2.5}$ recorded at the monitors. Each additional square kilometer increase in nearby biomass burning (within 30 km) in the upwind direction increases PM$_{2.5}$ by 0.49 μg/m$^3$. Biomass burning that is further away (30 to 40 or 40 to 50 km) has no effect. Downwind burned areas do not have a significant effect on PM$_{2.5}$ – consistent with pollution from burning blowing away from the location of the air pollution monitors. Circles indicate point estimates, and whiskers the 95% confidence interval on the point estimate.
Extended Data Fig 7. | Variation in effect of upwind burned area on infant mortality and particulate pollution using different exposure radii. a shows the coefficient estimates of the effect of post-birth upwind burned area exposure on infant mortality. Each coefficient is from a separate regression model. Regression specification are similar to Equation 1, but exclude pre-birth exposure. The effect of each additional square kilometer upwind burned area on infant mortality declines in magnitude as the distance used to define exposure increases. b shows the effect of monthly upwind burned area on $PM_{2.5}$ at ground station monitors when distance used to define nearby exposure is changed. Each estimate is from a separate regression model using specifications similar to Equation 2. The effect of each additional square kilometer upwind burned area on $PM_{2.5}$ shows a strikingly similar pattern to that seen in a as the distance used to define exposure is varied. Circles indicate point estimates, and whiskers the 95% confidence interval on the point estimate.
Extended Data Fig 8. | Births-weighted trends in burned area and infant mortality rate by region, relative to baseline values. Plots show the annual birth-weighted average biomass burned area and infant mortality rate, averaged over the 5 km × 5 km grid-cells used in the extended global sample. Annual values are normalized setting baseline values to 100. Baseline values are the 3-year average from 2001-2003 for burned area, and 2003 levels for infant mortality.
Extended Data Fig 9. Trends in births-weighted burned area, births-weighted infant mortality rate, and total infant deaths by region in the extended sample. a Annual birth-weighted average upwind biomass burned area and infant mortality rate, averaged over all grid-cells used in extended sample. b Annual infant mortality rate (births-weighted average over all grid cells). c Total number of infant deaths estimated as the product of infant mortality rate and number of births, summed up over all grid cells in the region for each year.
Extended Data Fig 10. | Avoided infant deaths in Africa region from reduced post-birth exposure to outdoor biomass burning under different scenarios. a, b, and c, respectively, show infant mortality attributable to biomass burning exposure, share of overall infant mortality (%), and number of avoided infant deaths for three scenarios, from left to right – burning held at the baseline values, reduced to achievable levels (the minimum observed burned area at each grid cell location during 2004–18), and complete reduction. Shaded regions in a and b show the 25th to 75th (darkest), 10th to 90th (medium), and 5th to 95th (lightest) percentile ranges based on bootstrapped estimates of predicted infant mortality values at each 1 km X 1 km grid cell, for each year. Error bars in c show 5th to 95th percentile range and the bar height represents the median.
Extended Data Fig 11. Distribution of burned area and infant mortality rates in estimation sample and extended sample. Density of infant mortality and burned area distribution in a the DHS births data used in the regression estimates, and b in the extended sample used for calculating the global number of attributable deaths.
Extended Data Fig 12. | Share of total air pollution related infant deaths attributable to biomass fires exposure. a Share of total infant deaths due to particulate matter pollution (PM) attributable to biomass burning exposure estimated in this study (averaged over the study period 2004 - 2018 within each country in sample). b Yearly trend in annual infant deaths attributable to overall PM and the estimated infant deaths due to exposure to biomass fires (annual total across all sample countries). c Annual trend in the share of biomass fire exposure in overall PM infant deaths (average across all countries for each year weighted by total PM infant deaths). Overall PM-related infant deaths are based on Global Burden of Disease (GBD) estimates, calculated as deaths occurring in early, late, or post neonatal age groups due to particulate matter pollution risk. Infant deaths due to biomass fires are estimated by aggregating the grid-cell level estimates from this study to the country-year level.
Extended Data Fig 13. | Cross-sectional relationship between total burned area and household wealth level in rural and urban DHS clusters. We regress the average monthly biomass burned area in the two years preceding the survey year (within a 30-km radius around the households’ cluster location) on household wealth quintile indicators, controlling for country and survey year fixed effects.
## Extended Data Table 1 | List of DHS surveys in sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Survey Year(s)</th>
<th>Observations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albania</td>
<td>2008, 2017</td>
<td>10019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angola</td>
<td>2006, 2011, 2015</td>
<td>41300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armenia</td>
<td>2010, 2015</td>
<td>6198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benin</td>
<td>2012, 2017</td>
<td>53518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolivia</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>7203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>19339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burundi</td>
<td>2010, 2016</td>
<td>41820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>2004, 2011, 2018</td>
<td>40862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chad</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>41791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>22678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comoros</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>5197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cote d’Ivoire</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>12052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democratic Republic of the Congo</td>
<td>2007, 2013</td>
<td>37141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominican Republic</td>
<td>2007, 2013</td>
<td>14218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabon</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>9358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>2008, 2014</td>
<td>14971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guinea</td>
<td>2005, 2012, 2018</td>
<td>34729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guyana</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>2145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>2006, 2012, 2016</td>
<td>30927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>16612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>636579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>2008, 2014</td>
<td>50950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kyrgyz Republic</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>6732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madagascar</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>12162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Survey Year(s)</td>
<td>Observations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>2006, 2012, 2018</td>
<td>47963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moldova</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>16142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myanmar</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>12121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>2006, 2013</td>
<td>12087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>2006, 2017</td>
<td>33279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>2008, 2017</td>
<td>34351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senegal</td>
<td>2005, 2008, 2010</td>
<td>35582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sierra Leone</td>
<td>2008, 2013, 2019</td>
<td>54215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>8159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swaziland</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>1651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tajikistan</td>
<td>2012, 2017</td>
<td>21860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timor</td>
<td>2009, 2016</td>
<td>29852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Togo</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>14010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>2007, 2013, 2018</td>
<td>55338</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Extended Data Table 2 | Regression results for main specification and robustness of post-birth exposure to alternative specifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent Variable:</th>
<th>Infant mortality (per ’000 births)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Variables</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upwind exposure, post-birth</td>
<td>1.060**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.5322)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downwind exposure, post-birth</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.4932)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upwind exposure, pre-birth</td>
<td>-0.1531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.4102)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downwind exposure, pre-birth</td>
<td>0.1581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.4156)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fixed-effects</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHS sample cluster</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country-Birth month</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country-Birth year</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-degree grid cell × Birth month</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-degree grid cell × Birth year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-degree grid cell × Birth month</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-degree grid cell × Birth year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table shows estimates from separate regressions in each column. Regression models are based on the specification shown in Equation 1. Up and downwind exposure refer to the outdoor biomass burned area within 0-30 km in the up and downwind quadrants (Extended Data Fig 2), measured as the average monthly burned area in square kilometers during the nine months preceding birth (pre-birth) and the 12 months after birth, including month of birth (post-birth). Column 1 shows the results from the main specification with country by birth month and country by birth year fixed effects. Columns 2 and 3 flexibly control for seasonal and year effects at a finer spatial scale through the use of 1-degree and 2-degree grid cell fixed effects, respectively, instead of country level fixed effects. Column 4 shows the estimates without the inclusion of child, maternal and household control variables. Column 5 excludes climatic factors (temperature, precipitation and wind speed) from the model. Values in parentheses show the standard errors clustered at the DHS sample cluster level. Coefficient significance at 1%, 5% and 10% are indicated by ***, ** and *, respectively.
Extended Data Table 3 | Regression results - heterogeneity in the effect of post-birth upwind burned area by household wealth, baseline infant mortality and baseline particulate pollution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent Variable: Infant mortality (per 1,000 births)</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Variables</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upwind BA × Wealth Quintile 1</td>
<td>0.8850</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.5913)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upwind BA × Wealth Quintile 2</td>
<td>1.274**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.6070)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upwind BA × Wealth Quintile 3</td>
<td>1.203**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.5813)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upwind BA × Wealth Quintile 4</td>
<td>0.9109</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.8028)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upwind BA × Wealth Quintile 5</td>
<td>0.7809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.7829)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upwind BA × IMR</td>
<td>-0.0054***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0018)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upwind BA × PM$_{2.5}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.0046</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0129)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fixed-effects</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHS sample cluster</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country-Birth month</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country-Birth year</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wealth quintile</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table shows estimates from separate regressions in each column. Regression models are based on the specification shown in Equation 3. “Upwind BA” refers to average monthly upwind outdoor biomass burned area exposure (in $km^2$) within 0-30 km distance during the post-birth period. For brevity, each column shows the coefficients on the interaction of post-birth upwind burned area exposure with the modifiers household wealth in column 1, baseline infant mortality rate in column 2, and baseline pollution ($PM_{2.5}$) in column 3. Baseline IMR is constructed as follows: we take the sample infant mortality rate for the year prior to birth averaged over clusters located within 1-degree grid-cells around each birth location. Baseline $PM_{2.5}$ is similarly constructed as the lagged average $PM_{2.5}$ at 1-degree grid-cells around each birth location. Values in parentheses show the standard errors clustered at the DHS sample cluster level. Coefficient significance at 1%, 5% and 10% are indicated by ***, ** and *, respectively.