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ABSTRACT

Understanding the evolution of submarine channel-lobe systems on salt-influenced slopes is challenging as systems react to seemingly subtle changes in sea-floor topography. The impact of large blocking structures on individual deep-water systems is well documented, but understanding of the spatio-temporal evolution of regionally extensive channel-lobe systems in areas containing modest salt movement is relatively poor. We use 3-D seismic reflection data to map Late Miocene deep-water systems offshore Angola within a c. 450 ms TWTT thick interval. Advanced seismic attribute mapping tied to standard seismic stratigraphic, seismic facies analysis and time-thickness variations, reveals a wide variety and scale of alterations to sediment routing and geomorphology. Five seismic units (SU1-SU5) record a striking change in sediment pathways and structural relief within eight evolving minibasins. Observations within these units include gradual channel diversion through lateral migration during times of relatively high structural growth, opposed to abrupt channel movement via avulsion nodes during times of relatively high sediment accumulation. The results of the study led to the development of conceptual models for influences on deep-water systems during characteristic structural development in the contractional salt domain, these stages being initiation, maturity, and decay. The initiation stage contains small-segmented folds with mostly system bypass, while the maturity stage contains linked high-relief structures and prominent minibasins leading to ponding, large-scale diversion and localized slump deposits derived from nearby highs (SU1-SU3). The less studied final stage of topographic decay contains decreased length and relief of structures leading to
a more complicated array of channel-lobe bypass, diversion, ponding and subtle control on avulsion
nodes (SU4-SU5). These observations contribute to the understanding of channel-lobe stacking, routing and control over transition zones in tectonically active areas, ultimately improving our general understanding of the effects of significant through to subtle sea-floor topography, and can be a guide in other salt-influenced basins.

INTRODUCTION

Sea-floor topography has a significant impact upon turbidity currents and their associated deposits. Structurally-controlled topographic sea-floor relief that influences deep-water gravity flows may be due to underlying normal faults (Haughton, 2000; Ge et al., 2018; Mattos et al., 2019; Muravchik et al., 2019), thrusts with fault propagation folds (Clark & Cartwright, 2012; Tinterri et al., 2017; Pinter et al., 2018; Howlett et al., 2019) or salt-cored structures (Mayall et al., 2010; Hay, 2012; Oluboyo et al., 2014). In addition, pre-existing sedimentary features may have an expression on the sea-floor, such as differential compaction of stacked channels (e.g. Ward et al., 2017) and mass transport deposits (e.g. Ortiz-Karpf et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2019). Resulting structurally controlled sea-floor topography may result in turbidity current-fed systems being deflected, diverted, constricted or blocked (Kneller & McCaffrey, 1995; Prather et al., 1998; Clark & Cartwright, 2009). Deep-water systems can be diverted for kilometres around large, high amplitude and long folds (Mayall et al., 2010). Structural constriction occurs when deep-water systems are forced through a narrow spill-point between two structural highs, such as a segment boundary between two folds (Oluboyo et al., 2014; Patacci et al., 2015). Deep-water systems are blocked when confining topography traps the deep-water flows thereby creating a suspension cloud resulting in ‘flow ponding’ (Van Andel & Komar, 1969). Additional complicating factors include the angle of incidence with topography, internal flow parameters, such as grain size, density and stratification, as well as relative scaling between the height of the flow and the obstacle. These variable flow parameters influence fluid dynamics and resulting sediment deposition, and have been systematically modelled in flume tank experiments and numerical models (e.g. Kneller et al., 1991; Kneller, 1995; Eggenhuisen & McCaffrey, 2012; Cartigny et al., 2013; Aas et al., 2014; Basani et
al., 2014; Howlett et al., 2019). These concepts are applicable for individual flows but can also be extended to consider the accumulated effect of potentially thousands of these flows that build stratigraphic successions of the type and thickness observable in seismic reflections data. However, the behaviors of turbidite systems in structurally active regions can be very complex as both sea-floor topography and deep-water elements can vary spatially due to the active growth of intra-basinal structures, changes in sediment supply and routing. Consequently, understanding the detailed sedimentological response of extensive submarine channel-lobe systems with complex sea-floor topography, particularly in regions with mobile shale or salt substrates, remains challenging.

Regions with mobile salt substrate and deep-water systems include well-studied basins in the Gulf of Mexico (Smith, 2004; Prather et al., 2012), eastern Mediterranean Levant Basin (Clark & Cartwright, 2009; Niyazia et al., 2018), offshore Brazil, such as the Santos or Espirito Santo Basins (Gamboa & Alves, 2015; Rodriguez et al., 2020), as well as along the west African margin, such as the Lower Congo or Kwanza Basins (Broucke et al., 2004; Oluboyo et al., 2014). Large-scale tectonic studies often utilize regional 2-D seismic data to understand structural development (Marton, 2000; Valle et al., 2001; Tari et al., 2003; Hudec & Jackson, 2004), and have developed useful methods to analyse stratal package geometries next to late stage diapir growth (Giles & Rowan, 2012; Rojo & Escalona, 2018). Tectono-stratigraphic studies often focus on the mature stages of structural growth, as this is when the influence of salt-cored structures, such as ponding and blocking, most drastically affects turbidite systems. Conversely, the impact during fold decay has often been underestimated. The intricate evolution of the deep-water systems depositing these stratal packages is observed in high-resolution 3-D seismic data through seismic attribute analysis. Most emphasis has been on the sedimentological response of individual channel-levee systems around solitary salt-cored structures (e.g. Gee & Gawthorpe, 2006; Gee et al., 2007; Clark & Cartwright, 2009), with more recent study of the responses of lobe complexes to diapiric growth (Doughty-Jones et al., 2017). Less attention has been devoted to the combination of these depositional elements (i.e. submarine channels, lobes) and on controls over the transitional zone from channel confinement to relative unconfinement, often referred to as...
channel-lobe transition zone (CLTZ) (Hofstra et al., 2015; Brooks et al., 2018), or locations for newly
formed channel pathways referred to as avulsion nodes (Armitage et al., 2012). The location of
avulsion nodes is key for understanding exactly how channels move as structures grow and why some
channels shift abruptly via these nodes while others gradually migrate laterally.

In this study we use an extensive 3-D seismic data volume to complete a detailed tectono-stratigraphic
analysis within a series of minibasins in the Kwanza Basin, approximately 100 km offshore Angola. The
stratigraphic interval-of-interest is within the Miocene and is composed of > 50 km long submarine
channel-lobe systems trending both transversely and axially to structural strike. Our aim with this
paper is to document the effect of salt-influenced sea-floor topographies on the routing and
geomorphology of submarine channel-lobe systems. Specific objectives include (i) to understand the
location and character of transition zones between depositional elements (i.e. CLTZ, avulsion nodes)
in relation to sea-floor topography, and (ii) to develop an evolution model of channel-lobe systems
that incorporates the mature growth and death stages of salt-cored folds. This work is novel as it
explores the reasoning for abrupt channel movement via avulsion nodes versus gradual lateral channel
migration, in response to dynamic underlying structures. Importantly, we present revised conceptual
models of deep-water systems along evolving contractional salt domains, thereby providing a
subsurface reservoir analogue for similar salt-withdrawal minibasins such as the stacked post-salt

GEOLOGICAL SETTING AND STUDY AREA

The focus of this study, the northern Kwanza Basin, is bounded to the north by the Lower Congo Basin
and to the south by the WNW-trending Cretaceous Kwanza seamounts (Figure 1a; Marton, 2000;
Guiraud et al., 2010). Similar to other sedimentary basins along the West African margin (offshore
Gabon, Congo, Angola and Namibia), the Kwanza Basin formed during rifting and break-up of the
Gondwana supercontinent in Late Jurassic to Early Cretaceous times (Brice et al., 1982; Guiraud &
Maurin, 1992; Karner & Driscoll, 1999; Moulin et al., 2005; Jian-Ping et al., 2008; Guiraud et al., 2010).
The stratigraphy of the Kwanza Basin can be divided into pre-salt (Late Proterozoic-Barremian), salt (Aptian) and post salt mega-sequences (Albian-present). The pre-salt sequence is comprised mostly of continental deposits contained within intracratonic rift basins. A post-rift sag basin developed during the Barremian and restricted marine conditions leading to the deposition of a salt layer that was, on average, 3 km thick in the offshore Kwanza Basin (Hudec & Jackson, 2004). The initial deposits of the post-salt sequence (Albian) were predominately shallow water carbonates, followed by clastic progradation and deep-water sedimentation (Cenomanian-Eocene) (Anderson et al., 2000; Lavier et al., 2001; Valle et al., 2001; Brownfield & Charpentier, 2006). Tectonic uplift and tilting of the margin ended marine conditions in the upslope, which resulted in shelf erosion with subsequent sediment delivery to downslope channel-lobe systems (Miocene-Oligocene) (Figure 2; Brice et al., 1982; Anderson et al., 2000; Jackson et al., 2005). During the Pliocene through to present, low sediment influx and relatively high sea level were recorded in a sequence of condensed sections consisting dominantly of hemipelagic clays and silts. There were limited channel-lobe systems during this time, largely constrained to river entry sites (Figure 2). Salt-related deformation preserved on the present-day sea-floor began shortly after the deposition of the Albian carbonates continuing until the present, with articulated periods of salt translation and increased salt growth (Figures 2-3; Duval et al., 1992).

The structural style of the post-salt sequence is gravity-driven thin-skinned deformation creating updip extensional domain along the shelf and proximal slope, which is kinematically linked to a down-dip contractional domain (Marton, 2000; Valle et al., 2001; Fort et al., 2004; Brun & Fort, 2011; Quirk et al., 2012). Within the extensional domain listric growth faults, grabens and rafts dominate, whereas the contractional domain contains mostly thrusts with fault-propagation folds, salt nappes, squeezed diapiric salt stocks and salt walls (Duval et al., 1992; Lundin, 1992; Tari et al., 2003; Guiraud et al., 2010). We differentiate diapiric salt stocks and walls based on planform geometry in the study area, salt stocks (referred to as ‘diapirs’ in this study) contain more circular geometries rising from a point source (length:width < 3), while salt walls are substantially more elongated structures rising from a line source (length:width > 3) (Hudec & Jackson, 2007). Many contractional structures trend parallel to the
shelf break due to gravitational gliding of the mobile substrate (Peel, 2014). The regional line from Hudec & Jackson (2004) suggests there are considerable basement steps in the Kwanza Basin, including directly east of the study area (Figure 1b). These blocks were uplifted around the Late Miocene (8 Ma) and likely influenced the ramp-syncline basin style of sedimentary fill observed within the older stratal packages of some minibasins in the study area (Pichel et al., 2018).

DATA AND METHODOLOGY
The study utilises a time-migrated 3-D seismic reflection survey within the Kwanza Basin in water depths between 1800 m and 2300 m (Figures 1a). The study area is within the down-dip contractional salt domain, containing salt walls, diapirs and a thickened salt plateau (Figures 1b, 3). The eastern edge of the survey is approximately 30 km from the Late Miocene base of continental slope (Hudec & Jackson, 2004). The seismic survey covers an area approximately 3,500 km², with a 4-ms sample interval and a crossline and inline spacing of 12.5 and 25 m, respectively. The data is processed and displayed as zero phase, with a positive impedance contrast represented as a peak. No well calibration is available and stratigraphic age is based on correlation to regional lines (Hudec & Jackson, 2004) and nearby surveys (Oluboyo et al., 2014). Data quality is generally good to excellent within the post-salt stratigraphy. The average frequency in the interval-of-interest is about 45 Hz, resulting in a maximum vertical resolution of 10 - 14 m assuming the vertical resolution is a quarter of the wavelength (Brown, 1999) and using an average seismic velocity between 1800 and 2500 ms⁻¹. The Miocene interval-of-interest is the shallowest and most readily imaged stratal interval with abundant submarine channel-lobe systems. The workflow for our study entailed: (i) defining a seismic stratigraphic framework and mapping bounding horizons, (ii) using time-thickness maps of the seismic units to constrain structural growth, subsidence and sedimentary system development, and (iii) utilizing a variety of seismic attribute maps and cross-sectional seismic facies to characterise the deep-water deposits and their evolution.

The seismic stratigraphic framework is based on identification of key seismic surfaces defined by stratal terminations, significant variations in seismic facies and time-thickness changes due to altering input
directions of major sediment fairways (Figures 2, 4c; Table 1). Horizon stacks containing a number of surfaces for a defined interval are created within Paleoscan™ as preliminary inputs for the stratigraphic framework and are continuously edited due to the complicated isolating nature of the minibasins. Six horizons are used to define the interval-of-interest and these form the bounding surfaces of the main five seismic units (SU1-SU5) (Table 1).

Time-thickness variations within the seismic units are used as proxies for subsidence and uplift along the salt-cored structures, as well as to identify depositionally driven sediment accumulation along fairways (Figure 5). Thicknesses of beds along salt flanks were treated cautiously due to the reduced data quality in these areas. We have verified these stratigraphic thickness changes with cross-sectional geometries to validate lateral mapping of deep-water systems in the absence of well data. We have likely been conservative in widths of diapirs as wells have revealed salt bodies often extending beyond the confines of the ‘chaotic’ reflectors used for salt mapping (Jackson & Lewis, 2012; Jones & Davison, 2014). As the study is in the time domain, some geometric distortion is also expected along the salt flanks, but this has limited impact on the results of this deposition-based study.

Seismic attribute volumes, including spectral decomposition Red-Green-Blue (RGB) colour blends, are generated from the 3-D seismic volume and used to aid in mapping deep-water systems and seismic geomorphological-sedimentological analysis. The attribute spectral decomposition transforms data into the frequency domain, which results in a variety of frequency volumes (Othman et al., 2016). In RGB colour blended maps, each colour corresponds to a specific frequency selected to image channel-lobe systems and slumps within the target interval. RGB colour blend maps do not simply display lithology changes but are also used to outline subtle bed thickness changes, structural edges and fluid varieties. Drastic variations in fluid (and gas) saturation within the Miocene deposits has the potential to boost amplitudes within sand-rich lobes and channels on attribute maps, thereby making the deposits more pronounced and visible than similar deposits in older, deeper stratigraphy (Maestrelli et al., 2017). Although time windows around horizons can be defined for these attribute maps, the
vertical resolution of these depends on the ‘vertical smearing’ during the frequency decomposition.

For this study, the Constant Q method is used for frequency decomposition as quality of imaging representative of a seismic unit takes precedence over high vertical resolution (McArdle & Ackers, 2012). To aid in calibration and interpretation, the planform geometry and amplitude or frequency variations observed on attribute maps are accompanied by cross-sectional seismic facies analysis.

Seismic facies represent the different elements of deep-water systems, such as submarine channels, lobes, slumps and slope deposits. These seismic facies are defined by reflection geometries and characteristics, such as amplitude, continuity and conformity (discussed in detail in Seismic Facies and Depositional Environments; Table 2).

**PRESENT-DAY STRUCTURAL CONFIGURATION**

The study area is comprised of 8 minibasins, up to 21 km long and 6 km wide, separated by a series of salt-cored highs (Figure 3). Most of the salt-cored highs can be observed on the modern sea-floor where they exhibit c. 85 to 450 ms TWTT of positive sea-floor relief. The planform length and cross-sectional geometry of these salt-cored highs distinctly vary spatially across the study area. Diapir geometry ranges from a relatively thin (c. 3 km diameter) basal pedestal with a drastically narrowing or vertically welded (secondary weld; sensu Jackson et al., 2014) stock and piercing teardrop bulb (e.g. Diapir F; Figure 4a), to a pyramidal-shape with a wide (c. 7 km diameter) basal pedestal gradually narrowing upwards (e.g. Diapir Dii; Figure 4b). Salt wall geometries typically extend laterally over 30 km and are either piercing highs cutting stratigraphy over 2.5 s TWTT in height (e.g. Salt Walls A, B; Figure 4a) or subdued highs with concordant overlying stratigraphy (e.g. Salt Walls D, E; Figure 4a).

Based on the spatial distribution, continuity and geometry of the salt-cored highs and corresponding minibasins, the structural style of the study area can be divided into two main domains: (i) an eastern domain defined by co-linear salt walls and elongated minibasins, and (ii) a western domain defined by variably orientated segmented and isolated salt walls, which gradually transition down-dip into a thickened salt plateau.
**Eastern domain**

The main structural elements in the eastern domain are four salt walls (Salt Walls A, B, C and D; Figures 3-4) and three intervening minibasins (MB1, MB2i, MB2ii and MB3; Figure 3). The minibasins are elongate NNW-trending (lengths c. 34 km, 5:1 length:width), with MB2ii being a southward extension of MB2i with a more circular planform geometry (length c. 18 km, 1.5:1 length:width). Deeper strata within some minibasins display a W-shape geometry due to the presence of salt pillows within the minibasin centres, whereas shallower strata have a U-shape geometry (e.g. between Salt Wall C and D; Figure 4a).

The elongate co-linear salt walls with apparent primary salt welds (below seismic resolution, < 50 m) separate salt withdrawal minibasins trending approximately parallel to the shelf break (e.g. between Salt Walls B, C and D; Figure 4a). The salt wall structural style transitions from Plio-Pleistocene piercing salt walls up-dip (e.g. Salt Walls A, B; Figure 4a) to subdued salt-cored highs with concordant overlying stratigraphy down-dip (Salt Walls C, D; Figure 4a). The sea-floor relief for the subdued salt-cored highs is relatively small (up to 110 ms TWTT) compared to the large relief (up to 450 ms TWTT) exhibited by the piercing salt-cored highs (Figure 3). The cross-sectional geometry of diapirs varies, generally appearing as detached salt bulbs with vertical, secondary weld (Diapirs Ci and Cii; Figure 4a) or occasionally a pyramidal shape with no vertical weld (Diapir Di; Figure 3).

**Western domain**

The main structural elements in the western domain are two salt walls (Salt Walls E, F; Figure 3) that gradually transition into a thickened salt plateau down-dip. There are four prominent minibasins in this domain (MB4, MB5, MB6 and MB7; Figure 3), with relatively circular planform geometries compared to the eastern domain (c. 21 km length, 2.4:1 length:width). Post-salt thicknesses are similar to the eastern domain (c. 2.4 s TWTT), but the Late Miocene eventually pinches out westwards onto the thickened salt plateau (Figure 4a).

The western domain contains more segmented and isolated salt-cored structures compared to the elongated co-linear salt walls in the eastern domain. Similar to the up-dip salt walls, Salt Wall E is NNW-
trending. Salt Wall F contains a series of distinct segments exhibiting high topographic relief on the
sea-floor with variable NE- and NW-trends (Fi, Fii and Fiii; Figure 3). The eastern edge of the thickened
salt plateau trends NW, parallel to parental Salt Wall Fi (Figure 3). The salt plateau has a minimum
areal extent of 1,230 km$^2$ (excluding the attached Diapir G), extending westwards beyond the study
area. It is consistently thick (c. 1.25 s TWTT) with an undular top surface and small salt withdrawal
minibasins (wavelength c. 1.6 km) (Figures 3, 4).

**SEISMIC FACIES AND DEPOSITIONAL ELEMENTS**

Comparison of the seismic facies with previous studies of deep-water systems aided in the
identification of depositional elements and interpretation of sedimentary processes in the absence of
well-based and lithological calibration within the study area (e.g. Abreu *et al.*, 2003; Deptuck *et al*.,
2003; Posamentier & Kolla, 2003; Janocko *et al*., 2013; Oluboyo *et al*., 2014; Hansen *et al*., 2017). We
define five dominant seismic facies based on integration of cross-sections and seismic attribute maps,
referring to these as deep-water depositional elements as: (i) channels, (ii) levees, (iii) lobes, (iv)
slumps, and (vi) slope deposits (Table 2).

**Submarine channel**

This seismic facies contains distinct defining characteristics, such as planform sinuosity and cross-
sectional V- and U-shaped confinement of regularly stacked somewhat-chaotic high amplitude
reflections (HARs) (Table 2). It is dominant spatially (extending lengths over 50 km) and temporally
throughout the study interval. These V- and U-shaped deposits contain similar measurements for
individual elements (c. 0.25 km wide and c. 20 ms TWTT thick), while dimensions for stacked complexes
ranges from c. 0.25 km wide and c. 50 ms TWTT thick for V-shaped geometries, and c. 1 km wide and
> 100 ms TWTT thick for U-shaped geometries. The base of these deposits may contain evident lateral
accretion packages (LAPs), which appear as offlapping shingled reflections dipping towards the
youngest deposit or a single continuous high amplitude reflection if the LAP is below seismic tuning
thickness. Capping reflectors draping the U-shaped bodies may be ‘convex-up’ (positive-relief) or flat.
In planform, the V-shaped bodies tend to have lower sinuosities (near 1) relative to U-shaped bodies (greater than 1.5). Similar seismic facies and seismic geomorphology have been observed in many other studies of deep-water depositional systems (e.g. Pirmez et al., 1997; Prather et al., 1998; Posamentier & Kolla, 2003; Hadler-Jacobsen et al., 2005; Gee & Gawthorpe, 2006; Deptuck et al., 2007; Janocko et al., 2013; Oluboyo et al., 2014; Hansen et al., 2017; Niyazia et al., 2018) and following these works, they are interpreted as submarine channel deposits. We will abide to the sedimentological terminology of channel belts and channel complexes, the latter referring to vertically stacked multi-story channel belts with varying offset (Collinson & Thompson, 1982). Channel complexes in this study are interpreted to contain both sand-rich and mud-rich channel fills based on cross-sectional geometry and amplitude contrasts on seismic attribute maps. High-amplitude sand-rich channel fills contain positive-relief due to differential compaction, whereas mud-rich channel fills contain flat capping reflectors if the channel form is thicker than 30 ms TWTT (Posamentier, 2003). Channel complexes are observed to be dominantly erosional, meandering and leveed. Erosionally-dominant systems are defined by lower sinuosities and V-shaped channel forms, while meandering systems contain higher sinuosities with LAPs and wide U-shaped channel forms. Leveed systems often contain U-shaped channel forms encased by high or low amplitude wedge-shaped geometries. Hybrids of these channel complex varieties are also common in the study area, such as wide meander belts encased by low amplitude levees or terraces.

Leves
In cross-section this seismic facies is characterised by two or more reflections that have a gull-wing-shape geometry and are located directly adjacent to submarine channels (Table 2). Reflections vary in amplitude, typically decreasing away from the channel axis before downlapping onto underlying deposits. This seismic facies is up to 80 ms TWTT height, and thins away from the channel axis over distances up to 12 km. These deposits are not always readily imaged on seismic attribute maps, especially when composed of dominantly low-amplitude reflections.
The geometry and reflection characteristics of this seismic facies has been recognised by other authors as external levees associated with channel complexes when the height of turbidity currents exceeds the confinement of the channel form (e.g. Posamentier & Kolla, 2003; Deptuck et al., 2007; Janocko et al., 2013; Oluboyo et al., 2014). Often this overspill is fine-grained due to flow stripping, resulting in a mud-dominated deposit and potentially a low amplitude response in the seismic data (Table 2).

**Lobes**

This seismic facies is composed of distinctive, sub-parallel continuous high-amplitude reflection packages (HARPs) that are tabular to lobate in cross-section. It contains a maximum thickness of 50 ms TWTT, typically thinning and downlapping towards the outer edges (Table 2). On seismic attribute maps these deposits have a distinct tongue-shape down-dip of submarine channels. Individual lobe-shaped deposits generally contain a ‘feathery’ texture, distinguished by a branching outwards dendritic pattern. The boundaries of these lobes can be denoted by amplitude changes, such as a sudden dimming, although it can be difficult to differentiate lobes within the larger and thicker deposit. Lobes appear to prograde, backstep or compensationally stack one another in different minibasins (e.g. Figures 11, 14), with average lengths 4 - 5 km and widths 1 - 2 km. The larger deposit appears to reflect the shape of the minibasin accommodation it is infilling, with average lengths 8 - 18 km and widths 8 - 20 km.

These lobe-shaped deposits have been observed along the slope through to basin-floor, in both ponded and unconfined settings (Posamentier & Kolla, 2003; Gamberi & Rovere, 2011; Hay, 2012; Doughty-Jones et al., 2017; McHargue et al., 2020), and interpretations variably refer to these deposits as channelised lobes, frontal splays, sheet sands and submarine fans. We use the Prelat et al. (2010) hierarchical terminology and refer to the larger deposits as lobe complexes comprised of lobes with internal distributary channels forming dendritic patterns. Larger trunk channels feed these lobes and the dominant channel complexes sourcing this system are feeder channels (e.g. Figure 13). Taking into consideration tuning thickness effects on the seismic attribute maps, it is possible to differentiate the
lobe axis and the outer lobe fringe based on amplitude contrasts due to the spatial variety of mud and sand distribution (e.g. Figures 9a, 13; Doughty-Jones et al., 2017).

## Slumps

This seismic facies consists of low-to-moderate amplitude reflections with a discontinuous and chaotic character forming bodies up to 50 ms TWTT thick and 15 km wide adjacent to salt-cored highs (Table 2). Internally these bodies may contain tilted reflections (internal thrusts) with strikes parallel to the edges of nearby topography. The base of these deposits is sharp and often truncates underlying reflections, whereas the top surface is generally irregular and undulatory. On seismic attribute maps these deposits can blend in with surrounding mud-rich background slope deposits but appear speckled.

The distinctly chaotic seismic facies is interpreted as a gravity-driven mass-wasting process, such as mass transport complexes, debris flows and slumps (Posamentier & Kolla, 2003; Olafiranye et al., 2013; Ortiz-Karpf et al., 2015; Doughty-Jones et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2020). Such mass wasting processes can be erosive when frictionally attached, thereby scouring the underlying strata on a seismic-scale (Bull et al., 2009). Due to the location of these deposits adjacent to salt-cored structures and presence of syn-depositional thrusts, we interpret most of these deposits as slumps locally sourced off the flanks of topographic highs (Martinez et al., 2005). The speckled appearance observed on seismic attribute maps may be due to these internal thrusts or megaclasts incorporated in the deposit (Ortiz-Karpf et al., 2017).

## Slope deposits

The characteristic signature of this seismic facies is the low to moderate amplitude reflections, which are parallel and conformable to underlying deposits (Table 2). These deposits range from a few reflections up to hundred's of ms TWTT, continuing across the minibasins laterally for ten's of kilometers. On seismic attribute maps this seismic facies is characteristically low amplitude and dark, allowing a distinctive interpretation of the other facies and geomorphologies.
Similar seismic facies have been observed in many areas, believed to be the deposition of pelagic and hemipelagic mud and silt during sediment starvation in low-energy environments (Hadler-Jacobsen et al., 2007; Oluboyo et al., 2014). It should also be noted that not all of these deposits are from suspension settling and some of these sheet-like deposits may be fined-grained, low-density turbidity currents (Straub & Mohrig, 2009).

TECTONO-STRATIGRAPHIC EVOLUTION

The seismic-stratigraphic framework for the study interval is based on six key seismic horizons that sub-divide the Miocene interval-of-interest into five seismic units (Table 1). The following sections will describe time-thickness variations (Figure 5) and outline the main sediment pathways on representative seismic attribute maps for each unit (Figures 6, 7, 9, 11, 14).

Seismic Unit 1 (SU1)

SU1 is the oldest seismic unit in the study and is dominated by hemipelagic deposits contained within the up-dip minibasins (MB1, MB2i, MB2ii, MB3 and MB4) by salt walls (Figure 6). SU1 thickness is relatively constant at c. 40 ms TWTT throughout the majority of the minibasins. Subtle thickens occur at the sediment entry points in MB2ii, the southern portion of MB1 and in the centres of MB2i and MB3 (c. 60 ms TWTT; Figure 5a). SU1 is thinnest in MB4 where the unit spills from the up-dip MB3 through a segment boundary along Salt Wall D (Figure 6).

Based on the volume windows provided by a series of attribute maps, SU1 is comprised primarily of 36% hemipelagic deposits, 34% poorly imaged lobes and 30% channel complexes. The channel complexes can be further classified as 53% lacking observable LAPs and 47% containing LAPs. Some of the lobe deposits are difficult-to-resolve on the seismic attribute maps and are inferred where trunk channels branch outwards before terminating. This unit is comprised of sediment Pathways A and B, which both enter the study area at an orientation transverse-to-structure (Figure 6). The northern Pathway A consists of multiple sinuous channel complexes that are strongly influenced by salt-cored
Pathway A
The channel complexes within Pathway A enter MB1 transversely to the structural trend, between Salt Wall A and Diapir A (Figure 6). The northern channel complex \( (A_{N}) \) contains low sinuosity (ave. 1.06) channels diverted northwards to trend parallel along Salt Wall B, while the main high sinuosity (ave. 1.64) channel complex crosses the south end of Salt Wall B into MB2i and is funnelled between Diapirs Ci and Cii into MB3. Older channel complexes are diverted north of these diapirs and across Salt Wall C, where the system deposits a series of lobes with dimensions c. 4 km by 2 km in MB3 (Figure 6). The sinuosity of Pathway A\( _{N} \) channels increases in MB3 (from ave. 1.05 to 1.79) as they are diverted northwest towards a segment boundary along Salt Wall D. Once the channel complex crosses into MB4, the meander belt wavelength increases drastically (from c. 2.5 to 10.8 km) and the system is diverted north along the salt walls before exiting the study area.

The most southern channel complex within Pathway A \( (A_{S}) \) enters MB2ii as a series of channel complexes without evident LAPs. One channel complex feds small lobes (ave. 1.4 km by 2.6 km) to the south of the channel axis before terminating as larger lobes (ave. 2.0 km by 5.0 km) blocked by down-dip Diapir D.

Pathway B
Pathway B lies to the south of Pathway A, in the southeast of the study area and consists of a large lobe complex (c. 6.5 km by 11.8 km) entering transverse to structural strike, south of Diapir A (Figure 6). Individual lobes are inferred by subtle changes in distributary channel orientation (c. 12.5 m wide), and although some distributary channels are diverted north along Salt Wall D, the lobe complex is largely blocked by Diapir D and confined within MB2ii.

Seismic Unit 2 (SU2)
SU2 is more laterally extensive than SU1, extending into distal down-dip minibasins, as well as having different sediment input directions and locations (Figure 7). The isochron thicks for SU2 are pronounced along the main sediment pathway up to 200 ms TWTT, most notably within MB2ii (Figure 5b). Additional isochron thicks are within MB4 and MB6 (c. 130 ms TWTT), before thinning down-dip into MB5 and MB7 (c. 45 ms TWTT).

The seismic facies for this seismic unit is 47% dominated by extensive channel complexes, with 37% hemipelagic deposits and 15% lobes comprising of the rest of the unit. The channel complexes can be sub-divided into 51% lacking observable LAPs and 49% containing LAPs. As with SU1, the lobes are difficult to resolve and often inferred by the termination of feeder channels that are observed with an outward branching pattern. The main sediment routing system for SU2 is Pathway C, channel complex entering from the southeast corner of the study area.

Pathway C
Pathway C is orientated oblique-to-structure and consists mainly of channel complexes trending broadly northwest (Figure 7). There is one main c. 2.5 km wide and c. 80 ms TWTT thick channel complex with LAPs (Figure 8). Sinuosity is highest for the oldest LAPs within MB2ii (ave. 2.77) and decreases significantly (ave. 1.08) in the youngest LAPs within MB2ii and MB6. The northwards diverted channels continue around the salt-cored relief of Diapirs Ci and Cii until the channel width decreases to near seismic resolution and thin lobes may be deposited.

There is potentially a series of avulsion nodes overtop of Salt Wall D, along the outer meander bends of main channel belts (Figure 8a, b). These nodes fed smaller channel complexes both northwards into MB3 and westwards into MB6 towards MB7. Instead of minor avulsion nodes these could also simply be channels cross cutting, as it is not possible to fully distinguish the channel boundaries at the seismic resolution. On the western side of MB6 the channel complexes encounter a narrow c. 5 km long ‘chokepoint’ between Salt Wall Fi and Diapir G, where they coalesce into an erosional channel complex that feeds thin axial lobes (c. 30 ms TWTT) within MB7.
The main channel complex with LAPs continues towards the northwest, initially containing high sinuosity channels (ave. 2.12) as it is apparently funnelled between Salt Wall Fiii and Diapir F before spilling into MB4 (Figure 8c). As the main channel complex passes from MB4 into down-dip MB5, the sinuosity decreases (ave. 1.10) along the steeper gradient near Diapir E, but increases once again (ave. 1.63) further into MB5 where the channel meanders are translating down-flow in a lower gradient area in the minibasin centre (Figure 8d). The channel complex contains no levees alongside Salt Wall Fi and decreases in sinuosity before exiting the study area as an axial system (Figure 8e).

**Seismic Unit 3 (SU3)**

SU3 has different sediment input locations and directions than SU2, thereby shifting the unit thicks from the south of the study area to the north (Figures 5c, 9). The isochron thicks for SU3 are dominated by the relatively older sediment Pathway D in the northeast, largely within MB2i (c. 180 ms TWTT; Figure 5c). Relative thicks up to 85 ms TWTT are within the down-dip minibasin centres for younger sediment Pathways A and B. Unlike SU2, deposition for SU3 does not continue into MB7.

SU3 is comprised of 39% lobes, 31% hemipelagic deposits, 26% channel systems and 5% slumps. The channel complexes can be further sub-divided into 65% containing LAPs and 35% lacking observable LAPs, while the lobes can be sub-divided into 64% highly channelised lobe complexes and 36% difficult-to-resolve lobes. SU3 can be divided into a lower (older) sub-unit dominated by lobe complexes with low sinuosity trunk channels from Pathway D and an upper (younger) sub-unit consisting of widespread, high sinuosity, initially transverse-to-structure channel-lobe systems within sediment Pathways A and B (Figure 9).

**Pathway D**

The older sub-unit of SU3, Pathway D, is a substantial (c. 185 km² area) sediment routing system and the only system to enter from the northeast (Figure 9). Pathway D is composed of numerous lobe complexes which can be sub-divided into three phases based on reflection characteristics and channelisation (Lobes Di, Dii and Diii; Figure 10a). The oldest lobe complex (Di) is composed of parallel
low to medium amplitude reflections with a few notable down-laps indicating lobe fringes. While there
are only a few observable channels, the extensive lobes are largely confined within MB2i with some
spill-over across Salt Wall C into MB3 (Figure 10a). Lobes within Dii are comprised of medium to high
amplitude reflections with numerous low sinuosity (ave. 1.07), c. 300 m wide trunk channels, which
are diverted around Lobe Di topography and deposit lobes further down-flow within MB2i and MB3.
The youngest lobes (Diii) contain high amplitude continuous reflections with indistinguishable lobe
fringes. These lobes have few trunk channels (c. 200 m wide) but contain numerous distributary
channels (c. 20 m wide).

Pathway A
The upper (younger) section of SU3, sediment Pathways A and B, return to a transverse-to-structure
orientation of sediment routing. Pathway A is composed of a series of main channel complexes along
a c. 9 km transect, similar to Pathway A in SU1 except here there are a greater number of thicker
channel complexes (Figure 9). This sediment pathway splits into a NW-trending pathway (AN) and a
westward-trending pathway (AS) (Figure 9). The low sinuosity channels of AN (ave. 1.12) are diverted
west of Pathway D and over Salt Wall C down-dip into MB3. As the channels cross over Salt Wall D,
they either decrease in width to below seismic resolution or they deposit thin, low-amplitude, axial
lobes in MB4 (Figure 9). Pathway AS continues transverse-to-structure, confined between Diapirs Ci
and Cii, continuing towards Salt Wall D. The main channel complex is funnelled between Salt Wall Fiii
and Diapir F, although some minor channels deposit a series of lobes south of Salt Wall Fiii (Figure 9).
The channel complex entering MB5 is confined between the southern end of Diapir E and a c. 18 km²
detached slump derived off the flank of the nearby diapir (Figure 10b, c). The channel complex
decreases sinuosity (ave. 1.67 to 1.02) and width (c. 1.5 km to 0.5 km) across this corridor and
continues axially along MB5 and northward out of the study area (Figure 10b). A small-avulsed channel
is intricately routed through the tortuous slump topography (Figure 10b).
Pathway B appears similar in extent and geomorphology to the blocked lobe complex within Pathway B of SU1. Pathway B also consists of transverse-to-structure input south of Diapir A, depositing feathery texture lobes up-dip of Diapir Dii (Figure 9). In addition, the lobes appear to be cut by a younger channel complex continuing westwards with accompanying unconfined overspill deposits.

Seismic Unit 4 (SU4)

SU4 is more extensive than SU3 as it continues into the down-dip MB7 and is dominated by widespread channel-levee systems (Figure 11). The time-thickness map for SU4 thickens largely follow the main sediment pathways through the centre of the study area up to c. 150 ms TWTT near Salt Wall B (Figure 5d). Relative thick of c. 70 ms TWTT follow stacked channel complexes that continue northwest into MB5 or southwest into MB7, terminating as lobes before spilling into an adjacent c. 16 km² minibasin. SU4 is predominantly composed of 50% channel systems and 41% lobes, with minor 9% hemipelagic deposits. The channel complexes dominating the northern section of the study area can be sub-divided into 56% containing LAPs, 32% lacking LAPs and 12% large stacked systems. Three main sediment fairways occur within SU4, Pathways A, B and E, enter the eastern side of the study area at a high angle to structural strike. The main sediment pathway is the central Pathway A, which consists of numerous major channel complexes extending down-dip into MB5 and MB7. Pathway B is a lobe complex largely confined to MB2ii, while Pathway E comprises of numerous relatively small channel complexes.

Pathway A

Pathway A is an extensive sediment fairway and enters MB1 as a series of channel complexes along a c. 5 km wide section across Salt Wall A and continues down-dip into MB2ii, MB6 and MB7 (Figure 11). This pathway can be divided into a northern channel complex (A_n), a central collection of channel complexes (A_c), and a far-reaching > 43 km long southern channel complex (A_s). Collectively, the channel complexes have high sinuosities and meander belt widths within MB1 (ave. 2.58 and 1.7 km, respectively) which substantially decrease down-dip of Salt Wall B (Figure 11).
The southern channel complex of Pathway A (A_s, Figure 11) firstly deposits a lobe complex (Ai) extending southwest into MB2ii, which is later eroded by an extensive low sinuosity channel complex. This channel complex is diverted c. 1.6 km south around Diapir Cii and contains locally well-developed levees, particularly on the southern side of the channel complex. Channel sinuosity increases immediately down-dip of Salt Wall C (ave. 1.2 to 1.40) and feds a series of backstepping lobes (Aii and Aiii) within MB6 (Figure 11). The main channel complex continues west, diverted c. 3 km south by Salt Wall Fiii, and continues as a narrow, erosive channel through the confining ‘chokepoint’ between Salt Wall Fii and Diapir G (Figure 12a-c). Upon entering MB7 the channel complex becomes unconfined through the CLTZ (Figure 12d) and deposits a widespread down-dip terminal lobe complex (Aiv) up to 100 ms TWTT thick (Figure 12e). The trunk channels of the lobes are c. 0.3 km wide, while the distributary channels are at the limit of seismic resolution and appear as a feathery texture on RGB attribute maps.

The central channel complex of Pathway A (A_c, Figure 11) crosses Salt Wall B and subsequently decreases in average sinuosity (ave. 3.20 to 1.46) and meander belt width (ave. 2.5 km to 1.3 km). Within MB3 and MB4 these channels are high sinuosity (ave. 2.1), but the youngest channel belt sinuosity decreases (ave. 1.1) along Diapir F. The system deposits a series of lobes along the confining Salt Wall Fi and leaves the study area as axially-trending channel complexes.

The northern channel complex of Pathway A (A_n) is the first stacked channel complex, containing a complex width of c. 1.2 km, relatively high sinuosities (ave. 1.5 in MB3) and a total thickness up to 85 ms TWTT (Figure 11). The channel complex is diverted axially along MB4, with decreasing sinuosity (ave. 1.5 to 1.2) and a lack of LAPs. An older channel complex along this sediment pathway crosses Salt Wall D before being diverted north into accommodation down-dip of Salt Wall D (Figure 13). The channel complex continues to trend axially along the centre of MB4 (Figure 13). Sinuosity and meander belt width initially increase into the accommodation (ave. 1.06 to 1.55 and 0.7 km to 1.5 km, respectively) before finally decreasing along the salt walls (ave. 1.16 and 1.1 km, respectively).
**Pathway B**

Pathway B is essentially a continuation of the minor sediment Pathway B from SU3 but contains more defined lobes and a younger high sinuosity (ave. 1.59) channel complex eroded by Pathway A₅ (Figure 11). The lobes once again fill the accommodation within MB2ii and are blocked to the southwest by Diapir Dii.

**Pathway E**

Due to down-cutting from a younger channel complex in SU5, it is not possible to document the exact entry point for Pathway E and there may be a single entry point or multiple entry points along a c. 5 km transect (Figure 11). Pathway E contains numerous channels crossing MB2i at a high angle to structures with few observable LAPs, low sinuosities (ave. 1.07) and a narrow channel width of c. 0.15 km.

**Seismic Unit 5 (SU5)**

SU5 is defined by a dominance of large stacked channel complexes and terminal lobes within the northern section of the study area (Figure 14). Relative isochron thicks on the time-thickness map are largely contained along a pathway continuing through MB1, MB2i, MB3 and MB4, ranging from 120 to 150 ms TWTT (Figure 5e). The unit gradually thins towards the south as the channel levees down-lap onto SU4. There is no indication of thinning of SU5 across the salt walls, apart from subtle thinning over Salt Wall E.

The boundary between SU4 and SU5 is marked by a notable change in seismic facies. While SU4 is dominated by solitary channel complexes, SU5 is composed of thickly stacked or erosional channel complexes and laterally extensive lobes. Overall SU5 comprises of 44% channel systems, 42% unconfined lobes, and 14% hemipelagic deposits. Channel complexes can be further sub-divided into 40% large stacked complexes, 40% large erosional complexes with terraces and 20% smaller complexes with or without observable LAPs. There is one main transverse-to-structure sediment fairway for SU5, Pathway E, composed of channel complexes and a lobe complex.
Pathway E

Pathway E is comprised of three dominant channel complexes with LAPs and one terminal lobe complex (Figure 14). A series of major avulsion nodes on the channel-levee systems are located along the structural highs of Salt Walls B, C, D and E, situated on the outer bends of the channels (Figure 14). Avulsed channels preferentially divert northwards as axial systems. Two of the channel complexes (E_i and E_ii) contain high-amplitude stacked channels with c. 100 ms TWTT thicknesses and c. 1.5 km channel complex widths, containing low to medium amplitude levees > 2 km. The third channel complex (E_iii) is erosional with c. 120 ms TWTT thickness and c. 1.3 km channel complex width, encased by low amplitude flat-topped terraces. Overall, Pathway E can be sub-divided into four distinct sediment pathways (E_i, E_ii, E_iii and E_iv) based on relative age (oldest to youngest) and routing.

The oldest channel complex of Pathway E (E_i, Figure 14) is the continuation of a large stacked channel complex from SU4 (A_N; Figure 11) with LAPs and an average channel complex width c. 1.2 km within MB3 (Figure 15a). This system crosses Salt Wall D and diverts northwards into an axial system, with meander belt width and sinuosity gradually decreasing (ave. 2.0 to 1.4 km and 1.5 to 1.3, respectively) before leaving the study area (Figure 14).

Channelised lobes are the dominant component of Pathway E within MB5 and the southern portion of MB4 (E_ii; Figure 14), with Pathway E_i acting as the main feeder channel. A major CLTZ for the system occurs immediately down-dip of Salt Wall D, depositing a series of terminal lobes. Lobe geometry generally reflects the shape of the minibasin, with average dimensions of 5.4 km length by 1.8 km width in MB5 and 7.6 km length by 1.6 km width in the southern section of MB4. Lobe stacking patterns vary spatially throughout the minibasins, backstepping in the southern reaches of MB4, prograding over the southern tip of Salt Wall E, and mostly compensationally stacking within MB5 (Figure 14). The feathery-textured distributary channels within the lobes show diversion along Salt Wall Fi (Figure 14). Lobes along this pathway are also deposited to the south of the main channel complex within MB3 and MB4 (ave. 6.6 km length by 2.9 km width), from minor avulsion nodes (Figures 14, 15a).
The second youngest main channel complex of Pathway E stems from an avulsion node above Salt Wall C (E_{ii}; Figure 14). The wide channel meander belt width reduces after crossing Salt Wall C (3.1 km to 1.5 km) until the channel complex reaches Salt Wall D. Here, it largely follows the structure for 17 km before diverting westwards and exiting the study area.

The youngest channel complex of Pathway E largely infills MB2i (E_{iv}; Figure 14) and has a remarkably different geomorphology from the older channel-levee systems in SU5 (E_{i}-E_{iii}), as E_{iv} channels have high sinuosities (ave. 2.49) and meander belt widths (ave. 3.0 km) (Figures 14, 15b). The individual erosional channel belts contain an average width of 250 m and height of 40 ms TWTT, forming an extensive down-cutting channel complex > 100 ms TWTT thick, surrounded by low amplitude terraces (Figure 15b).

DISCUSSION

Summary of results

The five seismic units essentially record a diminishing impact of structural topography with time within a c. 450 ms TWTT Late Miocene interval (Figure 16). Early transverse-to-structure channel-lobe systems within SU1 are diverted axially northwards but are mostly contained to up-dip minibasins by high-relief salt-cored structures. Obliquely-trending sediment input from the southeast within SU2 is more laterally extensive across all of the minibasins with less obvious structural influence, although sediment pathways within SU2 are diverted northwards into axial systems before exiting the study area (Figure 16a). The drastic change in sediment input locations between SU1 and SU2 is likely due to salt movement up-dip blocking and diverting the sediment routing systems. Sediment input changes again between SU2 and SU3, with SU3 containing major obliquely-fed systems from the northeast and minor transversely-fed systems from the east (Figure 16b). Unit depocentres subtly shift from the south of the study area towards the north and there is a substantial seismic facies evolution from relatively narrow channel complexes to a dominance of massive lobe complexes (Figure 5b, c). This is likely due to a combined salt movement re-directing major sediment routing systems outside the study area, and increased subsidence in the northeast allowing the deposition of large lobe complexes rather than...
bypassing channels. Transverse sediment input becomes more prominent during SU4 and the sediment pathways are, once again, more extensive across all minibasins due to the dominating regional depositional gradient (Figure 16c).

Although the initial sediment input direction remains transverse-to-structure between SU4 and SU5, there is a major change in channel geomorphology from relatively narrow and thin low sinuosity channel complexes towards wider, thicker high sinuosity stacked channel complexes. The main pathway in SU5 contains three major avulsion nodes from where systems are directed northwards as channels complexes or westwards as lobe complexes (Figure 16d). The youngest channel complex in SUS uniquely contains highly erosive channels bound by low amplitude, likely mud-rich terraces, and have high sinuosity and wide meander belts. The terraces are likely to have either formed through entrenchment or point bar accretion processes (Hansen et al., 2017). While entrenchment can occur through vertical incision or punctuated channel migration (Maier et al., 2012), point bar accretion is the progressive widening of channel bends within the LAPs. These channels and associated terraces are comparable to those observed by Deptuck et al. (2007), who described this phenomenon through the plug-and-cut mechanism with erosion on the outer-bend leading to increased channel sinuosity.

The regional dip for the study area is generally towards the west (Figure 3), but there is a pattern of northward diversion of deep-water systems through the seismic units. SU1 and SU2 both display significant diversion of initially transverse channel complexes into northward-trending axial systems along the salt walls (Figure 16a, b). The youngest unit SU5 is restricted within the northern section of the study area and the major stacked channel complexes show a pattern of northward directed avulsion (Figure 16d). From a sedimentological perspective, the SU5 channel complexes can be interpreted as a compensational infill of accommodation adjacent to SU4 systems (Figure 16d). From a structural point-of-view, it is also possible to have a subtle structural north-dipping gradient from a combination of re-activated tilted fault blocks and corresponding salt movement due to Neogene basement uplift along the shelf and upper slope (Hudec & Jackson, 2002; Hudec & Jackson, 2004).
structural gradient may also be created through the local build-up and continued topographic relief of
the salt plateau in the southwest of the study area. Salt translation towards the southwest is evident
near Salt Wall F, where systems initially continued through a topographic low between Salt Wall Fiii
and Diapir F (Figures 7, 9) but later continue over Diapir F (Figures 11, 14) likely due to amplified
topographic relief on Salt Wall Fiii associated with slower salt translation near the salt plateau.

Structural Growth versus Sediment Accumulation Rate
From a tectono-stratigraphic perspective, the sediment record in a tectonically active basin is the
interaction between structural growth and sediment accumulation rate (e.g. Broucke et al., 2004). For
example, in rift basins, different combinations of structural grow rate (rift initiation vs rift climax) and
sedimentation rate (overfill vs starved) result in different syn-tectonic stratigraphic patterns
(Gawthorpe et al., 2004; Ravnås & Steel, 1998; Gawthorpe & Leeder, 2000). However, the complex
nature of deep-water systems and salt tectonics make such synthesis more difficult to achieve. Based
on examples from this study and literature, we propose that the influence of salt-cored structures on
the location and geomorphology of deep-water systems can be viewed in terms of the ratio between
the rate of salt structure growth and the rate of sediment accumulation (Figure 17). We define the rate
of structural growth as the salt-cored relief above salt walls compared to minibasin subsidence. On the
other hand, the rate of sediment accumulation is a relative indication of incoming sediment pathways,
even though in reality it is a complexity controlled by external factors outside of the study area such
as climate. Similar relationships between the relative rates of sediment delivery and subsidence have
been explored in terrestrial minibasins (Banham & Mountney, 2013).

Periods of dominantly high relative rates of structural growth relative to sediment accumulation (left
side of Figure 17) have been widely documented to result in blocking and ponding or large-scale
diversion on turbidite systems (e.g. Gee & Gawthorpe, 2006; Clark & Cartwright, 2009; Clark &
Cartwright, 2012). Large-scale diversion of channel complexes distances greater than 5 km along
structural relief (e.g. SU1 Pathway A; Figure 17a) is often accompanied by a decrease in average
meander belt width and sinuosity. Sometimes this major diversion can be towards segment
boundaries, observed as < 2 km long topographic lows between two folds (Figure 17b), and this has also been seen in the salt-walled minibasins of the Lower Congo Basin (Oluboyo et al., 2014). The large-relief folds can also create substantial accommodation down-dip due to associated salt withdrawal and subsidence, leading to gradual channel diversion towards this topographic low and an increase in average meander belt width (Figures 13, 17d). Segment boundaries also lead to a narrowing of the sediment fairway and with channel complexes being more erosional. Down-flow of the segment boundaries channels often widen and increase in sinuosity in the down-dip minibasin (e.g. SU4 Pathway A; Figure 17c). Chokepoints, observed as > 2 km elongated narrow passageways between two topographic highs, can also be a major control on the location of where confined flows become unconfined, otherwise known as the channel-lobe transition (CLTZ) (e.g. SU4 Pathway A; Figures 11, 17e). If the unconfined flows encounter blocking high relief salt-cored structures, lobe complexes will deposit in the up-dip areas and likely completely infill the accommodation space (e.g. SU4 Lobe Aiv; Figure 17f). When the angle of incidence between the unconfined flow and strike of the structure is oblique, the lobe complex and internal distributary channels will be elongated and diverted axially, parallel to the structural strike (e.g. SU5 Pathway Eii and SU1 Pathway B; Figure 17g).

In contrast to situations when structural growth rate outpaces sediment accumulation, times of high rates of sediment accumulation relative to structural growth (right side of Figure 17) have also been commonly observed within intra-slope deep-water systems (e.g. Jobe et al., 2015). Channel complexes may be diverted small distances (< 5 km) around structural relief, and sinuosity may decrease slightly along the strike of the structure but increase again immediately down-dip to long-profile gradient changes (e.g. SU4 Pathway A near Diapir Cii, SU1 Pathway A near Diapirs Ci and Cii; Figure 17h). Even when large, stacked channel complexes cross structures without showing substantial topographical influence, such as changes in routing direction or channel geomorphology (e.g. SU5 Pathway E over Salt Walls B, C and D), structures may still control the location of the major avulsion nodes (Figures 14, 17i). Control over the avulsions nodes may be due to a brief tectonic uplift resulting in subtle gradient changes over fold crests (Kolla, 2007), thereby leading the nodes to be located directly above or on the
immediate down-dip flank of salt walls. Most of the avulsion nodes occur on the outside of the meander bends due to flow stripping and highest channel instability (Armitage et al., 2012). As flows tend more towards the outer channel bends, this eventually leads to flows cutting through channel confinement and depositing the initial unconfined lobes before a new channel-levee complex successfully or unsuccessfully forms (e.g. Figures 14, 15a). When these unconfined flows encounter little structural influence, lobe stacking patterns may display progradation, backstepping or compensational infill, with a tendency towards compensational stacking (e.g. SU5 Pathway Eii; Figure 17j).

When the structural growth rate and sediment accumulation are more balanced, preservation of the interplay between these two controls may be more subtle, such as control over the CLTZ. The abrupt shallowing of a local gradient controls the CLTZ and locates it immediately down-dip of structural culminations, thereby transitioning from a major channel complex into a large lobe complex (e.g. SU5 Pathway Eii; Figure 17k). Understanding if there is a local gradient change due to subtle structural topography helps to determine if minor channel complexes narrow to a width below seismic resolution or deposited hard-to-image muddier lobes.

**Stages of Structural Growth and Evolving Systems**

After describing snapshots of static structural topography influencing deep-water systems, we can dynamically define the sea-floor expression of salt-cored structures in three general stages of a typical phase of structural growth, being (i) initiation, (ii) maturity, and (iv) decay or death (Figure 18). These growth stages can be applied to other tectonic settings (e.g. normal fault development), but the details within these stages are particularly unique to the contractional salt domain of a salt-detached slope where diapir squeezing and rejuvenation is a key control for accommodation development. Categorizing the stages within the contractional salt domain is based on observations in the study area and additional studies (e.g. Oluboyo et al., 2014; Rodriguez et al., 2020). The study interval importantly records the maturity through decay stages of salt-cored growth, as many outcrop and seismic studies focus purely on the maturity stage (e.g. Gee & Gawthorpe, 2006; Clark & Cartwright, 2012; Oluboyo et
Spatial variations within the stages is also dependent on structural gradients being sufficient to disrupt the regional depositional gradient. While salt walls within the eastern domain (e.g. Salt Walls A, B, C, D, E), gradually lose their topographical influence over time, the salt walls in the western domain (e.g. Salt Wall Fi, Fii, Diapirs E, Dii, G, salt plateau) are continually blocking or diverting deep-water systems over long distances with segment boundaries and chokepoints acting on fairways linking minibasins, throughout the maturity and decay structural growth stages.

The initiation stage is characterised by the early growth of low relief, segmented salt-cored structures that create minor diversions of channel-lobe systems as the systems are mainly influenced by the regional margin gradient (Figure 18a). The record of geomorphological and sediment routing changes due to minor structurally-controlled sea-floor rugosity may be difficult to recognise as the turbidite systems are mainly through-going across the minibasins. The limited diversions of turbidite systems are due to early formed fold topography. There are few lobe complexes due to a lack of gradient changes and confining minibasins, thereby channel complexes are the most abundant deep-water element during this stage (Figure 18a). The channels move gradually in response to structural growth through lateral migration.

The maturity stage is distinguished by high relief and laterally linked salt-cored highs that are capable of blocking and diverting channel-lobe systems in some minibasins but also create low deposition ‘shadow zones’ in others (Figure 18b; Oluboyo et al., 2014). Large elongate salt walls separate fully developed minibasins which confine flows and contain mostly axial channel-lobe systems. Segment boundaries and chokepoints developed between structural topographies result in long-lived sediment pathways if sediment accumulation is sufficient, and potentially controls the location of the major CLTZ (Figure 18b). An indirect influence of the high structural relief created during the maturity stage is topographic complexity around localized slumps creating additional degradation of fold flanks (e.g. Figures 10b, c, 18b; Wu et al., 2020). While extensive MTC’s on active plate margins have been shown
to cause substantial diversions of channel complexes and control avulsion nodes (e.g. Olafiranye et al., 2013; Ortiz-Karpf et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2019), the MTC’s within the study interval are present as relatively small (18 km$^2$) and thin (c. 40 ms TWTT) slumps sourced from local salt-cored highs. Although the slumps may cause minor diversion of channel complexes, they have a more material impact on channel complex width and sinuosity. Differential compaction of older deposits, such as lobe complexes (e.g. Pathway E; Figure 10a) and channel complexes (e.g. Pathway A$^\text{ii}$; Figure 10b, c) also influences sea-floor topography within minibasins, thereby influencing the routing of younger channel complexes. This is topographic influence is possible in the large lobe complex from Pathway D in SU3 (Figure 9).

The final stage, decay or death, contains long linked structures with diminished sea-floor relief due to sediment accumulation outpacing structural growth rate (Figure 18c). Minibasins subside less strongly during this time and are poorly defined by adjacent salt walls, leading to channel complexes crossing over highs that previously blocked or diverted turbidite systems. As sediment accumulation rate is greater than the growth of structures, structural controls on channel-lobe systems is more subtle. During the decay/death stage channel movement may be mostly abrupt via avulsions rather than gradual lateral migration, as gradual diversion is a response of the deep-water systems to actively growing salt-cored structures. Instead, in the decay stage, subtle structural highs control major avulsion nodes along or immediately down-dip of fold flanks due to occasional ‘pumping-up’ of the salt walls through squeezing (Figure 18c). Differential compaction of thicker minibasin fill (> 200 m burial sensu Ward et al., 2017) along these areas may also play an important role in controlling avulsion node localities through creating gradual gradients. Although most of the salt-cored structures are low relief during this stage, some large structures may be long-lived and continue blocking sediment pathways and ponding sediments (Figure 18c).

**CONCLUSIONS**

Evolving salt tectonics and corresponding sea-floor topographies along salt-influenced passive margins impacts the routing and geomorphology of submarine channel-lobe systems on a regional-scale.
Mapping the evolution of these systems has helped us to understand the location and character of channel-lobe transitions and avulsion nodes, in relation to sea-floor topography and develop an understanding of deep-water systems during initiation, maturity and death/decay stages of salt-cored folds and minibasin depocentres. A range of geomorphology, stacking and internal architecture of channels, lobes and transitional zones can be understood in terms of the ratio between structural growth rate and sediment accumulation rate.

Five Miocene-aged seismic units are mapped within a series of salt withdrawal minibasins in the Kwanza Basin, offshore Angola. Initially, high relief salt-cored structures confine channel-lobe systems to the up-dip minibasins through large-scale diversion of transverse-to-structure channel complexes, with segment boundaries localising sediment pathways between adjacent axially-trending minibasins. These major structures control the location of channel-lobe transition zones to immediately down-dip of segment boundaries, chokepoints and structural gradient changes across fold hinges. The youngest units only display subtle structural topography and gradually the influence of the salt-cored structures diminishes with time. Low relief structures still influence turbidite systems through small-scale diversion and by controlling the location of avulsion above remnant structural highs. Control over avulsion nodes is likely due to brief periods of minor rejuvenated salt movement during times of dominating sediment accumulation and/or subtle inherited gradients from differential compaction. Channels are therefore moving in response to structural growth abruptly via avulsion nodes, as well as gradually through lateral migration when there is substantial down-dip accommodation and lateral tilting to motivate channel diversion.

The results of this study combined with observations in other salt-influenced margins have allowed us to develop models of the tectono-sedimentary response of deep-water depositional systems to three general stages of structural growth, initiation, maturity and decay or death. The early initiation stage contains low relief, segmented salt-cored structures and poorly defined minibasins, allowing channel complexes to largely follow the regional margin gradient with minimal diversions or ponding of
unconfined flows. The maturity stage is characterised by elongate high-relief salt-cored folds, thereby leading to large-scale axial diversion of channel complexes along structural strike towards segment boundaries and chokepoints. Ponded lobe complexes and slumps derived from local fold flanks are also commonly deposited in the well-developed minibasins. Structural relief gradually diminishes with time during the decay/death stage as sediment accumulation begins to once again outpace structural growth. This leads to a more complex array of through-going channel-lobe systems with occasional blocking. The models presented in this paper contribute to the understanding of the alterations to channel-lobe systems during the stages of structural growth and can be applied to similar settings containing salt withdrawal minibasins. The models also explain complications due to strong local structural gradients and the varying angle of incidence between the deep-water system and structural strike, which drastically impacts the degree of blocking and diversion.
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FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1 (a) Simplified contractional salt domains in the Kwanza Basin, Angola with the study area outlined (modified after Hudec & Jackson, 2004; additions from Tari et al., 2003; Serié et al., 2017). (b) Geological cross-section showing the regional tectono-stratigraphic framework through the Kwanza Basin (from Hudec & Jackson, 2004). The study area is within the contractional domain containing salt-cored structures such as salt stocks (‘diapirs’) and salt walls up-dip, and a thickened salt plateau down-dip. Within this area the Miocene is thick in the east and thins westwards onto the thickened salt.

Figure 2 Simplified Upper Jurassic to Quaternary stratigraphy of the Kwanza Basin and main tectonic events (modified after Serié et al., 2017). Note the multiple events reviving salt translation. The five seismic units with corresponding seismic character are displayed on the right-hand side.

Figure 3 (a) Oblique 3-D perspective view of the topography along the present-day sea-floor with corresponding seismic cross-sections shown in Figure 4. Dominant salt-cored structures are outlined in white. The study area can be divided into the eastern domain (elongated co-linear salt walls and minibasins), western domain (segmented and isolated salt-cored structures) and thickened salt plateau. Note how pockmarks (circular negative relief) are largely restricted to the eastern domain and are commonly upflank of salt walls, surrounding diapirs or following high sinuosity stacked Miocene turbidite channels. The trimmed pseudo outcrop relief seismic cross-section displays post-salt minibasin infill. MB = minibasin; SW = salt wall; i, ii, iii represent separate segments of a minibasin or salt-cored structure. (b) Oblique 3-D perspective view of the top salt draped with the relative thickness of salt within the study area, juxtaposed with a pseudo outcrop relief seismic cross-section displaying top and base salt. VE (vertical exaggeration) = 3.
Figure 4 Representative seismic sections with interpretations across the study area displaying the structural and stratigraphic framework for the study (see Figure 3 for cross-section locations). (a) Uninterpreted and interpreted seismic cross-section perpendicular to structural strike illustrating the structural style and variability across the minibasins within the study area. (b) Uninterpreted and interpreted seismic cross-section parallel to structural strike outlining the stratigraphic interval of interest. (c) (Left) Inset of interpreted seismic cross-section from (b) to highlight the thickness variations between the five seismic units within the study interval. (Right) Simplified map of main salt structures outlined in Figure 3.

Figure 5 Time-thickness maps for the seismic units outlining the spatial evolution of the unit thicks within (a) SU1 (oldest unit), (b) SU2, (c) SU3, (d) SU4, and (e) SU5 (youngest unit). See text for detailed descriptions.

Figure 6 Representative seismic geomorphology for the oldest unit, SU1. (a) Representative spectral decomposition attribute map for SU1 with main salt structures and minibasins labelled. (b) Simplified map of the main submarine channels and lobes for SU1. Older systems are lighter colours, while younger systems are darker colours. Active/positive topographic relief of the salt structures is displayed in solid grey and the inactive/negligible topographic relief is outlined with dashed lines. The major sediment input pathways (A and B) are marked with green arrows. See text for a detailed description of the unit’s evolution.

Figure 7 Representative seismic geomorphology for SU2. (a) Representative spectral decomposition attribute map for SU2 with main salt structures and minibasins labelled. (b) Simplified map of the main submarine channels and lobes for SU2. Older systems are lighter colours, while younger systems are darker colours. Active/positive topographic relief of the salt structures is displayed in solid grey and the inactive/negligible topographic relief is outlined with dashed lines. The major sediment input pathway (C) is marked with a green arrow. See text for a detailed description of the unit’s evolution.
Figure 8 Seismic cross-sections highlighting Pathway C in SU3 (see Figure 7 for positions on map). (a) Near sediment input entry point, (b) crossing over Salt Wall D where there are potentially numerous minor avulsions westwards of small channel complexes, (c) down-dip of Salt Wall Fiii and Diapir F, (d) through high sinuosity and relatively undisturbed channels in MB5, and (e) through low sinuosity channels with no levees closer to Salt Wall Fi. (Right) The attribute map displays the high sinuosity channels entering the study area and the location of avulsion nodes on the outer meander bends. Small channel complexes are avulsed northwards and westwards.

Figure 9 Representative seismic geomorphology for SU3. (a) Representative spectral decomposition attribute map for SU3 with main salt structures and minibasins labelled. (b) Simplified map of the main submarine channels and lobes for SU3. Older systems are lighter colours, while younger systems are darker colours. Active/positive topographic relief of the salt structures is displayed in solid grey and the inactive/negligible topographic relief is outlined with dashed lines. The major sediment input pathways (A, B and D) are marked with green arrows. See text for a detailed description of the unit’s evolution.

Figure 10 (a) (Right) Interpreted proximal to distal seismic cross-sections through Pathway E’s large SW-trending lobe complex in SU3. The lobe complex is divided into Di, Dii and Diii (oldest to youngest) based on seismic character and channelisation. (Left) Oblique view of the attribute maps for the three lobe complexes displaying shifting lobes and trunk channels. Exaggerated vertical scale. (b) Uninterpreted and interpreted RGB blends from SU3 (see Figure 9 for position on map) outlining the slump derived from Diapir E and the corresponding influence on a channel complex (e.g. decreased sinuosity and meander belt width). A small avulsed channel crosses the slump topography before decreasing below seismic resolution. (c) Interpreted seismic cross-section showing the seismic character of the slump and a decreasing thickness towards the deposit edges (see (b) or Figure 9 for position on map).
Figure 11 Representative seismic geomorphology for SU4. (a) Representative spectral decomposition attribute map for SU4 with main salt structures and minibasins labelled. (b) Simplified map of the main submarine channels and lobes for SU4. Older systems are lighter colours, while younger systems are darker colours. Active/positive topographic relief of the salt structures is displayed in solid grey and the inactive/negligible topographic relief is outlined with dashed lines. The major sediment input pathways (A, B and E) are marked with green arrows. See text for a detailed description of the unit’s evolution.

Figure 12 Interpreted seismic cross-sections displaying changing channel geomorphology (e.g. channel width, erosional depth) along the ‘chokepoint’ between Salt Wall Fi and Diapir G within SU4 (see Figure 11 for position on map). Transitioning from (a) proximal channels, 6 km up-flow of chokepoint, to (b) 1 km up-flow of chokepoint, to (c) narrow chokepoint, to (d) channel-lobe transition, and finally to (e) distal down-flow lobes.

Figure 13 Uninterpreted and interpreted attribute maps showing changing channel morphology (e.g. sinuosity, meander belt width) as a channel complex is gradually diverted into accommodation down-dip of Salt Wall D within SU4 (see Figure 11 for position on map). The channel complex evolves from (c) initially a low gradient and high sinuosity system to (b) a high sinuosity system with wide meander belts in the accommodation down-dip of the salt wall, and finally to (a) a lower sinuosity system closer to a higher relief portion of the salt wall before exiting the study area.

Figure 14 Representative seismic geomorphology for the youngest unit, SU5. (a) Representative spectral decomposition attribute map for SU5 with main salt structures and minibasins labelled. (b) Simplified map of the main submarine channels and lobes for SU5. Older systems are lighter colours, while younger systems are darker colours. Active/positive topographic relief of the salt structures is displayed in solid grey and the inactive/negligible topographic relief is outlined with dashed lines. The major sediment input pathway (E) is marked with a green arrow. See text for a detailed description of the unit’s evolution.
Figure 15 Uninterpreted and interpreted seismic cross-sections showing the two main channel
complex types within SU5 (see Figure 14 for position on map). (a) Architecture of a stacked channel
system along Pathway E with internal slumps, LAPs and internal/external levees, and (b) a deeply
erosive meandering channel system surrounded by low amplitude flat-topped terraces.

Figure 16 Pathway evolution between (a) the oldest pathways within SU1 and SU2, (b) SU2 and SU3,
(c) SU3 and SU4, and (d) the youngest pathways within SU4 and SU5.

Figure 17 Summary graph of the major observed influences of salt-cored structures on deep-water
depositional systems. The x-axis varies from a high rate of structural relief development relative to
sediment accumulation on the left side (similar to SU1), to a low rate of structural relief development
relative to sedimentation accumulation on the right side (similar to SU5). The y-axis is separated into
channels (top) transitioning into channel-lobe transition zones or avulsion nodes (middle) through to
lobes (bottom). Each sketch box contains selected reference examples: 1 (Oluboyo et al., 2014), 2
(Mayall et al., 2010), 3 (Gee & Gawthorpe, 2006), 4 (Clark & Cartwright, 2009; 2011), 5 (Hay et al.,
2012), 6 (Doughty-Jones et al., 2017).

Figure 18 Dynamic evolution of submarine channel-lobe systems and salt-cored structures within the
contractional salt domain from the (a) initiation or development stage, largely controlled by the
regional margin gradient and consisting of segmented salt-cored structures, to the (b) maturity stage,
comprised of linked high relief salt-cored structures and well-defined minibasins, and finally to the (c)
decay or death stage where many structures have substantially diminished in length and relief relative
to sedimentation rate. See text for more detailed descriptions.

TABLE CAPTIONS

Table 1 Characteristics of bounding horizons for each seismic unit and internal reflector geometries.

Table 2 Dominate seismic facies within the Miocene as observed in cross-section and on seismic
attribute (spectral decomposition-RGB blend) maps.
Figure 1
Figure 2
Figure 3
Figure 4
Figure 5

(a) Seismic unit 1 (oldest)
- Pinchout of central pathway
- Thickest along central & southern pathways

(b) Seismic unit 2
- Thickest along southern sediment input

(c) Seismic unit 3
- Relative thickenings in minibasin centers
- Thickest along northern & central sediment input

(d) Seismic unit 4
- Thinning over salt walls
- Main period of infill for MB7
- Thickest central pathways, particularly MB1

(e) Seismic unit 5 (youngest)
- Minimal thinning over salt walls
- Levees downlap SU4
Figure 6

(a) Seismic unit 1 RGB blend

(b) Seismic unit 1 interpretation

Legend:
- Sediment pathway
- Active structural relief
- Non-active structural relief
- Active normal and thrust faults
- Non-active normal and thrust faults
- Major avulsion
- Bifurcation node
- Younger channel complex
- Lobe/lobe complex
- Older channel complex
- Lobe/lobe complex

Diverted axial trending low sinuosity system
Lobes deposited due to containment and/or gradient change
Some relief from salt wall B as channel is diverted axially
Possible lobes
Pinchout
Diversion towards segment boundary
Main high sinuosity system with LARs
No deposition
Diverted lobes within older system
Blocked channelised lobe complex

[Map with various geological features labeled]

Scale: 10 km

[Legend with symbols and colors]

1171
Figure 7

(a) Seismic unit 2 RGB blend

(b) Seismic unit 2 interpretation

Legend:
- Green: Sediment pathway
- Orange: Active structural relief
- Pink: Non-active structural relief
- Yellow: Active normal and thrust faults
- Light yellow: Non-active normal and thrust faults
- Red: Major avulsion
- Red with black lines: bifurcation node
- Blue: Younger channel complex
- Light blue: lobe/lobe complex
- Black: Older channel complex
- Light grey: lobe/lobe complex
Figure 9

(a) Seismic unit 3 RGB blend

(b) Seismic unit 3 interpretation

- Low sinuosity axial trending channel complex
- Decreased sinuosity, increased erosion
- Diversion around older lobe complex?
- Distinct lobe fringes
- System funneled between structural topographies?
- Pinchout
- Channel-lobe systems diverted parallel to salt wall Fig.
- Possible lobes
- Extensive older system with low sinuosity channels
- Younger high sinuosity channel system

Legend:
- Sediment pathway
- Active normal and thrust faults
- Non-active normal and thrust faults
- Major avulsion
- /bifurcation node
- Younger channel complex lobe/lobe complex
- Older channel complex lobe/lobe complex
Figure 10

(a) Lobes Dii and Dii Lobe complexes
- Lobes Dii (youngest)
- Lobes Dii
- Lobes Dii (oldest)

100 m
500 m

(b) Diair E

(c) Topography from differential compaction?
- Small channel through slump topography
- Slump sourced from diagir E topography
- Channel complex confined between slump and Diair E

White courtesy of WesternGeco

Pathway E
- Overlying channel complex downcuts youngest lobes 1
- Numerous trunk channels 4
-嘀叠 boundary

Pathway E
- Oldest lobes barely spill into M83 2
- Cannot distinguish any channels in cross section
- Few downlaps signifying lobe boundaries

Pathway E
- Start of distributary channels in lobes 5
- Numerous small distributary channels 6
- Large trunk channel between lobes
- Not possible to distinguish lobe boundaries

Pathway A
- Channel fill - simple
- Channel heterolithics - complex including lateral accretion packages (LAPs)
- Slump
500 m
Figure 11

(a) Seismic unit 4 RGB blend

(b) Seismic unit 4 interpretation

- Older channel complex diverted towards area down-dip of salt wall D
- Channels narrow to below resolution or terminate as mud-rich lobes
- Cut by overriding channel complex
- Low sinuosity channel complexes with limited LAPS from E
- Lobes confined against salt wall Fi
- Lobes infill southern MB4 accommodation
- Little topographical influence?
- Diversion around diapir E
- Youngest channel complex (stacked)
- Highest sinuositys within MB1
- Diversion around salt wall Fii
- Lobe AII
- Little topographical influence?
- Lobe spread along high with narrow erosive channels
- Lobe diverted & blocked along diapir D

Legend:
- Sediment pathway
- Active structural relief
- Non-active structural relief
- Active normal and thrust faults
- Non-active normal and thrust faults
- Major avulsion
- Occlusion or bifurcation node
- Younger channel complex
- Lobe/lobe complex
- Older channel complex
- Lobe/lobe complex
Figure 12

A. Proximal: 6 km upflow of chokepoint
- Distinct levee
- Older lobe deposits
- Unconfined overspill deposits from up-dip

B. 1 km upflow of chokepoint
- Pathway B
- Wider, thicker and more erosive channel complex

C. Chokepoint
- Pathway B
- Narrow channel complex
- No underlying lobes (hemipelagic deposits)

D. Channel lobe transition zone
- Youngest systems
- Pathway B
- Distributary channels
- Large, erosive trunk channels

E. Distal: Lobes
- Pathway B
- Numerous small distributary channels near seismic resolution

Legend:
- Channel fill - simple
- Channel heterolithics - complex including lateral accretion packages (LAPs)
- Levee
- Lobe

Scale: 500 m

Data courtesy of WesternGeco
Figure 17

High rate of Structural Growth
(a) Large-scale (> 5 km) diversion around structures
1.2.3.4
5 km

(b) Diversion towards segment boundaries and pathway reoccupation
1.2
5 km

(c) Narrowing and increased erosion through segment boundaries, increased sinuosity downslope
3.4.5
5 km

(d) Diversion towards downslope accommodation
3.4
5 km

High rate of Sediment Accumulation
(h) Small-scale (< 5 km) diversion around structures
1.2.3.4
5 km

Transition
(e) CLTZ downslope of narrow chokepoints
1
5 km

(k) CLTZ downslope of gentle folds
1
5 km

(i) Avulsion and bifurcation nodes along structural highs
5 km

Lobes
(f) Ponding/blocking of lobes
1.4
5 km

(g) Diversion of downslope distributary channels
1
5 km

(j) Lobes compensationally stacking
5 km

See figure caption for published examples and references

Structural relief (highflow) ☑ Channel complex ☑ Cross-sectional channel form Channelised lobe — Flow direction • Channel lobe transition (CLTZ) or avulsion/bifurcation node
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seismic Unit (SU)</th>
<th>Bounding Horizons</th>
<th>Horizon Characteristics</th>
<th>Reflector Geometries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youngest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SU5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Series of downlapping high amplitude peaks separating from above low amplitude Plio-Pleistocene above</td>
<td>Continuous high amplitude reflectors across northern minibasins, conformable with underlying salt-cored highs. Downlaps onto SU4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Regionally continuous high amplitude trough. Not a major bounding horizon but marks a gradual facies transition from underlying unit</td>
<td>Continuous low and medium amplitude reflectors with limited onlapping to underlying salt-cored highs. Eroded by SU5 in parts of MB1, MB2i. Onlaps onto SU2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SU4</td>
<td>Regionally continuous distinct high-amplitude peak separating from dipping reflectors in the unit below</td>
<td>Continuous medium amplitude conformable (to horizon B) reflectors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Regionally continuous distinct high-amplitude peak (-trough set) with downlapping reflectors in north</td>
<td>Largely low amplitude reflectors, downlaps onto SU2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Regionally continuous distinct high-amplitude peak (-trough set) with downlapping reflectors in north</td>
<td>Continuous low amplitude conformable (to horizon A) reflectors onlapping major salt walls / confined to up-dip minibasins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SU2</td>
<td>Distinct high-amplitude trough (-peak set). Does not continue across all minibasins</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Distinct high-amplitude trough (-peak set). Does not continue across all minibasins</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oldest</td>
<td>SU1</td>
<td>Distinct high-amplitude trough (-peak set) separating from lower-amplitude reflectors below. Does not continue across all minibasins</td>
<td>Continuous low amplitude conformable (to horizon A) reflectors onlapping major salt walls / confined to up-dip minibasins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seismic facies</td>
<td>Reflection geometry</td>
<td>Depositional environment</td>
<td>Seismic cross-section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Submarine channels</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Channel fill – simple</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-parallel low or high amplitude reflections</td>
<td>V-shaped channel form with distinct basal surface. Top surface varies from horizontal to convex-up.</td>
<td>Erosionally confined stacked channel belts with alternating dominating lithologies, sand or mud fill</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Seismic cross-section" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Up to c. 50 ms TWTT, width c. 0.25 km, &gt; 50 km length</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Channel fill – complex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High amplitude reflections (HARs) at base, often overlain by discontinuous variable amplitude reflections</td>
<td>U-shaped channel form, shingled reflections often overlain by parallel reflections.</td>
<td>Sinuous meandering and levee-confined stacked channel belts with variable composition; includes lateral accretion packages (LAPs) from channel migration</td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Seismic cross-section" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Up to c. 100 ms TWTT, width c. 1 km, &gt; 50 km length</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Levees</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable (low to high) amplitude, sub-parallel and semi-continuous reflections</td>
<td>Gull wing to wedge shaped taper forms, thinning away from channel fills</td>
<td>Overbank deposits from turbidity currents within channels, often fine-grained but can be sand-rich; includes flat-topped terraces</td>
<td><img src="image5.png" alt="Seismic cross-section" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Up to 80 ms TWTT thick, max. c. 12 km wide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lobes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (to medium) amplitude reflection packages (HARPs), sub-parallel and semi-continuous (&lt; 15 km)</td>
<td>Tabular to mounded morphology, downlaps or amplitude change near edges</td>
<td>Deposits of unconfined flows at channel mouths, mostly sand-rich; includes channelised terminal lobes and unchannelised crevasse splays</td>
<td><img src="image7.png" alt="Seismic cross-section" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Up to c. 100 ms TWTT thick (complex), c. 1 km by 5 km (lobes) up to c. 8 km to c. 20 km (complex) lateral extents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Slumps</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable (low to moderate) amplitude, discontinuous to chaotic reflections</td>
<td>Erosive basal surface, undular top surface, some internal thrusts and mounds</td>
<td>Deposits of gravity driven mass wasting from topographic highs, variable composition</td>
<td><img src="image9.png" alt="Seismic cross-section" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Up to c. 50 ms TWTT thick, c. 15 km lateral extent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Slope deposit</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable (low to moderate), parallel and continuous reflections</td>
<td>Continuous and parallel (concordant with below deposits), minor normal faulting</td>
<td>Background fine-grained sedimentation in low energy environments or mud-rich turbidity currents</td>
<td><img src="image11.png" alt="Seismic cross-section" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Up to 100’s of ms TWTT thick, 10’s of km laterally</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>