This manuscript is a preprint and priory submitted for publication in **Journal of Hydrology**. Please note that this manuscript has not yet undergone peer-review; as such, subsequent version of this manuscript may have different content.

#### Title:

## Retrieving Chl-a and total suspended solids in in-land waters using EnMAP simulated data

Mohammadmehdi Saberioon\*, Corresponding author

Section 1.4 Remote Sensing and Geoinformatics, Helmholtz Centre Potsdam GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Telegrafenberg, Potsdam 14473, Germany

saberioon@gfz-potsdam.de

#### Vahid Khosravi

Department of Soil Science and Soil Protection, Faculty of Agrobiology, Food and Natural Resources, Czech University of Life Sciences Prague, Kamycka 129, Suchdol, Prague 16500, Czech Republic

khosravi@af.czu.cz

#### Jakub Brom

Department of Applied Ecology, Faculty of Agriculture and Technology, University of South Bohemia in České Budějovice, Studentská 1668, České Budějovice 37005, Czech Republic

jbrom@fzt.jcu.cz

#### Asa Gholizadeh

Department of Soil Science and Soil Protection, Faculty of Agrobiology, Food and Natural Resources, Czech University of Life Sciences Prague, Kamycka 129, Suchdol, Prague 16500, Czech Republic

gholizadeh@af.czu.cz

#### Karl Segl

Section 1.4 Remote Sensing and Geoinformatics, Helmholtz Centre Potsdam GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Telegrafenberg, Potsdam 14473, Germany

karl.segl@gfz-potsdam.de

### Retrieving Chl-a and total suspended solids in in-land waters using EnMAP simulated data

Mohammadmehdi Saberioon<sup>a,\*</sup>, Vahid Khosravi<sup>b</sup>, Jakub Brom<sup>c</sup>, Asa Gholizadeh<sup>b</sup>, Karl Segl<sup>a</sup>

 <sup>a</sup> Helmholtz Centre Potsdam GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Section 1.4 Remote Sensing and Geoinformatics, Telegrafenberg, Potsdam 14473, Germany
 <sup>b</sup>Department of Soil Science and Soil Protection, Faculty of Agrobiology, Food and Natural Resources, Czech University of Life Sciences Prague, Kamycka 129, Suchdol, Prague 16500, Czech Republic
 <sup>c</sup>Department of Applied Ecology, Faculty of Agriculture and Technology, University of South Bohemia in České Budějovice, Studentská 1668, České Budějovice 37005, Czech

Republic

#### Abstract

The Environmental Mapping and analysis program (EnMAP) is a new Earth observation satellite which will use imaging spectroscopy to obtain a diagnostic characterisation of the Earth's surface and record changes. Since we hypothesis that imaging spectroscopy can significantly improve the accuracy of predicting and assessing water quality traits of small in-land waters, our study investigates the capability of the simulated EnMAP data to predict chlorophyll-a (Chl-a) and total suspended solids (TSS) as two of the most crucial water quality indicators. Three machine learning (ML) approaches(i.e., The methods used were Principal Component Regression(PCR), Partial Least Square Regression (PLSR) and Random Forest (RF)) were employed to establish links between the simulated image spectra and the above-mentioned water attributes of the samples collected from several in-land water reservoirs

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author; email: saberioon@gfz-potsdam.de

within the southern part of the Czech Republic. Additionally, an airborne hyperspectral image likewise was used for developing a model to compare its performance with models developed based on simulated EnMAP data. According to the results, adequate prediction accuracy was obtained for Chl-a (RF:  $R^2 = 0.89$ , RMSE = 43.06, and LCCC = 0.91) and TSS (RF:  $R^2 =$ 0.91, RMSE = 17.53, and LCCC = 0.94), which was close enough to those obtained for the airborne hyperspectral image. Chl-a and TSS showed a correlation with around 550 and 650 nm and from 700 nm to 800 nm of the red and near-infrared (NIR) regions. The spatial distribution maps derived from the simulated EnMAP were comparable to those obtained by the source image, particularly in water bodies with relatively high and low contents of the water attributes. Overall, it can be concluded that the simulated EnMAP image was successful and reliable in the prediction and spatial mapping of the selected biophysical properties of the small in-land water bodies. *Keywords:* Remote sensing, Satellite imagery, Hyperspectral imagery, Machine learning, Biophysical properties, Water quality

#### 1 1. Introduction

In-land waters (i.e., water bodies with no direct sea or ocean connection) supply essential and diversified natural environment and ecological community services and support biodiversity while providing necessary water for various human applications (Stendera et al., 2012; Carvalho et al., 2013; Palmer et al., 2015; Dörnhöfer & Oppelt, 2016). However, human activities such as water extraction, wastewater discharge, spreading the invasive species, and source water interference have threatened the in-land water quality (Palmer <sup>9</sup> et al., 2015; Maier & Keller, 2019).

Developing rapid, low-priced, and precise water quality monitoring and assessment schemes to avert destructive changes through timely treatments is essential. However, conventional point-based in-situ water monitoring plans have limited spatial and temporal variability coverage. In addition, they are time, cost, and labour demanding (Schaeffer et al., 2013) and yield nonrepresentative and inaccurate water quality maps as a result of unevenly distributed single measurements (Van Puijenbroek et al., 2015).

Remote sensing (RS) has shown a remarkable ability for monitoring and 17 assessment of in-land water bodies by extracting the water spectral infor-18 mation and transforming them into some quality parameters such as total 19 suspended solids (TSS) (Guimarães et al., 2019; Saberioon et al., 2020; Du 20 et al., 2022), turbidity (Keller et al., 2018; Cui et al., 2022; Rodríguez-López 21 et al., 2021), microbiological properties such as chlorophyll a (Chl-a) (Keller 22 et al., 2018; Saberioon et al., 2020; Silveira Kupssinskü et al., 2020; Gupana 23 et al., 2021; Maier et al., 2021), and organic properties including dissolved 24 organic carbon (DOC) and colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM) (Cao 25 et al., 2018; Keller et al., 2018; Ross et al., 2019; Li et al., 2022), allowing 26 exhaustive monitoring of in-land water bodies. These advantages have made 27 RS an efficient water monitoring technique which can complement in-situ 28 measurements. 20

Due to the finer spectral resolution of hyperspectral data, significant attention has been directed toward the hyperspectral RS. Based on acquisition platforms, three types of hyperspectral RS data have been used for in-land water quality monitoring: (i) satellite data such as Hyperion (Giardino et al.,

2007) and PRecursore IperSpettrale della Missione Applicativa (PRISMA) 34 (Bresciani et al., 2022), (ii) airborne data such as HvMap (Thiemann & Kauf-35 mann, 2002), Airborne Imaging Spectrometer for Applications (AISA) (Pyo 36 et al., 2018; Vinciková et al., 2015), Airborne Spectrographic Imager (CASI) 37 (Beck et al., 2016), and Airborne Prism Experiment (APEX) (Knaeps et al., 38 2015), and (iii) low altitude platforms acquired data (e.g., unmanned aerial 39 vehicles (UAV)) (Guimarães et al., 2019; Cillero Castro et al., 2020). Most 40 airborne- and UAV-based sensors record very high spatial resolution images 41 without being affected by atmospheric distortions, but they lack temporal 42 continuity since they usually do not operate regularly (Transon et al., 2018). 43 On the contrary, satellite data is recorded automatically, regularly, and fre-44 quently with proper repetitive temporal coverage. However, due to some 45 constraints such as high instrumental imaging and computation cost and 46 low signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), the number of satellite missions with hyper-47 spectral sensors is significantly lower than those carrying the multispectral 48 ones (Govender et al., 2007). Launching new orbital hyperspectral satellites 40 with higher spectral, spatial, temporal and radiometric resolution sensors 50 will lead to more reachable and accurate water quality parameters retrieval 51 and mapping. 52

The hyperspectral imager (HSI) Environmental Mapping and Analysis Program (EnMAP) is a German satellite mission for global scale monitoring of severe environmental challenges caused by climate change and human activities (Guanter et al., 2015). It was launched on 1st April 2022 with a swath width of 30 km, spatial resolution of 30 m, a radiometric resolution of 14 bits and four days of minimum temporal resolution using tilt angle observations. EnMAP products will consist of 218 bands covering a spectral range
from 420 nm to 2450 nm, which we hypothesise that it has ability to detect
Chl-a or other pigments in various circumstances (Saberioon et al., 2020).
Furthermore, EnMAP covers both red and near infrared (NIR) regions with
a relatively high SNR, making it an appropriate choice for remote estimation
of water physicochemical properties (Ruddick et al., 2016).

Although empirical models developed for quantifying water quality traits 65 through inversion of the nonlinear correlative relationship between water 66 quality parameters and reflectance spectra within the red and NIR portion of 67 the electromagnetic spectrum (Choubey, 1992; Goodin et al., 2008; Ouillon 68 et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2007), they are vulnerable to seasonal and spatial 69 differences. Therefore, Machine Learning (ML)-based approaches including 70 Support Vector Machine (SVM) (Keller et al., 2018; Maier & Keller, 2019), 71 Cubist (Saberioon et al., 2020), Random Forest (RF) (Silveira Kupssinskü 72 et al., 2020; Rubin et al., 2021), Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) (Sil-73 veira Kupssinskü et al., 2020; Maier & Keller, 2019), and Extreme Ma-74 chine Learning (Peterson et al., 2018), became popular for developing semi-75 empirical models. They provide intelligent ways of eliminating irrelevant 76 spectral wavelengths while unravelling the complex relationship between re-77 sponse and predictor variables with limited assumption and prior knowledge 78 (Saberioon et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2022). 79

In this context, this study aims to assess the capacity of spectral information provided by EnMAP for quantifying and mapping Chl-a and TSS, as two important in-land water quality traits. Additionally, Results are compared with those Chl-a and TSS values obtained directly by airborne image spectra. The investigation also includes the development of ML models for
assessing Chl-a and TSS, which may offer critical information on these water
quality metrics in a more accurate, rapid, and less computational-demanding
manner than the existing methods.

#### <sup>88</sup> 2. Materials and Methods

#### <sup>89</sup> 2.1. Test sites, water sampling, and variable measurements

The area of interest is located in the Třeboň Basin Biosphere Reserve, 90 South Bohemia, Czech Republic. It is a historical area that is relatively flat, 91 with a large number of ponds and artificial water reservoirs. These cover 92 up to 15~% of the area. The history of some of the ponds goes back several 93 centuries. The altitude is around 420 m above sea level. The mean annual 94 temperature varies by about 7.8 °C, and the annual sum of precipitation is 95 circa 650 mm. The ponds are shallow turbid reservoirs primarily intended 96 for the commercial breeding of carp (*Cyprinus carpio* L.) or other freshwater 97 fish. The depth of the ponds does not usually exceed 3 m; the area varies 98 from hundreds of square metres up to 648 ha (Rožmberk fishpond). Most 99 of the ponds in the area have eutrophic to hypertrophic character with high 100 turbidity and low water transparency (tens of centimetres). There are also 101 oligotrophic to mesotrophic reservoirs in the area with low turbidity and high 102 water transparency (approx. 1 m). These are mainly sandpits with depths of 103 up to 30 m. These lakes are currently used mostly for recreation and partly 104 for mining. A map of the area of interest is shown in Figure 1. 105

Water samples for laboratory analyses were collected during the aerial imaging campaign on July 11, 2010. Water samples were collected at reser-



Figure 1: Area of interest map with highlighted flight paths and water sampling points. Aerial hyperspectral imaging was performed on 11 July 2010.

voirs with different trophic levels, i.e., hypertrophic ponds and sandpit lakes 108 with oligotrophic-mesotrophic water types. Each sampling point was recorded 109 using a GPS tracker. The samples collected were analysed in the laboratory 110 immediately after collection. Chl-a values were estimated by reading of ab-111 sorbance with double beam UV–Vis spectrophotometer He $\lambda$ ios Alpha (Uni-112 cam, GB) at 664 nm after extraction with a mixture of 90 % acetone:methanol 113 (Pechar, 1987). TSS was determined as dry weight of seston captured on 114 pre-weighed Whatman GF/C filters and dried to constant weight at 60  $^{\circ}C$ 115 (Vinciková et al., 2015). 116

# <sup>117</sup> 2.2. Hyperspectral airborne imaging spectrometers data acquisition and pre <sup>118</sup> processing

Aerial measurements were performed using the AISA Eagle airborne hy-119 perspectral imaging system (Specim Ltd, Finland). The spectral range was 120 400 to 1000 nm with a spectral resolution of 2.2 nm. Within the seven flight 121 paths, 260 spectral bands were acquired (see Figure 1). The spatial resolution 122 of the hyperspectral images was 5.5 m. The hyperspectral data were acquired 123 between 09:30 and 11:30 central Europe time (CET) on 11 July 2010. The 124 ASD FieldSpec-3 spectroradiometer was used to measure the optical prop-125 erties of the reference surfaces. These supportive data were used for images 126 calibration and validation. Microtops II Sunphotometer measurements were 127 used to estimate the current atmospheric conditions, aerosol optical thick-128 ness (AOT) and water vapour (WV). Preprocessing of the AISA Eagle data 129 acquired during the flight campaign was performed in CaliGeo (radiometric 130 corrections), PARGE (orthorectification) and ATCOR-4 (atmospheric cor-131 rections) software. The hyperspectral image data were georeferenced to the 132 WGS84/UTM Zone 33N (EPSG 32633) coordinate system. For further de-133 tails see (Hanuš et al., 2008). 134

#### <sup>135</sup> 2.3. Simulation of EnMAP spaceborne hyperspectral image

EnMAP data and associated radiance and reflectance products (Level 137 1B/1C/2A) were generated using the EnMAP end-to-end simulation software 138 EeteS (Segl et al., 2012). EeteS is an instrument simulator developed to 139 support EnMAP mission preparatory activities. The sequential processing 140 chain of the software consists of four independent modules - the atmospheric, 141 spatial, spectral and radiometric modules, in which hyperspectral reflectance data is used to calculate top-of-atmosphere radiance and subsequently digital
numbers (L0). This forward simulator is coupled with a backward simulation
branch consisting of sensor calibration modules and a complete L1/L2 preprocessing chain. As a result, a realistic EnMAP scene with 30 m pixel
resolution and 242 spectral bands ranging between 420 nm and 2450 nm was
generated.

#### 148 2.4. Quantitative modeling and prediction assessment

Three modeling approaches were used to predict the water parameters: Principal Component Regression (PCR), Partial Least Square Regression (PLSR) and RF. All techniques are appropriate when observations are limited and more predictors are available and they have been reportedly used in the analysis of the hyperspectral RS data water properties (Song et al., 2012; Sudduth et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2020; Flores et al., 2021).

PCR is a linear regression model obtained by combining the multiple lin-155 ear regression (MLR) and principal component analysis (PCA) to suppress 156 the problem of MLR methods facing the multicollinearity between variables 157 (Liu et al., 2003). PLSR is another linear regression method that projects 158 independent variables (X) as predictors and dependent variables (Y) as re-159 sponses into a set of orthogonal latent factors while maximising the covari-160 ance between X- and Y-scores (Wold et al., 2001). In RF, as an ensemble 161 learning method, decision trees are trained and then a voting procedure is 162 employed to combine the result obtained by each tree. Unlike the noise sen-163 sitivity of every single tree's prediction outcome, uncorrelated trees combina-164 tion will not yield that much noisy results. Furthermore, training data will 165 be created by bagging approach –drawing sample subsets with replacement– 166

<sup>167</sup> which may cause improved model performance (Belgiu & Drăguţ, 2016).

Water samples were divided into calibration and testing (75 % and 25 % respectively) sets randomly. Prediction models were trained on the calibration set using 5-repeated 10-fold cross-validation, while their generalisation capability was evaluated on the test set. The coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ), root mean square error (RMSE), Lin's concordance correlation coefficient (LCCC) and bias were used to assess the performance of the models in calibration, validation and testing steps.

#### 175 2.5. Chl-a and TSS spatial distribution mapping

The best prediction models were applied to both image pixels' spectra and Chl-a and TSS distribution maps of water bodies were produced by linear Triangulated Irregular Network (TIN) interpolation with grid 10 m in QGIS 3.22 environment. Finally, the spatial patterns of water properties obtained by AISA Eagle hyperspectral airborne and simulated EnMAP data were compared.

#### 182 3. Results

#### <sup>183</sup> 3.1. Statistical description of Chl-a and TSS

The water properties box-plots and QQ-plots are shown in Figure 2. As can be seen, one Chl-a value was considered as outlier, while TSS contents of the samples did not include any outlier.



Figure 2: QQ-plots and box-plots of (a) Chl-a and (b) TSS

General statistics of Chl-a and TSS contents of water samples, namely minimum (Min), maximum (Max), mean, standard deviation (SD), coefficient of variation (CV), and skewness, were calculated after removing the sample with outlier value of Chl-a (Table 1). Accordingly, compared to TSS, Chl-a showed more variability due to the wider range of data, which was reflected in its coefficient of variation value (76.96%), which indicates a more heterogeneous distribution of Chl-a in comparison to the TSS.

Table 1: Descriptive statistics of Chl-a  $(\mu g/L)$  and TSS (mg/L)

| Parameter | Min  | Max    | Mean   | SD    | Skewness | CV (%) |
|-----------|------|--------|--------|-------|----------|--------|
| Chl-a     | 2.23 | 320.44 | 114.08 | 86.66 | 1.62     | 76.96  |
| TSS       | 1.75 | 86     | 33.88  | 21.52 | 0.46     | 63.5   |

#### <sup>194</sup> 3.2. Correlation of Chl-a and TSS with reflectance spectra

Pearson correlation analysis was used to explore the correlation between Chl-a and TSS contents in the in-land water and the spectra of both hy-

perspectral images (i.e., AISA Eagle airborne hyperspectral imaging system 197 and simulated EnMAP) in the range between 420 nm and 980 nm. It can be 198 observed (Figure 3) that both Chl-a and TSS highly correlated with spectral 199 wavelengths around 550 nm, 660 nm and also in the red and NIR region 200 from 700 nm to 800 nm. The maximum correlation values relating to Chl-a 201 were obtained with the spectra from simulated EnMAP at about 710 nm, 202 while TSS content and airborne image spectra had their highest correlation 203 at about 800 nm. 204



Figure 3: Correlation between the simulated EnMAP pixels spectra and (a) Chl-a, (b) TSS and AISA Eagle airborne hyperspectral image pixels spectra and (c) Chl-a and (d) TSS

#### 205 3.3. Performance of Chl-a and TSS prediction models

Chl-a and TSS prediction results using both types of RS data (AISA Eagle airborne and simulated EnMAP) are presented in Table (2).

Table 2: Performance of Chl-a and TSS prediction models developed by PCR, PLSR, and RF

|            |        |             |                | Airborne |      |        |                | EnMAP |      |        |
|------------|--------|-------------|----------------|----------|------|--------|----------------|-------|------|--------|
| Properties | Method | Dataset     | $\mathbf{R}^2$ | RMSE     | LCCC | bias   | $\mathbf{R}^2$ | RMSE  | LCCC | bias   |
| Chl-a      | PCR    | Calibration | 0.96           | 26.24    | 0.97 | -1.55  | 0.90           | 56.3  | 0.93 | -3.11  |
|            |        | Validation  | 0.88           | 31.95    | 0.91 | -13.71 | 0.85           | 59.11 | 0.89 | -18.43 |
|            |        | Test        | 0.79           | 53.76    | 0.84 | 23.44  | 0.64           | 64.67 | 0.68 | 40.43  |
|            | PLSR   | Calibration | 0.94           | 33.08    | 0.95 | 0.3    | 0.92           | 47.09 | 0.95 | -1.05  |
|            |        | Validation  | 0.89           | 48.63    | 0.92 | -12.16 | 0.87           | 54.15 | 0.91 | 21.05  |
|            |        | Test        | 0.83           | 50.34    | 0.87 | 25.28  | 0.69           | 62.99 | 0.74 | 34.72  |
|            | RF     | Calibration | 0.95           | 29.53    | 0.98 | 0.1    | 0.92           | 39.88 | 0.96 | 2.05   |
|            |        | Validation  | 0.93           | 31.82    | 0.96 | -5.36  | 0.89           | 40.75 | 0.95 | 19.82  |
|            |        | Test        | 0.91           | 34.23    | 0.93 | -10.97 | 0.89           | 43.06 | 0.91 | 33.01  |
| TSS        | PCR    | Calibration | 0.90           | 8.89     | 0.91 | -0.07  | 0.88           | 9.72  | 0.91 | -1.81  |
|            |        | Validation  | 0.83           | 11.54    | 0.88 | -10.45 | 0.81           | 20.48 | 0.85 | 21.05  |
|            |        | Test        | 0.77           | 13.39    | 0.81 | -16.32 | 0.71           | 29.92 | 0.72 | 37.38  |
|            | PLSR   | Calibration | 0.93           | 7.48     | 0.95 | 0.08   | 0.86           | 10.94 | 0.92 | -0.56  |
|            |        | Validation  | 0.87           | 11.92    | 0.90 | -5.81  | 0.85           | 16.22 | 0.89 | 9.63   |
|            |        | Test        | 0.81           | 18.17    | 0.84 | -7.41  | 0.75           | 21.39 | 0.78 | 23.44  |
|            | RF     | Calibration | 0.97           | 8.37     | 0.99 | 0.43   | 0.95           | 9.16  | 0.97 | 1.05   |
|            |        | Validation  | 0.96           | 10.36    | 0.97 | 3.49   | 0.93           | 14.92 | 0.96 | -8.34  |
|            |        | Test        | 0.94           | 13.76    | 0.96 | -5.12  | 0.91           | 17.53 | 0.94 | 11.43  |

Regarding the applied ML method, different results were obtained for 208 different Chl-a and TSS. The best Chl-a prediction was obtained using RF 209 with  $R_p^2 = 0.91$ ,  $RMSE_p = 34.23 \ \mu g/L$ , LCCC = 0.93 and bias = -10.97 on 210 the AISA Eagle airborne data (Figure 4a) and  $R_p^2 = 0.89$ ,  $RMSE_p = 43.06$ 211  $\mu$ g/L, LCCC = 0.91 and bias = 33.01 for the simulated EnMAP (Figure 212 4c). Similarly, TSS was best predicted by RF ( $R_p^2 = 0.94$ ,  $RMSE_p = 13.76$ 213 mg/L, LCCC = 0.96 and bias = -5.12) applied on the AISA Eagle airborne 214 image (Figure 4b). The simulated EnMAP and RF estimated TSS with  $R_p^2$ 215  $= 0.91, RMSE_p = 17.53 \text{ mg/L}, LCCC = 0.94 \text{ and bias} = 11.43 \text{ (Figure 4d)}.$ 216

<sup>217</sup> The results highlights that though both water properties were acceptably<sup>218</sup> assessed, TSS was predicted with a higher accuracy than Chl-a.



Figure 4: The measured versus RF-predicted values of Chl-a using (a) simulated EnMAP and (c) AISA Eagle airborne images, and TSS using (b) simulated EnMAP and (d) AISA Eagle airborne images (test dataset)

As Expected, regardless of the water parameter, all prediction methods (PCR, PLSR, and RF) yielded better results for the AISA Eagle airborne image. This superiority was achieved for calibration, validation, and test datasets. Conversely, the prediction results derived from the simulated En-MAP were acceptable too (Table 2). This highlights that the images from simulated EnMAP were suitable for predicting Chl-a and TSS in this study area.

#### 226 3.4. Spatial distribution maps of Chl-a and TSS

The spatial distribution maps of the water quality indicators estimated 227 using the AISA Eagle airborne hyperspectral and EnMAP simulated images 228 are shown in Figure 5. Both images yielded similar patterns for Chl-a and 229 TSS in the water bodies under study. The spatial distribution of Chl-a 230 content is similar for both data types (5 a) and c)). The AISA image shows 231 relatively lower values of Chl-a content than the image from the simulated 232 EnMAP data. The images also show that the AISA sensor data show more 233 detail, which is due to the spatial resolution of the original data. The high 234 Chl-a values at the edges of the reservoirs marked by green vegetation belong 235 to the littoral vegetation. 236

The TSS values also have the same spatial distribution in both images (5 b) and d)). The values obtained from the simulated EnMAP data are slightly higher.

Within the study area, there are differences in Chl-a and TSS content between the different reservoirs. This is mainly due to the management of each reservoir and nutrient inputs from the catchment.



Figure 5: Spatial distribution of Chl-a and TSS in selected fishponds obtained from simulated EnMAP (top row) and AISA Eagle airborne hyperspectral imagery (bottom row)

#### 243 Discussion

To study ecological, environmental and hydrological processes, it is essential to estimate water dynamics and quality of inland waters; however, it is challenging as they are complex, dynamic and heterogeneous. Despite the significant progress made in remote sensing of water bodies and the promising performance of satellite data for estimating water quality traits, there are

still some limitations, such as low spectral, the spatial or temporal resolution 249 of current available multispectral sensors prevent us from taking advantage of 250 remote sensing data fully. However, emerging new sensors such as EnMAP, 251 which have higher resolutions, permit us to accurately monitor water dynam-252 ics and water qualities for the long term. The results of this study show that 253 Chl-a and TSS contents of in-land water bodies can be quantitatively pre-254 dicted (Table 2) and mapped (Figure 5) using the simulated EnMAP spectra. 255 In other words, EnMAP can provide enough data to reasonably predict and 256 visualize spatial distribution of Chl-a and TSS in small inland waters. The 257 strong correlation of the Chl-a and TSS with the red and NIR wavelengths 258 of 660 nm and 700–800 nm (Figure 3), which was seen in this study, is in 259 a good agreement with several other literature. Kim et al. (2020) reported 260 three key wavelengths (i.e., 662 nm, 702 nm, and 752 nm) with the highest 261 correlation to estimate Chl-a concentration in a pond. A very high corre-262 lation of about 0.8 has also been reported between Chl-a content of a lake 263 and in-situ hyperspectral data at 719 nm in a study conducted by Jiao et al. 264 (2006). Similarly, a high correlation between Chl-a and hyperspectral data 265 was found at about 700 nm in the study of retrieving Chl-a concentrations 266 in coastal waters readlized by Ryan & Ali (2016). Saberioon et al. (2020) 267 also reported that both Chl-a and TSS had the highest correlations with 268 Sentinel-2 band 5 (698–713 nm, Central wavelength 703 nm) for inland 269 waters. In addition, Sentinel-2 band 5 also showed the highest correlation 270 with turbidity of lakes water in northeastern China (Ma et al., 2021). These 271 strong correlations can be attributed to chlorophyll absorbance at 670 nm 272 and other plant pigment absorption peaks at 593 and 620nm (Gitelson et al., 273

<sup>274</sup> 1996; Sagan et al., 2020).

In terms of TSS, Wu et al. (2014) showed that NIR region (750–900 nm) 275 could yield the best relationship for the prediction of TSS and turbidity 276 in fresh water rivers. Bhargava & Mariam (1990) demonstrated that 700 277 nm to 900 nm was the optimal wavelength range for measuring suspended 278 sediment concentrations. Furthermore, several other studies reported high 279 correlation values between TSS and similar spectral regions (Novo et al., 280 1991; Han & Rundquist, 1994; Ma & Dai, 2005; Cao et al., 2021). These 281 strong correlations can be ascribed to scattering peak in the red and NIR 282 range of phytoplanktons and other organic and inorganic suspended materials 283 at 700 nm (Olmanson et al., 2013; Sagan et al., 2020). 284

Few studies have explored the relationships between Chl-a and TSS con-285 centrations and optical properties using details wavelengths other than the 286 spectra in red and NIR ranges, similar to what we have conducted in this 287 study by employing a whole VIS-NIR range hyperspectral data. Using the 288 simulated EnMAP spectra, better results were obtained for both Chl-a  $(R_p^2)$ 280 =0.89 ,  $RMSE_p$  = 43.06 ) and TSS  $\left(R_p^2$  = 0.91,  $RMSE_p$  = 17.53 \right) (Table 290 2) than those of shown in an earlier study by Saberioon et al. (2020) on the 291 same study area using the combination of Sentinel-2A bands and spectral 292 indices (i.e., Chla:  $R_p^2$  = 0.85,  $RMSE_p$  = 49.63; TSS:  $R_p^2$  = 0.8,  $RMSE_p$  = 293 19.55). This can be mainly linked to higher spectral resolution and contri-294 bution of other effective wavelengths in prediction model. The supremacy of 295 hyperspectral data over multispectral Sentinel-2 images has been reported in 296 other studies too ((Awad, 2014; Sagan et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2022)). 297

298

Considering (Figure 4), RF performed well in determination of Chl-a and

TSS using both AISA eagle airborne hyperspectral and simulated EnMAP 299 spectra. Furthermore, Table 2 highlights that RF outperformed PCR and 300 PLSR in prediction of both Chl-a and TSS. This can principally be attributed 301 to ability of RF to extract variables and interpret nonlinear relationship 302 compared to PLSR and PCR (Ma et al., 2021). In addition, RF has the 303 capability to handle data with small number and high dimensionality. The 304 superiority of RF over other ML methods of predicting water quality traits 305 from inland waters has also been reported in some other studies (Ma et al., 306 2021; Silveira Kupssinskü et al., 2020). 307

A visual comparison of the calculated Chl-a and TSS images shows similar 308 values for the EnMAP simulated data and the AISA Eagle sensor (5). In the 309 case of the AISA Eagle sensor, the high and low Chl-a values are highlighted. 310 Lower values are likely underestimated in the AISA image, whereas the values 311 from the simulated EnMAP data correspond better to typical Chl-a levels for 312 this type of reservoirs. The calculated TSS values differ slightly, higher values 313 were observed in case of EnMAP simulated data. The spatial pattern of the 314 calculated values is similar for both sensors. More spatial heterogeneity is 315 evident in the case of the AISA Eagle data. The different spatial patterns 316 are mainly due to the spatial resolution of the data used. 317

#### 318 Conclusions

This study evaluate the ability of EnMAP simulated data coupled with machine learning algorithms to predict two critical water quality properties for inland waters (i.e., Chl-a and TSS). To this end, Water samples were collected from several lakes and ponds in the south of the Czech repub-

lic concurrent to the hyperspectral AISA Eagle airborne imaging campaign. 323 EnMAP simulation data also was generated based on the AISA Eagle air-324 borne data using EeteS. As it demonstrated, the enhanced spectral resolu-325 tion of EnMAP permitted the prediction of biochemical properties of small 326 inland waters with acceptable accuracy. Additionally, results indicating that 327 Vis/NIR hyperspectral imaging from orbit combined with machine learning 328 algorithms has the potential to be used as a rapid and accurate method for 329 predicting and mapping the water quality traits in small inland waters. From 330 the point of view of practical applications (e.g. water resources managers, 331 fishponds managers, nature conservation authorities etc.), the EnMAP sim-332 ulated data provide sufficiently accurate information, both in terms of the 333 accuracy of the determination of values and their spatial characteristics. 334

As actual EnMAP data will be available soon, the subsequent study will examine actual data's capacity for predicting water quality traits in small inland waters from orbit. Furthermore, evaluating the application of different spectral unmixing to decompose the optical water components seems necessary for small inland waters practically when we are dealing with hyperspectral data (Alcantara et al., 2009; Kwon et al., 2022).

Other ML algorithms, in general and deep learning algorithms ,in particular, can be applied to hyperspectral data to predict the water quality parameters with better accuracy and performance using orbital hyperspectral data. The number and spatial distribution of the samples, as well as influence of different atmospheric correction algorithm (Vanhellemont & Ruddick, 2021) are among important parameters that should be noticed in all future studies to create a representative dataset and make a ML-based model with acceptable generalisation (Menezes de Souza et al., 2020). As ML methods are prone to over-fitting and the curse of dimensionality, decreasing the feature space dimension using intelligent feature selection techniques can be another interesting topic of study. Furthermore, Increasing the number of trees does not cause over-fitting of the RF models (Breiman, 2001), which means better generalizability. Since the capability of our obtained model has not been proved yet, future studies can dedicate to testing it in other areas.

#### 355 Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the EU, German's Federal ministry of education and research (BMBF) and The Technology Agency of the Czech Republic (TAČR) for funding, in the frame of the collaborative international consortium AIHABs financed under the ERA-NET AquaticPollutants Joint Transnational Call (GA N<sup>o</sup> 869178). This ERA-NET is an integral part of the activities developed by the Water, Oceans and AMR Joint Programming Initiatives.

#### 363 References

- Alcantara, E., Barbosa, C., Stech, J., Novo, E., & Shimabukuro, Y.
  (2009). Improving the spectral unmixing algorithm to map water turbidity distributions. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 24, 1051 1061.
  doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2009.02.013.
- Awad, M. (2014). Sea water chlorophyll-a estimation using hyperspectral
  images and supervised artificial neural network. *Ecological informatics*,
  24, 60–68. doi:10.1016/j.ecoinf.2014.07.004.

- Beck, R., Zhan, S., Liu, H., Tong, S., Yang, B., Xu, M., Ye, Z., Huang, Y.,
  Shu, S., Wu, Q. et al. (2016). Comparison of satellite reflectance algorithms
  for estimating chlorophyll-a in a temperate reservoir using coincident hyperspectral aircraft imagery and dense coincident surface observations. *Re- mote Sensing of Environment*, 178, 15–30. doi:10.1016/j.rse.2016.03.
  002.
- Belgiu, M., & Drăguţ, L. (2016). Random forest in remote sensing: A review
  of applications and future directions. *ISPRS journal of photogramme- try and remote sensing*, 114, 24–31. doi:10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2016.01.
  011.
- Bhargava, D., & Mariam, D. W. (1990). Spectral reflectance relationships
  to turbidity generated by different clay materials. *Photogrammetric Engi- neering and Remote Sensing*, 56, 225–229.
- Breiman, L. (2001). Random forests. *Machine learning*, 45, 5–32.
- Bresciani, M., Giardino, C., Fabbretto, A., Pellegrino, A., Mangano, S., Free,
  G., & Pinardi, M. (2022). Application of new hyperspectral sensors in the
  remote sensing of aquatic ecosystem health: Exploiting prisma and desis
  for four italian lakes. *Resources*, 11, 8. doi:0.3390/resources11020008.
- Cao, F., Tzortziou, M., Hu, C., Mannino, A., Fichot, C. G., Del Vecchio, R.,
  Najjar, R. G., & Novak, M. (2018). Remote sensing retrievals of colored
  dissolved organic matter and dissolved organic carbon dynamics in north
  american estuaries and their margins. *Remote sensing of Environment*,
  205, 151–165. doi:10.1016/j.rse.2017.11.014.

- Cao, H., Han, L., Li, W., Liu, Z., & Li, L. (2021). Inversion and distribution
  of total suspended matter in water based on remote sensing images—a
  case study on yuqiao reservoir, china. Water Environment Research, 93,
  582–595. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/wer.1460.
- Carvalho, L., McDonald, C., de Hoyos, C., Mischke, U., Phillips, G., Borics,
  G., Poikane, S., Skjelbred, B., Solheim, A. L., Van Wichelen, J. et al.
  (2013). Sustaining recreational quality of european lakes: minimizing the
  health risks from algal blooms through phosphorus control. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 50, 315–323. doi:10.1111/1365-2664.12059.
- <sup>403</sup> Chen, J., Chen, S., Fu, R., Li, D., Jiang, H., Wang, C., Peng, Y., Jia, K., &
  <sup>404</sup> Hicks, B. J. (2022). Remote sensing big data for water environment mon<sup>405</sup> itoring: Current status, challenges, and future prospects. *Earth's Future*,
  <sup>406</sup> 10, e2021EF002289. doi:10.1029/2021EF002289.
- <sup>407</sup> Chen, Z., Hu, C., & Muller-Karger, F. (2007). Monitoring turbidity in tampa
  <sup>408</sup> bay using modis/aqua 250-m imagery. *Remote sensing of Environment*,
  <sup>409</sup> 109, 207-220. doi:10.1016/j.rse.2006.12.019.
- <sup>410</sup> Choubey, V. (1992). Correlation of turbidity with indian remote sensing
  <sup>411</sup> satellite-1a data. *Hydrological sciences journal*, 37, 129–140. doi:10.1080/
  <sup>412</sup> 02626669209492573.
- <sup>413</sup> Cillero Castro, C., Domínguez Gómez, J. A., Delgado Martín, J., Hi<sup>414</sup> nojo Sánchez, B. A., Cereijo Arango, J. L., Cheda Tuya, F. A., & Díaz<sup>415</sup> Varela, R. (2020). An uav and satellite multispectral data approach to

- <sup>416</sup> monitor water quality in small reservoirs. *Remote Sensing*, 12, 1514.
  <sup>417</sup> doi:10.3390/rs12091514.
- <sup>418</sup> Cui, M., Sun, Y., Huang, C., & Li, M. (2022). Water turbidity retrieval
  <sup>419</sup> based on uav hyperspectral remote sensing. *Water*, 14, 128. doi:10.3390/
  <sup>420</sup> w14010128.
- <sup>421</sup> Dörnhöfer, K., & Oppelt, N. (2016). Remote sensing for lake research and
  <sup>422</sup> monitoring-recent advances. *Ecological Indicators*, *64*, 105–122. doi:10.
  <sup>423</sup> 1016/j.ecolind.2015.12.009.
- <sup>424</sup> Du, Y., Song, K., Wang, Q., Li, S., Wen, Z., Liu, G., Tao, H., Shang, Y.,
  <sup>425</sup> Hou, J., Lyu, L. et al. (2022). Total suspended solids characterization and
  <sup>426</sup> management implications for lakes in east china. *Science of The Total*<sup>427</sup> *Environment*, 806, 151374. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.151374.
- Flores, H., Lorenz, S., Jackisch, R., Tusa, L., Contreras, I. C., Zimmermann, R., & Gloaguen, R. (2021). Uas-based hyperspectral environmental monitoring of acid mine drainage affected waters. *Minerals*, 11, 182.
  doi:10.3390/min11020182.
- Giardino, C., Brando, V. E., Dekker, A. G., Strömbeck, N., & Candiani, G.
  (2007). Assessment of water quality in lake garda (italy) using hyperion. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 109, 183–195. doi:10.1016/j.rse.2006.
  12.017.
- Gitelson, A. A., Merzlyak, M. N., & Lichtenthaler, H. K. (1996). Detection
  of red edge position and chlorophyll content by reflectance measurements

near 700 nm. Journal of plant physiology, 148, 501–508. doi:10.1016/
S0176-1617(96)80285-9.

Goodin, D. G., Harrington Jr, J. A., Nellis, M. D., & Rundquist, D. C. (2008).

Mapping reservoir turbidity patterns using spot-hrv data. *Remote sensing images & technical notes*, (pp. 71–78). doi:10.1080/10106049609354563.

Govender, M., Chetty, K., & Bulcock, H. (2007). A review of hyperspectral
remote sensing and its application in vegetation and water resource studies. *Water SA*, 33, 145–151. doi:10.4314/wsa.v33i2.49049.

Guanter, L., Kaufmann, H., Segl, K., Foerster, S., Rogass, C., Chabrillat, 446 S., Kuester, T., Hollstein, A., Rossner, G., Chlebek, C., Straif, C., Fis-447 cher, S., Schrader, S., Storch, T., Heiden, U., Mueller, A., Bachmann, 448 M., M?hle, H., M?ller, R., Habermeyer, M., Ohndorf, A., Hill, J., Bud-449 denbaum, H., Hostert, P., van der Linden, S., Leitáo, P. J., Rabe, A., 450 Doerffer, R., Krasemann, H., Xi, H., Mauser, W., Hank, T., Locherer, M., 451 Rast, M., Staenz, K., & Sang, B. (2015). The enmap spaceborne imaging 452 spectroscopy mission for earth observation. Remote Sensing, 7, 8830–8857. 453 doi:10.3390/rs70708830. 454

Guimarães, T. T., Veronez, M. R., Koste, E. C., Souza, E. M., Brum, D.,
Gonzaga, L., & Mauad, F. F. (2019). Evaluation of regression analysis and
neural networks to predict total suspended solids in water bodies from
unmanned aerial vehicle images. *Sustainability*, 11, 2580. doi:10.3390/
su11092580.

Gupana, R. S., Odermatt, D., Cesana, I., Giardino, C., Nedbal, L., & Damm,

A. (2021). Remote sensing of sun-induced chlorophyll-a fluorescence in
inland and coastal waters: Current state and future prospects. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 262, 112482. doi:10.1016/j.rse.2021.112482.

- Han, L., & Rundquist, D. C. (1994). The response of both surface reflectance
  and the underwater light field to various levels of suspended sediments:
  preliminary results. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*,
  60, 1463–1471.
- Hanuš, J., Malenovský, Z., Homolová, L., Kaplan, V., Lukeš, P., & Cudlín,
  P. (2008). Potentials of the VNIR airborne hyperspectral system AISA
  Eagle. In *GIS Ostrava* (pp. 1–6). Ostrava, Czech Republic volume 27.
- Jiao, H., Zha, Y., Gao, J., Li, Y., Wei, Y., & Huang, J. (2006). Estimation
  of chlorophyll-a concentration in lake tai, china using in situ hyperspectral
  data. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 27, 4267–4276. doi:10.
  1080/01431160600702434.
- Keller, S., Maier, P. M., Riese, F. M., Norra, S., Holbach, A., Börsig, N.,
  Wilhelms, A., Moldaenke, C., Zaake, A., & Hinz, S. (2018). Hyperspectral
  data and machine learning for estimating cdom, chlorophyll a, diatoms,
  green algae and turbidity. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 15, 1881. doi:10.3390/ijerph15091881.
- Kim, G., Baek, I., Stocker, M. D., Smith, J. E., Van Tassell, A. L., Qin,
  J., Chan, D. E., Pachepsky, Y., & Kim, M. S. (2020). Hyperspectral
  imaging from a multipurpose floating platform to estimate chlorophyll-

- a concentrations in irrigation pond water. *Remote Sensing*, 12, 2070.
  doi:10.3390/rs12132070.
- Knaeps, E., Ruddick, K. G., Doxaran, D., Dogliotti, A. I., Nechad, B., Raymaekers, D., & Sterckx, S. (2015). A swir based algorithm to retrieve
  total suspended matter in extremely turbid waters. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 168, 66–79. doi:10.1016/j.rse.2015.06.022.
- Kwon, S., Seo, I. W., Noh, H., & Kim, B. (2022). Hyperspectral retrievals
  of suspended sediment using cluster-based machine learning regression in
  shallow waters. *Science of The Total Environment*, 833, 155168. doi:10.
  1016/j.scitotenv.2022.155168.
- Li, S., Toming, K., Nõges, T., & Kutser, T. (2022). Integrating remote
  sensing of hydrological processes and dissolved organic carbon fluxes in
  long-term lake studies. *Journal of Hydrology*, 605, 127331. doi:10.1016/
  j.jhydrol.2021.127331.
- Liu, R., Kuang, J., Gong, Q., & Hou, X. (2003). Principal component regression analysis with spss. Computer methods and programs in biomedicine,
  71, 141–147. doi:10.1016/s0169-2607(02)00058-5.
- Ma, R., & Dai, J. (2005). Investigation of chlorophyll-a and total suspended
   matter concentrations using landsat etm and field spectral measurement in
   taihu lake, china. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 26, 2779–2795.
   doi:10.1080/01431160512331326648.
- Ma, Y., Song, K., Wen, Z., Liu, G., Shang, Y., Lyu, L., Du, J., Yang, Q.,
  Li, S., Tao, H. et al. (2021). Remote sensing of turbidity for lakes in

- <sup>506</sup> northeast china using sentinel-2 images with machine learning algorithms.
- <sup>507</sup> IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Re-
- <sup>508</sup> mote Sensing, 14, 9132–9146. doi:10.1109/JSTARS.2021.3109292.
- Maier, P. M., & Keller, S. (2019). Application of different simulated spectral
  data and machine learning to estimate the chlorophyll a concentration of
  several inland waters. In 2019 10th Workshop on Hyperspectral Imaging
  and Signal Processing: Evolution in Remote Sensing (WHISPERS) (pp. 1–5). IEEE. doi:10.1109/WHISPERS.2019.8921073.
- Maier, P. M., Keller, S., & Hinz, S. (2021). Deep learning with wasi simulation data for estimating chlorophyll a concentration of inland water bodies. *Remote Sensing*, 13, 718. doi:10.3390/rs13040718.
- Novo, E. M. L. M., Steffen, C. A., & Braga, C. Z. F. (1991). Results of a laboratory experiment relating spectral reflectance to total suspended solids. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 36, 67–72. doi:10.1016/0034-4257(91)
  90031-Z.
- Olmanson, L. G., Brezonik, P. L., & Bauer, M. E. (2013). Airborne hyperspectral remote sensing to assess spatial distribution of water quality characteristics in large rivers: The mississippi river and its tributaries in minnesota. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 130, 254–265. doi:10.1016/j.rse.2012.11.023.
- <sup>526</sup> Ouillon, S., Douillet, P., Petrenko, A., Neveux, J., Dupouy, C., Froidefond,
  <sup>527</sup> J.-M., Andréfouët, S., & Muñoz-Caravaca, A. (2008). Optical algorithms at

- satellite wavelengths for total suspended matter in tropical coastal waters.
   Sensors, 8, 4165–4185. doi:10.3390/s8074165.
- Palmer, S. C., Kutser, T., & Hunter, P. D. (2015). Remote sensing of inland
  waters: Challenges, progress and future directions.
- Pechar, L. (1987). Use of an acetone: methanol mixture for the extraction and
   spectrophotometric determination of chlorophyll-a in phytoplankton. Algo *logical Studies/Archiv für Hydrobiologie, Supplement Volumes*, 46, 99–117.
- Peterson, K. T., Sagan, V., Sidike, P., Cox, A. L., & Martinez, M. (2018).
  Suspended sediment concentration estimation from landsat imagery along
  the lower missouri and middle mississippi rivers using an extreme learning
  machine. *Remote Sensing*, 10, 1503. doi:10.3390/rs10101503.
- Pyo, J. C., Ligaray, M., Kwon, Y. S., Ahn, M.-H., Kim, K., Lee, H., Kang,
  T., Cho, S. B., Park, Y., & Cho, K. H. (2018). High-spatial resolution
  monitoring of phycocyanin and chlorophyll-a using airborne hyperspectral
  imagery. *Remote Sensing*, 10, 1180. doi:10.3390/rs10081180.
- Rodríguez-López, L., Duran-Llacer, I., González-Rodríguez, L., Cardenas,
  R., & Urrutia, R. (2021). Retrieving water turbidity in araucanian lakes
  (south-central chile) based on multispectral landsat imagery. *Remote Sens- ing*, 13, 3133. doi:10.3390/rs13163133.
- Ross, M. R., Topp, S. N., Appling, A. P., Yang, X., Kuhn, C., Butman,
  D., Simard, M., & Pavelsky, T. M. (2019). Aquasat: A data set to enable remote sensing of water quality for inland waters. *Water Resources Research*, 55, 10012–10025. doi:10.1029/2019WR024883.

Rubin, H. J., Lutz, D. A., Steele, B. G., Cottingham, K. L., Weathers, K. C.,
Ducey, M. J., Palace, M., Johnson, K. M., & Chipman, J. W. (2021).
Remote sensing of lake water clarity: Performance and transferability of
both historical algorithms and machine learning. *Remote Sensing*, 13,
1434. doi:10.3390/rs13081434.

- Ruddick, K., Vanhellemont, Q., Dogliotti, A., Nechad, B., Pringle, N., &
  Van der Zande, D. (2016). New opportunities and challenges for high
  resolution remote sensing of water colour. *Proceedings of the Ocean Optics*XXIII, Victoria, BC, Canada, 7.
- Ryan, K., & Ali, K. (2016). Application of a partial least-squares regression model to retrieve chlorophyll-a concentrations in coastal waters using hyper-spectral data. Ocean Science Journal, 51, 209–221.
  doi:10.1007/s12601-016-0018-8.
- Saberioon, M., Brom, J., Nedbal, V., Soucek, P., & Císar, P. (2020).
  Chlorophyll-a and total suspended solids retrieval and mapping using
  sentinel-2a and machine learning for inland waters. *Ecological Indicators*,
  113, 106236. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2020.1062366.
- Sagan, V., Peterson, K. T., Maimaitijiang, M., Sidike, P., Sloan, J., Greeling,
  B. A., Maalouf, S., & Adams, C. (2020). Monitoring inland water quality
  using remote sensing: potential and limitations of spectral indices, biooptical simulations, machine learning, and cloud computing. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 205, 103187. doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2020.103187.
- 573 Schaeffer, B. A., Schaeffer, K. G., Keith, D., Lunetta, R. S., Conmy, R.,

- & Gould, R. W. (2013). Barriers to adopting satellite remote sensing for
  water quality management. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 34,
  7534–7544. doi:10.1080/01431161.2013.823524.
- Segl, K., Guanter, L., Rogass, C., Kuester, T., Roessner, S., Kaufmann, H.,
  Sang, B., Mogulsky, V., & Hofer, S. (2012). Eetes the enmap end-to-end
  simulation tool. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Ob-*servations and Remote Sensing, 5, 522–530. doi:10.1109/JSTARS.2012.
  2188994.
- Silveira Kupssinskü, L., Thomassim Guimarães, T., Menezes de Souza, E.,
  C Zanotta, D., Roberto Veronez, M., Gonzaga, L., & Mauad, F. F. (2020).
  A method for chlorophyll-a and suspended solids prediction through remote sensing and machine learning. *Sensors*, 20, 2125. doi:10.3390/
  s20072125.
- Song, K., Li, L., Tedesco, L. P., Li, S., Clercin, N. A., Hall, B. E., Li, Z.,
  & Shi, K. (2012). Hyperspectral determination of eutrophication for a
  water supply source via genetic algorithm-partial least squares (ga-pls)
  modeling. Science of the Total Environment, 426, 220-232. doi:10.1016/
  j.scitotenv.2012.03.058.
- Menezes de Souza, E., Veronez, R., Gonzaga Jr, L., Mauad, F. et al. (2020). A
  method for chlorophyll-a and suspended solids prediction through remote
  sensing and machine learning. *Sensors (Basel, Switzerland)*, 20. doi:10.
  3390/s20072125.
- 596 Stendera, S., Adrian, R., Bonada, N., Cañedo-Argüelles, M., Hugueny, B.,

- Januschke, K., Pletterbauer, F., & Hering, D. (2012). Drivers and stressors of freshwater biodiversity patterns across different ecosystems and scales: a review. *Hydrobiologia*, 696, 1–28. doi:10.1007/s10750-012-1183-0.
- Sudduth, K. A., Jang, G.-S., Lerch, R. N., & Sadler, E. J. (2015). Long-term
  agroecosystem research in the central mississippi river basin: Hyperspectral remote sensing of reservoir water quality. *Journal of environmental quality*, 44, 71–83. doi:10.2134/jeq2014.02.0060.
- Thiemann, S., & Kaufmann, H. (2002). Lake water quality monitoring using
  hyperspectral airborne data—a semiempirical multisensor and multitemporal approach for the mecklenburg lake district, germany. *Remote sensing*of Environment, 81, 228–237. doi:10.1016/S0034-4257(01)00345-5.
- Transon, J., d'Andrimont, R., Maugnard, A., & Defourny, P. (2018). Survey
  of hyperspectral earth observation applications from space in the sentinel-2
  context. *Remote Sensing*, 10, 157. doi:10.3390/rs10020157.
- Van Puijenbroek, P., Evers, C., & van Gaalen, F. (2015). Evaluation of
  water framework directive metrics to analyse trends in water quality in
  the netherlands. Sustainability of Water Quality and Ecology, 6, 40-47.
  doi:10.1016/j.swaqe.2015.02.004.
- Vanhellemont, Q., & Ruddick, K. (2021). Atmospheric correction of sentinel3/olci data for mapping of suspended particulate matter and chlorophyll-a
  concentration in belgian turbid coastal waters. *Remote Sensing of Envi*-*ronment*, 256, 112284. doi:10.1016/j.rse.2021.112284.

Vinciková, H., Hanuš, J., & Pechar, L. (2015). Spectral reflectance
is a reliable water-quality estimator for small, highly turbid wetlands. Wetlands Ecology and Management, 23, 933–946. doi:10.1007/
\$11273-015-9431-5.

- Wang, J., Shi, T., Yu, D., Teng, D., Ge, X., Zhang, Z., Yang, X., Wang, H., &
  Wu, G. (2020). Ensemble machine-learning-based framework for estimating total nitrogen concentration in water using drone-borne hyperspectral
  imagery of emergent plants: A case study in an arid oasis, nw china. *Envi*-*ronmental Pollution*, 266, 115412. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115412.
- Wold, S., Sjöström, M., & Eriksson, L. (2001). Pls-regression: a basic tool
  of chemometrics. *Chemometrics and intelligent laboratory systems*, 58,
  109–130. doi:10.1016/S0169-7439(01)00155-1.
- Wu, J.-L., Ho, C.-R., Huang, C.-C., Srivastav, A. L., Tzeng, J.-H., & Lin,
  Y.-T. (2014). Hyperspectral sensing for turbid water quality monitoring in
  freshwater rivers: empirical relationship between reflectance and turbidity
  and total solids. Sensors, 14, 22670–22688. doi:10.3390/s141222670.