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Abstract

Accurate assessment of coal mine methane (CMM) emissions is a prerequisite for defining baselines and assessing the effectiveness of mitigation measures. Such an endeavor is jeopardized however by large uncertainties in current CMM estimates. Here, we assimilated images of methane column atmospheric mixing ratios observed by the TROPOMI space borne instrument in a high-resolution regional inversion to estimate CMM emissions in Shanxi, a province representing 15% of the global coal production. The emissions are estimated to be 8.5±0.6 and 8.6±0.6 Tg CH4 yr⁻¹ in 2019 and 2020 respectively, close to upper bound of current bottom-up estimates. The monthly variations of emissions are well reproduced, including the drop and rebound in response to COVID-19 regulation. Data from more than a thousand of individual mines indicate that our estimated emission factors increase significantly with coal mining depth at prefecture level, that is the CH4 emission per volume of extracted coal. This result suggests that ongoing deeper mining will increase CMM emission intensity in the future, pressing needs for mitigation. Our results show robustness of estimating CMM emissions utilizing TROPOMI images, and highlight potential of monitoring methane leakages and emissions from satellites.

Teaser
Atmospheric methane concentration observed by TROPOMI can be applied to detect and quantify coal mine methane emissions.

MAIN TEXT

Introduction

China is the world’s largest anthropogenic methane (CH$_4$) emitter since the 2000s (1, 2). Coal mining is the largest contributor, accounting for 40-45% of China’s anthropogenic CH$_4$ emissions, and ~5% of global anthropogenic emissions (3-5). During the 2000s, CH$_4$ emissions from coal mining in China increased by 12 Tg CH$_4$ yr$^{-1}$ ([6 – 18] Tg CH$_4$ yr$^{-1}$, 95% confidence interval) (4), contributing 85% and 32% to the increase of China’s and global anthropogenic CH$_4$ emissions, respectively (2-4). To mitigate climate change, it is urgent for China to curb CH$_4$ emissions, especially in the coal industry. This requires a solid knowledge of current emissions, including the spatial details (e.g., distribution) about the most emitting areas or sites, in order to target the most effective measures and prioritize mitigation actions (6).

Both bottom-up and top-down approaches give evidence for an increase in CH$_4$ emissions from coal mining in China during the 2000s (3, 4, 7, 8). Yet, they disagree in the magnitude of the trend (2, 8-10), ranging from 0.7 to 1.4 Tg CH$_4$ yr$^{-2}$. Furthermore, more recent bottom-up inventory data shows a stabilization of coal methane emissions in the 2010s, mainly due to a stabilized coal production (2, 4). In contrast, recent top-down estimates suggested either a significant increase in those emissions after 2010 (0.9–1.1 Tg CH$_4$ yr$^{-2}$) (11, 12) or a small increase (0.1–0.3 Tg CH$_4$ yr$^{-2}$) (13, 14). Differences among inversions could be due to the use of different prior emissions, sparse atmospheric CH$_4$ concentrations measurements from surface stations and previous satellites with coarse resolution (e.g., GOSAT), making it difficult to constrain emission hotspots from coal mines (4). Overall, current top-down inversion estimates of the magnitude and trend in coal methane emissions in China are not consistent enough with each other to evaluate or improve bottom-up inventories.

The Sentinel-5P/TROPOMI (TROPOspheric Monitoring Instrument) mission, launched in 2017, collects daily images of the CH$_4$ column mole fractions (XCH$_4$) at high spatial resolution (5 km × 7 km) since 2018. The TROPOMI images have been used to detect and quantify large point sources in the oil and gas production sector, including ultra-emitters (15-17), and regional extraction basins (18). Coal mine emissions from Australia have been recently examined using TROPOMI (19). Here, we focus on coal mining emissions from China, the largest coal producer of the world, and more specifically from the Shanxi Province. Shanxi represents about 15% of the global coal production, with more than 239 mines producing more than 0.6 million tons of coal annually (Fig. 1). We assembled a detailed inventory data for 1,012 coal mines in the Shanxi, including mining depth, coal production and quality types, reported CH$_4$ ventilation/leak rates, recovery etc … (see Methods). The Shanxi province is suitable for TROPOMI’s monitoring capabilities to assess coal methane emissions using high-resolution regional inversions. We intend here to support the improvement of bottom-up inventories by not only assessing provincial total emissions, but also by identifying groups of mines with the highest emission rates.

We assimilated the TROPOMI methane column mixing ratio bias corrected level 2 products in a high-resolution regional inversion to estimate CH$_4$ emissions from coal
production in the Shanxi province. The inversion assumes a prior map of emissions based on an annual (thus flat monthly) bottom-up inventory, with many mines but a uniform emission factor (see Methods; PKU-CH$_4$ v2) (4). The XCH$_4$ plumes generated by grid cells (0.1°×0.1°) containing at least one coal mine are simulated by the Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory model (HYSPLIT) (20). Emission rates are optimized by minimizing the distance between the modeled and TROPOMI-observed XCH$_4$ enhancements within images for each satellite overpass (see Methods). We perform an ensemble of sensitivity tests with inversions to assess the uncertainty of posterior emissions, from TROPOMI XCH$_4$ measurement errors, background estimation method, meteorological data and other key parameters of the inversion (see Methods and Supplementary Text 1-2). To evaluate the impact of the prior emissions choice on posterior emissions, we also perform an inversion with no prior knowledge (Supplementary Text 3). Then we compare bottom-up inventories including EDGAR v6.0, Global Fuel Exploitation Inventory (GFEI v2) and PKU-CH$_4$ v2, with our independent top-down estimate derived from TROPOMI-based inversions. We further hypothesize that the CH$_4$ emission factor of coal mining (EF$_{coal}$) should be related to the depth of mining and the quality of coal that relates to different methane concentration in coal seams (21-23). Taking advantage of the fact that our inversion has a very high resolution and can distinguish between different groups of mines we finally examine the relationships between the EF$_{coal}$ calculated from the inversion, and mining depth / coal types. This analysis provides direct insights to evaluate the bottom-up inventories emission factors.

**Results**

Methane emissions from large coal mines or clusters of mines produce plumes of high XCH$_4$, which can be detected by TROPOMI (Fig. 2A). Each large XCH$_4$ enhancement in the Shanxi Province is found to be associated with an ultra-emitter or a cluster of high-emitters, systematically assessed from 112 images of TROPOMI from 2019 to 2020, selecting only images with more than 30% of valid pixels (see Methods). As expected, the averaged XCH$_4$ enhancement map during 2019-2020 reconstructed using the optimized posterior emissions shows better agreement with the observed one ($r=0.83$, $p<0.001$) compared to the one based on the prior emissions ($r=0.42$, $p<0.001$) from the bottom-up inventory of PKU-CH$_4$ v2 (Fig. 2A-C). Fig. 2D shows that the (spatial) correlation coefficients between observed XCH$_4$ enhancements from TROPOMI images and those reconstructed from posterior emissions are higher than those modeled from prior emissions, with a mean correlation coefficient of 0.71 for posterior emissions compared to 0.31 for prior emissions across the 112 images. Moreover, the posterior emissions capture 77% of the magnitude of observed XCH$_4$ enhancements from the 112 TROPOMI images on average, much higher than the 51% explained by the prior emissions. In order to test the sensitivity of our inversions to meteorological data, parameters and background concentration choices for our inversions, we performed an ensemble of inversions for these uncertainties (see Methods and Supplementary Text 1-2). As a result, we found that the TROPOMI images can well constrain the methane emissions from coal mines in Shanxi with an uncertainty less than 10% (Figs. 1 and 2).

Even with an agnostic flat monthly prior, our inversion estimates based on TROPOMI (INV$_{TROPOMI}$) produce a seasonal variation of monthly coal production in 2019 and 2020 ($r=0.54$, $p=0.015$; Fig. 3A). Interestingly, INV$_{TROPOMI}$ detect also a drop and rise of emissions that corresponds with the Spring Festival of 2019, and a drop of 0.1 Tg CH$_4$ month$^{-1}$ (14%) reflecting the impact of the COVID-19 outbreak on coal production, which
decreased by more than 5% in February 2020. A subsequent recovery of production
capacity in the next two months is also apparent in Fig. 3A. Coal mining in Shanxi emitted
8.5±0.6 (± 1-sigma confidence interval) Tg CH₄ yr⁻¹ in 2019 from INV\textsubscript{TROPOMI} results, and
8.6±0.6 Tg CH₄ yr⁻¹ in 2020 respectively (Fig. 3B). The total emissions from INV\textsubscript{TROPOMI}
are higher than those from PKU-CH\textsubscript{4} v2 (5.8±0.5 Tg CH₄ yr⁻¹) and GFEI v2 (7.3±2.0 Tg CH₄ year⁻¹), but similar to EDGAR v6.0 (8.8 Tg CH₄ yr⁻¹).

Regarding the spatial distribution of emissions inferred by INV\textsubscript{TROPOMI} within Shanxi, hotspots are detected in most grids that contain high coal production mines (Figs. 1 and 3C). However, INV\textsubscript{TROPOMI} retrieves additional emission hotspots that were missing in our agnostic emission map (e.g., high-emissions grids in the southwest; Fig. 3). In contrast to the agnostic inversion with no prior, the spatial distribution of emissions from coal mines in EDGAR v6.0, GFEI v2 and PKU-CH\textsubscript{4} v2 depends on activity data such as mine locations and production and emission factors. The three bottom-up inventories have different spatial patterns, but they all miss the high-emission hotspots found by the inversion in the southwest, representing 10% of total emissions (Fig. 3D-F). We found that EDGAR v6.0, GFEI v2 and PKU-CH\textsubscript{4} v2 used an outdated coal production map from around 2011 to spatialize the total province-level emissions (from the product of an uniform emission factor and total coal production at province-level) into each grid cell of their emission maps (2, 24, 25). Some coal mines closed while new coal mines have opened since 2011 (26), which could explain the bias in the spatial distribution of CH₄ emissions from coal mines in the bottom-up inventories, compared to INV\textsubscript{TROPOMI}. The INV\textsubscript{TROPOMI} optimized CH₄ emission map thus gives insights on local hotspots and how their emissions change at monthly or sub-monthly scale, although 4 out of 24 months are not covered by the inversion due to none or only one image available (fig. S1), which should be further be solved by future satellite missions (16). This method can help improving not only the total emission of the basin, but also the spatial distribution map of coal emissions, and provides timely, updated estimates to evaluate bottom-up inventories.

Combining INV\textsubscript{TROPOMI} estimate emissions at 0.1°×0.1° with coal production data available at prefecture-level in 2019 and 2020, we deduced an inversion-based average emission factor of coal methane emission (EF\textsubscript{coal}) for each of the ten prefectures of Shanxi (see Methods; Fig. 4). This inversion-based EF\textsubscript{coal} varies by more than one order of magnitude between prefectures, from 0.9 m³ t⁻¹ in Shouzhou to 17.3 m³ t⁻¹ in Yangquan. Across the ten prefectures, this EF\textsubscript{coal} shows marginally significant correlation with that from the ground inventory (r=0.57, p=0.083; fig. S2). That inventory was conducted in 2011 and estimated potential fugitive emissions from underground mining without taking account of coal methane utilization that already has been adopted in Shanxi (the INV\textsubscript{TROPOMI} assesses actual coal methane emissions including their fractional reduction from methane utilization/recovery), which explains the smaller EF\textsubscript{coal} in INV\textsubscript{TROPOMI} (fig. S2). In addition, China’s energy reforms in the past decade led to the reorganization and closure of some Shanxi coal mines with large emission and/or low methane utilization rates (27). The large variation of EF\textsubscript{coal} between prefectures highlights again that the use of the same EF\textsubscript{coal} for all types of mines in a region, as done in bottom-up inventories (e.g., EDGAR v6.0, PKU-CH\textsubscript{4} v2), could lead to strong biases in the distribution of coal CH₄ emissions.

The large spatial variations in EF\textsubscript{coal} found between prefectures is expected to be related to coal rank and mining depth (21). For example, in the northern Shanxi where the coal seams in Datong, Shuozhou and Xinzhou are shallow, and mostly weakly caking coal and
gas coal with low metamorphic degree, we found low EF$_{\text{coal}}$ values (Fig. 4A). On the contrary, in Yangquan where the coal is mainly deep anthracite, we found the highest EF$_{\text{coal}}$. As the degree of metamorphism of anthracite increases, methane in the interstices of coal seams could decrease, which may explain the lower EF$_{\text{coal}}$ in Shuozhou (mainly gas coal) than in Yangquan (mainly anthracite).

The gas pressure of coal seam increases with depth, and so does the volume of methane contained in coal. Thus, depth-specific EF$_{\text{coal}}$ were suggested by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) methodology (28, 29), with default EF$_{\text{coal}}$ of 10 m$^3$ t$^{-1}$, 18 m$^3$ t$^{-1}$, and 25 m$^3$ t$^{-1}$ for mines with depth less than 200m, 200-400m, and deeper than 400 m respectively. Among different coal ranks (coalification), a higher rank coal generally has a higher methane content (23), and thus accounts for a steeper increase in EF$_{\text{coal}}$ with depth (21, 22). Almost 99% of the coal is produced from underground mines in Shanxi. Mining depth shows large spatial variations from less than 100 m to more than 700 m (fig. S3), leading to large spatial variations in EF$_{\text{coal}}$. We thus regressed the EF$_{\text{coal}}$ derived from INV$_{\text{TROPOMI}}$ against the average mining depth (from the year 2011) for each prefecture, and found a high correlation (r=0.88, p=0.005) when excluding Changzhi and Jinzhong prefectures which are outliers (Fig. 4B). The EF$_{\text{coal}}$ in these two prefectures could be explained by substantial subsidence of coal seams in Jinzhong and Changzhi in the tectogenesis after the Carboniferous-Permian when coal was formed (e.g., depth of 600 m coal seam in Jinzhong similar as ~400 m coal seam in Changzhi or Linfen) (30, 31). The relationship shown in Fig. 4B suggests that EF$_{\text{coal}}$ in these eight prefectures of Shanxi increases by 9.4 m$^3$ t$^{-1}$ for a 100 m increase in the mining depth. A similar empirical function between coal mining depth and EF$_{\text{coal}}$ was included in the inventory of 2011 across the eight prefectures (r=0.67, p=0.07; fig. S4) (22). However, at the coal mine scale, this relationship could be weakened by the variability of coal ranks in different coal mines (fig. S3B).

**Discussion**

To analyze the uncertainty of our posterior emissions, we performed an ensemble of tests adding perturbations to the parameter values and input data (see Methods and Supplementary Text 1-2). We found that the relative standard deviation of posterior emissions due to errors in XCH$_4$ retrievals (1.4%), the uncertain release duration as defined by the travel time between source locations and observed XCH$_4$ enhancements (15%), and the uncertainty of atmospheric transport (12%) are all relatively small compared to the standard deviation due to the uncertainty in background XCH$_4$ estimates (38%, fig. S5). This suggests that the choice of background XCH$_4$ for calculating the XCH$_4$ enhancement is the most important parameter to accurately estimate CH$_4$ emissions.

To test whether prior emission input affects the posterior emissions, we ran inversions without any prior knowledge (zero emission for each grid in Shanxi) and used a ridge regression to regularize the inverse problem (Supplementary Text 3). This sensitivity test gave similar total emissions as the Bayesian inversion with prior estimates from PKU-CH$_4$ v2, but with lower emissions in the north and higher emissions in the middle of Shanxi (figs. S6 and S7). On average, there are two to six images per month (some months have 11-12 images) from TROPOMI fulfilling our quality filter with >30% of valid pixels (fig. S1), but for some months no image was available (e.g., June in 2019 and 2020). Future satellite missions (e.g., MethaneSAT) (32) complementary with TROPOMI would help better constrain the seasonal variations in emissions shown in Fig. 3A. In addition, coal
mines field campaigns for CMM emissions during the days when high-resolution XCH$_4$ images from satellites are available can further be used to evaluate top-down inversions in the future.

Overall, we show that successive TROPOMI images of XCH$_4$ can constrain monthly CH$_4$ emissions from coal mining in Shanxi well, with annual emission estimates of 8.5±0.6 Tg CH$_4$ yr$^{-1}$ and 8.6±0.6 Tg CH$_4$ yr$^{-1}$ in 2019 and 2020 respectively. The top-down inversion with TROPOMI XCH$_4$ suggests that the use of a weighted average emission factor from the ground inventory dataset established in 2011 underestimates CH$_4$ emissions from coal mining (4, 25). We further find that deeper mining entails larger emission factors, as shown across the prefectures in Shanxi, suggesting that emission factors are likely to increase if mining deepens in the future. In addition, province-level emission factor used in bottom-up inventories may smooth the spatial variation of emissions due to the variation of mining depth and coal types across the mines. As the TROPOMI-based inversion used in our study can capture seasonal variation of coal mining activity (especially the drop in emissions corresponding to the coal production decrease in February, 2020 after the outbreak of COVID-19), a near real-time emission map can be updated on a regular basis. Such a tool could help monitoring and verification of emissions as well as supporting mitigation toward climate neutrality targets.

Materials and Methods

Satellite-based XCH$_4$ retrievals from TROPOMI

We collected bias-corrected methane column mixing ratios derived from the spaceborne instrument TROPOMI on board of the Sentinel 5P satellite (ESA products of S5P L2 CH4 OFFLINE) (33). TROPOMI is an imaging spectrometer, orbiting the earth in near-polar, sun-synchronous trajectories with a mean local solar time ascending node at 13:30. TROPOMI’s swath is approximately 2600 km wide, achieving near-global coverage on a daily basis. Our study relies on TROPOMI measurements from January 2019 to December 2020, with images collected over the Shanxi Province shape, and re-projected on a 0.1°×0.1° regular grid using the GDAL library (34). As cloud cover, high solar zenith angle, high viewing zenith angle, large terrain roughness or small surface albedo etc. induce substantial bias in XCH$_4$ retrievals (33), we only used pixels with a quality assurance value (qa_value = 1). TROPOMI images were filtered to keep only those with more than 30% of valid pixels (qa_value = 1). With this filter, 58 and 54 images were selected per year in 2019 and 2020 respectively. Uneven albedo and aerosol optical thickness are amongst the parameters influencing the quality and accuracy of TROPOMI XCH$_4$ retrievals. Although the data product we used in this study includes a correction based on surface albedo in the SWIR domain (35), we also assessed the reliability of TROPOMI XCH$_4$ retrievals by evaluating its correlation with surface shortwave infrared albedo (Albedo) and aerosols optical thickness (AOD) (fig. S8). When using only high-quality pixels (qa_value = 1), R$^2$ scores of linear regressions between bias-corrected XCH$_4$ and Albedo (R$^2$=0.010) and AOD (R$^2$=0.006) are quite low, hence significant bias of XCH$_4$ from albedo or aerosols can be dismissed. When including medium-quality pixels (qa_value ≥ 0.4), the R$^2$ scores are estimated respectively at 0.007 and 0.130 for albedo and AOD, confirming that the albedo and aerosols has limited impact on XCH$_4$ retrievals in our region of interest.

Bottom-up inventories for coal CH$_4$ emissions
Three bottom-up inventories for annual methane emissions from coal mining in the Shanxi province were used in this study: PKU-CH$_4$ v2 (4), EDGAR v6.0 (2) and GFEI v2 (24). PKU-CH$_4$ v2 was updated up to 2020 using annual coal production in 2020 from the latest Statistic Yearbook, following the bottom-up methodology in Liu et al. (2021) (4). The annual maps from PKU-CH$_4$ v2 were used as prior for our top-down inversion. For the EDGAR v6.0, we used the monthly gridded emissions of China in 2018, and then calculated the total emission as the sum of all grids in Shanxi province. We then scaled the emissions in 2018 from EDGAR v6.0 into emissions in 2019 by using the ratio of coal production of Shanxi in 2019 and 2018. This estimate is referred as EDGAR v6.0. The inventory of GFEI v2 gives the annual emissions that incorporate national reports of China to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) and allocates the total national emissions into infrastructure locations from Sheng et al. (2019) (25) with a 0.1°×0.1° spatial resolution (24). We used annual emissions of 2019 from GFEI v2 here, updated with IPCC emission factors and yearly activity data from US Energy Information Administration (24, 36). To validate seasonal variation of top-down monthly emissions, the monthly coal production of Shanxi province and yearly coal production in prefecture level were collected from Shanxi Statistic Yearbook (37).

Ground inventory from 1012 coal mines in 2011

We collected the information of coal mines in Shanxi province publicly available in the ground inventory of the National Coal Mine Methane Level Identification for 2011, by the State Administration of Coal Mine Safety (38). The coal production in Shanxi province increased by ~60% from 2011 to 2020. Emission factors from this inventory have been applied to estimate CH$_4$ emissions from coal mining in Sheng et al. (2019) (25) and Liu et al. (2021) (4). We collected data from 1012 coal mines, more than 95% coal mines in Shanxi province, including annual coal production (537 coal mines), mining depth (984 coal mines) and emission factors (727 coal mines) reported in the ground inventory of 2011. The location of these coal mines were derived from Baidu Map Platform (http://api.map.baidu.com/lbsapi/getpoint/index.html). Note that 84 out of the 1012 coal mines are excluded in our analysis, as these 84 coal mines have been closed since 2016 because of a supply-side structural reform of the coal industry by eliminating low efficiency coal production (27).

According to the ground inventory and the locations of coal mines, we aggregate the production and average the mining depth, extraction rate and emission factors weighted by the coal production into 0.1°×0.1° grid scale in 2011. For the map of coal production in 2019 (2020), we scaled the map of 2011 by the ratio of total province-level production in 2019 (2020) and in 2011. The main types of coal for each prefecture in Shanxi were collected from the dataset in Liu et al. (2015) (39). To compare the EF$_{\text{coal}}$ derived from INV$_{\text{TROPOMI}}$ in 2019, we adjusted the EF$_{\text{coal}}$ derived from ground inventory in 2011 by the composition of coal production mined from low gas mines, high gas mines and outburst gas mines in each prefecture between 2019 and 2011 in fig. S3.

TROPOMI-based top-down inversion

Model

The estimates are produced using a classical Bayesian regression framework (40, 41). Specifically, we solve the following quadratic programming problem for each useable TROPOMI XCH$_4$ image

\[
\min_x \quad f(x) = (y - Kx)^T S_o^{-1} (y - Kx) + \lambda (x - x_p)^T S_p^{-1} (x - x_p)
\]

s.t. \quad x \geq 0
where \( y \) is the methane column mixing ratio enhancement (i.e. methane column mixing ratio bias corrected image subtracted from its median value and with negative values clipped to 0); \( K \) is the methane dispersion footprints produced using the Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory model (HYSPLIT) (20); \( x_p \) is the prior emission rates vector (all 0.1°×0.1° regular grid cells); \( S_o \) is the covariance matrix for observational error; \( S_p \) is the covariance matrix for prior error (40, 42).

If we omit the non-negativity constraint \( x \geq 0 \), this quadratic program can be solved in closed form by

\[
x = x_p + \lambda^{-1} S_p K^T (\lambda^{-1} K S_p K^T + S_o)^{-1} (y - Kx_p)
\]

and a condition number of this problem can be computed to assess the stability and robustness of the solution. This condition number is defined as \( \kappa(\lambda) = \frac{\sigma_{\text{max}}(S_{\lambda})}{\sigma_{\text{min}}(S_{\lambda})} \) with \( \sigma_{\text{max}} \) (resp. \( \sigma_{\text{min}} \)) denoting the highest (resp. smallest) singular value of \( S_{\lambda} = (K^T S_o^{-1} K + \lambda S_p^{-1})^{-1} \). We add the non-negativity constraint for \( x \) and solve the quadratic program numerically. This constraint regularizes the solution and limits overfit. \( \lambda \) is used to scale the relative weights of the TROPOMI XCH4 image and prior terms. It should ideally be equal to 0 or very small. Yet for several dates, the quadratic program is ill-conditioned due to the sparsity of the TROPOMI XCH4 image and near-colinearity of some HySplit footprints (\( \kappa(\lambda) \gg 0 \)). We ensure that the estimates for each date are produced by a well-conditioned minimization program by incrementing \( \lambda \in [10^{-2}, 10^2] \) on a \( \log_{10} \) scale up to the smallest value such that \( \kappa(\lambda) < \tau \). We set \( \tau = 10^3 \) based on the criterions explained in Supplementary Text 1.

**Observational and prior error covariance matrices**

\( S_o \) is computed using the relative residual error method (42). In particular, we split our work domain into a 2°×2° grid and compute the standard deviation matrix of the residual error in the bias corrected subtraction of observed methane concentrations by simulated concentrations (derived from HySplit simulations and prior PKU-CH4 v2 emission rates). \( S_o \) is defined as the normalized, relative standard deviation matrix and, by construction, it accounts for both the sensor error and the model error. \( S_p \) is defined as the absolute error between the gridded inventories PKU-CH4 v2 and EDGAR v6.0, re-projected on the same 0.1°×0.1° regular grid as the images. Both \( S_o \) and \( S_p \) are normalized so that their relative weight in the objective function \( J \) is fully controlled by the parameter \( \lambda \). In addition, very small diagonal values of \( S_p \) are set at 1/10 of its maximal value (i.e. 0.1 after minmax normalization) to avoid ill-conditioning that would occur when EDGAR v6.0 and PKU-CH4 v2 have very similar values. In more than 97% of the daily inversions, the condition number criterion yields \( \lambda \in \{10^{-2}, 10^{-1}, 1\} \), hence giving to the observational term a greater of equal weight with respect to the prior penalization term.

**HySplit simulations**

Each grid pixel with a positive methane emissions value in the PKU-CH4 v2 inventory is considered as a potential source from which we simulate methane plumes using the Lagrangian particle dispersion model HySplit. These simulations are executed in concentration, forward mode on a 0.01°×0.01° grid and re-projected on the priors and images 0.1°×0.1° grid. HySplit parameters are mostly similar to those used in Lauvaux et al. (2022) (16). Particles are released continuously at constant rate (10,000 particles per hour) from a grid cell; each particle represents a fixed amount of methane spreading horizontally as a gaussian puff with respect to the meteorological fields. The Planetary Boundary Layer in which the particles diffuse vertically is derived from the meteorological data. The release altitude is set at 10 meters, consistent with the fact that
methane is mainly emitted from coal mines through Ventilation Air Methane (VAM) devices located close to the ground level. Following the analysis of Lauvaux et al. (2022) (16) on the negligible impact of the parameters defining the mixed layer height model and vertical mixing strength, we keep these parameters at default value. These fields come from the Global Data Assimilation System (GDAS) meteorological data produced by the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) at 1-degree spatial resolution and sampled hourly; they are downloaded from the NOAA FTP server. For sensitivity analysis purposes, we also rely on data from the Global Forecast System (GFS), also produced by NCEP at 0.25°×0.25° spatial and hourly temporal resolutions available on the same NOAA FTP server.

Release is set to start 10 hours before TROPOMI overpass time, assuming that the simulated plumes have reached a steady state at sensing time. This hypothesis is discussed and supported in Supplementary Text 2. HySplit plumes thereby obtained are normalised to produce footprints which play the same role as the Jacobian matrix derived from GEOS-FP data in Zhang et al. (2020) (40), Shen et al. (2021) (41).

**Uncertainty**

Uncertainty in the methane emission estimate stems from several parameters, modelling choices and data-induced constraints. To account for the modelling uncertainty, we perform an ensemble of inversion with perturbations of the parameters and input data. Notably, we evaluate the standard deviation of the methane emission estimates with respect to variations in meteorological data, simulation duration and methane background estimation process, respectively denoted \( \sigma_w \), \( \sigma_d \), \( \sigma_b \). More details on the ensemble sensitivity analysis are given in Supplementary Text 2. We also propagate the TROPOMI error, as provided by the precision data product (43), to derive the sensor measurement error \( \sigma_m \). We also account for the absence of valid readings for some days (namely sampling uncertainty \( \sigma_s \)) by using a poll setting and deriving \( \sigma_s \) from the unbiased Horvitz-Thompson confidence bounds (see paragraph S2) (44). Assuming independence of the uncertainty sources, we finally apply the law of propagation of uncertainty to evaluate the total uncertainty \( \sigma = \sqrt{\sigma_w + \sigma_d + \sigma_b + \sigma_m + \sigma_s} \).

The condition numbers and the degrees of freedom for signal (DOFS; defined as \( \text{trace}(I - \tilde{S}_p^{-1}) \)) where \( I \) is the identity matrix) of the quadratic programs solved in the inversions are qualitative indicators for the sensitivity of the results produced. These are discussed in the next section.

**Validation**

To assess the validity and robustness of the results, we compute a series of metrics and indicators. This includes the distribution of correlations between observed TROPOMI images and prior and posterior reconstructed images (Fig. 2); the correlation between the mean observed image and the mean reconstructed images (Fig. 2D); and the percentage of reconstructed methane with respect to observed images (Fig. 2E). On average, the mean image-per-image correlation increases from 0.31 (prior reconstruction) to 0.71 (posterior reconstruction) after the optimization process. The same metrics on averaged images rises from 0.42 to 0.83, hence validating the ability of the model to explain local methane enhancements by emissions originating in coal mining areas. The mean posterior percentage of methane reconstructed is 76%, thus indicating that a minor part of the observed methane enhancements is not explained by coal mining emissions.
The condition number criterion is discussed and supported in Supplementary Text 1 (Figs. S9 and S10). In particular, we show that this criterion leads to $\lambda$ parameters mostly comprised in $\{10^{-2}, 10^{-1}, 1\}$, hence efficiently improving the conditioning of the quadratic programs without over-penalizing the objective function (which would artificially lower the emissions estimates). Likewise, the distribution of the DOFS of the system has a lower bound at 20 with a mean value at 75. It shows that the quadratic programs are well-constrained, namely that output of the optimization problem is largely influenced by TROPOMI images and not primarily determined by the prior term (fig. S10).

Figures 2 and 3 reveal a high stability in the estimates, both monthly and hourly, aggregated and on a gridded basis (standard deviation of the monthly estimates is 0.11 Mt). This is expected as ventilation air methane systems are set to continuously ventilate coal mines, and it argues for the robustness of the inversion estimates.

We show that the results have a very low sensitivity to the construction of the minimization problem. A pure ridge regression setting ($S_O = I_n$, $S_p = I_n$ and $x_p = 0$) produces very similar methane emission estimates and validation metrics (see Supplementary Text 3 and figs. S6 and S7).
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**Figures and Tables**

**Fig. 1. Distribution of coal production in China.** Map of the coal production at province-level in 2019 over China (A) and distribution of gridded coal mines with annual production larger than 0.6 million tons year\textsuperscript{-1} with a spatial resolution of 0.1°×0.1° in the Shanxi Province (B). Note that the distribution of coal mines in the Shanxi Province in (B) is from the ground survey dataset conducted in 2011, but is scaled by the ratio of total coal production between 2011 and 2019 in the Shanxi Province.
Fig. 2. Spatial patterns of XCH$_4$ enhancement from TROPOMI, reconstructed XCH$_4$ using prior and posterior emissions, with a spatial resolution of 0.1°×0.1°. (A), averaged XCH$_4$ enhancement from 112 images of TROPOMI in 2019 and 2020; (B,C), reconstructed XCH$_4$ enhancement using prior and posterior emissions corresponding to the 112 images from TROPOMI. (D), frequency distribution of correlation coefficients between TROPOMI observations and prior (yellow) and posterior (blue) XCH$_4$ enhancement on an image-by-image basis. (E), frequency distribution of percentage of XCH$_4$ reconstructed by prior (green) and posterior (purple) estimates. Indicate figure parts with bold capital letters: (A), (B), etc.
Fig. 3. CH₄ emissions from coal mining in Shanxi. (A), monthly CH₄ emissions from coal mining from January of 2019 to December of 2020 (green bars) and coal production (red line). The total annual coal mining emissions of Shanxi province estimated from inversion by TROPOMI (INV_TROPOMI) and three bottom-up inventories in 2019 (PKU-CH₄ v2, EDGAR v6.0 and GFEI) are summarized in (B). The error bars show standard deviation of the inversion ensemble from INV_TROPOMI. Note that the data of INV_TROPOMI in Jun 2019, Jun 2020, Jul 2020 and Dec 2020 is missing because valid images are not enough for these months (<2) for the inversion. EDGAR v6.0 only provides the annual emission until 2018, so we scaled the coal mine emissions in 2019 by the ratio of coal production between 2019 and 2018. The (C-F) panel shows the spatial pattern of CH₄ emissions from INV_TROPOMI and bottom-up inventories (PKU-CH₄ v2, EDGAR v6.0 and GFEI) for Shanxi in 2019.
Fig. 4. Emission factor (EF) of coal mining, coal quality and depth of mining. (A) The composition of the coal production by coal types for each prefecture in Shanxi. (B) The relationship between average maximum mining depth and the emission factor (EF) of coal methane emissions derived from TROPOMI top-down inversion (INV TROPOMI) in Shanxi at prefecture level. Note that the color of dots in (B) corresponds to the background color in (A), with the blue denoting Jinzhong and Changzhi, the two prefectures having deeper coal seams due to crustal subsidence in three tectogenesis after coal formation in the Carboniferous-Permian (30, 31).