The following preprint has been submitted to Analytical Chemistry, an has not yet undergone peer-review: Hare, V.J. et al., High-Precision Triple Oxygen Isotope Analysis of Carbon Dioxide by Tunable Infrared Laser Absorption Spectroscopy.

Subsequent versions of this manuscript may have slightly different content. If accepted, the final version of this manuscript will be available via the 'Peer-reviewed publication DOI' link on the right hand side of this webpage. Please feel free to contact any of the authors; we welcome feedback.

July 12, 2022

High-Precision Triple Oxygen Isotope Analysis of Carbon Dioxide by Tunable Infrared Laser Absorption Spectroscopy

Vincent J. Hare,*,^{†,¶} Christoph Dyroff,[‡] David D. Nelson,[‡] and Drake A. Yarian[†]

†Stable Light Isotope Laboratory, Department of Archaeology, University of Cape Town, South Africa

‡Aerodyne Research Inc., Billerica, Massachusetts 01821, United States ¶ORCiD: 0000-0002-4475-4109

E-mail: vincent.hare@uct.ac.za

Phone: +27 (0)82 3333778

Abstract

1

Precision measurements of the stable isotope ratios of oxygen $({}^{18}O/{}^{16}O, {}^{17}O/{}^{16}O)$ 2 in CO_2 are critical to atmospheric monitoring and terrestrial climate research. High-3 precision ¹⁷O measurements by isotope ratio mass spectrometry (IRMS) are challenging 4 because they require complicated sample preparation procedures, long measurement 5 times, and relatively large samples sizes. Recently, tunable infrared laser direct absorp-6 tion spectroscopy (TILDAS) has shown significant potential as an alternative technique 7 for triple oxygen isotope analysis of CO_2 , although the ultimate level of reproducibility 8 is unknown, partly because it is unclear how to relate TILDAS measurements to an 9 internationally-accepted isotope abundance scale (e.g. VSMOW2-SLAP2). Here, we 10 present a method for high-precision triple oxygen isotope analysis of CO_2 by TILDAS, 11 requiring $\sim 8-9 \ \mu \text{mol}$ of CO₂ (or 0.9 mg carbonate) in 50 minutes, plus ~ 1.5 hours for 12

sample preparation and dilution of CO_2 in N_2 to a nominal 400 μ mol mol⁻¹. Overall 13 reproducibility of $\Delta'^{17}O(CO_2)$ was 0.004 %(4 per meg) for IAEA603 (SE, n = 6), and 14 10 per meg for NBS18 (SE, n = 4). Values corrected to the VSMOW2-SLAP2 scale 15 are in good agreement with established techniques of high-precision IRMS, with the 16 exception of $\Delta'^{17}O$ measured by platinum-catalyzed exchange of CO_2 with O_2 . Com-17 pared to high-precision IRMS, TILDAS offers the advantage of ~ 10 times less sample, 18 and greater throughput, without loss of reproducibility. The flexibility of the technique 19 should allow for many important applications to global biogeochemical monitoring, and 20 investigation of ¹⁷O anomalies in a range of geological materials. 21

The most commonly measured isotopologues of CO_2 are ${}^{12}C^{16}O^{16}O$, ${}^{13}C^{16}O^{16}O$, and 22 ${}^{12}C^{16}O^{18}O$. Paleoenvironmental proxies based on these isotopologues (i.e. $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{18}O$) 23 are widely used to reconstruct past climates, as well as to quantify the sources and sinks 24 of CO_2 , which are essential to understanding the global carbon budget. However, on their 25 own these proxies are often insufficient, and additional constraints are needed to resolve 26 carbon fluxes, past and present. Photochemical reactions during the formation of ozone are 27 associated with mass-independent isotope effects which lead to anomalous enrichment in ¹⁷O 28 in stratospheric CO_2 .¹⁻⁴ The ¹⁷O enrichment is passed to the troposphere, and reset close 29 to zero by mass-dependent isotopic exchange between CO_2 and the terrestrial biosphere 30 (mostly leaves) and oceans.⁵ In terrestrial materials that contain oxygen, as well as the 31 troposphere, the ¹⁷O anomaly (expressed as $\Delta'^{17}O$)⁶ is a promising tracer for carbon exchange 32 between reservoirs,^{2,5,7} as well as an exciting new proxy for paleoenvironmental change.^{8–11} 33 For the investigation of these effects, high-precision measurement ($\sim 0.01\%$, or 10 per meg) 34 of Δ'^{17} O is required, which is a challenging task for IRMS methods. These methods require 35 the transformation of CO_2 to O_2 analyte, thereby avoiding isobaric interference between 36 the ¹³C¹⁶O¹⁶O and ¹²C¹⁷O¹⁶O isotopologues, both of nominal mass 45. For this, various 37 complicated techniques have been developed, including: conversion of CO_2 to O_2 ;^{9,12} isotopic 38 exchange of subequal quantities of CO_2 and O_2 over a hot platinum catalyst; ^{13–15} or by careful 39

⁴⁰ equilibration of CO_2 with H_2O , and subsequent water fluorination to produce O_2 .¹⁶

Recent advances in optical detection of rare isotopologues have led to a rapidly expand-41 ing array of applications to biogeochemistry, e.g. detection of radiocarbon dioxide at sen-42 sitivities approaching that of accelerator mass spectrometry;¹⁷ high-precision measurement 43 of multiply-substituted isotopologues of both CH_4 ,¹⁸ and CO_2 .¹⁹ The latter techniques all 44 utilise tunable infrared laser direct absorption spectroscopy (TILDAS) for the direct mea-45 surement of isotopologue abundance ratios. Promisingly, Sakai et al.^{20,21} report TILDAS 46 measurements of ${}^{18}O/{}^{16}O$, ${}^{17}O/{}^{16}O$ from small quantities of CO_2 (2-68 μ mol), with a preci-47 sion of up to 30 per meg (SE, n = 10). The advantage of these methods over IRMS is that 48 they require simpler laboratory procedures, and offer the potential of smaller samples sizes, 49 and greater throughput. However, their overall reproducibility remains uncertain, and it is 50 unclear how to relate TILDAS ¹⁸O/¹⁶O and ¹⁷O/¹⁶O ratios to commonly-used abundance 51 scales, such as VSMOW2-SLAP2 or VPDB. 52

Here, we present a relatively simple method for triple oxygen isotope analysis by TILDAS 53 which uses CO₂ evolved by acid digestion of interlaboratory carbonate reference standards, 54 as well as a working reference gas, to produce high-precision $\Delta^{\prime 17}O$ analyses, alongside $\delta^{13}C$. 55 We have integrated TILDAS with an automated sample preparation system, which can 56 also accept CO_2 from break-seal vials, acid digestion of ~ 0.9 mg of carbonate samples, or 57 dry air from atmospheric flasks. The system ensures that CO_2 is well-mixed in N_2 prior 58 to measurement, eliminating the possibility of isotope fractionation due to diffusion. We 59 also present a framework for correcting spectroscopic ${}^{18}O/{}^{16}O$ and ${}^{17}O/{}^{16}O$ ratios to the 60 VSMOW2-SLAP2 scale, and show that overall reproducibilities from TILDAS can match 61 those of IRMS methods. 62

63 Experimental Section

⁶⁴ Tunable Infrared Laser Direct Absorption Spectroscopy.

Our instrument is a commercial Aerodyne Research Inc. (ARI) tunable infrared laser direct 65 absorption spectrometer (TILDAS).^{19,20,22} The instrument is based on the ARI dual-laser 66 monitor platform, but is customized to the requirements of measuring CO_2 from carbonates, 67 diluted to ~400 μ mol mol⁻¹ in N₂. In the configuration presented here, the instrument 68 enables the measurement of multiple isotopologues of CO_2 simultaneously. The instrument 69 was equipped with two co-aligned distributed-feedback interband-cascade lasers (DFB-ICL, 70 nanoplus Nanosystems and Technology GmbH). The ¹²C¹⁶O¹⁶O, ¹²C¹⁸O¹⁶O, and ¹³C¹⁶O¹⁶O 71 isotopologues were targeted in the region of 2310 $\rm cm^{-1}$, and the ${}^{12}\rm C^{17}O^{16}O$ isotopologue 72 was targeted in the region of 2349 cm^{-1} . The wavelengths of the two lasers were chosen to 73 achieve both strong and well-balanced absorption signals of the individual isotopologues of 74 interest at the expected sample-isotopologue ratios. 75

The lasers and data acquisition were controlled by the ARI software TDLWintel, which 76 also controlled the valve switching system (valves P9-P15 in Fig. 1), which is identical to the 77 system reported elsewhere.¹⁹ Both lasers were scanned sequentially at a frequency of 1.5 kHz. 78 Before analysis, 1500 spectra were averaged to achieve a 1-second average spectrum. This 79 improved the signal-to-noise ratio by approximately $\sqrt{1500} = 38 \times$. The averaged spectra 80 were then individually fit to one spectroscopic model per laser. These models include: the 81 relevant absorption lines of all isotopologues present in each spectral window; a baseline 82 of a polynomial form; as well as the zero-light signal. The zero-light signal is equivalent to 83 complete absorption, and the baseline is equivalent to no absorption (complete transmission). 84 Absorption signal enhancement was achieved by increasing the optical absorption path-85

length to 36 m using a multipass absorption cell. In this cell, the laser beams were reflected
between two mirrors such that they accumulated 194 passes. Upon exiting the cell, the coaligned beams were focused on a thermoelectrically cooled HgCdTe detector (J19, Teledyne

Judson). The sample pressure was around 28 Torr (10:1 reduction when expanding from volume 1, V1, in the valve switching system, previously filled via critical orifice through solenoid valves E1 (for sample gas) or E2 (for reference gas) see Fig. 1). The reduced pressure was used to sharpen the absorption lines and provide excellent isotopologue selectivity. This combined with the 36 m path length provided sufficient signal for very-high precision measurements.

⁹⁵ Automated CO₂ Preparation System.

The automated CO₂ sample preparation system is designed to cryogenically purify, dilute, 96 and mix sample CO_2 with N_2 . Samples are able to be introduced to the system by any 97 of 3 methods: loaded in break-seal tubes, from acid digestion of carbonates (via a Thermo 98 GasBench II), or directly from a removable atmospheric sampling flask. Each sample intro-99 duction pathway is handled with a unique preparation sequence based in a custom LabVIEW 100 program. The system consists of a break-seal manifold, liquid N₂ cryogenic trap, 3 mixing 101 volumes (MV1, MV2, MV3 - combined volume 687 mL), and a circulation loop with inline 102 diaphragm pump (CTS Series, Parker Hannifin Corp., USA) (Fig. 1). Valves 1-7, 16-21 103 are Swagelok SS4-BK-VA-1C bellows-sealed valves. V8 is a three-way solenoid valve (P/N 104 009-0294-900, Parker Hannifin Corp., USA). Non-numerically identified values are manu-105 ally toggled. Pressure gauges and corresponding data are handled by a data acquisition 106 unit (cDAQ-9171, National Instruments Corp., USA). The sampling flask, which doubles as 107 MV1, is custom made (GlassChem CC, South Africa, 576 mL) and designed to maximize 108 turbulent mixing, see Supporting Information for photographs. 109

Samples are introduced from their respective source and first cryogenically trapped in MV2. After a short pump-over to promote purification and complete sample collection, CO₂ is thawed for 6 minutes and the yield measured (Agilent Varian CDG-500, 0-10 Torr). Sample yield is then used to calculate dilution and mixing requirements on a sample specific basis (target dilution is 400 μ mol mol⁻¹) before being expanded into MV1. Ultra high-purity N₂



Figure 1: Schematic diagram of the system for automated preparation of CO₂ for highprecision TILDAS measurements of triple oxygen isotopes. MV1, MV2, and MV3 refer to mixing volumes 1 (586 mL), 2 (61 mL), and 3 (40mL). CO₂ from either acid digestion of carbonates (GasBench II) or alternatively, crackers, is frozen into MV2, and then diluted to ~400 μ mol mol⁻¹ in N₂ in a specially-designed flask (MV1). The entire mixing volume (MV1,2,3) is then circulated for 2.5 minutes to ensure complete mixing prior to measurement. TILDAS sampling valves (pneumatic valves P9-P15, electronic valves E1 and E2) are the same as a previous system.¹⁹ Sampling valves allow for repeated comparisons between a 50L reference tank (421 μ mol mol⁻¹ CO₂), and well-mixed sample in volume MV1,2,3.

as the diluent is regulated into the system at 1.2 bar and directed through a critical orifice,
three-way solenoid valve, and crimped 1/8" stainless steel tubing into MV3 via valve V21.
Dilution and initial mixing occur simultaneously as MV3 and MV2 are repeatedly pressurized
with N₂ to 1450 mbar (WIKA S-20, 0-3 bar) and turbulently expanded into MV1. The exact
number of repeated expansions is unique to each sample as calculated from the sample yield.
See Supporting Information for detailed sequence summaries and mixing steps.

After dilution and initial mixing, samples are further mixed by opening the circulation loop and activating the diaphragm pump. Pump circulation is 750 mL min⁻¹, meaning that three complete circulations occur through MV1,2,3 in 2.5 minutes. After 2.5 minutes the

circulation loop closes and sample preparation is complete. Sample gas is then introduced to 124 the TILDAS valve switching system via valve V19, a critical orifice, and valve E1. Sample 125 pressures in the combined mixing volume typically begin around ~ 750 mbar and decrease to 126 \sim 450 mbar over the course of an analysis. Overall repeatability of the sample concentration 127 (evaluated from the ${}^{12}C^{16}O^{16}O$ isotopologue) was $403.6 \pm 8.2 \ \mu \text{mol mol}^{-1}$ (1 σ , n = 17). 128 Within sample (aliquot) concentration repeatability ranged from 0.4 to 0.9 μ mol mol⁻¹ (1 σ). 129 Of importance to the success of our system are the high-precision Agilent Varian CDG-500 130 pressure gauge, sampling flask design, and circulation loop. 131

¹³² Reference Gas and Spectroscopic Measurement Procedure.

The reference used is a custom-made 50L high pressure cylinder of 421 μ mol mol⁻¹ CO₂ in 133 ultra high-purity N₂ (99.999%), made by Air Liquide South Africa (Pty) Ltd in July of 2021. 134 The reference gas tank was allowed to sit for several months before initial measurements were 135 made. Reference gas is regulated into the TILDAS at 0.6 bar using a sub-ambient high-purity 136 absolute pressure regulator (3396 series, Matheson Tri-gas Inc., USA). Aliquots of reference 137 gas are introduced via valve P11 (Fig. 1), a critical orifice, and valve E2 to an intermediate 138 volume (V1, 20mL) all of which are part of the TILDAS sampling valve system, described 139 elsewhere.¹⁹ Sub-ambient regulation of the reference gas is of critical importance as slowing 140 the fill rate of V1 allows for greater accuracy in achieving the target fill pressure of 300 141 Torr, and therefore greater repeatability in optical cell pressure throughout an analysis. 0.6 142 bar is also comparable to sample filling pressures, promoting similar V1 filling accuracy 143 between gases. Overall aliquot repeatability of the working reference gas concentration for 144 the ${}^{12}C^{16}O^{16}O$ isotopologue was $421.4 \pm 0.4 \ \mu \text{mol mol}^{-1}$, evaluated over 12 hours of repeated 145 measurement $(1\sigma, n = 148)$. 146

All aspects of the TILDAS measurement system, (e.g. timings, laser control, data acquisition, signal processing, etc.) are controlled by dedicated software (TDLWintel). Analyses are performed by repeatedly alternating aliquots of sample and reference gas into the TILDAS,



Figure 2: TILDAS measurement procedure. Repeated comparisons between a 50L reference tank (421 μ mol mol⁻¹ CO₂), and well-mixed sample of 8.645 μ mol CO₂, evolved from 0.914 mg of IAEA603 carbonate, by phosphoric acid digestion (70°C for 2 hours) and mixed to 404.8 μ mol mol⁻¹ in N₂ (703.53 mbar total sample). The measurement sequence takes around 50 minutes. Optical cell temperatures and pressures during this time were stable to within <0.1 K and <300 mTorr, respectively. Laboratory temperature variations were no more than 0.11 °C min⁻¹. 16 aliquots were averaged in total. χ_{626} is concentration of the ¹²C¹⁶O¹⁶O isotopologue. Error bars for $\Delta'^{17}O_{meas}$ are 1 σ .

analogous to dual-inlet IRMS methods. This is done by filling V1 to 300 Torr of either 150 sample or reference gas, followed by expansion into the pre-evacuated optical cell (200 mL). 151 Each aliquot is measured in the optical cell for 40 seconds, during which the next aliquot 152 is filled into V1, before being evacuated and the next introduced. A measurement cycle, 153 defined as the measurement of subsequent aliquots of sample and reference gas, takes ~ 3 154 minutes. Optical cell pressure is typically ~ 28 Torr, and generally stable to <300 mTorr. 155 Cell temperature is typically ~ 297 K and stable within 0.1 K (Fig. 2). A complete analysis, 156 typically comprising of 18-20 measurement cycles, takes around 50 minutes. For all analyses, 157 the first 3 measurement cycles are ignored due to stabilization of temperature within the 158 optical cell. 159

¹⁶⁰ From 31 March to 5 April lab aircon control malfunctioning was noted. During this period ¹⁶¹ it was identified that poor Δ'^{17} O precision was correlated to the rate of change of TILDAS ¹⁶² electronics temperature (dT/dt). Samples analyzed between these dates were excluded as ¹⁶³ the amplitude of dT/dt (A(dT/dt)) was greater than 0.11 °C min⁻¹, as evaluated by a 200-¹⁶⁴ second moving average. All other samples as reported in this study showed A(dT/dt) < 0.11 ¹⁶⁵ °C min⁻¹ (see Supporting Information for further analysis of this effect).

¹⁶⁶ Results and Discussion

¹⁶⁷ Definition of Spectroscopic δ -values, and Concentration Dependence ¹⁶⁸ due to Scale-Offset Errors.

Optical isotope spectrometers, such as our TILDAS instrument, determine δ -values by measuring mole fractions of isotopologues.²³ Adopting IUPAC notation,^{24,25} we can write, e.g. for the ¹²C¹⁷O¹⁶O isotopologue:

$$\delta^{17} \mathcal{O}_{\text{meas}} = \left(\frac{\chi_{627}/\chi_{626}}{X_{627}/X_{626}} - 1\right) \times 1000 , \qquad (1)$$

where the mole fraction, $\chi_{627} = C_{627} V/n$, is related to the measured concentration (C) of the 172 $^{12}C^{17}O^{16}O$ isotopologue in the optical cell (of volume, V). Similar expressions can be derived 173 for other isotopologues. For Aerodyne Research Inc. TILDAS instruments, X is the isotopo-174 logue abundance ratio (mol mol^{-1}) as specified in the high-resolution transmission molecular 175 absorption database (HITRAN), a standard database of *ab initio* atmospheric simulations.²⁶ 176 In eq. (1), χ is analogous to the isotope ratio of the sample, e.g. $({\rm ^{17}O}/{\rm ^{16}O})_{\rm sample}$, in the 177 usual definition of an IRMS δ -value, and X is analogous to the isotope ratio of the standard, 178 $(^{17}O/^{16}O)_{std}$. A δ -value measured by spectroscopy (eq. 1) is thus not relative to a scale such 179 as VPDB or VSMOW2-SLAP2, but rather, relative to HITRAN. Briefly, we also note that 180 a spectroscopic δ -value is technically a molecular abundance ratio, and not an atomic abun-181 dance ratio, as is measured by IRMS. However, it is assumed (for now) that the difference 182 between the two is negligible.²⁷ 183

¹⁸⁴ Because δ -values measured by TILDAS are relative to HITRAN abundances, an extra ¹⁸⁵ step is needed to convert them to the VSMOW2-SLAP2 scale. A conversion procedure has ¹⁸⁶ previously been outlined to correct spectroscopic $\delta^{13}C_{meas}$ for the offset from the VPDB scale.²³ We extend this procedure to the triple oxygen isotope system (and VSMOW2-SLAP2) as follows. Adopting the notation $\chi'_{627} = \chi_{627}/X_{627}$, we can modify eq. (1) thus:

$$\delta^{17} \mathcal{O}_{\text{meas}} = \left(\frac{a_{627} \chi'_{627} + b_{627}}{a_{626} \chi'_{626} + b_{626}} - 1\right) \times 1000 , \qquad (2)$$

where a_{627} , b_{627} , a_{626} , and b_{626} are empirical scale factors which relate the HITRAN mole fractions to the equivalent mole fractions on VSMOW2-SLAP2. We briefly note that there are also instrument-specific responses that might result in apparent scale offsets. In this case, the empirical factors in eq. (2) are expected to be unique to each instrumental setup. Assuming $A_{627} = a_{627}/a_{626}$, and dropping the factor of 1000 for convenience, with further modification it can be shown²³ that:

$$\delta^{17} \mathcal{O}_{\text{std}} = \frac{\chi_{626}'}{A_{627}(\chi_{626}' - b_{626})} \left[\delta^{17} \mathcal{O}_{\text{meas}} + \frac{(A_{627}b_{626} - b_{627})}{\chi_{626}'} - A_{627} + 1 \right] . \tag{3}$$

This provides a general equation to correct TILDAS δ -values to the VSMOW2-SLAP2 scale. 195 For interlaboratory carbonate standards, the value of $\delta^{17}O_{std}$ is assumed (or is measured 196 by IRMS), and $\delta^{17}O_{meas}$ and χ_{626} are both then measured by TILDAS on multiple samples 197 of CO₂ evolved from e.g. NBS18 and IAEA603 (mixed with dry N_2). The constants A_{627} , 198 b_{627} , and b_{626} are then determined by non-linear least squares fitting to eq. (3). The same 199 procedure is then performed to correct $\delta^{18}O_{meas}$ to $\delta^{18}O_{std}$ (with constants A_{628} , b_{628} , and 200 b_{626}). Note that if $A_{627} = 1$ and b_{627} , $b_{626} = 0$, then eq. (3) reduces to $\delta^{17}O_{std} = \delta^{17}O_{meas}$ 201 and the two scales are equal, as expected. 202

Significantly, eq. (3) shows that uncorrected TILDAS δ -values will depend on the measured concentration of the most abundant ¹²C¹⁶O¹⁶O isotopologue (χ'_{626}). We call this effect a "concentration dependence due to scale-offset errors", because it arises as an arithmetic consequence of the definition of the δ -value (eq. 1), and because there are offsets between HITRAN and VSMOW2-SLAP2 isotopologue abundance scales, and also instrument-specific responses.

²⁰⁹ Isotope Effects due to Diffusion of CO₂ During Sample Preparation.

Our TILDAS protocol requires highly-repeatable dilutions of CO_2 in N_2 to trace concen-210 tration. However, if dilution is incomplete, and the sample is not very well mixed, isotope 211 fractionation due to diffusion will be reflected in $\delta^{17}O_{meas}$ and $\delta'^{17}O_{meas}$ values, in addition 212 to concentration dependence (described above). Diffusion effects were found to be negligible 213 in TILDAS measurements of the clumped isotopologue ${}^{13}C^{16}O^{18}O$ (CO₂ in N₂ at 0.35%), 214 due to cancellation of factors in the equation for the clumped equilibrium constant, K.¹⁹ 215 However, diffusion is likely to be more important in the triple oxygen isotope system, where 216 very small differences in δ^{17} O and δ^{18} O propagate into large errors in (Δ'^{17} O).⁶ 217

For triple oxygen isotopes, the relationship between fractionation factors during diffusion is defined⁶ as $\alpha^{17/16} = (\alpha^{17/16})^{\theta}$, which, rearranging, gives:

$$\theta_{\rm diff} = \frac{\ln(\alpha^{17/16})}{\ln(\alpha^{18/16})} \ . \tag{4}$$

²²⁰ Where the subscript "diff" indicates a diffusion process. For diffusion of CO_2 in N_2 , the ²²¹ binary diffusion coefficient can be calculated from Chapman-Enskog theory using:

$$D_{ab} = \frac{AT^{3/2}}{p\sigma_{ab}^2\Omega} \sqrt{\frac{m_a + m_b}{m_a m_b}} .$$

$$\tag{5}$$

The subscripts a and b refer to the two gases, m is the molecular mass of each gas, and σ and Ω are the average collision diameter (4.15 Å) and temperature dependent collision integral (~ 1), respectively. At 21 °C and 700 mbar, D is 0.1879 cm² s⁻¹. eq. (5) can be modified to describe the ratios of isotopologue concentrations, and thereby related to fractionation factors. With further algebra, common terms such as T, p, etc. will cancel, and it can be shown that the ratio of fractionation factors is just the ratio of diffusivities for

each isotopologue:

$$\theta_{\text{diff}} = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{D_{45,28}}{D_{44,28}}\right)}{\ln\left(\frac{D_{46,28}}{D_{44,28}}\right)}$$
$$= \frac{\ln\left(\frac{44}{45}\right) + \ln\left(\frac{45+28}{44+28}\right)}{\ln\left(\frac{44}{46}\right) + \ln\left(\frac{46+28}{44+28}\right)}$$
$$= 0.509$$

²²² According to the conventional δ -notation definition of the triple oxygen isotope system,

$$\Delta^{\prime 17} \mathcal{O} \equiv \delta^{\prime 17} \mathcal{O} - \theta \delta^{\prime 18} \mathcal{O} , \qquad (6)$$

where $\theta = 0.528$ (global reference line) and $\delta'^{17}O = 1000\ln(\delta^{17}O/1000 + 1)$, and a similar expression exists for $\delta'^{18}O$. Hence, the mass-dependent fractionation exponent, θ , is lower for diffusion than for the global reference line. When CO₂ diffuses in N₂, $\delta'^{18}O$ and $\delta'^{17}O$ values will be shifted lower relative to their original values (i.e. relative to the pure CO₂). This gas will also tend to be under-diluted with respect to the target concentration (400 μ mol mol⁻¹). And by mass balance, $\delta'^{18}O$ and $\delta'^{17}O$ values of the remaining (un-mixed) CO₂ will be shifted higher.

A framework for errors due to diffusion, as well as scale-offset, is shown in Fig. 3A for a 230 hypothetical gas with a true value of $\Delta'^{17}O_{meas} = 0$. If the sample gas is well-mixed, with 231 no diffusion, and no offset error, then all aliquots would be measured along a line of slope 232 $\theta = 0.528$ in $\delta'^{17}O_{\text{meas}} - \delta'^{18}O_{\text{meas}}$ space. Samples with scale-offset error would lie along a curve 233 (shown in red) depending on the measured concentration of ${}^{12}C^{16}O^{16}O$ (χ'_{626} , eq. 3), as well 234 as the values of A_{627} , A_{628} , etc. In addition, if there are diffusion effects, then individual 235 aliquots will lie along a slope of $\theta = 0.509$ (shown in blue). In reality, the two effects occur 236 together, so that the total error, $\varepsilon(\Delta'^{17}O)$, is the sum of errors due to scale offset, ε (scale), 237 and diffusion, $\varepsilon(\text{diff})$. Aliquots of higher concentration will be found above the true slope, 238 and lower concentrations below it, resulting in a "cone" of scatter, with an average gradient 239



Figure 3: (A) Graphical framework for errors in TILDAS measurements of triple oxygen isotope composition of CO₂ (see further discussion in text). Stars are samples of different target concentration. Squares are aliquots drawn from each sample (under- or over-diluted). (B) shows the effects of incomplete mixing of CO₂ in N₂ on $\delta'^{17}O_{\text{meas}}$ and $\delta'^{18}O_{\text{meas}}$. Filled triangles show multiple aliquots from 4 samples of CO₂ evolved from an internal standard, Cavendish Marble (CM), circulated by diaphragm pump (Parker CTS) for 2.5 minutes to allow proper mixing before measurement. Other datapoints are aliquots from five samples of CM, mixed only by advection and diffusion (for up to 12 hours).

lower than $\theta = 0.528$ (and erroneously high $\Delta'^{17}O_{\text{meas}}$ values).

To test this framework, we conducted an experiment on samples with and without our circulating pump, using CO₂ from ~0.8 mg samples of an internal laboratory standard, Cavendish Marble (CM-CO₂). 5 samples were mixed into MV1,2,3 by turbulent advection and diffusion only, without the circulating pump. The time taken for diffusive mixing was varied on a sample-by-sample basis from ~10 minutes to 12 hours. In addition, the average χ'_{626} of each sample varied from 400 to 466 μ mol mol⁻¹. 4 samples of the same material were mixed for ~2.5 minutes with the pump, immediately after turbulent advection. χ'_{626} of these samples varied from 368 to 405 μ mol mol⁻¹.

For the samples unmixed by pump, Fig. 3B shows good agreement with the framework in 249 Fig. 3A. Aliquots from all samples form a cone of scatter, with an average slope (dashed line) 250 lower than $\theta = 0.528$. Better-mixed aliquots, close to the target concentration range, cluster 251 more closely to $\theta = 0.528$. When the circulating pump is added (filled triangles), all aliquots 252 have an average slope very near $\theta = 0.528$. Without the pump, 1σ sample repeatability 253 for $\Delta'^{17}O_{meas}$ was 30 ± 130 per meg, and aliquot repeatability for χ'_{626} was between 4 and 254 80 μ mol mol⁻¹. With the pump, sample repeatability for $\Delta'^{17}O_{\text{meas}}$ improved substantially 255 to -230 ± 10 per meg, in significantly less time (~2.5 minutes vs hours). With the pump, 256 aliquot repeatability for χ'_{626} was also excellent (between 0.4 and 0.9 μ mol mol⁻¹). This 257 result supports the conclusion that, without proper mixing, diffusion effects can be very 258 significant in sample preparation, necessitating very long times for well-mixed sample gases, 259 prior to TILDAS measurements of $\Delta^{\prime 17}$ O. Promisingly, forced convection via circulating loop 260 solves these issues. Preparation of the entire sample gas prior to measurement (as opposed 261 to aliquot-by-aliquot basis) also provides a useful check on the extent of mixing, which may 262 be evaluated by aliquot repeatability of χ'_{626} . 263

²⁶⁴ Correction of Spectroscopic δ^{17} O and δ^{18} O values to the VSMOW2-²⁶⁵ SLAP2 Scale.

In what follows, we compare triple oxygen isotope measurements both without correction ($\delta'^{17}O_{meas}, \delta'^{18}O_{meas}$), and corrected to VSMOW2-SLAP2 ($\delta'^{17}O_{corr}, \delta'^{18}O_{corr}$). These data are shown in Table 1. All samples were well-mixed by circulating pump for 2.5 minutes prior to TILDAS measurement (described in detail above). For conciseness, corresponding $\delta^{13}C$ data for these samples are reported in the Supporting Information. For the correction, we used interlaboratory carbonate standards IAEA603 (n = 6), and NBS18 (n = 4). Assuming VSMOW2-SLAP2 values for CO₂ from IAEA603 and NBS18 given by Wostbrock *et al.*,¹² we fitted eq. (3) to all aliquots of $\delta^{17}O_{\text{meas}}$, and χ'_{626} , in MATLAB. The same procedure was then performed for $\delta^{18}O_{\text{meas}}$. For $\delta^{17}O$, the fitted parameters were: $A_{627} = 0.674$, $b_{627} = -1974$, $b_{626} = -168$, $R^2 = 0.999$; and for $\delta^{18}O$, they were $A_{628} = 0.632$, $b_{628} = -3782$, $b_{626} = -207$, $R^2 = 0.999$.

We have corrected our δ -values to the VSMOW2-SLAP2 scale using previously-published 277 values for carbonate standards from an IRMS method¹² because this particular method is 278 regarded as a relatively assumption-free for triple oxygen isotope analysis.²⁸ A more nuanced 279 approach, for future investigation, would be to perform equilibrations between CO_2 and 280 VSMOW2, SLAP2 water directly on our cart within MV3, thereafter trapping and analyzing 281 the equilibrated CO₂. Another alternative is to correct our working reference gas directly 282 to VSMOW2-SLAP2.²³ In our procedure, we avoid calibrating our working reference gas 283 because (1) it is used merely to correct for short-term drift in δ -values between aliquots, 284 and (2) because long-term drift might occur as our 50L tank empties (for instance, due to 285 potential effusion effects). 286

Although we also report NBS19 (n = 7) in this table, it was excluded from the fitting because these samples had substantially worse reproducibility for $\Delta'^{17}O_{corr}$ $(1\sigma = 60 \text{ per})$ meg, n = 7). Although the experimental conditions for all standard samples were identical, we used an almost-empty vial of NBS19, whereas a fresh vial of IAEA603 was opened for this experiment. We suggest that the significantly greater degree of scatter in NBS19 might be related to slight but significant exchange of this standard with moisture in this old vial, over ~30 years of regular use, a phenomenon discussed by other authors.²⁹

Reproducibility of Δ'^{17} O was significantly improved by correction to VSMOW2-SLAP2 using eq. (3), for IAEA603 and NBS19. After correction, reproducibility of IAEA603 improved significantly from 7 per meg (1 SE) to 4 per meg; NBS19 also improved from 25 to 21 per meg. Reproducibility of NBS18 was similar before and after correction, at ~10 per meg. The reproducibility of our $\delta'^{17}O_{corr}$ and $\delta'^{18}O_{corr}$ values for IAEA603 (7 and 19 per meg, respectively), are significantly improved over previously-published TILDAS measurements of

Table 1: Triple oxygen isotope data for CO₂ evolved by phosphoric acid digestion of interlaboratory carbonate standards at 70°C, measured by TILDAS. Between 13 and 18 aliquots were measured per sample (~ 0.9 mg total carbonate). δ^{17} O and δ^{18} O values from individual aliquots are corrected to the VSMOW2-SLAP2 scale using the IAEA603 (CO₂) and NBS18 (CO₂) values of Wostbrock et al. (2020). The corrected values, δ'^{17} O_{corr} and δ'^{18} O_{corr}, were then used to calculate Δ'^{17} O_{corr}. χ_{626} is the concentration of the 12 C¹⁶O¹⁶O isotopologue in each sample, μ mol.mol⁻¹, with 1 σ repeatability of aliquots in parentheses. All isotope data are ‰, with the exception of Δ'^{17} O_{corr}, which are per meg, $\theta = 0.528$.

Sample	χ_{626}	$\delta'^{17}{ m O}~^a$	$\delta'^{18}{ m O}~^a$	$\delta'^{17} O_{corr}{}^{b}$	$\delta'^{18} O_{corr}{}^{b}$	$\Delta'^{17}O_{corr}$
IAEA603-4	393.3(0.5)	14.311	27.560	20.036	38.250	-158
IAEA603-5	404.8(0.6)	14.290	27.435	20.045	38.220	-140
IAEA603-6	412.8(0.8)	14.288	27.471	20.097	38.374	-161
IAEA603-7	393.8(0.8)	14.287	27.493	20.012	38.181	-147
IAEA603-9	405.3(0.7)	14.277	27.435	20.034	38.224	-149
IAEA603-10	415.6(0.7)	14.216	27.300	20.000	38.172	-158
	Average	14.291	27.479	20.037	38.237	-151
	$\pm 1 \sigma$	0.013	0.052	0.015	0.043	10
	St. \mathbf{err}^c	0.006	0.023	0.007	0.019	4
NBS18-8	397.7(0.4)	3.605	6.925	8.941	17.148	-113
NBS18-12	409.6(0.5)	3.791	7.374	9.075	17.389	-106
NBS18-13	405.1(0.5)	3.840	7.409	9.150	17.463	- 71
NBS18-14	401.8(0.9)	3.763	7.313	9.112	17.461	-107
	Average	3.750	7.255	9.070	17.365	- 99
	$\pm 1 \sigma$	0.102	0.224	0.091	0.149	19
	St. \mathbf{err}^c	0.051	0.112	0.046	0.074	10
NBS19-5	401.4(0.6)	14.164	27.295	19.895	38.052	-196
NBS19-6	409.0(0.6)	14.269	27.435	20.0533	38.266	-151
NBS19-7	397.6(0.5)	14.244	27.500	19.9783	38.222	-203
NBS19-11	397.3(0.7)	14.406	27.796	20.146	38.524	-195
NBS19-12	388.5(0.7)	14.492	27.868	20.208	38.515	-127
NBS19-13	411.3(0.5)	14.443	27.678	20.224	38.530	-120
NBS19-14	416.6(0.7)	14.418	27.798	20.184	38.582	-177
	Average	14.348	27.624	20.098	38.385	-150
	$\pm 1 \sigma$	0.122	0.217	0.126	0.203	60
	St. \mathbf{err}^c	0.046	0.081	0.048	0.077	22

^{*a*} Molecular abundance ratios by spectroscopy, e.g. $\delta(627)$ are assumed equal to atomic abundance ratios, e.g. δ^{17} O, and the atomic notation is retained; ^{*b*} Corrected using eq. (3); ^{*c*} Standard error = $1\sigma/\sqrt{n}$ isotopologue ratios of CO₂ (reproducibilities of 30 and 40 per meg for ${}^{17}\text{O}/{}^{16}\text{O}$ and ${}^{18}\text{O}/{}^{16}\text{O}$, respectively). 20,21 Reproducibilities for NBS18 and NBS19 are a similar order of magnitude to these measurements. These results further emphasise the importance of correcting for scale-offset effects, at least for some samples, and provides a relatively simple strategy for correcting spectroscopic δ -values to VSMOW2-SLAP2.

³⁰⁵ Utility of high-precision Δ ¹⁷O (CO₂) TILDAS measurements in com-³⁰⁶ parison to IRMS.

Mean Δ '¹⁷O_{corr} values of IAEA603, NBS18, and NBS19 by TILDAS are internally consistent 307 with Wostbrock *et al.*,¹² and are in excellent agreement with other high-precision IRMS 308 methods which rely on conversion of CO_2 to $O_2^{9,30}$ to within 1 SE reproducibility (Fig. 4). 309 Encouragingly, our methodology requires substantially less sample (~ 0.9 mg of carbonate) 310 compared to all current IRMS methods (typically 5-10 mg).^{9,12,29,30} In addition, TILDAS 311 requires somewhat less complicated sample preparation and shorter measurement times than 312 IRMS. Furthermore, the internal consistency between our results and IRMS supports the 313 assumption that differences between atomic and molecular abundance ratios are negligible, 314 at this level of reproducibility. 315



Figure 4: Comparison between TILDAS (red triangles, this study) and IRMS measurements of Δ'^{17} O, for CO₂ evolved from Interlaboratory Standards. Errorbars denote 1 SE. Filled grey symbols denote conversion methods (CO₂ to O₂, or direct BrF₅ fluorination of carbonate). Open symbols indicate methods reliant on platinum-catalyzed exchange of CO₂ with O₂.

One challenge of our method is the requirement that samples are very well-mixed. How-316 ever, mixing the sample prior to measurement (as opposed to on a per aliquot basis), means 317 that the degree of mixing is easily evaluated from successive measurements of aliquot concen-318 tration(s). We also note that there is significant disagreement between some IRMS methods 319 of triple oxygen analysis (see Fig. 4).²⁸ Typically, methods that rely on platinum-catalyzed 320 exchange of CO₂ with $O_2^{14,15,29}$ have systematically lower $\Delta'^{17}O$ values than conversion 321 methods. Our Δ^{17} O values are corrected to values from a conversion method, and are 322 therefore in disagreement with exchange methods, with the exception of NBS19, which, to 323 within its large uncertainty, agrees with most methods. Because this problem seems to be 324 unique to our NBS19, we argue that these errors are likely related to sample heterogeneity 325 and contamination issues (discussed above). The result underscores the importance of using 326 carefully-chosen standards in triple oxygen isotope research, for which future interlaboratory 327 comparison is warranted. 328

329 Conclusions

We have presented a method for triple oxygen isotope analysis by TILDAS, with a sample 330 reproducibility for Δ ¹⁷O of CO₂ from interlaboratory carbonate standards that equals that 331 of current high-precision IRMS methods (provided the sample is well-mixed in N_2). Our 332 method brings several additional advantages, such as smaller sample size (e.g. ~ 0.9 mg of 333 carbonate), increased throughput, and direct measurement of Δ^{17} O in CO₂. In addition, 334 our system is readily modifiable. It is able to handle several different sources of CO_2 , e.g. 335 via Gasbench acid digestion, break-seal vials, or dry atmospheric samples collected in our re-336 movable flask (\sim 586 mL). We have set out a simple procedure for the correction of TILDAS 337 δ -values to the VSMOW2-SLAP2 scale. Future work will allow for more direct calibration 338 via equilibration of CO_2 with VSMOW2 and SLAP2 waters, and combine TILDAS mea-339 surements of Δ^{17} O with multiply-substituted CO₂ isotopologues,¹⁹ so that δ^{17} O, δ^{18} O, and 340

 δ_{47} of the same sample are measured simultaneously. We expect this, or similar techniques, to have significant impact on future atmospheric monitoring and terrestrial (paleo)climate research.

³⁴⁴ Supporting Information

Supporting Information: Additional experimental details, including photographs of experimental setup, and LabVIEW and ECL code (PDF).

347 Acknowledgement

This work was funded by the South African Biogeochemistry National Research Infrastructure Platform (BIOGRIP), and a Launching Grant from the University of Cape Town, as well as a grant from the National Research Foundation of South Africa (120806). VJH thanks Ben Passey and Naomi Levin for hosting him at the University of Michigan Δ ^{'17}O line, kindly facilitated by Tyler Faith, and Dave Braun (NSF Grant 1826666). Scott Blumenthal is thanked for helpful comments on the manuscript, and Shuhei Ono is thanked for support and comments in the early conception of this project.

355 References

(1) Thiemens, M. H.; Jackson, T.; Zipf, E. C.; Erdman, P. W.; van Egmond, C. Carbon
dioxide and oxygen isotope anomalies in the mesosphere and stratosphere. *Science* **1995**, *270*, 969–972.

(2) Boering, K.; Jackson, T.; Hoag, K.; Cole, A.; Perri, M.; Thiemens, M.; Atlas, E.
Observations of the anomalous oxygen isotopic composition of carbon dioxide in the
lower stratosphere and the flux of the anomaly to the troposphere. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*2004, 31.

- (3) Yeung, L. Y.; Affek, H. P.; Hoag, K. J.; Guo, W.; Wiegel, A. A.; Atlas, E. L.; Schauffler, S. M.; Okumura, M.; Boering, K. A.; Eiler, J. M. Large and unexpected enrichment in stratospheric ¹⁶O¹³C¹⁸O and its meridional variation. *PNAS* 2009, *106*, 11496–11501.
- (4) Yang, J.-W.; Brandon, M.; Landais, A.; Duchamp-Alphonse, S.; Blunier, T.; Prié, F.;
 Extier, T. Global biosphere primary productivity changes during the past eight glacial
 cycles. Science 2022, 375, 1145–1151.
- (5) Hoag, K.; Still, C.; Fung, I.; Boering, K. Triple oxygen isotope composition of tropospheric carbon dioxide as a tracer of terrestrial gross carbon fluxes. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*2005, 32.
- (6) Miller, M. F.; Pack, A. Why measure ¹⁷O? Historical perspective, triple-isotope systematics and selected applications. *Rev. Mineral. Geochem.* 2021, *86*, 1–34.
- (7) Hofmann, M.; Horváth, B.; Schneider, L.; Peters, W.; Schützenmeister, K.; Pack, A. Atmospheric measurements of Δ^{17} O in CO₂ in Göttingen, Germany reveal a seasonal cycle driven by biospheric uptake. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **2017**, *199*, 143–163.
- (8) Bao, H.; Lyons, J.; Zhou, C. Triple oxygen isotope evidence for elevated CO₂ levels
 after a Neoproterozoic glaciation. *Nature* 2008, 453, 504–506.
- (9) Passey, B. H.; Hu, H.; Ji, H.; Montanari, S.; Li, S.; Henkes, G. A.; Levin, N. E. Triple
 oxygen isotopes in biogenic and sedimentary carbonates. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*2014, 141, 1–25.
- (10) Gehler, A.; Gingerich, P. D.; Pack, A. Temperature and atmospheric CO₂ concentration estimates through the PETM using triple oxygen isotope analysis of mammalian
 bioapatite. PNAS 2016, 113, 7739–7744.

- (11) Lehmann, S. B.; Levin, N. E.; Passey, B. H.; Hu, H.; Cerling, T. E.; Miller, J. H.;
 Arppe, L.; Beverly, E. J.; Hoppe, K. A.; Huth, T. E., et al. Triple oxygen isotope
 distribution in modern mammal teeth and potential geologic applications. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 2022,
- (12) Wostbrock, J. A.; Cano, E. J.; Sharp, Z. D. An internally consistent triple oxygen
 isotope calibration of standards for silicates, carbonates and air relative to VSMOW2
 and SLAP2. Chem. Geol. 2020, 533, 119432.
- (13) Mahata, S.; Bhattacharya, S.; Wang, C.-H.; Liang, M.-C. Oxygen isotope exchange between O₂ and CO₂ over hot platinum: An innovative technique for measuring Δ^{17} O in CO₂. Anal. Chem. **2013**, 85, 6894–6901.
- (14) Fosu, B. R.; Subba, R.; Peethambaran, R.; Bhattacharya, S.; Ghosh, P. Developments
 and applications in triple oxygen isotope analysis of carbonates. ACS Earth Space
 Chem. 2020, 4, 702–710.
- (15) Barkan, E.; Musan, I.; Luz, B. High-precision measurements of δ^{17} O and 17 O_{excess} of NBS19 and NBS18. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **2015**, *29*, 2219–2224.
- (16) Barkan, E.; Luz, B. High-precision measurements of ${}^{17}O/{}^{16}O$ and ${}^{18}O/{}^{16}O$ ratios in CO₂. Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. **2012**, 26, 2733–2738.
- (17) Genoud, G.; Vainio, M.; Phillips, H.; Dean, J.; Merimaa, M. Radiocarbon dioxide
 detection based on cavity ring-down spectroscopy and a quantum cascade laser. *Opt. Lett.* 2015, 40, 1342–1345.
- (18) Ono, S.; Wang, D. T.; Gruen, D. S.; Sherwood Lollar, B.; Zahniser, M. S.; McManus, B. J.; Nelson, D. D. Measurement of a doubly substituted methane isotopologue,
 13CH3D, by tunable infrared laser direct absorption spectroscopy. Anal. Chem. 2014,
 86, 6487–6494.

- (19) Wang, Z.; Nelson, D. D.; Dettman, D. L.; McManus, J. B.; Quade, J.; Huntington, K. W.; Schauer, A. J.; Sakai, S. Rapid and Precise Analysis of Carbon Dioxide
 Clumped Isotopic Composition by Tunable Infrared Laser Differential Spectroscopy.
 Anal. Chem. 2019, 92, 2034–2042.
- (20) Sakai, S.; Matsuda, S.; Hikida, T.; Shimono, A.; McManus, J. B.; Zahniser, M.; Nel-
- son, D.; Dettman, D. L.; Yang, D.; Ohkouchi, N. High-Precision Simultaneous ¹⁸O/¹⁶O,
- $^{13}C/^{12}C$, and $^{17}O/^{16}O$ Analyses for Microgram Quantities of CaCO₃ by Tunable In-

frared Laser Absorption Spectroscopy. Anal. Chem. 2017, 89, 11846–11852.

- (21) Sakai, S.; Otsuka, T.; Matsuda, S.; Sakairi, Y.; Uchida, R.; Sugahara, K.; Kano, A.;
 Yang, D. Subnanomolar Sensitive Stable Isotopic Determination in CO₂ by Tunable
 Infrared Laser Absorption Spectroscopy. Anal. Chem. 2022, 94, 6446–6450.
- 421 (22) McManus, J. B.; Nelson, D. D.; Zahniser, M. S. Design and performance of a dual-laser
 422 instrument for multiple isotopologues of carbon dioxide and water. *Opt. Express* 2015,
 423 23, 6569–6586.
- 424 (23) Griffith, D.; Deutscher, N.; Caldow, C.; Kettlewell, G.; Riggenbach, M.; Hammer, S. A
 Fourier transform infrared trace gas and isotope analyser for atmospheric applications.
 Atmos. Meas. Tech. 2012, 5, 2481–2498.
- (24) Cohen, E. R.; Cvitaš, T.; Frey, J. G.; Holmstrőm, B.; Kuchitzu, K.; Marquardt, R.;
 Mills, I.; Pavese, F.; Quack, M.; Stohner, J., et al. *Quantities, units and symbols in physical chemistry*; IUPAC, 2007.
- (25) Coplen, T. B. Explanatory glossary of terms used in expression of relative isotope ratios
 and gas ratios; IUPAC, 2008.
- (26) Rothman, L. S.; Jacquemart, D.; Barbe, A.; Benner, D. C.; Birk, M.; Brown, L.;
 Carleer, M.; Chackerian Jr, C.; Chance, K.; Coudert, L. e. a., et al. The HITRAN

- ⁴³⁴ 2004 molecular spectroscopic database. J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf. 2005, 96,
 ⁴³⁵ 139–204.
- (27) Kerstel, E. Handbook of stable isotope analytical techniques; Elsevier, 2004; pp 759–787.
- 437 (28) Passey, B. H.; Levin, N. E. Triple oxygen isotopes in meteoric waters, carbonates,
 438 and biological apatites: implications for continental paleoclimate reconstruction. *Rev.* 439 *Mineral. Geochem.* 2021, *86*, 429–462.
- 440 (29) Barkan, E.; Affek, H. P.; Luz, B.; Bergel, S. J.; Voarintsoa, N. R. G.; Musan, I. Cali-
- bration of δ^{17} O and 17 O_{excess} values of three international standards: IAEA-603, NBS19
- and NBS18. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **2019**, *33*, 737.
- (30) Passey, B. H.; Ji, H. Triple oxygen isotope signatures of evaporation in lake waters and
 carbonates: A case study from the western United States. *EPSL* 2019, *518*, 1–12.

445 Supporting Information

446 1. Photographs



Figure 5: Photograph of the TILDAS instrument (lasers housed inside black box), with automated valve sampling system (top), and custom-built cart for automated CO_2 extraction and dilution (below).



Figure 6: Photograph of the custom-built cart for automated CO_2 extraction and dilution. A pneumatically-operated dewar of liquid Nitrogen (left) is shown in the "up" position whilst CO_2 is actively trapped in MV2.



Figure 7: Photograph of MV1, the custom-built sampling flask (GlassChem cc, South Africa). Two teflon stopcocks (either Schott Produran or J. Young) seal off a \sim 586 mL roundbottomed borosilicate flask. A siphon ensures efficient flow through the volume. The flask can be disconnected from two Ultra-Torr quick connects (Swagelok). Valves 17 and 16 are shown to the left and right of the flask, respectively. A short tube of 1/8" diameter acts as a bypass for the flask. All other tubes are 1/4". The piece of horizontal glass on the flask parallel to this bypass tube is solid, and is used to carry the flask when disconnected from the autocart. A design drawing for the flask can be obtained from the author upon request.

447 2. dT/dt Experiments

448 Summary and Results

To address the effects of temperature on TILDAS analytical precision a series of reference gas vs. reference gas experiments were conducted. Over the course of a day, repeated analyses (each with a duration between 38 and 65 minutes) of reference gas against itself were performed while varying multiple aspects of the TILDAS operational environment. In a theoretically perfect system, all Δ'^{17} O values would equal 0. Tested variables were internal N₂ purge rate, lab aircon temperature setpoint, and the absence altogether of aircon temperature control, as summarized in Table A1.

Experiment	Time started	Duration (min)	N_2 purge (L/min)	Aircon setpoint (°C)
1	6:31	65	1.5	23
2	7:38	60	1	23
3	8:59	38	1.5	23
4	10:02	60	1	23
5	11:11	45	1	23
6	12:11	57	1.5	23
7	13:31	55	1	23
8	14:34	47	1	23
9	15:30	45	1	OFF
10	16:39	41	1	24
11	17:44	52	1	OFF

Table 2: Experimental Conditions

Variety in the internal purge rate was not expected to have a large influence on measurement precision. Other than the potential impact on the thermal stability of the TILDAS housing, purge rate is taken to be inconsequential providing that it is sufficient to maintain a dry, N_2 dominated internal environment. As observed, the purge N_2 flow rate had negligible observable effect on analytical performance over the course of the experiments.

Several key aspects of the TILDAS system are controlled for and influenced by temperature. Laser temperatures are regulated by a liquid chiller with milli-Kelvin scale precision. The instrument used in this project uses the liquid chiller set to 23°C. As the liquid tem-

perature is maintained by a fan blowing air across the coolant liquid, lab aircon setpoint 464 is likely to have an impact on coolant temperature stability. To ease the work load of the 465 chiller lab aircon temperature was set to 23°C for a majority of the following experiments. 466 The effects of lab air temperature on measurement precision were tested by occasionally 467 shutting off the aircon unit (Experiments 9, 11) and increasing the temperature setpoint (to 468 (24°C, Experiment 10). Previous observations not documented here revealed that an aircon 469 setpoint matching the chiller temperature (23°C), provided greater coolant stability than a 470 setpoint just below (22°C). However, no correlated change in measured analytical precision 471 was observed and laser temperature stability was unaffected. 472

To access the impacts of electronics temperature on measurement precision, the rate of 473 change of the temperature $(dT/dt, Cmin^{-1})$ of the electronics was mapped using a 200-474 second moving average. The curve produced from this moving average was plotted alongside 475 calculated Δ^{17} O values for each measured reference gas vs. reference gas measurement 476 cycle. A clear trend is observed correlating the amplitude (A) of the dT/dt moving average 477 curve, hereafter A(dT/dt), to measured Δ ^{'17}O standard deviation (1 σ) (Figure A1). The 478 outlier in the trend is the direct result of an intentional disruption to the experimental run 479 (Experiment 5) in which the cooling fan intake on the TILDAS computer was blocked with 480 a sheet of paper for ~ 6 minutes during the run. 481



Figure 8: TILDAS electronics A(dT/dt) vs. $1\sigma \Delta'^{17}$ O

482 For the system used in this project, it was realized that minimizing A(dT/dt) is the

most important factor in producing high-precision Δ^{17} O measurements. To this end, it 483 is best to perform analyses when there is no lab aircon control and the room is allowed 484 to slowly heat up over the course of a measurement (Experiments 9 and 11). While the 485 absolute temperature of the electronics typically increases by several degrees when applying 486 this strategy, the continuous but consistent heating minimizes drastic instantaneous changes 487 in dT/dt and therefore A(dT/dt). Other, perhaps more practical long-term solutions to 488 limit A(dT/dt) could be the extension of the liquid cooling system to include more sensitive 489 electronic components, adding a heat exchanger near the computer's cooling fan intake, or 490 using a high quality aircon with PID control to continuously supply the lab space around 491 the TILDAS with air of consistent temperature. 492

In summary, the results of the experiments suggest the main control over measurement 493 precision to be dT/dt of the TILDAS electronics. The internal purge rate and absolute 494 temperature of the electronics had little to no influence on the measured Δ ^{'17}O values, while 495 the lab aircon temperature setting exerts its largest influence when set higher than the liquid 496 chiller temperature. As the TILDAS system is constantly measuring and making corrections 497 to adapt to its operational environment, it follows that rapid changes will exert a greater 498 influence on instrument stability. Minimizing large instantaneous electronics temperature 499 changes is key to achieving the necessary precision for relevant earth surface triple oxygen 500 isotope studies using TILDAS. 501

502 Experimental setup and details

The first experiment began at 6:31am and lasted 66 minutes. Being the first analysis of the day the internal volume of the TILDAS instrument would have been equilibrated with bulk lab air both thermally and in its constituents. In an attempt to refresh the volume more quickly with dry N₂, the internal purge rate was set to approximately 1.5L/min. The observed positive trend in Δ '¹⁷O values over the course of this run is assumed to be a result of the stabilizing of the instrument's internal environment.

Experiment	$A(dT/dt)^a$	Avg. Δ ^{'17} O	$1\sigma \Delta^{,17}O$		
1	0.19	-0.061	0.097		
2	0.18	0.036	0.070		
3	0.14	-0.004	0.077		
4	0.12	-0.005	0.043		
5	0.52	-0.007	0.115		
6	0.13	-0.043	0.114		
7	0.07	0.026	0.068		
8	0.11	-0.005	0.083		
9	0.05	0.017	0.036		
10	0.17	0.003	0.167		
11	0.05	-0.031	0.082		
$a ^{\circ}\mathrm{C} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$					

Table 3: Summary of Results

The second experiment started at 7:38am and lasted 60 minutes. During this run, the internal purge rate was set at $\sim 1L/\min$ – the setting most commonly used for sample measurements prior to, and since, these experiments. While measurement 1 σ precision improved slightly to 0.070‰, it is difficult to say whether the improvement was due to the different purge rate or simply a result of a more stable measurement environment.

The third experiment started at 8:59am and lasted 38 minutes. This experiment again tested the higher N₂ purge rate of ~1.5L/min. The experiment resulted in a $\Delta^{'17}O \ 1\sigma$ precision of 0.077‰, similar to the previous under a lower purge flow regime, with the absolute $\Delta^{'17}O$ value, -0.004‰, being within error. This experiment lends support towards the purge rate being a small factor in $\Delta^{'17}O$ precision.

The fourth experiment started at 10:02 and lasted 60 minutes. The parameters for this 519 experiment were setup identically to that of the second. The largest difference in operating 520 conditions between this experiment and each of the previous (and ultimately from all of the 521 following) experiments was simply the number of people in the instrument room. During 522 this experiment, 10 individuals spent notable amounts of time in the instrument room, as 523 compared to 1-3 for the previous runs. The high traffic during this run caused the room's 524 aircon to activate more frequently. This is observed in the decreased A(dT/dt) (as a result 525 of less efficient cooling, i.e., decreased instantaneous cooling) and manifests in the nearly 526

halving of Δ ^{'17}O standard deviation (1 σ) from the previous experiments to 0.043‰ with an average Δ ^{'17}O of -0.005‰.

The fifth experiment started at 11:11am and lasted 45 minutes. This experiment is 529 marked by the covering of the TILDS computer cooling fan intake for ~ 6 minutes beginning 530 at \sim 11:40am. The intent behind this action was to create an immediate, drastic change to 531 the electronics environment to assess the impacts of temperature in real time. The resulting 532 dT/dt moving average curve from this action is a large double peak (A(dT/dt) = 0.52°C) 533 \min^{-1}), the first of which is the rapid heating of the electronics when cooling air was cut off, 534 and the second when the fan intake was uncovered, causing rapid cooling of the electronics. 535 While the analysis resulted in good accuracy ($\Delta^{17}O = -0.006\%$) this experiment resulted 536 in an overall $\Delta^{17}O(1\sigma)$ of 0.115%. 537

The sixth experiment started at 12:11am and lasted 57 minutes. This experiment was run under the higher N₂ purge flow ~1.5L/min. Overall Δ ^{'17}O 1 σ precision was 0.114‰ and observably decreased throughout the run, correlated with inconsistent dT/dt peak frequency. There is no clear singular cause for this pattern.

The seventh experiment started at 13:31 and lasted 55 minutes. This experiment was run at the preferred N₂ purge flow ~1L/min. For unclear reasons, electronics temperature stability was improved as evidenced by the decreased A(dT/dt) of 0.07 °C min⁻¹. This marked the first experiment to achieve a A(dT/dt) < 0.1 °C min⁻¹. Despite this, the Δ ^{'17}O 1 σ of 0.068‰ is not markedly improved relative to previous runs. The reason for this poorer than expected precision given the improved dT/dt profile is unclear.

The eighth experiment started at 14:34 and lasted 47 minutes. The experiment's setup was identical to the previous. A(dT/dt) of 0.11°C min⁻¹ and Δ '¹⁷O 1 σ precision of 0.083‰ are both expectedly similar to many of the previous experiments.

The ninth experiment started at 15:30 and lasted 45 minutes. The purge rate again was set to $\sim 1L/min$. This experiment is the first to test how the absence of aircon temperature control influenced measurement precision. The absolute temperature of the electronics in⁵⁵⁴ creased ~3°K, roughly 3 times the temperature range observed in all previous experiments ⁵⁵⁵ when lab aircon was in use. However, $1\sigma \Delta'^{17}$ O precision was 0.036‰ and correlated to a ⁵⁵⁶ low A(dT/dt) of 0.05°C min⁻¹, each of which are respectively the lowest of any experiment ⁵⁵⁷ thus far. This experiment is a clear improvement in creating ideal measurement conditions ⁵⁵⁸ and shows that absolute electronics temperature is not a major control on measurement ⁵⁵⁹ precision.

The tenth experiment started at 16:39 and lasted 41 minutes. A N₂ purge rate of ~1L/min is maintained. This experiment tested setting lab aircon setpoint to 24°C, higher than the liquid chiller setpoint, to test the effects of potentially inconsistent cooling on the system. This experiment resulted in a high A(dT/dt) of 0.17 °C min⁻¹ and a correspondingly poor $\Delta^{17}O \ 1\sigma$ of 0.167% – by far the worst precision observed in this series of experiments. The electronics temperature profile is markedly different than previous experiments with aircon control, characterized by decreased regulation frequency and a larger absolute range.

The eleventh and final experiment started at 17:44 and lasted 52 minutes. Again a 567 $\sim 1L/\min N_2$ purge rate is used. This experiment again tested the absence of lab aircon 568 control on measurement precision, with similarly good results. Absolute electronics tem-569 perature increased $\sim 3^{\circ}$ K with a similar profile to that of Experiment 9. The Δ'^{17} O 1 σ of 570 0.028% is the best achieved in any of the experiments performed. The low A(dT/dt) of 0.05 571 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}\ \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ matches that of experiment 9 in which lab aircon was also not used. While the 572 Δ^{17} O value of -0.031‰ is not within measurement error of the theoretical value of 0.000‰, 573 the improvement of measurement precision is encouraging. 574

⁵⁷⁵ 3. Labview code

- ⁵⁷⁶ All LabVIEW code, and TDLWintel ECL scripts can be found on github, here:
- 577 https://github.com/vinhare/UCT-TILDAS-170
- ⁵⁷⁸ Please cite as:DOI :10.5281/zenodo.6802227

579 AutoCart LabVIEW valves, volumes, and sample sequences

580 Mixing volumes

- Mixing volume 1 (MV1) 586mL (flask + V16-V17 volume)
- 582 Mixing volume 2 (MV2) 61mL (liquid N₂ trap)
- 583 Mixing volume 3 (MV3) 40mL (bellows)

584 AutoCart valves

- 585 V1 (diaphragm valve) Up-stream end of cracker 1
- 586 V2 (diaphragm valve) Up-stream end of cracker 2
- 587 V3 (diaphragm valve) Up-stream end of cracker 3
- 588 V4 (diaphragm valve) Down-stream end of cracker 1
- 589 V5 (diaphragm valve) Down-stream end of cracker 2
- 590 V6 (diaphragm valve) Down-stream end of cracker 3
- 591 V7 (diaphragm valve) Inlet for N_2 supply
- $_{\tt 592}~$ V8 (3-way solenoid valve) $\rm N_2$ supply director (normally open to break-seal manifold, nor-
- $_{593}$ mally closed to V21/MV3)
- ⁵⁹⁴ V16 (diaphragm valve) Separates sample inlet side from preparation side of AutoCart
- 595 V17 (diaphragm valve) Separates flask volume from liquid N_2 trap
- V18 (diaphragm valve) Separates liquid N₂ trap from MV3
- ⁵⁹⁷ V19 (diaphragm valve) Inlet from AutoCart to TILDAS switching valve system
- 598 V20 (diaphragm valve) To vacuum pump
- 599 V21 (diaphragm valve) Dilution N_2 shut-off
- 600 Circulation Loop (2x diaphragm valve) 2 pneumatically connected valves at either end of
- 601 the circulation loop
- 602 Manual toggle valve separating GasBench system & AutoCart
- 603 Manual twist valve separating cracker manifold & AutoCart

604

605 Carbonate samples from GasBench

- Reset all sample data values to 0
- 607 Open V7
- 608 Open V16
- User input close off flask via stopcocks
- Open circulation loop and pump out for 60 seconds
- Open V8 Switch N₂ direction to V21/MV3
- Close V20
- 613 Open V21
- Pressurize circulation loop to 1300 mbar
- Close V21
- Briefly (1-2 seconds) circulate dry N₂ through loop via diaphragm pump
- Close circulation loop
- Close V8 Switch N_2 direction away from V21/MV3
- Open V20 pump system down to <76 mtorr
- Close manual valve connecting AutoCart to cracker manifold
- Open manual valve connecting GasBench system to AutoCart
- Pump out GasBench capillary for 30 seconds
- Raise liquid N_2 dewar and allow liquid N_2 trap to cool
- Manually restrict flow from vacuum pump to AutoCart via twist valve
- Use GasBench sampling needle to direct sample gas through the GasBench and to the
- 626 AutoCart
- 40-minute sample transfer wait time
- Direct GasBench system away from AutoCart
- Pump AutoCart to <75 mtorr
- 630 Close V16
- 631 Close V17
- 632 Close V18

- Close manual valve connecting GasBench system to AutoCart
- Remove liquid N_2 dewar from trap
- Allow 6 minutes for sample to thaw
- Read that pressure and calculate μ mol CO₂ trapped and dilution requirements
- Open left flask stopcock to allow sample into flask (MV1)
- Open V17 expand sample into flask 40 seconds
- Close V17
- 640 Close V20
- Open V8 Switch N_2 direction towards V21/MV3
- Open V21 build N_2 pressure in MV3 for 30 seconds
- 643 Open V18
- Begin turbulent mixing steps repeat n times as determined by measured CO_2 yield
- o Pressurize MV3 + MV2 to 1450 mbar
- 646 o Open V17
- o 5 second expansion into MV1
- o Close V17

• If turbulent mixing steps don't achieve required P, N₂ is added non-turbulently until nec-

essary pressure (Dilution Target Pressure) is reached

- Close V17
- Close V8 Switch N₂ direction away from V21/MV3
- Close V21
- Open V20
- Pump out leftover N_2 from MV3 + MV2 for 2 minutes
- Close V20
- Open V17 expand diluted sample from MV1 through MV2 + MV3
- Measure sample final pressure
- Open V16

- Open circulation loop valves turn on diaphragm pump for 150 seconds
- Close circulation loop valves turn off diaphragm pump
- 662 Close V16
- Open V19 and begin TILDAS analysis
- 664

665 Break-seal samples from AutoCart mount

- 666 Written for samples from break-seal 1 (2) (3)
- 667
- Reset all sample data values to 0
- 669 Open V7
- Open V16
- Close V5 (4) (4)
- Close V6 (6) (5)
- User input close off flask via stopcocks
- Close both manual valves connecting AutoCart to cracker manifold and GasBench
- Open circulation loop and pump out for 60 seconds
- Open V8 Switch N₂ direction towards V21/MV3
- Close V20
- 678 Open V21
- Pressurize circulation loop to 1300 mbar
- Close V21
- Briefly (1-2 seconds) circulate dry N_2 through loop via diaphragm pump
- Close circulation loop
- Close V8 Switch N₂ direction away from V21/MV3
- Open V20
- Open manual valve connecting cracker manifold to AutoCart
- Open V4 (5) (6)

- Pump system down to <76 mtorr
- Raise liquid N_2 dewar and allow liquid N_2 trap to cool
- 689 Close V18
- Break break-seal containing sample
- Allow 10 minutes for cryo-pull trapping of sample CO₂
- 692 Open V18
- Pump over frozen sample to <75 mtorr
- Close V17
- 695 Close V18
- Close V16
- Close V4 (5) (6)
- Remove liquid N_2 dewar from trap
- Allow 6 minutes for sample to thaw
- Close manual valve connecting AutoCart to cracker manifold
- Open left flask stopcock to allow sample into flask (MV1)
- $_{702}$ Read thaw pressure and calculate μ mol CO₂ trapped and dilution requirements
- Open V17 expand sample into MV1 for 40 seconds
- Close V17
- 705 Close V20
- Open V8 Switch N₂ direction towards V21/MV3
- Open V21 build N_2 pressure in MV3 volume for 30 seconds
- 708 Open V18
- Begin turbulent mixing steps repeat n times as determined by measured CO_2 yield
- o Pressurize MV3 + MV2 to 1450 mbar
- 711 o Open V17
- o 5 second expansion into MV1
- 713 o Close V17

- If turbulent mixing steps don't achieve required P, N₂ is added non-turbulently until necessary pressure (Dilution Target Pressure) is reached
- Close V17
- \bullet Close V8 Switch N_2 direction away from V21/MV3
- Close V21
- Open V20
- Pump out leftover N_2 from MV3 + MV2 for 2 minutes
- Close V20
- Open V17 expand diluted sample from MV1 through MV2 + MV3
- Measure sample final pressure
- Open V16
- Open circulation loop valves turn on diaphragm pump for 150 seconds
- Close circulation loop valves turn off diaphragm pump
- Close V16
- Open V19 and begin TILDAS analysis

729 Atmospheric flask samples

- ⁷³⁰ Start assuming flask has been replaced inline on AutoCart and headspace evacuated
- **731** Reset all sample data values to 0
- 732 Open V7
- **→** Open V16
- Close manual valve connecting cracker manifold to AutoCart
- Close manual valve connecting GasBench system to AutoCart
- Open circulation loop and pump out for 60 seconds
- \bullet Open V8 Switch N₂ direction to V21/MV3
- Close V20
- 739 Open V21
- Pressurize circulation loop to 1300 mbar

- 741 Close V21
- Briefly (1-2 seconds) circulate dry N_2 through loop via diaphragm pump
- Close circulation loop
- Close V8 Switch N₂ direction away from V21/MV3
- Open V20 pump system down to <76 mtorr
- Close V16
- 747 Close V17
- Open flask stopcocks to open sample to V16-17 volume (MV1)
- Raise liquid N_2 dewar and allow liquid N_2 trap to cool
- Manually restrict flow from vacuum pump to AutoCart via twist valve
- 751 Open V17
- $_{752}~$ \bullet Pump flask through liquid N_2 trap to $<90~{\rm mtorr}$
- 753 Close V17
- Close V18
- **•** Remove liquid N_2 dewar from trap
- Allow 6 minutes for sample to thaw
- $_{757}~$ \bullet Read thaw pressure and calculate $\mu mol~CO_2$ trapped and dilution requirements
- Open left flask stopcock to allow sample into flask (MV1)
- Open V17 expand sample into flask 40 seconds
- Close V17
- Close V20
- Open V8 Switch N₂ direction towards V21/MV3
- Open V21 build N₂ pressure in MV3 volume for 30 seconds
- Open V18
- Begin turbulent mixing steps repeat n times as determined by measured CO_2 yield
- $_{766}$ o Pressurize MV3 + MV2 to 1450 mbar
- 767 o Open V17

- o 5 second expansion into MV1
- ⁷⁶⁹ o Close V17
- If turbulent mixing steps don't achieve required P, N_2 is added non-turbulently until necessary pressure (Dilution Target Pressure) is reached
- 772 Close V17
- Close V8 Switch N₂ direction away from V21/MV3
- Close V21
- 775 Open V20
- Pump out leftover N_2 from MV3 + MV2 for 2 minutes
- Close V20
- Open V17 expand diluted sample from MV1 through MV2 + MV3
- Measure sample final pressure
- 780 Open V16
- Open circulation loop valves turn on diaphragm pump for 150 seconds
- Close circulation loop valves turn off diaphragm pump
- 783 Close V16
- Open V19 and begin TILDAS analysis

785 Sequence summary

Each of the 3 sequence types handled by the LabVIEW code can be summarized by being 786 split into three parts. For each of them, the first part is preparation of the circulation loop 787 later used for mixing the diluted sample gas, the second part cryo-trapping and pumping 788 over of the sample gas, and the third part, which is identical for all sequences and sample 789 types, is the thawing, diluting, and mixing of the sample gas. Following is a summary of 790 the sample preparation sequences currently incorporated in the LabVIEW code. Information 791 regarding valve type, mixing volumes, and step by step breakdowns for each of the sequences 792 can be found in the supplementary file "AutoCart LabVIEW valves, volumes, and sample 793

⁷⁹⁴ sequences".

Preparing the circulation loop happens identically for all sample sequences. First, the 795 loop is manually evacuated then filled with high purity N_2 to 1100 mbar. The sequence is 796 then started, the first steps being the re-evacuation of the loop and subsequent pressurizing to 797 1300 mbar of the same high-purity N_2 . The inline diaphragm pump is then briefly activated 798 to cycle gas through the loop, moving any potential atmosphere leak during evacuation into a 799 more easily evacuated volume and recharging the loop with N_2 . The circulation loop is then 800 closed off on either end and allowed to slowly leak N_2 during the duration of the respective 801 sample preparation sequences. 802

The preparation sequences differ in the sample transfer, cryo-trapping, and post-trapping cleaning steps. Carbonate samples introduced via the GasBench II are manually sampled via the sampling needle and directed into the AutoCart upstream of MV1. The CO_2 passes over the flask via a bypass as the flask valves are closed at this point and is cryo-trapped in MV2. A transit time of 40 minutes is allotted for comprehensive transfer and collection of sample CO_2 from the sampling vials. MV2 is the vacuum pumped over the frozen sample gas to 75 mTorr before sample thaw.

Samples introduced via break-seals on the cracker manifold are cryo-pulled under static vacuum into MV2 for 10 minutes, passing over the flask via the same bypass. After 10 minutes, the full volume is vacuum pumped over the frozen sample gas to 75 mTorr before sample thaw.

Atmospheric samples introduced by connecting the sampling flask to the AutoCart as MV1 are handled initially by evacuating the MV1 head-space created. Once evacuated, MV1 is closed off at valves 16 and 17 and the flask valves opened. The sample is then restrictively vacuum pumped through the cryo-trap on MV2 to 90 mTorr. Once achieved, the sample is thawed in MV2. This process typically takes \sim 50 minutes. It is of suspicion that a small amount of atmospheric N₂ condenses in the cryo-trap during this process. This excess gas is accounted for by a small offset in the sample yield when calculating dilution specifications. For all sequences samples are allowed to thaw for 6 minutes in MV2 before the yield is measured. Measured yields are then used to calculate sample dilution requirements including amount of N_2 to be added and the number of turbulent mixing steps to be performed. The sample CO_2 is expanded into MV1 and signifies the beginning of the dilution and mixing process.

Sample dilution and initial mixing takes place in MV1 and is done by repeatedly pressur-826 izing MV3 and MV2 to 1450 mbar of N_2 and subsequently expanding into MV1. The large 827 pressure change combined with the flask's specific design to maximize turbulence promote 828 even sample dilution. After pressure equilibration, MV1 is isolated and MV3 and MV2 re-820 pressurized to 1450 mbar. These steps are repeated n times as determined by measured sam-830 ple yield (typically 4-5). n is calculated according to the curve $n = 3e^{-6}x^2 + 0.0031x + 0.0261$, 831 where x is the the target dilution pressure. n need not strictly be rounded to a whole number 832 but can be a decimal under the condition that the fraction of n multiplied by 1450 mbar 833 is greater than the pressure already contained in MV1 after the previous expansion. For 834 example, when n = 4.872, 0.872×1450 mbar = 1264.4 mbar. Typical MV1 pressure af-835 ter 4 expansions is \sim 765 mbar, so an expansion of 1264.4 mbar would occur to complete 836 sample dilution. In the event that a partial expansion cannot occur (e.g. when n = 4.123, 837 0.123×1450 mbar = 178.4 mbar, less than MV1 pressure), n is rounded down to the near-838 est whole number and N_2 is then non-turbulently added to MV1 via valve V21 until the 839 calculated dilution pressure is reached. 840

The direction of N_2 flow from MV3 through MV2 and into MV1, combined with the earlier expansion of the sample CO₂, concentrates the sample in MV1. This causes an excess of N_2 in MV2 and MV3 at the end of the dilution process. To overcome this, dilution requirements are calculated with respect to MV1 (586mL) rather than the combined volume of MV1,2,3 (687 mL). After the dilution process is complete, V17 closes, isolating MV1, and MV2 and MV3 are evacuated. MV1 is then expanded to MV1,2,3, thereby achieving accurate sample dilution throughout the entirety of the mixing volumes where true dilution 848 pressure and accuracy are recorded.

Further mixing occurs as the circulation loop is opened to the full mixing volume and the diaphragm pump activated. The diaphragm pump circulates at 750mL/min for 2.5 minutes, allowing sample gas to circulate through the entirety of the cart ~3 times. After 2.5 minutes of circulating the diaphragm pump is switched off, the loop is closed, and sample preparation is considered complete.

⁸⁵⁴ 4. δ^{13} C data

Table 4: δ^{13} C data for CO₂ evolved by phosphoric acid digestion of interlaboratory carbonate standards at 70°C, measured by TILDAS. δ^{13} C_{meas} values for individual aliquots are corrected to VPDB using the IAEA603 (CaCO₃) and NBS18 (CaCO₃) values recommended by the IAEA (https://nucleus.iaea.org/sites/ReferenceMaterials/Pages/Stable-Isotopes.aspx). See Table 1 for corresponding oxygen isotope data. Fitted coefficients (eq. 3, main text) are $A_{636} = 42, b_{636} = -340, b_{626} = 1.03$

Sample	$\delta^{13}C$	$\delta^{13} C_{corr}{}^a$
IAEA603-4	43.13	2.39
IAEA603-5	43.24	2.47
IAEA603-6	43.33	2.54
IAEA603-7	43.15	2.41
IAEA603-9	43.30	2.51
IAEA603-10	43.14	2.34
Average	43.21	2.44
$\pm 1 \sigma$	0.09	0.08
St. \mathbf{err}^b	0.04	0.03
NBS18-8	35.76	-4.78
NBS18-12	35.75	-4.82
NBS18-13	35.22	-5.32
NBS18-14	35.56	-5.00
Average	35.57	-4.98
$\pm 1 \sigma$	0.25	0.25
St. \mathbf{err}^b	0.13	0.12
NBS19-5	42.56	1.82
NBS19-6	42.68	1.96
NBS19-7	42.76	1.99
NBS19-11	42.67	1.89
NBS19-12	42.57	1.82
NBS19-13	42.60	1.84
NBS19-14	42.60	1.86
Average	42.63	1.88
$\pm 1 \sigma$	0.07	0.07
St. \mathbf{err}^b	0.03	0.03

^{*a*} Corrected using eq. (3), but for δ^{13} C; ^{*b*} Standard error = $1\sigma/\sqrt{n}$