¹ Saturation excess overland flow accelerates the spread of a ² generalist soil-borne pathogen

Jean V. Wilkening^a*, Enrique Cardillo^b, Enrique Abad^c, & Sally E. Thompson^{a,d}

⁴ ^a Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA,
 ⁵ 94720

⁶ ^b Centro de Investigaciones Científicas y Tecnológicas de Extremadura, Mérida, Badajoz 06800, Spain

 $_{7}~~^{c}$ Departamento de Física Aplicada, Centro Universitaro de Mérida and Institute de Computación

⁸ Científica Avanzada (ICCAEx), Universidad de Extremadura, Badajoz 06800, Mérida, Spain

⁹ ^d Department of Civil, Environmental, and Mining Engineering, University of Western Australia, Perth,

¹⁰ Western Australia 6009 Australia

¹¹ * Corresponding author: jvwilkening@berkeley.edu

12 Abstract

Plant pathogens are a major agent of disturbance in ecosystems worldwide. Disturbance by disease 13 can alter the hydrological function of affected ecosystems. However, many plant pathogens are also 14 sensitive to soil moisture and can be propagated by the transport of infectious tissue or reproductive 15 structures in surface flow, so that hydrological processes can drive pathogen infection. These feed-16 forward and feed-back processes set up the possibility of complex ecohydrological dynamics relating 17 plant disease and the water cycle. Here the generalist root pathogen *Phytophthora cinnamomi* (Pc) is 18 used as a case study to quantify the potential importance of hydrological dynamics on disease spread. 19 A numerical model of Pc growth and dispersal is used to investigate the importance of Pc transport 20 in intermittent surface runoff compared to more continuous rhizosphere Pc spread via diffusion-like 21 hyphal growth. We apply and test this model at two well-studied sites of Pc infection with contrasting 22 hydrology: a Banksia woodland in Western Australia where deep sandy soils inhibit surface runoff, 23 and an Erica heathland in the Spanish Central Plateau where relatively shallow soils on steep slopes 24 generate intermittent overland flow. Predictions of Pc spatial spread at the Spanish site improve when 25 Pc transport in runoff is incorporated into the model, while no such improvements arise at the Australian 26 site. Omitting transport in overland flow from model predictions at the Spanish site results in an average 27 under-prediction of final pathogen patch areas by 350 m^2 for each year of growth between observations, 28

highlighting the importance of surface hydrological transport to Pc growth and spread. Hydrological
theories that predict the occurrence of overland flow based on soil, topographic, and climate properties
can be used to better incorporate this transport pathway and the influence of local hydrological processes
in existing Pc risk assessment methods.

33 Keywords: Phytophthora cinnamomi, plant pathogens, overland runoff, spatial model

³⁴ 1 Introduction

Plant pathogens can affect forest composition, structure, and function, but the dynamics of these dis-35 turbances are generally less well understood than compared to those of abiotic disturbances (Flower 36 et al., 2015). Vegetation infection and mortality caused by pathogens can alter forest water balance 37 (Batini et al., 1980; Schofield et al., 1989, e.g.), and there are also potential feed-forward mechanisms by 38 which hydrology can directly impact pathogens. For example, the growth and spread rates of many soil 39 pathogens vary with water potential (Crist et al., 1975; Ferrin et al., 2006; Colhoun, 1973; Malajczuk 40 et al., 1979; Dickenson et al., 1981; Madar et al., 1989; Cook et al., 1972; Boyer, 1995; Schober et al., 41 1999; Suleman et al., 2001; Desprez-Loustau et al., 2006), meaning that pathogen infection can influ-42 ence, while also being influenced by, root zone water dynamics. The potential for pathogen propagules 43 and infectious material to be transported by surface flow adds scope for further complex hydrological -44 pathogen feedback processes. In previous work, relationships between soil water potential and pathogen 45 dynamics were used to relate regional hydroclimatic variations to pathogen risk (Thompson et al., 2013; 46 Thompson et al., 2014). The influence of hydrological transport processes on more localized pathogen 47 spread, however, remain largely unexplored. Yet better understanding of this feed-forward relationship between hydrology and disease disturbance is necessary for understanding coupled forest - pathogen -49 water systems and the implications for disturbance and ecosystem function. 50

In this study, we consider the soil-borne pathogen Phytophthora cinnamomi (Pc) as a case study of 51 hydrological transport mechanisms for disease spread. Pc is one of the world's most destructive plant 52 pathogens (Burgess et al., 2017), posing a global threat to natural and agricultural systems (Lowe et al., 53 2000) that is expected to worsen as climates warm (Thompson et al., 2014; Chakraborty et al., 2000; 54 Bergot et al., 2004). Pc forms necrotic lesions on roots and stems of infected host plants. Severe infection 55 results in the loss of the majority of the fine root system in susceptible plants, inhibiting water uptake 56 and causing mortality. Pc is a generalist pathogen affecting a huge array of plant species. For example, 57 in south-west Western Australia, some 40% of the more than 5000 endemic plant species are susceptible 58 to Pc (Shearer, CE Crane, et al., 2004). In Europe, Pc is decimating oak woodlands of Quercus ilex 59 and Quercus suber (Brasier, 1996) and the chestnut forests (Vettraino et al., 2005). Pc is persistent in 60 the environment and spreads rapidly through infected soil and water. Within the soil, it can spread 61

via mycelial growth and root-root contact, and through the production of oospores, or motile zoocytes
(Hardham et al., 2018). Under unfavorable conditions such as drought, Pc forms resilient chlamydospores
that can persist and remain viable for months to years (Jung, Colquhoun, et al., 2013; Hwang et al.,
1978). Long-distance spread occurs through the mobilization of infected roots, soil, or fungal propagules
by natural and anthropogenic processes (Ristaino et al., 2000).

In natural ecosystems, management strategies to address Pc infection involve prioritizing areas for 67 quarantine, monitoring, and treatment. A component of this prioritization involves making assessments 68 about the likely pathways and rates of Pc establishment and spread in a given landscape (e.g. National 69 Heritage Trust and Environment Australia, 2001; Commonwealth of Australia, 2014). Quantitative mod-70 eling provides an avenue to predict the importance of these pathways in a given setting. The growth 71 dynamics of Pc are strongly coupled to environmental conditions (Thompson et al., 2013). Mycelial 72 growth is inhibited under low water potentials (i.e. dry soil), declines with falling temperatures (Mala-73 jczuk et al., 1979), and the pathogen is killed by protracted sub-freezing conditions (Marçais et al., 74 1996). In previous work, we used these environmental dependencies to predict the likelihood of Pc in-75 fection across soil type and climate conditions under steady state conditions (Thompson et al., 2013; 76 Thompson et al., 2014). Here, we extend the modeling framework to consider the spatial dynamics of 77 Pc spread and its dependence on transport processes in the environment, with a particular focus on how 78 varying local hydrology impacts these processes. 79

⁸⁰ We address the following research questions:

- Can the rate of Pc spread from disease foci within susceptible plant communities be predicted as a function of soil, plant and climate properties?, and
- How do different mechanisms of pathogen mobility contribute to observed patterns of Pc infection
 spread?

We consider multiple potential transport pathways that could contribute to Pc spread around disease 85 foci. Mycelial root pathogens, including Pc, spread locally via growth along the host root system, a 86 process that is well-represented via diffusion in soil pathogen models (Cunniffe et al., 2008; Park et 87 al., 2001). Pc also spreads locally due to zoocyte motility. In practice, the maximum observed scales 88 of zoocyte movement and of mycelial extension are comparable, on the order of millimeters per day 89 (Benjamin et al., 1982; Malajczuk et al., 1979). Since observations of disease patches are typically 90 coarse in space and time (e.g. observed on monthly timescales or longer, and on spatial scales of 91 one to tens of meters) (Dawson et al., 1985; Cardillo et al., 2018; Wilson et al., 2012), it is unlikely 92 that the relative contribution of motile zoocytes versus mycelial expansion to this local growth can be 93 determined, and thus will be considered jointly represented by the "diffusive" spread. However, natural 94 (rather than anthropogenic) transport of Pc may not be limited to the rhizosphere. Observations of Pc 95

spread persistently reveal features - such as faster downslope than upslope spread, or spatial associations 96 between Pc infection and surface flow channels - which are consistent with Pc transport in surface flow 97 (see Table 1 for details). Although repeated recovery of Pc material in surface flow, subsurface flows 98 and drainage waters (Thomson et al., 1974; Kliejunas et al., 1976; Kinal et al., 1993; Reeser et al., 99 2011) supports the feasibility of this transport mechanism, its importance is not well understood. Pc 100 will not grow in permanently saturated conditions (Malajczuk et al., 1979), but water flow outside such 101 areas tends to be episodic and infrequent - associated with intense storms (Horton, 1933) or transient 102 saturation of soils (Dunne et al., 1970). Although the intermittent nature of surface runoff might suggest 103 it is unimportant for Pc spread, water could readily transport Pc over tens to hundreds of meters, 104 suggesting that its role should be explored. 105

To better understand the drivers of Pc spread at the hillslope scale, we extend the Pc growth model 106 presented in Thompson et al. (2013) and Thompson et al. (2014) to account for spatial spread of Pc. We 107 include diffusion-like local spread and passive transport in surface water. The model is calibrated and 108 tested at two contrasting sites in Western Australia and Spain. The spatial spread of Pc infection at both 109 sites has been intensively monitored, displaying quite different spatial patterns (Figure 1). We calibrate 110 the model twice at each site, including and omitting overland flow as a Pc transport mechanism, and 111 compare the model performance in each case. The degradation in model performance when overland 112 flow is omitted (in the "diffusion optimized setup") provides a metric of whether including overland flow 113 is *necessary* to describe observed patterns of spread. The fully calibrated models (including the overland 114 flow terms) are then run without overland flow. The resulting predictions of the spatial patterns provide 115 an estimate of the relative importance of "diffusive" dispersal versus transport in surface runoff for 116 disease spread at each site. The model does not include transport in *subsurface* water flows: subsurface 117 lateral flow is negligible above the water table at the Western Australian site (Xu et al., 2003; Salama 118 et al., 2005), and while the saturated hydraulic conductivity of the soils at the Spanish site is reasonably 119 high (4.47 cm/min (Gómez-Paccard et al., 2015)), the soil moisture content of the soils is generally low 120 (see Section H), greatly reducing soil conductivity and limiting lateral transport in unsaturated soils. In 121 general, however, such subsurface transport is feasible and should be considered in sites where significant 122 lateral subsurface flows occur (Shea et al., 1983; Kinal et al., 1993). 123

$_{124}$ 2 Model

The mean-field spatial dynamics of soil-borne pathogens can be modeled with reaction-diffusion type equations (Park et al., 2001; Cunniffe et al., 2008), specifying the growth rate of pathogen biomass at a point, and its diffusivity (Andow et al., 1990; Okubo et al., 2013). The strong dependence of Pc mycelial growth and survival on soil moisture and temperature means that both growth and diffusion terms in

the spread model are functions of local environmental conditions. The soil environmental conditions 129 must therefore also be modeled or prescribed based on climate observations and local soil properties. To 130 add transport via overland flow to this model involves specifying a soil water excess available to produce 131 runoff, a routing model to define the direction of flow, and a representation of mobilization, mixing 132 and deposition of propagules in the surface water flow. The model thus has three components: a soil 133 water balance model (detailed in Section 2.1), a runoff routing and propagule transport model (detailed 134 in Section 2.2), and the pathogen growth and spread model (detailed in Section 2.3). The model is 135 implemented on a two-dimensional square grid, where cells take dimensions of Δx and Δy . Table 2 136 summarizes all the variables and parameters of the model components, and a schematic showing the 137 relation between components is shown in Figure 6. 138

¹³⁹ 2.1 Soil water balance

Soil water is represented with a mass balance model (Figure 6A) within a homogeneous vertical domain z_r [mm], taken here as either the depth of the host plants' root zone or the depth to an impermeable soil layer, whichever is smaller. The mean relative soil water content s [-] in this zone is given by $s = V_{water}/(nz_r)$, where V_{water} is the volume of water per unit area [mm] and n is the porosity of the soil [-]. The mass balance for the soil moisture is given by:

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial t} = \frac{f\left(P\left(t\right), s\left(t\right), K_{sat}\right) - g\left(ET_{max}\left(t\right), s\left(t\right)\right) - L\left(s\left(t\right), K_{sat}\right)}{n \times z_{r}} \tag{1}$$

where f() represents the rate of infiltration, g() the rate of evapotranspiration, and L() the rate of leakage at the bottom boundary. This mass balance is implemented independently for each spatial location. Lateral transport of water in the soil is assumed negligible.

The rate of infiltration is defined as a function of the rainfall rate P [mm/day], the soil moisture, and the soil infiltration capacity, which we approximate with its saturated hydraulic conductivity K_{sat} [mm/day], as follows:

$$f(P(t), s(t), K_{sat}) = \begin{cases} P & P < K_{sat} \text{ and } s < 1\\ K_{sat} & P \ge K_{sat} \text{ and } s < 1\\ 0 & s = 1 \end{cases}$$
(2)

¹⁵¹ Soil moisture losses via evapotranspiration are described by a piece-wise function of soil moisture, ¹⁵² following the approach of Porporato et al. (2004):

$$g(s) = \begin{cases} 0 & s \le s_{wp} \\ ET_{max} \frac{s - s_{wp}}{s^* - s_{wp}} & s_{wp} < s < s^* \\ ET_{max} & s^* \le s, \end{cases}$$
(3)

where s_{wp} is the soil moisture wilting point (i.e. plants stop transpiring), and s^* is the point of complete 153 stomatal opening. Equation 3 states that evaporative losses are negligible below the wilting point, 154 linearly increase with increasing soil moisture between the wilting point and the point of complete 155 stomatal opening, and proceed at a maximum rate ET_{max} in wetter soils. We make the additional 156 simplifications of: (i) prescribing s_{wp} , s^* , and n as a function of soil type, (ii) estimating ET_{max} from 157 weather data (see Section 3.1), and (iii) neglecting any possible relationship between Pc infection, plant 158 health, and evaporation dynamics. Leakage [mm/day] at the bottom boundary to deeper soils follows 159 Porporato et al. (2004): 160

$$L(s(t), K_{sat}) = K_{sat}s^{2b+3} \tag{4}$$

where b [-] is the exponent of the soil-water retention curve for the corresponding soil type from Clapp et al. (1978). For cases where the bottom boundary of the modeled soil domain is impervious, the leakage term is set to zero.

The water balance connects to the other two model components via the value of the soil moisture s, which is used as input to the pathogen biomass growth model (see Section 2.3 and Figure 6C), and by the production of overland flow q = P - f [mm/day], which is produced when soils are saturated (s = 1) or when precipitation occurs a rate exceeding the infiltration capacity of the soil. The runoff model (Figure 6B and Figure 3), described in more detail in Section 2.2, operates on the storm-averaged rate of flow production, (q_{storm} , mm day⁻¹):

$$q_{storm} = \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{t=t_{storm}} q(t)\Delta t}{t_{storm}}$$
(5)

where t_{storm} [day] is the length of the storm event and Δt is the time step resolution of the model [day]. The duration of a storm event is considered to be the cumulative time of consecutive non-zero precipitation records, up to a maximum of 24 hours, after which it is treated as two discrete events.

173 2.2 Surface flow routing and propagule transport

This component of the model is new to this study, and therefore explained in detail below. Figure 3 outlines several of the key components of the transport model.

176 2.2.1 Surface flow routing

Storm averaged runoff (q_{storm}) is routed along the land surface using the D- ∞ method (Tarboton, 1997) which specifies the fraction $(\phi_{i,j})$ of flow in any upslope location (indexed as *i*) that passes through any specified downslope cell (indexed as *j*) (see Figure 3A). We approximate the dynamic processes of runoff production, routing and their variation throughout a storm with a single, storm-averaged rate of flow production, and steady conditions assumed for runoff depths (h), bulk velocity (u) and transport properties. With these assumptions, $\phi_{i,j}$ and the average rate of runoff production (q_{storm}) fully specify the runoff routing.

184 2.2.2 Pathogen transport

We model the transport of Pc in the flow using a simple advection equation following the mean water flow path. The flow path is not necessarily aligned with the topographic grid, and has its own coordinate, ℓ [m] (Figure 6B). For flow along this path, the concentration of pathogen biomass (C, [g m⁻³]) evolves as:

$$\frac{\partial(hC)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial q_c C}{\partial \ell} + h(Source - Sink) \tag{6}$$

where q_c is the water flux per unit width of the flowpath $[m^2 day^{-1}]$, and *Source* and *Sink* denote the rates of concentration increase due to Pc biomass being introduced to the flow from soil beneath the flowpath, and decrease due to its deposition. We assume that deposition follows first order linear kinetics, such that $Sink(\ell, t) = \beta C(\ell, t)$, where $\beta [day^{-1}]$ is an unknown rate constant.

With these linear kinetics, and recognizing that the flow is independent of the Pc concentration, we can separately track the fate of biomass concentrations C_i originating from each upslope source cell *i* (Figure 3B). For an individual source cell, the concentration evolves along the downslope flowpath as:

$$\frac{\partial (hC_i)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial (q_c C_i)}{\partial \ell} - h\beta C_i \tag{7}$$

Written in this way, the *Source* terms in Equation 6 are translated into the boundary conditions on C_i at location *i*. To simplify Equation 7, we apply the steady-state approximation referred to in Section 2.2.1, and approximate the flow depth and velocity along ℓ between cells *i* and *j*, with their spatial averages \overline{h} [m] and $\overline{u_{i,j}}$ [m day⁻¹], yielding:

$$0 = -\overline{u_{i,j}}\frac{\partial C_i}{\partial \ell} - \beta C_i \tag{8}$$

This differential equation can be solved to identify the concentration of pathogen biomass in the runoff at location j, located downstream along the flowpath ℓ from source location i, that can be attributed to $_{202}$ the mobilization of biomass from source *i*:

$$C_i(\ell) = C_{io} e^{\frac{-\beta(\ell_j - \ell_i)}{u_{i,j}}} \tag{9}$$

where C_{io} is the boundary condition for this concentration at cell *i* and represents the storm-averaged biomass concentration generated by mobilizing Pc into the flow at that site. The *Sink* term at location *j* associated with biomass originating from *i* is given by multiplying Equation 9 by the rate constant β , and can be used to compute the total transport of biomass from source location *i* to sink location *j* during the storm:

$$M_{i,j}^{+} = \left(\beta C_{io} e^{\frac{-\beta(\ell_j - \ell_i)}{\overline{u_{i,j}}}}\right) \times \left(\frac{\Delta \ell}{\overline{u_j}}\right) \times \left(q_{storm} A_i \phi_{i,j} t_{storm}\right)$$
(10)

In this expression, A_i [m²] is the upslope contributing area which generates runoff that passes through cell i, $\overline{u_j}$ [m s⁻¹] is the storm-averaged runoff velocity at cell j, and $\Delta \ell$ is the travel path length passing through location j (and can be approximated by the grid size Δx). Equation 10 can be interpreted as the product of the rate of biomass deposition (first term), the average residence time of water in cell j(second term), and the total volume of runoff that is routed from i to j over the course of the storm (third term).

214 2.2.3 Hydraulic assumptions

To implement Equations 9 and 10, expressions are needed for the distance $\ell_j - \ell_i$, as well as the stormaveraged flow velocity and depth terms. We approximate $\ell_j - \ell_i$ with the Euclidean distance between the points *i* and *j* ($\chi_{i,j}$). We use Manning's Equation to describe the flow behavior at a point as:

$$u = Kh^{\frac{2}{3}} \tag{11}$$

Where $K \,[\mathrm{m}^{\frac{1}{3}} \,\mathrm{day}^{-1}]$ is a kinematic resistance factor (Brutsaert et al., 2005), given by \sqrt{slope}/ν where $\nu \,[\mathrm{day} \,\mathrm{m}^{-\frac{1}{3}}]$ parameterizes the resistance of the land surface to flow. For the one dimensional flows we consider, flow velocity $u = q_c/h$, and for steady conditions, q_c depends on the storm averaged rate of runoff production q_{storm} and the upslope contributing area A:

$$h = \left(\frac{q_c}{K}\right)^{3/5} = \left(\frac{q_{storm}A}{\Delta yK}\right)^{3/5} \tag{12}$$

where Δy is again used to approximate the flowpath width. With Equation 11, this expression for hgives the velocity as:

$$u = K \left(\frac{q_{storm}A}{K\Delta y}\right)^{\frac{2}{5}} \tag{13}$$

²²⁴ u can then be used in Equation 10. However, with this substitution, Equation 10 contains two unknown ²²⁵ parameters: the linear rate constant β , and the land surface roughness ν (forming, with the land surface ²²⁶ slope, the kinematic resistance term K).

To facilitate calibration of the model, it is helpful to lump these parameters together in a single term within Equation 10, which we express as α :

$$M_{i,j}^{+} = \frac{1}{v_j} \alpha C_{io} e^{\frac{-\alpha \chi_{i,j}}{v_{i,j}}} \Delta x q_{storm} A_i \phi_{i,j} t_{storm}$$
(14)

Where $\alpha = \nu^{\frac{3}{5}}\beta$, and $\nu [m^{\frac{4}{5}} day^{-\frac{2}{5}}]$ represents all terms (other than ν) in Equation 13. ν can be defined from topography and storm properties. It is computed at cells i and j (giving ν_i and ν_j) and averaged to give $\nu_{i,j}$, an approximation to its spatial mean along the flow path between the cells.

When Equation 14 is summed over all upslope source cells, it gives the total deposition at a cell jwith n upslope source cells as a result of an overland runoff event:

$$M_j^+ = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{v_j} \alpha C_{io} e^{\frac{-\alpha \chi_{i,j}}{v_{i,j}}} \Delta x q_{storm} A_i \phi_{i,j} t_{storm}$$
(15)

234 2.2.4 Concentration boundary condition due to Pc mobilization at a cell

The only remaining unknown in the transport model is the boundary condition at each source cell i, C_{io} . Source cells are those where Pc biomass areal density B_i [g m⁻²] is sufficiently high to cause the host to appear 'infected' (see Section 2.4). At these cells, we assume that each runoff generating event will mobilize all Pc biomass within an "effective depth of interaction" (δ , mm) (Ahuja et al., 1981), measured downward from the soil surface. This means that there is a specified total biomass M_i^- [g], that will be transported out from each source cell:

$$M_i^- = \frac{B_i \delta \Delta x \Delta y}{z_r} \tag{16}$$

Mass balance requires that $M_i^- = \sum_{j=1}^n M_{i,j}^+$ - that is, all biomass originating from *i* that is deposited to *n* downslope cells must sum to the mobilized biomass from *i*. By equating this sum (taken from Equation 15) to the right hand side of Equation 16, it is possible to solve for C_{io} , providing that all biomass is deposited along the modeled flowpath ℓ . The special case where flowpaths extend outside the model domain is addressed in the Appendix A.

With C_{io} constrained by the mass balance, Equation 14 can be used to find $M_{i,j}^+$ for each pair of source-sink cells. Runoff events can result in mobilization of biomass from an infected cell, superposed on deposition of biomass into the same cell from infected cells upslope. The net change in biomass density as a result of overland transport B_{runoff} [g m⁻²] is given by combining Equation 15 describing the sink behavior of the cell and Equation 16 describing the source behavior of the cell:

$$B_{runoff} = \frac{M_j^+ - M_i^-}{\Delta x \Delta y} \tag{17}$$

where here the use of both labels j and i emphasizes the potentially dual role any site can have as both a source and sink of Pc.

²⁵³ 2.3 Pathogen growth and diffusive spread

Pathogen biomass density (on a per-area basis, B, $[g/m^2]$) grows following a logistic-type growth equation. 254 The growth rate r varies with soil moisture s and temperature (T_{soil}) , such that $r = r_{max} (T_{soil}) \times m (s)$. 255 Here, $r_{max}(T_{soil})$ represents the growth rate of the mycelia under ambient temperature and optimal soil 256 moisture conditions. r_{max} varies linearly with temperature as $r_{max}(T_{soil}) = r_0 + \Delta r T_{soil}$ (Shearer, Shea, 257 et al., 1987), where r_0 is the growth rate in optimal soil moisture conditions at $T = 0^{\circ}$ C and $\Delta r [^{\circ}C^{-1}]$ 258 is a fitted parameter describing the temperature dependence of pathogen growth. The function m(s)259 represents the effect of changing soil moisture on pathogen growth rates, which are impaired at very high 260 and very low soil water potentials (Malajczuk et al., 1979). From the soil water potentials, we find the 261 relative water content s using the Brooks-Corey water retention curve (Brooks et al., 1964), and follow 262 Thompson et al. (2013) in approximating m(s) with a linear piecewise function, shown in Appendix B. 263 We account for a constant (time and environmentally independent) mortality rate for mycelia d [days⁻¹]. 264 The pathogen growth model at a point is given by: 265

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial t}_{growth} = \left[r_{max}\left(T_{soil}\right)m\left(s\right) - d\right]B\left(1 - \frac{B}{B_{max}}\right),\tag{18}$$

where B_{max} represents the maximum biomass density that can be sustained at a point, assumed to be constant. Note that the model omits Pc mortality due to freezing (Marçais et al., 1996) as a simplifying measure given the warm temperatures experienced at the case study sites explored here.

269 2.4 Pathogen spread

Pc spread due to the spatial growth of mycelium and dispersal of propagules within the soil is modeled continuously in time and approximated with a diffusive process. The diffusion coefficient is isotropic and is scaled down from its maximum $(D_{max}, m^2 day^{-1})$ by the soil moisture function m(s) to ensure that soil moisture conditions that inhibit Pc growth also inhibit Pc spread. Pathogen transport in overland flow appears as the addition of biomass B_{runoff} (Equation 17), which is non-zero only at the end of a runoff-producing storm event. The biomass model is then given by:

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = \left[r_{max}(T_{soil})m(s) - d\right] B\left(1 - \frac{B}{B_{max}}\right) + D_{max}m(s) \bigtriangledown^2 B + B_{runoff}$$
(19)

Note that the dynamics of the model are independent of the numerical value of B_{max} . We define the threshold for host 'infection' as $0.5B_{max}$ (also independently of B_{max}), and arbitrarily set B_{max} to 1 g m⁻².

²⁷⁹ **3** Model parameterization and tests

280 3.1 Site descriptions

Two Pc infections, one in a *Banksia* woodland growing on the deep sands of the Swan Coastal Plain in Western Australia, and one in an *Erica* heathland located in the Sierra de las Villuercas mountain range in eastern Extremadura, Spain, form case studies where we test whether the model can represent the spatial spread of Pc and the potential role overland flow plays in this spread.

285 3.1.1 Western Australian site

The Western Australian case study site is a Pc infection established before 1950 in Banksia woodlands 286 growing on the flat, deep sands of the Swan Coastal Plain, north of the city of Perth in Western Australia. 287 Wilson et al. (2012) mapped (and ground-truthed) the spatial progression of Pc infection at the site from 288 1953 - 2008 from aerial imagery, providing the spatial dataset we analyzed. The site has a warm Mediter-289 ranean climate with 725 mm/year precipitation, average summer high temperatures of 32 °C and average 290 winter low temperatures of 9 °C. Climate data (precipitation and temperature) were obtained from the 291 nearby Pearce RAAF Base weather station (Station ID 009053, http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/data/). 292 Daily maximum and minimum temperatures were used to compute potential evaporation via Hargreaves' 293 equation (Hargreaves et al., 1985). Climate gap filling used average temperature data (for the given day 294 of year in all other years), and a satellite weather product (CHIRPS, version 2.0 final) for daily rain-295 fall (Funk et al., 2015). A 5×5 m, LiDAR-derived DEM for the site (Geoscience Australia, 2015) was 296 interpolated onto a 1 m grid. 297

²⁹⁸ 3.1.2 Spanish site

The Spanish case study site is a Pc infection established before 1981 in the Erica heathlands of the the 299 Montes de Toledo on the Spanish central plateau. The fairly shallow, poorly drained quartzitic ultisols, 300 and deeply incised landscape (slope gradients of 5% - 50%) contrasts sharply with the Western Australian 301 site. Cardillo et al. (2018) mapped disease foci and their expansion from aerial photography at this site 302 to determine spatial progression of disease from 1981 -2012, providing the spatial dataset we used for this 303 site. This site also has a warm Mediterranean climate, with an average of 855 mm/year precipitation, 304 average summer high temperatures of temperatures of 32 °C and average winter low temperatures of 4 305 °C based on climate data obtained from the nearby Cañamero weather station (Station ID 4334, Agencia 306

Estatal de Meteorología AEMET). The same ET estimation and climate record gap filling procedures were employed as in Western Australia. A 5×5 m DEM (PNOA-MDT05 2010 CC-BY 4.0 ign.es) for the site was obtained from the Instituto Geográfico Nacional (IGN, Spain) and interpolated onto a 1 m grid.

311 3.2 Selection of disease patches to model

We identified isolated disease patches that did not initially intersect roads, bare patches or other barriers 312 to Pc dispersal. Where patch growth caused the patch to intersect channels or other unvegetated areas, 313 we treated those features as boundaries, forcing Pc biomass to remain zero on the other side of the 314 boundaries. The locations of these features was identified using the D- ∞ algorithm to map upslope con-315 tributing area, and corroborated against aerial imagery. With these constraints, eight patches (patches 316 a-h) were selected from Warbrook Road in Western Australia. Patch sizes were measured in 1987 and 317 1992, defining a 5-year time domain for running the model. Seven patches (patches 1-7) were selected 318 from the Spanish observations, three (patches 1-3) measured between 1981 and 1984, and four (patches 319 4-7) between 2010 and 2012. 320

321 3.3 Numerical implementation

Within each observed disease patch the model was initialized with $B = B_{max}$. Soil moisture was 322 initialized using a one year spin-up starting at the end of the dry season, when it was assumed $s = s_{wp}$. 323 The model was implemented on a two-dimensional spatial grid (1m x 1m) that aligned with the DEM grid, 324 using a 1 day time step (we confirmed that results were stable to changes in the time and space grids). A 325 centered difference scheme was used for the second-order spatial terms from the diffusion equation. An 326 explicit (forward) scheme was used for time stepping. Open flux boundary conditions were assumed, with 327 one-sided difference schemes used at the spatial boundaries. The D- ∞ algorithm was implemented using 328 tools developed by Eddins (2018). Model output, consisting of the Pc biomass density (B(x, y, t)) was 329 binarized at a threshold of $B = 0.5B_{max}$, to allow comparison to mapped infection boundaries (Figure 330 6D). 331

332 3.4 Parameterization

In Western Australia, we modeled the 1.5 m deep root zone containing most *Banksia* roots (Hill et al., 1994), with a freely-draining bottom boundary (accounting for the, on average, 8 m of unsaturated sand overlying the water table at this site). For the Spanish sites, we modeled the 0.7 m deep soil with an impermeable bottom boundary representing a low permeability B horizon (Espejo, 1987). Hydraulic parameters for the soils were taken from Laio et al. (2001) using the "sand" for Western Australia and "sandy loam" for Spain. These soils types were used to determine the effective depth of interaction (δ) following Ahuja et al. (1981).

The fractional pathogen growth rate at 0 °C (r_0) was set to -0.171 day^{-1} (Malajczuk et al., 1979). Given the relatively shallow soil depths, we approximated T_{soil} with T_{air} at all times for both sites. The moisture dependence of the growth (m(s)) was estimated as a piecewise function based on experimental data from Malajczuk et al. (1979) (Appendix B.1).

344 3.5 Calibration

Four model parameters needed to be calibrated to run the model: the mortality rate (d), diffusion 345 coefficient (D_{max}) , temperature dependence of growth (Δr) , and the overland transport parameter (α) . 346 We estimated plausible ranges for the pathogen mortality rate (d) from Hwang et al. (1978), of growth 347 temperature dependence Δr from Thompson et al. (2014) and Malajczuk et al. (1979), of maximum 348 diffusion coefficient D_{max} from patch growth rates in the upslope direction (assumed to be due to purely 349 diffusive transport). The combined pathogen sink rate constant and land surface roughness parameter α 350 is poorly constrained a priori, so several orders of magnitude of α values were screened to find a plausible 351 range for calibration. 352

353 3.5.1 Calibration metrics

Model calibration aimed to maximize agreement between mapped observations of the spatial extent of Pc infection and predictions for each patch, focusing on four features: the orientation of the disease patch, its eccentricity, the length of its major axis, and an areal growth increment. Differences between these features and observations were computed, and standardized to lie between 0 (complete disagreement) and 1 (perfect agreement). Fitting, differencing and standardization of the features are described in the Appendix C. The four standardized scores were averaged to give a composite score for each modeled patch.

³⁶¹ 3.5.2 Calibration and Model Experiments

We calibrated the growth and diffusion related parameters Δr , D_{max} , and d together for each site (i.e. these parameters were common to every patch at the site).

We did this for two cases: one in which overland flow transport of Pc was omitted (the "diffusion optimized" case), and one in which overland flow transport of Pc was included. In the diffusion optimized case, we ran the calibration in two stages - firstly sampling parameter values from a coarse factorial grid spanning the range of plausible values, and secondly sampling over a finer range of values identified after the first step. No constraints were placed upon the parameter values, and the refinement process was continued until an optimum value of each parameter was found, such that changing the value of any parameter while holding the others constant resulted in a decrease in the mean composite score. Combinations of site-wide parameters were tested and the scores averaged for a range of α values. Once the values of these site-wide parameters were determined, we then further calibrated α individually for each patch to account for differences in surface cover across the landscape.

We used the two versions of the calibrated model to firstly identify whether, and at which sites, including overland transport resulted in an improved description of patch growth geometry relative to a model with only diffusive spread included. For those sites where overland transport did improve the model performance, we re-ran the calibrated (overland flow) models, but 'turned off' overland transport. The differences in predictions provide a measure of the importance of within-soil versus overland flow driven spread of Pc.

380 4 Results

The results of the experiments are summarized in Figure 4, which shows model predictions for select patches from Western Australia (panels A and B) and Spain (panels C and D) and the compiled patch scores from the different experiments (panels E and F). Results for all other patches are shown in Appendices E and F and the fitted parameters are reported in G. The distribution of modeled soil moisture values at both sites is shown in Appendix H.

No overland flow occurred in Western Australia, so there was no differentiation between the versions of the model with and without overland transport of Pc. The model made very good predictions of Pc spread, with a mean composite score of 0.856 across the 8 patches (Figure 4E). It was not able to capture the exact borders of the disease patches, which are generally uneven and asymmetric in the observed data.

Intermittent episodes of overland flow were predicted for the Spanish site. Including a representation 391 of Pc transport in this overland flow improved model performance (mean improvement of 0.149 in the 392 composite score), relative to the diffusion optimized case (Figure 4F). With overland flow included, the 393 model performance was comparable to that in Western Australia, with an average composite score of 394 0.864 (Figure 4E). For two patches (blue dots - Figures 4E and 4F) little to no improvement resulted 395 from adding overland transport. These patches also had the lowest composite scores in the overland 396 transport model (Figure 4E). The patches where overland transport improved predictions (red dots -397 Figures 4E and 4F) the mean composite score of was higher (0.876 for the overland transport model) 398 and resulted from more improvement (0.204) over the diffusion optimized calibration. 399

Turning overland flow off in the calibrated model for the Spanish patches lead to a notable degradation in model performance (Figure 4F), with the exception of the two patches (blue dots) which were insensitive to overland flow during calibration. In the other patches, excluding overland transport lowered $_{403}$ the composite score by an average of 0.220.

5 Discussion and Conclusions

⁴⁰⁵ Although climatically similar, the different soil conditions at the Western Australian and Spanish sites
⁴⁰⁶ resulted in very different hydrological dynamics: soils never approached saturation and no runoff occurred
⁴⁰⁷ on the deep Western Australian sands, while soils in the Spanish site approached saturation and generated
⁴⁰⁸ runoff during the winter rainy season.

These differing hydrological processes lead to different requirements in simulating Pc spread. There was a strong agreement between model predictions and observations of disease spread in Western Australia, suggesting that the biomass growth and diffusion components of the model work effectively to describe Pc spread from disease foci.

In the Spanish sites, overland flow transport needed to be included to represent the growth of most of the patches, excluding two which appeared to be insensitive to overland flow. If the diffusive model were calibrated on sites with no overland flow and then applied to model Pc in a location similar to the Spanish site, Pc spread rates would be underestimated - in this case by a matter of 350 m²/year on average.

Model limitations included the difficulty of simulating patch edges in Western Australia, which may 418 be due to omitted heterogeneities in soil and host properties, as well as to the difficulty of detecting 419 disease at patch boundaries. Further, limitations of the data used to parameterize the model domain 420 may be related to the apparent lack of importance of overland flow in two of the modeled Spanish sites. 421 For example, Patch 2 (Figure 4C) is located on a ridgeline in the landscape, and its growth occurs across 422 the ridge: local, flat topography in this area may not have been well resolved in the original 5 m \times 423 5 m DEM used to represent the site. Indeed, simulations tended to be biased towards spread on one 424 side of the ridge - as would be expected if the DEM failed to resolve a correct ridge location within the 425 patch. The use of a coarse DEM may also be responsible for several simulations where the model fails 426 to represent the correct shape of the Pc patch (e.g. Patches 1 and 4). 427

Additionally, the model omitted other transport pathways, notably spread via vectors (relevant considering the Spanish site is used as goat pasture), and transport within the soil. Considering that the model generally underestimated Pc spread in the patches where its performance was poor, additional transport mechanisms could be responsible.

Overall, the modeling results demonstrate the feasibility of describing the spatiotemporal dynamics of Pc spread provided information about the rhizosphere and transport mechanisms is available. Further, it showed how hydrological processes can act as a driver of disturbance caused by plant pathogens, with the overland runoff generation at the Spanish site introducing a relatively rapid and long-distance transport

mechanism for Pc. Models of pathogen growth and spread such as the one presented here could be 436 readily incorporated into scenario planning around water and drainage management - for example by 437 coupling this model to distributed hydrological models already in use. Alternatively, some of the key 438 dynamics revealed in this study can be used to suggest ways to augment Pc risk assessments to account 439 for potential transport via overland flow in addition to the simple annual climate, soil and slope metrics 440 that are currently used to describe disease risk. For example, Porporato et al. (2004) showed that the 441 probability of soils saturating is controlled by two dimensionless ratios: the soil water holding capacity 442 to the average storm depth, and the ratio of the mean rate of water input (e.g. average storm depth 443 multiplied by average time between storms) to the rate of water loss by evaporation. These ratios can 444 be readily calculated (on a seasonal basis) to identify the likelihood of saturation, and therefore overland 445 flow events. Where saturation is more topographically than edaphically controlled, metrics such as the 446 topographic wetness index (TWI) (Beven et al., 1979), could be incorporated into risk assessments. Flow 447 routing algorithms (like the one used in this model) could be used to assess how far disease propagules 448 mobilized at a given site in a landscape could be transported if overland flow does occur. Together, these 449 kinds of measures suggest the possibility of identifying sites at high risk of supporting new disease and 450 sites at high risk of spreading disease to new locations - and thus potentially new ways to triage and 451 manage Pc risk - at least as far as that risk is driven by local hydrological factors. 452

Pc already presents a major risk to plant communities around the globe, and this threat is likely to 453 increase as Pc extends into new regions with climate change (Thompson et al., 2014). Here, for the first 454 time, we modeled the spatio-temporal dynamics of Pc infected patches where spread was driven by local 455 biological and hydrological processes. The results demonstrated a notable role for surface hydrology in 456 accelerating and directing Pc infection spread over timescales of years, indicating how hydrology can 457 act as a driver of disturbance from plant pathogens. Adjusting existing risk management frameworks 458 to account for the influence of local hydrology on spread behavior could provide a new target for Pc 459 control. Further, while this modeling study focused on Pc, Pc is one of many plant pathogens that 460 spreads in moist soil. Thus, there is the potential to apply this framework to better understand and 461 manage disturbances caused by other pathogens. 462

463 6 Acknowledgements

We thank Janine Kinloch, Barbara Wilson, Katherine Zdunic, and the Western Australia Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA) for providing the disease mapping data for the site in Western Australia. This work was supported by a National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship [Grant No. DGE 1752814 to JVW]; the Junta de Extremadura [Grant No. GR18079 to EA]; the Spanish Agencia Estatal de Investigación [Grant No. FIS2016-76359-P (partially fi⁴⁶⁹ nanced with FEDER funds) to EA]; and the National Institute of Agricultural Research of Spain [Grant ⁴⁷⁰ No. INIA RTA 2014-00063-C01 to EC]. Compiled patch data and climate data used in the model ⁴⁷¹ and collected from sources as discussed in the text are available at http://www.hydroshare.org/ ⁴⁷² resource/a010a9c248284240a44180d339a2cba2/. All model code is available at https://github. ⁴⁷³ com/jvwilkening/Pc_Spread_Model.

474 **References**

- ⁴⁷⁵ Ahuja, L R et al. (1981). "The Depth of Rainfall-Runoff-Soil Interaction as Determined by 32P". In:
 ⁴⁷⁶ Water Resources Research 17.4, pp. 969–974.
- 477 Andow, DA et al. (1990). "Spread of invading organisms". In: Landscape Ecology 4.2-3, pp. 177–188.
- 478 Batini, FE et al. (1980). "An examination of the effects of changes in catchment condition on water yield
- ⁴⁷⁹ in the Wungong catchment, Western Australia." In: Australian Forest Research 10.1, pp. 29–38.
- ⁴⁸⁰ Benjamin, M and FJ Newhook (1982). "Effect of glass microbeads on Phytophthora zoospore motility".

In: Transactions of the British Mycological Society 78.1, pp. 43–46.

- Bergot, Magali et al. (2004). "Simulation of potential range expansion of oak disease caused by Phytophthora cinnamomi under climate change". In: *Global Change Biology* 10.9, pp. 1539–1552.
- Beven, Keith J and Michael J Kirkby (1979). "A physically based, variable contributing area model of
 basin hydrology". In: *Hydrological Sciences Journal* 24.1, pp. 43–69.
- ⁴⁸⁶ Boyer, John S (1995). "Biochemical and biophysical aspects of water deficits and the predisposition to

disease". In: Annual Review of Phytopathology 33.1, pp. 251–274.

- 488 Brasier, Clive M (1996). "Phytophthora cinnamomi and oak decline in southern Europe. Environmen-
- tal constraints including climate change". In: Annales des Sciences Forestieres. Vol. 53. 2-3. EDP
 Sciences, pp. 347–358.
- Brooks, R and T Corey (1964). "Hydraulic properties of porous media". In: *Hydrology Papers, Colorado*State University 24, p. 37.
- ⁴⁹³ Brutsaert, Wilfried et al. (2005). *Hydrology: an introduction*. Cambridge University Press.
- ⁴⁹⁴ Burgess, Treena I et al. (2017). "Current and projected global distribution of Phytophthora cinnamomi,
- one of the world's worst plant pathogens". In: *Global Change Biology* 23.4, pp. 1661–1674.
- Cardillo, Enrique, Angel Acedo, and Enrique Abad (2018). "Topographic effects on dispersal patterns of
 Phytophthora cinnamomi at a stand scale in a Spanish heathland". In: *PloS one* 13.3, e0195060.
- ⁴⁹⁸ Chakraborty, Sukumar, AV Tiedemann, and Paul S Teng (2000). "Climate change: potential impact on
 ⁴⁹⁹ plant diseases". In: *Environmental pollution* 108.3, pp. 317–326.
- ⁵⁰⁰ Clapp, Roger B and George M Hornberger (1978). "Empirical equations for some soil hydraulic proper-
- ties". In: Water resources research 14.4, pp. 601–604.

- ⁵⁰² Colhoun, John (1973). "Effects of environmental factors on plant disease". In: Annual Review of Phy ⁵⁰³ topathology 11.1, pp. 343–364.
- ⁵⁰⁴ Commonwealth of Australia (2014). Background: threat abatement plan for disease in natural ecosystems
 ⁵⁰⁵ caused Phytophthora cinnamomi. Tech. rep.
- ⁵⁰⁶ Cook, RJ and RI Papendick (1972). "Influence of water potential of soils and plants on root disease".
 ⁵⁰⁷ In: Annual Review of Phytopathology 10.1, pp. 349–374.
- ⁵⁰⁸ Crist, CR, DF Schoeneweiss, et al. (1975). "The influence of controlled stresses on susceptibility of
 ⁵⁰⁹ European white birch stems to attack by Botryosphaeria dothidea." In: *Phytopathology* 65.4, pp. 369–
 ⁵¹⁰ 373.
- ⁵¹¹ Cunniffe, Nik J. and Christopher A. Gilligan (2008). "Scaling from mycelial growth to infection dynamics:
 ⁵¹² a reaction diffusion approach". In: *Fungal Ecology* 1.4, pp. 133–142. ISSN: 17545048. DOI: 10.1016/
- j.funeco.2008.10.007. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.funeco.2008.10.007.
- ⁵¹⁴ Dawson, Peter and Gretna Weste (1985). "Changes in the distribution of Phytophthora cinnamomi in
- the Brisbane Ranges National Park between 1970 and 1980-81". In: Australian Journal of Botany 33.3, pp. 309–315.
- ⁵¹⁷ Desprez-Loustau, Marie-Laure et al. (2006). "Interactive effects of drought and pathogens in forest trees".
 ⁵¹⁸ In: Annals of forest science 63.6, pp. 597–612.
- ⁵¹⁹ Dickenson, Susan and BEJ Wheeler (1981). "Effects of temperature, and water stress in sycamore, on
- growth of Cryptostroma corticale". In: Transactions of the British Mycological Society 76.2, pp. 181–
 185.
- ⁵²² Dunne, Thomas and Richard D Black (1970). "Partial area contributions to storm runoff in a small New
 ⁵²³ England watershed". In: *Water resources research* 6.5, pp. 1296–1311.
- Eddins, S (2018). Upslope Area Functions. https://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/ 15818-upslope-area-functions. (Visited on).
- ⁵²⁶ Espejo, R (1987). "The soils and ages of the "raña" surfaces related to the Villuercas and Altamira
- ⁵²⁷ mountain ranges (Western Spain)". In: *Catena* 14.5, pp. 399–418.
- Ferrin, DM and ME Stanghellini (2006). "Effect of water potential on mycelial growth and perithecial production of Monosporascus cannonballus in vitro". In: *Plant pathology* 55.3, pp. 421–426.
- production of Monosporascus cannonballus in vitro". In: *Plant pathology* 55.3, pp. 421–426.
- ⁵³⁰ Flower, Charles E and Miquel A Gonzalez-Meler (2015). "Responses of temperate forest productivity to
- insect and pathogen disturbances". In: Annual review of plant biology 66, pp. 547–569.
- Funk, Chris et al. (2015). "The climate hazards infrared precipitation with stations—a new environmental record for monitoring extremes". In: *Scientific data* 2, p. 150066.
- 534 Geoscience Australia (2015). Digital Elevation Model (DEM) of Australia derived from LiDAR 5 Metre
- 535 Grid. http://pid.geoscience.gov.au/dataset/ga/89644.

- Gómez-Paccard, Clara et al. (2015). "Soil-water relationships in the upper soil layer in a Mediterranean 536 Palexerult as affected by no-tillage under excess water conditions-influence on crop yield". In: Soil 537
- and Tillage Research 146, pp. 303-312. 538
- Hardham, Adrienne R and Leila M Blackman (2018). "Phytophthora cinnamomi". In: Molecular plant 539 pathology 19.2, pp. 260-285. 540
- Hargreaves, George H and Zohrab A Samani (1985). "Reference crop evapotranspiration from tempera-541 ture". In: Applied engineering in agriculture 1.2, pp. 96–99. 542
- Hill, TCJ, JT Tippett, and BL Shearer (1994). "Invasion of Bassendean dune Banksia woodland by 543 Phytophthora cinnamomi". In: Australian Journal of Botany 42.6, pp. 725–738. 544
- Horton, Robert E (1933). "The role of infiltration in the hydrologic cycle". In: Eos, Transactions Amer-545 ican Geophysical Union 14.1, pp. 446-460. 546
- Hwang, S C and W H Ko (1978). "Biology of chlamydospores, sporangia, and zoospores of Phytophthora 547 cinnamomi in soil". In: pp. 726-731. 548
- Jung, T, IJ Colquhoun, and GE St J Hardy (2013). "New insights into the survival strategy of the 549
- invasive soilborne pathogen P hytophthora cinnamomi in different natural ecosystems in W estern A 550 ustralia". In: Forest Pathology 43.4, pp. 266–288. 551
- Jung, T and G Dobler (2002). "First report of littleleaf disease caused by Phytophthora cinnamomi on 552 Pinus occidentalis in the Dominican Republic". In: Plant disease 86.11, pp. 1275–1275. 553
- Kinal, J, BL Shearer, RG Fairman, et al. (1993). "Dispersal of Phytophthora cinnamomi through lateritic 554 soil by laterally flowing subsurface water." In: Plant Disease 77.11, pp. 1085–1090. 555
- Kliejunas, J. T. and W H Ko (1976). "Dispersal of Phytophthora cinnamomi on the Island of Hawaii". 556
- In: Ecology and Epidemiology 66, pp. 457-460. 557

567

- Laio, F et al. (2001). "Plants in water-controlled ecosystems : active role in hydrologic processes and 558 response to water stress II. Probabilistic soil moisture dynamics". In: 24. 559
- Lowe, Sarah et al. (2000). 100 of the world's worst invasive alien species: a selection from the global 560 invasive species database. Vol. 12. Invasive Species Specialist Group Auckland. 561
- Madar, Z, Z Solel, M Kimchi, et al. (1989). "Effect of water stress in cypress on the development of 562 cankers caused by Diplodia pinea f. sp. cupressi and Seiridium cardinale." In: Plant Disease 73.6, 563 pp. 484-486. 564
- Malajczuk, N and C Theodorou (1979). "Influence of water potential on growth and cultural character-565 istics of Phytophthora cinnamomi". In: Transactions of the British Mycological Society 72.1, pp. 15-566 18.
- Marçais, B, F Dupuis, and ML Desprez-Loustau (1996). "Modelling the influence of winter frosts on the 568 development of the stem canker of red oak, caused by Phytophthora cinnamomi". In: Annales des 569 sciences forestières. Vol. 53. 2-3. EDP Sciences, pp. 369–382. 570

- National Heritage Trust and Environment Australia (2001). Threat abatement plan for dieback caused by 571 the root-rot fungus Phytophthora cinnamomi. Tech. rep. 572
- Okubo, Akira and Smon A Levin (2013). Diffusion and ecological problems: modern perspectives. Vol. 14. 573 Springer Science & Business Media. 574
- Oudemans, Peter V (1999). "Phytophthora species associated with cranberry root rot and surface irri-575 gation water in New Jersey". In: Plant Disease 83.3, pp. 251–258. 576
- Park, Andrew W, Simon Gubbins, and Christopher A Gilligan (2001). "Invasion and persistence of plant 577 parasites in a spatially structured host population". In: Oikos 94.1, pp. 162–174. 578
- Podger, FD (1972). "Phytophthora cinnamomi, a Cause of Lethal Disease in Indigenous Plant Commu-579 nities in Western Australia". In: Phytopathology 62, pp. 972–981. 580
- Porporato, Amilcare, Edoardo Daly, and Ignacio Rodriguez-Iturbe (2004). "Soil water balance and 581 ecosystem response to climate change". In: The American Naturalist 164.5, pp. 625–632. 582
- Reeser, Paul W et al. (2011). "Phytophthora species in forest streams in Oregon and Alaska". In: My-583 cologia 103.1, pp. 22-35. 584
- Ristaino, Jean Beagle and Marcia L Gumpertz (2000). "New frontiers in the study of dispersal and 585 spatial analysis of epidemics caused by species in the genus Phytophthora". In: Annual Review of 586 Phytopathology 38.1, pp. 541–576. 587
- Salama, Ramsis B, Richard Silberstein, and Daniel Pollock (2005). "Soils characteristics of the Bassendean 588 and Spearwood Sands of the Gnangara Mound (Western Australia) and their controls on recharge, 589 water level patterns and solutes of the Superficial Aquifer". In: Water, Air, & Soil Pollution: Focus 590 5.1-2, pp. 3-26. 591
- Schober, BM and JC Zadoks (1999). "Water and temperature relations of softrot bacteria: growth and 592 disease development". In: Annals of applied biology 134.1, pp. 59-64. 593
- Schofield, NJ, GL Stoneman, and IC Loh (1989). "Hydrology of the jarrah forest". In: The jarrah forest. 594 Springer, pp. 179–201. 595
- Shea, SR et al. (1983). "Distribution, reproduction, and movement of Phytophthora cinnamomi on sites 596 highly conducive to jarrah dieback in south Western Australia." In: Plant Disease 67.9, pp. 970–973. 597 Shearer, BL, CE Crane, and A Cochrane (2004). "Quantification of the susceptibility of the native flora of 598
- the South-West Botanical Province, Western Australia, to Phytophthora cinnamomi". In: Australian 599 Journal of Botany 52.4, pp. 435-443. 600
- Shearer, BL, SR Shea, and PM Deegan (1987). "Temperature-growth relationships of Phytophthora 601 cinnamomi in the secondary phloem of roots of Banksia grandis and Eucalyptus marginata." In: 602 Phytopathology 77.5, pp. 661-665.

603

20

- Suleman, Patrice, Azza Al-Musallam, and Cynthia A Menezes (2001). "The effect of solute potential and 604 water stress on black scorch caused by Chalara paradoxa and Chalara radicicola on date palms". In: 605 Plant disease 85.1, pp. 80-83. 606
- Tarboton, DG (1997). "A new method for the determination of flow directions and upslope areas in grid 607 digital elevation models". In: Water Resources Research 33.2, pp. 309-319. 608
- Thompson, Sally E, Simon Levin, and Ignacio Rodriguez-Iturbe (2013). "Linking plant disease risk and 609 precipitation drivers: a dynamical systems framework". In: The American Naturalist 181.1, E1–E16. 610
- (2014). "Rainfall and temperatures changes have confounding impacts on Phytophthora cinnamomi 611
- occurrence risk in the southwestern USA under climate change scenarios". In: Global change biology 612 20.4, pp. 1299-1312. 613
- Thomson, SV, RM Allen, et al. (1974). "Occurrence of Phytophthora species and other potential plant 614 pathogens in recycled irrigation water." In: Plant Disease Reporter 58.10, pp. 945–949. 615
- Vettraino, AM et al. (2005). "Occurrence and distribution of Phytophthora species in European chestnut 616 stands, and their association with Ink Disease and crown decline". In: European Journal of Plant 617 Pathology 111.2, p. 169. 618
- Weste, Gretna M and P Taylor (1971). "The invasion of native forest by Phytophthora cinnamomi. I. 619 Brisbane Ranges, Victoria". In: Australian Journal of Botany 19.3, pp. 281–294. 620
- Weste, Gretna and Ceridwen Law (1973). "The invasion of native forest by Phytophthora cinnamomi. 621 III. Threat to the National Park, Wilson's Promontory, Victoria". In: Australian Journal of Botany 622 21.1, pp. 31-51. 623
- Weste, Gretna, Pamela Ruppin, and Kumudini Vithanage (1976). "Phytophthora cinnamomi in the 624
- Brisbane Ranges: patterns of disease extension". In: Australian Journal of Botany 24.2, pp. 201–208. 625 Wilson, Barbara A et al. (2012). "Use of remote sensing to map occurrence and spread of Phytophthora
- cinnamomi in Banksia woodlands on the Gnangara Groundwater System, Western Australia". In: 627 Australian Journal of Botany 60.6, pp. 495–505. 628
- Xu, C, RP Silberstein, and AD Barr (2003). "Estimates of groundwater recharge beneath Banksia wood-629 land on the Swan Coastal Plain using a vertical flux model (WAVES): sensitivity analysis". In: 630 MODSIM 2003 Proceedings of International Congress on Modelling and Simulation. International 631 Modelling and Simulation Society, Townsville, Queensland, pp. 177–182. 632

Figure Captions 633

626

- Table 1: Evidence of transport of Pc via surface water. 634
- Figure 1: Distinct pathogen spread patterns observed at the site in Western Australia (A) and the 635 site in Spain (B). Topographic contours are shown in 5 meter increments. 636

- Figure 2: During each time step, the water balance for the soil is computed (A) with precipitation 637 as the input and evapotranspiration (ET), leakage (L), and overland flow (q_{storm}) as outputs. In 638 the event of overland flow generation, the routing of runoff between source (i) and sink (j) cells along 639 flowpaths (ℓ) and the resulting advective transport is calculated in the overland transport portion 640 of the model (B). The soil moisture and temperature from the water balance and environmental 641 conditions are used to parameterize the growth rate and diffusion coefficient (C) which, along with 642 any input from overland transport, determine the change in biomass density in each cell. With 643 these changes in biomass density, the Pc biomass density field is output at the end of each time 644 step (D). This is then further binarized to presence or absence of Pc infection, where cells with 645 biomass density at least $0.5B_{max}$ categorized as infected. 646
- Figure 3: In the flow routing portion of the model (A), the D- ∞ algorithm (Tarboton, 1997) is used to determine the flow between a source cell (i) with upslope area A_i and a downslope sink cell (j). In the algorithm, flow is assumed to travel in the direction of the steepest downhill descent. When this results in flow being split between two adjacent cells, the relative fraction to each cell (ϕ) is determined by the angles as shown in (A). For each sink cell, the contributions of each upslope source cell are treated individually, with the final cumulative deposited biomass (M_j^+) coming from the superposition of all the upslope sources (B).

• Table 2: Variables and parameters used across all components of the model.

• Figure 4: Model output from the Western Australia site for Patch b (A) and Patch a (B), with 655 observed initial and final patch extents outlined and model predictions shaded. Model predictions 656 for the different model configurations tested at the Spanish site are shown for Patch 2 (C) and 657 Patch 7 (D). Composite scores of all patches for the model configuration allowing for overland 658 transport are shown for both sites in (E), with the Spanish patches subset into patches that varied 659 greatly between configurations (red dots) and those that had minimal variation (blue dots). For the 660 Spanish site, the improvements in composite scores for each patch with adding overland transport 661 relative to the other configurations are shown in (F), with the color scheme continued from (E). 662

663 Figures

Study	Location	Table 1: Species	Observation
G. M. Weste et al. (1971)	Victoria, Australia	$P.\ cinnamomi$	Pathogen associated with presence of drainage channels
Podger (1972)	Western Australia	$P.\ cinnamoni$	Disease more frequent and faster spreading along drainage lines
G. Weste and Law (1973)	Victoria, Australia	$P.\ cinnamoni$	Rapid downhill expansion (up to 400 m/yr), particularly during wet summer
Thomson et al. (1974)	Arizona, USA	Various Phytophthora species	Found Phytophthora propagules in recycled irrigation water from citrus crops
G. Weste, Ruppin, et al. (1976)	Victoria, Australia	$P.\ cinnamoni$	Disease spread with clearly defined boundaries in direction of drainage from road
Kliejunas et al. (1976)	Hawaii, USA	$P.\ cinnamoni$	Recovery of zoospores in runoff water
Shea et al. (1983)	Western Australia	$P.\ cinnamoni$	Lateral transport of zoospores in subsurface water above lateritic layer
Kinal et al. (1993)	Western Australia	$P.\ cinnamoni$	Recovered pathogen from laterally flowing subsurface water in lateritic soil
Hill et al. (1994)	Western Australia	$P.\ cinnamoni$	Faster spreading disease fronts in low-lying areas than com- pared to upslope areas
Reeser et al. (2011)	Oregon and Alaska, USA	Various Phytophthora species	Recovered Phytophthora from streams in forested areas
Jung and Dobler (2002)	Dominican Republic	$P.\ cinnamoni$	Disease spread follows downslope path of runoff from diseased trees
Oudemans (1999)	New Jersey, USA	P. cinnamomi	Recovered pathogen from streams, irrigation reservoirs, and drainage canals

A) Western Australia





Figure 1:



Figure 2:



B) Superposition of concentrations and sink fluxes at Cell j



Figure 3:

Symbol	Description	Dimensions	Units
Discreti	zation		
Δt	Time step	Т	day
$\Delta x, \Delta y$	Spatial step	\mathbf{L}	m
Soil mo	isture balance		
z_r	Soil vertical domain	\mathbf{L}	$\mathbf{m}\mathbf{m}$
s	Mean relative soil water content	-	-
V_{water}	Volume soil water per unit area	\mathbf{L}	$\mathbf{m}\mathbf{m}$
n	Soil porosity	-	-
f	Rate of infiltration	$L T^{-1}$	$mm dav^{-1}$
a a	Rate of evapotranspiration	$L T^{-1}$	mm dav^{-1}
K_{sat}	Soil saturated hydraulic conductivity	$L T^{-1}$	mm day^{-1}
q	Surface flow rate	$L T^{-1}$	$mm dav^{-1}$
t_{storm}	Length of storm event	Т	day
s_{wp}	Soil moisture wilting point	-	-
s^*	Soil moisture point of full stomatal opening	-	-
ET_{max}	Maximum evapotranspiration rate	$L T^{-1}$	$mm day^{-1}$
P	Precipitation rate	$L T^{-1}$	$mm dav^{-1}$
q_{storm}	Average rate of flow production for storm event	$L T^{-1}$	$mm day^{-1}$
L	Rate of leakage at bottom boundary	$L T^{-1}$	mm day^{-1}
b	Soil-water retention curve exponent	-	-
Runoff	routing and propagule transport		
$\phi_{i,i}$	Fraction of overland flow from cell i to downslope cell j	-	-
A_i	Upslope contributing area to i	L^2	m^2
B	Biomass density per area	$M L^{-2}$	${ m g}~{ m m}^{-2}$
δ	Effective soil depth of interaction with overland flow	\mathbf{L}	mm
χ_{ii}	Euclidean distance between cells i and j	\mathbf{L}	m
B_{runoff}	Net change in biomass density as a result of overland flow	$M L^{-2}$	${ m g~m^{-2}}$
h	Depth of overland flow	\mathbf{L}	m
C	Concentration of biomass in runoff	$M L^{-3}$	${ m g}~{ m m}^{-3}$
q_c	Water flux per unit width channel	$L^2 T^{-1}$	$m^2 day^{-1}$
α	Tunable overland transport parameter	$L^{-\frac{1}{5}} T^{-\frac{2}{5}}$	$m^{-\frac{1}{5}} dav^{-\frac{1}{5}}$
$\frac{\overline{u_{i,i}}}{\overline{u_{i,i}}}$	Mean runoff velocity between i and j	$^{-}L T^{-1}$	$m dav^{-1}$
C_{io}	Concentration of biomass in runoff at source cell	$M L^{-3}$	$\mathrm{g~m}^{-3}$
M^+_{ii}	Deposited biomass at cell i originating from i	М	g
M^{ij}_{\cdot}	Total deposited biomass at cell i	М	o.
1j 11	Bunoff velocity	$L T^{-1}$	$m dav^{-1}$
K K	Kinematic resistance factor	$L^{\frac{1}{3}} T^{-1}$	$m^{\frac{1}{3}} dav^{-1}$
	Land surface flow registance	$I \stackrel{-1}{\xrightarrow{-1}} T$	m^{-1} day
ν Μ ⁻	Diamaga mahiligad from cell i		m s day
^{1V1} i	Diomass modulized from i denosited in den	IVI	g
γί	Fraction of modulized biomass from i deposited in domain Overland flow path coordinate	- T	-
K B	Sink strength rate parameter	T-1	III dow-1
$\frac{\rho}{L}$	Sink strength rate parameter	I T	uay
n	Spatiany-averaged runon depth	L	$\frac{111}{4}$ $-\frac{2}{2}$
v	Aggregated velocity factor	$L_{5}T_{5}$	m^5 day 5
$\overline{v_{i,j}}$	Spatially-averaged aggregated velocity factor	$L^{\frac{1}{5}} T^{-\frac{1}{5}}$	$m^{\frac{1}{5}} day^{-\frac{1}{5}}$
$\Delta \ell$	Flow path length within cell	_ L	m
$\overline{u_j}$	Storm-averaged runoff velocity at j	$L T^{-1}$	$m day^{-1}$
Pathoge	n growth and diffusive spread		
r_{max}	Maximum fractional growth rate at ambient temperature	-	-
T_{soil}	Soil temperature	Κ	$^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$
m	Pathogen growth soil moisture dependence factor	-	-
r_o	Pathogen fractional growth rate at $T = 0^{\circ}C$	- 1	- 1
Δr	Pathogen growth rate temperature dependence	K^{-1}	$^{\circ}\mathrm{C}^{-1}$
d	Mortality rate	-	-
B_{max}	Steady state pathogen biomass density	$M L^{-2}$	${ m g~m^{-2}}$
D_{max}	Maximum pathogen diffusion coefficient	$L^2 T^{-1}$	$m^2 day^{-1}$



Figure 4:

664 Appendices

A Conservation of Pathogen Mass with Flow Outside Model Domain

To account for possible transport outside the domain, the fraction of biomass accounted for within the domain that originated at i, γ_i , is found:

$$\gamma_i = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{e^{\frac{-\alpha\chi_{i,j}}{\overline{v_{i,j}}}}\phi_{i,j}}{\sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{e^{\frac{-\alpha\chi_{i,j}}{\overline{v_{i,j}}}}\phi_{i,j}}{v_i}}$$
(A.1)

where *n* is the number of down-gradient cells within the modeled domain. For the theoretical limit of ∞ down-gradient cells, the sum is computed using Δx as the increment in distance between the cells $(\chi_{i,j})$ and the velocities, v_j and $\overline{v_{i,j}}$, are approximated using the respective averages of those values within the modeled domain. This sum is computed until the incremental change in the sum with each additional term falls below a prescribed threshold value (set to 0.00001 in this case). This value can then be used in the calculation of C_{io} as derived in the main text:

$$C_{io} = \frac{B_i \delta \Delta x \Delta y}{z_r} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\alpha e^{\frac{-\alpha \chi_{i,j}}{\upsilon_{i,j}}} \Delta x q_{storm} A_i \phi_{i,j} t_{storm}}{\upsilon_j} \right)^{-1}$$
(A.2)

675 B Moisture Dependence of Growth



Figure B.1: A piecewise function of the moisture-dependence of pathogen growth [m(s)] was found by linearly fitting segments to the data of Malajczuk et al., 1979

676 C Patch Image Analysis

⁶⁷⁷ Using the image analysis tools in Matlab, an ellipse is fit to the infected cells $(B \ge 0.5B_{max})$ such that ⁶⁷⁸ the ellipse has the same normalized second moment of mass as the disease patch. With this fitted ellipse, the major axis, orientation, and eccentricity are then calculated.



Figure C.1: For each patch of diseased cells (shown in white), an ellipse (red) is fitted. The major and minor axes of the ellipse (blue) are then found and further used to calculate the eccentricity. The orientation is determined as the angle between the major axis and the horizontal plane (dotted yellow).

⁶⁰⁰ D Calculation of Composite Score

A composite score that quantifies how each patch prediction compares to the observed patch is calculated as the average of the following four components. The first three component scores use metrics from the ellipse fitting as described in C. For each of the individual components, as well as the overall score, the values range from 0 (poor match to observations) to 1 (perfect match to observations).

685 Orientation score:

The orientation (degrees) of the major axis of the fitted ellipses is measured in degrees in the x-y plane. The differences between orientation for model and observations is computed, normalized by the half circle and differenced from one (to ensure that a score that is closer to one represents better model-observation agreement):

$$OS = 1 - \frac{|\text{Modeled Orientation} - \text{Observed Orientation}|}{180}$$
 (D.1)

690 Major axis score:

The length of the major axes of the patches are compared and standardized by the observed major axis length, as:

$$MS = 1 - \frac{|\text{Modeled Major Axis Length} - \text{Observed Major Axis Length}|}{\text{Observed Major Axis Length}}$$
(D.2)

693 Eccentricity score:

The eccentricity (-) of the fitted ellipse is calculated as the distance from the center of the ellipse to the focus divided by one-half the major axis length. It will be equal to 0 for a perfect circle and 1 for a line and in terms of the major and minor axis lengths this is:

$$Eccentricity = \frac{\sqrt{(0.5 \times \text{major axis})^2 + (0.5 \times \text{minor axis})^2}}{0.5 \times \text{major axis}}$$
(D.3)

697

The eccentricities are compared between model and observations, to form a standardized score:

$$ES = 1 - |\text{Modeled Eccentricity} - \text{Observed Eccentricity}|$$
 (D.4)

Growth area: The growth score assesses how well the model predicts where new pathogen growth will occur, relative to how much it overpredicts disease spread. The actual observed growth is tabulated as the number of model grid cells where new pathogen growth is observed between the initial and final observation points. The correctly predicted cells are the number of these cells which the model correctly predicts as being infected by Pc. The number of false positives is tabulated as the number of cells for which the model predicted pathogen growth but there was no observed pathogen present in the aerial photos. These are combined to calculate the growth score as:

705 E All Western Australia Patch Predictions



Figure E.1: Composite score of 0.892



Figure E.2: Composite score of 0.884



Figure E.3: Composite score of 0.851



Figure E.4: Composite score of 0.893



Figure E.5: Composite score of 0.833



Figure E.6: Composite score of 0.796



Figure E.7: Composite score of 0.880



Figure E.8: Composite score of 0.818

⁷⁰⁶ F All Spain Patch Predictions



Figure F.1: Overland composite score of 0.866 (α =0.085), "diffusion" optimized composite score of 0.718, overland transport off composite score of 0.714



Figure F.2: Overland composite score of 0.833 (α =0.110), "diffusion" optimized composite score of 0.815, overland transport off composite score of 0.811



Figure F.3: Overland composite score of 0.834 (α =0.285), "diffusion" optimized composite score of 0.830, overland transport off composite score of 0.835



Figure F.4: Overland composite score of 0.860 (α =0.025), "diffusion" optimized composite score of 0.706, overland transport off composite score of 0.686



Figure F.5: Overland composite score of 0.885 (α =0.015), "diffusion" optimized composite score of 0.734, overland transport off composite score of 0.703



Figure F.6: Overland composite score of 0.859 (α =0.007), "diffusion" optimized composite score of 0.546, overland transport off composite score of 0.533



Figure F.7: Overland composite score of 0.913 (α =0.0017), "diffusion" optimized composite score of 0.658, overland transport off composite score of 0.646

707 G Parameterization Results

Site	Configuration	d	Δr	D_{max}
Western Australia	Overland Transport	0.14	0.03	0.025
Spain	Overland Transport	0.12	0.04	0.0014
Spain	"Diffusion" Optimized	0.08	0.06	$7.5e^{-9}$

Table G.1: Tuned values for pathogen mortality rate (d), pathogen growth rate temperature dependence (Δr) , and maximum pathogen diffusion coefficient (D_{max}) for different tested configurations at both sites.

Patch	α
1	0.085
2	0.110
3	0.285
4	0.025
5	0.015
6	0.007
7	0.0017

Table G.2: Tuned α values specific to each patch at the Spanish site in the overland transport configuration.

⁷⁰⁸ H Soil moisture dynamics

709 Histograms of the modeled soil moisture values for both sites are shown below. At the site in Western

- 710 Australia, saturated conditions never occurred whereas at the Spanish site there were incidences of
- saturation (s = 1) and therefore generation of overland flow, although these events were infrequent.



Figure H.1: Modeled soil moisture in Western Australia during the studied period



Figure H.2: Modeled soil moisture in Spain during the first studied interval between 1981-1984



Figure H.3: Modeled soil moisture in Spain during the second studied interval between 2010-2012