Global inventories of inverted stream channels on Earth and Mars
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ABSTRACT

Data from orbiting and landed spacecraft have provided vast amounts of information regarding fluvial and fluvial-related landforms and sediments on Mars. One variant of these landforms are sinuous ridges that have been interpreted to be remnant evidence for ancient fluvial activity, observed at hundreds of martian locales. In order to further understanding of these martian landforms, this paper inventories the 107 known and unknown inverted channel sites on Earth; these offer 114 different examples that consist of materials ranging in age from Upper Ordovician to late Holocene. These examples record several climatic events from the Upper Ordovician glaciation to late Quaternary climate oscillation. These Earth examples include inverted channels in deltaic and alluvial fan sediment, providing new analogs to their martian counterparts. This global dataset provides environmental context regarding the formation mechanisms and conditions that accompanied channel formation and inversion. There are five documented processes by which channel sediment and valley fill become consolidated and inverted after adjacent floodplain sediments are eroded away: (1) channel fill cementation during near-surface, early diagenesis; (2) channel fill lithification during burial diagenesis in the subsurface; (3) filling of a channel or valley by extrusive volcanism (lavas, tuffs); (4) channel surface armouring of coarse clasts by aeolian and fluvial processes; (5) compaction of bank-forming peat, which can lead to early inversion without removal of floodplain material. On Earth, early diagenesis (shallow surficial cementation) dominates among inverted fluvial channels (59%), volcanism is an important contributor (23%), and deep burial diagenesis, surface armouring, compaction of bank-forming peat are comparatively minor (11%, 6%, and 1% respectively). Water erosion, wind erosion, and exhumation due to tectonic activity play an important role in removing the surrounding terrain, leaving the channel deposits standing as a ridge. Wind erosion rates involved in causing topographic inversion range from 10 mm/year in the Bodélé Depression, Chad, to 0.21 mm/year in the Kumtagh Desert, China. These observations have important implications for understanding the formation and paleoclimate associated with similar landforms on Mars. Shallow, near-subsurface cementation of channel sediment could be prevalent on Mars due to a favorable climate like that of where they occur on Earth (tropical and subtropical climates), particularly because most Martian examples are not capped by volcanic materials and because tectonism, as compared to Earth, plays a negligible role in returning buried materials to the surface. Studying inverted channels on Mars at the global scale will bring new information on the early climate of Mars,
particularly transition from wet to dry conditions. Finally, we propose that inverted deltaic deposits, which occur around the margins of the Pleistocene Tushka paleolake, in Egypt, are an excellent terrestrial analogue for fan-shaped deposits in relief inversion on Mars, particularly the deltaic deposits in Jezero crater, the landing site for NASA’s Mars 2020 rover.

**Keywords:** inverted topography; inverted channels; sinuous ridges; Mars; Earth analogue.

1. **INTRODUCTION**

The term “inverted channel” describes remnant fluvial channel sediment or channel fill that has become more elevated than its surrounding terrain that obtained this form owing to differential erosion (LeConte, 1880, 1886; Miller, 1937; Giegengack, 1968; Pain and Ollier, 1995; Pain et al., 2007; Williams et al., 2007; Zaki et al., 2018). Inverted channels were first introduced into the scientific literature by Whitney (1865), who reported on old river beds in the Sierra Nevada, California, “where mountain tops are made up of once-flowing stream beds” (Hill, 2006). His observations led LeConte (1880, 1886) to study these features in detail. LeConte observed that fluvial deposits protected by lava flows were more resistant to erosion than the adjacent valley slopes. Then, Hörner (1932) identified inverted channels in the Lop-Nor region, China. Miller (1937) next identified another inverted drainage system—today presented, as he said, “in bas-relief”—in the Nejd area eastern Saudi Arabia. He identified cementation of channel sediments as an agent for the relief inversion. Figure 1 shows spectacular examples of inverted paleorivers in the Sahara (Giegengack, 1968; Zaki et al., 2018) and Utah deserts (Williams et al., 2007). On Earth, study of inverted channels, where they occur, are a key part of past climate reconstruction because they are coupled with paleodrainage systems (Beresford-Jones et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2015; Matter et al., 2016; Williams et al., 2007; Williams et al., 2009; Zaki et al., 2020). Some of these features also have an economic potential (e.g. sedimentary uranium; Pain and Ollier, 1995; Hou et al., 2007).

Spacecraft missions have captured images of hundreds of sinuous ridges on Mars, many of which have been interpreted to be a record of ancient fluvial processes (Fig. 2; Table 1; Williams, 2007; Williams et al., 2011; Davis et al., 2019). Research efforts on this topic since 1999 (data from orbiters Mars Global Surveyor, Mars Odyssey, Mars Express, Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter, Mangalyaan, and Trace Gas Orbiter) have focused on detailed studies of landforms interpreted to
be the product of the erosional inversion of fluvial, alluvial, and deltaic landforms. Malin and Edgett (2003) identified inverted landforms associated with deltaic features in the Eberswalde crater delta. More investigations on inverted channels have been carried out in Gale crater (e.g., Thomson et al., 2011), northwest Meridiani (e.g., Wiseman et al., 2010), Aeolis Dorsa (e.g., Burr et al., 2010; Kite et al., 2015; Jacobsen and Burr, 2017), Medusae Fossae Formation (e.g., Burr et al., 2006), and Miyamoto crater (Newsom et al., 2010), to name a few. Emphasizing sinuous ridges that were interpreted to have previously been fluvial valleys or channels, an early global inventory by Williams (2007)—updated here in Fig. 2—captured some of this abundance. More recently, Davis et al. (2016, 2019) described an even greater abundance of candidate inverted channels occurring within a single heavily cratered region, Arabia Terra. Fawdon et al. (2018) and Adler et al. (2018) identified inverted topographic features in the Hypanis Valles region, including alluvial fans and channels. Williams et al. (2018) investigated the formational mechanisms of 20 large inverted channel sites on Mars. Before these global and regional surveys, Pain et al. (2007) documented a variety of inverted Martian landforms. Figure 3 gives some common examples on Mars. As an important supplement to the record of fluvial channels on Mars, in recent years it has become clear that martian sinuous ridges provide an essential record of early Martian climate (Kite et al., 2015; Kite et al., 2019; Davis et al., 2019); the study of such landforms in detail contributes to addressing questions about the climate of early Mars and its transition to the modern, hyperarid setting we find today.

Such landforms on Mars are at present accessible only through spacecraft missions and remote robotic exploration. For this reason, the study of Earth analogues has become firmly established as a strategy to enable the interpretation of these landforms. Earth analogue studies provide vital observations that can be applied to the interpretation of features observed on Mars using images acquired by flyby, orbiting, descending, landed, or mobile landed platforms (Chapman, 2007; Baker et al., 2015). In 2020, multiple new Mars missions (orbiters and landers) will be launching, including NASA’s Mars 2020, ESA/Roscosmos’s ExoMars 2020, UAE’s Hope, and China’s 2020 Mars Mission. Investigation of inverted fluvial landforms is the main task of the NASA’s Mars 2020 rover (Williford et al., 2018) that will land in a Jezero crater, which contains lithified deltaic sediment bearing inverted channel forms (Fig. 3d; Goudge et al., 2018). Therefore, a broad synthesis of the processes and conditions that led to the formation of inverted channels on Earth
provides a fundamental tool to understand ancient martian environments associated with sinuous ridges.

Fig. 1. (a) Satellite image showing the dendritic pattern in inverted relief in the southern part of Egypt (22°56'28.27"N, 32° 7'47.69"E) (Image credit: ESRI World Imagery). (b) Field photograph of an inverted channel in Egypt (22°55'7.14"N, 32° 4'22.17"E). (c) Satellite image of inverted fluvial ridges deposited during the Cretaceous timer in Utah (38°53'12.69"N, 110°17'42.26"W) (Image Credit: Google Earth).
Thus, in this contribution, we review the terminology employed to describe inverted channels, their global distribution, the processes underlying their formation, their general morphological and sedimentological features, and their relative and absolute ages. Further, we end with insights learned from the global synthesis inverted channels and valleys on Earth. This study is an attempt to identify as many as possible of the reported examples of inverted stream channel forms on Earth and constrain their formational scenarios and ages. This work is relevant to addressing fundamental issues on the nature, formation, timescale, and paleoclimate associated with the sinuous ridges on Mars.

**Fig. 2.** Distribution of candidate inverted channels on Mars (blue dots; Table 1) and distribution of sinuous ridges of less certain origin (red dots). Distribution of deltas and fluvial fans that have inverted channel bodies. The map was generated from Mars Global Surveyor (MGS) Mars Orbiter Laser Altimeter (MOLA) data (Smith et al., 2001).
Fig. 3. Examples of inverted fluvial landforms on Mars. (a) Candidate inverted stream channels in a fan or delta in southeast Hellas Planitia (46.5°S, 87.4°E); portion of Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter (MRO) Context Camera (CTX) image F20_043739_1318_XI_48S273W acquired on 25 November 2015. (b) Portion of an inverted, meandering fluvial sediment system in the Aeolis Dorsa region near 6.0°S, 206.5°W, described by Matsubara et al. (2015) and others; portion of MRO High Resolution Imaging Science Experiment (HiRISE) image PSP_010322_1740, acquired in October 2008. (c) Portion of the Aram Dorsum inverted fluvial complex in western Arabia Terra near 8.2°N, 11.1°W, described by Davis et al. (2016), Balme et al. (2015), and others; portion of MRO CTX image G23_027180_1889_XI_08N011W, acquired in May 2012. (d) Perspective view showing inverted channel bodies associated with an inverted deltaic form in Jezero crater (18.5°N, 77.3°E), the NASA Mars 2020 rover landing site; the image coupled with HiRISE digital terrain model (Credit: NASA / JPL / UA / Seán Doran).
2. TERMINOLOGY

Since the 1800s, numerous local and descriptive terms have been used to refer to inverted fluvial channels and river sediment bodies, including “old-river beds” in Sierra Nevada, California (LeConte, 1880, 1886), “former rivercourses” in Lop-Nor, China (Hörner, 1932), “suspenslritic lines” in eastern Saudi Arabia (Miller, 1937). Colton (1937) described a “basaltic sandstone ridge” near the Little Colorado River in Arizona. “Exhumed point bars” described such features in north-central Texas (Edwards et al., 1983). Multiple terms such as “inverted wadis,” “perched ridges,” “wadi ridges,” and “wadi conglomerate” were given to these features in the Sahara (Giegengack, 1968; Butzer and Hansen, 1968; Haynes, 1980; Embabi, 2004; Zaki and Giegngack, 2016; Giegngack and Zaki, 2017). King (1942) described “gravel-capped ridges,” and Girard et al. (2012) used “channelized sandstone bodies” to describe the oldest inverted channel outcrops on Earth. Maizels (1983, 1987, 1990) called them “raised channel systems” in Oman and Holm (1960) used “inverted courses” to for inverted relief on the Arabian Peninsula. The term “extinct wadis” was given by Wright (1958) in investigations of the Syrian Desert. More terms applied to these features are listed in Table 2.

The issue of terminology also extends to Mars. The terms “sinuous ridges” and “raised curvilinear features” were given to all ridges that exhibit a primarily sinuous pattern (Williams, 2007; Williams et al., 2011; Hayden et al., 2019). Some of these ridges were interpreted to be glacial eskers, glacial moraines, igneous dikes, and sedimentary dikes (Kargel and Storm, 1991, 1992; Pain et al., 2007, Williams, 2007; Burr et al., 2010; Hayden et al., 2019), while many others are interpreted as potential inverted fluvial forms (e.g. Pain et al., 2007; Davis et al., 2016; Williams et al., 2018).

In 2012, the International Astronomical Union (IAU) adopted the term ‘serpens’ for sinuous features with segments of positive and negative relief along their lengths. As far as we are aware, the first published use of this term was by Williams et al. (2013). Previously, the IAU approved ‘dorsa’ for positive-relief features (for example for possible eskers or sinuous ridges on Mars) and ‘vallis’ for negative-relief sinuous features.

Here we use the term “inverted channel” to refer to fluvial deposits that mimic the form of a river channel in a relief inversion setting on Earth. On Mars (Fig. 2, Table 1), in cases for which the
Sedimentary structure has not been captured by spacecraft observations, we use the term “sinuous ridges” according to their planimetric pattern and “candidate inverted channel” for the sinuous ridges that have been interpreted by colleagues to more certainly record fluvial activity based on geomorphological setting and observations of sedimentary structures.

3. GLOBAL DISTRIBUTION AND ATTRIBUTES

Searching satellite images and the scientific literature, we identified 107 inverted channel sites on Earth (Fig. 4; Table 2). While undoubtedly an incomplete list, 114 examples far exceed the usual list of about 9 sites of the most commonly cited Earth examples mentioned in the Mars literature (Miller, 1995; Maizels, 1983, 1987, 1990; Pain and Ollier, 1995; Williams et al., 2007; Burr and Williams et al., 2009; Cuevas Martínez et al., 2010; Zaki et al., 2018). These Earth sites are 41 in Africa, 32 in North America, 11 in Australia, 7 in South America, 13 in Asia, 2 in Europe, and one example lies beneath the southeastern Mediterranean Sea. These sites are in different climate ones; sixty-two sites are in the subtropical zone, 37 sites in the tropical zone, and 8 sites in the temperate zone.

Fig. 4. The global distribution of documented inverted-channel features on Earth (see Table 2).
3.1. Africa

Published investigations regarding inverted fluvial features in Africa started with King (1942) in east Victoria, South Africa, followed by Giegengack (1968), and Butzer and Hansen (1968) in the southern part of the Egyptian Sahara. The latter two examined those fluvial sediment relics in the framework of Quaternary history and Nile evolution. Since then, several additional sites have been identified in Africa (Butzer, 1980; Brookes, 2003; Schuster et al., 2005; Bristow et al., 2009; Girard et al., 2012; Zaki et al., 2018).

Inverted stream channels are widespread in the Sahara. In the eastern Sahara (Egypt and Sudan), there are 9 sites that cover an area of ~ 40,000 km² (Fig. 5). They record several episodes of deposition and inversion that began in the Oligocene and continued into the Holocene (Giegengack, 1968; Zaki et al., 2018). Sites deposited during Oligocene and Miocene wet conditions occur west of Ghard Abu Muharik, east of the Dakhla Depression, and west of Esna City (Embabi, 2004; Zaki et al., 2018). Inverted fluvial features in the Fayum Depression were incised during Pliocene flooding after the Messinian Salinity Crisis, and have been subjected to wind erosion since the Pleistocene (Sandford and Arkell, 1929; Embabi, 2004). Inverted channels capped by basalt are documented in the Wadi Awatib and Kordofan in northern Sudan (Schwarz, 1994; Bussert et al., 2018). The inverted channels in southern Egypt and northern Sudan were deposited during late Quaternary (late Pleistocene and Holocene) extreme wet climatic events, the so-called “Late Quaternary climate oscillation” (Giegengack, 1968; Butzer and Hansen, 1968; Zaki et al., 2020). During the late Quaternary climate oscillation, there were abrupt changes in climate over the Sahara that were more common at warmer than at cooler (e.g., Foucault and Stanley, 1989; Hoffmann et al., 2016); that led to several episodes of wet and dry periods causing the incision, cementation, and inversion of these paleorivers. In absence of cemented material and existing of large clasts, the wind deflation removed the fine materials leaving very coarse gravels, cobbles, and boulders in a few outcrops standing as ridges (Giegengack, 1968). In Chad, there are inverted channels located in paleolake Mega-Chad (Schuster et al., 2005, Bristow et al., 2009). In the Kharga Depression, there are inverted channels consisting of sediment deposited during last 225 ± 40 years (Bursch, 1988). Considerably older channels and meanders are documented in the Dakhla Depression of Egypt, northern Niger, southern Morocco, and in the Tassili N’Ajjer on the Algeria-Libya border (Brookes, 2003; Girard et al., 2012) (Fig. 6). The sediments of inverted
channels on the Algeria-Libya border were deposited during the Upper Ordovician (Girard et al., 2012). Sediments of the inverted channels in the Dakhla Depression date from the Upper Cretaceous (Brookes, 2003). The third site has been identified in northern Niger, where there are lithified outcrops of inverted channel sediments that were deposited during the Late Permian (Smith et al., 2015). In southern Morocco, there are several exhumed point bar ridges that were deposited during late Carboniferous (Padgett and Ehrlich, 1976).

Fig. 5. (a) Satellite image showing an example of inverted dendritic channels in northern Sudan (21°57'03.86"N, 31° 04'17.36"E; image credit: ESRI World Imagery). (b) Sinuous ridge with a total length of about 50 km in the Egyptian Sahara (flow direction from SW to NE; 27°32'54.63"N, 29°27'39.78"E; image credit: Google Earth).
Fig. 6. (a) Channelized sandstone body in the Tassili N’Ajjer, Algeria, deposited during the Upper Ordovician (26°10'24.96"N, 9°18'5.03"E) (Image credit: ESRI World Imagery). (b) Exhumed meanders in the Dakhla Depression in the Egyptian Sahara (25°27'10.81"N, 29°10'44.04"E) (Image credit: ESRI World Imagery). (c) Field photograph of an exhumed meander – the white arrow indicates the paleoflow direction and the dotted lines are the boundary between cross-bedding sets. The stick, 50 cm long, is a scale. (25°27'10.81"N, 29°10'44.04"E).
Our satellite image search led to identification of previously undescribed inverted channels that occur as part of at least 6 deltaic features located around the margins of a middle Pleistocene paleolake site in southern Egypt (Fig. 7). The basin, called paleolake Tushka, would have covered an area of about ~68,000 km$^2$ and was fed by overflow of the Nile coupled with a limited role of the local rainfall (Maxwell et al., 2010).

Fig. 7. (a) Portion of paleolake Tushka, Egypt, showing oblique view of a shoreline that hosts deltaic features in relief inversion. (b), (c), (d), and (e) Satellite images showing different forms of inverted channels associated with deltas deposited at the margins of paleolake Tushka during the mid-Pleistocene. The coordinates of the (b), (c), (d), and (e) are (22°47'29.89"N, 30°46'30.73"E), (22°48'29.48"N, 30°46'48.37"E), (22°49'04.67"N, 30°47'01.68"E), and (22°51'42.37"N, 30°51'39.60"E), respectively. (Credit: ESRI World Imagery).
Some isolated examples of inverted channels in Africa occur in Lake Turkana, formerly known as Rudolph Lake (Butzer, 1980), where channel sediments (now inverted) were deposited in northern part of the lake when it was 75 m deeper than present. Additional examples are in Bosutswe in Botswana and the Kalahari Desert, where channel sediments are cemented by calcrete (Nash and McLaren, 2003; Denbow et al., 2008; Shaw, 2009), and at Lake Bogoria in Kenya, where an outflow channel incised by groundwater seepage later became inverted (Renault et al., 2013). The Okavango Delta in Botswana sports some unusual examples in which channels became inverted because their banks consisted of peat that collapsed by burning and compaction (Fig. 8; Ellery et al., 1989; Gumbrecht et al., 2004).

Still other examples in Africa consisting of cemented fluvial sediment occur in Ogaden, Ethiopia (Williams et al., 2016), Bulawayo, Zimbabwe (Moore et al., 2009; Moore et al., 2012), the Olduvai Gorge in Tanzania (Blumenschime et al., 2012), and in Burkina Faso (Zeegers and Lecomte, 1992; Chardon et al., 2018). In Namibia, two sites of cemented, inverted fluvial sediments are well-preserved at Etosha and Oase (Shaw, 2009; Smith and Mason, 1998). Butt and Bristow (2013) studied inverted valleys developed from Paleocene to Pliocene time at 9 sites that extend along ~1,300 km from western Mali to eastern Burkina Faso. A fossil drainage system has been identified in Upper Jubba Valley, Somalia; the valley at this site was filled by lava and tuff (Abdirahim et al., 1993).

![Fig. 8. Dendritic inverted channels in the Okavango Delta, Botswana, formed by burning and compaction of volumes of bank-forming peat (19°27'9.33"S, 22°46'29.06"E; image credit: Google Earth).](image-url)
3. 2. Asia

Thirteen sites of inverted fluvial channels have been reported to occur in Asia (see Table 2). An early investigation of inverted topographic features in Asia was carried out by Hörner (1932) in the Lop-Nor region; inverted channels at this site are associated with other erosional landforms such as yardangs. Teilhard de Chardin and Young (1935) identified sites in the Yangtze Valley, China, where the river was incised during the Early Cenozoic and filled by lava during the Early Pliocene. In the Kumtagh Desert, China, Wang et al. (2015) recently described gravel bodies that were deposited in the past 100 ka (Fig. 9). In the Kumtagh Desert, some outcrops are characterized by large clasts and lack of cemented formations (Wang et al., 2015).

Fig. 9. Nadir view showing relics of fluvial drainage system in relief inversion in the Kumtagh Desert, China (40° 5’25.00”N, 91°32’12.25”E; image credit: ESRI World Imagery).

In western and southern Asia, Miller (1937) described very distinctive networks of inverted channels in eastern Saudi Arabia (Fig. 10). These features occur over an area covering ~10,000 km² and are cemented by calcrete and silcrete (Miller, 1937). Wright (1958) identified some inverted stream features in the Syrian Desert; they were cemented by calcrete and ferricrete. More inverted channels were identified in Wadi Al-Batin, Wadi Ad Dwasir, and Wadi Sabha in Saudi

Fig. 10. ESRI World images coupled with ALOS PALSAR digital elevation models showing two well-preserved, inverted, dendritic paleostream systems in eastern Saudi Arabia; (a) 28°29'42.70"N, 44°17'26.14"E, and (b) 28°35'56.47"N, 44°12'19.56"E.
In India, Rakshit and Sundaram (1998) studied inverted channel and flood plain sediments cemented by calcrete and gypcrete. The crusts of calcrete and gypcrete on the surface and near surface indicate variations of the climate during late Quaternary time. Ollier and Scheth (2008) identified fluvial channel segments that were deposited from the late Cretaceous to the early Tertiary at the top of the Western Ghats escarpment, India. Additional examples in West Bengal are reported by Ghosh and Guchhait (2019), who investigated inverted channels that developed from ferricrete cementation during the late Pleistocene (150-35 ka).

3.3. Australia

Eleven examples of inverted channels have been reported in Australia (Table 2, Fig. 4). An ancient example in southwest Australia documents fluvial activity in the area since the Permian (Fairbridge and Finkl, 1978; Finkl and Fairbridge, 1979). Finkl and Fairbridge (1979) mapped four distinctive systems: a) the “Kirup system” which developed during the Permian; b) the “Westralian system,” active during the Jurassic; c) the “Darling system,” active from the Cretaceous to the Eocene; and d) the “Ravensthorpe system,” an Eocene to Quaternary system. The Kirup system and Westralian systems were deeply buried and lithified at 300 and 280 m, respectively (Finkl and Fairbridge, 1979).

A distinctive paleochannel at Mirackina, South Australia, was investigated by Barnes and Pitt (1976), McNally and Wilson (1996), and Williams et al. (2013). This former channel is composed of a series of parallel mesas extending for more than 200 km from north to south that rise 30-40 m above the surrounding terrain (Fig. 11). The mesas consist of fluvial conglomerate and sandstone (McNally and Wilson, 1996). The sediments were cemented by silcrete and ferruginised silcrete in the surface and near subsurface environments before inversion began (McNally and Wilson, 1996). In the Kalgoorlie region, Western Australia, an Eocene system was incised into a weathered surface (Ollier et al., 1988). The main trunks of this system are several kilometers wide. The sediments were cemented by silcrete and ferricrete in the surface/near-subsurface setting before the onset of inversion (Ollier et al., 1988). Other examples have been reported to occur on the Cape York Peninsula, in the Lawlers area, in New South Wales, Queensland, and Robe River, Western Australia, and South Australia (e.g., Macleod, 1966; Mann and Horwitz 1979; Twidal et al., 1985; Hall and Kneeshaw, 1990; Anand and Smith, 1993; Pain and Ollier, 1995; Hou et al., 2007).
In the El Capitan area, ~ 50 km northeast of Cobar, Australia, there is an example of inverted relief capped by volcanic products (Cundari and Ollier, 1970). Mid-Miocene rivers were displaced from their beds by lava flows dated by K-Ar to be 17 million years old (McQueen et al., 2007; Cohen et al., 2007). These ridges stand up to 40 m above the surrounding topography; ~25 m of this thickness consists of volcanic materials and ~15 m is composed of Silurian sedimentary rocks (Cundari and Ollier, 1970). More volcanic examples are reported to occur in association with the Bullengarook, Campaspe, and Coliban rivers in Victoria (Pain and Ollier, 1995). Some of these examples have a complex history that includes incision by fluvial activity, lava flows, inversion by wind erosion, and post-basalt drainage response (Pain and Ollier, 1995).

**Fig. 11.** (a) Google Earth image showing the Mirackina paleochannel and surrounded land surfaces (28°21'1.56"S, 134°49'14.52"E). (b) Digital Elevation Model (DEM) derived from the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) 30 m data depicting the topography of the same area.

### 3. 4. Europe

Only two sites of channel relief inversion have been identified in Europe. These occur on the Isle of Skye in northwest Scotland (Bell and Williamson, 2013) and in the Ebro basin of Spain (Friend
et al., 1983; Cuevas Martínez et al., 2010). On the Isle of Skye, near Talisker, Paleocene lavas have caused relief inversion of at least 120 m (Bell and Williamson, 2013). In the Ebro basin, an exhumed channel system covers an area of about 3,000 km² (Friend et al., 1981; Mohrig et al., 2000; Cuevas Martínez et al., 2010). These channel sandstones were deposited during Oligocene-Miocene times and have a thickness up to 15 m in some outcrops (Cuevas Martínez et al., 2010) (Fig. 12).

Fig. 12. Google Earth image depicting exhumed paleochannel outcrops in the Ebro basin that were deposited during the Oligocene and Miocene (41° 8'55.03"N, 0°11'7.31"W).

3. 5. North America

Inverted channels in North America have been reported to occur at 32 sites (Table 2; Fig. 4). Inverted channels in North America were first observed by Whitney (1865) in the Sierra Nevada; LeConte (1880, 1886) pointed out that these rivers were probably established at the beginning of the Cretaceous when this area was elevated above sea level to be a subject for fluvial activity during the Cretaceous and Tertiary. These rivers were then displaced by flooding of lava (LeConte, 1886). A typical example occurs at Table Mountain, California, where Rhodes (1980, 1987)
identified at least 16 junctions along ~ 15 km of the inverted paleochannel (Fig. 13). Gorny et al. (2009) used palaeomagnetic remanence data to determine the absolute age of the lava flow at 10.36±0.06 and 10.41±0.08 Ma. More examples of inverted channels filled by volcanic materials in the USA have been identified on Mount Rainier, Washington (Lescinsky and Sisson, 1998), Lewis and Yakima Counties, Washington (Church et al., 1983), New Mexico (Channer et al., 2015), Cimarron County, Oklahoma (Suneson and Luza, 1999), on the Colorado Plateau (Lazear et al., 2013), Black Canyon, western Colorado (Sandoval, 2007), Snow Canyon State Park, Utah (Higgins, 2000), and the Grand Canyon, Arizona (Karlstorm et al., 2017). Inverted channels capped by lava are also well documented in Michoacán, Mexico (Guilbaud et al., 2011), Coconino County, Arizona (Billingsley et al., 2007), and St. George, Utah (Williams and Irwin, 2009).

**Fig. 13.** Nadir view showing inverted channels capped by shoshonite, basaltic, andesite, latite lava at Table Mountain, California (37°55′14.33″N, 120°27′39.98″W; Image credit: Google Earth). Lucchitta (2011) examined about 140 km of continuous and discontinuous inverted streams in northern Arizona. The pebbles of these streams came from the San Juan Mountains and were incised into Jurassic and Cretaceous rocks during the Miocene. Lucchitta (2011) pointed out that the drainage networks of this system were rearranged two times by recent rivers before the surrounded topography was lowered 1-2 km by erosion. Keller and Morgan (2013, 2016) examined
an Eocene inverted fluvial system, which occurs over an area with 65 km in length and 4 to 10 km in width, in the east-central Colorado. This system may reach more than 40 m thickness with a paleoflow direction from east to northeast. These channel bodies extend to 12 km in length and between 1-2 km in width (Keller and Morgan, 2013, 2016). Love and Seager (1996) studied more than 300 km² of well-preserved fluvial fans related to sediments of the Mimbres drainage, which were deposited during wet and dry periods in the late Pleistocene and Holocene. These fans are distinguished by anabranching distributary channels, some of which were cemented in the surface/near-subsurface environment and armoured by wind deflation (Fig. 1). These fans were deposited by large flood events during the extension of the Mimbres River (Love and Seager, 1996). More sites are characterized by cemented materials in surface and near-surface setting have been identified in Lake Tecopa, Nevada (Morrison and Mifflin, 2000), Harrisonburg, Virginia (Doctor et al., 2014), Quinn River, Nevada (Matsubara et al., 2015), Great Salt Lake, Utah (Oviatt et al., 2003), southeastern Arizona (e.g., Lindsey and Van Gosen, 2010), Colorado Piedmont (Morgan et al., 2008), and in the Mojave Desert, California (McDonald et al., 2003). Additional examples, in which there is absence or lack in cemented minerals in surface and near-surface setting coupled with large clasts, occur in northwestern Nebraska (Diffendal, 1994), Great Salt Lake (Oviatt et al., 2003), and eastern Montana/western North Dakota (Clausen, 2018).

Inverted point-bar sediments composed of very fine sandstones occur in the Clear Fork Group (Lower Permian) of the north-central Texas; these were deposited by small and variable discharge perennial streams of high sinuosity (Edwards et al., 1983). These point-bar sediments were buried, lithified, then exhumed (Edwards et al., 1983). Another exhumed paleochannel system has been studied in detail in southeast Emery County of east-central Utah (Derr, 1974; Higgins and Willies, 1995; Williams et al., 2007; Williams et al., 2009; Williams et al., 2011; Clarke and Stoker, 2011) (Fig. 1c). This system occurs within the Morrison and Cedar Mountain Formations, which were deposited during the late Jurassic and early Cretaceous, respectively (Williams et al., 2007). These paleochannels have carbonate cements and were buried beneath 2,400 m of younger deposits (Williams et al., 2007; Williams et al., 2011). These channels were buried for 75 million years until middle and late Cenozoic regional uplift led to their exhumation (Williams et al., 2007). Paleodischarge of these channels has been estimated to be from 8 to 120 m³/s (Derr, 1974). Mohrig et al. (2000) reported a more recent (Eocene) example from western Colorado, while Wang and
Bhattacharya (2018) investigated paleochannels that were deposited in the Cretaceous Notom Delta in south central Utah.

**Fig. 14.** (a) ESRI image showing an elevated fluvial fan (Columbus fan) in the Mimbres Basin, New Mexico (31°55'16.18"N, 107°28'56.62"W). (b) Close-up satellite image for the Mimbres Basin showing complex system of anabranching and meandering forms with a distributary pattern (Image Credit: ESRI World Imagery).
3.6. Mediterranean Sea

Recently, inverted paleoriver features were identified offshore of Cyprus, Syria, Lebanon, and Israel (Fig. 15; Madof et al., 2019). These submarine ridges were discovered in seismic data from the western Levant Basin with a total length ~500 km (Madof et al., 2019). These former rivers are interpreted to have deposited sediments that became inverted during the late Miocene Messinian Salinity Crisis when the level of the Mediterranean was considerably lower than it is at present (Madof et al., 2019). These stream channels were cemented, eroded to stand as ridges, then buried beneath younger geological formations.

Fig. 15. (a), (b), (c) Isochron maps obtained 3D seismic data show the morphology of Nahr Menashe paleoriver that incised and inverted during the Messinian Salinity Crisis (34° N, 35° E; adapted from Madof et al., 2019, https://insu.cnrs.fr/fr/cnrsinfo/quand-des-fleuves-secouaient-au-fond-de-la-mediterranee).
3. 7. South America

In Argentina, there are three documented sites of inverted relief (Cas et al., 2011; Foix et al., 2012; Ristorcelli et al., 2013; Foix et al., 2018). Two of the three sites were capped by volcanic materials in Cerro Galán Ignimbrite (Cas et al., 2011) and Pinguino, Santa Cruz Province (Ristorcelli et al., 2013). The third site is in Cañadón Asfalto Basin, and this consists of sandstone bodies deposited during Cretaceous time, then buried, lithified and exhumed (Fig. 16; Foix et al., 2012; Foix et al., 2018). The exhumed outcrops cover area of ~100 km² (Foix et al., 2012).

**Fig. 16.** Google Earth image showing meandering paleochannel with high-sinuosity pattern in Cañadón Asfalto Basin, Argentina (44° 4'7.33"S, 67°44'25.75"W).

Two sites of relief inversion that filled by lava flows have been identified in Colombia in Nevado del Tolima and Cerro Machin (Thouret et al., 1995) and the Frío River valley (Caballero et al., 2016). Morgan et al. (2014) identified some inverted channels associated with alluvial fans in the Pampa del Tamarugal region of the Chilean Atacama Desert, which they identified as a terrestrial analogue for similar sinuous ridges in Saheki crater on Mars. These channels are in 1-2 m in height, and they are inactive parts of alluvial fans (Morgan et al., 2014). These paleochannels were inverted due to coarse grains and potential chemical cementation in surface and near-surface
setting (Morgan et al., 2014). Very young inverted channels and canals (~1 ka in age) have been reported from Lower Ica Valley, Peru, that are consolidated by calcrete enrichment (Beresford-Jones et al. 2009).

4. PROCESSES OF RELIEF INVERSION

Our survey suggests that five different pathways lead to fluvial channel inversion on Earth. Fluvial sediments can become cemented in the surface and near-subsurface environment (early diagenesis), or they can be compacted and cemented in the deep sub-surface (i.e. burial diagenesis). Alternatively, channels can be filled or partly filled by volcanic materials. Some channels are inverted without cementation or igneous cap rocks; these include channel sediment bodies covered in a lag or armour of their own coarse clasts and the burning and compaction of bank-forming peat.

We find that ~59% of the examples on Earth appear to have been cemented in surface/near-subsurface environments prior to inversion, ~23% were channels or valleys filled or partly-filled by volcanic materials (lava, tuff), ~11% are cases in which channel sediments were lithified via deep burial diagenesis, 6% examples formed by surface armour development on unconsolidated fluvial sediment, and ~1% (one example) resulted from the burning and compaction of bank-forming peat. Wind deflation, exhumation due to tectonic activity, and water erosion played important roles in removing the central parts of the channel leaving the floor stands as a ridge. In this section, we describe the mechanisms that transform the channel from an incision to a ridge (an inversion) in the landscape.

4. 1. CIRCUMSTANCES LEADING TO CHANNEL FILL EROSION RESISTANCE

4. 1. 1. Fluvial sediment cementation during near-surface early diagenesis (eogenesis)

Surface and near surface cementation and relief inversion typically leads to linear, sometimes discontinuous, and usually sinuous single or double ridges that provide evidence for paleochannels (Fig. 17a). Cementation is limited to perhaps tens of meters, and no deep burial is involved. In terms of coarse clastic sediment diagenesis, the regime of this process is “eogenesis” (Worden and Burley, 2003). A common term for these materials is duricrusts, “regolith material indurated by a cement or the cement only, occurring at or near the surface, or as a layer in the upper part of the regolith” (Eggleton 2001). Duricrusts play a role in relief inversion because of the tendency for some to form preferentially in valleys and depressions (Pain and Ollier, 1995: Pain et al., 2007).
Cementation by silica, iron, calcium carbonate, or gypsum, among others, form ferricrete, silcrete, calcrete, and gypcrete, respectively (Pain and Ollier, 1995; Wright, 2007; Nash and Ullyott, 2007; Worden and Burley, 2003). Differing cements can be co-mingled (e.g., silcrete and calcrete – Nash and Shaw, 1998). Duricrusts have generated a vast literature; only those aspects relevant to relief inversion are considered here.

Silcrete is “strongly silicified, indurated regolith, generally of low permeability, commonly having a conchoidal fracture with a vitreous lustre” (Eggleton, 2001). Most silcretes form low in landscapes along valleys or lakes but some may form at breakaway margins as a result of lateral groundwater movement (Taylor and Eggleton, 2017). It is thought that silcretes form in wet and probably seasonal climates where water tables are high for at least part of the year. Taylor and Eggleton (2017) also suggest that there must be plenty of organic acids.

Ferricrete is “an indurated material formed by the cementation of regolith by iron oxyhydroxides, mainly goethite and/or hematite” (Eggleton 2001). It may form in both in situ weathered bedrock and in sediments. It commonly forms in low-lying areas such as lakes, swamps, spring discharge sites (seepages), valley floors, aquifers or groundwater-mixing zones (Anand and Paine 2002). It seems likely that iron moves in solution to lower parts of the landscape during wet periods and dries out and becomes indurated during dry periods. This implies seasonal climates and fluctuating water tables (Pain and Ollier 1992).

Calcrete is a term “used broadly to refer to regolith carbonate accumulations, forming more- or less-well cemented aggregates composed largely of calcium carbonate” (Eggleton 2001). Calcrete occurs mainly in arid and semiarid regions where the lack of leaching by rainfall leads to accumulation of carbonates. Groundwater calcretes are of most interest here because they can lead to relief inversion when they are deposited along valley floors (Pain and Ollier, 1995).

This process can occur in two settings relative to the surface; surficial cementation like those in the southern part of the Egyptian Sahara (e.g., Giegengack, 1968; Zaki et al., 2018), in the Mimbres drainage in New Mexico (Bryan, 1940; Love and Seager, 1996), in the Kumtagh Desert, China (Wang et al., 2017), and near surface cementation like examples in Australia at Mirackina (Barnes and Pitt, 1976; Pain and Ollier, 1995; McNally and Wilson, 1996) and in Wadi Wahiba in Oman (Maizels, 1983, 1987, 1990). Surface and near surface cementation occurs from evapotranspiration of water from near-surface environments (Pain and Ollier, 1995; Wright, 2007; Nash and Ullyott,
Groundwater discharge was the main cause of inversion of relief in the Arckaringa, South Australia, where fill remnants are preserved beneath a layer of silcrete (McNally and Wilson, 1996). Some inverted river valleys and channels on Cape York Peninsula in Queensland, Australia, were created as a consequence of the formation of silcrete in alluvium and in saprolite adjacent to the alluvium (Pain et al. 1994).

The occurrence of inverted channels in surface and near-surface settings require low-precipitation rates and high-evaporation rates and thus are common in the arid environments (e.g., Goudie, 1973; Dixon and McLaren, 2009). One thing that all these duricrusts have in common is the requirement for water to transport the cementing agent. Lateral water movement both downslope and down valley is important.

**Fig. 17.** Schematic diagram showing inversion of relief mechanisms; (a) fluvial sediment cementation during near-surface early diagenesis (eogenesis), (b) fluvial sediment or channel fill lithification during burial diagenesis in the subsurface (mesogenesis), (c) channel sediment body surface armouring, and (d) channel or valley filling by volcanic materials.
4.1.2. Fluvial sediment or channel fill lithification during burial diagenesis in the subsurface (mesogenesis)

Consolidation of fluvial sediment, usually on alluvial fans, deltas or alluvial plains, occurs when the sediments become buried to depths of at least 1 km (Fig. 17b). The mesogenesis regime starts at depths of 1–2 km, where the temperatures reach 30–70°C (Worden and Burley, 2003). Burial diagenesis can occur purely via compaction but usually involves both compaction and cementation. Some cementation and replacement in the sediments is involved due to temperature and pressure (e.g., Taylor, 1950; Worden and Burley, 2003). Subsequent tectonic events and exhumation can return lithified channel sediments to the surface and differential erosion can invert these rocks (e.g., Williams et al., 2007; Zaki et al., 2018). The examples in Utah studied by Williams et al. (2007) were buried to 2,400 m beneath the surface; other deep-burial examples occur in the Egyptian Sahara (Brookes, 2003; Zaki et al., 2018), the Algerian and Libyan deserts (Girard et al., 2012), Argentina (Foix et al., 2012; Foix et al., 2018), and in Spain (Cuevas Martínez et al., 2010).

The materials involved in deep burial lithification and exhumation are typically older than those related to surface/near-subsurface cementation (Section 4.1.1), and, although the inverted ridges may occur as singular ridges or coaligned ridge segments, exhumed examples can also display cross-cutting patterns of former channels of differing age. Because of their origin on fans and plains, they can be spread over large areas; the example in Spain (Cuevas Martínez et al., 2010) covers an area of 30 x 30 km².

4.1.3. Channel or valley filling by volcanic materials

Stream channels, and sometimes the valleys in which they occur, can become topographically inverted via differential erosion some time after volcanic materials flow down a stream course, mimicking its form (Fig. 17d; LeConte, 1880, 1886; Cundari and Ollier, 1970; Pain and Ollier, 1995). In these cases, the solidified lava or tuff is resistant to erosion and protects the underlying valley floor sediments and regolith from erosion, leading eventually to inverted relief (Pain and Ollier, 1995). Well-preserved examples occur in Sierra Nevada, California, USA (e.g., Whitney, 1865; LeConte, 1880; 1886; Rhodes, 1980, 1987) and El Capitan, northeast of Cobar, NSW, Australia (Cundari and Ollier, 1970; McQueen et al., 2007). Other examples are found in Victoria, Australia, where basalts overlie gold-bearing alluvium. The latter is cemented to form silcrete in
some places, the silcrete being restricted to the edges of the former channels (Pain and Ollier, 1995).

4. 1. 3. Channel sediment body surface armouring

In arid or hyperarid settings, unconsolidated fluvial sediment can take on an inverted (ridge) form entirely by aeolian deflation in which finer sediment is removed and a lag of coarser grains (pebbles, cobbles, in some cases, boulders) armours the surface of the remaining, underlying deposit (Fig. 17c; Pain and Ollier, 1995; Giegengack, 1968; Zaki et al., 2018). This process is rare because it requires both an absence of or minimal cementation and processes (particularly aeolian deflation) to concentrate clasts that form the armor (e.g., Giegengack, 1968; Pain and Ollier, 1995). Reported examples occur in the Mimbres drainage, New Mexico, USA (Bryan, 1940; Love and Seager, 1996), Egyptian Sahara (Giegengack, 1968; Zaki et al., 2018), and the Kumtagh Desert, China (Wang et al., 2017).

4. 1. 5. Compaction of bank-forming peat

Stream sediment can be left standing as a ridge when the peat that forms stream banks loses volume due to burning and compaction. This process has been reported from only one site in the Okavango Delta (Fig. 8; Ellery et al., 1989; Gumbricht et al., 2004).

4. 2. Erosional inversion of channels

A channel becomes inverted when material formed by one of the processes described above resists erosion at the Earth’s surface to a greater degree than the surrounding material. Several processes act to promote this erosion, including surface runoff, wind erosion, and tectonism.

4. 2. 1. Water erosion

In regions where fluvial processes dominate, the main agent of relief inversion is running water, usually in channels. In humid areas such as Victoria, Australia, and parts of California, the resistant material is mainly lava, although silcrete is also common. In these places, water flows on either side of the resistant rock, forming twin lateral streams that eventually cut down and leave the lava and underlying alluvium as inverted relief.
In drier or more seasonal climates, water is also dominant in surface-lowering and relief inversion. In areas such as the Cape York Peninsula, Australia, the dominant agent of denudation, or surface lowering, is water either flowing across the landscape as surface wash or confined in channels as streams and rivers. Water thus contributes to the development of inverted channels, forming new drainage lines or networks (Pain et al., 1994). Duricrusts such as ferricrete and silcrete protect former valley floors from erosion, leading to inverted relief with both duricrusts and alluvium occupying high areas in the landscape. Duricrusts continue to form in the new valleys (Fig. 18). Several cycles of relief inversion during surface lowering may explain the widespread scatter of well-rounded quartz pebbles in Cape York, Australia.

![Diagram of inverted relief on Cape York Peninsula, northern Australia.](image)

**Fig. 18.** Inverted relief on Cape York Peninsula, northern Australia. On the Embley Range (12°46'16.26"S, 142°39'46.66"E) there is a deep weathering profile capped by alluvium and ferricrete in inverted relief. There is a very similar profile on the modern Wenlock River, the location of a future inversion of relief. Not shown are pods of silcrete in the mottled saprolite that may contribute to the resistant materials that led to inverted relief.

There are many other examples, where water erosion contributes to relief inversion, including west Esna City in the Egyptian Sahara (Fig. 19; Zaki et al., 2018) and Wadi Wahiba in Oman (Maizels, 1983, 1987, 1990). Surface runoff could also be accompanied by groundwater discharge along inverted channels such as at Mirackina, South Australia (Barnes and Pitt, 1976; McNally and Wilson, 1996). We find that relief inversion by water erosion requires a change in base level, either
abrupt or gradual, to maintain the gradients necessary for water flow (Barned and Pitt, 1976; Pain et al., 1994; Zaki et al., 2018).

Fig. 19. Inverted channels are surrounded by modern drainage lines in west Esna City, Egypt (25° 09' 56.4"N, 31° 59' 27.9"E; Image credit: ESRI World Imagery). The modern drainage lines contribute to relief inversion process.

4. 2. 2. Aeolian erosion

There are two main processes of wind erosion: abrasion and deflation (e.g., Wiggs and Livingstone, 2003). Abrasion is a result of the impact of solid particles driven by saltation (e.g., Wiggs and Livingstone, 2003; Gillies et al., 2009; Bristow et al., 2009). Deflation is the entrainment and removal of solid particles by wind. This happens through saltation of coarser particles and suspension of fine particles. On Earth, in arid and hyper-arid settings, deflation can take place down to the level of the water table. However, unlike relief inversion by water erosion, wind erosion does not require a fall in base level; it can indeed form closed depressions (Giegengack, 1968; Pain and Ollier, 1995).

Aeolian erosion is involved in the development of inverted relief in arid climates on Earth, where wind, at least today, is the dominant geomorphic process in hyper-arid and arid environments. There are examples in the Sahara (e.g., Giegengack, 1968; Bristow et al., 2009; Zaki et al., 2018),
Kumtagh Desert, China (Wang et al., 2017), Arabian Peninsula (e.g., Matter et al., 2016), North America (e.g., Love and Seager, 1996; Oviatt et al., 2003), and South America (e.g., Beresford-Jones et al. 2009; Morgan et al., 2014). The rate of erosion of materials in “the dustiest place on Earth,” the Bodélé Depression, Chad, ranges from 1.6 to 10 mm per year (Bristow et al., 2009). This rate has produced one of the youngest inverted channels on Earth during the last 2,400 years (Bristow et al., 2009). A rate of 0.21–0.28 mm/year in the Kumtagh Desert, China, produced an inverted channel with an age of ~ 100 ka (e.g., Lai et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2017).

4. 2. 3. Exhumation

Tectonic events also are involved in the development of inverted channels (Williams et al., 2007). When a stream sediment becomes deeply buried and lithified, tectonic events can return the fluvial sediments to the surface to become the subject of wind erosion and surface runoff, which can lead to topographic inversion. Exhumation by tectonic activity has been reported from Utah (Williams et al., 2007; Williams et al., 2011), Ebro basin (Cuevas et al., 2010), Cañadón Asfalto Basin, Argentina (Foix et al., 2012; Foix et al., 2018), South West Australia (Fairbridge and Finkl, 1978), Tassili N’Ajjer, Libya and Algeria (Girard et al., 2012), and Northern Niger (Smith et al., 2015).

5. MORPHOLOGY, SEDIMENTOLOGY, AND GEOCHRONOLOGY

5. 1. Morphology

Inverted fluvial landforms on Earth usually occur in the form of flat-topped linear sinuous channels, deltas and fans, meanders and point bars (Fig. 20). Sinuous channel forms are the most common. The preserved length of stream channel segments ranges from tens of meters like those in the Egyptian and Sudanese Sahara (Zaki and Giegengack, 2016; Giegengack and Zaki, 2017; Zaki et al., 2018) to tens of kilometers such as examples in northern Arizona (Lucchitta et al., 2011), west of Ghard Abu Muharik, Egyptian Sahara (Zaki et al., 2018), Wahiba, Oman (Maizels, 1990), Table Mountain, California (Rhodes, 1987), and Arckaringa in South Australia (Barnes and Pitt, 1976; McNally and Wilson, 1996). These inverted channels have widths ranging from a few tens of meters like those in eastern Saudi Arabia and New Mexico to hundreds of meters such as the inverted channels on the surface of Limestone Plateau in Egypt and in Wahiba, Oman (e.g., Miller, 1937; Maizels, 1987; Love and Seager, 1996; Zaki et al., 2018). Inverted channels typically have heights of tens of meters: examples are 33 m on the surface of Limestone Plateau in the
Egyptian Sahara, and 30-40 m in South Australia (e.g., McNally and Wilson, 1996; Zaki et al., 2018). These fluvial ridges exhibit different patterns, including linear, dendritic, and rectangular, but a sinuous pattern is the most common. All of these inverted channels were incised by precipitation-fed runoff except one example in the Lake Bogoria, Kenya, that incised by groundwater seepage from springs (Renault et al., 2013).

**Fig. 20.** ESRI world images showing: (a) Sinuous inverted channel in the Tablazo de Ica, Peru (14° 29' 07.87"S, 75° 49 '17.56"W). (b) Inverted channels associated with deltaic deposits in Tushka paleolake, Egypt (22° 44' 59.56"N, 30° 44 '28.78"E). (c) Inverted channels in alluvial fan in the Chilean Atacama Desert Egypt (21° 07' 10.83"S, 69° 34 '58.61"W). (d) Point-bars in relief inversion in North-Central Texas, USA (33° 44' 13.78"N, 99° 26 '33.73"W).

In a few cases, inverted stream channels occur on deltas and fans, such as the Columbus fan in New Mexico, USA (Fig. 13; Love and Seager, 1996), the inverted channels of the Fayum Depression, Egypt, and the newly-identified deltaic features at paleolake Tushka (Fig. 6; Zaki et al., 2018). Point bars have been identified in north-central Texas and in the Cañadón Asfalto Basin, Argentina (Edwards et al., 1983; Foix et al., 2012). Typical examples of exhumed meanders are
found in the Dakhla Depression, Egypt (Brookes, 2003; Zaki et al., 2018), Cañadón Asfalto Basin, Argentina (Foix et al., 2012; Foix et al., 2018), and northern Niger (Smith et al., 2015).

In the Dakhla Depression, Egypt, and the Lop-Nor in China, exhumed meanders and channels are accompanied by yardangs (Fig. 21). Yardangs are erosional landforms that provide insights useful for reconstructing past and present wind regimes (e.g., McCauley et al., 1977; Goudie, 2007). In eastern Saudi Arabia, many hollows extending to tens of meters in diameter accompany inverted streams. These hollows indicate that a leaching process contributed to cementation (Miller, 1937). Some of the inverted-channel bodies were reworked to develop new drainage systems such as the Campaspe and Coliban Rivers in Victoria, Australia (Pain and Ollier, 1995), and the west of Esna city, Egypt (Zaki et al., 2018). Others developed twin, lateral streams; an example is the Bullengarook lava flow in Victoria, Australia, which is inverted, and flanked by two streams (Pain and Ollier, 1995).

**Fig. 21.** Field photograph from the Dakhla Depression, Egypt, showing an exhumed, inverted stream meander and accompanying yardangs (25° 27’ 20.57”N, 29° 12’ 58.82”E).

Circular features have been observed on the bodies of inverted channels in the Egyptian and Sudanese Sahara (Fig. 22). These circular features have diameters ranging from 2 to 5 meters. Crombie et al. (1997) interpreted these features in the Egyptian Sahara as spring mounds. In the Sudanese Sahara, there is no investigation on these features, but archeological studies in this area indicated to spring mounds in this area. These springs developed during late Quaternary time (Nicoll, 2004).
Fig. 22. Google Earth images showing 2-5 m circular features that are interpreted to be spring mounds superposed an inverted stream sediment in the northern part of Sudan (21°56′19.08″ N, 33°03′38.05″ E).
5. 2. Sedimentology

The sedimentary structures of inverted channel deposits on Earth are variable and depend on the local geologic and climatic conditions. In this section, we present a general overview of the documented sedimentary structures of inverted channels.

Some inverted channel bodies contain poorly to well sorted, clast-supported cobble gravels like those of the Mimbres drainage, New Mexico, USA (Love and Seager, 1996), southern part of the Egyptian Sahara (Zaki et al., 2018) (Fig. 23a, c, and d), Saudi Arabia (Matter et al., 2016). In this case, the ridge consists of one sedimentary unit (channel fill) overlying bedrock.

Cross-bedded structures with fine to coarse sand channel-belts have been reported from many examples, including Emery County, Utah (e.g., Williams et al., 2007; Williams et al., 2011), the Tassili N’Ajjjer, Algeria and Libya (e.g., Girard et al., 2012), the Dakhla Depression, Egypt (Brookes, 2003; Zaki et al., 2018) (Fig. 23b, e, f, and g), and Ebro Basin, Spain (Cuevas Martínez et al., 2010).

The sedimentary structures can be also preserved beneath lavas in cases of relief inversion by volcanism. For example, McQueen et al. (2007) described 40 m of stratigraphy preserved as an inverted channel at El Capitan, New South Wales, Australia. The ridge consists of about 5 m of volcanic materials resting on about 1 m of river sediments that, in turn, rest on strongly weathered saprolite. In Victoria, Australia, a common feature is the development of silcrete between overlying basalt and underlying alluvium (Pain and Ollier, 1995). This silcrete may have developed by groundwater flow from adjacent slopes into the alluvium (Pain and Ollier, 1995). It is confined to the edges of the basalt flow, and its resistance to erosion is demonstrated by the fact that miners looking for gold in the alluvium would rather excavate through the basalt on top of the inverted channel rather than try to dig through the silcrete on the edge even though the latter would have meant a shorter route to non-cemented alluvium.

There is also very little stream sediment preserved in inverted channels developed by surface armouring. The channels beds are composed of large clasts that are not easily removed by either water or wind (Fig. 24; Giegengack, 1968).
Fig. 23. Photographs showing the sedimentary structures in six inverted fluvial systems in the Egyptian Sahara, USA, and Argentina: (a) in the Arqin region (hammer for scale), (b) north of Gabal El-sadd (30 cm-ruler for scale), (c) Dakhla Depression, (d) west of Ghard Abu Muharik, (e), (f) near the Green River in Utah (19 mm-coin for scale in “f”), and (g) Cañadón Asfalto Basin, Argentina. The sedimentary structures in these systems record short and long-lived fluvial activity; in the Egyptian Sahara, the inverted gravel beds in the Arqin and Gabal El-Sadd regions document short fluvial events, but the inverted systems in the Dakhla Depression, west of Ghard Abu Muharik, Utah, and in the Cañadón Asfalto Basin record long-lived fluvial activity. Photograph (g) by Nicolás Foix.
Fig. 24. Section from an inverted channel developed by surface armouring in southern Egypt. The large clasts cannot be removed by wind erosion. Photograph by Robert Giegengack from 1963.

5. 3. Geochronology
There are at least three possibly overlapping stages in relief inversion for which it would be ideal to have a chronology:

1. The age and duration of formation of the original channels and their valleys.
2. The age and duration of processes (lava flows, cementing, lithification) that led to the erosional resistance of the channel sediment or valley fill.
3. The age and duration of the subsequent erosion that resulted in relief inversion. In the case of deep sediment lithification, relief inversion begins when the resistant material is re-exposed at the surface; typically, this occurs some considerable time after deposition of the channel deposits.

Some of these are easier to ascertain than others, all extend over a period, and there may be some overlap. If we take the volcanism example of El Capitan, in New South Wales, Australia, we can note the following, based on ages from McQueen et al. (2007) and Cohen et al. (2007): (1) the
leucitite flows that cover the alluvium and provide material resistant to erosion are about 17 Ma K-Ar years old; (2) the valleys were cut into bedrock of Cambrian-Ordovician age, but exposure, weathering and valley development took place at some time in the late Mesozoic; (3) by the time the leucitite flows were emplaced, there was a weathering profile at least 10 m thick; and (4), since the deposition of the leucitite flows, the erosion rate has been 1 – 2 m per million years. Thus, stage 1 took place between the end of the Mesozoic and 17 Ma, a duration of at least 60 Ma. Stage 2 took place around 17 Ma, and stage 3 took place from 17 Ma, and still continues. If we combine stages 2 and 3 as representing the process of relief inversion, the “age” of the inverted relief is 17 Ma.

An example of inverted relief from deep sediment lithification is provided by Williams et al. (2007). In Utah, several units containing fluvial deposits were formed in the Late Jurassic and Early Cretaceous. They were then buried by more than a kilometer of Late Cretaceous sediments before being exhumed in the middle and late Cenozoic. Most of the development of inverted relief took place during the Neogene and Quaternary, so the age of the inverted relief is only 5-6 Ma.

We did not find sufficient information for the three overlapping stages (incision, sediment consolidation, then inversion) for all the examples. So we constrain the age of inverted channels on Earth for the incision stage based on absolute and relative ages provided in the literature. The age ranges from Upper Ordovician in the Tassili N’Ajjer in the border of Algeria and Libya (Girard et al., 2012) to late Holocene in the Bodélé Depression and Lower Ica Valley with an age 2.5 and 0.2 ka, respectively (Bristow et al., 2009; Beresford-Jones et al. 2009). Using carbon-14 dating, Busche (1988) determined the age of incising some inverted channels in the Kharga Depression at 225 ± 40 years.

In this study, we examined the relative and absolute ages of 74 sites representing the four mechanisms of inversion of relief (Fig. 25; Table 2). We found that inversion of relief by channel fill cementation could occur in as little as a few hundred years (Holocene)—such as the channels in Kharga Depression—to tens of million years (Paleocene, Eocene, and Oligocene time) as, for example, in sub-Saharan West Africa (Butt and Bristow, 2013), channels in the west of Ghrad Abu Muhraik in the Egyptian Sahara (Zaki et al., 2018), and Kalgoorlie region, Australia (Ollier et al., 1988).
Broadly, we find that sediment lithification due to burial on Earth ranges in time from late Ordovician in Tassili N’Ajjer, Libya and Algeria to Late Miocene in in the offshore Cyprus, Syria, Lebanon and Israel (~ 459 Ma to ~ 6 Ma) (Girard et al., 2012; Madof et al., 2019). That the oldest examples are only Ordovician in age probably reflects not only the nature of rocks exposed at Earth’s continental surfaces, but also the preservation of such clastic rocks as a function of Earth surface and crustal processes through time (e.g., Garrels and Mackenzie, 1969; Husson and Peters, 2018).

Volcanic inverted relief has a wide temporal range, extending from 17 Ma at El Capitan, northeast of Cobar, New South Wales, Australia (McQueen et al. 2007; Cohen et al., 2007), to late Pleistocene at Mount Rainier, Washington, USA (Lescinsky and Sisson, 1998).

Channels inverted by surface armouring developed between ~ 10 ka in the Great Salt Lake Desert, USA (Oviatt et al., 2003) to 100 ka in the Kumtagh Desert in China (Wang et al., 2015).

**Fig. 25.** Age of inverted-channel sediments on Earth for different mechanisms (surface and near-subsurface cementation, lithification due to burial, volcanism, and surface armouring). Around of 36% of inverted fluvial channels developed by surface and near-subsurface cementation, volcanism, and surface armouring during Quaternary time (2.5 Ma). More than 57% of inverted fluvial channels formed by surficial cementation, sediment lithification due to deep burial, and volcanism developed since Cretaceous time to late Pliocene (~145 Ma to ~2.5 Ma). In the periods of Upper Ordovician to late Permian (~252 Ma to ~458 Ma), ~7% of inverted fluvial channels were developed due to sediment lithification and volcanism.
6. IMPLICATIONS FOR MARTIAN SINUOUS RIDGES

6.1. Paleoclimate conditions associated with inverted channels

The incision and inversion of stream channels on Earth are controlled by regional and global paleoclimatic events since the late Ordovician—early Silurian glacial period to the late Quaternary climate oscillation (Fig. 22; Girard et al., 2012; Giegengack, 1968; Zaki and Giegengack, 2016; Giegengack and Zaki, 2017). In the Tassili N’Ajjer, inverted sandstone bodies record high-magnitude flood events during the Late Ordovician (Girard et al., 2012). Then, a few examples of inverted channels from Argentina, southwest Australia, Morocco, Niger, Egypt, and Utah in USA developed during carboniferous, Permian, and Cretaceous periods and document huge amounts of information regarding the paleoenviromental conditions associated with these features in deep time (e.g., Fairbridge and Finkl, 1978; Williams et al, 2007; Brookes, 2003; Smith et al., 2015; Padgett and Ehrlich, 1976; Foix et al., 2012). Most inverted channels have formed during Paleogene, Neogene, and Quaternary periods over the globe. But during the late Quaternary, inverted channels document abrupt changes in the climate and the transition from wet to dry several times. The transition of the climate from wet to dry conditions have been identified in several sites, including examples from the southern part of Egypt (Giegengack, 1968; Giegengack and Zaki, 2017), Mimbres drainage, New Mexico, USA (Love and Seager, 1996), Bodélé Depression, Chad (Bristow et al., 2009), Kumtagh Desert (Wang et al., 2015), and Wadi Ad Dawsir and Wadi Sabha (Matter et al., 2016).

Formation of inverted channels passed through two climatic conditions: first, there was the climate in which the channels were formed and were conduits for clastic sediment transport (and deposition). Then, later, there were several episodes of dry/wet conditions or both, which affected the differential erosion of the materials exposed at Earth’s land surface, to cause former channel or valley sediment or fill to become inverted.

Therefore, studying martian sinuous ridges that are interpreted to be remnants of fluvial activity at the global and regional scale could help us in constraining and identifying known and unknown paleoclimatic events of the early Mars.
6.2. Formation mechanisms of inverted channels

Inversion of relief on Earth offers a wide range of morphological and sedimentological characteristics, where 107 inverted-channel sites were incised by precipitation-fed runoff and one site was incised by groundwater sapping at Lake Bogoria, Kenya (e.g., Miller, 1937; Giegengack, 1968; Williams et al., 2009; Girard et al., 2012; Renault et al., 2013).

Channel fill cementation in surface and near-subsurface environments for making the valley floor more resistant could be prevalent on Mars based on frequency of this process on Earth. Also, a few examples of inverted channels document volcanism pathway such as in the Mangala Valles (Tanaka and Chapman, 1990; Leverington, 2007) and northern Tharsis (Figure 1a of Zaki et al. 2018). Channel fill lithification due to deep burial could be rare like on Earth because of difficulties of returning lithified sediment to the surface, especially given the more benign tectonic situation. Perhaps the frequency of examples inverted by surface armouring could be greater on Mars because of its generally hyperarid climate over much of the last 2–3 billion years (e.g., Hurowitz et al., 2010; Zabrusky et al., 2012; Kite, 2019).

Ages of inverted stream channels on Earth provide some insights into at least the relative timescale of inversion of relief on Mars. Sediment lithification due to deep burial occurred over a wide range of time (~ 459 Ma to ~ 6 Ma), surface and near-subsurface cementation occurred in a shorter range (~ 66 Ma to 0.2 ka), volcanism also has a wide timescale (~ 145 Ma to ~87 ka), and surface armouring has a shorter timescale (~100 ka to ~10 ka) (e.g., Girard et al., 2012; Butt et al., 2013; Bristow et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2017). So, we find a relationship between the processes and timescale. Sediment lithification as a result of deep burial takes longer and there is thus preservation of older geologic and paleoclimatic records. Surface/near-subsurface cementation of channel sediment requires less time to develop, and surface armouring indicates an absence of burial and cementation processes with relatively large clasts and much less time. Volcanic eruptions can occur at any time. Although there is no evidence that volcanism has occurred in very recent times, including the era of spacecraft exploration (Christensen et al. 2005; Edgett et al, 2010), crater counting studies of volcanic surfaces shows that volcanism might have persisted throughout all of Mars history, with the youngest lavas being just 2.5–3 million years old (Vaucher et al., 2009; Halvey and Head, 2014).
6.3. Potential Earth analogues for the NASA Mars 2020 field site

The Mars 2020 rover will land in western Jezero crater, where deltaic features with inverted channels are well-preserved (e.g., Fassett and Head, 2005; Schon et al., 2012; Goudge et al., 2018). Here, we note a unique site that hosted a paleolake during the mid-Pleistocene as an Earth analogue to Jezero crater. This site, paleolake Tushka, is in southern Egypt (Fig. 7). On the shoreline of the paleolake, there is a series of deltaic features with paleochannels in relief inversion. This site could be very useful in addressing the morphological and sedimentological issues of Jezero crater. The morphological and sedimentological issues could be relevant to the propagation fan-shaped deposits in a lake and dry land, then transition from wet to dry environments, then wind deflation that erode the fluvial landforms to provide sediment supply to form different forms sand dunes.

7. Conclusions

In this paper, we reviewed the distribution of sinuous ridges that are interpreted to be remnants of fluvial activity, terminology, the distribution and attributes of inverted stream channels on Earth at a global scale for the first time. General morphological, sedimentological, and geochronological aspects provide insights pertaining the paleoclimate and paleoenvironmental conditions on Earth. We list 107 sites that offer 114 examples of inverted channels; 41 sites in Africa, 32 sites in North America, 13 sites in Asia, 11 sites in Australia, 7 sites in South America, 2 sites in Europe, and one site offshore from Cyprus, Syria, Lebanon and Israel. We find that early diagenetic (eogenesis) cementation is the main mechanism for making the channel-fill more consolidated on Earth with 67 examples (~59%). Thirteen examples (~11%) developed by channel sediment lithification due to deep burial (mesogenesis), 26 examples (~23%) formed by volcanism, 7 examples (~6%) due to surface armouring, and one site (~1%) due to decreased volume of bank-forming peat in the Okavango Delta in Botswana. Then, water erosion, exhumation by tectonic events, and wind deflation are the main causes of eroding fluvial channels to be topographically inverted. The estimated wind deflation rates that were involved in eroding the inverted channels range from 10 mm/year on the dustiest place on earth in the Bodélé Depression, Chad to 0.21 mm/year in Kumtagh Desert, China.

Inverted stream channels on Earth exhibit different forms, including flat-topped linear sinuous channels, deltas, fluvial fans, meanders, and point bars. Some landforms are associated with the
development of inverted stream channels, including yardangs, hollows, spring mounds, and small alcoves. We find that the sedimentary structure of these features varies from bodies containing poorly to well sorted, clast-supported cobble gravels to cross-bedded structure with fine to coarse sand grains. In the case of volcanism, the sedimentary structure consists of two or more stratigraphic units. Surface armouring leaves behind large clasts but preserves little or no sedimentary structure. The age of inverted stream channels on Earth ranges from the Upper Ordovician (458.4 ± 0.9 Ma) to Holocene (225 ± 40 years) recording several climatic events from the upper Ordovician glaciation to late Quaternary climate oscillation.

Our global synthesis of inverted channels on Earth has implications pertaining to formational conditions of martian sinuous ridges; based on the occurrence of channel fill cementation that occurs on the surface and near-subsurface settings on Earth for consolidation of paleochannels sediment (~59%), shallow, near-subsurface cementation of channel sediment could be prevalent on Mars. This suggestion is consistent with the lack of documenting inverted channels by volcanism on Mars and difficulties of returning materials to the Martian surface due to the tectonic setting. Channel surface armouring frequency could be more common on Mars, particularly because Mars has been hyperarid for long time and wind erosion has played an important role. Therefore, detailed studies on inverted channels at the global scale on Mars will provide new information about the early climate of Mars, particularly transition from wet to dry conditions.

Finally, through a survey of inverted channel sites on Earth that mentioned in the literature and what we observed from remotely-sensed data, we found a new site that might be helpful as a terrestrial analogue for Mars 2020 landing site. This site is in the southern part of Egypt, is a typical place on Earth simulating the conditions of Jezero delta, where paleochannels are associated with deltas in relief inversion hosted by a paleolake. Further studies in this site could help in addressing geomorphological and sedimentological issues pertaining to propagation of fan-shaped deposited in a lake and dry setting, transition from wet to dry conditions, and wind erosion processes that provide sediment supply to develop different patterns of sand dunes.
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Table 1 shows research since 1999 (data from orbiters Mars Global Surveyor, Mars Odyssey, Mars Express, Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter, CaSSIS – ExoMars Trace Gas Orbiter) focused on studies of landforms interpreted to be the product of erosional inversion of channels and other landforms on Mars.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eberswalde crater</td>
<td>Fluvial and deltaic</td>
<td>Malin and Edgett, 2003; Moore et al., 2003; Jerolmak et al., 2004; Bhattacharya et al., 2005; Lewis and Aharonson, 2006; Wood, 2006; Pondrelli et al., 2008; Pondrelli et al., 2011; Mangold et al., 2012; Rice et al., 2013; Irwin et al., 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aeolis and Zephyria regions</td>
<td>Curvilinear ridges interpreted to have fluvial origins, including contributory and distributary systems</td>
<td>Edgett and Williams, 2004; Williams and Edgett, 2005; Pain et al., 2007; Burr et al., 2009; Burr et al., 2010; Zimbelman and Griffin, 2010; Lefort et al., 2012; DiBiase et al., 2013; Williams et al., 2013b; Kite et al., 2015; Matsubara et al., 2015; Jacobsen and Burr, 2017; Di Pietro et al., 2018; Williams et al., 2018; Hughes et al., 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uplands west of Juventae Chasma and adjacent to chasms in the Valles Marineris region</td>
<td>Fine-scale and larger curvilinear ridge networks</td>
<td>Mangold et al. 2004; Lucchitta, 2005; Pain et al., 2007; Mangold et al., 2008; Milliken et al., 2008; Weitz et al., 2008; Malin et al., 2010; Weitz et al., 2010; Williams et al., 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gale Crater</td>
<td>Inverted channels and sediment fans exhibiting inverted channel elements</td>
<td>Anderson and Bell, 2010; Thomson et al., 2011; LeDeit et al., 2013; Palucis et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangala valles</td>
<td>Volcanic inverted channels</td>
<td>Tanaka and Chapman, 1990; Leverington, 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Arabia Terra</td>
<td>Inverted channel forms</td>
<td>Williams and Chuang, 2012; Williams 2013; Balme et al., 2015; Williams et al., 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinus Meridiani</td>
<td>Inverted channel forms</td>
<td>Edgett, 2005; Edgett et al., 2008; Marzo et al., 2009; Newsom et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mawrth Vallis</td>
<td>Inverted channel forms</td>
<td>Loizeau et al., 2007; Michalski and Noe Dobrea, 2007; Noe Dobrea et al., 2010; Edgett and Saper, 2013a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central and eastern Arabia Terra</td>
<td>Inverted channel forms</td>
<td>Moore, 1990; Grant and Schultz, 1990; Pain et al., 2007; Fassett and Head, 2007; Davis et al., 2016; Wilson et al., 2016; Davis et al., 2019; Day et al., 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Feature Type</td>
<td>References</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moreux crater</td>
<td>Inverted channel forms</td>
<td>Rice and Mollard, 1994; Sinha and Murty, 2015; Osterloo et al., 2010; Howard and Moore, 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xanthe Terra and southern Chryse Planitia</td>
<td>Inverted channel forms</td>
<td>Edgett and Saper 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thaumasia-Solis Planum region</td>
<td>Inverted channel forms</td>
<td>Edgett et al., 2008; Zhao et al., 2015; Rhodes, 1980; Chapman and Tanaka, 1993; Leverington, 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eridania and Ariadnes Basin region</td>
<td>Inverted channel forms</td>
<td>Molina et al., 2014; Pajola et al. 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsa Argentea</td>
<td>Inverted fluvial channels</td>
<td>Fastook et al., 2012; Kargel, 1993; Bleacher et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hadriacus Palus</td>
<td>Inverted channel forms</td>
<td>Fortezzo, 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hellas Planitia</td>
<td>Inverted channel forms</td>
<td>Bernhardt et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaritifer Terra</td>
<td>Inverted channel forms</td>
<td>Williams et al., 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ganges Chasma</td>
<td>Inverted channel forms</td>
<td>Williams et al., 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypanis Valles</td>
<td>Inverted channel and deltaic forms</td>
<td>Williams et al., 2018; Fawdon et al., 2018 ; Adler et al., 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antoniadi Crater</td>
<td>Branched inverted channels</td>
<td>Davis et al., 2016; Zaki et al., 2018 ; Zaki et al., 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terby Crater</td>
<td>Inverted channels</td>
<td>Ansan et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jezro crater</td>
<td>Deltaic deposits</td>
<td>e.g, Fassett and Head, 2005; Schon et al., 2012; Goudge et al., 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global distribution</td>
<td>fluvial sinuous ridges</td>
<td>Williams et al., 2007; Dickson et al., 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Various</td>
<td>Fan-shaped forms interpreted as alluvial or deltaic deposits include landforms interpreted by some investigators as inverted channels</td>
<td>Moore and Howard, 2005; Fassett and Head, 2005; Metz et al., 2009; Williams et al., 2011; Grant and Wilson, 2012; Morgan et al., 2014; Gullikson et al., 2016; Cowart et al., 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Various</td>
<td>Inverted depressions (craters and troughs)</td>
<td>Craddock and Maxwell, 1990; Loizeau et al., 2007; Pain et al., 2007; Fassett and Head, 2007; Noe Dobrea et al., 2010; Zabrusky et al., 2012; Bernhardt et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 shows the documented inverted-channel sites, used terms, and their relative absolute age on Earth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>area</th>
<th>Used term</th>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Age range</th>
<th>Climatic zone</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>North America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Sierra Nevada, CA</td>
<td>River beds</td>
<td>Volcanism</td>
<td>9 Ma</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>(Whitney, 1865; Le conte, 1880; 1886; Rhodes, 1980, 1987; Burr and Williams, 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Utah</td>
<td></td>
<td>Exhumed paleochannels; inverted channels</td>
<td>Channel fill lithification</td>
<td>Late Jurassic and Early Cretaceous and eroded 5.6 Ma</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>(Derr, 1974; Harris, 1980; Higgins and Willies, 1995; Williams et al., 2007; Williams et al., 2011; Clarke and Stoker, 2011; Cardenas et al., 2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Great Salt Lake Desert</td>
<td></td>
<td>Inverted topography; inverted gravel channels</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation; surface armouring</td>
<td>11.9 – 10.5 ka (C14)</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>(Oviatt et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Northern Arizona</td>
<td></td>
<td>Inverted relief</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation (calcrete)</td>
<td>Late Miocene</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Lucchitta et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Topography</td>
<td>Volcanism</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Climate</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>Inverted</td>
<td>Volcanism</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Temperate</td>
<td>Niem, 1974</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Near the Little Colorado River</td>
<td>Basaltic</td>
<td>Volcanism</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Colton, 1937</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>East-central Colorado</td>
<td>Inverted</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>Late Eocene</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>(Keller and Morgan, 2013, 2016)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Lewis and Yakima Counties, Washington</td>
<td>Inverted</td>
<td>Volcanism</td>
<td>Late Pliocene</td>
<td>Temperate</td>
<td>(Church et al., 1983)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Northwestern Nebraska</td>
<td>Inverted</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation; surface armouring</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Temperate</td>
<td>Diffendal, 1994</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>New Mexico, USA</td>
<td>Inverted</td>
<td>Volcanism</td>
<td>Pliocene</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Channer et al., 2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Cimarron County, Oklahoma</td>
<td>Inversion of</td>
<td>Volcanism</td>
<td>Pliocene</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Suneson and Luza, 1999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Colorado Plateau</td>
<td>Inverted</td>
<td>Volcanism</td>
<td>Miocene</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Lazear et al., 2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Mimbres drainage, New Mexico</td>
<td>Inverted</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation; surface armouring</td>
<td>Pleistocene and Holocene</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Bryan, 1940; Love and Seager, 1996</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Lake Tecopa, Nevada, CA</td>
<td>Inverted</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>Quaternary</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Morrison and Mifflin (2000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Feature Type</td>
<td>Process</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Climate</td>
<td>Authors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>The Black Canyon, Colorado</td>
<td>Inverted topography</td>
<td>Volcanism</td>
<td>Miocene</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Sandoval (2007)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Snow Canyon State Park, Utah</td>
<td>Inverted topography</td>
<td>Volcanism</td>
<td>Quaternary</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Higgins, 2000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Harrisonburg, Virginia</td>
<td>Inverted topography</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Temperate</td>
<td>Doctor et al., 2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Grand Canyon region</td>
<td>Inverted topography</td>
<td>Volcanism</td>
<td>Pliocene</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Karlstorm et al., 2017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Green Valley-Tubac area, southeastern Arizona</td>
<td>Inverted topography</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>Quaternary</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Lindsey et al., 2005; Knepper, 2005; Lindsey and Van Gosen, 2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>North-central Texas</td>
<td>Exhumed point bars</td>
<td>Channel fill lithification</td>
<td>Lower Permian</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Edwards et al., 1983</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Wasatch Formation, western Colorado</td>
<td>Exhumed channels</td>
<td>Channel fill lithification</td>
<td>Eocene</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Mohrig et al., 2000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Notom Delta, South-central Utah</td>
<td>Paleochannels</td>
<td>Channel fill lithification</td>
<td>Cretaceous</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Wang and Bhattacharya, 2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>St. George, Utah</td>
<td>Inverted valleys</td>
<td>Volcanism</td>
<td>1.07-2.3 Ma</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Williams et al., 2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Mojave Desert, CA</td>
<td>Inverted topography</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>Pleistocene and Holocene</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>McDonald et al., 2003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Coconino County, Northern Arizona</td>
<td>Inverted topography</td>
<td>Volcanism</td>
<td>Pliocene and Pleistocene</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Billingsley et al., 2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Feature Description</td>
<td>Process</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Climate</td>
<td>References</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Quinn River, Nevada</td>
<td>Inverted relief; inverted abandoned channel</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Matsubara et al., 2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Eastern Montana Western North Dakota</td>
<td>Inverted topography</td>
<td>Surface armouring?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Temperate</td>
<td>Clausen 2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Colorado Piedmont</td>
<td>Inverted topography</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>Late Eocene</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Morgan et al. 2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Near Boulder, Utah</td>
<td>Inverted Pleistocene paleovalley</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>Pleistocene</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Loope et al., 2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Mexico Michoacán</td>
<td>Inverted topography</td>
<td>Volcanism</td>
<td>Late Pliocene</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Guilbaud et al., 2011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Africa**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Feature Description</th>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Climate</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Egypt Egyptian Nubia</td>
<td>Inverted wadis; perched ridges; wadi ridges; wadi conglomerate</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation; surface armouring</td>
<td>Late Quaternary</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>(Giegengack, 1968; Butzer and Hansen, 1968; Haynes, 1980; Zaki and Giegengack, 2016; Giegengack and Zaki, 2017; Zaki et al., 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Dakhla Depression</td>
<td>Exhumed meander scolls; inverted stream channels</td>
<td>Channel fill lithification</td>
<td>Upper Cretaceous</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>(Brookes, 2003; Zaki et al., 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Climate</td>
<td>References</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 West of Ghard Abu Muharik</td>
<td>Inverted wadi; inverted stream channels</td>
<td>Oligocene - Miocene</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>(Brookes, 2001; Embabi, 2004; Zaki et al., 2018)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 West of Esna city and east of Kharga Depression</td>
<td>Inverted wadi; inverted stream channels</td>
<td>Oligocene - Miocene</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>(Zaki et al., 2018)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Kharga Depression</td>
<td>Inverted channels</td>
<td>225 ± 40 years</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Busche (1988)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Tushka paleolake</td>
<td>Inverted deltaic features</td>
<td>Pleistocene</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Fayum Depression</td>
<td>Inverted stream channels</td>
<td>Pliocene</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>(Sandford and Arkell, 1929; Aref, 2003; Embabi, 2004; Zaki et al., 2018)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 west of Bahariya Depression</td>
<td>Inverted stream channels</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>(Zaki et al., 2018)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 South Africa</td>
<td>East Victoria Gravel-capped ridges</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>(King, 1942)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 SW Kalahari and Kalkweissrand</td>
<td>Inverted relief; inverted topography</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>(Shaw, 2009)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Kgalagadi</td>
<td>Inverted relief; inverted topography</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>(Shaw, 2009)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Mali</td>
<td>Djelimagara plateau</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>Paleocene</td>
<td>Tropical (Butt and Bristow, 2013)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Tambaoura escarpment</td>
<td>Relief inversion</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>Paleocene</td>
<td>Tropical (Butt and Bristow, 2013)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Feature Type</td>
<td>Process</td>
<td>Chronology</td>
<td>Climate</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Banankoro cuirasse</td>
<td>Relief inversion</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>Paleocene</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
<td>(Butt and Bristow, 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Misseni-Tabakaroni</td>
<td>Relief inversion</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>Paleocene</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
<td>(Butt and Bristow, 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Kalako plateau</td>
<td>Relief inversion</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>Paleocene</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
<td>(Butt and Bristow, 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
<td>Relief inversion</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>Paleocene</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
<td>(Butt and Bristow, 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Dave plain &amp; scarp</td>
<td>Relief inversion</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>Paleocene</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
<td>(Butt and Bristow, 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Donko plateau</td>
<td>Relief inversion</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>Paleocene</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
<td>(Butt and Bristow, 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Konkera plateau</td>
<td>Relief inversion</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>Paleocene</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
<td>(Butt and Bristow, 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>SW region</td>
<td>Relief inversion</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
<td>(Chardon et al, 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Ethiopia Lake Turkana</td>
<td>Inverted channels</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>Holocene</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
<td>(Butzer, 1980)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Ogaden</td>
<td>Inverted topography</td>
<td>Volcanism</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
<td>(Wiliams, 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Libya and Algeria TassiliN’Ajjer</td>
<td>Channelized sandstone bodies</td>
<td>Sediment lithification</td>
<td>Late Ordovician</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
<td>(Girard et al., 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Chad Bodélé Depression</td>
<td>Inverted channel topography</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>2.4 ka (OSL dating)</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
<td>Bristow et al., 2009; Schuster et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Angamma Delta</td>
<td>Inverted channels</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>Late Quaternary</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Botswana Okavango Delta</td>
<td>Inverted topography</td>
<td>Compaction of volume of bank-forming peat</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
<td>(Ellery et al., 1989; Gumbright et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Landform Feature</td>
<td>Deposition Process</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Climate Zone</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Bosutswe</td>
<td>Inverted topography</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>Quaternary</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>(Denbow et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Kalahari</td>
<td>Channel and valley calcrete</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>(Nash and McLaren, 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Linyanti, Okavango and Ngami</td>
<td>Inverted relief; inverted topography</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>(Shaw, 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>Inverted topography</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>Holocene</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
<td>(Renaut et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>Inverted gravel ridges</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation;</td>
<td>Messinain to early Pliocene</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>(Bussert et al., 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Kordofan</td>
<td>Relief inversion</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>Miocene</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>(Schwarz, 1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Niger</td>
<td>Inverted topography</td>
<td>Sediment lithification</td>
<td>Late Permian</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
<td>(Smith et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Zimbabwe</td>
<td>Inverted topography</td>
<td>Channel fill lithification</td>
<td>Oligocene</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
<td>(Moore et al., 2009; Moore et al., 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>Tindouf basin</td>
<td>Channel fill lithification</td>
<td>Late Carboniferous</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Padgett and Ehrlich (1976)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
<td>Inverted topography</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
<td>Zeegers and Lecomte (1992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>Inverted topography</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>Quaternary</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
<td>Blumenschime et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>Inverted relief; inverted topography</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>(Shaw, 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Oase</td>
<td>Topographically inverted</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
<td>Smith and Mason, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Geologic Feature</td>
<td>Stratigraphic Setting</td>
<td>Chronology</td>
<td>Climate</td>
<td>References</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Australia, NE of Cobar, N.S.W.</td>
<td>Inverted relief</td>
<td>Volcanism (leucite flows)</td>
<td>17 Ma</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
<td>Cundari and Ollier, 1970; Cohen et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Kalgoorlie region, WA</td>
<td>Duricrusts</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>Eocene</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Ollier et al., 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Bullengarook, West of Melbourne, Victoria</td>
<td>Inverted relief</td>
<td>Volcanism</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Pain and Ollier, 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Campaspe and Coliban Rivers, Victoria</td>
<td>Inverted relief</td>
<td>Volcanism</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Pain and Ollier, 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Robe River, WA</td>
<td>Inverted relief</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>Eocene</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
<td>Macleod, 1966; Twidale et al., 1985; Hall and Kneeshaw, 1985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Australia, South Australia</td>
<td>Mirackina, Arckaringa, South Australia</td>
<td>Exhumed paleodrainage; Inverted topography</td>
<td>Miocene</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
<td>(Barnes and Pitt, 1976; Pain and Ollier, 1995; McNally and Wilson, 1996; Hou et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Process</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Climate</td>
<td>References</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Cape York Peninsula.</td>
<td>Inverted relief</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
<td>Pain and Ollier, 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Southwest Australia</td>
<td>Inverted relief</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>Permian, Jurassic, Cretaceous, Eocene, and Quaternary</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Fairbridge and Finkl, 1978; Finkl and Fairbridge, 1979; Pain and Ollier, 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Lawlers area, Western Australia</td>
<td>Inverted relief</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Pain and Ollier, 1995; Anand and Smith, 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Queensland</td>
<td>Inverted relief</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
<td>Pain and Ollier, 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Ashburton Valley, Western Australia</td>
<td>Perched calcrites</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Mann and Horwitz 1979</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Asia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Climate</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>Eastern Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>Suspendritic drainage lines</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>(Miller, 1937)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Wadi Ad Dawsir and Wadi Sabha</td>
<td>Inverted topography</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.3ka – 109 ± 6ka (OSL); 6360 ± 50 – 7770 ±50 years (C14)</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Matter et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Kuwait</td>
<td>Wadi Al-Batin</td>
<td>Inverted courses</td>
<td>Quaternary</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Holm, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Syria</td>
<td>Syrian Desert</td>
<td>Extinct wadis</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Kumtagh Desert</td>
<td>Gravel bodies</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation and surface armouring</td>
<td>151 ka (OSL)</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Yangtze Valley, China</td>
<td>Inverted topography</td>
<td>Volcanism</td>
<td>Early Cenozoic</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Lop-Nor</td>
<td>Inverted rivercourses</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>Negev Desert</td>
<td>Inverted relief</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Matheran tableland</td>
<td>Inverted relief</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation; Volcanism</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Western Ghats escarpment</td>
<td>Inverted relief</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>West Bengal</td>
<td>Inverted relief</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation (ferricrete)</td>
<td>150-35 ka (OSL)</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Thar Desert, Merta, Rajasthan</td>
<td>Calcrete and gypsum crusts</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>Late Quaternary</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**South America**

<p>| | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>Cañadón Asfalto Basin</td>
<td>Exhumed fluvial system</td>
<td>Sediment lithification</td>
<td>Cretaceous</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>Cerro Galán Ignimbrite</td>
<td>Inverted topography</td>
<td>Volcanism</td>
<td>Pliocene and Pleistocene</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>Pinguino, Santa Cruz Province</td>
<td>Inverted topography</td>
<td>Volcanism</td>
<td>Cretaceous</td>
<td>Temperate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Region</td>
<td>Feature</td>
<td>Process/Description</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Climate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Inverted relief</td>
<td>Volcanism</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frio River valley, Colombia</td>
<td></td>
<td>Inverted relief</td>
<td>Volcanism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Inverted relief</td>
<td>Volcanism</td>
<td>Quaternary</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nevado del Tolima and Cerro Machin, Colombia</td>
<td></td>
<td>Inverted relief</td>
<td>Volcanism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>Atacama Desert</td>
<td>Inverted topographic features</td>
<td>Surface armouring; Potential Channel fill cementation</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Lower Ica Valley</td>
<td>Inverted relief</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation calcrete</td>
<td>2,000 years</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Channel fill cementation calcrete</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Europe**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Process/Description</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Climate</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Ebro basin</td>
<td>Exhumed channels, ribbon sandstones</td>
<td>Channel fill cementation and channel fill lithification</td>
<td>Oligocene-Miocene</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>(Friend et al., 1981; Mohrig et al., 2000; Cuevas et al., 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>Isle of Skye</td>
<td>Inverted topographic; inverted relief</td>
<td>Volcanism</td>
<td>Paleocene</td>
<td>Temperate</td>
<td>Bell and Williamson, 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mediterranean Sea**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Process/Description</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Climate</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Offshore Cyprus, Syria, Lebanon and Israel</td>
<td></td>
<td>Inverted topography</td>
<td>Sediment lithification</td>
<td>Late Miocene</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Madof et al., 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>