

# 1 Northern peatland depth and lateral expansion is inconsistent with a 1055 GtC estimate 2 of carbon storage

3 Joshua L. Ratcliffe<sup>1\*</sup>, Haijung Peng<sup>2\*</sup>, Jelmer J. Nijp<sup>3</sup>, Mats B. Nilsson<sup>1</sup>

4 <sup>1</sup>Department of Forest Ecology and Management, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Umeå,  
5 Sweden

6 <sup>2</sup>State Key Laboratory of Environmental Geochemistry, Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy  
7 of Sciences, 550081, Guiyang, China

8 <sup>3</sup> Ecohydrology Department, KWR Watercycle Research Institute, Nieuwegein, The Netherlands

9 \*Authors for correspondence: joss.ratcliffe@slu.se, haijun.peng@slu.se

## 10 **Introductory Paragraph**

11 Peatlands contain one of the largest stores of terrestrial carbon and exert a considerable  
12 influence on the global climate cycle<sup>1</sup>. However, both the magnitude of the peatland carbon  
13 pool and the development of this pool through time are poorly constrained<sup>2</sup>. In a recently  
14 published article, Nichols and Peteet<sup>3</sup> combine basal radiocarbon dates from palaeoecological  
15 studies with previously published datasets of peatland initiation to produce a revised estimate  
16 of northern peatland initiation and carbon stocks. The authors conclude that the amount of  
17 carbon stored in Northern peatlands is two to three times higher, i.e. 1055 GtC, than previous  
18 estimates (Gorham 1991; Yu et al., 2010). *Nichols and Peteet* argue this is due to peatlands  
19 initiating and expanding earlier than previously thought, as appears to be the case in the new  
20 dataset of peatland initiation they have compiled, of which dates from the palaeoecological  
21 literature (Neotoma database<sup>4</sup>) form a large component. The approach used by *Nichols and*  
22 *Peteet* relies on two assumptions 1) That the lateral peatland coverage expands linearly with  
23 time and 2) that the oldest basal date in a region is representative of peat initiation in that  
24 region. These assumptions have been repeatedly called into question<sup>5-9</sup> as has the suitability  
25 of the Neotoma dataset for basal date information without modern re-processing<sup>10</sup>. We  
26 consider peat depth as a means to independently evaluate the 1055 GtC figure, concluding  
27 that this would require peatlands to be implausibly deep as compared to peat depth  
28 observations<sup>11-13</sup>.

## 29 **Lack of peat depth and bulk density constraint**

30 A simple way to check the 1055 GtC figure is to consider whether peatland properties would  
31 permit such a large figure. Using the same peatland extent, bulk density and carbon content  
32 data<sup>14</sup> as *Nichols and Peteet*, peatlands would have to be on average 7.1 meters deep, with

This is a non-peer reviewed preprint submitted to EarthArXiv, which is now under review at Nature Geoscience.

33 a possible range of 4.6 to 14.1 meters in order to accommodate 1055 Gt of C (Supplementary  
34 information) calculated using the mean and the standard deviation of organic matter bulk  
35 density (n=21220) and carbon content (n=18973)<sup>14</sup>. Peat depth in North America has been  
36 consistently estimated to be 2-3 meters<sup>11,12</sup> and best estimates for Western Siberia is 2.6  
37 meters<sup>13</sup>. International inventories assume a peat depth of 2 meters where detailed inventories  
38 are missing<sup>15</sup>. This demonstrates that the peat depth, and hence C stock estimate of *Nichols*  
39 *and Peteet* are unrealistic and inconsistent with observed peat depth and physical peat  
40 properties.

#### 41 **Linear lateral expansion assumption flawed**

42 Underpinning the time history approach used by *Nichols and Peteet* is the assumption that  
43 peatlands expand linearly over time from initiation. As such, the peatland area increase over  
44 time is proportional to the summed frequency of basal initiation<sup>5</sup>. This assumption has been  
45 repeatedly called into question<sup>5,6</sup> and runs contrary to the overwhelming majority of evidence  
46 available from the literature<sup>6,16–20</sup> spanning a considerable number of peatlands across a  
47 diverse range of regions. Importantly, the assumption of linear expansion is untenable as the  
48 literature points to non-linear lateral expansion being the rule rather than the exception.

49 The reason for the restricted lateral expansion is that underlying and surrounding topography  
50 will have exerted a strong influence on peatland lateral expansion<sup>19,21,22</sup>. Even a relatively  
51 shallow slope (0.5%) may halt lateral expansion entirely<sup>19</sup>. After de-glaciation, peat initiation  
52 is thought to have begun predominantly in hollows and steep-sided basins<sup>9</sup>. In a practical  
53 sense, this often means that peat formation would have remained constrained, with little to no  
54 lateral growth for a long period of time. For example, this is seen in a Canadian peatland where  
55 peat expansion was confined to basins for 4000 years post-initiation<sup>17</sup> with similar constraining  
56 effects found for peatlands in Finland<sup>16</sup>, Russia<sup>22</sup> and the United Kingdom<sup>18</sup>. Importantly,  
57 neglecting the influence of topography will result in a systematic bias towards the earlier  
58 expansion of peatlands. It is notable that in studies that have directly investigated peatland  
59 expansion, rather than initiation, lateral expansion is consistently most rapid in the mid-  
60 holocene<sup>6,13,18,23,24</sup>, even though initiation may have been much earlier. An exception to this is  
61 the episodic expansion of peatlands through terrestrialisation of kettle holes<sup>25</sup>.

#### 62 **How Nichols and Peteet differs from earlier time-history approaches**

63 It is important to note that when the time history approach has been used before, the results  
64 have been more comparable to other approaches (e.g. 612 GTC in Yu et al. 2011<sup>26</sup> compared  
65 with an inventory-based estimate of 445 GTC in Joosten 2009<sup>15</sup>, both estimates of global  
66 peatland extent). We argue that it is specifically the combination of the methodology of *Nichols*

67 *and Peteet* with the Neotoma dataset which makes the new 1055 GT estimate particularly  
68 prone to error. *Nichols and Peteet* gave un-due weight to the oldest date in the region, or grid  
69 square, making the approach highly vulnerable to outliers. It has been previously  
70 demonstrated that a more conservative requirement of the average of the three oldest dates  
71 per region considerably changed the shape of peatland initiation and projected expansion,  
72 leading to later initiation and growth<sup>5</sup>.

### 73 **Problems with Palaeoecological data in combination with the approach taken**

74 There have been a number of attempts to compile datasets of peatland initiation using  
75 published basal dates from the literature<sup>8,13,27</sup>. It is important when dates are being compiled  
76 that the supporting stratigraphic context is considered<sup>26</sup>. Palaeoecologists, in particular, are  
77 often concerned with getting the longest record possible, rather than dating the initiation of  
78 peat *per se*. As such, it is relatively common for basal dates to be taken in the sediment  
79 underlying peat, but it is often unclear whether this has occurred unless the accompanying  
80 stratigraphy is also published<sup>27</sup>. *Nichols and Peteet* make no mention of the quality control  
81 criteria used for the Neotoma dates, and indeed it has proved impossible to re-create the exact  
82 dataset using the scant information provided. However, in order to provide an indication of the  
83 quality of the Neotoma dates, we conducted our own search of the Neotoma database for all  
84 records from mires older than 10,000 BP (n=213). We then looked at a random sample of  
85 these (n=20). For two original records basal dates were taken from below peat initiation, in  
86 glacial gyttja<sup>28,29</sup>, and a further two records had no modern chronological control at all, with  
87 primary data sources dating from pre-1940, in these cases the age had been estimated by the  
88 original author. It was notable that for the vast majority of records (n=18) we could not obtain  
89 adequate stratigraphic information for quality control checking. Therefore, we regard the lack  
90 of inclusion criteria and quality control criteria in the methodology of *Nichols and Peteet* to  
91 be a cause for concern.

92 Furthermore, outdated calibrations, and the way they are treated, can influence the interpreted  
93 initiation dates, with large errors on uncalibrated dates having in the past been misinterpreted  
94 as indicative of earlier initiation<sup>8</sup>, this point is particularly relevant given the large errors  
95 associated with basal dates from the Neotoma database<sup>10</sup>. Several authors have also  
96 highlighted problems with the hard-water effect<sup>8,10,13,30</sup>, which is a valid concern given that peat  
97 may initiate at high pH (> 8) conditions after glacial retreat<sup>9,31</sup>. Thus, we again reiterate that  
98 the deliberate use of the oldest date for a region, or grid, makes the analysis of *Nichols and*  
99 *Peteet* highly vulnerable to bias towards older initiation, even with only a handful of erroneous  
100 dates.

### 101 **Moving forward in estimating the global peatland C stock**

102 Unless realistic models of peatland expansion can be incorporated into estimates of peatland  
103 carbon stocks the time-history approach will remain severely flawed. The solution to modelling  
104 lateral expansion may lie in using topography surrounding peatlands to estimate topography  
105 underneath them, based on digital elevation information in combination with geostatistical and  
106 machine-learning approaches. Future carbon stock estimates must make clear the quality  
107 control criteria used when compiling dates from the literature. Additionally, we recommend  
108 that future model-based approaches for estimating peatland C stock make use of independent  
109 measurements of peat depth and carbon accumulation in order to evaluate model  
110 performance.

#### 111 **Acknowledgements:**

112 JLR received funding from the Kepe foundation and would also like to also acknowledge the  
113 late Dr Richard Payne for sharing his insights and ideas regarding the topics discussed in this  
114 manuscript.

#### 115 **Data availability**

116 The authors declare that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the  
117 paper and its supplementary information files.

#### 118 **References**

- 119 1. Frolking, S. & Roulet, N. T. Holocene radiative forcing impact of northern peatland  
120 carbon accumulation and methane emissions. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **13**, 1079–1088  
121 (2007).
- 122 2. Loisel, J. *et al.* Insights and issues with estimating northern peatland carbon stocks  
123 and fluxes since the Last Glacial Maximum. *Earth-Science Rev.* **165**, 59–80 (2017).
- 124 3. Nichols, J. E. & Peteet, D. M. Rapid expansion of northern peatlands and doubled  
125 estimate of carbon storage. *Nat. Geosci.* **12**, 917–922 (2019).
- 126 4. Williams, J. W. *et al.* The Neotoma Paleoecology Database, a multiproxy,  
127 international, community-curated data resource. *Quat. Res. (United States)* **89**, 156–  
128 177 (2018).
- 129 5. Loisel, J. *et al.* Insights and issues with estimating northern peatland carbon stocks  
130 and fluxes since the Last Glacial Maximum. *Earth-Science Rev.* **165**, 59–80 (2017).
- 131 6. Ruppel, M., Väiliranta, M., Virtanen, T. & Korhola, A. Postglacial spatiotemporal  
132 peatland initiation and lateral expansion dynamics in North America and northern

- 133 Europe. *Holocene* **23**, 1596–1606 (2013).
- 134 7. Gorham, E., Lehman, C., Dyke, A., Clymo, D. & Janssens, J. Long-term carbon  
135 sequestration in North American peatlands. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* **58**, 77–82 (2012).
- 136 8. Reyes, A. V. & Cooke, C. A. Northern peatland initiation lagged abrupt increases in  
137 deglacial atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub>. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **108**, 4748–4753 (2011).
- 138 9. Gorham, E., Lehman, C., Dyke, A., Janssens, J. & Dyke, L. Temporal and spatial  
139 aspects of peatland initiation following deglaciation in North America. *Quat. Sci. Rev.*  
140 **26**, 300–311 (2007).
- 141 10. Wang, Y., Goring, S. J. & McGuire, J. L. Bayesian ages for pollen records since the  
142 last glaciation in North America. *Sci. data* **6**, 176 (2019).
- 143 11. Gorham, E., Lehman, C., Dyke, A., Clymo, D. & Janssens, J. Long-term carbon  
144 sequestration in North American peatlands. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* **58**, 77–82 (2012).
- 145 12. Beilman, D. W., Vitt, D. H., Bhatti, J. S. & Forest, S. Peat carbon stocks in the  
146 southern Mackenzie River Basin: Uncertainties revealed in a high-resolution case  
147 study. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **14**, 1221–1232 (2008).
- 148 13. Kremenetski, K. . *et al.* Peatlands of the Western Siberian lowlands: current  
149 knowledge on zonation, carbon content and Late Quaternary history. *Quat. Sci. Rev.*  
150 **22**, 703–723 (2003).
- 151 14. Loisel, J. *et al.* A database and synthesis of northern peatland soil properties and  
152 Holocene carbon and nitrogen accumulation. *The Holocene* **24**, 1028–1042 (2014).
- 153 15. Joosten, H. The Global Peatland CO<sub>2</sub> Picture Peatland status and emissions in all  
154 countries of the world. *Wetl. Int.* (2009).
- 155 16. Mathijssen, P. J. H. *et al.* Reconstruction of Holocene carbon dynamics in a large  
156 boreal peatland complex , southern Finland. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* **142**, 1–15 (2016).
- 157 17. Bauer, I. E., Gignac, L. D. & Vitt, D. H. Development of a peatland complex in boreal  
158 western Canada : lateral site expansion and local variability in vegetation succession  
159 and long-term peat accumulation. *Can. J. Bot.* **81**, 833–847 (2003).
- 160 18. Tipping, R. Blanket peat in the Scottish Highlands: timing, cause, spread and the myth  
161 of environmental determinism. *Biodivers. Conserv.* **17**, 2097–2113 (2007).
- 162 19. Almquist-Jacobson, H. & Foster, D. R. Toward an integrated model for raised-bog

- 163 development: Theory and field evidence. *Ecology* **76**, 2503–2516 (1995).
- 164 20. Ireland, A. W., Booth, R. K., Hotchkiss, S. C. & Schmitz, J. E. A comparative study of  
165 within-basin and regional peatland development: Implications for peatland carbon  
166 dynamics. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* **61**, 85–95 (2013).
- 167 21. Loisel, J., Yu, Z., Parsekian, A., Nolan, J. & Slater, L. Quantifying landscape  
168 morphology influence on peatland lateral expansion using ground-penetrating radar  
169 (GPR) and peat core analysis. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences* **118**, 373–384  
170 (2013).
- 171 22. Pluchon, N., Hugelius, G., Kuusinen, N. & Kuhry, P. Recent paludification rates and  
172 effects on total ecosystem carbon storage in two boreal peatlands of Northeast  
173 European Russia. *The Holocene* **24**, 1126–1136 (2014).
- 174 23. Tallis, J. . Growth and degradation of British and Irish blanket mires. *Environmental*  
175 *Rev. Natl. Res. Counc. Canada* **6**, 81–122 (1998).
- 176 24. Weckström, J., Seppä, H. & Korhola, A. Climatic influence on peatland formation and  
177 lateral expansion in sub-arctic Fennoscandia. *Boreas* **39**, 761–769 (2010).
- 178 25. Ireland, A. W. & Booth, R. K. Hydroclimatic variability drives episodic expansion of a  
179 floating peat mat in a North American kettlehole basin. *Ecology* **92**, 11–18 (2011).
- 180 26. Yu, Z. Holocene carbon flux histories of the world's peatlands: Global carbon-cycle  
181 implications. *The Holocene* **21**, 761–774 (2011).
- 182 27. Payne, R. J., Ratcliffe, J. L., Andersen, R. & Flitcroft, C. E. A meta-database of  
183 peatland palaeoecology in great Britain. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.*  
184 **457**, 389–395 (2016).
- 185 28. King, J. E. Late Quaternary Vegetational History of Illinois. *Ecol. Monogr.* **51**, 43–62  
186 (1981).
- 187 29. Heide, K. Holocene pollen stratigraphy from a lake and small hollow in North-Central  
188 Wisconsin, USA. *Palynology* **8**, 3–19 (1984).
- 189 30. Macdonald, G. M., Beukens, R. P., Kieser, W. E. & Kitt, D. H. Comparative  
190 radiocarbon dating of terrestrial plant macrofossils and aquatic moss from the ' ice-  
191 free corridor' of western Canada. *Geology* **15**, 837–840 (1987).
- 192 31. Engstrom, D. R., Fritz, S. C., Almendinger, J. E. & Juggins, S. Chemical and

This is a non-peer reviewed preprint submitted to EarthArXiv, which is now under review at Nature Geoscience.

193 biological trends during lake evolution in recently deglaciated terrain. *Nature* **408**,  
194 161–166 (2000).

195

196 **Author contributions**

197 J.L.R and H.P concived the study and all authors were involved in writing and revising the  
198 manuscript.

199 **Competing interests**

200 The authors declare no competing interests.