Constraining mechanisms of quartz precipitation during silicification and chemical sedimentation in the ~2.7 Ga Abitibi Greenstone Belt, Canada
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Abstract

Silica-rich Precambrian rocks often preserve geochemical information and microfossil remnants from the early biosphere. Because these rocks are such critical geochemical and paleontological archives, we need robust tools to identify the chemical and physical conditions under which siliceous Precambrian rocks form, and determine how such information links to the specific depositional environment. Here, we investigate a series of sub- to greenschist facies Si-rich Archean rocks from the ~2.7 Ga Abitibi Greenstone Belt, Canada that represent chemical sedimentary rocks and rocks formed via silica-addition through the process of silicification. We report data for major and trace element geochemistry, multi-crystal silicon and oxygen isotopes of quartz using isotope ratio mass spectrometry, and texture-specific silicon isotope values measured using secondary ion mass spectrometry on chemical sedimentary rocks, their silicified equivalents, and silicified volcanic rocks. We find that in such a well-preserved terrane where we can utilize petrographic textures and geochemical attributes to establish rock origin, we can also distinguish silicon isotope signatures of rocks that form via chemical sedimentation, from those that form via silicification. Though chemical sedimentary rocks display a wide range of silicon isotopes values ($\delta^{30}$Si$_{\mathrm{NBS-28}} = -3.21 \pm 0.21$‰ to 0.52 ± 0.40‰) similar to modern, low-temperature quartz precipitates, silicified volcanic rocks often possess near igneous signatures ($\delta^{30}$Si$_{\mathrm{NBS-28}} = -0.289$ to 0.257 ± 0.03‰ (2σ)), close to values measured for modern oceanic crust. Specifically, quartz phenocrysts away from silicified areas in volcanic rocks possess silicon isotope values ($\delta^{30}$Si$_{\mathrm{NBS-28}} = -0.58 \pm 0.23$‰ to 0.76 ± 0.20‰) similar to both phenocrysts inside silicified areas ($\delta^{30}$Si$_{\mathrm{NBS-28}} = -0.81 \pm 0.18$‰ to 0.27 ± 0.17‰) and to micro-quartz crystals from silicified volcanic matrix material ($\delta^{30}$Si$_{\mathrm{NBS-28}} = -1.06 \pm 0.22$‰ to 0.16 ± 0.20‰) when considering error. We also find that we can explain some of the silicon isotope heterogeneity measured using high-spatial resolution techniques, by using targeted, texture-specific single crystal analysis of quartz within rocks with a well-established geologic context. Overall, we interpret that texturally linked micro-scale silicon isotope heterogeneity likely results from changing chemical and physical conditions during the precipitation of quartz within the sample.

1. Introduction

Multiple previous studies have discussed the silicon isotope composition of marine siliceous precipitates (chert and BIF) through time (André et al., 2006; van den Boorn et al., 2007; Abraham et al., 2011; van den Boorn et al., 2010; Heck et al., 2011; Steinhoefel et al., 2009; 2010; Chakrabarti et al., 2012; Delvigne et al., 2012; Marin-Carbonne and Chaussidon., 2012). Some have identified a perceived temporal trend in the compiled chert and iron formation archive, where silicon isotope values systematically become enriched in $^{30}$Si. Such a trend is typically explained by abiotic processes, including mixing of hydrothermal and continental silicon sources to the ocean (e.g. Heck et al., 2011) or a gradual cooling of ocean temperatures since the Archean (e.g., Robert and Chaussidon, 2006; Marin-Carbonne et al., 2012) due to the lack of evidence for silica utilizing organisms early in Earth history. In addition to the temporal trend, several studies (van den Boorn 2007; 2010; Abraham et al., 2011; Brengman et al., 2016) indicate a measurable silicon isotope difference between rocks formed via replacement processes (such as silicification during hydrothermalism) and rocks formed strictly from chemical sedimentation. Here, we focus on data from the ~2.7 Ga Abitibi Greenstone Belt to determine if the distinction between silicon isotopes of quartz within silicified volcanic rocks and associated chemical sedimentary rocks recognized
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by van den Boorn et al. (2007) and Abraham et al. (2011) exists at this locality, and how such
differences may track mechanisms of quartz precipitation.

In this paper, we present geochemical and isotopic data from sub-greenschist to greenschist
facies Neoarchean rocks to determine if silicon isotopes may serve as a reliable tool to distinguish
mechanisms of quartz precipitation within siliceous rocks formed via chemical sedimentation and
replacement. The ~2.7 Ga Abitibi greenstone belt, Canada (AGB) represents a composite volcanic
arc terrane with well-preserved, stratigraphically continuous successions of meta-volcanic and
meta-sedimentary rocks (Mueller et al., 2009, Thurston et al., 2008; Mueller and Mortensen, 2002;
Ayer et al., 2002; Mueller et al., 1996). Locally mineralized and hydrothermally altered volcanic
suites within the belt are often characterized by excess silica due to post-formation addition of
silica phases (e.g., micro- and mega-quartz) via a processes termed ‘silicification’ (Mueller et al.,
1996; Mueller et al., 2009; Farber et al., 2015, Hofmann et al., 2014, Hanor and Duchac, 1990,
and Gibson et al., 1983). During silicification (which can occur via hydrothermal alteration or later
metasomatism), silica-rich fluids permeate porous material (tuffs/ individual volcanic flows) to
produce silificied volcanic rocks of varying composition and SiO2 content (e.g., Brengman and
Fedo, 2018). Under conditions of minor replacement, primary textures are preserved, and silicified
rocks can be identified by petrographic characteristics (i.e. remnant volcanic textures like
phenocrysts, glass shards, amygdules, fiamme, pumice fragments, etc.) and near-primary
geochemistry. Well-preserved silicified volcanic rocks and associated chemical sedimentary rocks
within the AGB are identifiable by standard geochemical proxies and petrographic indicators. To
constrain mechanisms of quartz precipitation during silicification and chemical sedimentation
within the depositional setting of the Abitibi Greenstone Belt, we pair standard whole-rock
geochemical data with silicon and oxygen isotope data from individual quartz crystals using both
in situ (Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry - SIMS) and multi-crystal (Isotope Ratio Mass
Spectrometry - IRMS) techniques. We demonstrate that silified rocks differ in isotopic
composition from chemical sedimentary rocks and could record distinct isotopic signatures that
link to changing quartz precipitation rates, temperature, pH, and/or the initial composition of the
silicon reservoir in a dynamic subaqueous system influenced by hydrothermal activity (van den
Boorn et al., 2007; 2010; Brengman et al., 2016; Brengman and Fedo, 2018). Well-preserved rocks
from the AGB therefore provide a test scenario for interpreting geochemical and isotopic mobility
during Archean hydrothermal alteration, and coupled δ18O and δ30Si signatures preserved in
hydrothermal depositional settings have the potential to re-evaluate previous models for the
thermal and chemical evolution of the hydrosphere.

2. Geologic Context

Within the AGB, Ayer et al. (1998, 2002, 2005) and Ayer et al. (2002) define several
volcanic and sedimentary litho-tectonic assemblages, which Thurston et al. (2008) divided into 7
volcanic episodes by age: pre-2750 Ma; the Pacaud (2750–2736 Ma); Deloro (2734–2724 Ma);
Stroughton-Roquemaure (2723–2720 Ma); Kidd-Munro (2719–2711 Ma); Tisdale (2710–2704
Ma); and Blake River (2704–2695 Ma), and 2 sedimentary basins, the Porcupine-type (2690–2685
Ma) and Timiskaming-type (2676–2670 Ma). Broadly, the region experienced sub-greenschist to
greenschist facies regional metamorphism (Fig. 1), with locally higher-grade zones developing at
contacts to major batholiths (Easton, 2001). For the discussion of silicification and chemical
sedimentation in the present work, we follow the stratigraphic nomenclature outlined by Thurston
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et al. (2008), and for readability, for the remainder of the paper we exclude the prefix “meta” to simplify rock names, although a minor degree of metamorphism is implied.

Volcanic rocks and associated chemical sedimentary rocks include the >2750 Ma Assemblage near Temagami, ON, the 2734-2722 Ma Deloro Assemblage near Timmins, ON, correlative Deloro Assemblage rocks that form the Hunter Mine Group near Duparquet, QC (~2722 Ma), and younger (2704-2695 Ma) Blake River Assemblage rocks near Rouyn-Noranda (Figs. 1, 2). Previous research indicates autochthonous development of the volcano-sedimentary assemblages (Ayer et al., 2005); there is no evidence for tectonic transport of stratigraphy (Snyder et al., 2009, Thurston et al., 2012). Such preservation allows detailed age correlation of volcano-sedimentary episodes (Thurston et al., 2008), and makes the Abitibi Greenstone Belt a locality well suited for the study of near primary Archean volcano-sedimentary assemblages, and their link to depositional system evolution. Chemical sedimentary rocks mark significant depositional gaps between many of the assemblages (Thurston et al., 2008). Relevant to the present study, the Deloro assemblage chemical sedimentary rocks exposed near Timmins, ON (Fig. 2A) correlate to silicified volcanic rocks of the Hunter Mine Group (also Deloro Assemblage), which outcrop near Duparquet, QC (Fig. 2; Brengman and Fedo, 2018). Based on the work of Thurston et al. (2008) (see text and references therein for detailed information regarding regional stratigraphic correlation), we can compare geochemical and isotopic signatures of comparative silica-rich rocks from Deloro Assemblage outcropping near Timmins, ON and Duparquet, QC to quantify system-scale silica deposition and mobility. In addition to chemical sedimentary rocks and silicified volcanic rocks of the Deloro Assemblage (2734-2722 Ma), we also compare data from the stratigraphically older Temagami iron formation (>2750 Ma), and the younger (2698 - 2696 Ma) Blake River Assemblage (Fig. 2C) to assess geochemical and isotopic evolution within the AGB depositional system. Overall, the sampling strategy includes rocks with a demonstrable chemical sedimentary origin from Temagami and the Deloro Assemblage, and silicified volcanic rock samples from the Deloro Assemblage, the Hunter Mine Group, and the Blake River Group (Fig. 2).

The Temagami iron formation (IF, Fig. 3A) forms part of the local Temagami greenstone belt (Ontario, Canada) and includes metasedimentary rocks (iron formation and shale), and metavolcanic units (Bowins and Heaman, 1991; Bau and Alexander, 2009). Overall, the region experienced lower greenschist facies metamorphism, allowing preservation of fine-scale banding and micro-crystallinity (Bennett, 1987; Fyon and Cole, 1989). The assemblage consists (in stratigraphic order) of meta-volcanic rocks overlain by iron formation and shales with turbidites. Iron formation samples collected for the present study come from a recently exposed road cut along Highway 11 (Table 1). Within this section, iron formation consists dominantly of interlayered oxide minerals (magnetite and hematite) and quartz (Fig. 3A).

Near Timmins, ON, the Deloro Assemblage consists of intact stratigraphic sequences of iron formation, mafic and felsic volcanic rock, and associated locally derived graphitic and sulfidic argillite, which flank syn-volcanic batholiths (Thurston et al., 2012). Thurston et al. (2008) provided regional stratigraphic evidence that such sedimentary deposition occurs during periods of volcanic quiescence. The Deloro Assemblage consists of three separate iron formation units (lower, middle, and upper), which interstratify with volcanic rocks (Fig. 2A, D, E). The middle and upper iron formations are well represented in outcrop and do not occur in close proximity to batholiths, so we focused sampling to these units (Fig. 2D, E). The middle iron formation consists of alternating sections of oxide, sulfide, and silicate facies (chert-rich) iron formation (Fig 3B, C) intercalated with heterolithic material interpreted as debris flows composed of mixed cherty and
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volcaniclastic material. Felsic volcanic rocks (2728.1 ± 1.6 Ma) underlie the IF, which is conformably overlain by 2724.5 ± 2.1 Ma felsic volcanic rocks (Fig. 2D). The upper iron formation (0.5-5 m thick) consists of chert and oxide-facies iron formation (Fig. 3D, E) interstratified with felsic tuff (Houlé, 2006). Some layers show evidence for silica mobility (Fig. 3D, silicification) and/or brecciation (Fig. 3E) potentially linked with increased hydrothermal activity and volcanism at the top of the stratigraphic section. We collected samples of silicified, fine-grained felsic volcanic rocks, sulfidic mudstone, sulfide-facies iron formation, oxide-facies iron formation and heterolithic debris flow for the present study from the middle iron formation, and iron formation samples, including some that show evidence of silicification, from the upper iron formation (Table 1; Fig. 2E).

Correlative to the Deloro Assemblage near Timmins, ON, the Hunter Mine Group (HMG) near Duparquet, QC represents a collapsed subaqueous caldera associated with volcanogenic massive sulfide (VMS) deposition and associated hydrothermal alteration (Mueller and Mortensen, 2002, Mueller et al., 2009). Near Lake Abitibi, the HMG outcrops on the southern limb of an overturned, east-plunging anticline. The group displays features of sub-greenschist facies metamorphism, and delicate volcanic textures remain identifiable and well-preserved (Mueller et al., 2009; Brengman and Fedo, 2018). Felsic and mafic volcanic rocks interstratified with volcaniclastic and iron-formation lithofacies characterize the HMG (Fig. 2B, F; Chown et al., 2000; Mueller and Mortensen, 2002). Of interest to the present study are volcanic rocks silicified during syn-formational hydrothermal alteration within the collapsed HMG caldera (Fig. 2F). Petrographic and geochemical details for silicified samples AB-11-12 and AB-11-11 discussed here were published in Brengman and Fedo (2018).

The Blake River Group (Corfu et al., 1989; Corfu, 1993; Mortensen, 1993; Galley and van Breemen, 2002; Piercy et al., 2008) outcrops near Rouyn-Noranda, QC, and consists of a 10-km-thick sequence of mafic-felsic volcanic rocks (Fig. 2C, G; e.g., Dimroth et al., 1982; Gibson and Watkinson, 1990; Péloquin et al., 1990). Regionally, the younger Porcupine and Timiskaming assemblages (Ayer et al., 2002) overlie the Blake River Group, which crops out south of the Destor-Porcupine fault and lies north of the Cadillac-Larder Lake break. Samples for the present study include sample numbers Am. Basalt, and AB-13-08 thru AB-13-20 (Table 1), which represent variably silicified andesitic and basaltic rocks and an overlying silica-rich exhalite unit (Fig. 2F, 3F) that caps an amygdaloidal pillow basalt (Fig. 2F, 3F).

3. Analytical methods

3.1 Elemental Geochemistry

Selected rock samples collected from outcrops were trimmed of modern weathering rinds, cut into ∼1 cm cubes, then powdered in a SPEX shatterbox instrument using an alumina ceramic dish and puck, with massive milky quartz inserted as blank runs between samples to clean the crushing surfaces. Powdered whole-rock samples were sent to Activation Laboratories Ltd. (Ancaster, Ontario) for geochemical analyses. They were prepared in a batch system (with a method reagent blank, certified reference material, and 17% replicates) and analyzed using a standard lithium metaborate/tetraborate fusion process for a suite of 46 elements. Major oxides and select trace elements (Ba, Sr) were measured using inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) using either a combination simultaneous/sequential Thermo Jarrell-Ash ENVIRO II ICP or a Varian Vista 735 IC. Trace elements were analyzed by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) using either a Perkin Elmer Sciex ELAN 6000, 6100.
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or 9000 ICP-MS. All unknowns were compared to USGS and CANMET certified reference materials (DNC-1, GBW 07113, LKSD-3, W-2a, SY-4, CTA-AC-1, BIR-1a, NCS DC86312, NCS DC70014, NCS DC70009, OREAS 100a, OREAS101a, JR-1; Table S1). Three blanks and five controls were analyzed per sample group with duplicates inserted every 15 samples, and instrument recalibration after every 40 samples. Six blind samples were also analyzed and compared to the original sample and a reproducibility (within 5%) was determined based on multiple analyses of randomly selected samples across different analytical sessions. Detection limits are reported in Table S1.

3.2 Isotope Geochemistry

Samples were selected and screened based on major-, trace- and rare earth-element geochemistry and petrographic characteristics. Silicon and oxygen isotope measurements were made from a single, 1 mg aliquot using a fluorination-IRMS method after Prentice et al. (2014). Oxygen and silicon tetrafluoride (SiF₄) were extracted from the same sample after reaction in heated Ni-tubes using bromine pentfluoride (BrF₅) fluorination. Triple oxygen isotope analyses (¹⁶O, ¹⁷O, ¹⁸O) were conducted on O₂ gas collected using 5Å molecular sieve, using a custom-built MAT 253 isotope ratio mass spectrometer at Western University. After the collection of O₂, triple silicon isotope analyses (²⁸Si, ²⁹Si, ³⁰Si) were performed on SiF₄ gas from the same sample. Replicate analyses of NBS-28 have a precision of 0.06‰ and 0.04‰ for δ²⁹Si and δ³⁰Si values, respectively. All Si-isotope values are reported using the NBS-28 standard using the format δ²⁹Si=[(²⁹Si/²⁸Si sample)/ (²⁹Si/²⁸Si standard)–1]x1000. Oxygen isotope data are reported using the V-SMOW standard using the format (δ¹⁸O=[(¹⁸O/¹⁶O sample)/ (¹⁸O/¹⁶O standard)–1]x1000. All silicon and oxygen isotope data obtained via IRMS are reported in Supplementary Table S2.

Samples for SIMS (small rock chips and/or cut thin sections) were embedded in epoxy along with a silicon isotope quartz reference material (NBS-28, UNIL-Q1, or UTQ depending on the analytical session; Table S4), polished to 1 μm, mapped using Nikon petrographic microscope, and gold-coated (30 nm) prior to analysis. In situ Si-isotope (³⁰Si/²⁸Si) measurements were made using a CAMECA IMS 1280 SIMS instrument at the Swedish Museum of Natural History, Stockholm (NordSIMS facility). A ¹³³Cs+ primary beam (ca. 5nA) with an incident energy of 20 keV was used to sputter secondary ions from specifically targeted < 20 μm individual grains; microcrystalline domains were avoided (cf. ref. Marin-Carbonne et al., 2012) except during methodological testing. Sample charging was minimized by use of a low-energy normal-incidence electron gun. Secondary ions were measured at a mass resolution of 2,500 (M/ΔM) by multi-collection on Faraday detectors attached to low noise amplifiers housed in a thermally stabilized, evacuated chamber. The magnetic field was locked at high precision using an NMR field sensor. Fully automated analytical sessions included regularly bracketed unknowns between reference analyses and individual analyses and comprised a pre-sputter to remove the Au coat, beam centering, and 48 seconds (Si) of data acquisition. We report isotope values expressed in standard delta notation (δ³⁰Si=[(³⁰Si/²⁸Si sample)/ (³⁰Si/²⁸Si standard)–1]x1000. Corrections for instrumental mass fractionation were made using UNIL-Q with a multi-crystal δ³⁰Si value of -0.13 ‰ determined at the University of Lausanne (Kleine et al., 2018), and UTQ with a multi-crystal δ³⁰Si value of 0.08 ± 0.01 ‰, determined at Western University. (different analytical sessions had separate internal standards). External reproducibility (1 σ) for δ³⁰Si of ± 0.15 ‰ was derived from the bracketing of analyses and propagated onto within-run uncertainties. All silicon isotope data obtained via
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SIMS are reported in Supplementary Tables S3 and S4. Table S3 contains a summary of NBS-28 corrected silicon isotope data, while analytical details and standards are included in Table S4.

4. Petrography

4.1 Chemical sedimentary rocks

We examined 10 chemical sedimentary rocks in thin section, 3 of which demonstrated evidence of silicification in the field (Fig. 3D, E; Table 1). Overall, all samples (independent of grain size and assemblage) possess a dominant quartz, Fe-oxide ±Fe-Mg silicate mineralogy, and fine-scale banding. Samples TEMcf and TEMj from the Temagami greenstone belt consist of predominantly microcrystalline quartz, interlayered with hematite and magnetite (Fig. 4A). Texturally, samples are finely laminated at the mm-scale, with rare mega-quartz veins. From the ~2734 – 2722 Ma Deloro Assemblage, all samples show banding at both the millimeter and meter scale, with layers alternating between iron-rich and silica-rich phases. Hematite is notably absent from the Deloro assemblage; magnetite and pyrite form the dominant iron-rich phases. Quartz is the dominant silicate phase, although some acicular minnesotaite is present in select bands. Crystal sizes of quartz vary from micro-quartz (e.g., Fig. 4B, C; Table 1) to mega-quartz (Fig. 4D; Table 1). Spherules are present within some Middle Deloro iron formation samples (Fig. 4C) and consist of fewer than ten clustered mega-quartz crystals that display radial extinction.

4.2 Silicified volcanic rocks

We examined 17 silicified volcanic rock samples, and 4 samples with a mixed volcanic and chemical sedimentary origin (e.g. heterolithic debris flow material and fragmented iron formation hosted within a matrix of volcanic material; Fig. 3E). We focus the description here on the attributes of quartz as it directly links to the silicification process. Additional petrographic observations for these silicified volcanic rocks can be found in Baldwin (2011, Deloro Assemblage), Brengman and Fedo (2018, HMG), and Gibson (1983, Blake River Group). Overall, samples from the HMG display patchy silicification (regionally associated with sulfide mineralization; Fig. 5A), and variable preservation of volcanic features including phenocrysts, remnant glass shards, lapilli, and volcanic fragments (Brengman and Fedo, 2018). Of interest to the present study, select samples preserve quartz and feldspar phenocrysts both inside and external to patches of silicified material. Silicified patches consist of micro-quartz (Fig. 5A) that replaces fine-grained matrix material (Brengman and Fedo, 2018). With clearly identifiable quartz phenocrysts and areas of silicification, we targeted isotopic measurement of quartz formed due to igneous processes to compare to quartz formed during silicification (Table 1). We expand on the different isotopic signatures of quartz in section 6. From the Blake River Assemblage, we examined andesitic and basaltic rocks that show patchy silicification at the field and thin section scale (Fig. 5B, C respectively), and an exhalite (Fig. 5D). Mega-quartz is present in the silicified volcanic rocks as either patches (Fig. 5B), or quartz-filled amygdules (Fig. 5C). Micro-quartz and a minor amount of fine-grained sericite dominate the exhalite unit (Fig. 5D).

Samples with a demonstrable chemical sedimentary origin were selected for geochemistry and isotope analyses based on previously established criteria for preservation (Marin-Carbonne et al., 2012). Silicified volcanic rock samples are less well represented in the literature, so we sampled a large range of crystal sizes and host rock lithologies for comparative purposes. Overall, for silicified volcanic rocks, we targeted micro-quartz and mega-quartz within silicification patches.
and filling amygdules, as well as micro-quartz within the exhalite for in situ isotopic analysis (Table 1).

5. Geochemistry

5.1 Major and trace element data

Samples divide into three geochemically distinct groups: chemical sedimentary rocks; silicified volcanic rocks; and rocks with a volcaniclastic origin and/or a mixed chemical sedimentary origin (Table 1; Fig. 6A, B). As expected, rocks with a clear chemical sedimentary origin show lower major-element abundances for elements associated with detrital/igneous origin (e.g., Al₂O₃) and higher overall iron contents compared to volcanic and volcaniclastic rocks (Table S1; Fig. 6A; e.g., Al₂O₃ in feldspatic volcanic rocks). With respect to trace element signatures, shale-normalized europium-anomaly values range from 2.9 – 4.75 and Y/Ho values range from 28.33 – 36.11 for chemical sedimentary rocks (Fig. 6B). Such values are consistent with other measurements in the region (e.g. - Temagami IF EuSN/EuSN* = 3.0 – 4.3, Y/Ho = 36 – 59; Bau and Alexander, 2009) and likely indicate the presence of hydrothermal fluids. Rocks with a mixed chemical sedimentary and/or volcaniclastic origin possess EuSN/EuSN* values ranging from 1.52 – 3.16, and Y/Ho values of 25.2 – 26.27. Overall, samples of mixed origin possess a distinct slope, with PrSN/YbSN values ranging from 1.24 – 2.43, compared to chemical sedimentary samples (PrSN/YbSN = 0.17 – 0.76) and extrusive volcanic samples (PrSN/YbSN (basalt) = 0.33 – 0.75; PrSN/YbSN (andesite) = 0.41 – 0.67; PrSN/YbSN (exhalite) = 0.95). Silicified volcanic rocks have dominantly positive europium anomalies (EuSN/EuSN* = 0.80 – 1.42 (basalt), 0.92 – 1.78 (andesite), 0.71 (exhalite)), and Y/Ho values within the range expected for igneous rocks and their clastic derivatives (Bau 1993; Y/Ho = 27.27 – 28.21 (basalt), 27.67 – 29.47 (andesite), and 29.68 (exhalite)). Overall, measured major- and trace-element values are consistent with regional volcanic rocks, though the presence of a shale-normalized positive europium anomaly indicates varying degrees of hydrothermal alteration, which is expected in the VMS-producing region.

5.2 Silicon and oxygen isotope geochemistry (IRMS)

We measured silicon (δ³⁰Si) and oxygen (δ¹⁸O) isotope compositions of separated quartz crystals from two iron formation samples (AB-13-65, TEM-cf), five silicified volcanic rocks (AB-11-11 thru AB-11-34), and one sample of silicified iron formation (AB-13-72) via IRMS using methods developed in the Laboratory for Stable Isotope Science at Western University (section 3). In addition, we measured the whole-rock (multi-phase) isotope composition for AB-11-11 for comparison to the quartz separate. All data (samples and duplicates) are reported in Table S2, and Figure 7.

Iron formation samples possess ³⁰Si-depleted silicon isotope values (δ³⁰SiNBS-28 = -1.127 to -0.745 ± 0.03‰ (2σ)), and ¹⁸O-depleted oxygen isotope values (δ¹⁸OV-SMOW = 11.36 to 12.78 ± 0.03‰ (2σ)) compared to expected values for chemical sedimentary rocks. Quartz formed in equilibrium with seawater at temperatures ranging from 10-30 °C, should range from Δ¹⁸Oqtz-fluid = 36.3 - 31.7‰, if δ¹⁸OSEAWATER = -1‰ (Pollington et al., 2016). Overall, non-silicified samples possess ³⁰Si-depleted silicon isotope values (Fig. 7) comparable to various other Archean iron formations measured using the same technique (Brengman, 2015). Silicified volcanic rocks possess silicon isotope values ranging from δ³⁰SiNBS-28 = -0.289 to 0.257 ± 0.03‰ (2σ), which is comparable to values measured for modern altered igneous rocks (δ³⁰SiOPD site1256 = -0.27 to -0.38.
The measured δ$^{30}$Si values from the AGB are also comparable to Archean silicified basalt (Fig. 7; Abraham et al., 2011). Oxygen isotope values of quartz within silicified volcanic rocks ($\delta^{18}$O$_{VSMOW}$ = 10.53 to 14.67 ± 0.03 ‰ (2σ)) are $^{18}$O-enriched compared to average regional volcanic rocks (whole rock values range from 6-12 ‰, Beaty, 1980). The whole-rock (mixed phase) sample (AB-11-11WR) possesses silicon and oxygen isotope values expected for igneous rocks, $\delta^{30}$Si$_{NBS-28}$ = -0.029 ± 0.03 ‰ (2σ) and $\delta^{18}$O$_{VSMOW}$ = 10.02 ± 0.03 ‰ (2σ), respectively. Overall, silicified volcanic samples and the silicified iron formation sample have $^{30}$Si-enriched values compared to chemical sedimentary rocks (Fig. 7). We discuss the potential reasons for silicon isotope variations of quartz from chemical sedimentary rocks and silicified volcanic rocks and explore internal silicon isotope variation between different quartz textures in section 6.

As a point of reference, we compare AGB data to the well-studied volcanic units (Theespruit, Hooggenoeg and Kromberg Formations) in the Paleoarchean Barberton Greenstone Belt, South Africa (light gray, triangle data points Fig. 7; Abraham et al., 2011) and to various Archean iron formation units (light gray square data points, Fig. 7). As illustrated in Figure 7 (Table S2), silicified basaltic rocks from the Barberton samples display $^{30}$Si-enriched (~ - 0.5 to +0.5 ‰) and $^{18}$O-enriched values (~ +10 to +15 ‰) relative to non-silicified counterparts, showing a strong positive correlation between Si and O. Furthermore, all of the volcanic rocks are enriched in $^{30}$Si relative to the compiled data from iron formations (Fig. 7). Similar to the Barberton array, silicified volcanic rocks from the AGB show the same enrichment in $^{30}$Si relative to compiled iron formation, including measurements of Si and O isotope compositions from 2 samples of AGB iron formation (Fig. 7; multi-crystal IRMS analyses). Oxygen isotope values of samples from the AGB overlap with other Archean samples with a similar genesis (Fig. 7), and are consistent with temperature-dependent isotope fractionation occurring during precipitation of quartz influenced by hydrothermalism (Abraham et al., 2011), rather than seawater (values are $^{18}$O-depleted compared to expected values for seawater precipitates). Some of the slight $^{18}$O-enrichment observed in quartz separates from silicified volcanic rocks (compared to regional volcanic rocks Beaty, 1980) could be due to the potential presence and/or preferential replacement of hydrated volcanic glasses (Taylor et al., 1966). Of note, there is a significant difference (3.5 ‰ offset) between oxygen isotope compositions of AB-11-11 WR (mixed phases) and AB-11-11 (quartz only). Such disparity could indicate that quartz is not in equilibrium with the rest of the rock, possibly due to heterogeneous oxygen isotope exchange during hydrothermal activity and fluid flow, similar to samples in King et al. (1997). In total, the overlapping silicon isotope values for like rock types between localities suggest similar quartz precipitation and dissolution mechanisms between the Abitibi and Barberton samples, which broadly formed in similar depositional systems. We explore the development of the silicon isotope signature further in section 5.3 below where we incorporate SIMS data.

### 5.3 Silicon isotope geochemistry (SIMS)

In addition to measuring silicon and oxygen isotope values of quartz separates and one whole-rock (multi-phase) sample using IRMS (Fig. 7; Table S2), we measured single-crystal silicon isotope compositions of quartz within 7 silicified volcanic rocks (n = 120), 3 IF samples (n = 121), and 2 silicified IF samples (n = 50) using secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS). All SIMS data are reported in Table S3, S4, and Figures 8-10. To quantify intra- and inter-sample
heterogeneity, we measured micro- and mega-quartz of distinct textures in each sample (Table 1 summarizes SIMS targets). We utilized petrographic features combined with major- and trace-element geochemistry to evaluate sample preservation. We also compared micro- and mega-quartz $\delta^{30}\text{Si}$ values of silicified rocks between assemblages to verify comparative preservation.

From Temagami, we measured micro- and mega-quartz (Table 1) from samples of iron formation along single layers, and across layers but observed no systematic variation (Table S3, S4). From the Deloro assemblage near Timmins, we measured individual $\delta^{30}\text{Si}$ values of micro- and mega-quartz and quartz spherules from samples of non-silicified and silicified IF (Tables 1, S3, and S4; n = 76). Silicon isotope compositions of quartz within the IF samples (Temj, Temcf, AB-13-70) range from $\delta^{30}\text{Si}_{\text{NBS-28}} = -3.21 \pm 0.21 \%$ to $0.52 \pm 0.40 \%$ (Fig. 8, 9A, B; Tables 1, S3, and S4; n =45). Silicified IF samples from the Upper Deloro (AB-13-72, AB-13-74; Tables 1, S3, and S4; n = 50) possess silicon isotope values ranging from $\delta^{30}\text{Si}_{\text{NBS-28}} = -3.88 \pm 0.15 \%$ to $0.15 \pm 0.21 \%$ (Fig. 8, 9C). Despite a crystal size difference (Table 1) there is no systematic isotopic variation between sample AB-13-72 and AB-13-74 (Tables S3, S4). Overall, IF samples (silicified and un-silicified) display $^{30}\text{Si}$-depleted values compared to bulk silicate earth estimates (Savage et al., 2014; Fitoussi et al., 2009) and correlative silicified volcanic rocks.

For comparison to chemical sedimentary rocks described above, we measured examples of chert fragments (of a silicified or chemical sedimentary origin) within volcanic rocks. Chert fragments exist within silicified horizons of the Deloro Assemblage at the Timmins and the Duparquet localities (Fig. 3E; Baldwin et al., 2011 and Thurston et al., 2008). Near Timmins, sample AB-13-76 (Figs. 8, 9D) contains chert fragments in a volcanic matrix (Fig. 3E). Geochemical data (Fig. 5A, B) confirms the sample is of mixed chemical and volcanic origin (Table S1). Micro-quartz crystals within the chert fragments possess silicon isotope values ranging from $\delta^{30}\text{Si}_{\text{NBS-28}} = -0.77 \pm 0.15 \%$ to $1.04 \pm 0.14 \%$ (Fig. 8D; Tables S3, S4; n = 15). Compared to the underlying iron formation units (Fig. 8, 9A-C), the silicified chert fragments possess $^{30}\text{Si}$-enriched values. From the Deloro Assemblage near Duparquet, sample AB-11-12 from the HMG shares a similar mixed volcanic/silicified origin to AB-13-76 (Mueller and Mortensen, 2002; Chown et al., 2000; geochemical data reported in Brengman and Fedo, 2018). Micro-quartz in chert fragments ranged from $\delta^{30}\text{Si}_{\text{NBS-28}} = -0.72 \pm 0.37 \%$ to $0.82 \pm 0.37 \%$ (Figs. 8, 9E; Tables S3, S4; n = 14). Overall, silicon isotope values from chert fragments hosted within silicified volcanic rocks of the Deloro Assemblage from both the Timmins and Duparquet localities overlap and share similar ranges, and are $^{30}\text{Si}$-enriched compared to local chemical sedimentary rocks (chert layers within iron formation).

To determine the relationship between silicon isotope values of silicified rocks and chemical sedimentary rocks, we measured micro- and mega-quartz within variably silicified andesite, as well as thin exhalative unit that drapes an amydaloidal basalt associated with VMS-mineralization from the Blake River Group near Rouyn-Noranda (Figs. 8, 9E-G). Overall, silicified andesite samples range from $\delta^{30}\text{Si}_{\text{NBS-28}} = -0.82 \pm 0.15$ to $0.83 \pm 0.19 \%$, with one outlier point that extends to $\delta^{30}\text{Si}_{\text{NBS-28}} = -1.90 \pm 0.15 \%$ (Fig. 8, 9F; Tables S3, S4; n = 64). Silicon isotope compositions of individual micro-quartz measured within exhalative sample AB-13-09 ranges from $\delta^{30}\text{Si}_{\text{NBS-28}} = -0.04 \pm 0.22$ to $1.00 \pm 0.20 \%$ (Figs. 8, 9G; Tables S3, S4; n =3), while quartz within amygdules in the underlying basalt sample (AMYG) ranges from $\delta^{30}\text{Si}_{\text{NBS-28}} = -1.22 \pm 0.15$ to $1.14 \pm 0.16 \%$ (Fig. 8, 9G; Tables S3, S4; n = 24). Similar to chert fragments within silicified horizons of the Deloro Assemblage, all silicified volcanic rock samples are $^{30}\text{Si}$-enriched compared to regional chemical sediments.
To specifically assess internal heterogeneity between igneous-derived quartz phenocrysts and micro-quartz associated with the silicification process within the same sample, we measured the silicon isotope values of quartz phenocrysts within silicification patches, and external to silicification patches, as well as micro-quartz associated with silicification within the same sample – sample AB-11-11 from the Deloro Assemblage (HMG) near Duparquet (Fig. 10). Silicon isotope values ($\delta^{30}\text{Si}$) of quartz phenocrysts inside silicified areas range from $\delta^{30}\text{Si}_{\text{NBS-28}} = -0.81 \pm 0.18 \%$ to $0.27 \pm 0.17 \%$ (n = 38; Fig. 10B), and were comparable to values for quartz phenocrysts outside of silicified areas (n=19; $\delta^{30}\text{Si}_{\text{NBS-28}} = -0.58 \pm 0.23 \%$ to $0.76 \pm 0.20 \%$; Fig. 10B). Silicon isotope compositions of micro-quartz crystals from silicified volcanic matrix material (n=6; $\delta^{30}\text{Si}_{\text{NBS-28}} = -1.06 \pm 0.22 \%$ to $0.16 \pm 0.20 \%$; Fig. 10B) span a similar range of values of both phenocryst populations when considering error. All measured silicon isotope data for sample AB-11-11 compares to regional silicified volcanic rocks, as well as magmatic quartz and high-temperature hydrothermal quartz values measured within modern volcanic samples from Iceland (Kleine et al., 2018). Intra- and inter-sample heterogeneity could link to genetic mechanisms for quartz precipitation or minor differences in the local geochemical environment. We explore possible explanations for the observed systematic heterogeneity between rock types in the following section.

6. Silicon isotope fractionation and silica mobility in the ~2.7 Ga AGB depositional system

To determine potential explanations for the observed silicon isotope heterogeneity in the SIMS data from the AGB (Figs. 8-10), we consider silicon isotope studies of modern hydrothermal systems and recent experimental work on quartz precipitation. Silicon isotope fractionation occurs under varying geochemical and system conditions (open-to-closed, pH, temperature gradients; ($\Delta^{30}\text{Si}_{\text{precipitate-solution}} > 2.5 - 5 \%$; Oelze et al., 2014; 2015; Opfergelt et al., 2010; Delstanche et al., 2009; Geilert et al., 2014a; 2015). In modern hydrothermal systems such as in Iceland (Kleine et al., 2018), heterogeneous silicon isotope values measured in high- and low-temperature quartz are the result of kinetic mineral-fluid fractionation. In the Iceland system (Kleine et al., 2018), $^{30}\text{Si}$-depleted values likely result from near-surface cooling and open-system precipitation within the hydrothermal fluid conduit. As the fluid cooled, the chemical and isotopic composition of both the fluid, and subsequently the precipitated minerals (silicates) would evolve. For comparison to the AGB data presented in this paper, Figure 8 shows the ranges of measured silicon isotope values for low-temperature (< 150 °C) quartz and other silica polymorphs, high-temperature quartz (200-400 °C) and magmatic quartz (>550 °C) reported in Kleine et al. (2018). Within modern hydrothermal systems, eruptive volcanic rocks and tuffaceous units interstratify with minerals precipitated from hydrothermal fluids mixed with seawater, and silicified rocks at/below the seafloor. In the AGB system, the Blake River Assemblage, Amulet andesite and basalt, and Hunter Mine Group samples represent variably silicified eruptive volcanic rocks – tuffaceous units; chert and IF of the Deloro Assemblage represent precipitated chemical sedimentary rocks. Separating AGB data by quartz genesis pathway (chemical sedimentary v. silicified volcanic) shows that silicon isotope values display the same range as in modern hydrothermal systems for low- and high-temperature quartz (Fig. 8). Such overlap between ancient and modern samples is consistent with the hypothesis that quartz precipitated within VMS-producing systems in Algoma-type IF and associated silicified rocks forms via similar mechanisms as quartz precipitation in modern hydrothermal systems. Consequently, we interpret the silicon isotope heterogeneity within the
AGB sample set to result from evolving water-rock ratios and fluid composition in a hydrothermal system.

Figure 11 follows the evolution of a fluid through a hydrothermal system (after Hopkinson, 1999). Seawater would likely have a silicon isotope composition controlled by the balance between hydrothermal and continental inputs to the ocean ($\delta^{30}\text{Si} = \pm 0$‰; van den Boorn et al., 2007; Kleine et al., 2018). Within modern hydrothermal systems, seawater ($T = \sim 2^\circ\text{C}, pH = 8.1$, circle 1) permeates pores and fractures within igneous rocks (Franklin et al., 2005; Fig. 11, circle 2). Beneath the seafloor, in the volcanic pile, magmatic fluids ($T = \sim 363^\circ\text{C}, pH=3.0$) mix with cooler seawater (Franklin et al., 2005; Von Damm et al., 1991; Fig. 11, circle 2), and some elements are leached out of the host rocks, while others precipitate as concentrations in solution reach saturation (Franklin et al., 2005; Von Damm et al., 1991). Beneath the water-rock interface, quartz precipitates in fractures and pore spaces, which then progressively limits fluid circulation, a process that leads to extensive silicification of the host rock (Hopkinson et al., 1999; Fig. 11, circle 3). Such precipitation often occurs under lower water-to-rock ratios, and as a result, silicon isotope signatures should closely reflect the host rock ($\delta^{30}\text{Si}_{\text{vole}} = \sim 0$‰; $\delta^{30}\text{Si}_{\text{chemsed}} = \sim \leq 0$‰; Fig. 9H). Silicified volcanic rocks (Fig. 11, circle 3) in greenstone belts could represent the altered portion of hydrothermal systems, where temperature would be high compared to seawater, and precipitation rates could vary widely. Upward convecting fluid escapes from the system in focused streams at the hydrothermal vents. In modern basalt-hosted systems, these focused acidic fluids ($pH = \sim 3.0$) have a wide range of temperatures ($T = 23^\circ\text{C} – 367^\circ\text{C}$; Reeves et al., 2014; Fig. 11, circle 4). At this point, the system returns to open conditions within respect to quartz precipitation, where the water-to-rock ratio is likely high (Franklin et al., 2005). Conductive cooling at this hydrothermal-seawater interface results in precipitation of quartz, and deposition of the quartz-rich portion of chemical sedimentary rocks on the seafloor (Franklin et al., 2005; Fig. 11, circle 5). The high temperature of fluids yields quartz saturation at temperatures much greater than 100 °C (Rimstidt and Barnes, 1980), and upon contact with substantially cooler ambient seawater (near 1 °C) across a distance as little as 5 cm from the hydrothermal fluid, SiO$_2$ phases (amorphous SiO$_2$, opal-A, quartz; Hopkinson et al., 1999) actively precipitate (Von Damm et al., 1991). As hydrothermal systems evolve, both temperature and precipitation rate change (Hopkinson et al., 1999), and different metals precipitate as a function of temperature. Oxidized iron phases precipitate predominantly in lower temperature hydrothermal systems (though still at temperatures higher than ambient seawater; Otake et al., 2007).

The role of hydrothermal fluids in the formation of Archean iron formations has been previously suggested (Isley, 1995; Isley and Abbott, 1999), with a specific focus on iron delivery mechanisms. We support that quartz-saturated, high-temperature fluids could precipitate silica phases upon contact with cold seawater to form Si-rich layers in iron formations within hydrothermally influenced depositional systems – a process very similar to what occurs modern vent systems. Chemical sedimentary rocks would show complex interlayering with volcanic tuffaceous units that deposit during active volcanism, which is how the stratigraphy of the Abitibi Greenstone Belt is assembled (Fig. 2). Other Archean IF localities share this stratigraphic architecture (IF associated with volcanic rocks and their silicified equivalents; Kimberley, 1979; Konhauser et al., 2017), which is significantly different from younger, Proterozoic assemblages (Superior-type IF). Proterozoic iron formations commonly possess a granular texture – containing sand-sized, transported grains and sedimentary structures indicative of wave action, in addition to being grouped stratigraphically with thick packages of sandstones, and mudstones. Because of the well-documented range in depositional settings, iron formations are expected to acquire and
preserve basin-specific geochemical attributes. Specifically, we caution that isotope records that
group quartz (and potentially other minerals) from all iron formations through time will include
regional isotopic signatures, influenced by local hydrothermalism, rather than capturing a picture
of ocean evolution through time.

7. Conclusions

We measured whole rock major and trace element geochemistry and silicon and oxygen
isotopes using both in situ and multi-crystal techniques of various iron formations, and silicified
volcanic rocks from the ~2.7 Ga Abitibi Greenstone Belt, Canada. Within the Abitibi depositional
system, we conclude:

1) silicon and oxygen isotope values of silicified volcanic rocks are different from
   associated chemical sedimentary rocks;
2) micro-scale heterogeneity observed within silicon isotope values of chemical sedimentary
   rocks is likely primary, and links to near-surface cooling and open system precipitation
   within the hydrothermal fluid conduit, similar to quartz precipitating in modern
   hydrothermal systems in Iceland;
3) silicified volcanic rocks often possess near igneous silicon isotope values, close to those
   of modern oceanic crust.

Together, these results suggest that primary silicon isotope signatures preserved in Archean rocks,
and can be used to distinguish between quartz precipitating via silicification versus chemical
sedimentation.

Interpreting the origin, diversification, and radiation of early life critically links to
detangling the thermal and geochemical evolution of the Precambrian ocean. Rocks with the
potential to record primary signatures of ocean chemistry and temperature include marine chemical
sedimentary rocks such as chert and iron formation. However, metamorphism, metasomatism, and
hydrothermal overprinting may obscure primary geochemical and textural attributes of such rocks
within many Archean greenstone belt terranes, making it difficult to discern genesis.
Consequently, there is a need to identify a robust geochemical proxy that reliably distinguishes
between chemical sedimentary rocks and their altered look-alikes. Silicon isotope heterogeneity
appears to be preserved through metamorphism (Brengman et al., 2016), and so perhaps could be
used as a tool to distinguish chemical sedimentary rocks from their silicified equivalents in
metamorphosed terranes.
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Table 1. Sample number, assemblage, rock type, and analysis performed (Brengman et al., 2020).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample #</th>
<th>Assemblage</th>
<th>Rock type</th>
<th>Genetic group*</th>
<th>Petrography</th>
<th>Geochemistry</th>
<th>δ²⁹⁶SiNBS-28</th>
<th>δ¹⁸OV-SM OW (SIMS)</th>
<th>SIMS Target**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Temj &gt;2750 Ma, Temigami</td>
<td>iron formation, oxide facies</td>
<td>(1) chem. sedimentary rock</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>micro-quartz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Termp &gt;2750 Ma, Temigami</td>
<td>iron formation, oxide facies</td>
<td>(1) chem. sedimentary rock</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>micro-quartz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Tem13Db &gt;2750 Ma, Termp</td>
<td>iron formation, oxide facies</td>
<td>(1) chem. sedimentary rock</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>micro-quartz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>AB-13-63 2734 - 2724 Ma Deloro Assemblage (middle)</td>
<td>fine-grained felsic volcanic rock</td>
<td>(2) silicified rock (low)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>AB-13-64 2734 - 2724 Ma Deloro Assemblage (middle)</td>
<td>iron formation, sulfide facies</td>
<td>(1) chem. sedimentary rock</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>micro-quartz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>AB-13-65 2734 - 2724 Ma Deloro Assemblage (middle)</td>
<td>silica-rich fine-grained volcanic rock</td>
<td>(1) chem. sedimentary rock</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>AB-13-66 2734 - 2724 Ma Deloro Assemblage (middle)</td>
<td>sulfur-rich mudstone</td>
<td>(3) mixed volc. chem. rock</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>micro-quartz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>AB-13-67 2734 - 2724 Ma Deloro Assemblage (middle)</td>
<td>iron formation, oxide facies</td>
<td>(1) chem. sedimentary rock</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>micro-quartz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>AB-13-68 2734 - 2724 Ma Deloro Assemblage (middle)</td>
<td>iron formation, oxide facies</td>
<td>(1) chem. sedimentary rock</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x (2 samples)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>micro-quartz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>AB-13-70 2734 - 2724 Ma Deloro Assemblage (middle)</td>
<td>iron formation, oxide facies</td>
<td>(1) chem. sedimentary rock</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x (2 samples)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>micro-quartz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>AB-13-71 2734 - 2724 Ma Deloro Assemblage (middle)</td>
<td>mixed volcanic and chem. sed (debris flow)</td>
<td>(3) mixed volc. chem. rock</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x (2 samples)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>micro-quartz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>AB-13-72 2734 - 2724 Ma Deloro Assemblage (upper)</td>
<td>iron formation, oxide facies</td>
<td>(1, 2) silicified chem. sed.</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x (2 samples)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>micro-quartz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>AB-13-73 2734 - 2724 Ma Deloro Assemblage (upper)</td>
<td>iron formation, oxide facies</td>
<td>(1, 2) silicified chem. sed.</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x (2 samples)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>micro-quartz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>AB-13-74 2734 - 2724 Ma Deloro Assemblage (upper)</td>
<td>iron formation, oxide facies</td>
<td>(1, 2) silicified chem. sed.</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x (2 samples)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>micro-quartz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>AB-13-76 2734 - 2724 Ma Deloro Assemblage (upper)</td>
<td>iron formation (fragmented), oxide facies</td>
<td>(3) mixed volc. chem. rock</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x (2 samples)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>micro-quartz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>AB-11-12 2722 Ma Hunter Mine Group</td>
<td>silicified felsic volcanic rock</td>
<td>(2) silicified rock (low)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x (2 samples)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>micro-quartz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>AB-11-12 2722 Ma Hunter Mine Group</td>
<td>silicified felsic volcanic rock</td>
<td>(2) silicified rock (low)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x (2 samples)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>micro-quartz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>AB-11-12 2722 Ma Hunter Mine Group</td>
<td>silicified felsic volcanic rock</td>
<td>(2) silicified rock (low)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x (2 samples)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>micro-quartz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>AB-11-12 2722 Ma Hunter Mine Group</td>
<td>silicified felsic volcanic rock</td>
<td>(2) silicified rock (low)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x (2 samples)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>micro-quartz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>AB-11-12 2722 Ma Hunter Mine Group</td>
<td>silicified felsic volcanic rock</td>
<td>(2) silicified rock (low)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x (2 samples)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>micro-quartz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Am. Basalt 2698 - 2696 Ma Blake River Group</td>
<td>silicified basalt w / quartz-filled amygdules</td>
<td>(2) silicified rock (low)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x (2 samples)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>rough кварц, кварц phenocrysts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>AB-13-08 2698 - 2696 Ma Blake River Group</td>
<td>silicified basalt w / quartz-filled amygdules</td>
<td>(2) silicified rock (low)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x (2 samples)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>rough кварц, кварц phenocrysts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>AB-13-10 2698 - 2696 Ma Blake River Group</td>
<td>silicified basalt w / quartz-filled amygdules</td>
<td>(2) silicified rock (low)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x (2 samples)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>rough кварц, кварц phenocrysts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>AB-13-11 2698 - 2696 Ma Blake River Group</td>
<td>silicified basalt w / quartz-filled amygdules</td>
<td>(2) silicified rock (low)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x (2 samples)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>rough кварц, кварц phenocrysts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>AB-13-12 2698 - 2696 Ma Blake River Group</td>
<td>silicified basalt w / quartz-filled amygdules</td>
<td>(2) silicified rock (low)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x (2 samples)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>rough кварц, кварц phenocrysts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>AB-13-13 2698 - 2696 Ma Blake River Group</td>
<td>silicified basalt w / quartz-filled amygdules</td>
<td>(2) silicified rock (low)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x (2 samples)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>rough кварц, кварц phenocrysts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>AB-13-14 2698 - 2696 Ma Blake River Group</td>
<td>silicified basalt w / quartz-filled amygdules</td>
<td>(2) silicified rock (low)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x (2 samples)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>rough кварц, кварц phenocrysts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>AB-13-15 2698 - 2696 Ma Blake River Group</td>
<td>silicified basalt w / quartz-filled amygdules</td>
<td>(2) silicified rock (low)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x (2 samples)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>rough кварц, кварц phenocrysts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>AB-13-16 2698 - 2696 Ma Blake River Group</td>
<td>silicified basalt w / quartz-filled amygdules</td>
<td>(2) silicified rock (low)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x (2 samples)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>rough кварц, кварц phenocrysts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>AB-13-17 2698 - 2696 Ma Blake River Group</td>
<td>silicified basalt w / quartz-filled amygdules</td>
<td>(2) silicified rock (low)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x (2 samples)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>rough кварц, кварц phenocrysts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>AB-13-18 2698 - 2696 Ma Blake River Group</td>
<td>silicified basalt w / quartz-filled amygdules</td>
<td>(2) silicified rock (low)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x (2 samples)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>rough кварц, кварц phenocrysts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>AB-13-19 2698 - 2696 Ma Blake River Group</td>
<td>silicified basalt w / quartz-filled amygdules</td>
<td>(2) silicified rock (low)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x (2 samples)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>rough кварц, кварц phenocrysts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Genetic Group information
(1) chem. sedimentary rock: rock with a demonstrable chemical sedimentary origin based on field context, confirmed with petrography
(2) silicified rock (low, med, high): rock with demonstrable silica mobility in the form of silification, low, medium, and high descriptions are qualitative assessments of the relative amount Si mobility compared to the rest of the sample suite
(3) mixed volc. chem. rock: rocks with both volcanic and chemical sedimentary features, e.g. heterolithic volcaniclastic rocks interpreted as debris flows, fragmented iron formation with volcanic material in between fragments, and exhalates

**SIMS Target information:** micro-quartz and mega-quartz defined based definitions and crystal sizes in Boggs, 2001
Constraining mechanisms of quartz precipitation during silicification and chemical sedimentation in the ~2.7 Ga Abitibi Greenstone Belt, Canada

Figure 1. Schematic geologic map of volcano-sedimentary assemblages that form part of the ~2.7 Ga Abitibi Greenstone Belt, Canada based on the work of Ayer et al. (2005), Thurston et al., (2008), and Hannington et al., (2003). Circle symbols denote important towns that link to sample localities described in the text.
Figure 2. Cartoon stratigraphic columns from sample localities near Timmins, ON (A, D, E), Duparquet, QC (B, F), and Rouyn-Noranda, QC (C, G). Columns A and B are after Thurston et al., (2008), C is after Pan (1993), D is after Baldwin (2011), E is after Thurston et al., (2008; and 2012), F is after Brengman and Fedo (2018), and G is after Gibson et al. (1983).
Figure 3. Representative field outcrop photos from localities in this study. (a) Oxide “facies” iron formation near Temagami, ON. Scale card represents 10 cm length. (B) Oxide “facies” iron formation from the Middle Deloro unit near Timmins, ON. Rock hammer for scale. (C) Sulfide “facies” iron formation in contact with oxide “facies” iron formation from the Middle Deloro unit near Timmins, ON. (D) Visible silica mobility (silicification) within a chert layer in oxide facies iron formation from the Upper Deloro unit near Timmins, ON. (E) Chert fragments which appear silicified (note coloration differences between rims and cores of clasts) within an oxide iron formation from the Upper Deloro unit near Timmins, ON. (f) Exhalitive, silica-rich precipitate draping pillowed basalt in the Upper Amulet Member of the Blake River Formation near Noranda, QC. Rock hammer for scale.
Figure 4. Photomicrographs of representative iron formation samples. (A) Cross-polarized light image of iron formation sample TEMcf from near Temagami, ON. Microquartz (microqtz), hematite (hm), and magnetite (mgt) are the dominant mineral phases. (B) Cross-polarized light image of chert sample AB-13-70 from the Middle Deloro Iron formation near Timmins, ON. Microquartz dominates the mineral assemblage. Spherules of putative diagenetic or volcanic origin are present and highlighted. (C) Cross-polarized light image showing microquartz and hematite within iron formation fragment (sample AB-13-76). (D) Cross-polarized light image showing mega-quartz from sample AB-13-72 of the Upper Deloro Iron formation near Timmins, ON.
Figure 5. Photomicrographs of representative silicified volcanic rock samples. (A) Cross-polarized light image of silicification patch within sample AB-11-11 from the Hunter Mine Group. Note volcanic phenocrysts (quartz) present within and external to areas of silicification. (B) Cross-polarized light image of patchy/mottled silicification within Amulet member of the Blake River Group (sample AB-13-16). (C) Cross-polarized light image of amygdaloidal basalt sample AMYG from near Rouyn-Noranda, QC. Quartz and (qtz-filled amyg) chalcopyrite fill all amygdules. (D) Exhalite sample AB-13-09, which drapes the pillowed basalt unit (C). Microquartz is the dominant mineral phase and crystal size varies by layer.
Hydrothermal fluids $\text{Eu}_{\text{SN}}/\text{Eu}_{\text{SN}}^* \geq 1$

Volcanic rocks
- Andesite (BRG, AB-13-08, AB-13-10, AB-13-11)
- Basalt (BRG, AB-13-13 thru AB-13-19, AB-13-20)
- Cherty Ash (Middle Deloro, AB-13-63, AB-13-66)
- Sulfidic mudstone (Middle Deloro, AB-13-67)

Exhalite (BRG, AB-13-12)
- Heterolithic debris flow (Middle Deloro, AB-13-71a, b)
- Silicified volcanic rock (HMG, AB-11-12)

Mixed
- Silicate facies (cherty) IF (Middle Deloro, AB-13-70)
- Oxide Facies IF (Middle Deloro, AB-13-68, AB-13-65)
- Sulfide Facies IF (Middle Deloro, AB-13-64)
- Oxide Facies IF (Upper Deloro, AB-13-74)
- Oxide Facies IF (Upper Deloro, AB-13-76)
- Silicate facies (cherty) IF (Upper Deloro, AB-13-72)
- Oxide Facies IF (Upper Deloro, AB-13-73)
- Oxide Facies IF (Temagami, Temcf)
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Figure 6. Representative geochemical plots for silicified volcanic rocks, chemical sedimentary rocks, and those of a mixed origin (e.g. IF breccia in a volcanic matrix) from the Blake River Group and Deloro Assemblage. (A) Europium anomaly (Eu$_{\text{SN}}$/Eu$^{*}_{\text{SN}}$) versus Al$_2$O$_3$ content. Note the clear delineation between chemical sedimentary rocks and volcanic rocks. Also important is the inheritance of a positive Europium anomaly in volcanic rocks, typically associated with hydrothermal fluid interaction. (B) Europium anomaly (Eu$_{\text{SN}}$/Eu$^{*}_{\text{SN}}$) versus Y/Ho content. Note that most samples (including chemical sedimentary rocks and volcanic rocks) fall within the CHARAC field (25<Y/Ho<35). Only sample AB-13-72 and AB-13-74 fall within the “seawater” field with respect to Y/Ho values.
BSE $\delta^{30}\text{Si}_{\text{NBS-28}} = -0.28 \pm 0.06\%$
(Fitoussi et al., 2009)

$\delta^{30}\text{Si}_{\text{NBS-28}}$ (%)

$\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{SMOW}}$ (%)

AGB volcanic rocks
$\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{SMOW}} = 6-12\%$
(Beaty, 1980)

Volcanic rocks
- AB-11-11 (HMG, silicified - low)
- AB-11-12 (HMG, silicified - med.)
- AB-11-34 (HMG, silicified - med.)
- AB-11-19 (HMG, silicified - high)
- AB-11-34 (HMG, silicified - high)
- AB-11-11 Whole rock, $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{SMOW}} \sim 10.2\%$
- Archean silicified basalt (Abraham et al., 2011)
- Archean un-silicified basalt (Abraham et al., 2011)

Chem. sed. rocks
- AB-13-65 (Deloro, middle IF, oxide facies)
- Temcf (Temagami, oxide facies IF)
- Archean iron formations (Brengman, 2015)
- AB-13-72 (Deloro, silicified IF)
Figure 7. Silicon and oxygen isotope data measured using IRMS of quartz mineral separates for select samples (AB-11-11 WR represents the whole rock value for sample AB-11-11). Lines connecting symbols represent duplicates. Analytical error reported is smaller than symbol size. Dashed line represents bulk silicate earth (BSE) values for silicon isotopes based on Fitoussi et al., (2008). Note that the whole rock value for AB-11-11 WR differs from the quartz separate for the same sample AB-11-11. We also include silicon and oxygen isotope values of silicified and un-silicified Archean basalt (Abraham et al., 2011) and Archean iron formations from greenstone belt terranes (Brengman, 2015) for comparison. Note the apparent separation between data for different rock types.
Figure 8. Silicon isotope values (obtained using SIMS; error bars represent 1σ) of single quartz crystals from select samples. Y axis represents point number, x axis represents silicon isotope value compared to NBS-28. Samples TEMj and TEM cf are from the pre-2750 Assemblage, samples AB-13-76, AB-13-74, AB-13-70 (1), AB-13-70 (2), AB-13-72 (1), and AB-13-72 (2) are from the Deloro Assemblage near Timmins, ON, sample AB-11-12 is from the Deloro Assemblage near Duparquet, QC, and samples AB-13-13, AB-13-16, AB-13-17, AMYG, and AB-13-09 are from the Blake River Assemblage near Rouyn-Noranda, QC. Range of values for low-temperature quartz (<150 °C) and other silica polymorphs from modern Icelandic samples (Kleine et al., 2018) plotted for reference. Range of values for high-temperature quartz (<200 - 400 °C) and magmatic quartz (>550 °C) from modern Icelandic samples (Kleine et al., 2018) plotted for reference.
Figure 9. Silicon isotope values (obtained using SIMS; error bars represent 1 $\sigma$) of single quartz crystals from select samples. Histograms include all in situ data from the present study. Y-axis represents silicon isotope value compared to international standard NBS-28, x-axis represents count and kernel density distribution for each group. Samples are divided based on rock type for comparison, the dashed line represents a silicon isotope value of 0 per mil. Light colored lines represent silicon isotope values measured using IRMS for the same sample (plotted in Figure 7, values reported in Table S2). (A-G) Histograms for samples grouped based on rock type from the Deloro Assemblage, Hunter Mine Group, and Blake River Group. Rock types and SIMS targets are listed in Table 1, SIMS silicon isotope data is listed in Table S3 (summary of corrected values), and Table S4 (raw instrument data). (H) Calculated Rayleigh Distillation model for various fractionation factors.
Figure 10. Silicon isotope data measured using SIMS for silicified volcanic rock sample AB-11-11. (A) Cross-polarized light composite image showing volcanic phenocrysts (quartz Q, feldspar F) inside and external to fine-grained, silicification patched which consist predominantly of microquartz. (B) Individual point analyses of quartz phenocrysts and associated silicified material from sample AB-11-11. Measurements include quartz phenocrysts inside silicified areas and external to them, as well as micro-quartz and mega-quartz associated with silicification (yellow triangles).
Figure 11. Idealized schematic representation of a depositional system and fluid evolution for the Deloro assemblage chemical sedimentary rocks and silicified rocks. Profile, geochemical parameters, and mineral distributions designed after TAG modern hydrothermal mound Hopkinson (1999). Temperature and pH of fluids can vary across modern hydrothermal mound, resulting in precipitation of different minerals (iron oxides vs. sulfides). Debris flow and S-rich mudstone represent locally derived volcanic and volcaniclastic material flanking the main depositional locus for hydrothermal minerals. Silicification occurs at depth and throughout the precipitated mineral assemblage similar to other VMS-type systems. Cold seawater infiltrates sediment and volcanic rock pile (1) leading to water:rock interaction (2) and subsequent silicification and associated volume changes (ie - potential brecciation (3)). Heated by the underlying magmatic body, fluid is expelled from the system through the hydrothermal pile (4). Upon contact with cold seawater, forced precipitation can occur depending on pH and solubility differences (5). As the fluid travels through the system (1-4), the water:rock ratio changes, as well as the potential geochemical signature.