# Efficiently Simulating Lagrangian Particles in Large-Scale Ocean Flows - Data Structures and their Impact on Geophysical

# Applications

Christian Kehl<sup>*a,b*</sup>, Peter D. Nooteboom<sup>*a,c*</sup>, Mikael L.A. Kaandorp<sup>*a,c*</sup> and Erik van Sebille<sup>*a,c*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Physics, Institute for Marine and Atmospheric Research, Utrecht University, The Netherlands 66

<sup>b</sup>Department for Information and Computing Sciences, Utrecht University, The Netherlands 56

<sup>c</sup>Centre for Complex Systems Studies, Utrecht University, the Netherlands 57

<sup>c</sup>Department of Atmospheric & Oceanic Sciences, University of Maryland, Maryland / United States 58

#### ARTICLE INFO 69

59

# Abstract

02		
02         -           63         1           64         1           65         1           66         1           67         1           68         69           70         71           72         73           74         75           76         77           78         79           80         81	Keywords: Lagrangian Simulations Particle Systems Performance Enhancement Physical Oceanography	Studying oceanography by using Lagrangian simulations has been adopted for a range of scen- arios, such as the determining the fate of microplastics in the ocean, simulating the origin loc- ations of microplankton used for palaeoceanographic reconstructions, for studying the impact of fish aggregation devices on the migration behaviour of tuna. These simulations are complex and represent a considerable runtime effort to obtain trajectory results, which is the prime mo- tivation for enhancing the performance of Lagrangian particle simulators. This paper analyses and compares established performance enhancing technique from Eulerian simulators with the computational conditions and demands of Lagrangian simulators. A performance enhancement strategy specifically targeting physics-based Lagrangian particle simulations is outlined to ad- dress the performance gaps, and techniques for closing the performance gap are presented and implemented. Realistic experiments are derived from three specific oceanographic application scenarios, and the suggested performance-enhancing techniques are benchmarked in detail, so to allow for a good attribution of speed-up measurements to individual techniques. The impacts and insights from the performance enhancement strategy are further discussed for Lagrangian simulations in other geoscientific applications. The experiments show that I/O-enhancing tech- niques, such as dynamic loading and buffering, lead to considerable speed-up on-par with an idealised parallelisation of the process over 20 nodes. Conversely, alternative data structures to a CPU cache-efficient structure-of-arrays do not fulfill the theoretically-expected performance increase which also demonstrates the importance of good cache alignment for L agrangian phys-
81 82		increase, which also demonstrates the importance of good cache alignment for Lagrangian phys- ics simulations.
81 82		increase, which also demonstrates the importance of good cache alignment for Lagrangian phy ics simulations.

84

1

#### **CRediT** authorship contribution statement 85

Christian Kehl: Lead author; conception, technical design, technical implementation, runtime experiment design, 86 runtime experiment execution, runtime experiment analysis, manuscript initiation, manuscript writing. Peter D. 87 **Nooteboom:** Contributing author; curating physicist of the palaeo-plankton study in terms of technical design, tech-88 nical implementation, experiment design and analysis; manuscript writing of section 5. Mikael L.A. Kaandorp: 89 Contributing author; co-curating the biofouling project; redesign and reimplementation of biofouling study; manu-90 script writing of section 5. Erik van Sebille: Research group leader; funding acquisition; conceptualisation of 91 Galapagos, palaeo-plankton and biofouling scenario; principal investigator of TOPIOS project, IMMERSE project 92 and nanoplastics project; manuscript writing . 93

ORCID(s): 0000-0003-4200-1450 (C. Kehl); 0000-0003-3689-0845 (P.D. Nooteboom); 0000-0003-3744-6789 (M.L.A. Kaandorp); 0000-0003-2041-0704 (E. van Sebille)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Non-peer reviewed preprint submitted to Computers & Geosciences (CAGEO) and EarthArXiv, licensed under the CC BY license (http: //creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

# 94 1. Introduction

Simulating the transport of objects within the oceans, such as plastics by Duncan et al. (2018); Everaert et al. 95 (2020); van Sebille et al. (2020), plankton as in Nooteboom et al. (2019); Dämmer et al. (2020), spilled oil particulates 96 studied by Anguiano-García et al. (2019); Calzada et al. (2021), or biota transport- and migration studied by Scutt 97 Phillips et al. (2018); Schilling et al. (2020); Lindo-Atichati et al. (2020); Le Gouvello et al. (2020)) is important for 98 achieving the ecological goals of recently enacted policies such as the European Union's Green Deal and the United 99 Nations' Sustainable Development Goals. Estimating the distribution of physical-, chemical- or biological quantities 100 (e.g. heat, salinity) in the oceans as well as the tracing of objects can be done using either a Eulerian- or Lagrangian 101 computational framework. 102

Both approaches are viable study methods: Eulerian simulations are based on mass-, momentum- and energy 103 conservation and the flux of water masses between finite-volume cells of a discrete Cartesian grid (Batchelor, 2000), 104 where tracer concentration is quantified within the finite-difference scheme. In contrast, Lagrangian simulations trace 105 attributed particles along their trajectory (van Sebille et al., 2018), where particles are advected by the background 106 current taken in turn from an Eulerian simulation. As a consequence, Lagrangian trajectories require Eulerian simu-107 lations as input for the hydrodynamical forcing for the (passive) advection by ocean currents and waves. Furthermore, 108 Lagrangian simulations can relatively straightforward be extended to include particle 'behaviour' (floating, sinking, 109 etc). 110

The Eulerian- and Lagrangian approach differ in terms of their computational characteristic. Eulerian simulations 111 evaluate numerical, vectorized equations on gridded data. They require only few externally stored information while 112 most required data are in memory. The major workload in Eulerian simulations comes from (i) implicit address cal-113 culations and (b) the arithmetic operations. Both operations are boosted by faster hardware processors and software 114 parallelisation. On the other hand, Lagrangian simulations evaluate simple equations per particle using auxiliary Eu-115 lerian data. The particle is part of an unordered collection. Lagrangian simulations require substantial external data 116 beyond the memory capacity. Therefore, their major workload is (i) accessing external data and (ii) perform inter-117 polations on external grids. Those operations is significantly less impacted by processor technology, but rather by 118 high-speed hardware interfaces and beneficial data layouts. 119

The focus of this study is the development and assessment of performance-enhancing techniques of Lagrangian simulations. Fluid particle advection in *computational fluid dynamics (CFD)* has approaches in various computational disciplines such as computer graphics & visualisation (Post and Van Walsum, 1993; Harada et al., 2007; Harada, 2007; Ribicic et al., 2013), scientific computing (Crespo et al., 2011; Horváth et al., 2016), as well as computational engineering- and physics (Kelager, 2006; Crespo et al., 2015; Lowe et al., 2019; Kanehira et al., 2019; Morikawa et al., 2021). That said, Lagrangian physical oceanography goes beyond plain particle advection: Physical models,

which are combined with the advection, not only linearly interpolate attributes, but represent active particle behaviour. 126 Moreover, physical and biochemical models introduce non-linear effects to the hydrodynamic forcing that alter the 127 particles' motion and transport. This effect was observed in studies on nearshore behaviour (Alsina et al., 2020), 128 beaching (Daily et al., 2021; Onink et al., 2021) and biofouling (Kooi et al., 2017; Lobelle et al., 2021). Lastly, 129 compared to demonstrated approaches in the literature, oceanographic simulations differ from common dam break 130 scenarios as particle motion is dominated by chemical interactions and thermal forces rather than by kinetic forcing. 131 Several established computer systems are available to the oceanographic community to simulate Lagrangian particle 132 trajectories, which differ in terms the accepted Eulerian field input formats. TRACMASS (Döös et al., 2013), OpenDrift 133 (Dagestad et al., 2018), ARIANE (Blanke and Raynaud, 1997) and parcels (Lange and van Sebille, 2017; Delandmeter 134 and van Sebille, 2019) are oceanographic frameworks that work with structured grids, both rectilinear and curvilinear. 135 While parcels is a Python framework with on-the-fly C kernel generation, TRACMASS is a C-written monolithic sim-136 ulation program. Firedrake (Rathgeber et al., 2016) and FESOM (Androsov et al., 2019) advect and trace particles on 137 unstructured grids, which complicates field interpolations for the benefit of conserving memory. Both are C-developed 138 programs, whereas the recent OceanTracker (Vennell et al., 2021) is a Python framework for particle tracking on un-139 structured grids. 140

This paper investigates the performance improvement of Lagrangian ocean simulations. A previous performance 141 study on synthetic data (Kehl et al., 2021) indicated high I/O load as bottleneck for Lagrangian simulations. Hence, 142 this study quantifies the I/O load on real oceanographic simulations. Different performance-enhancing techniques for 143 faster data access are presented based on prior developments. The access-pattern enhancement is dependent on the 144 kind of simulation being performed, thus we assess the impact of in-memory performance improvements in different 145 oceanic simulation scenarios. Next to the in-memory transactions, read-in and write-out operations consume a bulk of 146 simulation time. Techniques such as chunking and caching theoretically boost external I/O operations. This manuscript 147 also investigates how those techniques impact specific oceanographic scenarios. In conclusion to the assessment of 148 individual techniques, we derive fine-grained performance metrics that are generally applicable to all Eulerian- and 149 Lagrangian simulations. Those metrics provide insight into the performance profile of one's simulation. 150

# **151 2.** Methodology

The study in this paper discusses performance implications and improvements in parcels. Parcels is a Python framework which integrates particle trajectories and tracers either in a Python-only (i.e. *scipy* by Virtanen et al. (2020)) or a *ctypes*, *just-in-time* (*JIT*)-compiled *C*-mode (i.e. *jit*). The framework is built around the concept of field sets and particle sets (see fig. 1, take from https://oceanparcels.org). A field set is an aggregated vector of array buffers that stores the hydrodynamic- and supplementary fields. A particle set is a data collection that stores the current states

of particles. During the integration, the timestamped particles are written to temporary files per integration step, which are later aggregated to *NetCDF* or *zarr*. The flexible particle set size and the constant read-in and write-out of data results in performance being capped by internal- (i.e. memory) and external (i.e. disk and network) *input/output (I/O)* operations and the related data throughput. The considerably high memory consumption, emerging from both the Eulerian hydrodynamic field data and the large particle set size, also mandates the use of *high-performance computing* (*HPC*) and cluster facilities so to simulate real-world scenarios for particle tracing. Any performance optimization needs to account for this computational setting of *common use-cases*.

The general performance characteristics in section 1 proximally derive a strategy for speeding up Lagrangian simulations. A previous study by Kehl (2021) already presented memory- and time consumption profiles on a per-function bases, which states the system's the bottleneck operations. As a result, this paper transcends the trivial strategies and increases the performance benefits.

In application-domain communities, the prevalent idea is to exploit parallelism to achieve performance improve-168 ments (e.g. message passing via MPI, shared-memory via OpenMP). Lagrangian simulations are not easily parallelised 169 and rapidly enter diminishing speed-up rates with an increase in *processing units (PUs)*. The diminishing performance 170 improvement is most noticeable in scenarios with a dynamic particle set size. The reason of the diminishing improve-171 ment is the cost of data I/O as primary bottleneck. In an MPI-parallelised parcels setup, particles are associated with 172 a PU on start-up, which stays fixed over the simulation runtime. Conversely, the sharp separation allows each PU 173 to only load a distinct field area, which is the actual cause of runtime reductions. While this strategy works well at 174 simulation start, the runtime reduction vanishes in later simulation stages. As particles are advected in the fluid, their 175 positions change and thus, there is an increasing overlap in the field areas each PU is loading. In a fully stirred particle 176 configuration, this load distribution has no speed-up, as visible in the scalability speed-up graphs in fig. 2. It is indeed 177 this load distribution that caps the performance improvement from parallelisation. Improving the strategy requires 178 excessive synchronisation and communication, which limits the performance potential of parallelisation in general. 179

From the analysis of per-function runtime profiles with synthetic in-memory fields, the five most expensive functions are two particle set loops (for adding and removing particles), two transposed array-copy operations of the field set buffers, and the actual kernel execution at each computation step. As a result, the runtime load can broadly be split into *compute*- and *I/O load*. In terms of computer architecture, the delay sources (fig. 3) that are related to I/O have a major impact on performance.

Investigating the I/O load in detail, the simulations do not benefit from latest-generation parallel-processors because the load is governed by data transfer delays on data access (fig. 3). Therefore, the primary goal for an I/O performance increase is to maximise data throughput by avoiding or mitigating data access delays, specifically *external I/O delays*, when moving data from disk or the network into memory. Memory buffers can hide external I/O delays resulting from

the high latency of I/O components. Dynamic data loading via *chunks* or *slabs* reduce loading time by splitting the 189 file-stored data in smaller, individually-loaded units. It thus prevents prevents loading entire large files when only a 190 small data subset is actually required. Both techniques work independently and can be concurrently implemented. Next 191 to external I/O delay mitigation, memory-related layout changes reduce the internal I/O delay between memory and 192 CPU. Interleaved- or strided contiguous memory layouts for the particle sets are performance-enhancing changes for 193 reducing internal I/O delays. Furthermore, the array-like structure (i.e. Numpy array (Harris et al., 2020)), as collection 194 type of the particle set, is not ideal fpr particle insertions and removals at random indices, which are faster for list-195 like collections (see Sedgewick and Wayne (2011)). Hence, implementing different particle set collections potentially 196 reduces those delays. This change has already been benchmarked by Kehl et al. (2021) for pure particle advection 197 scenarios, while this paper investigates the I/O delay reduction in oceanographic scenarios with more extensively 198 attributed particles. 199

Within the performance improvement strategy laid out here, measuring *performance* also exceeds a simple, global 200 runtime tracking. Tracking individual timings for compute-, external I/O- and internal I/O operations is necessary 201 to causally attribute performance improvements to each individual enhancement. Moreover, in order to better split 202 constant delay offset and scaling delay costs, and thus allow for performance estimation and extrapolation, the chosen 203 approach tracks the global runtime, the runtime per kernel execution and the average per-particle runtime at each time 204 step. Combining the individual metrics into internal-versus-external I/O load ratio, compute-versus-internal I/O time 205 ratio and compute-versus-external I/O time ratio allows for explicit performance statements and provide performance 206 guidance to individual oceanographic scenarios. 207

### 208 **3.** Datasets

The oceanographic studies in this work rely on two Eulerian datasets in terms of hydrodynamics, biochemistry and physical attributes, which are covered in the NEMO dataset by Megann et al. (2014) and Yool et al. (2013), and the *Surface and Merged Ocean Currents (SMOC)* dataset by Drillet et al. (2019).

# 212 3.1. NEMO-MEDUSA dataset

The NEMO-MEDUSA dataset consists of hydrodynamic, physical, biological and biochemical Eulerian model data with a five-day temporal resolution and a horizontal resolution of 0.083 deg ×0.083 deg, as well as 50 vertical layers with an anisotropic layer thickness. The values are stored on a curvilinear ORCA C-grid, which thus requires dedicated interpolation schemes in parcels (Delandmeter and van Sebille, 2019). The grid uses a WGS84 coordinate system laterally, with depth stored in meters.

#### **3.2.** SMOC dataset

The SMOC dataset is a Eulerian hydrodymanic 2D flow model with a daily sample on a regular A-grid of 0.083 deg  $\times$  0.083 deg for the first 15*m* at the ocean surface Drillet et al. (2019). It uses the WGS84 coordinate system laterally, with depth given in metres.

The dataset is used for large-area and near-shore studies, such as the Galapagos case study. It provides hydrodynamic velocities of U and V from NEMO (Gasparin et al., 2018), as well as the stokes-drift fluid velocities at the sea surface, which are computed by the MeteoFrance Wave Action Model *WaveWatchIII* (Ardhuin et al., 2010), and tidal fluid velocities from FES2014 (Carrere et al., 2015).

# **4.** Scenarios

In contrast to previous studies (Kehl et al., 2021), this article benchmarks the technical developments in operational oceanic simulations. The selected scenarios cover a range of computational conditions, as illustrated and referenced below.

# 230 4.1. Simulating the origin of sea level plastics around the Galapagos archipelago - *Galapagos*

The Galapagos Archipelago is home to one of the most iconic and unique ecosystems in the world, but it is also under pressure from human influences (Escobar-Camacho et al., 2021). In particular, large amounts of plastic wash up on some of the beaches around the Galapagos (Jones et al., 2021), carried by ocean currents from the mainland (van Sebille et al., 2019). Once in the Archipelago, the complex flow between the islands creates a pattern of capture-andrelease of plastic on different shores of the islands (Ypma et al., 2022).

In order to analyze the plastic transport, particle simulations on the ocean surface with high-resolution field grids were employed. Furthermore, the effect of Stokes drift (Onink et al., 2019) is added via the WaveWatchIII data (Ardhuin et al., 2010). On compute platforms with separate WaveWatchIII fields available, the simulation additionally uses the NEMO-MEDUSA surface flow hydrodynamics. On platforms without separated WaveWatchIII data, the simulation takes hydrodynamics and stokes drift from the SMOC dataset.

A set of particles is released on a square grid around the Galapagos Islands, in the region bounded by (91.8W–89W, 1.4S–0.7N), and then advected for 14 days. A new set of particles is released every 7 days to capture the time-varying flow.

### 4.2. Simulating pathways and ocean surface origin locations of sedimentary microplankton -

#### 245 palaeo-plankton

Some near-surface living microplankton sink towards the ocean bottom as a part of their life cycle, where their remains can be preserved in sediments. As such, these sedimentary microplankton and their biogeochemical properties

are representative of the climate at the ocean surface (Morey et al., 2005; Esper and Zonneveld, 2007). Therefore, fossil remains from these sedimentary microplankton can be used to make reconstructions of (near-)surface oceanographic conditions in past climates. Contrary to the accepted assumption of near-nadir sinking, microplankton is laterally transported by ocean currents and thus not representative for the overlying ocean surface conditions of its sediment location (Weyl, 1978; Nooteboom et al., 2022).

Quantification of this *advection bias* effect (Nooteboom et al., 2019) is possible via backwards Lagrangian particle advection (Nooteboom et al., 2020). Within this *palaeo-parcels* Lagrangian method, plankton particles are periodically released every ~ 1 day at the ocean floor for a few years. The particles are tracked back in time while being advected by the 3D hydrodynamic flow, accounting for the reversed sinking behaviour, until they reach the ocean surface. The environmental variables (e.g. Sea Surface Temperature (SST), sea surface salinity or primary productivity) are recorded during or at the end of transport, and compared to observations at the sedimentary release location. Once a particle reaches the ocean surfaces, it is removed from the particle set.

The palaeo-parcels method differentiates between microplankton types, which may impact performance. For instance, planktic foraminifera (van Sebille et al., 2015; Dämmer et al., 2020; Turney et al., 2020) and molecules produced by e.g. alkenones or TEX86 (Rice et al., 2022) typically sink faster compared to dinoflagellate cysts (Nooteboom et al., 2019, in review). Moreover, the required field variables depends on the type of microplankton and the environmental variables that are reconstructed.

### 4.3. Microplastics biofouling and its migration in the water column - *biofouling*

The biofouling simulations studies how plastic particles mix through the water column, and the resulting effect 266 on horizontal transport in the global ocean. The most commonly used polymer types for consumer plastics, such as 267 polyethylene, polypropylene, and polystyrene, are buoyant within seawater Bond et al. (2018). However, an algae layer 268 can grow on top of the plastic items over time. This can induce sinking of the plastics, as the biofilm is typically denser 269 than seawater Kooi et al. (2017). The biofouling simulations investigates how the realistic algae growth on plastic 270 particles, based on Fischer et al. (2022), affects the global dispersion of plastics. The simulations are done forward-in-271 time, focusing on the large spatial scales (i.e. global) and long time scales (i.e. months to years). Particles are seeded 272 uniformly across the globe at varying depth levels in the ocean. In total, each partial simulation is run for a month with 273 2.3 million particles. 274

At first, a biofilm develops through collisions with algae in the water column, which is based on the algae concentrations, the particle's size, and particle's settling velocity. The algae concentrations is captured in two fields, one for diatom concentrations and one for nanophyoplankton concentrations. Then, the accumulated biofilm can grow. This growth is a function of the primary productivity, provided as NEMO-MEDUSA field, in the water column. In the end,

the loss of algae is captured in the model via respiration. This is a function of the particle's accumulated algae and 279 the seawater temperature, obtained from the fieldset. The combined growth and loss of algae leads to an oscillatory 280 movement of particles in the water column, as discussed in Kooi et al. (2017); Fischer et al. (2022). The particle's 281 settling velocity is a function of the particle's size, its density Dietrich (1982), and the seawater density. The seawa-282 ter density is calculated using the relation from Roquet et al. (2015), based on the seawater salinity- and temperature 283 field data. Furthermore, the particles experience vertical mixing through turbulence Onink et al. (2022), captured by a 284 vertical turbulent diffusivity field. All the required fields are part of the NEMO-MEDUSA dataset and its biochemical 285 components (see section 3.1). 286

#### 287 5. Results

This section presents the benchmark results, split up according to each of the three introduced performanceenhancing techniques: (a) different collection data structures to store the particle set, (b) dynamic data loading via Dask, and (c) external data buffers on SSD drives.

Initially, the three individual scenarios of section 4 are compared so to form a discussion baseline and make sub-291 sequent measurements comparable. All three scenarios have in their default setup different simulation runtimes and 292 different particle numbers. Hence, a meaningful comparison can only be done via ratios and per-particle metrics. The 293 total per-particle runtime for each scenario (fig. 4(a)) displays the time one particle requires in one simulation step on 294 average to obtain the interpolation data from disk, compute the kernel function, and rearrange the particle set as con-295 sequence of particle insertions and removals. The palaeo-parcels case is hereby slightly slower than the Galapagos case, 296 despite both simulations operating on a comparable area. The required fields alone would suggest a larger gap between 297 both the biofouling- and the palaeo-plankton scenario. In contrast, due to the field data demands and the involved com-298 putational complexity of the kernel, the biofouling case exceeds the runtimes of the Galapagos- and palaeo-plankton 299 scenario by two orders of magnitude. In further detail, the overall compute-to-I/O ratio (fig. 4(b)) shows the expected 300 behaviour: the comparably small number of fields results in a comparably high ratio for the Galapagos case, despite 301 the simple advection kernel. The palaeo-plankton case requires more field data while having an equally simple kernel, 302 and thus dropping the load ratio. The biofouling case has only a few more fields than the palaeo-plankton case, but a 303 more complex computing kernel, thus its compute-to-I/O ratio is higher. Considering bottlenecks and delays (fig. 4(c) 304 and 4(d), the palaeo-plankton scenario spends excessive time in data rearrangement due to particle deletion, which the 305 other scenarios do not require. The high compute ratio compared to internal I/O for biofouling is rooted in the kernel, 306 which is also visible because the external I/O time is four orders of magnitude higher than internal data procedures. 307 The external-to-internal I/O ratio also shows that internal data rearrangement of the palaeo-plankton scenario is offset 308 by its higher external I/O demands when comparing it to the Galapagos scenario. 309

Furthermore, the gathered benchmarks can utilize different high-performance-, cluster- and distributed computing 310 platforms. The GEMINI platform is a commodity cluster with a variable compute node hardware setup, running a non-311 preemptive Sungrid Engine (SGE) job scheduler with internal swap-space access. The SNELLIUS supercomputer 312 is a homogeneously-equipped many-node platform with up to 256 GB per node. The supercomputer implements a 313 preemptive SLURM job scheduler without swapping. While SNELLIUS is more strict in its usage policy, correct job 314 preemption and the guarantee of data being in system memory makes the platform more reliable. The LORENZ cluster 315 is the newest computing environment available. The cluster's setup is the same as for SNELLIUS, with the exception 316 of the installed SSD buffer- or cache space on each compute node. In order to gauge the relative performance of all 317 three platforms, fig. 5 displays their runtimes for the Galapagos scenario using a jit-compiled kernel and an array-of-318 structure (AoS) particle set layout. 319

### **5.1.** Impact of collection data structures and internal memory

This section's experiments follow the Galapagos case, as this is the quickest scenario and the one easiest to repro-321 duce. A first glance on the difference between the three collection structures of AoS, structure-of-arrays (SoA) and the 322 double-linked node-based list (i.e. nodes) is given with simulations of jit-based kernels and a constantly-held pool of 323 144 particles. The average kernel time (fig. 6(a)) shows that the SoA collection is fastest for the computation, despite 324 regular insertions and removals, whereas the dynamic node-list is the slowest collection. A reason for this can be seen 325 in the compute-to-I/O load (fig. 6(b)), where SoA can allocate more time to actual computation, whereas the nodes 326 incur a significant overhead for memory management. The interface binding to ctypes also imposes an overhead to the 327 internal memory time. This hypothesis is supported by the per-particle compute- and I/O times (fig. 6(c) and 6(d)): 328 for SoA, the ctypes interface binding occurs during the kernel evaluation, thus raising the time consumption of SoA. 329 Conversely, for node-based lists, the ctypes binding is part of the particle creation process, thus counting into the I/O 330 time budget. That said, binding an array into ctypes is faster than binding individual elements, hence the per-particle 331 binding process is overall slower. This is validated by compute-to-memory I/O (fig. 6(e)) and external-to-internal 332 I/O ratios (fig. 6(f)), where the internal I/O delay per particle that occurs for nodes and AoS significantly limits the 333 performances when compared to SoA. Another contributing hypothesis supported by previous studies the impact of 334 SoA's cache-effective layout, as discussed in section 2. 335

The costs of the ad-hoc or per-particle ctypes binding emerge when comparing the jit experiment above with an experiment just using Python and SciPy. As evident from the kernel runtime (fig. 7(a)), the nodes is the most runtimeefficient collection, as expected from theory (Sedgewick and Wayne, 2011). In the compute-to-I/O ratio (fig. 7(b)), we can see that without the explicit ctypes bindings, the AoS structure has the least management overhead, allowing for a maximum of computations, even though the computation itself proceeds slower. Furthermore, the overhead of the

nodes is minimal when compared to SoA. The overhead for managing the list without ctypes bindings for each node is smaller than the recurrent array re-allocations for SoA structures (see the per-particle I/O time in fig. 7(c)), and it is mitigated by the more-efficient list traversal for small collections (emerging from the per-particle compute time in fig. 7(d)). Considering the absolute speed-up of node lists and SoA over AoS (fig. 7(e)), the actual difference for a small particle set of 144 elements is minimal, with the speed-up of the node-based list being at 1.065 and the one of SoA being at 1.025.

Considering the performance behaviour for larger datasets using the jit-interface for kernel evaluation, certain trends are clearly emerging:

- the average kernel runtime of node-list particle sets rises exponentially with the number of particles, whereas
   array-like collections exhibit a linear runtime behaviour (fig. 8(a));
- object-organised structures (i.e. nodes and AoS) aymptotically approach a compute-to-I/O load of 0.8, whereas
   the array-organised SoA structure is more computationally efficient with an exponentially increasing compute to-I/O ratio even beyond the 1.0 threshold (fig. 8(b));
- 354 3. the ratio of compute-to-memory I/O stays constant for larger datasets for object-organised structures, whereas
   355 the portion of compute-operations rises linearly for SoA collections (see logarithmic plot in fig. 8(c));
- 4. the impact of external file access overhead decreases linearly for all presented collection types (fig. 8(d));
- 5. the double-linked node list does not deliver a consistent speed-up (fig. 8(e)) compared to AoS, whereas SoA
   collections pay off with speed-ups rising linearly beyond 1.0 from a particle set size of 1500.

# **5.2.** Impact of dynamic data loading via *Dask* chunking

In order to judge the impact of chunking, a smallest running example with a pre-computed Bickley jet (Hadjighasem et al., 2017) flow field is compared to the Galapagos scenario with few (i.e. four) fields and the biofouling case with a large field number. Each of those scenarios is benchmarked in terms of overall runtime with disabled chunking (i.e. nochk), user-defined chunksizes (i.e. dchk) and auto-chunking (i.e. achk). As all three scenarios differ in particle set size and simulation timespan, it is advisable to compare the scenarios in terms of relative gains.

For the Bickley jet (fig. 9), chunking in any form leads to a speed increase in the simulation. The speed-up of a user-defined chunksize is minimal compared to the automatically-derived chunksizes.

For a common application scenario, chunking introduces an overhead in computation. For a computationally simple advection case with few memory access-related interpolations, this overhead is not compensated by a computational enhancement. This can be seen in the runtime measurements for the Galapagos scenario in fig. 10(a). Moreover, it is visible that the performance difference between a memory-optimized chunksize, as it is resulting from auto-chunking, and a suboptimal chunksize, as result of user-defined chunksizes, is significant in terms of simulation runtime. In-

specting the simulation in depth, the chunking process measurably rearranges previously stored data grids into a tree of chunked virtual cells for each field file on each file opening operation. This offset can only be compensated if the resulting chunks are small enough to reduce the loaded data, while equally being large enough so that the number of chunks do not require excessive parsing within it's managing tree structure. It can be observed from the Bickley jet- and the Galapagos scenario that 2D flow computations benefit from larger, possibly non-chunk cells to reduce the parsing overhead.

The large, 3D field set scenario of the biofouling simulation behaves differently in terms of chunksizes and chunk setups (see fig. 10(b)). A user-defined chunksize trims the runtime to only 37.14% of the same simulation without any chunking. Letting the memory-observant auto-chunking define the chunk boundaries trims this further down to only 12.54% of the user-defined chunksize runtime. Thus, overall the optimal auto-chunking procedure has a speed-up of 21.47 over the non-chunked simulation.

# **5.3.** Impact of external data buffers

The introduction of external file buffers on high-throughput harddrives has shown neglegable benefit to the actual performance enhancement across all platforms for the Galapagos case. Actual measurements comparing a regular, low-throughput cluster (i.e. GEMINI) with a high-throughput cluster (i.e. LORENZ) can be found in supplementary material S1 to S3.

# **388** 6. Conclusions

The experiments analysed three different performance enhancing techniques. Using alternative data collection 389 structures, such as a double-linked node-based list or an SoA layout of particles within NumPy arrays, has a significant 390 impact on the runtime. As all evaluated advection kernels are identical, runtime differences in section 5 are rooted in 391 the effectiveness of internal- and external I/O procedures. In a jit kernel evaluation, the data need to be linked to the 392 ctypes backend. This is very quick for array collections, whereas the node collection needs to links and refresh each 393 element, imposing a considerable runtime overhead. Thus, in jit-based evaluations, the SoA structure outperforms 394 the other two collection structures, which also scales well with an increasing number of particles (see fig. 8(e)). In 395 a SciPy setup, the need for special connections to any background framework is omitted. In this case, the measured 396 performance follows theory, meaning that node lists outperform SoA- and AoS collections in a dynamic scenario 397 of inserting and removing particles. A scalability study was out of scope of the displayed experiments. That said, 398 all available information, including available previous studies Kehl et al. (2021), suggest that this behaviour scales 399 proportionally with the number of particles. 400

401

The other major time expense of external I/O, namely the interface to the Eulerian fields, can be reduced using

dynamic loading procedures (i.e. Dask chunking). In the presented experiments, it is evident that the impact and 402 runtime reduction achievable via chunking depends on the number and size of the required fields for each scenario. 403 For scenarios with few and small fieldsets, only comprising the hydrodynamic velocities for advection, the performance 404 improvement is negligible. For medium-sized fields of realistic scenarios and few supplementary fields, such as the 405 stokes drift in the Galapagos case, the speed improvement is visible. Furthermore, for those smaller cases, it is evident 406 that a custom chunksize definition by the user can outperform an automatic derivation of the chunksizes. For large-407 scale scenarios with multiple supplementary fields and high resolution, the attainable performance improvement is 408 significant and also unattainable by other means (e.g. parallelisation), with a speed-up  $\geq 21$  compared to non-chunked 409 simulations. 410

At last, the introduction of SSD buffers for faster local data access does not show any performance improvement. There is no evidence for a specific reason why this performance enhancement strategy is not effective.

# **413** 7. Discussion

The experimental results have implications for other Lagrangian simulations as well as I/O-bound process in gen-414 eral. Overall, the experiments validate that performance enhancement proceeds differently for compute-bound and 415 I/O-bound processes and simulations. For I/O-bound processes, the data access delays need to be fully mitigated be-416 fore compute-related enhancement techniques, such as parallelisation, yield any scalable speed-up. A deeper analysis 417 of the performance profile also validates that runtime delays need to be profiled, and that a split between internal- and 418 external I/O delay is beneficial to adequately address the delays. In this study, the experiments on alternative collection 419 data structures (section 5.1) demonstrated the response of internal I/O delays on the different collection data structures. 420 In the related experiments, the external I/O time remains constant, and thus only the internal I/O delays affect the sim-421 ulation runtime differences. Conversely, the dynamic loading and data buffering only affects the external I/O interface 422 of fieldsets while internal I/O delays remain unaffected. 423

The experiments demonstrate that I/O-bound processes in general can be sped-up significantly with I/O reduction techniques, while parallelisation of the computing processes yields little to no benefit in terms of performance. Conversely, this result also demands from domain experts to comprehend the software characteristics, analyse the compute-to-I/O ratio for their individual compute scenarios, and base their performance enhancement strategy on this analysis.

This study analysed techniques for I/O optimisation for enhanced simulation performance. Alternatively, the constraining I/O delays can also be mitigated by simply raising the computational load of the simulation, with the goal to obtain more output data within the same simulation timeframe. Conversely, this approach is yet bound by the overall memory budget available to the simulation. In the presented realistic oceanographic scenarios, the available memory

budget is exhausted at a significantly lower limit that what is needed to achieve a compute-to-I/O ratio of  $\geq$  1.0.

# **434** 8. Acknowledgments

All authors thank the OceanParcels group of Utrecht University's IMAU for their valuable feedback and the provided, interesting application scenarios. The research is supported by the "Tracking Of Plastic In Our Seas" (TO-PIOS) project (grant agreement no. 715386), and partly by the IMMERSE project (grant agreement no. 821926), both funded by ERC's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation program. Furthermore, the NWO Groot-funded nanoplastics project (ref. no. OCENW.GROOT.2019.043) supported the outlined development. Simulations were carried out on the Dutch National e-Infrastructure with the support of SURF Cooperative (project no. 16371 and 2019.034). We thank Phillipe Delandmeter, a former group member, for his considerable early contributions to the HPC de-

velopments in parcels. We further thank Delphine M.A. Lobelle, Stefanie L. Ypma and Reint P.B. Fischer for their
microbiological- and physics curation of the biofouling study and the Galapagos cleanup project.

·

Code availability section

445 Package name: parcels

444

446 Contact: e.vansebille@uu.nl

Hardware requirements: laptop or workstation for small, synthetic examples; high-throughput workstation or
 cluster for realistic scenarios; scales to HPC facilities with MPI support via SGE, SLURM or PBS

<sup>449</sup> Program language: Python

Software required: python package dependencies are lists in github's environment file; requires mpi4py for MPI
 distribution; requires portalocker for the hardware buffer branch.

- <sup>452</sup> Program size: 7.7 megabytes
- The source codes are available for downloading at the link: https://github.com/oceanparcels/parcels
- 454 Installation guide, tutorials, training material and literature overview available at https://oceanparcels.org.
- The package is available at conda-forge under https://anaconda.org/conda-forge/parcels.

# **456** References

- Alsina, J.M., Jongedijk, C.E., van Sebille, E., 2020. Laboratory measurements of the wave-induced motion of plastic particles: Influence of wave period, plastic size and plastic density. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 125, e2020JC016294. URL: https:
- 459 //agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2020JC016294, doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JC016294,
- 460 arXiv:https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2020JC016294.e2020JC016294 2020JC016294.
- Androsov, A., Fofonova, V., Kuznetsov, I., Danilov, S., Rakowsky, N., Harig, S., Brix, H., Wiltshire, K.H., 2019. Fesom-c v. 2: coastal dynamics
- on hybrid unstructured meshes. Geoscientific Model Development 12, 1009–1028.
- Anguiano-García, A., Zavala-Romero, O., Zavala-Hidalgo, J., Lara-Hernández, J.A., Romero-Centeno, R., 2019. High performance open source
   lagrangian oil spill model, in: Torres, M., Klapp, J., Gitler, I., Tchernykh, A. (Eds.), Supercomputing, Springer International Publishing, Cham.
- 465 рр. 118–128.
- Ardhuin, F., Rogers, E., Babanin, A.V., Filipot, J.F., Magne, R., Roland, A., van der Westhuysen, A., Queffeulou, P., Lefevre, J.M., Aouf, L.,
   Collard, F., 2010. Semiempirical dissipation source functions for ocean waves. part i: Definition, calibration, and validation. Journal of Physical
- Oceanography 40, 1917 1941. URL: https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/phoc/40/9/2010jpo4324.1.xml, doi:10.
   1175/2010JP04324.1.
- Batchelor, G., 2000. An Introduction to Fluid Dynamics. Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge University Press. URL: https://books.
   google.de/books?id=aXQgAwAAQBAJ.
- Blanke, B., Raynaud, S., 1997. Kinematics of the pacific equatorial undercurrent: An eulerian and lagrangian approach from gcm results. Journal
  of Physical Oceanography 27, 1038–1053.
- Bond, T., Ferrandiz-mas, V., Felipe-sotelo, M., van Sebille, E., 2018. The occurrence and degradation of aquatic plastic litter based on polymer
- physicochemical properties : A review. Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology 48, 685–722. doi:10.1080/10643389.
  2018.1483155.
- 477 Calzada, A.E., Delgado, I., Ramos, C., Pérez, F., Reyes, D., Carracedo, D., Rodríguez, A., Chang, D., Cabrales, J., Lobaina, A., et al., 2021.
- Lagrangian model petromar-3d to describe complex processes in marine oil spills. Open Journal of Marine Science 11, 17.

- Carrere, L., Lyard, F., Cancet, M., Guillot, A., 2015. Fes 2014, a new tidal model on the global ocean with enhanced accuracy in shallow seas and
  in the arctic region, in: EGU general assembly conference abstracts, p. 5481.
- Crespo, A.C., Dominguez, J.M., Barreiro, A., Gómez-Gesteira, M., Rogers, B.D., 2011. Gpus, a new tool of acceleration in cfd: efficiency and
   reliability on smoothed particle hydrodynamics methods. PloS one 6, e20685.
- Crespo, A.J., Domínguez, J.M., Rogers, B.D., Gómez-Gesteira, M., Longshaw, S., Canelas, R., Vacondio, R., Barreiro, A., García-Feal, O., 2015.
   Dualsphysics: Open-source parallel cfd solver based on smoothed particle hydrodynamics (sph). Computer Physics Communications 187,
- **485** 204–216.

489

- Dagestad, K.F., Röhrs, J., Breivik, Ø., Ådlandsvik, B., 2018. Opendrift v1.0: a generic framework for trajectory modelling. Geoscientific Model
- 487 Development 11, 1405–1420. URL: https://gmd.copernicus.org/articles/11/1405/2018/, doi:10.5194/gmd-11-1405-2018.
- Daily, J., Onink, V., Jongedijk, C.E., Laufkötter, C., Hoffman, M.J., 2021. Incorporating terrain specific beaching within a lagrangian transport
- Dämmer, L.K., de Nooijer, L., van Sebille, E., Haak, J.G., Reichart, G.J., 2020. Evaluation of oxygen isotopes and trace elements in planktonic
- foraminifera from the mediterranean sea as recorders of seawater oxygen isotopes and salinity. Climate of the Past 16, 2401–2414. doi:10.
   5194/cp-16-2401-2020.
- Delandmeter, P., van Sebille, E., 2019. The parcels v2.0 lagrangian framework: new field interpolation schemes. Geoscientific Model Development
   12, 3571–3584. doi:10.5194/gmd-12-3571-2019.
- 495 Dietrich, W.E., 1982. Settling velocity of natural particles. Water resources research 18, 1615–1626.

plastics model for lake erie. Microplastics and Nanoplastics 1, 1-13.

- Döös, K., Kjellsson, J., Jönsson, B., 2013. TRACMASS—A Lagrangian Trajectory Model. Springer International Publishing, Heidelberg. pp.
   225–249. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-00440-2\_7, doi:10.1007/978-3-319-00440-2\_7.
- Drillet, Y., Chune, S.L., Levier, B., Drevillon, M., 2019. Smoc: a new global surface current product containing the effect of the ocean general
   circulation, waves and tides., in: Geophysical Research Abstracts.
- Duncan, E.M., Arrowsmith, J., Bain, C., Broderick, A.C., Lee, J., Metcalfe, K., Pikesley, S.K., Snape, R.T., van Sebille, E., Godley, B.J., 2018. The
   true depth of the mediterranean plastic problem: Extreme microplastic pollution on marine turtle nesting beaches in cyprus. Marine Pollution
   Bulletin 136, 334 340. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.09.019.
- Escobar-Camacho, D., Rosero, P., Castrejón, M., Mena, C.F., Cuesta, F., 2021. Oceanic islands and climate: using a multi-criteria model of
   drivers of change to select key conservation areas in Galapagos. Regional Environmental Change 21, 47. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/
   s10113-021-01768-0, doi:10.1007/s10113-021-01768-0.
- Esper, O., Zonneveld, K.A., 2007. The potential of organic-walled dinoflagellate cysts for the reconstruction of past sea-surface conditions in the
   Southern Ocean. Mar. Micropaleontol. 65, 185–212. doi:10.1016/j.marmicro.2007.07.002.
- Everaert, G., De Rijcke, M., Lonneville, B., Janssen, C., Backhaus, T., Mees, J., van Sebille, E., Koelmans, A., Catarino, A., Vandegehuchte, M.,
   2020. Risks of floating microplastic in the global ocean. Environmental Pollution 267, 115499. URL: http://www.sciencedirect.com/
   science/article/pii/S026974912036187X, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115499.
- Fischer, R., Lobelle, D., Kooi, M., Koelmans, A., Onink, V., Laufkötter, C., Amaral-Zettler, L., Yool, A., van Sebille, E., 2022. Modelling submerged
  biofouled microplastics and their vertical trajectories. Biogeosciences 19, 2211–2234.
- Gasparin, F., Greiner, E., Lellouche, J.M., Legalloudec, O., Garric, G., Drillet, Y., Bourdallé-Badie, R., Traon, P.Y.L., Rémy, E., Drévillon, M., 2018.
- A large-scale view of oceanic variability from 2007 to 2015 in the global high resolution monitoring and forecasting system at mercator océan.
- Journal of Marine Systems 187, 260-276. URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0924796318300113,
- 516 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2018.06.015.

- Hadjighasem, A., Farazmand, M., Blazevski, D., Froyland, G., Haller, G., 2017. A critical comparison of lagrangian methods for coherent structure 517
- detection. Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science 27, 053104. URL: https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4982720, doi:10. 518
- 1063/1.4982720, arXiv:https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4982720. 519
- Harada, T., 2007. Real-time rigid body simulation on gpus. GPU gems 3, 611-632. 520
- Harada, T., Koshizuka, S., Kawaguchi, Y., 2007. Smoothed particle hydrodynamics on gpus, in: Computer Graphics International, SBC Petropolis. 521 pp. 63-70. 522
- Harris, C.R., Millman, K.J., van der Walt, S.J., Gommers, R., Virtanen, P., Cournapeau, D., Wieser, E., Taylor, J., Berg, S., Smith, N.J., Kern, 523
- R., Picus, M., Hoyer, S., van Kerkwijk, M.H., Brett, M., Haldane, A., Fernández del Río, J., Wiebe, M., Peterson, P., Gérard-Marchant, P., 524
- Sheppard, K., Reddy, T., Weckesser, W., Abbasi, H., Gohlke, C., Oliphant, T.E., 2020. Array programming with NumPy. Nature 585, 357–362. 525 doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2649-2. 526
- Horváth, Z., Perdigão, R.A., Waser, J., Cornel, D., Konev, A., Blöschl, G., 2016. Kepler shuffle for real-world flood simulations on gpus. The 527 International Journal of High Performance Computing Applications 30, 379–395. URL: https://doi.org/10.1177/1094342016630800, 528

doi:10.1177/1094342016630800, arXiv:https://doi.org/10.1177/1094342016630800. 529

- Jones, J.S., Porter, A., Muñoz-Pérez, J.P., Alarcón-Ruales, D., Galloway, T.S., Godley, B.J., Santillo, D., Vagg, J., Lewis, C., 2021. Plastic contam-530
- ination of a Galapagos Island (Ecuador) and the relative risks to native marine species. Science of The Total Environment 789, 147704. URL: 531
- https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0048969721027753, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.147704. 532
- Kanehira, T., Mutsuda, H., Doi, Y., Taniguchi, N., Draycott, S., Ingram, D., 2019. Development and experimental validation of a multidirectional 533 circular wave basin using smoothed particle hydrodynamics. Coastal Engineering Journal 61, 109-120. 534
- Kehl, C., 2021. Performance Measurement Data for Speeding Up Lagrangian Fluid-Flow Particle Simulations in Python via Dynamic Collections. 535 data repository 1. Utrecht University. URL: https://doi.org/10.24416/UU01-CV30EH, doi:10.24416/UU01-CV30EH.
- Kehl, C., van Sebille, E., Gibson, A., 2021. Speeding up python-based lagrangian fluid-flow particle simulations via dynamic collection data 537 structures. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.00057, doi:10.48550/ARXIV.2105.00057.
- Kelager, M., 2006. Lagrangian fluid dynamics using smoothed particle hydrodynamics. University of Copenhagen: Department of Computer 539 Science 2. 540
- Kooi, M., Nes, E.H.v., Scheffer, M., Koelmans, A.A., 2017. Ups and downs in the ocean: Effects of biofouling on vertical transport of microplastics. 541 Environmental Science & Technology 51, 7963-7971. URL: https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b04702, doi:10.1021/acs.est. 542
- 6b04702, arXiv:https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b04702. pMID: 28613852. 543
- Lange, M., van Sebille, E., 2017. Parcels v0.9: prototyping a lagrangian ocean analysis framework for the petascale age. Geoscientific Model 544 Development 10, 4175-4186. URL: https://gmd.copernicus.org/articles/10/4175/2017/, doi:10.5194/gmd-10-4175-2017. 545
- Le Gouvello, D.Z., Hart-Davis, M.G., Backeberg, B.C., Nel, R., 2020. Effects of swimming behaviour and oceanography on sea turtle hatchling 546 dispersal at the intersection of two ocean current systems. Ecological Modelling 431, 109130. URL: http://www.sciencedirect.com/ 547 science/article/pii/S0304380020302027, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2020.109130. 548
- Lindo-Atichati, D., Jia, Y., Wren, J.L.K., Antoniades, A., Kobayashi, D.R., 2020. Eddies in the hawaiian archipelago region: Form-
- ation, characterization, and potential implications on larval retention of reef fish. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 125, 550
- e2019JC015348. URL: https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019JC015348, doi:https://doi.org/ 551
- 10.1029/2019JC015348, arXiv:https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2019JC015348.e2019JC015348 552 10.1029/2019JC015348. 553
- Lobelle, D., Kooi, M., Koelmans, A., Laufkötter, C., Jongedijk, C., Kehl, C., van Sebille, E., 2021. Global modeled sinking characteristics of

536

538

549

- biofouled microplastic. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans (in review, submitted Dec. 2020).
- Lowe, R.J., Buckley, M.L., Altomare, C., Rijnsdorp, D.P., Yao, Y., Suzuki, T., Bricker, J., 2019. Numerical simulations of surf zone wave dynamics
   using smoothed particle hydrodynamics. Ocean Modelling 144, 101481.
- Megann, A., Storkey, D., Aksenov, Y., Alderson, S., Calvert, D., Graham, T., Hyder, P., Siddorn, J., Sinha, B., 2014. Go5. 0: the joint nerc-met
   office nemo global ocean model for use in coupled and forced applications. Geoscientific Model Development 7, 1069–1092.
- Morey, A., Mix, A.C., Pisias, N.G., 2005. Planktonic foraminiferal assemblages preserved in surface sediments correspond to multiple environment
   variables. Quat. Sci. Rev. 24, 925–950. doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2003.09.011.
- Morikawa, D., Senadheera, H., Asai, M., 2021. Explicit incompressible smoothed particle hydrodynamics in a multi-gpu environment for large-scale
   simulations. Computational Particle Mechanics 8, 493–510.
- Nooteboom, P., Baatsen, M., Bijl, P., Kliphuis, M., van Sebille, E., Sluijs, A., Dijkstra, H., von der Heydt, A., in review. Strongly eddying ocean
   simulations required to resolve eocene model-data mismatch. Earth and Space Science Open Archive 15, 23. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/
   essoar.10508749.1.
- Nooteboom, P., Bijl, P., Kehl, C., van Sebille, E., Ziegler, M., von der Heydt, A., Dijkstra, H., 2022. Sedimentary microplankton distributions are
   shaped by oceanographically connected areas. Earth System Dynamics 13, 357–371. doi:https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-13-357-2022.
- Nooteboom, P., P., D., van Sebille, E., Bijl, P., Dijkstra, H., von der Heydt, A., 2020. Resolution dependency of sinking lagrangian particles in ocean
   general circulation models. PloS ONE 15, 1–16. doi:https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0238650.
- 571 Nooteboom, P.D., Bijl, P.K., van Sebille, E., von der Heydt, A.S., Dijkstra, H.A., 2019. Transport bias by ocean currents in sedimentary microplank-
- ton assemblages: Implications for paleoceanographic reconstructions. Paleoceanography and Paleoclimatology 34, 1178–1194. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2019PA003606, arXiv:https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2019PA003606.
- Onink, V., Jongedijk, C.E., Hoffman, M.J., van Sebille, E., Laufkötter, C., 2021. Global simulations of marine plastic transport show plastic trapping
   in coastal zones. Environmental Research Letters 16, 064053.
- Onink, V., van Sebille, E., Laufkötter, C., 2022. Empirical lagrangian parametrization for wind-driven mixing of buoyant particles at the ocean
   surface. Geoscientific Model Development 15, 1995–2012.
- Onink, V., Wichmann, D., Delandmeter, P., van Sebille, E., 2019. The role of ekman currents, geostrophy, and stokes drift
   in the accumulation of floating microplastic. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 124, 1474–1490. URL: https:
   //agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2018JC014547, doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JC014547,
- arXiv:https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2018JC014547.
- Post, F.H., Van Walsum, T., 1993. Fluid flow visualization, in: Focus on Scientific Visualization. Springer, pp. 1–40.
- Rathgeber, F., Ham, D.A., Mitchell, L., Lange, M., Luporini, F., Mcrae, A.T.T., Bercea, G.T., Markall, G.R., Kelly, P.H.J., 2016. Firedrake:
- Automating the finite element method by composing abstractions. ACM Trans. Math. Softw. 43, 24:1–24:27. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/ 1501.01809, doi:10.1145/2998441, arXiv:1501.01809.
- Ribicic, H., Waser, J., Fuchs, R., Bloschl, G., Gröller, E., 2013. Visual analysis and steering of flooding simulations. IEEE Transactions on
   Visualization & Computer Graphics 19, 1062–1075. doi:10.1109/TVCG.2012.175.
- Rice, A., Nooteboom, P., van Sebille, E., Peterse, F., Ziegler, M., Sluijs, A., 2022. Limited lateral transport bias during export of sea surface
   temperature proxy carriers in the mediterranean sea. Geophysical Research Letters 49, 1–10. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL096859.
- Roquet, F., Madec, G., McDougall, T.J., Barker, P.M., 2015. Accurate polynomial expressions for the density and specific volume of seawater
- using the teos-10 standard. Ocean Modelling 90, 29–43. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2015.04.002, doi:10.1016/j.
- ocemod.2015.04.002.

- 593 Schilling, H.T., Everett, J.D., Smith, J.A., Stewart, J., Hughes, J.M., Roughan, M., Kerry, C., Suthers, I.M., 2020. Multiple spawning events promote
- increased larval dispersal of a predatory fish in a western boundary current. Fisheries Oceanography 29, 309–323. doi:https://doi.org/10.
- 595 1111/fog.12473, arXiv:https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/fog.12473.
- Scutt Phillips, J., Sen Gupta, A., Senina, I., van Sebille, E., Lange, M., Lehodey, P., Hampton, J., Nicol, S., 2018. An individual-based model
   of skipjack tuna (katsuwonus pelamis) movement in the tropical pacific ocean. Progress in Oceanography 164, 63 74. URL: http://www.
- sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0079661117302896, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2018.04.007.
- van Sebille, E., Delandmeter, P., Schofield, J., Hardesty, B.D., Jones, J., Donnelly, A., 2019. Basin-scale sources and pathways of microplastic that
- ends up in the galápagos archipelago. Ocean Science 15, 1341–1349. doi:10.5194/os-15-1341-2019.
- van Sebille, E., Scussolini, P., Durgadoo, J., Peeters, F., Biastoch, A., Weijer, W., Turney, C., Paris, C., Zahn, R., 2015. Ocean currents generate
   large footprints in marine palaeoclimate proxies. Nature Communications 6, 8. doi:D01:10.1038/ncomms7521.
- 603 Sedgewick, R., Wayne, K., 2011. Algorithms. Addison-Wesley. URL: https://books.google.nl/books?id=MTpsAQAAQBAJ.
- Turney, C., Jones, R., McKay, N., van Sebille, E., Thomas, Z., Hillenbrand, C., Fogwill, C., 2020. A global mean sea surface temperature dataset
- for the Last Interglacial (129–116 ka) and contribution of thermal expansion to sea level change. Earth System Science Data 12, 3341–3356.
   doi:https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-3341-2020.
- van Sebille, E., Aliani, S., Law, K.L., Maximenko, N., Alsina, J.M., Bagaev, A., Bergmann, M., Chapron, B., Chubarenko, I., Cózar, A., Delandmeter, P., Egger, M., Fox-Kemper, B., Garaba, S.P., Goddijn-Murphy, L., Hardesty, B.D., Hoffman, M.J., Isobe, A., Jongedijk, C.E., Kaan-
- dorp, M.L.A., Khatmullina, L., Koelmans, A.A., Kukulka, T., Laufkötter, C., Lebreton, L., Lobelle, D., Maes, C., Martinez-Vicente, V.,
- Maqueda, M.A.M., Poulain-Zarcos, M., Rodríguez, E., Ryan, P.G., Shanks, A.L., Shim, W.J., Suaria, G., Thiel, M., van den Bremer, T.S.,
- Wichmann, D., 2020. The physical oceanography of the transport of floating marine debris. Environmental Research Letters 15, 023003.
   doi:10.1088/1748-9326/ab6d7d.
- van Sebille, E., Griffies, S.M., Abernathey, R., Adams, T.P., Berloff, P., Biastoch, A., Blanke, B., Chassignet, E.P., Cheng, Y., Cotter, C.J., Deleersnijder, E., Döös, K., Drake, H.F., Drijfhout, S., Gary, S.F., Heemink, A.W., Kjellsson, J., Koszalka, I.M., Lange, M., Lique, C., MacGilchrist,
- G.A., Marsh, R., Mayorga Adame, C.G., McAdam, R., Nencioli, F., Paris, C.B., Piggott, M.D., Polton, J.A., Rühs, S., Shah, S.H., Thomas, M.D.,
- Wang, J., Wolfram, P.J., Zanna, L., Zika, J.D., 2018. Lagrangian ocean analysis: Fundamentals and practices. Ocean Modelling 121, 49 75.
  doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2017.11.008.
- Vennell, R., Scheel, M., Weppe, S., Knight, B., Smeaton, M., 2021. Fast lagrangian particle tracking in unstructured ocean model grids. Ocean
   Dynamics 71, 423–437.
- Virtanen, P., Gommers, R., Oliphant, T.E., Haberland, M., Reddy, T., Cournapeau, D., Burovski, E., Peterson, P., Weckesser, W., Bright, J., et al.,
   2020. Scipy 1.0: fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in python. Nature methods 17, 261–272.
- 622 Weyl, P.K., 1978. Micropaleontology and ocean surface climate. Science 202, 475–481.
- Yool, A., Popova, E.E., Anderson, T.R., 2013. Medusa-2.0: an intermediate complexity biogeochemical model of the marine carbon cycle for
   climate change and ocean acidification studies. Geoscientific Model Development 6, 1767–1811.
- Ypma, S.L., Bohte, Q., Forryan, A., Naveira Garabato, A.C., Donnelly, A., van Sebille, E., 2022. Detecting most effective cleanup locations using
   network theory to reduce marine plastic debris: A case study in the Galapagos Marine Reserve. EGUsphere, 1–22URL: https://egusphere.
- 627 copernicus.org/preprints/2022/egusphere-2022-426/, doi:10.5194/egusphere-2022-426. publisher: Copernicus GmbH.

# 628 List of Figures

629	1	Official diagram of parcels internal structure that is exposed and accessible to the user, as available at	
630		https://oceanparcels.org. It clarifies the interconnection between Fields, FieldSet, ParicleSet	
631		and the ParticleFile	20
632	2	Scalability study for speed-ups in an MPI-parallelized scenario with synthetic field data. Scalability is	
633		assessed for the four different cases of (a) a constant particle set, (b) a reductive set, (c) an expansive	
634		set and (d) a fully-dynamic set with insertions and removals. The graphs further show compare the	
635		impact of background garbage collection (GC), referred to woGC, and active garbage collection (i.e.	
636		<i>wGC</i> ) for an average set size of half a million particles	21
637	3	The diagram makes all active delay sources apparent in between issuing a data request DATA_REQ and	
638		having the data ready for calculation on the CPU. The impact of the delay sources varies depending on	
639		the connection bandwidth. In practice, some of those delays may be hidden from the user by computer	
640		processes, but they still exist and impact the computations. Certain delay-reducing shortcuts, such as	
641		the SSD drives, are optional in this pipeline	22
642	4	Performance comparison of the different scenarios in terms of (a) per-particle total runtime, (b) com-	
643		pute load vs. I/O load, (c) compute time vs. internal (i.e. memory) I/O time, and (d) external- vs.	
644		internal I/O time.	23
645	5	Performance comparison of the three different compute platforms on the Galapagos scenario in terms	
646		of (a) average kernel runtime, (b) per-particle compute- and (c) I/O time, (d) compute time vs. internal	
647		(i.e. memory) I/O time, and (e) external- vs. internal I/O time.	24
648	6	Performance comparison of the three different collection data structures in terms of (a) average kernel	
649		runtime, (b) compute load vs. I/O load, (c & d) per-particle compute- and I/O time, (e) compute time	
650		vs. internal (i.e. memory) I/O time, (f) external- vs. internal I/O time.	25
651	7	Performance comparison of the three different collection data structures using SciPy on the Galapagos	
652		scenario in terms of (a) average kernel runtime, (b) compute-to-I/O load, (c) per-particle compute- and	
653		(d) I/O time, and (e) speed-up	26
654	8	Performance study of the three different collection data structures using <b>jit</b> on the Galapagos scenario	
655		for an increasing number of average simulated particles per kernel timestep in terms of average kernel	
656		runtime (a), compute-to-I/O load (b), per-particle compute- (c) and I/O time (d), and (d) speed-up	27
657	9	Performance comparison on simulation runtime for the Bickley Jet synthetic fieldset scenario for no	
658		active chunking (light grey), user-defined chunksizes (dark grey) and auto-chunking (black).	28
659	10	Performance- and runtime comparison on simulation runtime for the Galapagos- (a) and biofouling (b)	
660		scenario with a large field set for the cases of no active chunking (light grey), user-defined chunksizes	
661		(dark grey) and auto-chunking (black)	29



**Figure 1:** Official diagram of parcels internal structure that is exposed and accessible to the user, as available at https://oceanparcels.org. It clarifies the interconnection between Fields, FieldSet, ParicleSet and the ParticleFile.



Efficiently Simulating Lagrangian Particles in Large-Scale Ocean Flows

**Figure 2:** Scalability study for speed-ups in an MPI-parallelized scenario with synthetic field data. Scalability is assessed for the four different cases of (a) a constant particle set, (b) a reductive set, (c) an expansive set and (d) a fully-dynamic set with insertions and removals. The graphs further show compare the impact of background garbage collection (GC), referred to *woGC*, and active garbage collection (i.e. *wGC*) for an average set size of half a million particles.



**Figure 3:** The diagram makes all active delay sources apparent in between issuing a data request DATA\_REQ and having the data ready for calculation on the CPU. The impact of the delay sources varies depending on the connection bandwidth. In practice, some of those delays may be hidden from the user by computer processes, but they still exist and impact the computations. Certain delay-reducing shortcuts, such as the SSD drives, are optional in this pipeline.



Efficiently Simulating Lagrangian Particles in Large-Scale Ocean Flows

**Figure 4:** Performance comparison of the different scenarios in terms of (a) per-particle total runtime, (b) compute load vs. I/O load, (c) compute time vs. internal (i.e. memory) I/O time, and (d) external- vs. internal I/O time.



**Figure 5:** Performance comparison of the three different compute platforms on the Galapagos scenario in terms of (a) average kernel runtime, (b) per-particle compute- and (c) I/O time, (d) compute time vs. internal (i.e. memory) I/O time, and (e) external- vs. internal I/O time.



**Figure 6:** Performance comparison of the three different collection data structures in terms of (a) average kernel runtime, (b) compute load vs. I/O load, (c & d) per-particle compute- and I/O time, (e) compute time vs. internal (i.e. memory) I/O time, (f) external- vs. internal I/O time.



Efficiently Simulating Lagrangian Particles in Large-Scale Ocean Flows

**Figure 7:** Performance comparison of the three different collection data structures using **SciPy** on the Galapagos scenario in terms of (a) average kernel runtime, (b) compute-to-I/O load, (c) per-particle compute- and (d) I/O time, and (e) speed-up.



**Figure 8:** Performance study of the three different collection data structures using **jit** on the Galapagos scenario for an increasing number of average simulated particles per kernel timestep in terms of average kernel runtime (a), compute-to-I/O load (b), per-particle compute- (c) and I/O time (d), and (d) speed-up.



Bickley Jet - runtime comparison - chunking

■ No buffers – auto-chunk ■ No buffers – defined chunks ■ No buffers – no chunks

**Figure 9:** Performance comparison on simulation runtime for the Bickley Jet synthetic fieldset scenario for no active chunking (light grey), user-defined chunksizes (dark grey) and auto-chunking (black).

Efficiently Simulating Lagrangian Particles in Large-Scale Ocean Flows



**Figure 10:** Performance- and runtime comparison on simulation runtime for the Galapagos- (a) and biofouling (b) scenario with a large field set for the cases of no active chunking (light grey), user-defined chunksizes (dark grey) and auto-chunking (black).