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Abstract

1. The open-source programming language ‘R’ has become a standard tool in the palaeobiologist’s toolkit. Its popularity within the palaeobiology community continues to grow, with published articles increasingly citing the usage of R and R packages. However, there are currently a lack of agreed standards for data preparation and available frameworks to support implementation of such standards. Consequently, data preparation workflows are often unclear and not reproducible, even when code is provided. Moreover, due to a lack of code accessibility and documentation, palaeobiologists are often forced to ‘reinvent the wheel’ to find solutions to issues already solved by other members of the community.

2. Here, we introduce palaeoverse, a community-driven R package to aid data preparation and exploration for quantitative palaeobiological research. The package is freely available and has three core principles: (1) streamline data preparation and analyses; (2) enhance code readability; and (3) improve reproducibility of results. To develop these aims, we assessed the analytical needs of the broader palaeobiological community using an online survey, in addition to incorporating our own experiences.

3. In this work, we first report the findings of the survey which shaped the development of the package. Subsequently, we describe and demonstrate the functionality available in palaeoverse and provide usage examples. Finally, we discuss the resources we have made available for the community and the future plans for the broader palaeoverse project.

4. palaeoverse is the first community-driven R package in palaeobiology, developed with the intention of bringing palaeobiologists together to establish agreed standards for high-quality quantitative research. The package provides a user-friendly platform for preparing data for analysis with well-documented open-source code to enhance transparency. The functionality available in palaeoverse improves code reproducibility and accessibility, which is beneficial for both the review process and future research.
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Introduction

Since the development of large palaeontological datasets from the 1970s onwards, palaeontologists have increasingly adopted computational approaches to address questions about the history of life on Earth (Sepkoski, 1978; Benton and Harper, 1999). Today, most sub-disciplines within palaeontology regularly use large datasets to perform experiments *in silico*. This has initiated a ‘Golden Age’ of palaeontology (Sepkoski and Ruse, 2009), where extensive datasets of various formats are used to test macroevolutionary and macroecological hypotheses (Quental and Marshall, 2013; Mannion et al., 2014; Zaffos, Finnegan and Peters, 2017; Close et al., 2020a). The growth and increasing availability of such datasets has made coding an integral part of palaeobiological research. Today, palaeobiologists commonly use code to clean (Zizka et al., 2019; Flannery-Sutherland et al., 2022), analyse (Guillerme, 2018; Kocsis et al., 2019), and visualise data (Bell and Lloyd, 2015), as well as build models (Silvestro, Salamin and Schnitzler, 2014; Starrfelt and Liow, 2016) and implement simulations (Fraser, 2017; Barido-Sottani et al., 2019; Furness et al., 2021; Jones et al., 2021). Whilst software has been developed in languages such as C++ (Garwood, Spencer and Sutton, 2019) and Python (Silvestro et al., 2014), the programming language R is currently the most popular in palaeobiology. This is due to the wide range of tools—in the form of R packages—available to help users work with their data. Many of these tools are often borrowed or repurposed from ecology (e.g. Chao et al., 2014; Oksanen et al., 2020), while others have been developed to specifically handle fossil data (e.g. Lloyd, 2016; Kocsis et al., 2019).

In spite of the growth of analytical tools, few packages explicitly focus on preparing data for analyses, forcing users to construct custom scripts. This can result in distinct differences in code style and practices amongst the community, including code legibility and documentation. Accordingly, custom scripts can be inaccessible to other users (Filazzola and Lortie, 2022). Although increasingly requested by journals, code is also not always provided as supplementary material nor made available in online repositories (e.g. GitHub, Zenodo, Dryad). A lack of available code can lead to research results being unreproducible, preventing future studies from extending the work. Even when code is available, it might be poorly documented or written in a way that is specific to the dataset being analysed, and as such it may require extensive reworking before it can be applied to other data. Consequently, researchers are often forced to ‘reinvent the wheel’, putting time and effort into writing code that already exists, but is unavailable, inaccessible, and/or difficult to repurpose (Filazzola and Lortie, 2022). Such issues are exacerbated by the absence of community standards for how data should be prepared for analyses; differing approaches utilised by different researchers result in a lack of consistency between studies, making comparison between results challenging. Thus, there is a well-established need for both protocols and tools for preparing palaeontological data for further analysis.
Here, we introduce the R package **palaeoverse**, a community-driven toolkit for streamlining palaeobiological analyses and improving code accessibility and reproducibility. Our approach differs from other palaeontological R packages in that it aims to bring the palaeobiological community together to establish consensus on the steps taken in data preparation for analysis, and how these steps should be implemented. The package contains functions that align with current researcher needs to cleanse, prepare, and explore occurrence datasets for further analysis. These needs were established via a survey conducted by members of a new working group. The functionality of **palaeoverse** is purposefully flexible and can be applied to a wide variety of occurrence datasets. In this paper, we report results from the survey, describe and detail the functionality of **palaeoverse**, and illustrate its features with usage examples.

**Community survey**

To assess the needs of the palaeobiological community, we conducted an online survey. The survey was distributed via social media (Twitter) and email, and included questions related to researchers’ previous experience, pre-existing code (to identify potential contributions), and what functionality they consider to be useful in a new palaeobiological toolkit. We summarise the types of data participants typically work with, the tasks commonly carried out when working with this data, and the tools they would like to have access to in Figure 1. We found that survey participants (n = 35) work with a wide range of data (Figure 1) and the checking and transformation of data is the most commonly employed task. A wide variety of functions were requested by survey participants, with data plotting, time binning, and data access commonly suggested (Figure 1). Over 40% of participants also indicated that they were willing to contribute code to **palaeoverse**, highlighting the potential for a community-driven project. Specific details regarding the survey and responses can be found in the Supplementary Material.
Figure 1: Summary of responses to the palaeoverse survey. (a) The types of palaeontological data that survey participants typically work with. Each box represents an individual check within a checkbox list, in which participants could check multiple boxes. (b) Tasks that respondents routinely carry out in their own analyses (dark pink), and the functions they would find useful in the palaeoverse package (light pink).

Package description

After conducting the community survey, we combined participant input with our own experience to develop a toolkit for palaeobiologists, the palaeoverse R package. The package provides auxiliary functions to support data preparation and exploration for palaeobiological analysis. A summary of the functions currently available in palaeoverse is provided in Table 1, with further description provided in the
Features section. To demonstrate the functionality and versatility of the package, we also provide usage examples.

**Installation**

**Upon CRAN approval:** The *palaeoverse* package can be installed from CRAN using the `install.packages` function in R (R Core Team, 2022):

```
install.packages("palaeoverse")
```

If preferred, the development version of *palaeoverse* can be installed from GitHub via the `remotes` R package (Csárdi et al., 2021):

```
remotes::install_github("palaeoverse-community/palaeoverse")
```

Following installation, *palaeoverse* can be loaded via the `library` function in R:

```
library("palaeoverse")
```

**Data**

Functionality in *palaeoverse* was designed to be compatible with occurrence dataframes, such as those downloaded from the Paleobiology Database (https://paleobiodb.org/#/), the Geobiodiversity Database (http://www.geobiodiversity.com), or the Neptune Sandbox Berlin database (https://nsb.mfn-berlin.de/).

Functionality is purposely flexible in *palaeoverse* and can be applied to various data sources with ease. In most instances, the returned object from a function is also a dataframe, which we consider the easiest data structure for most users to understand and work with. Although this might be undesirable for some advanced R users, transforming data structures should be straightforward for these users.

**Functions**

A summary of the functions available in *palaeoverse* is provided in Table 1. Detailed descriptions of the functions are provided herein.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>axis_geo</td>
<td>Add a geological time scale axis to a plot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bin_lat</td>
<td>Bin fossil occurrences into latitudinal bins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bin_space</td>
<td>Bin fossil occurrences into spatial bins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bin_time</td>
<td>Bin fossil occurrences into time bins (choice of approaches)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Function Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>group_apply</td>
<td>Apply a function over user-defined groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lat_bins</td>
<td>Generate latitudinal bins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>look_up</td>
<td>Link user-specified interval names to the International Geological Time Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>palaeorotate</td>
<td>Reconstruct the palaeogeographic coordinates of fossil occurrences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phylo_check</td>
<td>Check taxon names against tips in a phylogeny and/or remove tips from the tree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tax_check</td>
<td>Check for spelling mistakes in taxon names and flag potential issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tax_range_space</td>
<td>Calculate the geographic range of taxa (choice of approaches)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tax_range_time</td>
<td>Calculate and plot the temporal range of taxa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tax_expand_lat</td>
<td>Convert taxon latitudinal ranges to bin-level pseudo-occurrences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tax_expand_time</td>
<td>Convert taxon temporal ranges to interval-level pseudo-occurrences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tax_unique</td>
<td>Calculate the number of unique taxa in a dataset of occurrences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>time_bins</td>
<td>Generate stratigraphic time bins or near-equal length time bins</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Example datasets

Two occurrence datasets (tetrapods and reefs) are provided in palaeoverse to enable reproducible examples within function documentation. The tetrapods dataset is a compilation of Carboniferous–Early Triassic tetrapod occurrences ($n = 5,270$) from the Paleobiology Database. The dataset includes variables relevant to common palaeobiological analyses, covering the taxonomic identification of fossils and their geological, geographical and environmental context. The reefs dataset is a compilation of Phanerozoic reef occurrences ($n = 4,363$) from the PaleoReefs Database (Kiessling and Krause, 2022). This dataset includes information on the biological, geological, and geographical context of each reef. Except for the removal of superfluous columns and the renaming of some columns to improve clarity, both datasets are unaltered from their sources. Additional information on both datasets can be accessed via ?tetrapods or ?reefs once the package is loaded.

### Time bins

We developed time_bins to enable access to two popular Geological Time Scales (GTS): GTS2012 and GTS2020 (Gradstein et al., 2012, 2020). Both GTS2012 and GTS2020 are included in the package as reference datasets. The time_bins function allows users to extract temporal bins at different temporal ranks (i.e. stage, epoch, period, era, or eon) using these datasets for a specified interval input:
As is evident from Figure 2, GTS temporal bins are highly uneven in duration. Previous studies have attempted to circumvent this issue by generating near-equal-length time bins by grouping stages towards a target bin length (e.g. Mannion et al., 2015; Close et al., 2020a). `time_bins` enables users to generate near-equal-length time bins following this approach (Figure 3) to a specified target size:

```r
# Generate near-equal length time bins

time_bins(interval = "Phanerozoic", rank = "stage", plot = TRUE)
```

Figure 2: Phanerozoic stage-level time bins. Plot depicts the uneveness in duration of stratigraphic time bins. Bar colour filling follows the established colour scheme of the International Commission on Stratigraphy (https://stratigraphy.org/).
Nevertheless, the appropriate set of time bins to use will depend upon the nature of subsequent analyses. Near-equal-length bins might be more desirable for calculating evolutionary rates through time, while GTS bins are defined on observed phenomena in the geological record, reflecting prior knowledge of cohesive biological units separated by some form of transition. Additional functionality in `time_bins` allows the user to assign occurrences to the generated bins if absolute ages are known (e.g. from radiometric dating). However, the bespoke `bin_time` function (discussed below) is likely to be the preferred option for most fossil occurrence data, which often have an age range.

**Occurrence binning**

Fossil occurrences are frequently ‘binned’ into distinct time intervals to enable quantification of changes (e.g. biodiversity or disparity) through geological time. The function `bin_time` allows users to assign occurrences into time bins generated by the function `time_bins`, or those defined by the user:

```r
# Generate temporal bins
bins <- time_bins()

# Assign occurrences to bins
bin_time(occdf = tetrapods, bins = bins, method = "mid")
```
Whilst binning occurrences with tightly defined temporal limits is straightforward, those with poorly constrained maximum and minimum ages can span several intervals, and therefore cannot be easily assigned to a single bin. Palaeontologists have identified numerous solutions to tackle this problem (Lloyd et al., 2012; Silvestro et al., 2016; Davies et al., 2017; Dean, Chiarenza and Maidment, 2020; Franeck and Liow, 2020), but there is currently no consensus on the best methodological approach or subsequent implementation. The bin_time function provides five approaches defined by the ‘method’ argument: ‘mid’ (assigned based on the midpoint of the temporal range of the occurrence), ‘majority’ (assigned to the bin which covers the majority of the temporal range of the occurrence), ‘all’ (assigned to all bins within the temporal range of the occurrence), ‘random’ (assigned randomly to bins with equal probability within the temporal range of the occurrence, repeated up to assigned ‘reps’), and ‘point’ (assigned randomly from a uniform distribution over the temporal range of the occurrence, repeated up to assigned ‘reps’). We hope that formally including these options within the bin_time function will encourage palaeontologists to routinely explore and compare the outcomes of various binning approaches with ease.

In recent years, palaeobiology has developed a heightened interest in the spatial structure of the fossil record, with studies focused on understanding the spatial distribution of biodiversity and the processes that drive them (Vilhena and Smith, 2013; Antell et al., 2020; Close et al., 2020b; Chiarenza et al., 2022; Flannery-Sutherland, Silvestro and Benton, 2022; Jones et al., 2022). In order to support such analyses, bin_space has been developed for palaeoverse. The function allows the user to assign occurrence data into equal-area grid cells using discrete hexagonal grids via the h3jsr package (O’Brien, 2022). Additional functionality allows simultaneous assignation of occurrence data to cells of a finer-scale (i.e. a ‘sub-grid’) within the primary grid. This might be desirable for users to evaluate differences in the amount of area occupied by occurrences within their primary grid cells.

# Assign data to equal-area spatial bins
bin_space(occdf = reefs, spacing = 250)
bin_space(occdf = reefs, spacing = 250, sub_grid = 50)

Understanding the latitudinal distribution of biodiversity in deep time has also gained research interest in recent years (Powell, 2009; Mannion et al., 2012, 2014; Allen et al., 2020; Song et al., 2020; Jones et al., 2021). To ease implementation of such analyses, we have developed two functions, lat_bins and bin_lat, which can be used to generate latitudinal bins of a given size and assign occurrence data to those respective bins.

# Generate Latitudinal bins
bins <- lat_bins(size = 15)
# Assign occurrences to bins
bin_lat(occdf = tetrapods, bins = bins)
Palaeogeographic reconstruction

Using the present-day coordinates of fossil occurrences, plate rotation models can be used to reconstruct their location at the time of deposition. Existing fossil databases provide reconstructed coordinates for occurrences from only one or two of the many plate rotation models available (if any), and it is not always clear which model (or version of the model) has been used. This lack of transparency is reflected in some published articles that only cite the use of GPlates to reconstruct palaeocoordinates, yet lack specifics on which plate rotation model was used with the GPlates Web Service or desktop application (Müller et al., 2018). Furthermore, the uncertainty in palaeogeographic reconstructions is often underappreciated; reconstructed coordinates are treated as being well-established, rather than model-based estimates. Finally, online databases do not provide palaeocoordinates for all known samples. Both published and unpublished data (e.g. museum specimens) exists outside of online databases for which researchers might require palaeocoordinates.

We have developed the function `palaeorotate` to address these shortcomings. The function allows palaeocoordinates to be reconstructed within R using two different approaches: ‘point’ and ‘grid’. The first approach makes use of the GPlates Web Service and allows point data to be rotated to specific ages using the available models (see https://gwsdoc.gplates.org). The second approach uses reconstruction files of pre-generated palaeocoordinates to spatiotemporally link occurrences’ modern coordinates and age estimates with their respective palaeocoordinates. These reconstruction files were generated using a 1º x 1º spatial grid and allows palaeocoordinates to be generated efficiently for large datasets. Furthermore, these reconstruction files allows the user to calculate the palaeolatitudinal range between reconstructed coordinates, as well as the great circle distance between the two most distant points (i.e. the palaeogeographic uncertainty). Finally, to encourage transparency in palaeobiological research, the function also reports additional information such as the plate rotation model used.

```r
# Add midpoint age for rotation
tetrapods$age <- (tetrapods$max_ma + tetrapods$min_ma) / 2

# Palaeorotate occurrences and return uncertainty
palaeorotate(occdf = tetrapods, method = "grid", uncertainty = TRUE)
```

Taxon-related features

When working with large occurrence datasets, errors can easily creep into data. One frequently encountered issue is spelling variations of the same taxon name. This can have undesirable consequences when calculating metrics such as taxonomic richness or abundance. The `tax_check` function computes character string distances between taxonomic names via the heuristic Jaro distance metric (Jaro, 1989). This metric provides a measure of dissimilarity between character strings of 0 (exact match) to 1 (completely
During function call, the user defines a threshold for string dissimilarity to identify potential synonyms. In `tax_check`, Jaro distances are calculated via the `stringdistmatrix` function from the `stringdist` package (van der Loo, 2014). This function is provided to help researchers perform a spell check on their dataset. However, it should be made clear that this is no replacement for taxonomic vetting.

```r
# Check for taxonomic errors
tax_check(taxdf = tetrapods, name = "genus")
```

The function `tax_unique` is provided to improve the accuracy of richness estimates from fossil occurrence data. Palaeobiologists routinely discard occurrences not identified to their desired taxonomic resolution. For example, if an analysis is conducted at species level, occurrences identified to the genus level (or above) are discarded from the dataset. However, these occurrences can represent unique species, and their removal can impact richness estimation. The `tax_unique` function reduces the number of unique taxa being discarded by retaining fossils which are identified to a coarser taxonomic resolution than the desired level, but must represent a clade not already in the filtered dataset. For instance, with three fossil occurrences identified as *Tyrannosaurus rex*, *Spinosaurus aegyptiacus*, and Diplodocidae indet., the latter would be discarded under species-level analysis (i.e. a species richness of two). However, this occurrence clearly represents a different species to the two already present in the dataset. Using `tax_unique`, Diplodocidae is treated as an additional species (i.e. a species richness of three) because this occurrence represents a different species than the two already present in the dataset. Yet, the implementation is also conservative: if multiple coarsely identified occurrences exist in the dataset, these are collapsed to the minimum number of possible species (i.e. two occurrences of Diplodocidae indet. would be treated as only one species). This method is similar to the ‘cryptic’ diversity measure introduced by Mannion et al. (2011).

```r
# Evaluate unique taxa
tax_unique(occdf = tetrapods, genus = "genus", family = "family", order = "order", class = "class", resolution = "genus")
```

Two functions exist in `palaeoverse` for computing taxon ranges. The first, `tax_range_time`, can be used to calculate and plot the temporal range of taxa. The function identifies all unique taxa provided in the occurrence dataframe and finds their first and last appearance dates. The second, `tax_range_space`, can be called to calculate the geographic range of taxa. This function allows the user to specify one of four different approaches (Darroch et al., 2020): (1) the area of a convex hull; (2) the (palaeo-)latitudinal range; (3) the maximum great-circle distance; and (4) the number and proportion of occupied equal-area grid cells. Similar to `tax_range_time`, the function will identify all unique taxa provided, and calculate these metrics based on the available occurrences of each taxon.
# Remove NA data

tetrapods <- subset(tetrapods, !is.na(order))

# Compute temporal range of orders

tax_range_time(occdf = tetrapods, name = "order", plot = TRUE)

Figure 4: Temporal range of tetrapod orders in the palaeoverse example dataset.

# Compute latitudinal range of orders
tax_range_space(occdf = tetrapods, name = "order", method = "lat")

The provided tax_expand_time and tax_expand_lat functions are complementary to the taxonomic range functions. They convert temporal or latitudinal range data to bin-level pseudo-occurrences. These pseudo-occurrences serve to fill in ghost ranges, in which a taxon is presumed to be present, but no record exists. While these pseudo-occurrences should not be treated as equivalent to actual occurrence data, such data can be useful for performing statistical analyses where bin-level data is required.

**Phylogeny wrangling**

The function phylo_check compares a list of taxonomic names to the list of tip names in a user-provided phylogeny. This comparison can be provided as a table describing the presence or absence of each taxon in the list and/or tips, or as counts of taxa present only in the list, only in the phylogeny, or in both. The function can also be used to trim the phylogeny to only include branches whose tip names are included within the list of taxonomic names.
Datasets are frequently explored within groups in palaeobiology, such as time bins, collections or regions. The `group_apply` function has been included to allow users to run functions over a single, or multiple grouping variables, with ease.

```r
# Compute the number of occurrences per collection
group_apply(occdf = tetrapods, group = "collection_no", fun = nrow)
```

A common difficulty faced by palaeontologists is that the temporal information associated with fossil occurrence data is often asynchronous, and not directly comparable. Temporal data may be provided as either character-based interval names or numeric ages, and might conform to different time scales (e.g. international geological stages, or North American land mammal ages). Although interval names tend to be relatively stable over time, numerical age estimates are frequently updated with improved dating techniques, or the collection of new data. Consequently, where possible, interval names should be used to correlate occurrences from different stratigraphic time scales. The `look_up` function is provided to help assign a common time scale—typically international stages—to occurrence data. This is achieved with a user-defined table that links chosen interval names to corresponding stages on a common time scale (see example dataset `interval_key`). Numerical ages for the assigned stages can be provided by the user, or looked up in GTS2012 or GTS2020 (the default). This functionality therefore enables numerical ages to be assigned to datasets only containing character-based interval names (e.g. “Maastrichtian”).

```r
reefs <- look_up(occdf = reefs,
                 early_interval = "interval",
                 late_interval = "interval",
                 int_key = interval_key)
```

Finally, a common feature request from our survey was the ability to add the ‘Geological Time Scale’ to time-series plots in base R, with similar behaviour to the `deeptime` R package (Gearty, 2022) for `ggplot2` (Wickham, 2016). To address this request, the `axis_geo` function has been developed for the `palaeoverse` package (Figure 5).

```r
# Palaeorotate reef dataset
reefs <- palaeorotate(occdf = reefs, age = "interval_mid_ma")
# Plot palaeolatitudinal distribution through time
plot(x = ree$interval_mid_ma, y = ree$p_lat,
     xlab = "Time (Ma)", ylab = "Palaeolatitude (\u00b0)",
     xlim = c(541, 0), xaxt = "n", type = "p", pch = 20)
# Add Geological Time Scale
axis_geo(side = 1, intervals = "periods")
```
Figure 5: Example Phanerozoic plot of the palaeolatitudinal distribution of reefs through time. The plot demonstrates the usage of the `axis_geo` function for adding the Geological Time Scale to a base R plot.

Resources

To support the aims and use of `palaeoverse`, we have made several resources available to the palaeobiological community. Firstly, we have built a package website (http://www.palaeoverse.org) which provides information on how to contribute to `palaeoverse`, how to report issues and bugs, and a general community code of conduct. Secondly, we have established a Google Group to foster collaboration and discussion on the issues faced by the community, such as establishing standards on data preparation (https://groups.google.com/g/palaeoverse).
Future perspectives

Palaeoverse is envisioned as a community project. While the initial development of the `palaeoverse` R package was led by the authors of this manuscript, it was also informed by the perspectives of 35 additional researchers (survey participants). Our hope is that `palaeoverse` will evolve into a community-driven package by welcoming contributions from the wider palaeontological community to broaden available functionality. To support this aim, we provide guidance on how the community can contribute to `palaeoverse` on the package website (http://www.palaeoverse.org). Our working group also has the wider aim of establishing community standards and consensus in computational palaeobiological research and facilitating comparisons across studies. Through the `palaeoverse` R package, we hope to assist in making code more familiar and readable to fellow researchers, prevent researchers from ‘reinventing the wheel’ for common procedures, and improve the overall reproducibility of research through the use of computational tools which have been vetted and accepted by the broader community.

The development of the `palaeoverse` R package marks an initial effort to both streamline palaeobiological analysis pipelines and unite the computational palaeobiology community. Future efforts will see the expansion of the `palaeoverse` ‘universe’ with the development of Shiny applications to support non-R users and teaching exercises, tutorials to offer guidance for new researchers, and workshops to provide practical experience. In turn, we hope these efforts foster collaboration and the sharing of resources within the palaeobiology community. Finally, we warmly welcome the community to join these efforts and have established a community space accordingly to help facilitate the process (https://groups.google.com/g/palaeoverse).
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