Quantifying Global-Warming Response of the Orographic Precipitation in a Typhoon Environment with Large-Eddy Simulations

Jianan Chen^a and Xiaoming Shi^a

^a Division of Environment and Sustainability, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong, China

Corresponding author: Jianan Chen, jianan.chen@connect.ust.hk

Preprint statement

This manuscript is non-peer reviewed preprint and has been submitted to Journal of Climate for peer review.

1	Quantifying Global-Warming Response of the Orographic Precipitation in
2	a Typhoon Environment with Large-Eddy Simulations
3	
4	Jianan Chen ^a and Xiaoming Shi ^a
5	^a Division of Environment and Sustainability, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology,
6	Hong Kong, China
7	Corresponding author: Xiaoming Shi, shixm@ust.hk

ABSTRACT

9 The intense and moist winds in a tropical cyclone (TC) environment can produce strong 10 mountain waves and substantially enhanced precipitation over complex terrain, yet few 11 studies investigated how the orographic precipitation in a TC environment might respond to 12 global warming. Here, we use large-eddy simulation to estimate the global warming-induced 13 change in the precipitation over and near an idealized mountain with pseudo-global warming 14 experiments. Two regions in the simulations exhibit locally enhanced precipitation, one over 15 the mountain and the other in the downstream region 25 to 45 km away from the mountain. 16 The enhanced precipitation in both regions is related to the seeder-feeder mechanism, though 17 the enhancement in the downstream regions differs from the conventional definition and is referred as pseudo-seeder-feeder mechanism (PSF). In the PSF mechanism, mountain waves 18 19 generate an intense cloud formation center in the mid-troposphere above the lee slope, and 20 the resulting precipitation particles drift downstream, intensifying downstream convection 21 when they fall into proper locations and heights. Under warming, the precipitation maximum 22 over the mountain exhibits minimal change, while the precipitation maximum in the downstream region exhibiting sensitivity of around 18 % K⁻¹ intensifies and shifts towards 23 24 the mountain. The small sensitivity of the first precipitation peak is due to the canceling 25 effects of thermodynamic and dynamic changes. The large sensitivity in the downstream 26 region is mainly due to the strengthening of the wave-induced mid-troposphere cloud 27 formation center which supplies more hydrometeors to the downstream region and enhances 28 precipitation efficiency through the enhanced PSF mechanism.

- 29
- 30

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

31 The combination of typhoon environment and orography can produce intense precipitation 32 and thereby severe flooding risks. Here, we investigate the global-warming response of 33 orographic precipitation in a typhoon environment with idealized, high-resolution 34 simulations. The experiments suggest that under warming, a precipitation maximum may 35 emerge in the downstream region of a mountain, or strengthen and shift upwind if it already 36 exists in the current climate. This surprising amplification of downstream region 37 precipitation is related to the enhancement of the mid-tropospheric cloud generation caused 38 by mountain waves and has critical implications to flooding risk management in mountainous 39 regions.

40 **1. Introduction**

41 The mountains and their foothills are dwelling places for around 26% of the global

42 population (Beniston 2005). An essential source of water supply in the mountainous region is

43 orographic precipitation (Schär and Frei 2005). Yet, heavy orographic precipitation can also

44 induce flash floods and subsequently bring social and economic damages to human society

45 (Houze 2012). It's therefore of critical importance to assess how the orographic precipitation

46 will change in response to the warming climate.

47 Global warming can affect orographic precipitation through modification of thermodynamic, 48 dynamic, and cloud microphysics factors. Under global warming, with roughly unchanged 49 relative humidity, the water vapor in the atmosphere will increase by $\sim 7\%$ K⁻¹ of surface 50 warming based on the Clausius-Clapeyron (CC) equation (O'Gorman 2015). The increased 51 moisture in a warmed climate is expected to increase precipitation over mountains. For 52 example, Jing et al. (2019) show that in their pseudo-global warming simulations, the 53 projected increase of wintertime precipitation in the interior western United States mountains 54 under global warming is mainly induced by increased moisture with other factors playing 55 secondary roles. Nonetheless, the increased temperature and moisture can alter the gravity 56 wave dynamics which can further affect the precipitation. Shi and Durran (2015) conducted 57 an idealized study to investigate the orographic precipitation over idealized north-south 58 oriented midlatitude mountain barriers and found the extreme precipitation over the eastern 59 slope increases at a rate higher than that over the western slope. The relatively strong 60 response over the eastern slope is explained by the vertical velocity change which is governed 61 by gravity wave dynamics. The change in atmospheric stability and cross-mountain wind 62 speed is critical because they determine whether the incoming airstream is blocked by the 63 mountain of interest (Eidhammer et al. 2018; Kirshbaum et al. 2018). In the blocked case, the 64 incoming airstream tends to deflect around the mountain instead of passing over it 65 (Kirshbaum et al., 2018); without substantial forced lifting, heavy precipitation is less likely 66 to occur. Large-scale circulation shifts under warming can affect where the precipitation 67 forms through the moisture transport (Shi and Durran, 2014). The warming response of 68 microphysical processes occurring in clouds has been investigated in several studies 69 (Kirshbaum et al. 2018). Kirshbaum and Smith (2008) found that precipitation efficiency 70 (PE) will decrease in response to warming because the mixed-phase rain processes are partly replaced by the less efficient warm rain process. Pavelsky et al. (2012) show that the lifted 71

72 freezing level in a warmed climate may cause an upwind shift of orographic distribution.

73 With a higher freezing level, falling hydrometeors from upper levels will shift from solid

74 phase to liquid phase earlier. Considering the faster falling speed of liquid hydrometeors, the

75 hydrometeors will fall in a shorter distance and therefore cause an upwind shift in the

76 precipitation distribution (Pavelsky et al. 2012).

77 Some of the most intense precipitation events happen when tropical cyclones (TCs) pass over 78 complex terrain (Houze 2012; Smith et al. 2009). A TC can produce heavy rainfall directly in 79 its spiral rainband and eyewall, or indirectly through the interaction between its circulation 80 with mountain ranges (Wang et al. 2009). When a steep mountain range intersects with TC 81 circulation, the environmental conditions featuring strong surface wind, moist air, and low 82 static stability are consistent with empirical conditions favoring the occurrence of intense 83 orographic precipitation (Lin et al. 1998). However, previous research has not investigated 84 how the orographic precipitation induced by the interaction between mountain range and TC 85 outer region circulation will change in response to warming. This might be due to two 86 reasons. Firstly, it is computationally infeasible to simulate a TC spanning thousands of 87 kilometers horizontally with large-eddy simulation (LES) resolution that can explicitly 88 resolve the fine-scale process (Bryan et al. 2017). The use of convection parameterization is 89 often accused of being the reason for inconsistent prediction of extreme precipitation 90 sensitivities to warming in climate models (Muller, 2013; O'Gorman, 2015). To avoid the 91 uncertainties brought by the convection parameterization schemes, convection-permitting 92 models with horizontal grid spacings on the order of one kilometer have been employed to 93 investigate the warming response of convective systems (Guichard and Couvreux 2017; 94 Kirshbaum and Smith 2008). However, the kilometer-scale resolution is in the gray zone of 95 convection and terrain (for smaller mountains) and how to resolve gray zone issues is an 96 ongoing topic (Chow et al. 2019). LES can help avoid those uncertain issues, but it is 97 computationally demanding to conduct three-dimensional typhoon simulations at the 98 resolution of ~ 100 m. Secondly, a direct comparison is hard to achieve because TC outer 99 region rainband is highly asymmetric, therefore, even in pseudo-global warming experiments 100 (Schär et al. 1996; Trapp et al. 2021), it is difficult to ensure the same timing for a preexisting 101 convective system or moisture plume to impinge on a mountain. As a result, it is hard to tell 102 whether orographic precipitation differences in the experiments are due to warming or timing 103 (i.e., preexisting convective system may impinge onto the mountain at different stages of the 104 life cycle of the system).

105 To overcome those difficulties, we adopted the LES method developed by Bryan et al.,

- 106 (2017) (hereafter B17) to simulate the TC environment. Instead of simulating the entire TC,
- 107 this LES method only simulates a small 'patch' of a typhoon. The large-scale conditions that
- 108 dominate the small 'patch' are specified using prescribed input parameters. Therefore, the
- 109 large-scale conditions can be controlled to ensure direct comparisons. The warming
- 110 experiments of the LES simulations are conducted with the pseudo-global warming method.
- 111 (Rasmussen et al. 2011). We will focus on the intensity and distribution changes in
- 112 orographic precipitation due to warming and investigate the underlying mechanisms.

113 **2. Experiment setup**

114 *a. Typhoon Case*

115 The LES simulations have an idealized environment based on a real typhoon event. Typhoon 116 Vicente (2012) is one of the strongest typhoons affecting Hong Kong (HK) in recent decades 117 and it caused more than 200 mm of precipitation over the two-day period during its passage 118 over HK (Hong Kong Observatory 2012). According to observation data, the strongest 119 precipitation in HK occurred 12 hours after Vicente's landfall, when Vicente was more than 300 km away from HK. During the period from 05:00 UTC and 07:00 UTC on 24th July 120 121 2012, intense precipitation happened in Hong Kong. 122 During the two-hour intense precipitation period, Hong Kong happened to be located nearly

123 due east of the cyclone center and indicated by the black square box in Supplementary Fig. 124 S1. Therefore, for simplicity, no rotation of the wind profile was applied when setting the 125 LES domain, and the tangential wind for the LES domain is assumed from due south. The 126 square box in Supplementary Fig. S1 is centered at the Lantau Island of Hong Kong with a 127 side length of 100 km. The Radius from the low-pressure center of the typhoon to the square 128 center is 338.84 km. The Weather Research and Forecast (WRF) was used to simulate 129 Typhoon Vicente in a previous study (Shi and Wang 2022) with horizontal resolution down 130 to 1.6 km. We use the WRF simulation data to determine the input parameter profiles for base 131 state and initial conditions of our LES simulation, by averaging relevant variables in the 132 small square region in Supplementary Fig. S1 and over the 2-hour period with the most

133 intense precipitation.

134 b. LES Simulation Setup

135 For our LES simulations, we use the TC environment parameterization method developed by Bryan et al. (2017) for the non-hydrostatic numerical model Cloud Model 1 (CM1, version 136 20.3) (Bryan and Fritsch 2002), which is an advanced tool for idealized LES and convection-137 permitting simulations. The LES domain consists of $N_x = 256$ grid points in the x direction 138 139 with $\Delta x = 200$ m and a total length of $L_x = 51.2$ km. In the y direction, there are $N_y = 512$ grid 140 points, with $\Delta y = 200$ m and a total length of $L_y = 102.4$ km. In the vertical direction, the model has $N_z = 128$ levels, with the grid spacing Δz stretching from 150 m near the surface to 141 500 m at the model top ($L_z = 31.2$ km). Periodic boundary conditions are applied at both 142 horizontal directions. The Rayleigh damping is applied at heights above 22 km to avoid the 143 144 excessive spurious reflection of gravity waves. The research is conducted mainly using the Thompson scheme (Thompson et al. 2008) as the microphysics scheme. For testing 145 robustness of our conclusions, we also conducted some simulations with the Morrison 146 147 microphysics scheme (Morrison et al. 2009) and documented the results in Section 6. For 148 subgrid-scale turbulence parameterization, we used the TKE scheme (Deardorff 1980), and 149 radiation is computed using the rapid radiative transfer model for general circulation models 150 (RRTMG) (Iacono et al. 2008).

The B17 method was originally designed for simulating the wind profiles in the boundary 151 layer of the outer region in TC. In this study, to investigate the interaction between 152 153 convections and the mountain, the method is adapted, and the LES domain extends to 31.2 154 km in height. The TC boundary wind profile simulation model in B17 is based on the 155 assumption that the small LES domain (embedded within the TC environment) is subject to 156 centrifugal and advection accelerations that apply at scales large than the domain. The 157 fundamental idea of B17 is to account for these large-scale conditions by specifying the vertical profile of gradient wind speed V, the radial gradient of gradient wind speed $\frac{\partial V}{\partial P}$, and a 158 159 distance away from the tropical cyclone center R. Other than these parameters, to initiate the simulation, the vertical profile of potential temperature (θ), water vapor mixing ratio (q_v) are 160 needed and shown in Fig. 1. B17 suggests that the $\frac{\partial V}{\partial R}$ can be related to $\frac{V}{R}$ through a decay rate 161 $n, \frac{\partial V}{\partial R} = -n \frac{V}{R}$. The decay rate n for all types of tropical cyclones ranges from 0.04 to 0.64 162 (Mallen et al. 2005). We've found the precipitation intensity and distribution are insensitive 163 164 to the selection of decay rate in this range. In view of this, a decay rate of 0.6 is used. The distance between the center of our research domain and the low-pressure center R is 338.84 165 166 km.

168 The large-scale pressure gradient in B17, originally designed for the atmospheric boundary 169 layer, is derived from the gradient wind balance relationship. However, the gradient wind 170 balance no longer holds at higher levels where there is no well-defined circular low-pressure 171 center. Figure 1a shows the profile of meridional velocity VwRF derived from the temporal 172 and spatial mean of V from WRF output data, geostrophic wind V_{GEO} calculated based on the geostrophic balance, and V_{GRAD} calculated from the gradient wind balance. Below $z_1 = 11$ km, 173 174 *V*_{WRF} is consistent with *V*_{GRAD} except at the levels near surface, suggesting that in the lower 175 and middle troposphere, the large-scale wind field is well approximated by the gradient wind 176 balance in which the pressure gradient force is balanced by centrifugal force and Coriolis 177 force. The inconsistency between V_{WRF} and V_{GRAD} at the lowest levels is due to the 178 unaccounted surface friction and boundary-layer flux. Above $z_2 = 15.5$ km indicated by the 179 green dotted horizontal line, the V_{WRF} oscillates around zero and shows good agreement with 180 V_{GEO} , suggesting the wind field follows the geostrophic balance in which the large-scale 181 pressure gradient is balanced only by the Coriolis force. At heights between z_1 and z_2 , the 182 wind field transitions from the gradient wind balance to the geostrophic wind balance, in 183 which the centrifugal force gradually disappears. 184 In our setup of the large-scale pressure gradient in LES, for simplicity, we apply a linear

185 decay coefficient α on the centrifugal force term and assume α decreases from unity at height

186 z_1 to zero at height z_2 to represent the disappearance of centrifugal force. At levels above z_2 ,

187 with no presence of centrifugal forces, we specify the large-scale pressure gradient force

188 based on the geostrophic wind balance by setting α as 0. In the LES method of B17,

189 mesoscale tendency terms are associated with the mesoscale flows in the tropical cyclone.

190 Similarly, we apply the same decay coefficient α on the mesoscale tendency terms.

191 The original B17 method focuses on simulations of the wind profiles in the dry atmosphere.

192 Moisture effects are neglected. Similar to Chen et al. (2021), nudging terms are applied to the

193 tendency of temperature, specific humidity, and large-scale wind for the purpose of

accounting for the effects of the large-scale circulation of typhoon environment. Details are

be found in equations (1b) and (1c) from Chen et al. (2021). This nudging approach ensures

196 that the large wind profiles, temperature, and moisture remain anchored throughout the

197 simulations. The nudging relaxation timescale we used is 2 hours.

198

7





211

212 The terrain profile and initial flow field are specified by several parameters. The idealized

213 bell-shaped terrain is set up to loosely mimic the Hong Kong topography which is featured by

214 west-east-oriented mountains. The surface elevation Z_s of this bell-shaped mountain is

215 specified as

$$Z_{s}(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{h_{0}}{2} \left(1 + \cos\left(\pi\left(\frac{y - y_{m}}{a}\right)\right) \right) & \text{if } y_{m} - a < y < y_{m} + a \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$
(1)

where the maximum height h_0 is 1 km, half-width *a* is 10 km, $y_m = 0$ is at the center of the domain in the meridional direction. The surface area where $Z_s = 0$ is set as ocean surface. The mountain is symmetric in the zonal x direction.

219

220 c. Warming Experiments

In the warming experiments, we've conducted pseudo-global warming by adding the 221 222 temperature change predicted by the SSP5-8.5 (Shared Socioeconomic Pathway) warming 223 scenario from the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project phase 6 simulations (CMIP6) onto 224 the control simulation temperature profile. The current climate state is defined as the average 225 for the period of 2015-2020, the mid-term future as the period of 2050-2060, and the longterm future as the period of 2090-2100. The temperature is averaged over the larger domain 226 227 centered at Hong Kong, ranging from 110 to 120 degree in longitude and 15 to 25 degree in 228 latitude. The surface warming relative to the present climate is 1.18 and 3.53 K, respectively, 229 for the climate of the mid-term future and long-term future. Figure 1d shows temperature 230 change between present climate and future climates. The temperature anomaly profiles are 231 characterized by the strongest warming happening in the upper troposphere, and the cooling happening in the stratosphere, consistent with previous studies (e.g., Ji et al., 2020). In the 232 233 troposphere, the upper levels exhibit stronger warming than the low levels, suggesting a more 234 stable atmosphere under warming. In terms of the input of vertical profile of q_v , we assume 235 the relative humidity profile remains constant over the warming. Therefore, we have 3 groups 236 of simulations: present, mid-term future, and long-term future climate. Each simulation was 237 integrated for 36 hours with an output interval of 10 min. The first 12 hours are discarded as 238 the spin-up period. In the analysis below, unless specified, otherwise the temporal average is 239 taken over the period from hour 12 to hour 36.

240 **3. Orographic Precipitation and Traveling Convective System**

241 *a. Precipitation Distributions*

File generated with AMS Word template 2.0



Fig. 2. (a) The zonal and temporal mean precipitation distribution in the simulations of
present, mid-term future, and long-term future climate. (b) the corresponding precipitation
sensitivity to warming in (a). The sensitivities in (b) are defined relative to the present
climate.

The zonal (*x*-direction) and temporal mean precipitation distributions are shown in Fig. 2. In the simulation of the present climate, two local precipitation maxima can be identified. The first precipitation maximum is located on the lee slope of the mountain and the second precipitation peak is in the downstream of the mountain at around 37 km. The simulations for mid-term future and long-term future climate exhibit qualitatively similar precipitation maxima patterns with peaks on the lee slope and in the downstream region.

253 The first precipitation peak on the mountain slope barely changes (shown in Fig. 2a) with

warming. By contrast, the second downstream region precipitation peak shifts upwind

towards the mountain and intensifies substantially with warming. Figure 2b shows the

corresponding precipitation sensitivity, which is defined as the change relative to the present

- climate, normalized by surface temperature increase. The sensitivity near the first peak is
- close to zero. However, the precipitation sensitivity related to the second precipitation
- 259 maximum has shown large sensitivity and can reach up to 34.65% K⁻¹ in the mid-term future
- and up to 43.41% K⁻¹ in the long-term future. The response to warming is discussed in depth
- 261 in the next section. The remaining discussion of this section identify and explain essential
- 262 mechanisms involved in shaping the distribution pattern of precipitation in our simulations.





Fig. 3. The zonal and temporal mean of (a) vertical velocity (w), (b) water vapor mixing ratio tendency due to microphysics (color shading), the sum of cloud ice (q_i) and cloud water (q_c) mixing ratio (contours, unit in g/kg), (c) mixing ratio of precipitation hydrometeors which include rain, graupel and snow (color shading) and graupel mixing ratio alone (contours, unit in g/kg).

270 Here, the mean states are firstly investigated. Figure 3a shows the zonal and temporal mean 271 of vertical velocity. Two areas exhibit strong updrafts: 1) over the upwind slope of the 272 mountain and 2) over the lee slope of the mountain centered at y = 8 km, z = 5 km. In 273 downstream region away from the mountain, the averaged vertical velocity oscillates between 274 positive and negative velocities, suggesting the presence of mountain-induced gravity waves. 275 Those stationary updrafts and downdrafts weaken with distance away from the mountain and 276 are confined below the tropopause, which indicates these lee waves are trapped, or at least 277 partially trapped. The zonal and temporal mean of water vapor tendency due to microphysics 278 (\dot{q}) is shown in Fig. 3b. The negative \dot{q} value indicates condensation and deposition whereas 279 the positive \dot{q} values indicate evaporation and sublimation. The areas that exhibit strong 280 updrafts also show strong condensation-and-deposition rates. The two strong condensation-

- and-deposition centers caused by the strong stationary updrafts correspond to the two cloud
- centers indicated by the sum of cloud water mixing ratio (q_c) and ice mixing ratio (q_i) . It is
- noteworthy that the two cloud centers exist throughout our simulation and are closely relatedto two precipitation maxima.
- 285 As shown in Fig. 3c, the two precipitation peaks also correspond to the two regions with high 286 mixing ratios of precipitation hydrometeors (q_p) . The q_p is the sum of mixing ratio of rainwater (q_r) , graupel (q_g) and snow (q_s) . The high q_p region over the lee slope of the 287 288 mountain extends from the surface to the lower troposphere, suggesting ice phase process is 289 not involved much in the formation of the first precipitation peak. In contrast, the high q_p area 290 located in the downstream of the mountain extends from the surface up to upper troposphere. A significant amount of graupel is found above the downstream precipitation region, 291 292 indicating the involvement of the ice and mixed phase processes in the formation of the 293 second precipitation peak. In the following subsection, we will show that the formation of the 294 two precipitation maxima is related to the interaction between the traveling mesoscale disturbances and mountain wave-forced ascents, though the roles of them are different for the 295 296 two precipitation maxima.
- 297

298 c. Traveling Mesoscale Disturbances

The Hovmöller diagram of the zonally averaged surface precipitation shows a precipitation 299 300 pattern suggesting northward traveling mesoscale disturbances (Fig. 4). The mesoscale 301 disturbances travel northward with a period of about 2 hours. In each cycle, the zonal mean 302 precipitation features the two precipitation maxima and a rain shadow region sandwiched by 303 the two-precipitation maxima. Notably, little-precipitation windows can be identified for 304 every location. The little-precipitation windows suggest that precipitation maxima are not 305 purely the result of the mean flow advection of hydrometeors produced in the two cloud 306 formation centers in Fig. 3b. Without the superposition of the traveling mesoscale 307 disturbances on the mean flow, little surface precipitation is generated, probably because of 308 insufficient microphysical conversion time (Zängl 2008) or evaporation when the 309 precipitation hydrometeors fall out of cloud at a high level (Kirshbaum and Smith 2008).

310







314 To further illustrate the relations between the two condensation centers and the two

- 315 precipitation maxima, regression analysis relating surface precipitation to hydrometeors $(q_p,$
- 316 q_g, q_i+q_c) and \dot{q} has been conducted. Following Adames and Wallace (2014), the regression
- map for each variable is derived from the equation, $D = S P^{T}$, where D is the regression
- 318 pattern with dimensional units, for a two-dimensional matrix **S** that represents a variable
- 319 field, and **P** is a standardized time series of the variable being regressed upon.



320

Fig. 5. Regression onto the standardized time-series of mean precipitation over the mountain between y = -14 and y = 10 km in the present climate simulation for (a) \dot{q} (color shading) and $q_i + q_c$ (contours) and (b) q_p (color shading) and q_g (contours). Contour interval in (a) is 0.04 g/kg and the purple contours indicate 0.04 g/kg. Contour interval in (b) is 0.01g/kg and the purple contour indicates 0.001 g/kg.

326 The precipitation maximum over the mountain is related to the interaction between the cloud 327 above the windward slope of the mountain and the traveling mesoscale disturbances. The 328 regressed \dot{q} and $q_c + q_i$ in Fig. 5a show that low-level cloud formation is enhanced when there 329 is positive precipitation anomaly over the mountain. Noting that the regressed pattern 330 represents anomalies to be added to the stationary pattern in Fig. 3 when there is positive 331 precipitation anomaly on the mountain surface. Figure 5a suggests that when the mesoscale 332 disturbance reaches the mountain it probably triggers or enhances orographic convection 333 substantially and therefore enhance surface precipitation.

334 Meanwhile, the regression of precipitation hydrometeors (Fig. 5b) shows a second center of 335 positive anomaly at about 9 km above the surface and 30 km upstream of the mountain. The 336 upper level q_p anomaly extends downward until reaching the freezing level, but it also 337 extends downwind and connects with the low-level cloud and precipitation. Therefore, the 338 formation of effective precipitation over the mountain is likely also affected by the seeder-339 feeder mechanism (Bergeron 1960). The upper-level regression anomaly in Fig. 5b suggests 340 stratiform precipitation in the upper and middle troposphere related to deep convective 341 system falls from above and enhances accretion in the low-level orographic cloud above then 342 windward slope. This regression pattern feature is also consistent with Fig. 4, which shows 343 that the precipitation over the mountain becomes notable before the main travelling 344 precipitation system reaches the mountain. The reason for which no deep convection signal 345 exists upstream of the mountain (from y = -30 km to y = -20 km) in the regression pattern is 346 probably because the regression has zero time lag, which makes the regressed pattern more 347 representative for features when precipitation over the mountain is maximized.

348 Figure 6 shows the regressed fields related to the precipitation in the downstream region, 349 which suggests the interaction between cloud induced by the mid-tropospheric orographic 350 ascent above the lee slope of the mountain (Fig. 3a) and the traveling convective system. The negative anomaly of regressed \dot{q} and positive anomaly of regressed $q_c + q_i$ in Fig. 6a suggests 351 352 that deep convection exists when surface precipitation is enhanced in the downstream region. 353 The regressed q_p in Fig. 6b shows two maxima in the downstream region, one in the lower 354 troposphere and the other in the upper troposphere at about 8 km. The upper-level maximum 355 is related to the local deep convection. Interestingly, the lower-level maximum is somewhat 356 separated from the upper-level maximum, suggesting there is an additional mechanism that 357 enhances the lower-level precipitation hydrometeors mixing ratio. The presence of mid-

troposphere ascent and cloud formation above the lee slope of the mountain (Fig. 3b) can 358 359 produce a significant amount of precipitation hydrometeors which drift downstream with the 360 mean flow. As those hydrometeors fall into the lower and middle part of the convective 361 system which develops in the downwind region, they enhance collision-and-coalescence or 362 accretion like in the conventional seeder-feeder mechanism. We call this mechanism pseudo-363 seeder-feeder (PSF) mechanism because here convection and terrain forced ascents play roles 364 differing from what they have in the conventional seed-feeder mechanism. The PSF 365 mechanism is likely more important to local precipitation enhancement in the downwind 366 region than over the mountain because the regression of graupel mixing ratio onto the second precipitation maximum in the downstream region (Fig. 6b) exhibits a substantially stronger 367 368 signal than the same regression onto the first precipitation maximum over the mountain top 369 (Fig. 5).





373

4. Precipitation Responses to Warming

As discussed in Section 3a, under warming, the precipitation over the downstream region andthe mountain has strikingly different responses to warming. In this section, these two regions

- 377 are investigated separately to understand their responses to warming. Below, we calculate
- how the condensation and deposition change in the cloud formation region and how
- 379 precipitation efficiency responds to warming. The impact of mountain waves is also
- discussed.



Fig. 7. The zonal and temporal mean of condensation rate in the simulation of present climate. The black box over the mountain is for the analysis of precipitation over the mountain, the region bounded by red lines between y = 21 km and y = 45 km is for the analysis of precipitation over the downstream region. The red box between y = 0 km and y =21 km wraps the condensation center in the lee of mountain.

387 a. Over-Mountain Precipitation

For the over-mountain precipitation region, the downstream transport of hydrometeors in a strong wind environment cannot be ignored because we are considering relatively small regions. We use the black box shown in Fig. 7 for a budget analysis. The box is bounded between y = -10 km and y = 14 km to include the first precipitation maximum and capped vertically at 4 km to avoid the inclusion of the lee condensation and deposition center in midtroposphere to which the first precipitation maximum is unrelated. The time averaged surface precipitation (*P*) at the bottom of this box satisfies

$$P = C_{net} + F_{in} - F_{out} + R \tag{2}$$

where C_{net} is the volume integrated rate of net condensation and deposition, F_{in} (F_{out}) is the flux of condensates, including both non-precipitation and precipitation particles, into (leaving) the box through upstream (downstream) boundaries. C_{net} , F_{in} , and F_{out} are normalized with the bottom surface area of the box. R denotes the residual term due to ignoring surface evaporation and storage of hydrometeors in the air. This residual term can be minimized when we take the time average of a relatively long period so that the storage of hydrometeors in the air can be ignored. Surface evaporation is also ignored because of its

- 402 little contribution. The residual term only accounts for around 5% of the surface precipitation
- 403 (Supplementary Table S1), suggesting the approximate balance between P and $C_{net} + F_{net}$

404 inside the box. Including the influx of hydrometeors from upwind direction into the box, the405 PE is redefined as

$$PE = \frac{P}{F_{in} + C}$$
(3)

406 where F_{in} is influx convergence into the box, *C* is the volume integrated rate of condensation 407 and deposition inside the box. Both *C* and F_{in} are normalized with the bottom surface area of 408 the box. For this over-mountain precipitation region, *C* is much larger than F_{in} . Denoting the 409 surface temperature by T_s , the total precipitation sensitivity can be decomposed as

410

$$\frac{1}{P}\frac{\partial P}{\partial T_s} = \frac{\partial \ln P}{\partial T_s} = \frac{\partial \ln(\operatorname{PE} \cdot (C + F_{in}))}{\partial T_s} = \frac{\partial \ln (C + F_{in})}{\partial T_s} + \frac{\partial \ln (PE)}{\partial T_s}$$

$$= \frac{\partial (C + F_{in})}{(C + F_{in}) \partial T_s} + \frac{\partial \operatorname{PE}}{PE \ \partial T_s}$$
(4)

411

412 The precipitation sensitivity over the mountain is the sum of the sensitivity of $C + F_{in}$ and that 413 of PE. Table 1 is the average sensitivity obtained by comparing the simulations of present and 414 mid-term future and that by comparing those of present and long-term future. As shown in 415 Table 1, both terms have shown sensitivities less than 1% K⁻¹. Therefore, the weak precipitation response to warming is due to small sensitivities of PE, F_{in} , and C. The C in the 416 417 black box has shown a slight decrease with warming. This negative sensitivity is at odds with 418 the expected positive sensitivity in previous studies (e.g., Siler and Roe, 2014). To understand 419 the negative condensation sensitivity to the warming, the change of C in the black box is 420 further decomposed to thermodynamic and dynamic contributions based on the methodology 421 in Shi and Durran (2015). The thermodynamic contribution is related to the change in the 422 moist adiabatic lapse rate of saturation water vapor specific humidity (γ) due to temperature 423 increase, and the dynamic contribution is related to the change in the vertical velocity (Muller 424 and Takayabu, 2020; Shi and Durran, 2015). Our calculation found that the thermodynamic contribution is at around 2.11% K⁻¹, which is offset by the dynamic contribution at around 425 -2.68% K⁻¹. The temperature of the upslope condensation center in our black box ranges 426

427 from ~270 to ~300 K. Our calculation of thermal contribution to the warming is consistent

428 with the γ sensitivity in that temperature range (shown in Fig. 12 of Shi and Durran (2014)).

429 Table 1: Sensitivity with respect to surface warming over the mountain region (indicated by

- 430 the black solid box in Fig. 7): precipitation (P), condensation (C), influx to the right boundary
- 431 of black solid box (F_{in}), precipitation efficiency (PE). C_t is the thermodynamic contribution
- 432 of C. C_d is the dynamic contribution of C.

Sensitivity (% K ⁻¹)	Р	С	Fin	C+F _{in}	PE	C _t	C _d
Mid-term	0.69	-0.29	0.66	-0.14	0.84	2.16	-2.55
Long-term	-1.81	-1.32	1.00	-0.97	-0.86	2.07	-2.81
Mean	-0.56	-0.80	0.83	-0.56	-0.01	2.11	-2.68

433

The negative dynamic contribution is related to the weakening of vertical velocities over the 434 435 windward slope of the mountain under warming. The zonal and temporal mean of vertical velocities are shown in Fig. 8. The mean vertical velocity maximum over the windward slope 436 437 of the mountain does not exhibit appreciable change but the depth of the ascending layer 438 shallows in response to warming. The weakening of vertical velocities is probably related to 439 the response of mountain wave to the increased stability (Shi and Durran 2015), which is caused by the amplified warming in the upper troposphere. Additionally, negative dynamic 440 contribution is also found in the previous study of extreme convective precipitation in the 441 442 tropics (Muller et al., 2011).



Fig. 8. The zonal and temporal mean of vertical velocities of simulations of the climate of the
present (a), mid-term (b), and long-term future (c). The black dash lines at 4 km and 11 km
are for height references.

- 447
- 448 b. Downstream Region Precipitation.

449 In the downstream precipitation *maximum region*, we conduct the same *budget* analysis *for*

- 450 precipitation efficiency and hydrometeor production. The budget box is defined starting from
- 451 21 km to 45 km in the *y* direction, which is indicated by the red solid vertical lines in Fig. 7.
- 452 The downstream precipitation statistics are partly shown in Supplementary Table S2.

- 453 The precipitation in the downstream has exhibited substantial change due to warming, and as 454 shown in Table 2, the mean precipitation sensitivity is at 18.16% K^{-1} . The local C has 455 exhibited little change and its mean sensitivity to the warming is at -0.29% K⁻¹. In contrast, the F_{in} sensitivity is at 9.25% K⁻¹, suggesting the increased influx with warming. The F_{in} 456 457 increase can be explained by the increased condensation and deposition rate in the lee-slope 458 mid-troposphere condensation-and-deposition center C_2 (denoted by the red dashed box in 459 Fig. 7). The sensitivities of C_2 are consistent with the F_{in} (Table 2), indicating that the influx increase is due to the amplification of C_2 . We further decompose the change of the C_2 into 460 461 thermodynamic and dynamic contributions. The dynamic contribution is slightly positive and at 1.04 K/%, while the thermodynamic contribution is at 7.20 % K^{-1} , revealing that the 462 increase of influx is primarily from thermodynamic contribution. The thermodynamic 463 sensitivity of C_2 is larger than that of the low-level, windward slope condensation center 464 465 because 1) the sensitivity of γ to temperature is higher at colder temperatures (Fig. 12 of Shi 466 and Durran (2014)) and 2) mid-troposphere exhibits stronger temperature increase than the 467 surface.
- 468 Table 2: Sensitivity with respect to surface warming in the downstream region (indicated by 469 the red solid box in Fig. 7): precipitation (*P*), condensation (*C*), influx to the right boundary 470 of red solid box (F_{in}), precipitation efficiency (PE). The C_2 is condensation rate of the red 471 dashed box region shown in Fig. 7. C_{2t} is the thermodynamic contribution of C_2 . C_{2d} is the 472 dynamic contribution of C_2 .

Sensitivity (% K ⁻¹)	Р	С	F _{in}	C+F _{in}	PE	<i>C</i> ₂	C_{2t}	C _{2d}
Mid-term	19.37	-0.83	12.02	6.32	12.14	11.52	7.99	1.29
Long-term	16.96	-1.42	6.49	2.46	13.34	6.80	6.41	0.79
Mean	18.16	-0.29	9.25	4.39	12.74	9.16	7.20	1.04

- 474 Following Eq. (4), the precipitation sensitivity in the downstream region can also be
- 475 decomposed into the sensitivity related to PE change and source of hydrometeors $(C + F_{in})$.
- 476 The change in precipitation efficiency is dominant at 12.74% K⁻¹, while the change of the
- 477 sum of local condensation and influx plays a secondary role at 4.39% K⁻¹(Table 4). The high
- 478 PE sensitivity suggests enhancement of the pseudo seeder-feeder effects. This enhancement is

479 probably due to the increases of F_{in} into the downstream region that can be further attributed 480 to the amplified lee cloud formation center C_2 .

481 The high precipitation peak sensitivity in the downstream region is also partially due to the 482 upwind shift of the second precipitation maximum, which exhibits ~10 km between the 483 present and long-term future simulations. This upwind shift of downstream region 484 precipitation peak is related to the upwind shift of mean state mountain waves and the lifting 485 of freezing level in the warmed climates. The mean state wave patterns of vertical velocities 486 are shown in Fig. 8. The updraft centered at $y \approx 24$ km in the simulation of present climate 487 moves upwind to 20 km in the simulation in of long-term future climate. With the upwind 488 shift of mean state waves, the region which is prone to the development of new convection in 489 the downstream region moves upwind. The hydrometeors drifting from the lee mid-490 troposphere center will travel at a shorter distance and experience less evaporation or 491 sublimation before seeding the low-level convection in the downstream region. In addition, as 492 the freezing level shifts upward, the fraction of liquid-phase hydrometeors increases in the lee 493 slope mid-troposphere cloud center. As a result, the seeder hydrometeors fall at relatively 494 larger terminal velocity and tend to interact with the low-level feeder clouds earlier at a 495 shorter travel distance in the horizontal direction.

496 **5. Upwind Shift of Trapped Lee Waves**

The upwind shift of downstream precipitation maximum is, at least partially, related to the upwind shift of trapped lee waves, which is investigated in this section with the numerical methods of Durran et al. (2015), which search for linear modes that represent trapped waves in the lee of a mountain.

501 We used a 3-layer setup for the linear model, with layer interfaces at 9 km and 16 km for the 502 present climate, and 10 km and 17 km for the long-term future climate. The squared Brunt-Väisälä frequency N^2 for the present climate setup is 1.2, 0.5, and 5 × 10⁻⁴ s⁻² for the three 503 layers from bottom to top. For the long-term future scenario, the mid-layer N^2 increases from 504 0.5×10^{-4} s⁻² to 0.8×10^{-4} s⁻². The N² in other layers is the same as the present climate setup. 505 The horizontal wind is assumed as 20 m/s at all layers. This assumption of the wind profile is 506 507 the main caveat that we cannot avoid because in three-dimensional CM1 simulations we have 508 both u and v velocity components, but we can only have one horizontal direction in the two-509 dimensional model. These parameters are idealization based on the simulation data. The 510 method of Durran et al. (2015) yields two trapped modes for each setup. However, one of

- 511 them has only one vertical velocity extremum in the troposphere and is not consistent with
- 512 the mean velocity pattern in Fig. 8.



513

Fig.9. Contours of *w* in a vertical cross section obtained using numerical method developed by Durran et al., (2015) and the vertical velocity is normalized. a) calculation based on the mixed moist instability and the layer interfaces of the present climate. b) calculation based on the mixed moist instability and the layer interfaces of the long-term future climate. c) calculation based on the mixed moist instability of the long-term future climate but the layer interfaces of present climate. d) calculation based on the mixed moist instability of the present climate but the layer interfaces of the long-term future climate.

522 Figure 9 shows the relevant solution of the trapped mode, which indeed exhibits upwind shift 523 under global warming. The upwind shift of trapped lee waves is due to the decrease in the 524 horizontal wavelength of trapped lee waves. The resonant wavelength decreases from the 525 27.6 km in the present climate setup to the 18.7 km in the long-term future climate set-up. 526 The effects of increasing upper troposphere static stability and tropopause height are 527 separately evaluated in Fig. 9c and 9d, while Fig. 9b is the composite effect. Both factors 528 contribute to the decrease of the resonant wavelength. If we only change the stability N^2 529 while keeping the layer interface heights unchanged, the resonant wavelength decreases to 530 20.4 km. If the layer interfaces are changed while the stability is kept constant, the resonant 531 wavelength decreases to 22.1 km. Therefore, the stability enhancement probably seems more

- important to induce the upwind shift of trapped lee waves, though the role of tropopause
- 533 lifting is also nonnegligible.

534 6. Robustness of Results

535 The robustness of our results is tested using the Morrison microphysics scheme and a

536 narrower mountain (NM). Consistently, the highest precipitation sensitivity happens in the

537 downstream region (Fig. 10).

538 Figure 10a shows the zonal and temporal rainfall distribution in the simulations using the 539 Morrison microphysical scheme. Different from the Thompson scheme, the second 540 precipitation maximum in the downstream of the mountain in Morrison is weak and virtually 541 non-existent. The discrepancy in the occurrence of precipitation maximum in the downstream 542 region in the simulation of present climate is probably related to the different liquid to solid 543 ratios of the seeder particles in these two microphysical schemes. The transition of seeding 544 precipitation particles from the solid phase to the liquid phase happens in an earlier stage in 545 the Morrison scheme (Supplementary Fig. S2). As a result, a higher liquid ratio in the seeding 546 precipitation particles is expected in the Morrison scheme. Given the ice particles involved 547 processes are more efficient in producing rainwater (Kirshbaum and Smith 2008), the PSF in 548 the Morrison scheme is expected to be weaker and thus may fail to create the downstream 549 precipitation peak.

550 Experiments using a narrower mountain with a half-width of 5 km (NM) are also tested with 551 different microphysical schemes. Previous experiments using the 10 km mountain half-width 552 are referred as the wide mountain (WM). The two cloud formation centers induced by the 553 NM are much smaller (Supplementary Fig. S2). With smaller cloud formation centers, both 554 the traditional seeder-feeder effects and PSF are weakened and therefore weaker precipitation is resulted in the NM simulations (shown in Fig. 10a and Fig. 10c). Interestingly, in the 555 556 present climate of NM, both microphysics schemes do not produce the downstream 557 precipitation maximum. This is probably because the weaker lee cloud formation center 558 supplies fewer drifting hydrometeors which serve as seeder particles in PSF. Although the 559 solid particle fraction in present climate is higher than that in warmed climates. This 560 advantageous condition for enhanced PSF is outweighed by the weaker lee cloud formation 561 center in the present climate.



562

Fig. 10. The temporal and zonal mean precipitation in the simulation of present and longterm future climate, and corresponding precipitation sensitivity using different half widths of
the mountain range and microphysical schemes. The half width of 10 km using the
Thompson and Morrison scheme (a), (b). The half width of 5 km using Thompson scheme
and Morrison scheme in (c) (d).

569 **7. Conclusions**

The global warming response of orographic precipitation induced by the interaction between 570 a typhoon's outer circulation environment and a mountain is estimated with pseudo-global 571 warming experiments using LES. In our control simulation for the present climate, the cross-572 573 mountain direction precipitation distribution exhibits two maxima with first maximum 574 located on the lee slope of the mountain and the second weaker maximum in the region 575 downstream of the mountain. The first precipitation maximum is related to the conventional 576 seeder-feeder effect and enhanced convection by the mountain. The second maximum is 577 related to a pseudo seeder-feeder effect in which the seeder cloud is the mountain wave 578 induced mid-troposphere cloud above the lee slope and feeder cloud is the middle and lower 579 part of traveling precipitation system in the downstream region. 580 In response to the warming, the first rainfall maximum exhibits almost no change because

- 581 both condensation rate and precipitation efficiency have negligible changes. This weak
- 582 sensitivity of condensation rate is because the positive thermodynamic contribution is
- 583 canceled by the negative dynamic contribution. In contrast, the second rainfall maximum

- shifts upwind and intensifies significantly. The precipitation sensitivity in the downstream
- region (21 km to 45 km away from mountain) is at 18.16% K⁻¹ on average and has a
- 586 maximum sensitivity up to 43.41% K⁻¹. In the downstream region, the accelerated rainfall
- 587 intensification is attributed to the substantial amplification of the condensation-and-
- deposition center in the mid-troposphere above the lee slope, where the temperature is lower
- than the low-level condensation center and the thermodynamic sensitivity is relatively high.
- 590 This enhancement increases the downstream precipitation by increasing the influx of
- 591 hydrometeors and thereby enhancing the pseudo seeder-feeder effect.
- 592 The high peak sensitivity in downstream region precipitation is also partially due to the
- 593 upwind shift of the precipitation maximum, which is caused by the upwind shift of the
- trapped lee waves and the lifting of the freezing level. The upwind shift of trapped lee waves
- is further corroborated by a three-layer linear mountain wave model, which shows a decrease
- 596 in the resonant wavelength of the trapped lee wave due to the lifting of the layer interfaces
- and the increase in upper tropospheric stability.
- 598 The robustness of our results is tested with a narrower mountain and a different microphysics 599 scheme. Consistently, all simulations in warmed climate show relatively larger precipitation
- 600 sensitivity in the downstream region. Lee side regeneration of convection has been
- 601 investigated in previous studies (Houze 2012). However, the contribution from mountain
- 602 wave induced lee-slope mid-troposphere cloud to the downstream region precipitation is
- neglected in previous studies, probably because precipitation particles may evaporate
- 604 completely before reaching low-level cloud and thereby the pseudo seeder-feeder mechanism
- 605 is not activated.
- 606 Our estimation of future orographic precipitation in the typhoon outer region environment 607 shows that the greatest precipitation sensitivity happens in the downstream area, while the 608 precipitation maximum over the mountain stays almost unchanged with warming. Although 609 these are idealized experiments, our findings suggest plausible mechanisms by which the 610 precipitation maximum in the downstream region of mountain barriers may emerge and 611 intensify substantially under warming. Those mechanisms warrant further investigations
- 612 focusing on the downstream region of mountains in the context of flooding risk management
- 613 under climate change.
- 614
- 615 Acknowledgments.

616	This research was funded by the Research Grants Council of Hong Kong SAR, China
617	(Project Nos. AoE/E-603/18 and HKUST 26305720).
618	
619	Data Availability Statement.
620	The CM1 code and namelist files can be found at
621	https://github.com/JiananChenUST/Chen-and-Shi-2023git. The initial input profiles are also
622	included.
623	
624	REFERENCES
625 626 627	Adames, Á. F., and J. M. Wallace, 2014: Three-Dimensional Structure and Evolution of the MJO and Its Relation to the Mean Flow. <i>Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences</i> , 71 , 2007–2026, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-13-0254.1.
628 629 630	Beniston, M., 2005: Mountain Climates and Climatic Change: An Overview of Processes Focusing on the European Alps. <i>Pure appl. geophys.</i> , 162 , 1587–1606, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-005-2684-9.
631 632 633	Bergeron, T., 1960: Problems and Methods of Rainfall Investigation Address of the Honorary Chairman of the Conference. <i>Geophysical Monograph Series</i> , H. Weickmann, Ed., American Geophysical Union, 5–30.
634 635 636	Bryan, G. H., and J. M. Fritsch, 2002: A Benchmark Simulation for Moist Nonhydrostatic Numerical Models. <i>Mon. Wea. Rev.</i> , 130 , 2917–2928, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520- 0493(2002)130<2917:ABSFMN>2.0.CO;2.
637 638 639	—, R. P. Worsnop, J. K. Lundquist, and J. A. Zhang, 2017: A Simple Method for Simulating Wind Profiles in the Boundary Layer of Tropical Cyclones. <i>Boundary-Layer Meteorol</i> , 162 , 475–502, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10546-016-0207-0.
640 641 642 643	Chen, X., G. H. Bryan, J. A. Zhang, J. J. Cione, and F. D. Marks, 2021: A Framework for Simulating the Tropical-Cyclone Boundary Layer Using Large-Eddy Simulation and Its Use in Evaluating PBL Parameterizations. <i>Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences</i> , https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-20-0227.1.
644 645 646	Chow, F., C. Schär, N. Ban, K. Lundquist, L. Schlemmer, and X. Shi, 2019: Crossing Multiple Gray Zones in the Transition from Mesoscale to Microscale Simulation over Complex Terrain. <i>Atmosphere</i> , 10 , 274, https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos10050274.
647 648	Deardorff, J. W., 1980: Stratocumulus-capped mixed layers derived from a three-dimensional model. <i>Boundary-Layer Meteorol</i> , 18 , 495–527, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00119502.

649 Durran, D. R., M. O. G. Hills, and P. N. Blossey, 2015: The Dissipation of Trapped Lee 650 Waves. Part I: Leakage of Inviscid Waves into the Stratosphere. Journal of the 651 Atmospheric Sciences, 72, 1569–1584, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-14-0238.1. 652 Eidhammer, T., V. Grubišić, R. Rasmussen, and K. Ikdea, 2018: Winter Precipitation 653 Efficiency of Mountain Ranges in the Colorado Rockies Under Climate Change. J. 654 Geophys. Res. Atmos., 123, 2573–2590, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JD027995. 655 Guichard, F., and F. Couvreux, 2017: A short review of numerical cloud-resolving models. 656 Tellus A: Dynamic Meteorology and Oceanography, 69, 1373578, https://doi.org/10.1080/16000870.2017.1373578. 657 Hong Kong Observatory, 2012: Report on Severe Typhoon Vicente. Accessed 10 December 658 659 2022, https://www.hko.gov.hk/en/informtc/vicente/vicente.htm Houze, R. A., 2012: Orographic effects on precipitating clouds. Rev. Geophys., 50, RG1001, 660 661 https://doi.org/10.1029/2011RG000365. 662 Iacono, M. J., J. S. Delamere, E. J. Mlawer, M. W. Shephard, S. A. Clough, and W. D. Collins, 2008: Radiative forcing by long-lived greenhouse gases: Calculations with 663 the AER radiative transfer models. J. Geophys. Res., 113, D13103, 664 665 https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD009944. 666 Ji, F., and Coauthors, 2020: Projected changes in vertical temperature profiles for Australasia. *Clim Dyn*, **55**, 2453–2468, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-020-05392-2. 667 668 Jing, X., B. Geerts, Y. Wang, and C. Liu, 2019: Ambient Factors Controlling the Wintertime 669 Precipitation Distribution across Mountain Ranges in the Interior Western United 670 States. Part II: Changes in Orographic Precipitation Distribution in a Pseudo-Global 671 Warming Simulation. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 58, 695-715, 672 https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-18-0173.1. 673 Kirshbaum, D., B. Adler, N. Kalthoff, C. Barthlott, and S. Serafin, 2018: Moist Orographic 674 Convection: Physical Mechanisms and Links to Surface-Exchange Processes. 675 Atmosphere, 9, 80, https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos9030080. 676 Kirshbaum, D. J., and R. B. Smith, 2008: Temperature and moist-stability effects on 677 midlatitude orographic precipitation. Q.J.R. Meteorol. Soc., 134, 1183-1199, 678 https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.274. 679 Lin, Y.-L., R. L. Deal, and M. S. Kulie, 1998: Mechanisms of Cell Regeneration, Development, and Propagation within a Two-Dimensional Multicell Storm. 680 681 JOURNAL OF THE ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCES, 55, 20. 682 Mallen, K. J., M. T. Montgomery, and B. Wang, 2005: Reexamining the Near-Core Radial Structure of the Tropical Cyclone Primary Circulation: Implications for Vortex 683 684 Resiliency. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 62, 408–425, 685 https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-3377.1. 686 Morrison, H., G. Thompson, and V. Tatarskii, 2009: Impact of Cloud Microphysics on the Development of Trailing Stratiform Precipitation in a Simulated Squall Line: 687

- 688Comparison of One- and Two-Moment Schemes. Monthly Weather Review, 137,689991–1007, https://doi.org/10.1175/2008MWR2556.1.
- Muller, C., 2013: Impact of Convective Organization on the Response of Tropical
 Precipitation Extremes to Warming. *Journal of Climate*, 26, 5028–5043,
 https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00655.1.
- 693 —, and Y. Takayabu, 2020: Response of precipitation extremes to warming: what have we
 694 learned from theory and idealized cloud-resolving simulations, and what remains to
 695 be learned? *Environ. Res. Lett.*, **15**, 035001, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748696 9326/ab7130.
- Muller, C. J., P. A. O'Gorman, and L. E. Back, 2011: Intensification of Precipitation
 Extremes with Warming in a Cloud-Resolving Model. *Journal of Climate*, 24, 2784–
 2800, https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JCLI3876.1.
- O'Gorman, P. A., 2015: Precipitation Extremes Under Climate Change. *Curr Clim Change Rep*, 1, 49–59, https://doi.org/10.1007/s40641-015-0009-3.
- Pavelsky, T. M., S. Sobolowski, S. B. Kapnick, and J. B. Barnes, 2012: Changes in
 orographic precipitation patterns caused by a shift from snow to rain: OROGRAPHIC
 PRECIPITATION PATTERNS. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 39,
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL052741.
- Rasmussen, R., and Coauthors, 2011: High-Resolution Coupled Climate Runoff Simulations
 of Seasonal Snowfall over Colorado: A Process Study of Current and Warmer
 Climate. *Journal of Climate*, 24, 3015–3048,
 https://doi.org/10.1175/2010JCLI3985.1.
- Schär, C., and C. Frei, 2005: Orographic Precipitation and Climate Change. *Global Change and Mountain Regions*, U.M. Huber, H.K.M. Bugmann, and M.A. Reasoner, Eds.,
 Vol. 23 of *Advances in Global Change Research*, Springer Netherlands, 255–266.
- 713 —, —, D. Lüthi, and H. C. Davies, 1996: Surrogate climate-change scenarios for
 714 regional climate models. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 23, 669–672,
 715 https://doi.org/10.1029/96GL00265.
- Shi, X., and D. R. Durran, 2014: The Response of Orographic Precipitation over Idealized
 Midlatitude Mountains Due to Global Increases in CO2. *Journal of Climate*, 27,
 3938–3956, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-13-00460.1.
- 719 —, and —, 2015: Estimating the Response of Extreme Precipitation over Midlatitude
 720 Mountains to Global Warming. *Journal of Climate*, 28, 4246–4262,
 721 https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-14-00750.1.
- 722 —, and Y. Wang, 2022: Impacts of Cumulus Convection and Turbulence
 723 Parameterizations on the Convection-Permitting Simulation of Typhoon Precipitation.
 724 *Monthly Weather Review*, https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-22-0057.1.

- Siler, N., and G. Roe, 2014: How will orographic precipitation respond to surface warming?
 An idealized thermodynamic perspective. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 41, 2606–2613, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013GL059095.
- Smith, R. B., P. Schafer, D. Kirshbaum, and E. Regina, 2009: Orographic Enhancement of
 Precipitation inside Hurricane Dean. *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 10, 820–831,
 https://doi.org/10.1175/2008JHM1057.1.
- Thompson, G., P. R. Field, R. M. Rasmussen, and W. D. Hall, 2008: Explicit Forecasts of
 Winter Precipitation Using an Improved Bulk Microphysics Scheme. Part II:
 Implementation of a New Snow Parameterization. *Monthly Weather Review*, 136,
 5095–5115, https://doi.org/10.1175/2008MWR2387.1.
- Trapp, R. J., M. J. Woods, S. G. Lasher-Trapp, and M. A. Grover, 2021: Alternative
 Implementations of the "Pseudo-Global-Warming" Methodology for Event-Based
 Simulations. *JGR Atmospheres*, **126**, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JD035017.
- Wang, Y., Y. Wang, and H. Fudeyasu, 2009: The Role of Typhoon Songda (2004) in
 Producing Distantly Located Heavy Rainfall in Japan*. *Monthly Weather Review*,
 137, 3699–3716, https://doi.org/10.1175/2009MWR2933.1.

Supplemental Material for

Quantifying Global-Warming Response of the Orographic Precipitation in a Typhoon Environment with Large-Eddy Simulations

Jianan Chen^a and Xiaoming Shi^a

^a Division of Environment and Sustainability, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology,

Hong Kong, China

Contents of this file:

Figures S1 to S2

Tables S1 to S2



Fig. S1. Two-hour mean precipitation (color shading) and sea-level pressure (contours) between 05:00 UTC and 07:00 UTC on 24th July 2012 from the reference WRF simulation of Typhoon Vicente. Hong Kong (HK) is denoted by the black square box.



Fig. S2. same with Fig. 4, expect that a), b), c) show simulations of using the Morrison scheme, and the d), e), f) show simulations using the mountain half-width of 5 km and the Thompson scheme. In the WM simulation of the present climate, the high q_g area is collocated with the high q_p area in the Morrison scheme, whereas high q_g area is below high q_p area in the Thompson scheme (Fig. S2c and Fig. 3c). In addition, the high q_p area is located at higher altitude in the Morrison scheme (Fig. S2c and Fig. 3c). These features suggest that the transition of seeding precipitation particles from the solid phase to the liquid phase happens in an earlier stage in the Morrison scheme.

Table S1: Diagnostics of precipitation budget over the mountain (indicated by the black solid box in Fig 7): precipitation (P), condensation (C), influx to the right boundary of black solid box (F_{in}), precipitation efficiency (PE), Residual (R) is due to ignoring surface evaporation and storage of hydrometeors in the air.

	P(mm/hour)	C (mm/hour)	Fin (mm/hour)	PE (%)	R/P (%)
Present	4.53	11.79	2.06	32.73	4.79
Mid-term	4.57	11.75	2.08	33.06	5.49
Long-term	4.25	11.24	2.13	31.74	4.35

Table S2: Diagnostics of precipitation budget in the downstream area (indicated by the red solid box in Fig 7): precipitation (P), condensation (C), influx to the right boundary of red solid box (F_{in}), precipitation efficiency (PE), Residual is due to ignoring surface evaporation and storage of hydrometeors in the air.

	P (mm/hour)	C (mm/hour)	Fin (mm/hour)	PE (%)	R/P (%)
Present	2.37	5.45	5.25	22.17	0.83
Mid-term	2.92	5.51	6.00	25.35	4.27
Long-term	3.79	5.18	6.45	32.62	3.12