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Abstract

In continental volcanic settings, abundant carbonate precipitation can occur with atypical facies compared with those of marine settings. The (bio-)chemical processes responsible for their development and early diagenesis are typically complex and not fully understood. In the Bolivian Altiplano, Laguna Pastos Grandes hosts a 40-km² carbonate platform composed of a great diversity of carbonate facies mainly fed by thermal springs. It provides for a spectacular natural laboratory for studying carbonate precipitation in a continental province dominated by volcanism. As a first step toward understanding the processes responsible for the precipitation of carbonates in Laguna Pastos Grandes, we will focus on characterizing the fluids (liquid and gas) that feed the laguna. The content of major elements and stable isotope compositions (δ²H-δ¹⁸O, δ³⁷Cl, δ⁷Li, δ¹¹B and ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr) of brine and freshwater inputs were investigated to trace the origin of both water and solutes in the laguna. The stable isotope compositions (δ¹³C, δ¹⁵N) and noble gas isotope ratios of outgassing at the laguna’s floor were also determined. The results show that thermal springs are close to saturation with calcite. PHREEQC modeling of the hydrological system, together with gas geochemistry results and temperature estimated from a combination of geothermometers, indicates that Ca in these springs is inherited from the alteration of the volcanic bedrock by aqueous fluids heated at ~225 °C and highly enriched in magmatic mantle-derived CO₂. Our results clearly show that the main driver for the precipitation of modern carbonates in the Central Andes is the deeply sourced CO₂, which boosts the alteration of volcanic rocks at depth.

1. Introduction

Continental volcanic provinces are not known for their abundance in terrestrially formed carbonate deposits. Volcanoclastic, bio-silica, clay or evaporitic sediments are typically dominant. However, it has long been documented that carbonates can also form, in varying
abundances, both in pedogenetic profiles (see synthesis in Zamanian et al., 2017; Durand et al., 2018) and in palustro-lacustro contexts (see synthesis in Alonso-Zarza and Tanner, 2010; Verrecchia, 2017). Such continental carbonates have been generally less studied than marine ones, which have been considered as common hydrocarbon reservoirs and traditional archives for past oceans since the Archean. Yet, the recent discovery of hydrocarbon plays in Lower Cretaceous continental carbonates off the Brazilian coast helped change that view (Terra et al., 2010; Tosca and Wright, 2015) and promoted various and numerous studies into the origin and occurrence of carbonates in continental areas where the catchment and underlying rocks are mainly volcanic (Teboul et al., 2016; 2017).

Laguna Pastos Grandes in southern Bolivia exhibits a ~40 km² recent to modern carbonate platform making it unique among the more than 200 salars scattered across the volcanic Central Andes region (Fig. 1a, b). Laguna Negra in Argentina is the only other Andean salar recently described with a significant, but much smaller (6.5 km²; Gomez et al., 2014), carbonate platform. Laguna Pastos Grandes exhibits a great diversity of calcitic, siliceous and evaporitic fabrics deposited in palustrine to shallow lacustrine environments, including some of the largest modern pisoliths discovered to date (Fig. 1c; Risacher and Eugster, 1979; Jones and Renaut, 1994; Bougeault et al., 2019). In some ways, it may be considered as a modern equivalent of carbonate facies and processes that occurred during sedimentation of the Presalt facies in the volcanic-rich South Atlantic realm during the Early Cretaceous.

Despite several studies already conducted on the hydrology and origin of chemical sediments in Bolivian and Chilean salars, no clear evidence appears to explain the abundance of modern carbonates in Laguna Pastos Grandes. From structural, hydrological and lithological points of view, this seems to occur in similar environments than salars where carbonates are absent or not modern (Risacher et al., 2003; Risacher and Fritz, 2009; see geological setting). Although climatic parameters fundamentally control the existence and morphology of salars (Risacher and Fritz, 2009), the chemical composition of the brines and the nature of the precipitates in these lakes depend on the initial composition of the inflow waters. In the present study, we thus performed a comprehensive geochemical study of gases and waters discharging in the carbonate platform of Laguna Pastos Grandes and brine filling the main basin.

The overarching goal of our research is to better understand the ongoing processes that allow for the rapid growth of these continental carbonates in a volcanic area under structural extension and fed by hydrothermal fluids. As a first step, this study focuses on the origin of the fluids and solutes from which carbonates have formed in the laguna based on the study of: (i) the chemical compositions of both water samples from streams of the drainage area and hydrothermal springs upwelling through or near the carbonate platform and gas associated with the hydrothermal springs; (ii) the isotopic compositions of gas components ($\delta^{13}C$, $\delta^{15}N$ and noble gases), water samples ($\delta^2H$ and $\delta^{18}O$) and some of their solutes ($\delta^{37}Cl$, $\delta^{7}Li$, $\delta^{11}B$ and $^{87}Sr/^{86}Sr$); and (iii) Li, B and Sr isotopic compositions of volcanic rock samples surrounding the salar. These results, together with the temperature of the underlying geothermal reservoir estimated from a combination of geothermometers and PHREEQC modeling of the hydrological system allowed us to discuss the main factors
responsible for the high precipitation of modern carbonates in Laguna Pastos Grandes
compared with the other Andean salars.

2. Geological Setting

Laguna Pastos Grandes is located in the southern part of the Bolivian Altiplano, in the
South Lipez region. The Altiplano (3700 – 4500 m) is a major Plio-Pleistocene continental
plateau bounded by the Eastern and Western Cordilleras and dominated by Cenozoic
stratovolcanoes and their products (Fig. 1). To the south, rhyolitic ignimbrites and dacites
to rhyodacites of the Altiplano-Puna Volcanic Complex (APVC) dominate the South Lipez
region, whereas andesites to dacites predominate on the Chilean side (Thorpe et al., 1976).
Native sulfur deposits occur on many of the volcanoes in Chile, and thermal springs are
abundant. A thick succession of Cretaceous and Tertiary continental sediments, including
evaporite deposits, has been observed in the Bolivian Eastern Cordillera and could be
covered by volcanic rocks toward the west in the studied area (Kussmaul et al., 1977;
Deconinck et al., 2000).

There are more than 200 closed basin lakes in the Bolivian Altiplano and Chilean Western
Cordillera (Ericksen and Salas, 1987; Luddington et al., 1992). Most are saline and
encompass a wide range of perennial or ephemeral lakes, locally termed “salars”. The two
largest salars, Uyuni and Coipasa, are remnants of larger Pleistocene lakes and occupy the
lower part of the Altiplano (3650 m altitude), whereas an abundance of smaller evaporitic
basins (<400 km²) occur at higher elevations (4000–4500 m) of the southern Altiplano
(Fig. 1). The presence of these southern basins and their morphology are firstly due to the
cold and dry climate of this region with a mean annual precipitation of 100-200 mm (Ilitis
et al., 1984) that mostly falls in summer (December-March); air temperatures ranging from
-30 °C in winter (May-August) to 25 °C in summer with daytime fluctuations of up to 40
°C (Risacher and Fritz, 1991); high insolation; and annual evaporation of approximately
1400 mm (Servant-Vildary and Roux, 1990). Although they belong to relatively similar
environments in terms of geology and climate, they show a large variety of brine
compositions, which can be organized into three major groups: alkaline, sulfate-rich, and
calcium-rich brines (Risacher and Fritz, 2009). Different precipitates can be found in
association with these brines, the most common being sodium chloride; sodium sulfates to
borates; sodium carbonates; and calcium sulfates (Ahlfeld, 1956; Ahlfeld and Branisa,
1960). Their formation and evolution have been suggested to result from the combination
of two basic factors: the presence of interior drainage basins as a source of solutes and high
rates of evaporation implying salt deposition (Risacher et al., 2003). Inflows to the Andean
salars stem from a variety of sources such as permanent and ephemeral streams; shoreline
springs; groundwater discharge; and thermal springs. Most of the rainwater falling on the
drainage area infiltrates and recharges underlying aquifers. Shoreline spring and hot-spring
waters are typically enriched in solutes compared with streams due to the dissolution of
ancient and/or present-day evaporitic sediments or by the infiltration and recycling of lake
brines. Therefore, the composition of inflow waters feeding Andean salars reflects a
mixture from two main sources: (1) dilute waters produced by the alteration of volcanic
rocks by meteoric waters and (2) brackish, highly concentrated waters derived from
brine/salt recycling (Risacher et al., 2003 and references therein). The high rate of
evaporation of these inflow waters in ponds and lakes increases the concentration of solutes
and leads to the deposition of a sequence of minerals in the order of their increasing
solubility, following diverse evaporative pathways depending on the initial chemistry of
inflow waters (Risacher and Fritz, 2009).

With an area of ~120 km², Laguna Pastos Grandes is one of the largest salars of the
southern Altiplano (Risacher and Eugster, 1979). This salar lies at an elevation of 4450 m
in a 50-km-long caldera dated at 2.89±0.01 Ma by \(^{40}\)Ar/\(^{39}\)Ar on sanidine (Salisbury et al.,
2011) and is probably the remnant of a larger lake that once occupied the caldera moat (de
Silva and Francis, 1991). The drainage basin (660 km²) is limited to the west by rhyolitic
lava ridges up to an elevation of 5800 m, and to the east by rhyolitic ignimbrite ridges up
to an elevation of 5000 m (Fig. 1b). Coalescent alluvial fans with thin pebbly soils and
xerophytic vegetation surround the laguna. Laguna Pastos Grandes can be divided in two
main domains previously identified by Ballivian and Risacher (1981) and Jones and Renaut
(1994): the eastern domain corresponds to a playa environment characterized by gypsum
and carbonate muds associated with ulexite (NaCaB\(_2\)O\(_6\)(OH)\(_6\)), and the western domain
corresponds to a vast 40-km² palustrine carbonate platform, highly fragmented through
cryoturbation exposing underlying recent carbonates (muds to calcarenites). Over these
recent carbonate deposits, a few ponds with a depth of no more than a decimeter are
characterized by pisoliths and carbonate concretions reaching up to 20 cm (Fig. 2).
Hydrothermal springs discharge over this platform producing important modern carbonate
deposits (Bougeault et al., 2019).

3. Materials and Methods

3.1 Water, gas and rock sampling

Nine water samples were collected during two sampling trips in January 2016 and
March 2017, dry and wet seasons, respectively (Tables 1, 2). We thus consider our samples
as representative of the two main climatic seasons in terms of rainfall. We collected seven
samples of water from five thermal springs including one on the border of the laguna; two
samples from cold streams; and one sample of brine from the southwestern part of the
laguna (Fig. 2, Tables 1, 2). Water samples were filtered at 0.1 µm with a sterile acrylic
filter (Sartorius Minisart\textsuperscript{®}) fixed at the end of a 50 mL syringe and cation samples were
acidified with HNO\(_3\) in the field. The temperature and pH were measured on site at each
collection point. The alkalinity was determined by HCl titration and Gran’s plotting
immediately after sampling and filtration in 2016 (Table 2) and for the 2017 samples, by
end-point titration with H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) at the Total laboratory (France). Two samples of fresh snow
and one sample of rainfall were also collected respectively in January 2016 and March
2017 in order to constrain the meteoric sources of water in the area (Table A2).

Four thermal springs named La Salsa, La Rumba, El Ojo Verde and El Gigante
(Fig. 2) were selected for both water and gas sampling on the carbonate platform based on
accessibility and high water-gas flux. La Salsa, La Rumba and El Ojo Verde springs contain
bubbling sources of gas in water ponds whereas El Gigante is a dry source of gas (a mofet)
away from the main water source. Gases were only collected in March 2017 in 100- and
250-mL stainless-steel reservoirs and in four 12 mL Exetainer\textsuperscript{®} vials, all previously
evacuated to primary vacuum. To capture bubbling thermal spring gases, an inverted funnel
connected to a rubber pipe was submerged in spring water and placed on top of the rising
bubbles (Fig. A1). The other end of the pipe was then connected through a T-junction to a
stainless-steel fitting ending in a septum (for sampling in an Exetainer\textsuperscript{®}) and a stainless-
Steel reservoir (for direct sampling) connected to a GA5000 gas detector (Scientific Instruments). Before collecting the gases accumulated in the funnel, the sampling system was completely flushed by the continuously outgassing bubbles to avoid air contamination. The O₂ level at the outlet of the sampling system was continuously monitored with the gas detector to ensure limited air contamination during sampling. The gas was collected when O₂ levels stabilized at the lowest level (typically below 2.0%).

Five rock samples representative of the volcanic bedrock were also collected on the border of the laguna. These included two andesites, two dacites and one ignimbrite of rhyolitic composition (see location in Fig. 2).

### 3.2 Analytical methods – Chemical compositions

#### Gas composition

The composition of the gas was determined on the four samples (100, 112, 116, 117) collected in Exetainer® vials with a Varian 3800 high-resolution gas chromatograph (GC) at the IFPEN laboratory (Rueil-Malmaison, France). This GC is equipped with several columns, two thermal conductivity detectors (TCD) and one flame-ionization detector (FID) operating under He and N₂ as carrier gases. The quantification of H₂ and He was realized on one TCD with N₂ as the carrier gas, whereas CO₂, N₂, O₂ and CH₄ were analyzed using the other TCD with He as the carrier gas. Relative concentrations were calculated after the chromatographic response had been calibrated in partial pressure for each compound using the specific external standards "Air Liquide TM" and "Saphir". These standards are quality gas mixtures that include H₂, He, N₂, CO₂, O₂, CH₄, C₂H₆, C₃H₈, n-C₄H₁₀ and i-C₄H₁₀ and are available in the laboratory at different concentrations to cover the specific sample compositions. Each analysis was bracketed with blanks. Results are given with a precision of ± 5 vol.% for O₂, ±1.3 vol.% for CO₂, ±3 vol.% for N₂ and ±0.1 vol.% for CH₄ based on repeated measurements of gas standards in similar concentrations.

#### Water composition

All chemical analyses were carried out in the Total laboratories (Pau, CSTJF, France) using ion chromatography (Cl, Br and SO₄); Inductively Coupled Plasma-Atomic Emission Spectroscopy (Li, B and Sr); Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry (Ca and Mg); Flame Emission Spectrometry (Na, K, Ca and SiO₂); and titration (alkalinity). The accuracy of the determination of major species was better than ± 5% and verified by repeated measurements of standard materials, namely Ion96-3 and LGC6020 for cations and anions, and pure Li and B standard solutions (Merck) for Li and B determinations. Saline samples were analyzed either after dilution (to minimize matrix effects during measurement) or by using the technique of adding a standard (to match the matrixes of the standard materials). The matrix effects were validated on at least three dilutions (500, 1000, 2000).

### 3.3 Analytical methods – Isotopic compositions

#### CO₂ gas

The isotopic composition of the CO₂ was measured in samples contained in Exetainer® vials at the IFPEN laboratory using a MAT253 (Finnigan Mat-Thermo Fisher) triple collection mass spectrometer coupled to a gas chromatograph, operating with He as a carrier gas. The internal reference CO₂ gas was calibrated with the international gas
reference standards RM8562, RM8563 and RM8564 with δ^{13}C_{VPDB} of -3.76 ± 0.03‰, -41.56 ± 0.04‰ and -10.45 ± 0.03‰, respectively (Verkouteren and Klinedinst, 2004). All the isotopic compositions given in this study are reported in the usual δ-scale in ‰ according to δ_{sample} (‰) = {(R_{sample}/R_{standard}) -1} x 1000, where R is the ^{13}C/^{12}C atomic ratio. The uncertainties on δ^{13}C values are better than ± 0.4‰ based on the external reproducibility of internal standards.

N\textsubscript{2} gas
The isotopic composition of N\textsubscript{2} was measured at the Institut de physique du globe de Paris (IPGP, France) on the gas captured in Exetainer\textsuperscript{®} vials or stainless-steel cylinders. The N\textsubscript{2} was purified and isolated from other gases before being transferred to the IRMS dual-inlet mass spectrometer Delta + XP (Finnigan Mat-Thermo Fisher) for isotopic analyses. N\textsubscript{2} purification was performed using the high vacuum line (P<10\textsuperscript{-5} mbar) described in Li et al. (2009). Purification starts with a cryogenic separation at liquid nitrogen temperature. The non-condensable gases, including N\textsubscript{2}, H\textsubscript{2}, O\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{4} and He, are inserted in the line and circulated using a mercury Toeppler pump through a CuO reactor maintained at 950 °C for 30 minutes (and then cooled to 450 °C) to oxidize H\textsubscript{2} and CH\textsubscript{4}. The resulting CO\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2}O are cryogenically trapped at liquid-nitrogen boiling temperature. Excess O\textsubscript{2}, if any, is resorbed and the NO\textsubscript{x} that was potentially generated during the previous oxidation phase is reduced to N\textsubscript{2} by circulating the gases over a Cu reactor maintained at 600 °C. The purified N\textsubscript{2} and He are then collected with the mercury Toeppler pump and manometrically quantified before being transferred in vials for measurement using a dual-inlet IRMS Delta + XP. This instrument is calibrated by measuring an internal reference N\textsubscript{2} gas, itself calibrated against the air, the international reference standard for δ^{15}N measurements, which has by definition an absolute value of δ^{15}N\textsubscript{Air} = 0‰. We obtained a relative uncertainty on the δ^{15}N better than ± 0.5‰ taking into account the N\textsubscript{2} extraction process efficiency and the reproducibility on internal standards.

The CO\textsubscript{2} that was trapped using liquid nitrogen was then released at -140 °C, collected using a liquid N\textsubscript{2} trap and manometrically quantified before being transferred to vials for measurement using the dual-inlet IRMS Delta + XP. δ^{13}C values compare well with those obtained by GC/C/IRMS at the IFPEN laboratory (Table A1).

Noble gases
Following the protocol of Moreira et al. (2018), noble gas isotopic compositions were measured in gas samples (100, 112, 116, 117) at IPGP using the Helix-SFT (Split Fight Tube, Thermo Instruments\textsuperscript{®}). In brief the gas purification consists of several combustions: the first one with a Bulk Getter (SAES) heating system maintained at 400 °C for 5 minutes, followed by two 5-minute combustions at 800 °C each with titanium sponge. After purification, helium is separated from the other noble gases using activated charcoal at 30 K, introduced into the Helix-SFT mass spectrometer and analyzed using peak jumping. ^{3}He is collected on the electron multiplier in pulse-counting mode whereas ^{4}He is analyzed on the Faraday cup and the signal is amplified using either 10\textsuperscript{11} ohm or 10\textsuperscript{12} ohm resistance depending on the signal. After the helium has been measured, neon is released from the cold trap at 70 K. Two cycles of neon isotope measurement are performed before neon is introduced to stabilize the magnet. For each cycle, ^{20}Ne is measured using a mass-scan instead of setting the magnet on the required field to measure ^{20}Ne (see Moreira et al., 2018 for details). ^{21}Ne, ^{22}Ne, ^{40}Ar and CO\textsubscript{2} were measured for 10 seconds each using peak switching. Argon is partially desorbed at 130 K and transferred to charcoal at the
temperature of liquid nitrogen for 15 minutes. After the desorption of argon at room
temperature from the charcoal trap, dilution(s) using a 1 L balloon is/are used to decrease
the amount of argon introduced into the mass spectrometer. $^{36}\text{Ar}$ and $^{38}\text{Ar}$ are collected on
the electron multiplier, whereas $^{40}\text{Ar}$ is measured on the Faraday cup using $10^{11}$ ohm
resistance. All the data were corrected following the procedure of Moreira et al. (2018).
For Ne and Ar, the standard is the atmosphere. The helium standard is a gas collected at
the Irene thermal spring (Reunion island) with a $^{3}$He/$^{4}$He value of 12.56 ± 0.05 R.A. For the
present study, blank corrections were negligible. Final uncertainties for the isotopic ratios
in samples are ±0.1 for R/Ra, ±0.06 for $^{20}\text{Ne}$/22Ne, ±0.0005 for $^{21}\text{Ne}$/22Ne, ±5 for $^{40}\text{Ar}$/36Ar
and correspond to the error propagation of the measured uncertainty, the blank correction,
and the correction for mass discrimination.

**Water isotopes**

Oxygen and hydrogen isotope measurements were performed at BRGM’s stable isotope
laboratory by equilibrating 1 mL of water with gas mixtures of H$_2$–He and CO$_2$–He
respectively for δ$^{2}$H and δ$^{18}$O, for one night and using a Finnigan MAT 252 mass
spectrometer (Assayag et al., 2008). The external precision was ± 0.1‰ for δ$^{18}$O and ±
0.8‰ for δ$^{2}$H vs. SMOW.

**Chlorine**

The chlorine stable isotope compositions were measured at the IPGP on gaseous CH$_3$Cl
that was prepared and purified with the method described in Godon et al. (2004). The δ$^{37}$Cl
measurements were then performed on the dual-inlet IRMS Delta + XP. They are reported
in Table A3 with the conventional δ$^{37}$Cl notation in per mil variations relative to Standard
Mean Ocean Chlorine (SMOC, Godon et al., 2004). During the course of this study, the
external reproducibility of the seawater standard was 0 ± 0.04‰ (1s, n = 18) as routinely
obtained at IPGP for more than two decades now (Godon et al., 2004; Bonifacie et al.,
2005; Giunta et al., 2015). Four pore fluid samples were measured twice. The mean
difference value between duplicates was 0.04‰.

δ$^{7}$Li analysis

In water samples, lithium isotopic compositions were measured using a Neptune
Multi Collector ICP-MS (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at BRGM’s stable isotope laboratory.
$^{7}$Li/$^{6}$Li ratios were normalized to the L-SVEC standard solution (NIST SRM 8545, Flesch
et al., 1973) following the standard-sample bracketing method (see Millot et al., 2004 for
more details). The uncertainties on δ$^{7}$Li values are better than ± 0.5‰ (2σ) based on the
external reproducibility using internal standards (seawater IRMM BCR-403 and basalt JB-
2).

In volcanic rocks, Li was separated from the matrix by ion-exchange
chromatography using the method described in detail by Dellinger et al. (2015). In brief,
after digestion, a sample aliquot was loaded onto a column filled with AG50-X12 resin and
the Li was eluted in HCl 0.2 N. The Li isotopic composition was measured by MC-ICP-
MS Neptune (Thermo Scientific, Bremen) at IPGP using an APEX desolvating system and
at typical Li concentrations of 20–30 ppb. Each sample was successively measured three
times within a standard-sample bracketing (SSB) sequence, yielding five δ$^{7}$Li values from
which an average value was derived. Data were corrected for the background intensities
recorded before each bracketing standard and each sample. The intensity of the background
was no more than 0.5–1% of the sample intensity. The overall reproducibility and accuracy
of the procedure (including solid sample digestion and Li separation) was checked by
measurement of the basalt reference material BHVO-2 (δ^7Li = 4.23 ± 0.83‰; Ryu et al.,
2014). The external error (2σ) was better than 0.5‰. Finally, the concentration of the total
procedural blank (acid digestion and column chemistry) was assessed to be less than 0.05
ng, i.e., insignificant compared with the amount of Li in the samples. The results are given
in Table 4.

δ^{11}B analysis

In water samples, boron isotopic compositions were determined on a Finnigan
MAT 261 solid source mass spectrometer in a dynamic mode at BRGM’s stable isotope
laboratory. For these samples, water volumes corresponding to a mass of 10 μg of B
underwent a two-step chemical purification using Amberlite IRA-743 selective resin
according to a method adapted from Gaillardet and Allègre (1995). The uncertainties on
δ^{11}B values are better than ± 0.3‰ (2σ) based on the external reproducibility using the
internal standard NBS951 (δ^{11}B = 4.05398 ± 0.00105‰).

Rock samples were dissolved by alkali fusion and B was extracted following the procedure
of Chetelat et al. (2009). 50 mg of finely powdered sample were admixed with 300 mg of
ultra-pure K₂CO₃ in a Pt crucible and melted in a muffle furnace at 950 °C for 15 minutes.
The pellet was taken up in 3 mL of 0.5 HNO₃ and added to a 50 mL vial filled with 20 mL
H₂O. An additional 1-2 mL of 0.5N HNO₃ were added until complete dissolution. The final
pH was adjusted to pH 1.7 with 3N HNO₃, and 7 mL of this solution were passed through
2 mL of the cation exchange resin AG 50W-X8 to extract most of the cationic load. The
recovered solution was adjusted to pH 8-9 with distilled 4N NH₄OH and B was extracted
on Amberlite IRA-743 resin (adapted from Lemarchand et al., 2002) in two steps: the first
step consisted of extraction on a column loaded with 300 μL of resin and the second step
of purification (after adjustment to pH 8-9 again) on a column filled with 50 μL of the
resin. Boron isotope ratios were determined by MC-ICP-MS with a direct injection
nebulizer (d- DIHEN; Louvat et al., 2014) at IPGP with a 2σ reproducibility between 0.05
and 0.3‰. ¹¹B/¹⁰B values are expressed relative to the boric acid standard NBS 951 (NIST).
The results are given in Table 4.

^{87}Sr/^{86}Sr measurement

In water samples, chemical purification of Sr (~3 μg) was done using an ion-
exchange column (Sr-Spec) before mass analysis according to a method adapted from Pin
and Bassin (1992) with total blank <1 ng for the entire chemical procedure. After chemical
separation, around 150 ng of Sr were loaded onto a tungsten filament with tantalum
activator and analyzed with a Finnigan MAT 262 multi-collector mass spectrometer at
BRGM’s stable isotope laboratory. The ^{87}Sr/^{86}Sr values were normalized to the certified
value of the NBS987 standard 0.710240. An average internal precision of ± 10 ppm (2σm)
was obtained and the reproducibility of the ^{87}Sr/^{86}Sr ratio measurements was verified by
repeated analysis of the NBS987 standard (^{87}Sr/^{86}Sr = 0.710243 ± 10, 2σ).

After total evaporation of ~0.2 mL of rock sample digestion solutions, the
remaining solid was retaken with 0.1 mL of HNO₃ 3N and loaded onto a Sr-SPEC
(Eichrom) resin chromatography column to separate ca. 200 ng of Sr (Pin and Bassin,
1992). The Sr isotopic composition was measured by MC-ICP-MS at the IPGP. The mass
discrimination was corrected using the invariant ratio ^{88}Sr/^{86}Sr (0.1194). Accuracy and
reproducibility were verified by repeated analysis of the NBS standard SRM 987 (^{87}Sr/^{86}Sr
= 0.710250±0.000025). The results are given in Table 4.
3.5 PHREEQC modeling

The PHREEQC software, version 3 (Parkhurst and Appelo, 2013) was applied to compute aqueous speciation and fluid-mineral equilibria using the “thermoddem v1.10 06jun2017” thermodynamic database (website http://thermoddem.brgm.fr/; Blanc et al., 2012).

4. Results

4.1 Gas molecular and noble gases composition

Table 1. Analytical results of the gas sampled in 2017: bubbling gas from La Salsa, La Rumba and El Ojo Verde spring sources and dry gas from El Gigante gas source. δ15N and δ13C values are averaged from multiple measurements (n=2-6) except for sample PG17_116, which was analyzed only once for δ15N (see Table A1 for detailed nitrogen and carbon isotopic analyses). See text for calculations of atmospheric N2 proportion, δ15N correction from atmospheric contribution and modeling results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample no.</th>
<th>PG17_100</th>
<th>PG17_112</th>
<th>PG17_116</th>
<th>PG17_117</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample location</td>
<td>La Salsa</td>
<td>La Rumba</td>
<td>El Gigante</td>
<td>El Ojo Verde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitude (°W)</td>
<td>67.848462</td>
<td>67.852883</td>
<td>67.848736</td>
<td>67.840695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>36.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major composition (vol.%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% N2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isotopic composition (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>δ13C (±0.1‰, 2σ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ15N (±0.5‰, 2σ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ15Nprimary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noble gases (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4He</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20Ne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36Ar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40Ar/36Ar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2/RA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mantle He (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO2/3He_{surface}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modeling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO2/3He_{before degassing}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO2 exsolution (%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The gas from thermal springs is mainly composed of CO2 (38.4 to 86 vol. %) and N2 (12.4 to 56.4 vol. %) with minor amounts of O2 (1.63 to 4.76 vol. %) and negligible traces of CH4 (< 0.07 vol. %; Table 1, Fig. 2). No H2 and H2S were detected. If we assume that O2 is derived from atmospheric contamination during sampling or natural diffusion into the thermal spring from the surface, we can calculate a contribution of associated atmospheric N2 up to 57.3% of the total N2 (Table 1). The air-normalized abundances of the
Atmosphere-Derived Noble Gases (ADNG: $^{20}\text{Ne}$ and $^{36}\text{Ar}$) display depleted values compared with air that follow the composition of the Air Saturated Water (ASW; Fig. 3).

In contrast, $^4\text{He}$ is found in high proportions, from 109 to 814 ppm, displaying a high $^{3}\text{He}/^{4}\text{He}$ value normalized to air ($\text{Rc}/\text{Ra}$) of 3.79 ± 0.08 on average (with ($^{3}\text{He}/^{4}\text{He})_{\text{air}} = 1.4 \times 10^{-6}$; Table 1). This value indicates a high mantle contribution (Sano and Marty, 1995, see discussion).

### 4.2 Gas C and N isotope compositions

The CO$_2$ gas sampled from thermal springs shows homogeneous $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values with an average of -11.1 ± 0.1‰ (Table 1, A1). In contrast, the isotopic composition of N$_2$ ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$) is more variable and ranges between 1.6 and 2.7 ± 0.5‰. Nitrogen isotope measurements of sample PG17-112 were performed on gases sampled in both Exetainers® and stainless-steel tubes to ensure a good reproducibility of the results, regardless of the container used (Table A1). Considering an atmospheric contamination of up to 57.3% for N$_2$, we can estimate the primary $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{primary}}$ value by isotopic mass balance as follows:

$$\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{measured}} = \delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{atm}} \times \%_{\text{atm}} + \delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{primary}} \times (1-%_{\text{atm}})$$

With atmospheric contamination $\%_{\text{atm}}$ up to 0.573 and $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{atm}} = 0$‰, we obtain a maximum $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{primary}}$ of 6.4‰ (Table 1). We thus consider the measured values as minimal values.

### 4.3 Isotopic composition of water

Table 2. Chemical and isotopic data for Laguna Pastos Grandes waters. NICB: Normalized Ionic Charge Balance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample no.</th>
<th>PG17-117</th>
<th>PG17-112</th>
<th>PG17-100</th>
<th>PG1_1</th>
<th>MV_1</th>
<th>PGS_1</th>
<th>PG17_99</th>
<th>SP_3</th>
<th>LS_4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>El Ojo Verde spring</td>
<td>La Rumba spring</td>
<td>La Salsa spring</td>
<td>La Salsa spring</td>
<td>El Gigante spring</td>
<td>Piedmont spring</td>
<td>Stream</td>
<td>Stream</td>
<td>Lake brine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lg. (°W)</td>
<td>67.840695</td>
<td>67.852883</td>
<td>67.848462</td>
<td>67.84842</td>
<td>67.84866</td>
<td>67.85628</td>
<td>67.75753</td>
<td>67.8098</td>
<td>67.80927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T (°C)</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkalinity on site</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>8.91</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical composition (mM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkalinity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO$_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NICB (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCO$_2$ (mbar)***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Isotopic compositions (‰ ± 2σ)
Spring waters exhibit a narrow range for δ¹⁸O (-14.2 to -11.4‰) and δ²H (-104.2 to -92.2‰) and slightly more enriched in ¹⁸O and ²H than streams (with δ¹⁸O ~ -13.2‰ and -104.7 < δ²H < -99.4‰; Fig. 4, Table 2). They are on the regional Ground and Spring Water Line (LGSWL; δ²H = 7.99 x δ¹⁸O + 0.3; n = 44) established by Fritz et al. (1981) and Rissmann et al. (2015) to the right of the Local Meteoric Water Line (LMWL) defined for modern precipitation in the Chilean and Bolivian Altiplano at altitudes of 2800 to 5700 m:

δ²H = 8.15 x δ¹⁸O + 15.3 (Chaffaut et al., 1998). Both streams and springs define a trend modeled by the following equation: δ²H = 4.29 x δ¹⁸O + 43.8 (R² = 95 %, n = 8; Fig. 4b) with more positive δ¹⁸O and δ²H values than rainfall collected at the altitude of the aquifer system (i.e., at an altitude of 4500 m) during the wet season in March 2017. Two samples of snow collected during the dry season in January 2016 show more positive δ¹⁸O and δ²H values than rainfall and fall to the left of the LMWL trend (Table A2). The Piedmont O thermal spring, located on the border of the laguna, is shifted from the other springs toward more negative δ¹⁸O and δ²H values. In the southeastern part of Laguna Pastos Grandes, the brine sampled from the southeastern lake (LS4 sample) is enriched in both ¹⁸O and ²H compared with the different inlet waters and shows even greater δ¹⁸O and δ²H values than other Andean salars on average (Fig. 4, Table A2).

### 4.4 Geothermometry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geothermometer</th>
<th>PG17_117</th>
<th>PG17_112</th>
<th>PG17_100</th>
<th>PG1_1</th>
<th>MV_1</th>
<th>PGS_1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂ (Verma and Santoyo, 1997)</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na/KCa – Mg (Fournier and Potter, 1979)</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na/K (Verma and Santoyo, 1997)</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na/K (Santoyo and Diaz-Gonzalez, 2010)</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na/Li (Fouillac and Michard, 1981)</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na/Li (modified by Sanjuan et al., 2014)</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na/Li (Verma and Santoyo, 1997)</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na/Li (Kharaka et al., 1982)</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na/Li (modified by Sanjuan et al., 2014)</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg/Li (Kharaka and Mariner, 1989)</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The thermal waters associated with gases reach the surface at up to 46 °C, which is among the highest temperatures recorded in the Altiplano salars (Risacher and Fritz, 1991; Spiro et al., 1997). Considering the concentrations of some soluble elements (Si, Na, K, Ca, Mg, Li) in the thermal springs, it is possible to calculate temperatures of the fluids based on empirical, semi-empirical and experimental relations derived from known or unknown equilibrium relations between water and minerals taking place in the geothermal reservoirs (Sanjuan et al., 2014 and references therein). For example, the silica concentration of our...
samples is fairly uniform within the range of 101 to 154 mg/l (Table 2). Applying the quartz
geothermometer described in Kharaka and Mariner (1989), Verma and Santoyo (1995,
1997) estimated equilibrium temperatures of spring water with the rocks of a deep reservoir
up to 163 ± 3 °C (assuming no steam loss; Table 3) with a mean of 149 °C. This estimate
decreases by less than 9 °C if we consider adiabatic cooling of the fluid by steam loss after
leaving the reservoir (Kharaka and Mariner, 1989). This small shift supports the idea that
the original silica concentration in the fluid was only slightly affected by boiling and/or
dilution (Cortecci et al., 2005). However, considering the contrast in temperature between
the surface and the deep reservoir, a precipitation of silica during the cooling of the water
as it ascends to the surface is easy to hypothesize. This loss will minimize the
geothermometer based on the silica concentration. The Na-K-Ca geothermometer yields
similar estimates (156 °C) with a maximum shift of ± 30 °C when the Mg correction of
Fournier and Potter (1979) is applied. However, this geothermometer is calibrated on
silicates and can also be affected by a precipitation of silicates during the cooling of the
thermal water.

The Na-Li geothermometer gives much higher estimates (around 300 °C) regardless of the
equation used (Fouillac and Michard, 1981; Kharaka et al., 1982; Verma and Santoyo,
1993, 1997). Using a larger dataset than in the original articles, Sanjuan et al. (2014)
modified the equations of Kharaka et al. (1982) and Fouillac and Michard (1981). These
corrections do not modify the results for the Kharaka et al. equation (mean value 325 °C)
but decrease the values obtained with the Fouillac and Michard equation (mean value 251
°C) by 50 °C. The Li-Mg geothermometer (Kharaka and Mariner, 1989) yields lower
values (~186 °C on average; Table 3) than the Na-Li geothermometer. The discrepancies
observed between the geothermometers using Li can be explained by the high
concentrations of Li in our samples. The Log(Na/Li) values obtained for the thermal
springs of Laguna Pastos Grandes are between 1.24 and 1.47 whereas the values used by
Sanjuan et al. (2014) for their calibrations are never lower than 2.00. Consequently, our
data are not in the range of calibration of the equations and if the correlations cannot be
extended linearly, the uncertainties on the calculated temperatures will be high.

The geothermometer based on Li isotopes was also tested as it is independent from the Li
centration. This geothermometer was calibrated experimentally on springs from the
Guadeloupe and Martinique volcanic arcs (Millot et al., 2010). It relies on the fact that Li
isotopic fractionation (Δsolution-solid) between solution and basalt is strongly temperature
dependent with, for example, values of +19.4‰ at 25 °C to 6.7‰ at 250 °C. Considering
the δ7Li mean value of 4.44 ±0.90‰ for thermal springs and using the values for rhyolitic
ignimbrite, dacite and andesite in the area that are reported in Table 4, we obtained a
fractionation Δsolution-solid of 8, 10 and 12‰, respectively. This fractionation is low and can
be related to hot temperatures (> 125 °C). Knowing that the Central Andes Igneous rocks are
a 50:50 mixture of mantle-derived basalts and of regional crust (de Silva and Kay, 2018),
we can hypothesize that the behavior of Li in these rocks and in the altered products is not
very different from that in the andesite of Guadeloupe. In this case, the calibration
temperature of Millot et al. (2010) would give a temperature of 200 ±25 °C. The Na-K
geothermometer yields similar estimates of 228 ±9 °C with the equation of Verma and
Santoyo (1997) and 205 ±12 °C with that of Santoyo and Díaz-Gonzalez (2010).
In summary, the geothermometers used in this study yield equilibrium temperatures of spring water with the rocks of a deep reservoir between 150 and 325 °C. The first value (given by silica geothermometer) is too low and reflects a probable precipitation of silica during thermal water cooling. The highest value (given by Na/Li geothermometers) is uncertain because Li concentrations in the fluids are too high for the available calibrations. We thus retain the range of temperature obtained using δ7Li and Na/K geothermometers, i.e., between 200 and 250 °C, as the maximum temperature encountered by the infiltrated water through the faults of the Pastos Grandes caldera. This temperature is compatible with a depth of 3 km, which corresponds to the top of an ancient pre-eruptive magma reservoir of the volcano (de Silva and Kay, 2018).

4.5 Chemical composition of the water

As extensively demonstrated in previous papers (Stallard and Edmond, 1981; Roche et al., 1991; Moquet et al., 2011), we assumed the atmospheric contribution to groundwater chemistry as negligible in this region and did not correct the data from this contribution. Our results of elemental concentrations obtained in Laguna Pastos Grandes are represented and interpreted together with those from previous studies of this laguna (Ballivian and Risacher, 1981; Hurlbert and Chang, 1984; Risacher and Fritz, 1991; Jones and Renaut, 1994). Spring waters are saline with an average salinity of ~14 g/L compared with the streams with 0.09 g/L of maximum salinity and show homogeneous δ37Cl values of 0.25±0.03‰ (n=12; Table A3). The Piedmont spring is the only exception with a relatively low salinity of 1.9 g/L. The brines from the southeastern part of the laguna are more saline than the water sources (256 g/L; Table 2).

Most of the waters show charge balances better than ±8 % (Table 2), which denote a negligible influence of potential organic charges to the ionic balance. One sample of stream water shows a charge balance of -26% corresponding to an anion excess of 0.41 mM. The Schoeller diagram (Fig. 5a) shows that streams and springs have different compositions. Sodium and chloride are the dominant ions in spring waters, with average concentrations of 173 and 203 mM, respectively. With a Ca:Mg molar ratio ranging from 1.9 to 2.4, they are all Ca dominant relative to Mg. Hydrothermal springs show similar relative ion concentrations suggesting that a common origin and common processes constrained the chemistry of their major elements (Fig. 5a). Their Ca:alkalinity molar ratio is favorable to the precipitation of calcite (with a ratio of almost one Ca for two C). Compared with the hydrothermal springs, the Piedmont spring is diluted by surface waters. As for the laguna brine, it presents relative ion concentrations similar to those of the hydrothermal springs but with lower alkalinity. Its Ca:alkalinity ratio is not favorable to the precipitation of calcite (Fig. 5b).

4.6 δ7Li, δ11B and 87Sr/86Sr in waters compared with surrounding rocks
Table 4. Summary of chemical and isotopic data available for dacites, andesites and ignimbrites from Laguna Pastos Grandes. Data in italic are from previous studies cited in parentheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rock type</th>
<th>Ca/Na</th>
<th>Mg/Na</th>
<th>Li (ppm) ±0.3 1SD</th>
<th>δ⁷Li (%) ±0.3 1SD</th>
<th>B (ppm) ±3 1SD</th>
<th>δ¹¹B (%) ±3 1SD</th>
<th>Sr (ppm) ±3 1SD</th>
<th>⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ignimbrite (Kaiser, 2014)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.708173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ignimbrite (Kaiser, 2014)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.708040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cenomanian-Turonian carbonates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.707928-0.707428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modern marine evaporites (Pierret et al., 2001)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.708940</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thermal springs show homogeneous ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr values slightly more radiogenic than cold streams with average values of 0.70839±0.00002 and 0.70780±0.00021, respectively. In the eastern part of the laguna, the perennial lake has an ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr value of 0.70833, which is slightly lower than thermal spring water values. As expected, all waters are within the range reported for dacites (0.70890±0.00238; Cortecci et al., 2005) and andesites (0.70763±0.00161; Cortecci et al., 2005) of the Andean Central Volcanic Zone. Our dataset is also compared with andesites, dacites and rhyolitic ignimbrites sampled in the vicinity of Laguna Pastos Grandes (Table 4). Sr isotope ratios of streams are between those of Pastos Grandes ignimbrites and dacites, whereas springs and brines show compositions similar to ignimbrites (Fig. 6a). The ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr value of Cenomanian-Turonian marine carbonates, considered as the last open marine period in the central Andes (Deconinck et al., 2000), is much lower than the values of the thermal springs (with ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr = 0.7073-0.7074; McArthur et al., 1994).

Similarly, the boron and lithium isotopic compositions of collected waters are clearly different from seawater composition (δ¹¹B = 39.6‰ and δ⁷Li = 31.0‰; Boschetti et al., 2017). δ¹¹B and δ⁷Li values increase between rock and water samples in the following order: volcanic rocks < hydrothermal springs = lake brines < surface runoff. Pastos Grandes’ thermal waters and brine show homogeneous δ¹¹B and δ⁷Li values around -5.5 and +4.1 ‰, respectively (Fig. 6b). In comparison, one stream displays more positive values for both δ¹¹B and δ⁷Li, at 0 and 10.9‰, respectively, whereas surrounding volcanic rocks are more negative, with δ¹¹B between -14.9 and -7.8‰ and δ⁷Li between -9.2 and -3.3‰ (Fig. 6b; Table 4). In the studied area, volcanic rocks have high Li and B concentrations (67–280 ppm of Li and 30–144 ppm of B) and isotopic compositions depleted in ⁷Li and ¹¹B compared with the range of values for the Andean volcanic arc: 3-9 ppm of Li with -6.4 < δ⁷Li < -4.5‰ (Chan et al., 2002) and 6-60 ppm of B with -7 < δ¹¹B
563 \(<+4\%\) (Rosner et al., 2003). Our boron isotopic compositions are similar to the continental
crust (\(^{11}\)B usually between -15 and -10\%; Chaussidon and Albarède, 1992).

4.7 Thermodynamic model

We compared the average spring water composition and the water chemistry obtained
using PHREEQC modeling of the alteration of the volcanic bedrock at 200-250 °C with a
CO\(_2\) partial pressure between 10 and 100 bar (typical of CO\(_2\)-rich geothermal systems;
Lowenstern, 2001). The objective was to determine if the observed concentrations of major
cations (Ca, Mg, K, Na and Si) can be reached by dissolution of the volcanic rocks (Table
A4, see Appendix for the PHREEQC model) without input of ancient marine sediments.
For the bedrock’s mineral composition, we selected from the minerals available in the
Thermoddem database those closer to the mineralogy observed in the volcanic rocks
surrounding Laguna Pastos Grandes (details in Appendix):

- Albite for the source of Na
- Anorthite for Ca
- Pargasite for Mg
- Quartz for Si
- Sanidine for K

In all the models tested, Si concentration and DIC are higher than in the spring water. For
Ca, Mg, K and Na concentrations, the best result is obtained at 225 °C with 20 bar of CO\(_2\)
(SI=1.3; Fig. 7). To adjust the Cl concentration in the water, we tried different Cl sources:

- pure magmatic Cl\(_2\)(g) or halite. The modeling results were not conclusive with pure
- magmatic Cl\(_2\)(g) but consistent with halite, suggesting that the salinity of the spring water
is provided by the dissolution of halite. Because halite is commonly associated with
- gypsum in the sedimentary record of the Andean region, we also added anhydrite to the
- bedrock composition in the model. Despite this, the main Ca source in the spring waters
remains the anorthite (Table A4). All the minerals were considered in the calculation as
infinite reservoirs except for halite, which was fixed by the average Cl concentration in the
spring water (239 mM).

5. Discussion

Table 5. Comparison of Laguna Pastos Grandes characteristics with Chilean and Bolivian
salars. Data from this study and Hoke et al., 1994; Spiro et al., 1997; Risacher et al., 2003,
2011; Risacher and Fritz, 1991, 2009. ul: ulexite; hal: halite; gyps: gypsum; mirab:
mirabilite. *Previous data TDS: Total Dissolved Solids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laguna Pastos Grandes</th>
<th>Chilean salars</th>
<th>Bolivian salars</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Bolivian Altiplano</td>
<td>Western Cordillera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basement</td>
<td>Dacite-Andesite-</td>
<td>Rhyolitic ignimbrite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rhyolitic Igneous</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altitude</td>
<td>4450 m</td>
<td>3400-4300 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface</td>
<td>130 km(^2)</td>
<td>0.03-400 km(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential evaporation</td>
<td>1400 mm/year</td>
<td>1000-2000 mm/year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>100 mm/year</td>
<td>40-380 mm/year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean temperature</td>
<td>5 °C</td>
<td>0 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphology</td>
<td>Playa lake</td>
<td>Mainly playa lakes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity</td>
<td>256 g/l</td>
<td>1.2-365 g/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brine type (Na-Cl-)</td>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>65% SO(_4) – 24% Ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salts</td>
<td>Calcite-gyps.-hal.</td>
<td>Gyps.-hal.-mirab.-ul.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infiltration rate</td>
<td>~negligible</td>
<td>0.01-12.8% of outflow</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Laguna Pastos Grandes is a calcite-type salar characterized by Na-Ca-Cl brines which can, according to thermodynamic models, lead to the precipitation of calcite, the first mineral of the evaporative pathway before gypsum and ulexite (Hardie and Eugster, 1970; Risacher and Fritz, 2009). Despite the fact that the calcite-type salar represents 19% of the salars in Bolivia (n=6) and 24% in Chile (n=12, Table 5), modern massive calcite precipitations are only observed in Laguna Pastos Grandes whereas gypsum, mirabilite (Na₂SO₄), halite and ulexite dominate other calcic salars. For example, Laguna Capina in Bolivia shows similar water chemistry and mineralogical characteristics to Laguna Pastos Grandes with the exception of its massive calcite precipitation (Ballivian and Risacher, 1981). This means that at least one other parameter than the Ca²⁺ concentration varies between the Andean salars and controls the extent of calcite precipitation in Laguna Pastos Grandes. Despite the fact that carbonate mineral precipitation is tremendously complicated by biomineralization processes (mainly associated here with micro-organisms; Jones and Renaut, 1994) and reaction kinetics in a non-ideal near-surface continental environment (with ion interactions, variable substrates, fluctuating pH, organic molecules and gas phase interactions; Alonso-Zarza and Tanner, 2010), we can consider that the fundamental reason for calcite to precipitate in significant amounts is because both Ca²⁺(aq) and CO₃²⁻(aq) are supplied in sufficient concentrations by water inflows to dynamically sustain the supersaturation relative to calcite. The focus of our investigations is therefore on the origin of the CO₂ and Ca enrichments in water inflows.

### 5.1 Origin of CO₂ and N₂ in thermal spring gases

The thermal springs of Laguna Pastos Grandes discharge not only thermal waters but also gases that are essentially mixtures of CO₂ and N₂ (Table 1) like the other gas sources identified in the Central Andes (Spiro et al., 1997). Changes in the relative proportions of CO₂ and N₂ gas between sources in Laguna Pastos Grandes can be partly attributed to changes in the contribution from Air Saturated Waters (ASW) to the hydrothermal system feeding the carbonate platform. Indeed, the ⁴⁰Ar/³⁶Ar mean value of 289.3 is close to the air value of 295.5 (Nier, 1950). The air-normalized abundances of the Atmosphere-Derived Noble Gases (ADNG: ²⁰Ne and ³⁶Ar) for all samples show a degree of depletion correlated with elemental mass of the noble gases (Ne > Ar), which is consistent with the water-solubility fractionation of air (Fig. 3). The atmospheric component found in thermal springs (including O₂ and the atmospheric proportion of N₂) is therefore related to an ASW component and not the result of air-contamination during sampling.

Despite this ASW component, the average R₇/Cₐ value of 3.79 ± 0.08 (Fig. 3, Table 1) indicates the presence of mantle-derived helium in the ascending hydrothermal flux. Our helium isotope results supplement and confirm previous data on the Central Andes including one isolated analysis in Laguna Pastos Grandes (Fig. A2; Hilton et al., 1993; Hoce et al., 1994). Assuming that pure mantle-derived helium is characterized by R₇/Cₐ ~8, we deduced that ~47 % of the helium at Laguna Pastos Grandes is of mantle origin (using calculations described in Hoce et al., 1994; Table 1) whereas it does not exceed 20%
in other gas sources from the Altiplano. In the Western Cordillera, however, the mantle helium contribution rises up to 69% at the Isagua volcano in Chile, 300 km north of Laguna Pastos Grandes close to the Chilean-Bolivian border (Hoke et al., 1994). Therefore, the particularly high mantle influence in Laguna Pastos Grandes compared with that of the Bolivian Altiplano is probably due to its proximity to the active volcanic arc of the Western Cordillera (Table 5; Springer and Förster, 1998). This is consistent with the fact that the Laguna Pastos Grandes’ thermal springs also show the highest temperature recorded in salar springs in the Altiplano with a maximum temperature observed by Jones and Renaut (1994) of 75 °C and 46 °C in this study (Table 5). For comparison purposes, the maximum temperature recorded in the Western Cordillera is about 87 °C (Puchuldiza salar, northern Chile; Risacher et al., 2011).

Yet, the average δ13C value of CO2 ~ -11.1 ± 0.1‰ is lower than the typical value of a magmatic mantle-derived CO2 source (between -9 and -4‰; Hoefs, 1980). Two hypotheses can be proposed to explain this low value: a contribution of CO2 from sedimentary sources, i.e., organic carbon with δ13C < -20‰ (Hoefs, 1980) or carbonate precipitation during fluid ascent. To trace the origin of the CO2, δ13C values of the gas samples are typically interpreted in relation to CO2/3He values (Sano and Marty, 1995). However, in Laguna Pastos Grandes, hydrothermal degassing and liquid-vapor partitioning could have significantly affected the δ13C and CO2/3He values of the gas. We thus determined the CO2/3He composition at threshold P-T degassing conditions using calculations of fluid phase equilibria applied to our system (H2O-NaCl-CO2-N2-O2-He-Ne-Ar; Rouchon et al., 2016). We assumed that all the gaseous CO2 had been exsolved at a single depth of supersaturation and that there were no carbonate precipitation effects on the CO2/3He value considering the acid pH ~6 of hydrothermal fluids. The best results were obtained with an initial meteoric water recharge at high altitude, i.e., 5500 m, and with a temperature of 0 °C. This is consistent with the δ18O and δ2H relationship in the spring waters, which suggests that the geothermal reservoir is fed during the wet season by meteoric water of rainfall isotopic composition (i.e., δ18O of -16‰ and δ2H of -123‰; Fig. 4 and Table A2 for values). Snow deposited during the dry season shows completely different isotopic compositions (minimum δ18O of -6.8‰ and δ2H of -30.3‰). Considering a local geotherm of 45 °C/km (Rothstein and Manning, 2003), we obtained between 17 and 49% of CO2 exsolution at a degassing depth of approximately 25-35 m (at 2.5-3.5 bar) in the different springs (Table 1). Before degassing, the CO2/3He values were consequently higher than those measured at the surface and between 4.7*10⁸ and 2.9*10⁹. These values are within the range or slightly lower than the mantle value of 2*10⁹ (Marty and Jambon, 1987). This depletion of CO2 relative to 3He in the fluids compared with the mantle value could be fully compatible with carbonate precipitation during the geothermal fluid ascent. Given that carbonates are enriched in 13C compared with CO2, this would also explain why the remaining CO2 in the gas is depleted on 13C. We cannot, however, completely rule out a minor contribution from the organic matter of underlying but unknown sedimentary rocks possibly accompanied to some extent by carbonates, which could account for the small shift towards negative δ13C CO2 values compared with the mantle.

Likewise, the δ15N values between 2.3 and 6.4‰ could reflect a sedimentary (+10‰ > δ15N > -5‰; e.g. Ader et al. 2016) or crustal (mean δ15N = +7‰; e.g. Sano et al., 1998) contribution mainly generated by organically sourced nitrogen sequestered in sedimentary rocks through biologic activity and sedimentation (Boyd, 2001). Although stratovolcanoes
and their products dominate the South Lipez landscape of the Altiplano (Avila-Salinas, 1991; de Silva and Francis, 1991; Richter et al., 1992), the volcanic rocks have likely overlain (Ludington et al., 1975) or incorporated (Risacher and Alonso, 2001) Cretaceous and Early Tertiary clastic to evaporitic sediments. In particular, the Cretaceous El Molino Formation is known to contain several organic-rich units with TOC up to 4.2% (Camoin et al., 1991; Blanc-Valleron et al., 1994). Unfortunately, it remains unclear whether the El Molino Formation extends in the South Lipez region. Alternatively, this region being close to the subduction zone of the Nazca plate below the South American plate (with the slab located at a depth of ~150-km below the Altiplano; Hoke et al., 1994; Kay and Coira, 2009), organic carbon and nitrogen may be remobilized from the slab by metamorphism (Gorman et al., 2006) and transported through primary melts into crustal intrusions. This hypothesis is supported by the high concentrations of Li associated with light δ⁷Li recorded in volcanic lava flows surrounding Laguna Pastos Grandes (compared with MORB composition representing the upper mantle, δ⁷Li = 3.7‰ Misra et al., 2012), which can only be interpreted as the addition of highly-negative δ⁷Li slab-derived fluids component to the mantle wedge beneath our studied area (Fig. 8; Chan et al., 2002). Boron is also highly concentrated in the volcanic rocks of the area (30-144 ppm) and could derive from the same source as the Li. However, δ¹¹B values, between -14 and -8‰ are more negative than the lowest value of the slab-derived fluids predicted for the Andes (> -2.8‰; Rosner et al., 2003). B is more volatile than Li and light δ¹¹B values are commonly observed in magmatic rocks (Chaussidon and Albarède, 1992) due to magma degassing effects, which produce ¹¹B depletion in the residual melt (Jiang and Palmer, 1998).

5.2 Hydrological history of spring water

The question of the origin of spring waters in Andean salars has been examined in previous studies (Risacher and Fritz, 1991; Risacher et al., 2003; Risacher and Fritz, 2009). Risacher et al. (2003) proposed that saline lakes are continuously leaking through their bottom sediments, feeding most of the dissolved components to groundwater. In this case, the relative rate of infiltration and meteoric water recharge would control the lake’s salinity and the concentration of conservative components in the system (Sanford and Wood, 1991). We thus used the isotopic composition of the water to determine the origin (and the evolution) of the spring waters in Laguna Pastos Grandes. Spring waters lie on the local Ground and Spring Water Line (LGSWL) with slightly more positive δ¹⁸O and δ²H values than rainfall, implying evaporative enrichment in both ¹⁸O and ²H of the meteoric water prior to infiltration at high altitude (Fig. 4b; Bershaw et al., 2016). Another explanation for this enrichment could be the loss of vapor during the ascent of thermal fluids from the reservoir to the surface (Cortecce et al., 2005). The hydrothermal springs are thus genetically linked to the meteoric waters. The Piedmont thermal source, which is the coldest spring (32 °C), less saline than others, and located on the border of the laguna, shows more negative δ¹⁸O and δ²H values, suggesting a dilution of thermal spring water by meteoric water (Fig. 4). By comparison, the brine of the laguna is very enriched in ¹⁸O and ²H, ruling out the hypothesis of an important recharge of the springs by infiltration of the laguna brine. The Cl/Br values of spring waters are also not consistent with a dilution of recycled brines by mixing with groundwater or meteoric water (Fig. 5c). It suggests that the chemistry of the spring waters more likely derives from the alteration of the bedrock minerals.
5.3 Volcanic bedrock alteration at high temperature and pCO$_2$

$\delta^7$Li and Na/K geothermometers applied to spring waters indicate that the meteoric water reached a maximum temperature of 200-250 °C after infiltration. Due to the high mantle-derived CO$_2$ flux delivered in thermal springs, the estimated pCO$_2$ in these waters (between 3 and 389 mbar estimated by PHREEQC; Table 2) spans the range and even exceeds the pCO$_2$ in spring waters from both the Altiplano and the Western Cordillera with 40 – 120, and 10 – 340 mbar, respectively (Morteani et al., 2014). This CO$_2$ enrichment can acidify thermal waters to a pH ~5 and give groundwater the ability to dissolve the bedrock minerals and acquire a large enrichment in solute load and especially in Ca. To test this hypothesis, we performed a series of PHREEQC modeling to determine the water composition resulting from the alteration of the volcanic bedrock with possible evaporites (halite, gypsum and anhydrite) from a meteoric water at 200, 225 and 250 °C with different CO$_2$ partial pressures and compared the results with the average spring water composition (Table A4; Fig. 7).

The best results for Ca, Mg and K concentrations in spring waters were obtained by the alteration of volcanic minerals at a temperature of 225 °C, which is the average temperature determined using geothermometers, and 20 bar of CO$_2$ (Fig. 7). This amount of CO$_2$ is compatible with the proximity of an ancient pre-eruptive magma reservoir of the volcano at a depth of ~3 km as suggested by de Silva and Kay (2018). Under these conditions, the main source of Ca in the water is the dissolution of Ca-rich felspars rather than anhydrite (Table A4). These results are corroborated by Sr isotope data, which suggest that local meteoric waters interact with the host volcanic rocks (dacite, andesite and rhyolitic ignimbrite) rather than with ancient marine carbonates (Fig. 6a). Similarly, thermal waters and the laguna brine show $\delta^7$Li and $\delta^{11}$B values not far from those of the surrounding volcanic rocks (Fig. 6b). $\delta^7$Li values measured in waters are on average 8‰ higher than those measured in the surrounding rocks. This shift has been commonly observed in hydrothermal systems (e.g., von Strandmann et al., 2006; Godfrey et al., 2013) and experimental works showed that the difference between the $\delta^7$Li values of the water and weathered volcanic rocks must be due to equilibrium isotopic fractionation at high temperature ($\Delta^7$Li between 9.5‰ at 200 °C and 6.7‰ at 250 °C; Millot et al., 2010). The average 7‰ positive $\delta^{11}$B shift between springs and volcanic rocks can be explained either by adsorption of B on mineral surfaces during the ascent and cooling of hydrothermal fluid to the spring vent or by the preferential incorporation of light B during carbonate precipitation.

The water chemistry obtained with these simulations is over-saturated with different carbonate minerals (SI$_{calcite}$=1.23, SI$_{dolomite}$=3.03, SI$_{magnesite}$=2.02), which supports the hypothesis deduced from CO$_2$/$^3$He and $\delta^{13}$C data that carbonate could have precipitated during fluid ascent. The higher Si concentration obtained in our simulations compared with those measured in spring waters together with the low temperature given by the SiO$_2$ geothermometer also indicate precipitation of silica during fluid ascent. The simulations suggest, however, that the salinity of the spring water is most probably delivered by the dissolution of halite deposits. This interpretation is confirmed by the homogeneous $\delta^{37}$Cl values of the springs of 0.25±0.03‰ on average (n=12; Table A3), which are close to the $\delta^{37}$Cl value expected for the precipitation of halite in equilibrium with seawater at 0‰ and 25 °C ($\delta^{37}$Cl = 0.30‰; Eggenkamp et al., 1995, 2016). It indicates that over time, multiple cycles of precipitation and dissolution of halite without new inputs of Cl to the laguna have
homogenized the Cl isotopic composition of the laguna brine and salts. Therefore, we can assume that Na and Cl derive from ancient marine halite deposits, which could have formed during the last Cenomanian-Turonian marine period. In the studied area, these deposits, which have been remobilized in the continental overlying Cretaceous and Tertiary deposits (Deconinck et al., 2000), could have been either overlain by volcanic rocks or incorporated by volcanic eruptions as suggested by Risacher and Alonso (2001) for gypsum. This is also consistent with Cl and Na concentrations in these waters, which display a covariant trend close to equimolarity, typical of halite leaching (Fig. A3).

5.4 How is Laguna Pastos Grandes unique?

Ca:alkalinity molar ratios of the different waters of Laguna Pastos Grandes show that only the thermal springs have the potential to precipitate calcite (Fig. 5b). Stream waters and mixed sources (Piedmont) are too diluted to contribute to the growth of the carbonate platform, and the laguna brine, which derives from the spring waters (as suggested by Sr, chemical and isotopic data; Figs. 4, 5, 6) has been depleted in carbonate relative to Ca. Most of the salars in the Central Andes are fed by thermal spring inflows (60% in Bolivia and 78% in Chile) enriched in solutes compared with streams and regional groundwater (Table 5; Risacher and Fritz, 1991; Risacher et al., 2011). However, modern carbonate is absent or minor in these systems and generally precipitated as the first mineral of a more developed evaporitic sequence (Risacher and Fritz, 2009). Laguna Pastos Grandes is unique in this respect, as it displays a ~40 km² recent-to-modern carbonate platform spatially associated with thermal waters. These waters not only show a remarkable mantle influence on temperature and gas composition but also one of the highest enrichments in solutes of the Central Andes with an average salinity of 14 g/l (Fig. 8; Table 5). This could be indicative of the significant alteration rate of the hydrothermal system of Laguna Pastos Grandes compared with the other salars due to the high flow of CO₂ gas from the mantle. Moreover, the singularity of this laguna is also likely related to a particular mineralogy of the volcanic bedrock since calcic-type salars are limited in the Andean region. For example, Chilean volcanic rocks are known to be particularly enriched in sulfides (Risacher and Alonso, 2001) and could be responsible for the genesis of a majority of sulfate-type salars (65%) rather than calcic-type salars.

6. Conclusion and perspectives

To the first order, Bolivian and Chilean salars belong to the same climatic, geologic and hydrologic environment (Risacher and Fritz, 2009). Nevertheless, they present a great diversity of chemistry and salt types, which result from local variations in fluid sources and climate parameters. We have shown in this study that the western part of Laguna Pastos Grandes, characterized by abundant recent-to-modern carbonate deposits, is mainly fed by thermal spring water with minor contributions of rainfall and surrounding streams, all of meteoric origin. These spring waters show Ca²⁺(aq) and CO₃²⁻ in sufficient concentrations to be over-saturated with calcite. Both solutes can originate from the alteration of the volcanic bedrock by highly CO₂-enriched fluids heated at ~225 °C. The magmatic mantle-derived CO₂ and the particularly high heat flow that drive the fluid circulation to the surface are probably due to a shallow pre-eruptive magma chamber as suggested by de Silva et al. (2006) and de Silva and Kay (2018) (i.e., at a typical depth of ~5 km; Fig. 8). This configuration thus fulfills all the essential criteria to provide solutes and precipitate large volumes of carbonates in comparison with most other modern Andean salars.
This configuration is not exclusive to the Andean geodynamic system and can be found in many continental extensional settings (e.g., in Afar) where we posit, by analogy, that the same mechanisms act as key factors in the genesis of continental carbonate deposits in pure volcanic provinces. The main components of this favorable configuration would be, as identified in this study, a deep source of CO$_2$ and the Ca-rich volcanic basement allowing the following processes to occur:

i. Alteration of a sulfide-poor volcanic bedrock containing Ca-enriched minerals (usually feldspars) at high pCO$_2$ and high temperature, leading to cationic enrichment of the waters and producing calcic type hydrothermal waters.

ii. When the hydrothermal waters emerge at the surface as springs and encounter the much lower atmospheric levels of pCO$_2$, CO$_2$ is massively degassed leading to a pH increase. These concomitant processes drive the solution to precipitate carbonates. CO$_2$ loss may also be driven by photosynthesis.

iii. High evaporation rates at the surface, increasing the solute concentrations above calcite saturation.

Laguna Pastos Grandes is, therefore, a unique natural laboratory that shows a great diversity of carbonate facies (including pisoliths, ooids, muds and microbialites; Risacher and Eugster, 1979; Jones and Renaut, 1994; Bougeault et al., 2019), some of them remarkably similar to ancient equivalents such as Cretaceous Presalt carbonates (Terra et al., 2010; Tosca and Wright, 2015; Muniz and Bosence, 2015). This laguna could, therefore, be further used to trace the biotic and/or abiotic controls at play in the formation of these carbonate deposits. Indeed, microbial mats and thin, dark, mucilaginous films locally coating the pool floors and pisolith surfaces in Laguna Pastos Grandes (described by Jones and Renaut, 1994 and Bougeault et al., 2019) might also contribute to the carbonate precipitation (Pace et al., 2018; Gomez et al., 2018). Comprehensive geochemical, mineralogical and biodiversity studies are underway on the whole carbonate platform of Pastos Grandes to characterize the link between physicochemical parameters, microbial communities and the diversity of carbonate fabrics observed. These results will provide clues to identify the biosignatures in modern microbialites and enable us to further interpret the sedimentary record on our planet and beyond.
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Figure Captions

Fig. 1: a. Topographic map of Central Andes showing the location of Laguna Pastos Grandes among the main Chilean and Bolivian salars (in white); b. Zoom into the geological context of Laguna Pastos Grandes (modified from Bougeault et al., 2019); satellite image provided by Zoom Earth website, © 2018 Microsoft Corporation Earthstar Geographics SIO; c. View of the carbonate platform with partially immersed calcitic pisoliths.

Fig. 2: Location of water and gas sampling in streams (blue stars), thermal springs (red stars) and of the brine in one of the sustainable lakes (yellow star) in Laguna Pastos Grandes (Bolivia). The location of volcanic rock samples is also shown with black dots. The four thermal springs (images 1-4) flow up through the recent carbonate platform developing at the west of the laguna. The arrows point to the bubbling gas in water basins, except for "El Gigante" where gas and water have separate vents. Ign.: Ignimbrite; Dac.: Dacite; And.: Andesite.

Fig. 3: Relative abundance of air-normalized isotope composition of noble gas ($^{4}$He, $^{20}$Ne, $^{36}$Ar, $^{40}$Ar/$^{36}$Ar and $^{3}$He/$^{4}$He) in thermal springs El Ojo Verde, La Rumba, La Salsa and El Gigante. Normalization values used as references are 295.5 for $^{40}$Ar/$^{36}$Ar ratio (Nier, 1950) and 1.39x10$^{-6}$ for $^{3}$He/$^{4}$He ratio (Ozima and Podosek, 2002). Helium isotope ratios are corrected for the effects of ASW (Air saturated Water) contamination in hydrothermal system and are equivalent to Rc/Ra.

Fig. 4: Plot of $^{18}$O versus $^{2}$H for Pastos Grandes waters. Plot b is focused on thermal springs (in red) and cold streams (in blue) in comparison with a rainfall sample (in white) collected at the same altitude during the wet season in March 2017. Two samples of snow (grey) were also collected during the dry season in January 2016. Data of rainfall and snow are presented in Table A2. The composition of the lake brine (in yellow) of Pastos Grandes is compared with the other Andean salars (in black, from this study and Boschetti et al., 2007; Table A2). The Local Meteoric Water Line (LMWL; Chaffaut et al., 1998) and the Local Ground- and Spring-Water Line (LGSWL; Rissmann et al., 2015) for the South-Central Andes are also shown.

Fig. 5: Chemical compositions of Laguna Pastos Grandes water sources (thermal springs in red/orange and streams in blue) and lake brine (in yellow). a. Schoeller diagram showing relative concentrations in solutes; b. Plot of Ca versus Alkalinity (mM); c. Plot of Cl concentration versus Cl/Br. Data from this study are reported with dots and previous data with squares (Ballivian and Risacher, 1981; Hurlbert and Chang, 1984; Risacher and Fritz, 1991; Jones and Renaut, 1994).

Fig. 6: Sr, Li and B isotopic compositions of Laguna Pastos Grandes water sources (thermal springs in red and streams in blue) and lake brine (in yellow) compared with andesite, dacite (this study), and rhyolitic ignimbrite (this study and Kaiser, 2014) from the Pastos Grandes caldera. a. $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr vs Sr concentration (mg/l) data compared with Cenomanian-Turonian marine carbonates (McArthur et al., 1994) representing the last marine sedimentary sequence in the central Andes region and modern marine evaporites (Pierret et al., 2001). Mean values in Andean Central Volcanic Zone (CVZ) dacite (D) and andesite
(A) are also shown for comparison (Cortecci et al., 2005); b. $\delta^{11}$B vs $\delta^7$Li data compared with modern seawater (Boschetti et al., 2017) and rocks from the Andean volcanic arc (Chan et al., 2002; Rosner et al., 2003).

Fig. 7: Comparison of the water composition obtained for the volcanic bedrock weathering at 200 (blue), 225 (red) and 250 °C (green) with increasing pCO$_2$ from 10 bar in light color to 100 bar in dark color. The average spring water is in black.

Fig. 8: Conceptual model of modern carbonate precipitation in relation to the sources of water and gas in Laguna Pastos Grandes. Violet-blue arrows refer to hydrothermal circulations. See the conclusion for details. Data for boron isotopes are from De Hoog and Savov (2018) for the Altered Oceanic Crust (AOC) and marine sediments and Marschall (2018) for the mantle. Data for lithium isotopes are from Chan and Kastner (2000) for subducted sediments, Moriguti and Nakamura (1998) and Chan et al. (1992) for AOC and Misra et al. (2012) for the mantle. Data for nitrogen isotopes are from Ader et al. (2016) for sediments and Sano et al. (1998) for the crust. Data for carbon isotopes are from Hoefs (1980) for sedimentary organic carbon and Pineau and Javoy (1983) for mantle-derived signature. Rc/Ra data are from Hoke et al (1994). Other data are from this study.
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**PHREEQC modeling:**

The mineralogical composition of the volcanic rocks sampled around the Laguna Pastos has been determined by DRX as:

- Dacite: alkali and potassium feldspar, quartz, biotite
- Andesite: alkali feldspar, biotite, olivine, amphibole
- Ignimbrite: alkali and potassium feldspar, quartz, biotite, amphibole

As numerous of these minerals are not available in the Thermoddem database, we performed the PHREEQC modeling with the selected minerals as follows:

**Solution 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units</th>
<th>mmol/l</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>temp</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equilibrium_phases 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Quartz(alpha)</em></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sanidine</em></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Albite(low)</em></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Anorthite</em></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pargasite</em></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Anhydrite</em></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>CO2(g)</em></td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Halite</em></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

End
Figure A1. Photography and illustrating schema of bubbling gas sampling method.

Figure A2. Air-normalized helium R/Ra values plotted against He/Ne ratios of gas samples from Laguna Pastos Grandes compared with other Andean sources (Hoke et al., 1994).
Mixing lines between the three main sources of helium (crustal CRUST, mantle MORB and air saturated water ASW) are also represented.

![Figure A3](image)

Figure A3. Relations between chloride and sodium for Pastos Grandes brines (in yellow) and water sources as a function of temperature: thermal spring >35 °C in red, <32 °C in orange and cold stream <15 °C in blue. Na-Cl compositions are compared with other Bolivian salars (pale triangles; Risacher and Fritz, 1991). Data from this study are reported with dots and previous data with squares (Ballivian and Risacher, 1981; Hurlbert and Chang, 1984; Risacher and Fritz, 1991; Jones and Renaut, 1994).

Table A1. Detailed nitrogen and carbon isotopic analysis of gas samples from Laguna Pastos Grandes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>$\delta^{15}$N (%)</th>
<th>1σ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PG100</td>
<td>20/07/2017</td>
<td>GC-IRMS on steel tube</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG100</td>
<td>20/07/2017</td>
<td>GC-IRMS on steel tube</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG112</td>
<td>20/07/2017</td>
<td>GC-IRMS on steel tube</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG112</td>
<td>20/07/2017</td>
<td>GC-IRMS on steel tube</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG112</td>
<td>07/02/2018</td>
<td>GC-IRMS on exetainer</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG116</td>
<td>07/02/2018</td>
<td>GC-IRMS on exetainer</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG117</td>
<td>19/07/2017</td>
<td>GC-IRMS on steel tube</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG117</td>
<td>19/07/2017</td>
<td>GC-IRMS on steel tube</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG117</td>
<td>19/07/2017</td>
<td>GC-IRMS on steel tube</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG117</td>
<td>19/07/2017</td>
<td>GC-IRMS on steel tube</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG117</td>
<td>19/07/2017</td>
<td>GC-IRMS on steel tube</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG117</td>
<td>19/07/2017</td>
<td>GC-IRMS on steel tube</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Method</td>
<td>$\delta^{13}$C (‰)</td>
<td>1σ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG100</td>
<td>14/06/2017</td>
<td>GC-C-IRMS</td>
<td>-11.36</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG100</td>
<td>14/06/2017</td>
<td>GC-C-IRMS</td>
<td>-11.32</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG100</td>
<td>14/06/2017</td>
<td>GC-C-IRMS</td>
<td>-11.31</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG100</td>
<td>20/07/2017</td>
<td>GC-IRMS</td>
<td>-10.73</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG100</td>
<td>20/07/2017</td>
<td>GC-IRMS</td>
<td>-11.27</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG112</td>
<td>14/06/2017</td>
<td>GC-C-IRMS</td>
<td>-11.31</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG112</td>
<td>14/06/2017</td>
<td>GC-C-IRMS</td>
<td>-11.24</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG112</td>
<td>14/06/2017</td>
<td>GC-C-IRMS</td>
<td>-11.26</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG112</td>
<td>20/07/2017</td>
<td>GC-IRMS</td>
<td>-11.11</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG112</td>
<td>20/07/2017</td>
<td>GC-IRMS</td>
<td>-11.24</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG116</td>
<td>14/06/2017</td>
<td>GC-C-IRMS</td>
<td>-11.25</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG116</td>
<td>14/06/2017</td>
<td>GC-C-IRMS</td>
<td>-11.08</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG116</td>
<td>14/06/2017</td>
<td>GC-C-IRMS</td>
<td>-11.05</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG116</td>
<td>07/02/2018</td>
<td>GC-IRMS</td>
<td>-11.00</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG116</td>
<td>07/02/2018</td>
<td>GC-IRMS</td>
<td>-11.49</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG116</td>
<td>07/02/2018</td>
<td>GC-IRMS</td>
<td>-11.43</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG117</td>
<td>14/06/2017</td>
<td>GC-C-IRMS</td>
<td>-11.06</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG117</td>
<td>19/07/2017</td>
<td>GC-IRMS</td>
<td>-10.85</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table A2.** Stable isotope compositions of Andean salars water, rainfall and snow from the region of Pastos Grandes (from this study* and Boschetti et al., 2007).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\delta^{18}$O (±0.1‰, 1s)</th>
<th>$\delta^{2}$D (±0.8‰, 1s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chaxa lagoon</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>-30.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miñique lagoon</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscanti lagoon</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uyuni*</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>-26.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainfall*</td>
<td>-16.3</td>
<td>-112.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snow 1*</td>
<td>-6.8</td>
<td>-30.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snow 2*</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table A3. δ\textsuperscript{37}Cl analyses of water samples from Laguna Pastos Grandes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>δ\textsuperscript{37}Cl (%o)</th>
<th>1σ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>La Salsa 1</td>
<td>0.308</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Salsa 2</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Salsa 3</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Salsa 4</td>
<td>0.260</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Salsa 5</td>
<td>0.234</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Salsa 6</td>
<td>0.292</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Salsa 7</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Rumba 1</td>
<td>0.227</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Rumba 2</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Rumba 3</td>
<td>0.234</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Ojo Verde 1</td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Ojo Verde 2</td>
<td>0.252</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.251</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.032</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table A4. Results of PHREEQC modeling. Alb. Albite(low); Anh. Anhydrite; An. Anorthite; Hal. Halite; Par. Pargasite; Qtz. Quartz(alpha); San. Sanidine

| pCO₂ (bar) | Alb. | Anh. | An. | CO₂(g) | Hal. | Par. | Qtz | San. | C     | Ca     | Cl     | K     | Mg     | Na     | S     | Si     |
|------------|------|------|-----|--------|------|------|-----|------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--------|
| 10         | 4.9E-2 | -5.0E-4 | -1.7E-2 | -1.0E-1 | -2.4E-1 | -1.4E-3 | -7.6E-2 | -1.1E-2 | 1.0E-1 | 2.0E-2 | 2.4E-1 | 1.1E-2 | 5.6E-3 | 1.9E-1 | 5.0E-4 | 5.4E-3 |
| 20         | 5.0E-2 | -5.3E-4 | -1.6E-2 | -2.0E-1 | -2.4E-1 | -2.4E-3 | -7.6E-2 | -1.1E-2 | 2.0E-1 | 2.1E-2 | 2.4E-1 | 1.1E-2 | 9.8E-3 | 1.9E-1 | 5.3E-4 | 5.4E-3 |
| 40         | 5.2E-2 | -5.7E-4 | -1.4E-2 | -3.9E-1 | -2.4E-1 | -4.4E-3 | -7.4E-2 | -1.1E-2 | 3.9E-1 | 2.3E-2 | 2.4E-1 | 1.1E-2 | 1.8E-1 | 1.9E-1 | 5.7E-4 | 5.4E-3 |
| 60         | 5.4E-2 | -6.0E-4 | -1.2E-2 | -5.8E-1 | -2.4E-1 | -6.3E-3 | -7.2E-2 | -1.1E-2 | 5.8E-1 | 2.5E-2 | 2.4E-1 | 1.1E-2 | 2.5E-2 | 1.9E-1 | 6.0E-4 | 5.3E-3 |
| 80         | 5.5E-2 | -6.3E-4 | -9.9E-3 | -7.6E-1 | -2.4E-1 | -8.1E-3 | -6.9E-2 | -1.1E-2 | 7.6E-1 | 2.7E-2 | 2.4E-1 | 1.1E-2 | 3.2E-2 | 1.9E-1 | 6.3E-4 | 5.3E-3 |
| 100        | 5.7E-2 | -6.6E-4 | -7.8E-3 | -9.6E-1 | -2.4E-1 | -1.0E-2 | -6.7E-2 | -1.1E-2 | 9.6E-1 | 2.9E-2 | 2.4E-1 | 1.1E-2 | 4.0E-2 | 1.9E-1 | 6.6E-4 | 5.3E-3 |

1368 | 1370 | 1371 | 1372 |