<u>This manuscript is a preprint</u> and has not undergone peer-review. Please note that subsequent versions of this manuscript may have different content. If accepted, the final version of this manuscript will be available via the 'Peer-reviewed Publication DOI' link on the right-hand side of this webpage. Please feel free to contact any of the authors, we welcome feedback!

1	Transformation of dense shelf water cascade into turbidity
2	currents: insights from high-resolution geophysical datasets
3	Nan Wu <sup>1*</sup> , Guangfa Zhong <sup>1</sup> , Yakufu Niyazi <sup>2</sup> , Biwen Wang <sup>1</sup> , Harya D. Nugraha <sup>3</sup> ,
4	Michael J. Steventon <sup>4</sup>
5	<sup>1</sup> State Key Laboratory of Marine Geology, Tongji University, 1239 Siping Road,
6	Shanghai, 200092, China
7	<sup>2</sup> Minderoo-UWA Deep-Sea Research Centre, School of Biological Sciences and UWA
8	Oceans Institute, The University of Western Australia, Perth, WA 6009, Australia
9	<sup>3</sup> Center for Sustainable Geoscience, Universitas Pertamina, Jakarta, 12220, Indonesia
10	<sup>4</sup> Shell Research, Shell Centre, London, SE1 7NA, UK
11	*Email: <u>nanwu@tongji.edu.cn</u>
12	
13	ABSTRACT
14	Dense shelf water cascade (DSWC) is a common oceanographic phenomenon on many
15	continental shelves. Previous studies indicate that the DSWC could shape seabed
16	physiography and carry seawater, sediment, and organic carbon a long distance from
17	the continental shelf to the basin floor. However, it remains enigmatic how these
18	DSWC's interact with seabed geomorphology and travel long distances from the
19	shallow to deep marine environments. In this study, we employed high-resolution
20	multibeam bathymetry, 2D and 3D seismic reflection, core description, and sediment
21	grain size data from the Gippsland Basin, southeast offshore Australia. The continental
າາ	shalf of the sector of Created Decision and increase a shalf the share shalf

23 transported DSWC. By calculating the sediment motion threshold, we demonstrate that the DSWC is capable of entraining sediment from, and forming dense bottom 24 25 nepheloid layers above, the seabed. Seismic reflection data reveal that cyclic steps are 26 common on the shelf and slope, indicating a downslope-transported, supercritical current-dominated environment. Core observation and grain size analyses reveal that 27 coarse-grained, Ta-typed turbidites are the major facies, indicating the presence of 28 29 high-intensity downslope-traversing turbidity currents. Thus, supercritical turbidity currents are the dominant sedimentary process in the central Gippsland Basin. We 30 31 illuminate that DSWC can interact with pre-existing seabed bathymetry created by a 32 buried submarine landslide, resuspending sediment and igniting downslopetransported turbidity currents. The presence of numerous cyclic steps indicates that 33 34 the turbidity current can evolve into a supercritical regime upon ignition, leaving 35 complex seabed geomorphology and allowing the forming currents to travel across the shelf and extend more than 80 km down the lower slope. As revealed by our literature 36 37 review, we imply that the transformation of DSWC into turbidity currents should be a 38 common sedimentary process on outer continental shelves globally, significantly sculpting the seabed morphology and facilitating sediment and other marine particles 39 40 transportation from shallow to deep sea.

Keywords: Dense shelf water cascade (DSWC), Current transformation, Turbidity current initiation, Gippsland Basin

43

44 **1. INTRODUCTION** 

45 Along the continental shelves, seasonal evaporation during summer and cooling during winter can generate a cross-shelf density gradient that drives denser seawater 46 47 transport seawards along the seabed (Ivanov et al., 2004; Canals et al., 2006). This process is defined as a dense shelf water cascade (hereafter DSWC). The DSWC is a 48 climate-driven oceanographic phenomenon prominent throughout the tropical to the 49 high-latitude continental margins (Figure 1A; Ivanov et al., 2004; Amblas and 50 51 Dowdeswell, 2018; Mahjabin et al., 2020; Gales et al., 2021). The DSWC has been 52 repeatedly measured and well-studied by both long-term and high-frequency in situ 53 measurements in physical oceanography observations (i.e. Canals et al., 2006; Puig et al., 2008; Canals et al., 2009). Once the DSWC is initiated, it sinks and overflows the 54 outer shelf area under the influence of gravity, cascading downslope until it reaches 55 56 its density equilibrium depth (also known as neutral density level; Figure 1B) 57 (Fohrmann et al., 1998; Canals et al., 2009). Results indicate the DSWC can travel more than 10,000 km along the coastline and descends more than 1000 m down the slope 58 59 and eventually flooding the basin floor (Figure 1B; Ivanov et al., 2004; Canals et al., 60 2009; Mahjabin et al., 2020). When transported along the shelf, DSWC can travel at a high speed (i.e. 1.2 m/s) and is highly erosive (Canals et al., 2006; Puig, 2017). For 61 62 example, the DSWC can dislodge a c. 400 kg anchor at least 3 km away from its mooring 63 position, and polish the rusty iron of the train wheel very shiny through continuous 64 sandblasting associated with the powerful cascading currents (Puig et al., 2008).

65

66 The DSWC can affect a large portion of the seabed, induce erosion and deposition, and

generate bottom nepheloid layers (zones) that contain significant amounts of 67 suspended sediments and subsequently produce fast travelling gravity flows (Figure 68 69 1B; Canals et al., 2006; Puig, 2017). At specific locations, canyons are often the major conduits and determine the paths and spreading conditions for the DSWC (Canals et 70 al., 2006; Morrison et al., 2020; Gales et al., 2021). The DSWC has proved to be an 71 effective seabed-sculpting agent and is capable of transferring large amounts of water 72 73 and heat, sediments, organic carbon, marine pollutants and nutrients from the shallow 74 marine to the deep ocean (Canals et al., 2006; Puig et al., 2008; Canals et al., 2009). 75 Therefore, the DSWC plays an important role in global deep-ocean circulation, 76 sediment source-to-sink, earth's climate system, and carbon and biogeochemical cycles (Amblas and Dowdeswell, 2018). 77

78

79 Despite the extensive existing literature, some important questions remain to be addressed. Firstly, the process of how DSWC produces gravity flows and shape seabed 80 81 geomorphology is still poorly understood (Canals et al., 2006; Talling, 2014). Secondly, 82 the reasons for the DSWC spreading over a considerable distance across the shelf and even reaching the lower slope remain unclear. Here we attempt to unravel these 83 84 important, yet under-explored aspects of DSWC, by presenting observations based on 85 high-resolution bathymetric multibeam, seismic reflection, piston core and sediment grain size datasets from the offshore Gippsland Basin, Australia. The occurrence of the 86 87 DSWC has brought a large amount of sediment and resulted in extremely complex 88 seabed geomorphology in the central Gippsland Basin (Godfrey et al., 1980; Tomczak,

89 1985; Mitchell et al., 2007b). The complex seabed geomorphology reflects the action of a range of oceanographic and sedimentary processes at multiple spatiotemporal 90 91 scales. Therefore, the central region of the Gippsland Basin provides an ideal place to 92 investigate the remaining questions we raised above. We revealed that the DSWC can interact with pre-existing seabed depressions caused by deposited submarine 93 landslide, igniting turbidity currents and leaving erosional bedforms on the seabed. 94 95 We highlight that the transformation of the DSWC into turbidity currents is an underappreciated sedimentary process that should be common on outer continental 96 97 shelves globally. The transition from the DSWC to the turbidity current is crucial to 98 understanding the evolution of seabed geomorphology through time, as well as the mechanisms that account for the long-distance transportation of the DSWC under the 99 100 influence of dynamic oceanographic processes.

101

## 102 2. GEOLOGICAL SETTING

#### 103 **2.1 The Gippsland Basin**

The offshore Gippsland Basin is dominated by a cool-water carbonate system located on SE Australia's passive margin, between the mainland of Australia and Tasmania (Figures 2A, 2B; Rahmanian et al., 1990). It is one of Australia's most prolific hydrocarbon provinces, fisheries, and potential carbon storage, and holds a number of other potential marine resource applications (Rahmanian et al., 1990; Mitchell et al., 2007a; Mitchell et al., 2007b). The Gippsland Basin belongs to a series of rift basins formed along the southern margin of the Australian plate, due to the separation of

111 Antarctica and Australian continents during the breakup of Gondwana in the Mesozoic (Colwell et al., 1993). Since the Pleistocene, the Gippsland Basin has been detached 112 from major river sources, allowing the development of a cool water carbonate 113 114 province with minimal terrigenous input (Mitchell et al., 2007b). The margin of the Gippsland Basin is dominated by a c. 100 km wide embayment, and the SE margin of 115 the basin is floored by c. 120 km long and 15-70 km wide, ESE-trending Bass Canyon 116 117 system (Figures 2A, 2B). The Bass Canyon is one of the world's largest submarine canyons and constitutes the SE boundary of the Gippsland Basin (Mitchell et al., 118 119 2007b). The Bass Canyon has acted as a major conduit and key element in the source-120 to-sink system in the SE Australian area since the Late Cretaceous (approximately 80Ma; Hill et al., 1998). At present, it still transfers sediments, oxygen, nutrients, 121 122 pollutants, and organic matter from the canyon head to the Tasman Abyssal Plain at 123 almost 4500 m water depth (Figure 2B).

124

#### 125 **2.2 Climate and oceanography**

The Bass Strait is a shallow (water depth range from 40-60 m) coastal sea between mainland Australia and Tasmania, connecting the Great Australian Bight in the west and the Tasman Sea in the east (Figure 2A; Tomczak, 1985; Lavering, 1994). In winter, the shallow Bass Strait imposes a limit on the penetration of thermal convection, and as a consequence, Bass Strait seawater cools rapidly and has a higher salinity than that of the surface layer in the Tasman Sea (Lavering, 1994). Therefore, when seawater leaves the Bass Strait on its eastern side, it has a prominent density contrast against

133 the Tasman Sea water (Tomczak, 1985). As a consequence, cold, denser Bass Strait seawater can flow into and sink beneath the warmer, fresher water of the Gippsland 134 shelf, generating the northeast-flowing Bass Cascade Current (hereafter BCC) which 135 sinks to the 200-400 m isobaths and extends more than tens of kilometres (Figure 2B; 136 Godfrey et al., 1980; Li et al., 2005; Mitchell et al., 2007b). Observations from the 137 ocean bottom stations have revealed that the BCC is the densest seawater offshore SE 138 139 Australia, it is active every year and is with an average transport rate of 1.0 Sverdrups (Sv; 1Sv=10<sup>6</sup> m<sup>3</sup>/s) (Middleton and Bye, 2007). The transportation of BCC has 140 141 transported significant quantities of water and sediments and spread along the shelf 142 edge over a long distance (Boland, 1971). For example, distinctive temperature-salinity anomalies are found at 200-800 m depth in the Tasman Sea, most likely caused by Bass 143 144 Strait seawater penetration (Figure 2C; Boland, 1971). In the Gippsland Basin, the central continental shelf is dominated by the Westerly wind throughout the year 145 (especially in winter; Figure 2B; Li et al., 2005). The eastward-flowing Westerly wind 146 147 flows at 10-30 km/h with maximum gusts reaching 100 km/h. Therefore, the Westerly wind has created a moderate to high energy wave-dominated environment and a 148 robust NE-transported Ekman Transport Flow (ETF) in a water depth of c. 200-300 m 149 150 (Figure 2B; Mitchell et al., 2007a; O'Brien et al., 2018). The East Australia Current (EAC) 151 is a western boundary current that carries warm equatorial waters and flows 152 southward adjacent to Australia's southeast coast (Figure 2B, 2D). It is up to 500 m 153 deep and 100 km wide, occasionally extending far enough south to reverse the movement of water in the Gippsland Basin during summer months (Li et al., 2005). 154

Therefore, the combination of seasonal northward flowing BCC, the southward flowing
 EAC, and northeast flowing ETF have jointly controlled the oceanography and
 sedimentation along SE Australia's continental margin.

158

## 159 **3. DATASET AND METHODOLOGY**

The datasets available for this study include multibeam bathymetry data with a coverage area of c. 250,000 km<sup>2</sup>, 2D and 3D seismic reflection data with a coverage area of c. 1700 km<sup>2</sup>, with lithology control provided by six-piston core samples (Figures 2B, 3A).

164

## 165 **3.1 Multibeam bathymetry**

Multibeam bathymetry data for this study is sourced and can be downloaded from Geoscience Australia's Marine data portal (<u>http://marine.ga.gov.au</u>). The dataset is compiled from multiple bathymetric surveys and gridded at 50x50 m; hence, geomorphological features smaller than 50 m across cannot be differentiated. The multibeam bathymetry dataset covers the Gippsland Basin continental shelf, at around 200 m water depth, to the Tasman Sea Abyssal plain, at over 4000 m water depth (Figure 3A).

173

174 **3.2 Seismic data** 

175 We adopt two types of seismic reflection data provided by Geoscience Australia 176 (<u>http://www.ga.gov.au/nopims</u>): (i) A 2D regional seismic section which is up to c. 90 177 km long, therefore providing excellent coverage from Gippsland Basin shelf region to Bass Canyon abyssal plain (Figure 3C); and (ii) two 3D seismic reflection surveys (Elver 178 3D and Tuskfish 3D), which covered an area of c. 650 km<sup>2</sup> and 1050 km<sup>2</sup>, respectively 179 (Figure 2B). Both 3D seismic datasets are post-stack time-migrated and zero-phase 180 processed, and a downward decrease and increase in acoustic impedance are 181 expressed as blue (negative) and red (positive) seismic reflections, respectively. The 182 183 3D seismic surveys have a dominant frequency content of 70 hertz and an average seismic velocity of 1700 m/s near the seabed sediment, which gives an approximate 184 185 vertical resolution of c. 6 m for the near seabed sediments. The 3D seismic resolution is therefore sufficient to map the geometry of detailed seabed sedimentary and 186 structural features. We further extract the dip illumination seismic attribute (see 187 188 Appendix S1 for an explanation), from the 3D seismic dataset to determine the seabed geometries and geomorphology of the interpreted submarine deposits. 189

190

#### 191 **3.3 Piston Core and grain Size**

Comprehensive sediment sampling and piston cores collection was conducted from RV Franklin cruise in 1998 (FR11/98) (Exon et al., 2002). In this study, we adopted sixpiston cores in the continental shelf and slope areas over a water depth range of 200-2500 m. The detailed core descriptions and interpretations are compiled from (Mitchell et al., 2007b), which have provided lithological and sedimentary facies constraints for the study area. In addition, we analyzed seabed grain size distribution data from 13 locations, obtained from the Geoscience Australia Marine Sediment Database (https://portal.ga.gov.au). For the purpose of this current research, we analyzed the proportion of mud (<65  $\mu$ m), sand (between 65  $\mu$ m and 2 mm) and gravel (> 2mm) within each sampling locations.

202

#### 203 **3.4 Sediment incipient motion calculation**

To determine whether BCC can entrain and suspend sediments during transportation, 204 205 we calculate the critical condition for sediment incipient motion using the method proposed by Soulsby (1997). Soulsby (1997) equations resolve critical seabed shear 206 207 stress ( $\tau_{cr}$ ) and bottom shear stress due to currents ( $\tau_b$ ), if  $\tau_b < \tau_{cr}$ , the seabed sediments are immobile (i.e. no movement), if  $\tau_b > \tau_{cr}$ , the seabed sediments move 208 and could be suspended and transported (Soulsby, 1997). In continental shelf settings, 209 210 Soulsby (1997) method is widely applied and has been proved effective for quantifying the threshold of sediment motion under marine current environments (i.e. Villacieros-211 Robineau et al., 2019). 212

213

The  $\tau_{cr}$  of seabed composed mainly of cohesive sediments was calculated using Equations (1) - (3) from Soulsby (1997).

216 
$$\tau_{cr} = g\theta_{cr}(\rho_s - \rho_w)d$$
 (1)

217 
$$\theta_{cr} = 0.3 / (1 + 1.2D_*) + 0.055(1 - e^{-0.02D_*})$$
(2)

218 
$$D_* = [g(\rho_S - \rho_w) / (\rho_w v^2)]^{1/3} d$$
(3)

where *g* is gravitational acceleration, 9.81 m/s<sup>2</sup>;  $\rho_s$  is sediment density, 2,650 kg/m<sup>3</sup>;  $\rho_w$  is current density of BCC, 1,023.2 kg/m<sup>3</sup> according to Tomczak (1987); *d* 

is sediment grain size, ranging from 65  $\mu$ m to 2 mm on the continental shelf of Gippsland Basin (Figure 8D);  $\theta_{cr}$  is critical Shields parameter;  $D_*$  is dimensionless grain size parameter; v is kinematic viscosity for seawater, 1.212 × 10<sup>-6</sup> m<sup>2</sup>/s at 35 salinity and 15 °C (Luick et al., 1994).

225

The bottom shear stress  $\tau_b$  and the shear velocity  $u^*$  impacted by currents are calculated via the law of the wall, using Equations (4) - (5):

228 
$$u^* = kU(z) / \ln(z / z_0)$$
(4)

$$\tau_b = u^{*2} \rho_w \tag{5}$$

where U(z) is the current velocity measured at a depth of z meter above the seabed, we adopt 20 m of z and chose a current speed of 0.5–1.0 m/s as measured by Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (Luick et al., 1994); k is von Kármán constant, 0.40±0.02 (Bailey et al., 2014);  $z_0$  is reference height related to the seabed, for muddy seabed is estimated to be c. 0.2 mm (Soulsby, 1983).

235

#### 236 **4. RESULT**

We divide the Gippsland Basin into Northern, Central, and Southern regions based on geographical position and seabed morphology (Figure 3A, 3B). The continental shelf of the Central region extends seaward for approximately 70 km with an average dip of 0.8° then abruptly steepens to 8.8° in the slope (Figure 3C). The water depth of the Central region ranges from 0-500 m on the shelf and from 500-2000 m on the slope (Figure 3A). Below we describe the seabed geomorphology and the major sedimentary environments from the shelf to the slope in the Central region of the Gippsland Basin.

244

## 4.1 Seabed geomorphology of the shelf area

Observation: The Central region is characterized by an erosional seabed (Figures 4A, 246 4B). On the shelf, a set of north-trending scallop-shaped scarps have been observed 247 248 near the outer shelf area (Figure 4C). Seismic sections indicate the scallop-shaped 249 scarps show a clear truncation edge and erosional base surface (termed as basal shear surface), marking the boundary that differentiates the overlying undeformed strata 250 251 from the deformed sediments (Figures 5A, 5B). Downslope (eastward) to the scarps, a 252 series of sediment wave fields have been observed along the middle part of the outer shelf (Figure 4B, 4C). Further downslope, the sediment waves are dissected by a set of 253 254 irregular discontinuous concave-downslope scours that occur at the southwestern 255 part of the shelf (Figures 4B, 4C). In the seismic section, the scours range from 1.2-1.7 km in width, 1.7-3.4 km in length (spacing), from 80-200 m in depth, and with an 256 257 aspect ratio (wavelength/height) from 167-221 (Figure 5C). These scours are normally 258 characterized by truncated, steep lee sides and gentle, slightly upslope-dipping stoss sides (Figure 5C). Buried step-like bedforms are observed beneath seabed scours (see 259 260 insert figure in Figure 5C). The buried bedforms contain sub-parallel, relatively high-261 amplitude seismic reflections, and show upslope migration by erosion in the lee side and deposition in the stoss side (Figure 5C). 262

263

264 Further NE, three sets of scours aligned in distinctive or discontinuous channel-shaped

265 depressions have been observed in the centre part of the shelf (Figure 4C). The crests of these scours are consistently oriented approximately north-south, being confined 266 in the axis of channel-shaped morphology (Figure 4C). Seismic sections cutting along 267 268 the thalweg of the channel-shaped depressions show a series of bedforms that form a train of steps and stretch over a distance of 10-16 km (Figures 5D, 5E). These bedforms 269 range from 0.2-0.7 km in width, 0.9-1.2 km in wave length, 20-60 m in wave height, 270 271 and with aspect ratio from 46-167 (Figures 5D, 5E). A single bedform is characterized 272 by a steep scarp indicated by truncated seismic reflections that form the lee side 273 contrast with a gently, lower relief slope at the stoss side (Figures 5D, 5E).

274

Further NE of the shelf, at least two well-developed channels have been observed in 275 276 the eastern part of the shelf (Figure 4C). Nevertheless, these channels only extend to 277 the shelf break, and no clear erosions have been observed within the slope (Figures 4B, 4C). These channels vary from 2–10 km in width, and 100–325 m in depth (Figure 278 279 4B). They initially trend SSE and then sharply divert to the NE within a few kilometres 280 distance across the shelf break, and ultimately run to the slope after passing through 281 the shelf break (Figures 4B, 4C). A set of longitudinal lineations has been observed on 282 the southern flank of the channels (Figure 4C). These lineations are c. 8 km long, they 283 are evenly spaced and predominantly oriented parallel to the channel axis. In the seismic section, the longitudinal lineations show a stair-shaped cross-sectional 284 285 geometry and truncations (Figure 5F).

286

287 Interpretation: The scalloped scarps developed near the outer shelf indicate a gradual broadening over time is likely caused by slope failures (i.e. Lee and Chough, 2001). The 288 scalloped scarps are thus interpreted as headwall scarps associated with a buried 289 290 landslide (Figures 5A, 5B). The scours, scour trains, and channels are developed above the landslide's basal shear surface, suggesting the landslide is being deposited and 291 predate these bedforms (Figures 5C-F). The sediment wave fields developed within the 292 293 scarps are evident in the presence of downslope currents (i.e. Fildani et al., 2006). The asymmetrical cross-sectional geometry, large aspect ratio, and upslope migration 294 295 trend indicate the scours are erosional cyclic steps (or cyclic scours) that are carved by downslope flowing supercritical currents (Figure 5C; Fildani et al., 2006; Kostic, 2011). 296 Scours aligned within the channel template are interpreted as erosional cyclic step 297 298 trains, which may indicate an incipient channel formation (i.e. Taki and Parker, 2005; 299 Fildani et al., 2006; Fildani et al., 2013; Zhong et al., 2015). The buried step-like bedforms are interpreted as partially depositional cyclic steps, formed when sediment 300 301 erosion on the lee side is less than sediment deposition on the stoss side (Slootman 302 and Cartigny, 2020). The presence of the partially depositional cyclic steps suggests that the downslope flowing currents were active in the Central region for an extended 303 304 period of time.

305

306 The channel's diversion near the shelf edge could be a result of the Westerly wind-307 induced Ekman transport flow (ETF), which follows a NE-NNE direction, interacting 308 with the sedimentary systems along the edge of the continental shelf (Mitchell et al., 2007a). The EAC is less likely to contribute to the deviation of the channel axis, as it
separates from the coast approximately between 30°S and 32°S, splitting into eddydominated southern and eastern extensions (Cetina-Heredia et al., 2014; Oke et al.,
2019). The major eddies are anticlockwise, and therefore, the channel courses should
be diverted to the southeast direction, which is opposite to our observation.

314

The longitudinal lineations developed within the channels are interpreted as 315 sedimentary furrows similar to those observed in other submarine settings (i.e. Wynn 316 317 and Stow, 2002; Puig et al., 2008). Studies of furrows show that these features were 318 formed due to recurring, stable, and directional currents (i.e. turbidity currents) erosion through time (e.g. Flood, 1983; Puig et al., 2008). The presence of furrows in 319 320 this study suggests that the ambient downslope flowing currents may have strong and 321 persistent energy (Flood, 1983). The sole appearance of furrows on the channel's southern flank suggests that the downslope flowing currents preferential arrival across 322 323 the southern channel flank.

324

4.2 Seabed geomorphology of the slope area

**Observation:** Near the upper slope, gullies and landslide scarps are widely distributed on the slope between water depths 700 to 2000 m (Figure 6). The gullies extend several kilometres from the upper slope to the lower slope, terminating as the slope angle decreases and intersects with the Bass Canyon head (Figures 4B, 6). The gullies are straight and oriented to the dip direction of the slope, characterized by linear 331 morphology, rounded heads and narrow bodies in plain view (Figure 6). Small failures and slide scarps are evident within or around the edges of the gullies. In the seismic 332 section, these gullies are V-shaped, and have a relatively flat base reflection with clear 333 334 erosive truncation along the sidewalls (Figure 7A). The gully sidewalls have a relief (incision depth) of 110-230 m, and a width of 120-280 m (Figure 7A). The landslide 335 scarps roughly dip from NNE to SSW, with widths ranging from c. 4 km to 7km (Figure 336 337 6). In seismic sections, these scarps show a stair-shape, backward (i.e. landward) dipping geometry (Figure 7B). 338

339

Near the lower slope, scours that are aligned in train and parallel to the slope dip 340 341 direction have been observed within the gullies and on the inter-gully ridges (Figure 342 6). Seismic sections cutting along the thalweg of the scour trains show that they are characterized by steep and erosional lee sides and gentle stoss sides, similar to the 343 cyclic steps developed on the shelf (Figures 7B-D). These scours are 0.5-1.3 km in 344 345 wavelength, 9-19 m in wave height, and aspect ratio is from 12-40. They are best 346 developed near the lower slope, where the slope gradient drops from 9°-12° (near the upper slope) to 4°-7° (to the lower slope; Figures 7B-D). Further lower slope, giant 347 348 landslide scarps that distribute more than 30 km horizontally are observed near the 349 lowermost of the slope (Figure 6). In the seismic section, the scarps show clear truncations that separate the undeformed seabed (upslope) from the deformed 350 351 erosional seabed (downslope) (Figures 7B-D).

352

353 Interpretation: Near the upper slope, the step-shaped pattern of the scarps suggests a retrogressive failure mechanism of the landslides (Figure 7B; Wu et al., 2021). As the 354 landslide is located along the shelf edge, where cyclic wave loading can constantly 355 356 rework seabed sediments. This process may account for a potential trigger mechanism leading to slope failure (i.e. Marshall et al., 1978; Bea et al., 1983). The gullies clearly 357 incise into the landslides, suggesting that they post-date the slope failures (Figure 6). 358 359 The linear gullies are interpreted as the conduits for gravity flows to transport sediment to deeper waters (Micallef and Mountjoy, 2011; Lonergan et al., 2013). The 360 361 V-shaped head geometry indicates the origin of the gullies is associated with 362 downslope gravity-driven currents (i.e. debris flow and turbidity current; Farre et al., 1983; Gales et al., 2012). Successive small failures are exhibited on the gully ridges, 363 364 which is indicative of a gradual widening of the gullies (Post et al., 2022). The scour trains developed within the gullies and on the inter-gully ridges are interpreted as 365 cyclic steps, similar to their counterparts developed on the shelf (i.e. Fildani et al., 366 367 2006). The presence of cyclic steps suggests that the slope area is also a supercritical 368 flow regime-dominated environment, and the erosion by supercritical currents might play a role in the gully's initiation and evolution (i.e. Noormets et al., 2009; Gales et al., 369

371

370

2012).

Our observation suggests that cyclic steps are scarce on the upper slope, where the slope gradient is steeper (9°-12°), but prominent on the lower slope, where the slope gradient is relatively gentle (4°-7°) (Figures 7B-D). The discrepancy of the cyclic steps 375 on the upper slope can be explained as the higher slope gradient can cause the overflowing currents to have a faster velocity, thereby suppressing their ability to 376 377 decelerate and undergo internal hydraulic jumps (Kostic, 2011; Zhong et al., 2015). Due to the higher flow velocity (therefore more energetic), erosional scours and 378 truncations are common on the upper slope (Figures 7C, 7D). Further downslope, 379 cyclic steps preferentially form near the lower slope area (Figures 7B-D), suggesting 380 381 the transition from high slope gradients to low slope gradients could promote the formation of the cyclic steps (i.e. Covault et al., 2017; Fildani et al., 2021). The 382 383 construction of cyclic steps has led to the formation of local high topographies near the distal side of the lower slope (Figures 7B-D). These local topographic highs can 384 form 12°-22° slopes and range from 70-130 m high, leaving a series of spatially 385 386 evacuated accommodations near the distal edge of the lower slope (Figures 7B-D). These evacuated accommodations can reduce the lower slope's lateral confining 387 pressure, thus increasing seabed instability (Bull et al., 2009). This can be evidenced 388 389 by the giant submarine landslides occurring immediately adjacent to, and continuous 390 headwall scarps developing near the distal side of the local topographic highs (Figures 7B-D). Therefore, we indicate that the local topographic highs can act as landslide-391 392 susceptible structures that ultimately prime slope failures.

393

**4.3** Piston core and grain size analysis

395 **Observation:** Facies-1 can be observed from the shelf and slope (core #1-4 and 6;

Figure 4B). On the shelf, Facies-1 are observed within the headwall scarps of the buried

397 submarine landslide (Figure 4B). Facies-1 is normally graded, moderately to wellsorted, and contains coarse-grained sand (predominately near the lower part) with a 398 sharp top surface and an erosional base surface (Figure 8A). Facies-1 collected from 399 the slope area suggests this facies is internally structureless and contains shelf-400 restricted bioclasts (core #4 and 6; Figure 4B). Facies-2 can be observed from the 401 upper-lower slope (core #5 and 6; Figure 4B). Facies-2 contains sand- and silt-sized 402 403 bioclasts, quartz and siliciclastic clay. Core observation indicates it is poorly sorted, matrix-supported and often organic-rich (Figure 8B). It also has decimetre-thick 404 405 bedding with gradational contacts with bioturbation observed (Figure 8B).

406

There are significant differences in grain size distributions between sediment samples 407 408 collected outside (west) and within (east) the headwall scarps associated with the buried landslide (Figure 8C). Sediment samples collected upslope (west) of the 409 headwall scarps show fine-to-medium sand grain size, and the predominant particle 410 411 diameter is between 65  $\mu$ m and 2 mm (Figure 8C). In comparison, sediment sample 412 collected within the headwall scarps exhibits sharp grain size variations (Figure 8C). Specifically, the sediment has an average particle diameter exceeding 2 mm and 413 414 consists primarily of coarse-grained gravel.

415

Interpretation: The erosional base surface, coarse-grained, normally graded, and internally structureless nature of Facies-1 is a typical indicator of Bouma Ta-typed turbidites, which are primarily formed by down slope transported turbidity currents (Bouma, 1962). The abundance of shelf-restricted bioclasts observed from the slope
suggests these turbidites originated from the shelf. Therefore, we interpret Facies-1 as
turbidites formed by turbidity currents sourced from the continental shelf. The finegrained and organic-rich nature of Facies-2 suggests it is deposited under a low energy
condition. We interpret Facies-2 as representing the background slope environment
(Mitchell et al., 2007b).

425

BCC is the dominant oceanographic process on the shelf of the central Gippsland Basin 426 427 (Mitchell et al., 2007b), considering the minimum (c. 0.5 m/s) and maximum (c. 1.0 m/s) speed of the BCC (Luick et al., 1994), the sediment grain size that is smaller than 428 639  $\mu$ m and 2.036 mm would be motional, respectively (Figure 8D). The BCC is 429 430 therefore capable of entraining most sediment calibres from the seabed (Figure 8C) and of forming dense, bottom nepheloid layers during transportation, as suggested by 431 previous monitoring studies (i.e. Godfrey et al., 1986). The sudden increase in grain 432 433 size collected within the headwall scarps suggests a highly turbulent and energetic 434 flow that is capable of carrying coarse-grained sediments is active (Postma and Cartigny, 2014). Core analyses conducted in the same area indicate this highly 435 436 turbulent and energetic flow is downslope transported turbidity current. Thus, the significant change in grain size may be attributed to the transition from along-shelf 437 transported BCC to downslope transported turbidity current, and the transformation 438 439 process occurs adjacent to the headwall scarps of the buried landslide.

#### 440 **5. DISCUSSION**

# 441 5.1 Turbidity current: the dominant sedimentary process in central442 Gippsland Basin

The seismic interpretations reveal a continued presence of cyclic steps throughout the 443 outer shelf and slope areas (Figures 4C and 6), which indicate a continuing role of 444 downslope-transported supercritical currents in sculpting and remoulding the seabed 445 in the central Gippsland Basin (i.e. Fildani et al., 2006; Kostic, 2011; Zhong et al., 2015). 446 Published studies suggest that the overriding flow that creates cyclic steps is 447 supercritical currents with alternating transformation between supercritical and 448 subcritical flow through hydraulic jumps (i.e. Zhong et al., 2015; Covault et al., 2017; 449 Fildani et al., 2021). Core observation and grain size analyses have confirmed this 450 451 interpretation, as coarse-grained, Ta-typed turbidites are the major facies, indicating 452 the presence of high-intensity downslope-traversing turbidity currents (Figures 8A, 8C; Bouma, 1962). Additionally, recent publications indicate that Ta-typed turbidites can 453 454 be formed by hydraulic jump-related rapid sedimentation, often associated with high-455 energy supercritical turbidity currents (Figure 8A; i.e. Postma and Cartigny, 2014). Therefore, by combining the results from seismic interpretation, core observation, and 456 457 grain size analyses, we interpret that turbidity currents are an important sedimentary process in the central Gippsland Basin. 458

459

460 5.2 The initiation of turbidity current: transformation from the dense shelf
461 water cascade

The origin of turbidity currents has been attributed to three main processes, 462 transformation from the slope failures, hyperpycnal flows from onshore fluvial input 463 or subglacial meltwater, and oceanographic processes generated flows near the 464 shelf edge (Piper and Normark, 2009; Talling et al., 2013). In Gippsland Basin, the 465 Central region has been completely disconnected from onshore drainage systems 466 since the Pliocene (Mitchell et al., 2007b), and no modern submarine landslides (only 467 468 buried landslide; Figure 5B) are observed in the central shelf. Therefore, slope failures and onshore fluvial input cannot contribute to the initiation of turbidity currents. 469 470 Oceanographic processes including storms, tides, and internal waves may play a role in resuspending seabed sediments and igniting episodic flows. Nevertheless, as they 471 occur periodically in most cirmuestances and their influence is often multi-directional, 472 473 they thus lack the ability to generate recurring and stable currents. This contrasts with our observations, where the erosional features developed on the shelf are inferred to 474 reflect a recurring, directionally stable flow that is sufficiently strong to erode the 475 476 seabed (i.e. Figures 4C, 5C-F). BCC is the primary oceanographic process active on the 477 shelf of the Central Gippsland Basin (Mitchell et al., 2007b), and it could be a reasonable cause of turbidity currents. The following sections will examine the 478 479 processes involved in this current transformation and examine how turbidity currents can be maintained during transportation. 480

481

As BCC propagates along and cascades across the continental shelf of the Gippsland
 Basin, the sediment entraining process has allowed a density contrast near the bottom

of the BCC from the surrounding seawater, forming dense, bottom nepheloid layers 484 that hover above the seabed (Figures 9A, 9B; Godfrey et al., 1980; Mitchell et al., 485 2007b). An equilibrium condition could have remained when BCC flows within a 486 relatively smooth and flat (c. 0.8°) shelf region, until it flows into the area affected by 487 the pre-existing landslide. The headwall scarps of the landslide are 40-70 m deep and 488 are characterized by a steep gradient (7°-10°), which has caused local seabed 489 490 depressions and slope gradient variation (Figures 9B, 9C). When the bottom nepheloid layer moves across and flows over these headwall scarps, a sudden increase in slope 491 492 gradient could breach the flow equilibrium condition and enhance the shear stress 493 (thus entraining capacity) and flow velocity (Ogston et al., 2008; Traer et al., 2012; Traer et al., 2018). Consequently, the headwall scarps can cause the dense nepheloid 494 495 layers to split and sink (Figure 9C). The denser layer would subsequently hover over the seabed and potentially accelerate when traversing the scarps (Figure 9C). 496 Accelerating flows could cause additional perturbations and entrain more sediment, 497 498 and ultimately ignite a turbidity current (Figure 9C; i.e. Parker et al., 1986; Ogston et 499 al., 2008). The headwall scarps on the shelf extend over 70 km along the BCC's transport direction (Figures 3A and 9A), which allows the above-mentioned process to 500 501 continue and sediments to remain suspended as the BCC moves. As sediments are 502 continuously resuspended, they serve as a recurrent source of turbidity current ignition. Therefore, we summarize on the continental shelf the landslide emplacement 503 504 first, then cascading water opportunistically uses the headwall scarps as a 'perturbation point' to transform into turbidity currents and lock in place for cyclic 505

506 steps (Figure 9A). Other oscillatory oceanographic processes, including Westerly wind-507 generated strong wave actions and storm-generated currents, may coincide with the 508 BCC (or act as external forces to enhance the BCC) and simultaneously resuspend large 509 amounts of seabed unconsolidated sediments and generate downslope flows, 510 potentially contributing to the initiation of turbidity currents (Figure 9D; Micallef and 511 Mountjoy, 2011; Talling et al., 2013).

512

513 After ignition, the steep gradient (7°-10°) of the headwall scarps would provide ample 514 opportunity for turbidity currents to evolve into the Froude supercritical regime (Figure 9C). Piper et al. (1999) demonstrate a similar process in the Grand Banks, 515 where a 6° scarp can facilitate debris flow to transform into supercritical turbidity 516 517 currents. During transportation, the hydraulic jumps could strengthen flow turbulence by producing large-scale eddies and standing waves within the turbidity current and 518 promote the erosional process (Mulder and Cochonat, 1996; Traer et al., 2012; Hiscott 519 520 et al., 2013). The presence of 10-16 km-long cyclic step trains suggests that the 521 turbidity currents have high flow intensity and can repeatedly shape the seabed 522 (Figure 4C). Therefore, we indicate that the ignited turbidity currents are unlikely to 523 settle from suspension and have strong energy to transport downslope for a long 524 distance.

525

526 **5.3** When and where does this transformation occur?

527 The study area is not the only place where such current transformation occurs, similar

diagnostics have been found in the SW Adriatic margin and the NW Mediterranean 528 Seas. The DSWCs in these two places can also entrain seabed sediment and form 529 bottom-dense nepheloid layers, and therefore, potentially initiate turbidity currents 530 (see Appendix 3 for quantification details). In the SW Adriatic margin, where DSWC 531 flows into Gondola Slide's headwall scarp region, the DSWC creates an area of extreme 532 seabed complexity characterised by several large-scale scours aligned in a channel 533 534 template (cf. Figure 7 of Canals et al., 2009). In the Bari Canyon system, Trincardi et al. (2007) proved that when intense DSWC flows through the canyon head, it can be 535 536 captured, confined, and transported in a flow regime similar to that of a turbidity 537 current. In the NW Mediterranean Seas, when DSWC cascades into and channelizing through the head of the Cap de Creus Canyon, it carries coarse particles and forms 538 539 field of giant furrows and overconsolidated the substrate mud (Puig et al., 2008; Puig, 540 2017). Additionally, when DSWC cascades into the canyon heads of the Bourcart Canyon, the current accelerates and transports coarser particles than before entering 541 542 the canyon head (Gaudin et al., 2006). All the seabed geomorphologies and erosive 543 features identified in the above-mentioned studies require directional, stable and highly energetic processes to develop. Although the published works interpret these 544 545 erosional features as being formed by the DSWC (Canals et al., 2006; Puig et al., 2008), 546 it is highly reasonable that the DSWC interacted with the pre-existing seabed topographies and transformed into a turbidity current before creating these erosional 547 548 bedforms. The transformed turbidity current thus carries coarse material and abrades the seabed, induces resuspension and generates erosive bedforms. 549

Therefore, we note that the transformation of the DSWC into turbidity currents should 551 be a common process on the outer continental shelves globally. We infer that this 552 current transformation can occur where the seabed gradient has a sharp increase, 553 usually caused by the presence of faults and folds associated with submarine 554 landslides and/or canyons. The newly transformed turbidity currents are competent 555 556 to establish erosional conditions and become sufficiently large and energetic to carry coarse-grained sediments to reach the lower slope and even the basin floor. 557 558 Additionally, this current transformation has unravelled the puzzle for the longdistance transportation ability of the DSWC, since turbidity currents can often extend 559 hundreds of kilometres and constitute a significant mechanism for sediment transfer 560 561 from shallow to deep marine settings (i.e. Pirmez and Imran, 2003).

562

#### 563 **5.4 The evolution of seabed geomorphology**

564 Cyclic steps and related supercritical bedforms are recognised as fundamentally 565 important building blocks of seabed geomorphology evolution in many submarine settings (Fildani et al., 2006; Covault et al., 2017; Fildani et al., 2021). In the Gippsland 566 567 Basin, the cyclic steps and cyclic step trains can represent morphodynamic signals for 568 turbidity current channel initiation (cf. Figure 7 of Fildani et al., 2013; Fildani et al., 2021). Under the continuous erosion associated with turbidity currents, these cyclic 569 570 steps could migrate upslope and focus turbidity currents, gradually coalesce and eventually become a developed channel (Figure 10A, 10B; Fildani et al., 2013). The 571

channel could further evolve laterally and longitudinally, ultimately forming a mature 572 submarine drainage network (i.e. canyon) under the maintenance of sediment capture 573 574 associated with turbidity currents (Figure 10C). On the slope, the supercritical turbidity currents have resulted in considerable seabed erosion, generating widespread gullies 575 that represent an immature drainage system (Figure 10B; Santangelo et al., 2013). 576 With the continuous downslope transportation of the turbidity currents and other 577 578 gravity flows (i.e. submarine landslide), the gullies will act as preferential conduits for 579 large-scale sediment transfer and may evolve into canyons (Figure 10C; Santangelo et 580 al., 2013).

581

582 **6. Implication** 

583 **6.1** For biodiversity and carbon sequestration

The DSWC often occur in late winter to early spring, at a time synchronous with high 584 biological production levels (i.e. marine phytoplankton bloom), the DSWC can thus 585 586 efficiently transfer significant quantities of minerals, organic material and oxygen, supplying the functioning of continental shelf ecosystems (Sanchez-Vidal et al., 2008). 587 The transformation from DSWC to turbidity current could act as a fast way of fuelling 588 589 and renewing nutrients from the shallow marine to the deeper marine environment 590 (i.e. water depth>1000 m). This process could significantly enhance biodiversity in the slope and abyssal environment (Danovaro et al., 2009; Harris, 2014). On the other 591 592 hand, the cascading current can carry huge amounts of organic carbon and store them in the shallow marine (Canals et al., 2006). The subsequent transformation to turbidity 593

594 current allows the shallowly stored organic carbon to travel to deeper marine and thus 595 contribute to submarine carbon sequestration as deeper marine has higher reservoir 596 potential and carbon is less likely to return to the atmosphere. Therefore, the current 597 transformation mechanism presented in this study contributes to the ventilation of 598 intermediate and deep waters in the oceans and has a significant impact on 599 biogeochemical cycles and carbon sequestration.

600

601 6.2 For natural hazard mitigation

602 The emplacement of turbidity currents could break valuable seabed telecommunications cables that carry >95% of global data (Carter et al., 2014) and 603 damage submarine pipelines may cause potential hydrocarbon leakage hazards 604 605 (Porcile et al., 2020). In 2022, the Australian Government announced new wind farm 606 construction plans on the Victorian Coast in the Gippsland Basin (the same area as this study; see from Victorian State Government website). Therefore, we suggest that 607 608 future marine spatial planning and offshore constructions should consider a 609 reasonable band of the buffer zone (e.g. 10-20 km wide; Figure 10C) landward to the landslide headwall scarps located in the central shelf. We also indicate that new 610 611 geological and geophysical datasets (including sedimentary cores, additional 3D 612 seismic reflection data, crewed submersible dives, and Autonomous Underwater Vehicles) need to assess modern seabed conditions (oceanographic and 613 614 geomorphology), to provide better suggestions for future assessments.

615

## 616 **7. CONCLUSION**

Our results elucidate the dense shelf water cascade (DSWC) can interact with pre-617 existing submarine landslides and subsequently transform into (supercritical) turbidity 618 currents. The newly transformed turbidity currents are an effective seabed sculpting 619 tool and hugely influenced the modern seabed geomorphology and sedimentation 620 process. We infer that this current transformation can occur where the seabed 621 622 gradient has a sharp increase, usually caused by the presence of faults and folds 623 associated with submarine landslides and/or canyons. As DSWC is prominent on many 624 continental margins, we suggest that this current transformation represents an unappreciated, yet important trigger for turbidity currents on the outer continental 625 shelves globally. 626

627

### 628 FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. (A) Occurrence previously documented dense shelf water cascade (DSWC) 629 630 around the world. Numbers in each area refer to the location: (1) Eastern Chukchi Sea 631 shelf, (2) Beaufort Sea shelf, (3) Foxe Basin, northernmost part of Hudson Bay, (4) SW Greenland margin, (5) Northern gulf of California, (6) North American south-eastern 632 633 shelf, (7) Great Bahama Bank, (8) East Greenland Shelf and south of Denmark Strait, 634 (9) West Spitsbergen shelf, (10) Bear Island Channel, Barents Sea, (11) hindered in Storfjord, Barents Sea, (12) Skagerrak, eastern flank of the North Sea, (13) Rockall Bank, 635 636 North Atlantic Ocean, (14) Celtic Sea shelf, North Atlantic Ocean, (15) Gulf of Lion, NW Mediterranean Sea, (16) Gondola slide area, Adriatic Sea shelf, (17) Cape Bari, SE 637

638 Adriatic Sea shelf, (18) Southern Mediterranean Sea shelf, (19) Aegean Sea shelf, (20) Banc d'Arguin, near Cape Blanc and off the west African coast, (21) Western shelf of 639 640 Novaya Zemlya, Barents Sea, (22) shelf of Nansen Basin, Arctic Ocean, (23) Northeastern Severnaya Zemlya shelf, Laptev Sea, (24) Northern sea of Okhotsk, north-641 western Pacific Ocean, (25) Peter the Great Bay, near the Japan Sea continental slope, 642 (26) NW Australia inner shelf, (27) Shark Bay, western Australia, (28) Great Australian 643 644 Bight, southern Australia, (29) Jervis Bay, southern Australia, (30) Bass Strait, southeastern Australia, (31) Spencer Gulf, east Australia, (32) The Hikurangi subduction 645 646 margin, SE of central New Zealand, (33) The western Ross Sea, Antarctic Ocean, (34) 647 The Adélie Coast, East Antarctic sector, Antarctic Ocean, (35) Prydz Bay, East Antarctica, (36) Southern margin of Weddell Sea shelf, (37) Eastern margin of Weddell Sea shelf, 648 649 (38) The southern Ross Sea, Antarctic Ocean. Note that the blue dots are based on the 650 DSWC global atlas by Ivanov et al. (2004) and the DSWC recorded around Australian shelves by Mahjabin et al. (2020). The pink dots indicate recently reported (2004-651 652 present) cascading phenomena measured by long-term and high-frequency in situ 653 measurements globally, see Appendix 2 for the supporting references. (B) Schematics of the DSWC mechanism showing the formation of intermediate nepheloid layers on 654 655 the shelf and the downslope turbidity currents. Adapted from Fohrmann et al. (1998). 656

Figure 2. (A) The regional map of Australia shows the location of the study area (indicated in a red polygon) and the oceanographic setting. The trajectories of the main oceanic currents are represented by white, blue, and yellow dashed lines. LC, Leeuwin 660 Current; SAC, South Australian Current; ZC, Zeehan Current; BCC, Bass Cascade Current; EAC, East Australian Current. In Gippsland Basin, when the BCC flows through the Bass 661 Strait during winter, it is further fed by the LC, ZC and the wind stress within the Bass 662 Strait, jointly transporting Bass Strait water towards the front (Li et al., 2005; Mitchell 663 et al., 2007b). During summer, though the BCC is less active, strong offshore wind and 664 tidal activities can further reinforce and transport Bass Strait water eastwards (Godfrey 665 666 et al., 1980). (B) Zoom in view of the Gippsland Basin and the Bass Canyon. Note the north arrow (white) and the yellow box denote the location of the 3D seismic data. 667 668 The transportation pathway of the BCC is based on data collected from the Conductivity, Temperature, and Depth (CTD) sensors adopted during the winter of 669 1981 by Tomczak (1985). The transportation pathway of the EAC is adopted from 670 671 Lavering (1994) and Ridgway and Hill (2009). (C) Temperature profile of the Bass Strait 672 showing the downward temperature anomalies within the continental shelf and slope. (D) Temperature profile (potential temperature) in offshore eastern Australia, showing 673 674 the depth of the East Australian Current (EAC). The temperature data is from the WOCE 675 (World Ocean Current Experiment) Hydrographic Program (available at https://odv.awi.de/data/ocean). See Figure 2A for locations. 676

677

Figure 3. (A) 3D view of seabed multibeam bathymetric map of the offshore Gippsland Basin and Bass Canyon system, showing the main geomorphologic features. (B) Sketch of Figure 3A, showing the key depositional elements, canyons and distinguished regional domains. (C) Shelf-to-slope seismic profile showing the Central shelf and slope 682 regions. See Figure 3B for location.

683

Figure 4. (A) Seabed structure map generated from the 3D seismic data, showing the seabed morphology in the Central Region. (B) Dip illumination attribute map calculated from the 3D seismic data, showing the detailed sedimentary structures of the Central Region. Note the yellow dots indicate the piston core location. (C) Zoomedin view of the continental shelf in the Central Region, emphasizing the sediment waves, cyclic steps and channels. See Figure 4B for location.

690

691 Figure 5. (A) Seismic dip section cut through the headwall scarps of the landslide. (B) Seismic longitudinal profile along the axis of the cyclic step train. (C) Seismic 692 693 longitudinal profile cutting through the axis of channel-formed cyclic steps. The inserted schematic map shows a series of idealized asymmetrical cyclic steps and 694 hypothetical densiometric Froude number (Fr) variability. Within a single bedform, the 695 696 supercritical flow creates a hydraulic jump (Frd>1) at the base of the lee side and 697 transfers to subcritical flow (Frd<1) at the stoss side. Subsequently, the subcritical flow reaccelerates to supercritical flow again down to the lee side of the next bedform. The 698 699 schematic map was modified by Cartigny et al. (2011). (D) Seismic longitudinal profile 700 cutting through the axis of channel-formed cyclic steps. (E) Seismic cross-sectional 701 profile cutting through the channels; note the stair-shaped erosional characteristics of 702 furrows developed on the channel sidewalls. See Figure 4C for locations.

703

Figure 6. Zoomed-in view of the continental slope in the Central Region, emphasizing
 the landslides and gullies. See Figure 4B for location.

706

Figure 7. (A) Seismic section illustrating gullies' cross-sectional geometries. (B) Seismic
dip section cutting along the gully ridge. (C) Seismic dip section cutting along the gully
ridge. (D) Seismic dip section cutting within the gully and along its thalweg. See Figure
6 for locations.

711

Figure 8. (A) Core sketch generated based on piston core report from the central region of the Gippsland Basin, showing the cross-section of the Facies-1. (B) Core sketch generated based on piston core report, showing the cross-section of Facies-2. Core locations in Figure 4B. (C) Grain size distribution in the Central area of the Gippsland Basin. The blue arrow indicates the transport direction of the BCC. (D) The sediment motion threshold curve under the given values of sediment grain size and BCC current speed.

719

Figure 9. (A) The 3D view of the Central Region, showing the seabed morphological structures and major current pathways. (B) Schematic 2D plain view of the Central shelf, illustrating the location of headwall scarps, the pathway of the BCC and its associated supercritical turbidity currents. See Figure 9A for location. (C) Schematic cross-section showing the transformation from BCC to turbidity currents. See the text for explanations and Figure 9B for location. (D) Schematic cross-section depicting the

726	combined influence of the Westerly Wind, internal waves, and tide-induced sediment
727	resuspension and turbidity current initiation. See Figure 9A for location.

728

Figure 10. Schematic of seabed geomorphology evolution processes in the Central 729 730 Region of the Gippsland Basin. (A) Shelf: the transformation of the Bass Cascading 731 Current (BCC) into turbidity currents; Slope: the generation of scarps caused by wave 732 activities near the upper slope. (B) Shelf: The formation of the sedimentary structures caused turbidity currents; Slope: The initiation of gullies and the formation of the 733 734 landslides on the upper slope. (C) Shelf: The evolution from cyclic steps into channels and canyons; Slope: landslide initiation near the lower slope. Note that the buffer zone 735 indicates a stable seabed not influenced by the current transformation process or the 736 737 ignited turbidity current.

# 738 **REFERENCE**

- Bouma, A.H.P.H.K.F.P.S., 1962. Sedimentology of some Flysch deposits : a graphic approach to
   facies interpretation. Elsevier, Amsterdam
- Boland, F., 1971. Temperature-salinity anomalies at depths between 200m and 800m in the
  Tasman sea. Marine and Freshwater Research 22, 55-62.
- 743 Marshall, N., Stanley, D., Kelling, G., 1978. Large storm-induced sediment slump reopens an
- unknown Scripps submarine canyon tributary. Sedimentation in submarine canyons, fans, and
   trenches: Stroudsburg, Pennsylvania, Hutchinson and Ross, 73-84.
- Godfrey, J., Jones, I., Maxwell, G., Scott, B., 1980. On the winter cascade from Bass Strait into
   the Tasman Sea. Marine and Freshwater Research 31, 275-286.
- Bea, R.G., Wright, S.G., Sircar, P., Niedoroda, A.W., 1983. Wave-induced slides in south pass
  block 70, Mississippi Delta. Journal of Geotechnical Engineering 109, 619-644.
- Farre, J.A., McGregor, B.A., Ryan, W.B., Robb, J.M., 1983. Breaching the shelfbreak: passagefrom youthful to mature phase in submarine canyon evolution.
- Flood, R.D., 1983. Classification of sedimentary furrows and a model for furrow initiation andevolution. Geological Society of America Bulletin 94, 630-639.
- Soulsby, R.L., 1983. The bottom boundary layer of shelf seas, Elsevier oceanography series.
   Elsevier, pp. 189-266.
- 756 Tomczak, 1985. The Bass Strait water cascade during winter 1981. Continental Shelf Research757 4, 255-278.
- Godfrey, J., Vaudrey, D., Hahn, S., 1986. Observations of the shelf-edge current south of
   Australia, winter 1982. Journal of Physical Oceanography 16, 668-679.
- Parker, G., Fukushima, Y., Pantin, H.M., 1986. Self-accelerating turbidity currents. Journal of
   Fluid Mechanics 171, 145-181.
- Tomczak, M., 1987. The Bass Strait water cascade during summer 1981–1982. Continental
   Shelf Research 7, 561-572.
- Rahmanian, V., Moore, P., Mudge, W., Spring, D., 1990. Sequence stratigraphy and the habitat
   of hydrocarbons, Gippsland Basin, Australia. Geological Society, London, Special Publications
- 766 **50, 525-544**.
- Colwell, J.B., Constantine, A.E., Willcox, J.B., 1993. Regional structure of the Gippsland Basin:
   interpretation and mapping of a deep seismic data set. Australian Geological Survey
   Organisation.
- Lavering, I.H., 1994. Marine environments of Southeast Australia (Gippsland Shelf and Bass
   Strait) and the impact of offshore petroleum exploration and production activity. Marine
- georesources & geotechnology 12, 201-226.
- Luick, J.L., Ka, R., Tomczak, M., 1994. On the formation and spreading of the Bass Strait cascade.
   Continental Shelf Research 14, 385-399.
- 775 Mulder, T., Cochonat, P., 1996. Classification of offshore mass movements. Journal of 776 Sedimentary research 66, 43-57.
- 777 Soulsby, R., 1997. Dynamics of marine sands.
- Fohrmann, H., Backhaus, J.O., Blaume, F., Rumohr, J., 1998. Sediments in bottom-arrested
   gravity plumes: Numerical case studies. Journal of Physical Oceanography 28, 2250-2274.
- 780 Hill, P., Exon, N., Keene, J., Smith, S., 1998. The continental margin off east Tasmania and
- Gippsland: structure and development using new multibeam sonar data. Exploration
   Geophysics 29, 410-419.
- 783 Piper, D.J., Cochonat, P., Morrison, M.L., 1999. The sequence of events around the epicentre
- of the 1929 Grand Banks earthquake: initiation of debris flows and turbidity current inferred
   from sidescan sonar. Sedimentology 46, 79-97.
- 786 Lee, S., Chough, S., 2001. High-resolution (2–7 kHz) acoustic and geometric characters of

- submarine creep deposits in the South Korea Plateau, East Sea. Sedimentology 48, 629-644.
- Exon, N., Hill, P., Partridge, A., Chaproniere, G., Keene, J., 2002. Cretaceous volcanogenic and
   Miocene calcareous strata dredged from the deepwater Gippsland Basin on RV Franklin
   Research Cruise FR11/98. Geoscience Australia Record 7.
- Wynn, R.B., Stow, D.A., 2002. Recognition and interpretation of deep-water sediment waves implications for palaeoceanography, hydrocarbon exploration and flow process interpretation
   (Introduction to special issue). Marine Geology 192, 1-3.
- Pirmez, C., Imran, J., 2003. Reconstruction of turbidity currents in Amazon Channel. Marine
   and petroleum geology 20, 823-849.
- Ivanov, V., Shapiro, G., Huthnance, J., Aleynik, D., Golovin, P., 2004. Cascades of dense water
   around the world ocean. Progress in oceanography 60, 47-98.
- Li, F., Dyt, C., Griffiths, C., Jenkins, C., Rutherford, M., Chittleborough, J., 2005. Seabed
  sediment transport and offshore pipeline risks in the Australian southeast. The APPEA Journal
  45, 523-534.
- Taki, K., Parker, G., 2005. Transportational cyclic steps created by flow over an erodible bed.
   Part 1. Experiments. Journal of Hydraulic Research 43, 488-501.
- Canals, M., Puig, P., de Madron, X.D., Heussner, S., Palanques, A., Fabres, J., 2006. Flushing
  submarine canyons. Nature 444, 354-357.
- Fildani, A., Normark, W.R., Kostic, S., Parker, G., 2006. Channel formation by flow stripping:
  Large-scale scour features along the Monterey East Channel and their relation to sediment
  waves. Sedimentology 53, 1265-1287.
- Gaudin, M., Berné, S., Jouanneau, J.-M., Palanques, A., Puig, P., Mulder, T., Cirac, P., Rabineau,
- M., Imbert, P., 2006. Massive sand beds attributed to deposition by dense water cascades in
  the Bourcart canyon head, Gulf of Lions (northwestern Mediterranean Sea). Marine Geology
  234, 111-128.
- 812 Middleton, J.F., Bye, J.A., 2007. A review of the shelf-slope circulation along Australia's 813 southern shelves: Cape Leeuwin to Portland. Progress in Oceanography 75, 1-41.
- Mitchell, J., Holdgate, G., Wallace, M., 2007a. Pliocene–Pleistocene history of the Gippsland
  Basin outer shelf and canyon heads, southeast Australia. Australian Journal of Earth Sciences
  54, 49-64.
- Mitchell, J., Holdgate, G., Wallace, M., Gallagher, S., 2007b. Marine geology of the Quaternary
  Bass Canyon system, southeast Australia: a cool-water carbonate system. Marine geology 237,
  71-96.
- 820 Trincardi, F., Foglini, F., Verdicchio, G., Asioli, A., Correggiari, A., Minisini, D., Piva, A., Remia, A.,
- Ridente, D., Taviani, M., 2007. The impact of cascading currents on the Bari Canyon System,
   SW-Adriatic margin (Central Mediterranean). Marine Geology 246, 208-230.
- Herrmann, M., Estournel, C., Déqué, M., Marsaleix, P., Sevault, F., Somot, S., 2008. Dense water
  formation in the Gulf of Lions shelf: Impact of atmospheric interannual variability and climate
  change. Continental Shelf Research 28, 2092-2112.
- Ogston, A.S., Drexler, T.M., Puig, P., 2008. Sediment delivery, resuspension, and transport in
   two contrasting canyon environments in the southwest Gulf of Lions. Continental Shelf
   Research 28, 2000-2016.
- Puig, P., Palanques, A., Orange, D., Lastras, G., Canals, M., 2008. Dense shelf water cascades
  and sedimentary furrow formation in the Cap de Creus Canyon, northwestern Mediterranean
  Sea. Continental Shelf Research 28, 2017-2030.
- 832 Sanchez-Vidal, A., Pasqual, C., Kerhervé, P., Calafat, A., Heussner, S., Palanques, A., Durrieu de
- 833 Madron, X., Canals, M., Puig, P., 2008. Impact of dense shelf water cascading on the transfer
- of organic matter to the deep western Mediterranean basin. Geophysical Research Letters 35.
- Bull, S., Cartwright, J., Huuse, M., 2009. A subsurface evacuation model for submarine slope
- failure. Basin Research 21, 433-443.
- 837 Canals, M., Danovaro, R., Heussner, S., Lykousis, V., Puig, P., Trincardi, F., Calafat, A.M., de

- 838 Madron, X.D., Palanques, A., Sanchez-Vidal, A., 2009. Cascades in Mediterranean submarine 839 grand canyons. Oceanography 22, 26-43.
- Banovaro, R., Canals, M., Gambi, C., Heussner, S., Lampadariou, N., Vanreusel, A., 2009.
  Exploring benthic biodiversity patterns and hotspots on European margin slopes.
  Oceanography 22, 16-25.
- Noormets, R., Dowdeswell, J., Larter, R.D., Cofaigh, C.Ó., Evans, J., 2009. Morphology of the upper continental slope in the Bellingshausen and Amundsen Seas–Implications for sedimentary processes at the shelf edge of West Antarctica. Marine Geology 258, 100-114.
- Piper, D.J., Normark, W.R., 2009. Processes that initiate turbidity currents and their influence
  on turbidites: a marine geology perspective. Journal of Sedimentary Research 79, 347-362.
- 848 Ridgway, K., Hill, K., 2009. The East Australian Current.
- Paull, C.K., Ussler III, W., Caress, D.W., Lundsten, E., Covault, J.A., Maier, K.L., Xu, J., Augenstein,
- S., 2010. Origins of large crescent-shaped bedforms within the axial channel of MontereyCanyon, offshore California. Geology 6, 755-774.
- Cartigny, M.J., Postma, G., Van den Berg, J.H., Mastbergen, D.R., 2011. A comparative study of
   sediment waves and cyclic steps based on geometries, internal structures and numerical
   modeling. Marine Geology 280, 40-56.
- Kostic, S., 2011. Modeling of submarine cyclic steps: Controls on their formation, migration,
   and architecture. Geosphere 7, 294-304.
- Micallef, A., Mountjoy, J.J., 2011. A topographic signature of a hydrodynamic origin for submarine gullies. Geology 39, 115-118.
- Gales, J., Larter, R., Mitchell, N., Hillenbrand, C.D., Østerhus, S., Shoosmith, D., 2012. Southern
  Weddell Sea shelf edge geomorphology: Implications for gully formation by the overflow of
  high-salinity water. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface 117.
- Traer, M., Hilley, G., Fildani, A., McHargue, T., 2012. The sensitivity of turbidity currents to mass
   and momentum exchanges between these underflows and their surroundings. Journal of
   Geophysical Research: Earth Surface 117.
- Fildani, A., Hubbard, S.M., Covault, J.A., Maier, K.L., Romans, B.W., Traer, M., Rowland, J.C.,
  2013. Erosion at inception of deep-sea channels. Marine and Petroleum Geology 41, 48-61.
- Hiscott, R.N., Aksu, A.E., Flood, R.D., Kostylev, V., Yaşar, D., 2013. Widespread overspill from a
  saline density-current channel and its interaction with topography on the south-west Black
  Sea shelf. Sedimentology 60, 1639-1667.
- Lonergan, L., Jamin, N.H., Jackson, C.A.-L., Johnson, H.D., 2013. U-shaped slope gully systems
  and sediment waves on the passive margin of Gabon (West Africa). Marine Geology 337, 8097.
- 873 Santangelo, M., Gioia, D., Cardinali, M., Guzzetti, F., Schiattarella, M., 2013. Interplay between
- mass movement and fluvial network organization: An example from southern Apennines, Italy.
   Geomorphology 188, 54-67.
- Talling, P.J., Paull, C.K., Piper, D.J., 2013. How are subaqueous sediment density flows triggered,
- what is their internal structure and how does it evolve? Direct observations from monitoringof active flows. Earth-Science Reviews 125, 244-287.
- Bailey, S.C., Vallikivi, M., Hultmark, M., Smits, A., 2014. Estimating the value of von Kármán's
  constant in turbulent pipe flow. Journal of Fluid Mechanics 749, 79-98.
- Carter, L., Gavey, R., Talling, P.J., Liu, J.T., 2014. Insights into submarine geohazards from breaks
   in subsea telecommunication cables. Oceanography 27, 58-67.
- Cetina-Heredia, P., Roughan, M., Van Sebille, E., Coleman, M., 2014. Long-term trends in the
  East Australian Current separation latitude and eddy driven transport. Journal of Geophysical
  Research: Oceans 119, 4351-4366.
- Harris, P.T., 2014. Shelf and deep-sea sedimentary environments and physical benthic disturbance regimes: a review and synthesis. Marine Geology 353, 169-184.
- 888 Postma, G., Cartigny, M.J., 2014. Supercritical and subcritical turbidity currents and their

- deposits—A synthesis. Geology 42, 987-990.
- Talling, P.J., 2014. On the triggers, resulting flow types and frequencies of subaqueous sediment density flows in different settings. Marine Geology 352, 155-182.
- Zhong, G., Cartigny, M.J., Kuang, Z., Wang, L., 2015. Cyclic steps along the South Taiwan Shoal
  and West Penghu submarine canyons on the northeastern continental slope of the South
  China Sea. Bulletin 127, 804-824.
- Covault, J.A., Kostic, S., Paull, C.K., Sylvester, Z., Fildani, A., 2017. Cyclic steps and related
   supercritical bedforms: building blocks of deep-water depositional systems, western North
   America. Marine Geology 393, 4-20.
- 898 Puig, P., 2017. Dense shelf water cascading and associated bedforms, Atlas of bedforms in the 899 western mediterranean. Springer, pp. 35-40.
- Amblas, D., Dowdeswell, J., 2018. Physiographic influences on dense shelf-water cascading
   down the Antarctic continental slope. Earth-Science Reviews 185, 887-900.
- 902 O'Brien, P., Mitchell, C., Nguyen, D., Langford, R., 2018. Mass Transport Complexes on a
   903 Cenozoic paleo-shelf edge, Gippsland basin, southeastern Australia. Marine and Petroleum
   904 Geology 98, 783-801.
- Traer, M., Fildani, A., Fringer, O., McHargue, T., Hilley, G., 2018. Turbidity current dynamics: 2.
  Simulating flow evolution toward equilibrium in idealized channels. Journal of Geophysical
  Research: Earth Surface 123, 520-534.
- 908 Oke, P.R., Roughan, M., Cetina-Heredia, P., Pilo, G.S., Ridgway, K.R., Rykova, T., Archer, M.R.,
- 909 Coleman, R.C., Kerry, C.G., Rocha, C., 2019. Revisiting the circulation of the East Australian
- 910 Current: Its path, separation, and eddy field. Progress in Oceanography 176, 102139.
- 911 Villacieros-Robineau, N., Zúñiga, D., Barreiro-González, B., Alonso-Pérez, F., de la Granda, F.,
- 912 Froján, M., Collins, C.A., Barton, E.D., Castro, C.G., 2019. Bottom boundary layer and particle
- 913 dynamics in an upwelling affected continental margin (NW Iberia). Journal of Geophysical914 Research: Oceans 124, 9531-9552.
- Mahjabin, T., Pattiaratchi, C., Hetzel, Y., 2020. Occurrence and seasonal variability of Dense
   Shelf Water Cascades along Australian continental shelves. Scientific reports 10, 1-13.
- 917 Morrison, A., Hogg, A.M., England, M.H., Spence, P., 2020. Warm Circumpolar Deep Water
  918 transport toward Antarctica driven by local dense water export in canyons. Science advances
  919 6, eaav2516.
- Porcile, G., Bolla Pittaluga, M., Frascati, A., Sequeiros, O.E., 2020. Typhoon-induced megarips
  as triggers of turbidity currents offshore tropical river deltas. Communications Earth &
  Environment 1, 1-13.
- Slootman, A., Cartigny, M.J., 2020. Cyclic steps: Review and aggradation-based classification.
   Earth-Science Reviews 201, 102949.
- Fildani, A., Kostic, S., Covault, J.A., Maier, K.L., Caress, D.W., Paull, C.K., 2021. Exploring a new
  breadth of cyclic steps on distal submarine fans. Sedimentology 68, 1378-1399.
- Gales, J., Rebesco, M., De Santis, L., Bergamasco, A., Colleoni, F., Kim, S., Accettella, D.,
  Kovacevic, V., Liu, Y., Olivo, E., 2021. Role of dense shelf water in the development of Antarctic
  submarine canyon morphology. Geomorphology 372, 107453.
- Wu, N., Nugraha, H.D., Zhong, F.G., Steventon, M., 2021. The role of mass-transport complexes
   (MTCs) in the initiation and evolution of submarine canyons.
- 932 Post, A.L., Przesławski, R., Nanson, R., Siwabessy, J., Smith, D., Kirkendale, L.A., Wilson, N.G.,
- 933 2022. Modern dynamics, morphology and habitats of slope-confined canyons on the 934 northwest Australian margin. Marine Geology 443, 106694.
- 935
- 936















Figure 4







Figure 6







#### Figure 8





