Multi-scale rupture growth with alternating directions in a complex fault network during the 2023 south-eastern 2 Türkiye and Syria earthquake doublet

Ryo Okuwaki¹, Yuji Yagi¹, Tuncay Taymaz², Stephen P. Hicks³

5	¹ Faculty of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8572, Japan
6	² Department of Geophysical Engineering, The Faculty of Mines, Istanbul Technical University, Maslak, 34469
7	Sariyer, Istanbul, Türkiye
8	³ Department of Earth Sciences, University College London, Gower Street, London, WC1E 6BT, UK

Key Points:

1

3

4

9

- An earthquake doublet of M_W 7.9 and M_W 7.6 ruptured multiple segments and 10 curved faults 11
- A back-propagating supershear rupture of M_W 7.9 earthquake is triggered by the 12 initial splay fault rupture 13
- Multi-scale rupture growth in a complex fault network may facilitate diverse rup-14 ture behaviors and triggering interactions in the doublet 15

Abstract 16

A devastating doublet of earthquakes with moment magnitude $M_{\rm W}$ 7.9 and $M_{\rm W}$ 7.6 earth-17 quakes contiguously occurred in south-eastern Türkiye near the north-western border 18 of Syria. Here we perform a potency-density tensor inversion to simultaneously estimate 19 rupture evolution and fault geometry for the doublet. We find the initial $M_{\rm W}$ 7.9 earth-20 quake involves a supershear back-rupture propagation, which is triggered by the ini-21 tial bifurcated-fault rupture along a splay of the East Anatolian Fault and triggers the 22 rupture in the southwest. The second M_W 7.6 event is triggered by the adjacent M_W 7.9 23 event, and it also involves supershear rupture along the favorably curved fault, which 24 however is immediately stopped by geometric barriers in the fault ends. Our results high-25 light the multi-scale cascading rupture growth across the complex fault network that 26 affects the diverse rupture geometries of the 2023 Türkiye earthquake doublet, contribut-27 ing to the strong ground shaking and associated devastation. 28

Plain Language Summary 29

On 6 February 2023, devastating dual earthquakes; moment magnitude 7.9 and 7.6 events 30

struck southern Türkiye near the northern border of Syria. The two earthquakes con-31

tiguously occurred, only separated in \sim 90 km and \sim 9 hours apart. The strong shaking 32

Corresponding author: Ryo Okuwaki, rokuwaki@geol.tsukuba.ac.jp

from the two earthquakes caused significant damage to the buildings and people, hav-33 ing caused over 50,000 fatalities in Türkiye and Syria. The source region is where the 34 Anatolian, Arabian and African plates meet, developing the network of faults that host 35 the large devastating earthquakes. Seismological analyses using observed seismic wave-36 forms are effective for rapidly estimating how the rupture of the two earthquakes evolves 37 over such distinctively oriented and possibly segmented faults. We use the globally ob-38 served seismic records to simultaneously estimate rupture evolution and fault geom-39 etry of the earthquake doublet. We find the sequence of both earthquakes involves curved 40 and segmented fault ruptures, including the back-propagating rupture for the initial 41 earthquake, which is facilitated by the complex active fault network. The 2023 earth-42 quake doublet displays the irregular rupture evolution and diverse triggering behav-43 iors both in a single event and across the earthquake sequence, which provide critical 44 inputs in both our understanding of earthquake-rupture dynamics and better assess-45 ment of future damaging earthquakes. 46

47 **1 Introduction**

The Eastern Mediterranean region is one of Earth's most active tectonic environ-48 ments, where the Anatolian plate is extruded westward, escaping from the collision be-49 tween the Arabian and Eurasian plates (McKenzie, 1972; Taymaz, Jackson, & McKen-50 zie, 1991; Taymaz, Eyidogan, & Jackson, 1991; Taymaz et al., 2004). To the southeast of 51 the Anatolian plate, the left-lateral East Anatolian Fault (EAF), along with the right-lateral 52 North Anatolian Fault (NAF), accommodates the extrusion of the Anatolian plate (Jackson 53 & McKenzie, 1984; Taymaz, Eyidogan, & Jackson, 1991; Taymaz et al., 2021). The EAF 54 forms an intra-continental transform fault, which separates the Anatolian and Arabian 55 plates (Fig. 1). Although the EAF has been less seismically active than that around the 56 NAF since instrumental-based catalogues started (e.g., Ambraseys, 1989), the EAF has 57 hosted magnitude M 7+ earthquakes in the past, for example, an M 7.1 1893 in Çelikhan, 58 M 7.4 1513 in Pazarcık, and an M 7.5 in 1822 to the east of Hassa (Ambraseys, 1989; 59 Ambraseys & Jackson, 1998; Duman & Emre, 2013). Most recently, in 2020, a moment 60 magnitude $M_{\rm W}$ 6.8 Doğanyol–Sivrice earthquake broke the region east of the 1893 M 7.1 61 earthquake (Melgar et al., 2020; Pousse-Beltran et al., 2020; Ragon et al., 2021; Taymaz 62 et al., 2021), located to the north-east of the 2023 earthquakes focused in this study. The 63 EAF is recognized to have multiple geometrically segmented faults and a series of bends, 64 step-overs, and sub-parallel faults, leading to complex fault networks (Fig. 1) (e.g., Du-65 man & Emre, 2013). This complexity is particularly evident in southern Türkiye, where 66 the EAF connects to the triple junction of the Anatolian, Arabian and African plates, and 67 the main plate boundary merges into the Dead Sea Fault (DSF) zone to the south. This 68 diffuse zone of deformation manifests as a rotation in the strike of the main EAF from 69 NE-SW in the NE to SSW-NNE in the town of Pazarcık, SW Kahramanmaraş province 70 (Fig. 1). To the north of Kahramanmaras province, the EW-oriented, the Sürgü fault zone 71 (SFZ), obliquely branches from the main EAF (Arpat & Saroglu, 1972; Taymaz, Eyido-72 gan, & Jackson, 1991; Duman & Emre, 2013; Duman et al., 2020). During a large earth-73 quake, the orientation and speed of slip in such a complex network of faults contributes 74 to ground shaking (e.g., Dunham & Bhat, 2008; Tsai & Hirth, 2020). 75

Two devastating earthquakes with M 7.7 and M 7.6 occurred on February 6, 2023 76 near the SW end of the EAF in Nurdağı-Pazarcık segment, SE Türkiye near the north-77 ern border of Syria (AFAD, 2023). The two earthquakes occurred only \sim 9 hours and \sim 90 78 km apart (Fig. 1). The epicenters reported by AFAD (2023) show that the initial M 7.7 79 earthquake seems to have initiated off the main EAF strand in the Narlıdağ fault zone 80 (Duman & Emre, 2013), lying ~15 km to the east (Fig. 1). In contrast, the secondary M 7.6 81 earthquake appears to be near the SFZ (Fig. 1). The relocated aftershocks (Melgar et al., 82 2023) seemingly align with the main EAF strand and the northern strand of the EAF, 83 whilst some other linear trends and clusters can be seen off the main EAF segment; for 84 example around the epicenter of the initial M 7.7 earthquake, the aftershocks elongate 85 and intersect the main EAF (Fig. 1). The Global Centroid Moment Tensor (GCMT) so-86 lutions (Dziewonski et al., 1981; Ekström et al., 2012) for the two earthquakes have oblique 87 left-lateral strike-slip faulting. The fault orientations of the two solutions are apparently 88 consistent with the bulk orientations of the main EAF segment and the SFZ respectively 89 (Fig. 1), however, the GCMT solutions show moderately high non-double couple com-90 ponents of 42% and 57%. 91

The geometric complexity of the EAF and the adjacent fault networks, apparent 92 offset of the initial *M* 7.7 epicenter from the main EAF strand, high non-double couple 93 components of the GCMT solutions, and the aftershock distribution with diverse ori-94 entations collectively suggest the earthquake sequence may have involved complexity 95 of both the rupture evolution and fault geometry (Abercrombie et al., 2003; Okuwaki 96 et al., 2021; Okuwaki & Fan, 2022). In general, geometric complexities of a fault system 97 are known to control rupture speed and direction, and triggering of separated fault seg-98 ments (Das & Aki, 1977; Kase & Day, 2006; Yıkılmaz et al., 2015; Huang, 2018). There 99 is also growing observational evidence of rupture irregularity in the complex fault dam-100 age zones in different tectonic regimes, such as transient supershear ruptures across fault 101 bends (Bao et al., 2019; Socquet et al., 2019), triggering of ruptures with different fault-102 ing styles and on different segments (Nissen et al., 2016; Fan & Shearer, 2016; Okuwaki 103 & Fan, 2022), and apparent rupture back-propagation or re-rupture (Hicks et al., 2020; 104 Vallée et al., 2023; Yamashita, Yagi, & Okuwaki, 2022; Yagi et al., 2023). Such diverse 105 rupture behavior among such complex tectonic environments and fault zones gives fun-106 damental inputs that deepen and accelerate our understanding of earthquake-source 107 physics and the knock-on effects on strong ground motion. However, it has been chal-108 lenging for seismologists to rigorously retrieve rupture complexity that should be recorded 109 in rich waveform datasets, because of the necessity of assumptions involving the fault 110 geometry and rupture direction, which are often not necessarily required by the data 111 itself and sometimes bias the interpretation of the earthquake source process. The method-112 ological difficulties in analyzing geometrically complex earthquakes are a huge obsta-113 cle in our understanding of earthquake source physics, but also hinder rapid and ro-114 bust response, especially for destructive events like the 2023 SE Türkiye and Syria earth-115 quake sequence, and assessing of future earthquake (e.g., aftershock) hazard in the short-116 to-medium term. 117

Here we report a narrative of rupture evolution of the two M 7.7 and M 7.6 earthquakes using teleseismic *P*-waveforms observed globally at broadband seismic stations. We find the two nearby earthquakes ruptured multiple segments and branches of the EAF, and involving curved faults, which likely influence slip acceleration and deceleration during discrete rupture episodes. Most notably, the initial *M* 7.7 earthquake involves an apparent back-propagating supershear rupture through and beyond the hypocenter area, which should be responsible for the series of triggering of sub-events in their unfortunately favorable orientation.

126 **2 Materials and Methods**

In general, finite fault inversion estimates the spatio-temporal slip distribution on 127 an assumed fault plane (Olson & Apsel, 1982; Hartzell & Heaton, 1983). Such modeled 128 fault geometries may be refined using field observations and satellite imagery that cap-129 tures the surface deformation. However, strictly prescribing fault geometry may bias 130 our interpretation of the solution, because limiting model flexibility can mask hidden 131 rupture details and fault geometries beyond can sometimes be observed at the surface 132 (e.g., Shimizu et al., 2020). Similar problems may arise when strict assumptions are made 133 about kinematic information such as rupture velocity and direction. 134

In this study, we perform a recently developed potency-density tensor inversion 135 (Shimizu et al., 2020; Yamashita, Yagi, Okuwaki, Shimizu, et al., 2022) for both the M 7.7 136 and M 7.6 earthquakes using teleseismic P-waves. Our approach is particularly effec-137 tive for analyzing complex earthquake sequences, because it does not require any de-138 tailed assumptions about the fault geometry, but rather, we solve for the fault geome-139 try as data requires. In this study, we configure the model-space geometry based on the 140 recognized active faults (Emre et al., 2018) and the relocated aftershocks (Melgar et al., 141 2023) around the source region of the two earthquakes (Fig. S3). Regardless of this model-142 space parameterization, one strength of our approach the potency tensors at each source 143 element are still flexible to represent fault geometry that deviates from the prescribed 144 model-fault geometry. This modeling flexibility of our approach is particularly advan-145 tageous for analyzing an earthquake in a complex fault zone, where there are multiple 146 segments of faults with different orientations, and possible supershear ruptures, which 147 are likely factors for the 2023 SE Türkiye earthquake doublet given the strike-slip con-148 figuration and known structure of the EAF. 149

We adopt a maximum rupture-front speed of 4 km/s so that we may be able to cap-150 ture possible supershear rupture or inter-subevents dynamic triggering within the model 151 space based on the local seismic velocities around the source region (Table S1). We also 152 adopt a sufficiently long maximum slip duration at each source element of 42 s and a 153 total source duration of 80 s for the initial earthquake and a maximum slip duration at 154 each source element of 20 s and a total source duration of 20 s for the second earthquake 155 (Fig. 2). These values are chosen so that each source element can represent multiple slip 156 episodes, especially in the case of rupture back-propagation or re-rupture. 157

Our approach bridges the gap between conventional finite fault inversions, discrete sub-event parameterisations, and seismic back-projection, the latter requiring very few assumptions about the fault geometry and rupture information (Ishii et al., 2005; Y. Xu et al., 2009; Meng et al., 2012; Nissen et al., 2016; Satriano et al., 2012; Yao et al., 2011; Taymaz et al., 2021). Still, our approach additionally provides kinematic information by directly solving for the potency-rate density distribution. To perform a sta¹⁶⁴ ble inversion with such a high degree-of-freedom model without overfitting, the uncer¹⁶⁵ tainty of the Green's function is incorporated into the data covariance matrix (Yagi &
¹⁶⁶ Fukahata, 2011) and the strength of smoothing is adjusted using the Akaike's Bayesian
¹⁶⁷ Information Criterion (e.g., Akaike, 1980; Yabuki & Matsu'ura, 1992; Sato et al., 2022).

We apply a standardized data processing workflow for our potency-density ten-168 sor approach that has been applied to earthquakes in different tectonic regimes (Shimizu 169 et al., 2020; Tadapansawut et al., 2021; Hu et al., 2021; Fan et al., 2022; Fang et al., 2022; 170 Hicks et al., 2020; Yamashita, Yagi, Okuwaki, Shimizu, et al., 2022; Yagi et al., 2023). We 171 use the vertical component of teleseismic P-waveforms from a total of 39 and 37 sta-172 tions for the M 7.7 and M 7.6 earthquakes, respectively (Figs. S1 and S2). The data are 173 selected to ensure the azimuthal coverage of the stations so that we can resolve poten-174 tial variations of radiation pattern during the rupture evolution and hence the spatiotem-175 poral change of fault geometry. We also select data with the highest signal-to-noise ra-176 tio so that we manually pick the first motion of *P*-wave (Okuwaki et al., 2016) that ac-177 counts for 3-D heterogeneity of Earth's structure (Fan & Shearer, 2015). The data are then 178 restituted to velocity at 1.0-s sampling interval by removing the instrumental responses. 179 Green's functions are calculated based on the method of Kikuchi and Kanamori (1991), 180 adopting CRUST1.0 model (Laske et al., 2013) for the one-dimensional layered veloc-181 ity structure around the source region (Table S1). The initial rupture point is adopted 182 from the relocated epicenter for the M 7.7 earthquake (Melgar et al., 2023) and on the 183 model fault near the relocated epicenter for the M 7.6 earthquake, and the hypocentral 184 depth is set at 15-km depth for both earthquakes (Fig. S3). The uniformly-distributed 185 model source elements are spaced 10×5 km and 5×5 km in the along-strike and dip 186 directions for the M 7.7 and M 7.6 earthquakes, respectively, which are regularly dis-187 tributed along the vertically dipping non-planar model fault that aligns with the active 188 faults (Emre et al., 2018) and the relocated aftershocks (Fig. S3). Together with the curved 189 main EAF strand, we adopt a splay fault into our model fault centered on the initial rup-190 ture point, which is orienting at 35° NE, having an acute angle relative to the main EAF 191 in NE direction (Fig. S3). 192

193 3 Results

194

3.1 Initial M_W 7.9 Nurdağı-Pazarcık earthquake

Our potency-density tensor inversion finds the first earthquake ruptured a total 195 of 350 km length, 200 km length northeast from the epicenter and 150 km southwest 196 of the epicenter along our modeled fault, including the splay fault domain (Figs. 2 and 197 S4). The total seismic moment is 9.6×10^{20} N m (M_W 7.9). The overall faulting mech-198 anism indicated by the flexible potency density tensors is consistent with our prescribed 199 non-planar model fault geometry (Fig. 2). The potency-density tensors show a largely 200 planar fault with depth. The space-time evolution of the rupture shows four distinct episodes 201 which we describe in the following paragraphs. 202

203**Rupture Episode 1.** The rupture first initiates at the hypocentre, and then prop-204agates bilaterally toward the NE and SW for the first 10 s after origin time (OT), extend-205ing 25 km either side of the hypocenter along the splay fault. The moment-rate release206of this initial rupture episode is minor, having only 3% of the total seismic moment (M_W 6.9).

Our potency-rate density tensor solution shows the fault orientation corresponding to the left-lateral faulting with a median strike of 37° (e.g., 7–8 s time window; Fig. 3), consistent with the prescribed splay fault and less consistent with the main EAF (Fig. 3). The first-motion faulting mechanism using local-regional waveforms (Fig. 3) indicates this rupture initiated along a fault plane with a similar strike, but with an oblique-normal sense of slip.

Rupture Episode 2. After a relative quiescence for 5 s after the end of the first episode, 213 the second rupture episode starts at OT+15 s, lying 60 km NE of the epicenter. This sec-214 ond rupture episode releases the greatest amount of seismic moment (35%; M_W 7.6) of 215 the entire rupture. The rupture propagates in an asymmetric bilateral manner with a 216 strong SW-oriented direction, rupturing a total length of 120 km over 20 s duration. Most 217 notably, the SW flank of the rupture front apparently back-propagates through the hypocen-218 tral region beyond 20 km SW of the epicenter (Fig. 2). Even after accounting for smooth-219 ing constraints applied to our inversion, the migration speed of the associated SW-directing 220 back-propagating rupture signal is in a range of \sim 5–6 km/s that exceeds the local S-wave 221 velocity (Table S1; Laske et al., 2013) (Fig. 2; Movies S1 and S2), indicating a super-shear 222 rupture. Although the rigorous estimates of rupture velocity can be limited due to the 223 smoothing constraints, the migration speed of this high slip-rate zone is related to the 224 rupture-front velocity (Okuwaki et al., 2020). The fault geometry estimated from our 225 potency-density tensor approach shows vertical strike-slip faulting with a median strike 226 of 55° (e.g., 22–23 s; Fig. 3) that is much more consistent with the main EAF. 227

Rupture Episode 3. A third rupture phase NE of the hypocentre begins to be dom-228 inant from OT+35 s, soon after the SW back-rupture propagation decays. It first prop-229 agates to the SW near the NE flank of the second rupture episode at the migration speed 230 of 5–6 km/s, but then the NE-oriented component of the bilateral rupture becomes more 231 dominant during OT+37–45 s with an apparently fast migration, rupturing a total length 232 of 100 km until it immediately stops near the NE edge of the model domain at 120 km 233 NE from the epicenter (Fig. 2) with 15% of the total seismic moment (M_W 7.4). The strike 234 orientation is similar to that of the SW back-rupture and remains consistent with the 235 main EAF. 236

Rupture Episode 4. A fourth rupture episode starts at OT+45 s in the SW corner 237 of the model domain, partially overlapping in space with the second rupture. The rup-238 ture front unilaterally propagates toward the SW at fast, supershear speed, exceeding 239 the local S-wave velocity during OT+45-55 s with the apparent migration speed of 6– 240 7 km/s. Then, the rupture front apparently slows down at \sim 150 km SW from our junc-241 tion of the EAF and splay model faults, and stops at 75 s. The median strike orientation 242 shows 54° (e.g., 50-51 s time window). The fourth rupture episode has 43% of the to-243 tal seismic moment ($M_{\rm W}$ 7.7), and the potency-density tensors display the median non-244 double couple component of 24% (e.g., 60-61 s; Fig. 3). 245

246

3.2 Secondary M_W 7.6 Ekinözü earthquake

The rupture process of the later *M* 7.6 has a more confined nature, which ruptures 80 km length and 20 km width over a single episode, and the total seismic moment is 3.2×10^{20} N m (M_W 7.6). The rupture evolution is asymmetric bilateral with a domi-

nant westwards-directed rupture from the epicenter. The west-oriented rupture prop-250 agates at a fast migration speed of 5–6 km/s from 6 to 10 s, which again exceeds the lo-251 cal S-wave velocity (Table S1; Fig. 2; Movies S1 and S2). The rupture immediately stops 252 at around 15 s. The fault geometry estimated from our potency-density tensors has an 253 EW-oriented curved fault strike with strike-slip faulting, which is well aligned with the 254 prescribed curved model plane geometry. The estimated fault dip is dominantly verti-255 cal, but the dip angle slightly shallows with depth from 76° to 61°, as defined by the max-256 imum along-strike potency density (Fig. S4b). Near the end of the rupture, dip-slip fault-257 ing components become dominant at the tips of the main rupture, with strikes rotated 258 north-south (Fig. 3). 259

260 **4 Discussion**

261

4.1 M_W 7.9 event: rupture initiation on a splay fault to the main EAF

The initial rupture of the M_W 7.9 event has a different fault orientation than that 262 of the following main bilateral rupture that releases most (97%) of the seismic moment. 263 For example, during the peak slip of the first rupture episode (7–8 s), the median strike 264 is 37°, whilst the later bilateral rupture episode has a median strike of 55° (Fig. 3). In 265 the initial rupture domain, intense aftershock activity is observed NE of the epicenter 266 (Melgar et al., 2023), in a lineation oriented SW to NE, seemingly connecting to the main 267 EAF strand at \sim 35°. The alignment of these aftershocks on the splay fault is consistent 268 with the strike orientation estimated from our inversion. To the east of the epicenter, 269 the Narlıdağ fault zone has been mapped to extend to the N and NE (Perinçek & Çemen, 270 1990; Duman & Emre, 2013). From the rapid analyses of the satellite images and field 271 measurements, surface rupture is also observed near the epicenter, which is elongated 272 NE and is consistent with our estimated strike orientation (Reitman et al., 2023), which 273 is called as Nurdağı-Pazarcık fault by Melgar et al. (2023). Given the hypocenter loca-274 tion, first motion mechanism, aftershock lineation, and the mapped active faults and 275 surface ruptures near the epicenter, it is likely that the first rupture episode occurred 276 on a sub-parallel splay fault to the main EAF and initiated with an oblique-normal fault-277 ing mechanism. The relative angle of our estimated strike orientations between the splay 278 fault and the main EAF model domain is $\sim 18^{\circ}$, which is close to the peak of the splay 279 angle distributions $(\pm 17^{\circ})$ observed in the active fault system in California (Ando et al., 280 2009; Scholz et al., 2010). In between the first and second rupture episodes, we only see 281 the minor moment release, and these two episodes are not apparently physically con-282 nected to each other. 283

284

4.2 Rupture dynamics during apparent back-propagating slip

One of the notable features of the M_W 7.9 earthquake is the main asymmetric bilateral rupture of the second episode during OT+15–35 s (Fig. 2), where the SW flank of the bilateral rupture apparently propagates back through the hypocentral area. Such a boomerang-like back rupture propagation is an end-member rupture behavior that has become more frequently reported with higher-resolution datasets and more detailed rupture imaging (Meng et al., 2018; Hicks et al., 2020; Yamashita, Yagi, & Okuwaki, 2022; Vallée et al., 2023). However, in all of these cases, data were not ground-truth fine-scale rupture details that could explain the apparent back-rupture propagation because the
earthquakes studied were either deep or in remote areas. Therefore, the apparent boomerang
rupture of the 2023 SE Türkiye earthquake is intriguing because we show that the rupture propagated along different sub-parallel fault strands which could help to explain
these previously reported examples of back-propagating ruptures.

Although it is still difficult to find a deterministic explanation of why the initial 297 rupture occurred not on the main EAF, but on the bifurcated minor fault, the series of 298 multiple ruptures that are responsible for the resultant boomerang-like rupture can be 299 explained by a cascading up of rupture size based on a hierarchical rupture model (e.g., 300 Ide & Aochi, 2005; Otsuki & Dilov, 2005). In this case, with the initial rupture on the 301 shorter, bifurcated minor splay and the secondary, longer rupture, the secondary rup-302 ture can be dynamically triggered by the initial rupture propagation as it cascades up 303 to the longer scale of the rupture. The main EAF should have accumulated enough strain 304 due to the plate accommodation (e.g., Aktug et al., 2016; Weiss et al., 2020), which makes 305 it ready to be ruptured once assisted by the initial rupture on the bifurcated fault. 306

Rupture dynamics across branching faults have been extensively studied by the 307 numerical simulations (Kame et al., 2003; Ando & Yamashita, 2007; Aochi et al., 2000; 308 Bhat et al., 2007; S. Xu et al., 2015; Okubo et al., 2020). Backward branching rupture 309 is particularly proposed (Fliss et al., 2005), where the stress accumulation at the tip of 310 the main fault enhances rupture jump onto the neighboring branch fault, which nucle-311 ates bilateral rupture, where of which one flank can be seen as apparent backward rup-312 ture. Although it remains to be solved whether the initial rupture is physically inter-313 secting the main EAF or not, our source model shows that the initial rupture is not con-314 tinuously propagating with a resolvable slip-rate into the main EAF, and the second rup-315 ture episode begins on the main EAF \sim 20 km SW from the apparent junction of the ini-316 tial fault strand and the main EAF. The spatiotemporal gap between the initial and sec-317 ond rupture episodes might play a role to enable the cascade up or jump of rupture to 318 the larger scale main rupture. The main EAF west of the junction with the Narlıdağ fault 319 zone should be situated in the extensional quadrant of the left-lateral Rupture Episode 320 1, which may impart a stress shadow on the main EAF. Such a stress shadow may have 321 disrupted the SW-directed Rupture Episode 2, which we see as a temporary rupture de-322 celeration at OT+15–20 s before it then accelerated to supershear (Fig. 2). The rupture 323 propagation toward SW through the hypocentral region may be enabled because the longer-324 scale main EAF rupture should have enough fracture energy to easily overcome the area 325 affected by the stress shadow possibly generated by the lower level of rupture episode. 326

The strike orientation during the second rupture episode (OT+15-20 s) is slightly 327 rotated clockwise, which is also mapped in the main EAF strand west of the junction 328 (Figs. 1 and 3). If this change in fault orientation acts as a restraining bend given the back-329 ground stress field, the rupture propagation may cause a concentration of stress at the 330 bend. This might have caused the rupture deceleration, which can be seen as the slip 331 stagnation during OT+15-20 s. Soon after this pause, dynamic stresses allowed the rup-332 ture to continue and propagate to the SW and even accelerate its speed, which can be 333 consistent with the predicted behavior of the supershear rupture transition across re-334 straining bends (e.g., Bruhat et al., 2016). 335

We further note that the NE and SW boundaries of the second rupture episode co-336 incide with the fault steps near Gölbaşı and south of Nurdağı regions seen from the ac-337 tive faults (see locations S1 and S2 in Fig. S5). The apparent delay times between the sec-338 ond rupture episode and the following episodes are also worth noting, which takes 10 s 339 between the NE-end of the second rupture to the third rupture nucleation (location S1; 340 OT+25-35 s) and also 10 s between the SW-end of the second rupture and the fourth 341 rupture (location S2; OT+35–45 s) (Fig. S5). We do not have enough evidence to explain 342 how such episode gaps are physically connected, but it will stimulate further research 343 to investigate how the rupture evolved across fault steps with such delay times, for example, the long nucleation processes or possibly inter-subevent slow deformation. 345

346

4.3 The SW-end third rupture episode broke multiple fault segments

Together with the radiation pattern of the left-lateral faulting, the strong directivity of the SW-oriented back rupture process can result in a further cascading of the rupture toward the SW. Our source exhibits a relatively fast and smooth rupture along the section near Nurdağı, whilst it suddenly slows down at 55 s, where the rupture intersects at the apparent left-step seen in the active fault strand south of Hassa (Fig. 1).

The strike orientation extracted from the best-double-couple solution of our es-352 timated potency-density tensors is not apparently aligned with the bulk linear trend of 353 the active faults (Fig. 2). However, because we observe non-double-couple fractions for 354 the SW end rupture (e.g., 24% during 60-61 s; Fig. 3), it should still be too early to de-355 fine which individual fault strands likely ruptured. South of Hassa, several distinct fault 356 segments are separated by step-overs (Fig. 3) (Duman & Emre, 2013). The aftershock 357 distribution here also displays a more scattered nature than the other section along the 358 main EAF and the one near the epicenter; however, we cannot rule out a greater earth-359 quake location uncertainty due to diminished regional seismic network coverage close 360 to the Syria border. Pre-earthquake field measurements (Emre et al., 2018; Duman & 361 Emre, 2013), as well as the fault rupture mapping immediately after the 2023 earthquakes 362 (Reitman et al., 2023) shows a zigzag geometry involving the bends and curves. This ev-363 idence collectively suggests that the later phase of rupture may have involved multiple 364 faults with different geometries in the SW. 365

366

4.4 M_W 7.6 event: curved and focused rupture

We find the M_W 7.6 earthquake shows a much more focused rupture process, com-367 pared with the preceding $M_{\rm W}$ 7.9 event. Yet, our solution finds that the strike of the rup-368 tured fault geometry curves gradually, with a counterclockwise rotation toward the west. 369 The rotation trend can favorably be oriented to the optimal plane of the background hor-370 izontal stress given the bulk E-W oriented left-lateral strike-slip system of the Sürgü fault 371 zone. This trend can thus help to rupture propagation, in a similar way to a fault-releasing 372 bend (e.g., Kase & Day, 2006). In addition, such a favorably curved fault geometry may 373 have facilitated the supershear rupture (e.g., Trugman & Dunham, 2014; Bruhat et al., 374 2016), albeit over a relatively short distance. At the western and eastern ends of the model 375 domain, we find a significant change of mapped fault geometry and the orientation of 376 the potency density tensors. At these domains, the strike orientation is almost NS, and 377

dip-slip becomes dominant. The complex network in Gŏksun-Savrun faults to the west
and Nurhak Fault complex to the east (Duman & Emre, 2013) can explain such the significant change of fault geometry, asymmetric nature of the bilateral rupture, and the
likely reason for abrupt rupture termination at both ends.

The collocation of the two M_W 7.9 and M_W 7.6 earthquakes, only separated around 382 9 hours apart, may give rise to a question over how the initial M_W 7.9 earthquake can 383 affect and possibly trigger the later M_W 7.6 earthquake. Such earthquake doublets have 384 been reported before in different tectonic environments (e.g., Lay & Kanamori, 1980; 385 Astiz & Kanamori, 1984; Nissen et al., 2016; Ammon et al., 2008; Fan et al., 2016; Lay 386 et al., 2013; ten Brink et al., 2020; Hicks & Rietbrock, 2015; Ross et al., 2019; Jiang et 387 al., 2022; Yagi et al., 2023). Our Coulomb stress analyses using our estimated source model 388 shows the M_W 7.9 earthquake may have induced positive static stress change in the hy-389 pothesized $M_{\rm W}$ 7.6 source domain (~0.4 bar) (Fig. S6), which may have brought the fault 390 that hosted the M_W 7.6 earthquake closer to failure. 391

392 Conclusions

We find the differently oriented, curved, and multiple fault segments facilitate the 393 series of complex rupture geometries during the devastating earthquakes in 2023. Back-394 propagating supershear rupture during the initial M_W 7.9 earthquake is facilitated by 395 the branching fault rupture that provides an initial stress trigger to the larger-scale main 396 EAF rupture. The secondary M_W 7.6 earthquake involves the westward supershear rup-397 ture, which is abruptly interrupted by the geometric barriers in both the western and 398 eastern ends of the northern strand of the EAF, being responsible for the relatively fo-399 cused source nature. Our results suggest the geometrically complex fault network around 400 the source region should be key to developing multi-scale cascading rupture growth and 401 alternating rupture directions, which will be critical inputs for both our understand-402 ing of earthquake source physics and better assessment of the future damaging earth-403 quakes in complex fault zones. 404

405 **Open Research**

406 Materials presented in this paper are archived and available at https://doi.org/10.5281/

- zenodo.7678181. The seismic data were downloaded through the IRIS Wilber 3 system
- (https://ds.iris.edu/wilber3/find_event) or IRIS Web Services (https://service.iris.edu).
- We used ObsPy (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.165135; Beyreuther et al., 2010), Py-
- rocko (https://pyrocko.org/; Heimann et al., 2017), matplotlib (https://doi.org/10.5281/
- ⁴¹¹ zenodo.592536; Hunter, 2007), Cartopy (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1182735; Met
- ⁴¹² Office, 2015; Elson et al., 2022), Generic Mapping Tools (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo
- .3407865; Wessel & Luis, 2017); and Scientific colour maps (https://doi.org/10.5281/
- zenodo.1243862; Crameri, 2018; Crameri et al., 2020) for data processing and visual-
- ization. First motion mechanisms were picked using waveform data from the follow-
- ing seismic networks: KO (https://doi.org/10.7914/SN/KO); IM (https://www.fdsn.org/
- networks/detail/IM/); TK (https://doi.org/10.7914/SN/TK); and TU (https://doi.org/
- ⁴¹⁸ 10.7914/SN/TU).

419 Acknowledgments

- 420 We thank editors and reviewers for their evaluations of this manuscript. The facilities
- of IRIS Data Services, and specifically the IRIS Data Management Center, were used for
- access to waveforms, related metadata, and/or derived products used in this study. IRIS
- ⁴²³ Data Services are funded through the Seismological Facilities for the Advancement of
- 424 Geoscience (SAGE) Award of the National Science Foundation under Cooperative Sup-
- ⁴²⁵ port Agreement EAR-1851048. We appreciate the Disaster and Emergency Management
- Presidency of Türkiye (AFAD) for providing an initial catalogue of aftershocks and phase-
- arrival data acquired from their bulletin resources. This work was supported by JSPS
- 428 Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 19K04030 and 22K03751 and Early-Career Sci-
- entists 20K14570. The authors declare no conflicts of interest relevant to this study.



Figure 1. Summary of the study region. The yellow stars are the relocated epicenters of the M_W 7.9 and M_W 7.6 earthquakes. The dots are the relocated aftershocks ($M \ge 1.1$) from 2023-02-06 01:17:32 (UTC) to 2023-02-16 21:35:55 (UTC) (after Melgar et al., 2023). The blue beachballs are the GCMT solutions (Dziewonski et al., 1981; Ekström et al., 2012) and the gray beachballs are the focal mechanisms determined by the AFAD (AFAD Focal Mechanism Solution, 2023) during the 2023 earthquake sequence. The active faults are from Emre et al. (2018), including the East Anatolian Fault Zone (EAFZ), Sürgü Fault Zone (SFZ), and Narlıdağ fault zone (NFZ). The square markers locate major provinces and towns. The white star is the epicenter of the 2020 M_W 6.7 Doğanyol–Sivrice earthquake (Taymaz et al., 2021). The circles are the epicenters of the historical earthquakes (Ambraseys, 1989; Ambraseys & Jackson, 1998). The basemap tiles (terrain) including the administrative boundaries are provided by Stamen Design (under CC BY 3.0 license) and Open-StreetMap (under ODbL license). The inset map shows the boundaries between Aegean Sea (AS), African (AF), Anatolian (AT), Arabian (AR), and Eurasian (EU) plates (Bird, 2003). The arrows denote the relative motion of the EAF and the NAF. The square box outlines the map extent of Fig. 1.



Figure 2. Summary of our solutions for the M_W 7.9 and M_W 7.6 earthquakes. (a) The beachball shows the lower-hemisphere projection of the moment tensor calculated by integrating the potency-rate density tensors with respect to time at each source element, with its size scaled with the potency density. Only the moment tensors with the maximum potency density along depth are shown. A full set of the potency-density tensors are shown in Fig. S4. The stars, dots, and lines are the same as shown in Fig. 1. (b) The moment-rate functions. The right panels show the spatiotemporal distributions of the potency-rate density for (c,d) the M_W 7.9 and (e) the M_W 7.6 earthquakes, projected along the non-planar model faults. The "0" on the X-axis of panel (c) corresponds to the location of junction between the splay fault and the main EAF, while "0" of panel (d) corresponds to the initial rupture point on the splay fault. The star shows the location of the source element on the EAF that is closest to the initial rupture point on the splay fault. The dashed contours show the potency-rate density on the splay fault during OT+0-15 s projected onto the approximate location on the main EAF model domain. The panel (d) is the splay fault domain for the $M_{\rm W}$ 7.9 earthquake. The abscissa shows the distance along the model fault. The dashed lines are the reference rupture speeds. The black contours are drawn at every 0.13 m/s (lower panels) and 0.36 m/s (upper panel) for the M_W 7.9 and the M_W 7.6 earthquakes, respectively. The panel (d) is flipped horizontally so that it can intuitively be compared with a map view of the corresponding model.



Figure 3. Selected snapshots of the spatiotemporal potency-rate density tensor distributions for (a) the M_W 7.6 and (b) M_W 7.9 earthquakes. The time window for the snapshot is shown on the corresponding panel. The yellow bar is the strike orientation extracted from the best-fitting double-couple components of the resultant potency-rate density tensors. The size of the beachball is scaled by the maximum potency-rate density in the corresponding time window. The optimum strike angle is one of the two possible nodal planes that minimizes the inner product of fault-normal vectors of the candidate plane and the reference fault plane: $54^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ and $261^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ (strike/dip) for the M_W 7.9 and the M_W 7.6 earthquakes, respectively. Only the source elements of the maximum potency-rate density along depth are shown. The full snapshots are shown in Movies S1 and S2. Panels (c) and (d) show the enlarged view of the initial and fourth rupture episodes, respectively. The inset on (c) shows the best-fitting focal mechanism: $197^{\circ}/86^{\circ}/56^{\circ}$ (strike/dip/rake) determined by first-motions recorded by seismometer and strong-motion stations up to 350 km away (see Open Research) using the method of Hardebeck and Shearer (2002) with takeoff angles computed in the velocity model of Melgar et al. (2020). The stars, dots, and lines are the same as shown in Fig. 1. Panel (e) shows the map extents of (a) and (b).

430 References

Abercrombie, R. E., Antolik, M., & Ekström, G. (2003).The June 2000 431 M w 7.9 earthquakes south of Sumatra: Deformation in the India-432 J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth, 108(B1), ESE 6-1-ESE 6-16. Australia Plate. 433 doi:10.1029/2001jb000674 434 AFAD. (2023). AFAD (Disaster and Emergency Management Presidency) Earthquake 435 Catalog. Retrieved from https://deprem.afad.gov.tr/event-catalog 436 AFAD Focal Mechanism Solution. (2023). AFAD Focal Mechanism Solution. Re-437 trieved from https://deprem.afad.gov.tr/event-focal-mechanism 438 (1980). Likelihood and the Bayes procedure. Akaike, H. Trab. Estad. Y Investig. 439 Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02888350 Oper., 31(1), 143-166. 440 doi:10.1007/BF02888350 441 Aktug, B., Ozener, H., Dogru, A., Sabuncu, A., Turgut, B., Halicioglu, K., ... Havazli, 442 E. (2016). Slip rates and seismic potential on the East Anatolian Fault System 443 using an improved GPS velocity field. J. Geodyn., 94-95, 1-12. Retrieved from 444 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jog.2016.01.001https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/ 445 retrieve/pii/S0264370716300102 doi:10.1016/j.jog.2016.01.001 446 Temporary seismic quiescence: SE Turkey. Ambraseys, N. N. (1989). Geophys. 447 J. Int., 96(2), 311–331. Retrieved from https://academic.oup.com/gji/ 448 article-lookup/doi/10.1111/j.1365-246X.1989.tb04453.x doi:10.1111/j.1365-449 246X.1989.tb04453.x 450 Ambraseys, N. N., & Jackson, J. A. (1998). Faulting associated with historical and re-451 cent earthquakes in the Eastern Mediterranean region. Geophys. J. Int., 133(2), 452 Retrieved from https://academic.oup.com/gji/article/133/2/390/ 390-406. 453 578275 doi:10.1046/j.1365-246X.1998.00508.x 454 Ammon, C. J., Kanamori, H., & Lay, T. (2008).A great earthquake doublet and 455 seismic stress transfer cycle in the central Kuril islands. Nature, 451(7178), 456 561-565. Retrieved from http://www.nature.com/articles/nature06521 457 doi:10.1038/nature06521 458 Ando, R., Shaw, B. E., & Scholz, C. H. (2009). Quantifying Natural Fault Geometry: 459 Statistics of Splay Fault Angles. Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am., 99(1), 389–395. Re-460 trieved from https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/bssa/article/99/1/389-395/ 461 350160 doi:10.1785/0120080942 462 Ando, R., & Yamashita, T. (2007).Effects of mesoscopic-scale fault structure on 463 dynamic earthquake ruptures: Dynamic formation of geometrical com-464 plexity of earthquake faults. *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth*, 112(9), 1–15. 465 doi:10.1029/2006JB004612 466 Aochi, H., Fukuyama, E., & Matsu'ura, M. (2000).Selectivity of spontaneous 467 rupture propagation on a branched fault. Geophys. Res. Lett., 27(22), 3635-468 3638. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2000GL011560 469 doi:10.1029/2000GL011560 470 Arpat, E., & Saroglu, F. (1972). The East Anatolian fault system; thoughts on its de-471 velopment. Miner. Res. Explor. Inst. Turkey, 78, 33-39. Retrieved from https:// 472 dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/bulletinofmre/issue/3904/52066 473 Astiz, L., & Kanamori, H. (1984). An earthquake doublet in Ometepec, Guerrero, 474 Mexico. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.*, 34(1-2), 24–45. Retrieved from https:// 475

476 477	linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/0031920184900827 doi:10.1016/0031- 9201(84)90082-7				
478	Bao, H., Ampuero, JP., Meng, L., Fielding, E. J., Liang, C., Milliner, C. W. D.,				
479	Huang, H. (2019). Early and persistent supershear rupture of the 2018				
480	magnitude 7.5 Palu earthquake. Nat. Geosci., 12(3), 200–205. Retrieved				
481	from http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41561-018-0297-zhttp://www.nature.com/				
482	articles/s41561-018-0297-z doi:10.1038/s41561-018-0297-z				
483	Beyreuther, M., Barsch, R., Krischer, L., Megies, T., Behr, Y., & Wassermann, J.				
484	(2010). ObsPy: A Python Toolbox for Seismology. Seismol. Res. Lett., 81(3),				
485	530-533. doi:10.1785/gssrl.81.3.530				
486	Bhat, H. S., Olives, M., Dmowska, R., & Rice, J. R. (2007). Role of fault				
487	branches in earthquake rupture dynamics. J. Geophys. Res., 112(B11),				
488	B11309. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2007JB005027				
489	doi:10.1029/2007JB005027				
490	Bird, P. (2003). An updated digital model of plate boundaries. Geochemistry, Geo-				
491	phys. Geosystems, 4(3), 1105. doi:10.1029/2001GC000252				
492	Bruhat, L., Fang, Z., & Dunham, E. M. (2016). Rupture complexity and the super-				
493	shear transition on rough faults. J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth, 121(1), 210–224.				
494	doi:10.1002/2015JB012512				
495	Crameri, F. (2018). Geodynamic diagnostics, scientific visualisation and StagLab				
496	3.0. Geosci. Model Dev., 11(6), 2541–2562. doi:10.5194/gmd-11-2541-2018				
497	Crameri, F., Shephard, G. E., & Heron, P. J. (2020). The misuse of colour in science				
498	communication. Nat. Commun., 11(1), 5444. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-19160-				
499	7				
500	Das, S., & Aki, K. (1977). Fault plane with barriers: A versatile earthquake model.				
501	J. Geophys. Res., 82(36), 5658–5670. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/10				
502	.1029/JB082i036p05658 doi:10.1029/JB082i036p05658				
503	Duman, T. Y., Elmacı, H., Özalp, S., Kürçer, A., Kara, M., Özdemir, E., Uygun				
504	Güldoğan, Ç. (2020). Paleoseismology of the western Sürgü–Misis fault				
505	system: East Anatolian Fault, Turkey. Mediterr. Geosci. Rev., 2(3), 411–				
506	437. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1007/s42990-020-00041-6http://				
507					
	link.springer.com/10.1007/s42990-020-00041-6 doi:10.1007/s42990-020-				
508	link.springer.com/10.1007/s42990-020-00041-6 doi:10.1007/s42990-020- 00041-6				
508 509	link.springer.com/10.1007/s42990-020-00041-6 doi:10.1007/s42990-020- 00041-6 Duman, T. Y., & Emre, Ö. (2013). The East Anatolian Fault: geometry, segmen-				
508 509 510	link.springer.com/10.1007/s42990-020-00041-6 doi:10.1007/s42990-020- 00041-6 Duman, T. Y., & Emre, Ö. (2013). The East Anatolian Fault: geometry, segmen- tation and jog characteristics. <i>Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ.</i> , 372(1), 495–				
508 509 510 511	 link.springer.com/10.1007/s42990-020-00041-6 doi:10.1007/s42990-020-00041-6 Duman, T. Y., & Emre, Ö. (2013). The East Anatolian Fault: geometry, segmentation and jog characteristics. <i>Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ.</i>, 372(1), 495–529. Retrieved from https://www.lyellcollection.org/doi/10.1144/SP372.14 				
508 509 510 511 512	 link.springer.com/10.1007/s42990-020-00041-6 doi:10.1007/s42990-020-00041-6 Duman, T. Y., & Emre, Ö. (2013). The East Anatolian Fault: geometry, segmentation and jog characteristics. <i>Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ.</i>, 372(1), 495-529. Retrieved from https://www.lyellcollection.org/doi/10.1144/SP372.14 doi:10.1144/SP372.14 				
508 509 510 511 512 513	 link.springer.com/10.1007/s42990-020-00041-6 doi:10.1007/s42990-020-00041-6 Duman, T. Y., & Emre, Ö. (2013). The East Anatolian Fault: geometry, segmentation and jog characteristics. <i>Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ.</i>, 372(1), 495–529. Retrieved from https://www.lyellcollection.org/doi/10.1144/SP372.14 Dunham, E. M., & Bhat, H. S. (2008). Attenuation of radiated ground motion 				
508 509 510 511 512 513 514	 link.springer.com/10.1007/s42990-020-00041-6 doi:10.1007/s42990-020-00041-6 Duman, T. Y., & Emre, Ö. (2013). The East Anatolian Fault: geometry, segmentation and jog characteristics. <i>Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ.</i>, 372(1), 495-529. Retrieved from https://www.lyellcollection.org/doi/10.1144/SP372.14 Dunham, E. M., & Bhat, H. S. (2008). Attenuation of radiated ground motion and stresses from three-dimensional supershear ruptures. <i>J. Geophys. Res.</i> 				
508 509 510 511 512 513 514 515	 link.springer.com/10.1007/s42990-020-00041-6 doi:10.1007/s42990-020-00041-6 Duman, T. Y., & Emre, Ö. (2013). The East Anatolian Fault: geometry, segmentation and jog characteristics. <i>Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ.</i>, <i>372</i>(1), 495–529. Retrieved from https://www.lyellcollection.org/doi/10.1144/SP372.14 doi:10.1144/SP372.14 Dunham, E. M., & Bhat, H. S. (2008). Attenuation of radiated ground motion and stresses from three-dimensional supershear ruptures. <i>J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth</i>, <i>113</i>(B8), 1–17. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2007JB005102 				
508 509 510 512 513 514 515 516	 link.springer.com/10.1007/s42990-020-00041-6 doi:10.1007/s42990-020-00041-6 Duman, T. Y., & Emre, Ö. (2013). The East Anatolian Fault: geometry, segmentation and jog characteristics. <i>Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ.</i>, 372(1), 495-529. Retrieved from https://www.lyellcollection.org/doi/10.1144/SP372.14 Dunham, E. M., & Bhat, H. S. (2008). Attenuation of radiated ground motion and stresses from three-dimensional supershear ruptures. <i>J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth</i>, 113(B8), 1–17. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2007JB005182 Dei and M. Character, T. A. & W. W. Marker, M. M. S. (2004). 				
508 509 510 512 513 514 515 516 517	 link.springer.com/10.1007/s42990-020-00041-6 doi:10.1007/s42990-020-00041-6 Duman, T. Y., & Emre, Ö. (2013). The East Anatolian Fault: geometry, segmentation and jog characteristics. <i>Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ.</i>, <i>372</i>(1), 495–529. Retrieved from https://www.lyellcollection.org/doi/10.1144/SP372.14 Dunham, E. M., & Bhat, H. S. (2008). Attenuation of radiated ground motion and stresses from three-dimensional supershear ruptures. <i>J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth</i>, <i>113</i>(B8), 1–17. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2007JB005182 Dziewonski, A. M., Chou, TA., & Woodhouse, J. H. (1981). Determination of action of the puble of the laboration. 				
508 509 510 512 512 514 515 516 517 518	 link.springer.com/10.1007/s42990-020-00041-6 doi:10.1007/s42990-020-00041-6 Duman, T. Y., & Emre, Ö. (2013). The East Anatolian Fault: geometry, segmentation and jog characteristics. <i>Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ.</i>, <i>372</i>(1), 495-529. Retrieved from https://www.lyellcollection.org/doi/10.1144/SP372.14 doi:10.1144/SP372.14 Dunham, E. M., & Bhat, H. S. (2008). Attenuation of radiated ground motion and stresses from three-dimensional supershear ruptures. <i>J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth</i>, <i>113</i>(B8), 1–17. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2007JB005182 doi:10.1029/2007JB005182 Dziewonski, A. M., Chou, TA., & Woodhouse, J. H. (1981). Determination of earthquake source parameters from waveform data for studies of global and ragional aciemiaity. <i>J. Geophys. Res. Solid Farth</i>, <i>96</i>(PA), 2925, 2052. 				
508 509 510 512 513 514 515 516 517 518 519	 link.springer.com/10.1007/s42990-020-00041-6 doi:10.1007/s42990-020-00041-6 Duman, T. Y., & Emre, Ö. (2013). The East Anatolian Fault: geometry, segmentation and jog characteristics. <i>Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ.</i>, 372(1), 495-529. Retrieved from https://www.lyellcollection.org/doi/10.1144/SP372.14 Dunham, E. M., & Bhat, H. S. (2008). Attenuation of radiated ground motion and stresses from three-dimensional supershear ruptures. <i>J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth</i>, 113(B8), 1–17. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2007JB005182 Dziewonski, A. M., Chou, TA., & Woodhouse, J. H. (1981). Determination of earthquake source parameters from waveform data for studies of global and regional seismicity. <i>J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth</i>, 86(B4), 2825–2852. doi:10.1029/JB005182 				
508 509 510 512 513 514 515 516 517 518 519 520	 link.springer.com/10.1007/s42990-020-00041-6 doi:10.1007/s42990-020-00041-6 Duman, T. Y., & Emre, Ö. (2013). The East Anatolian Fault: geometry, segmentation and jog characteristics. <i>Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ.</i>, 372(1), 495-529. Retrieved from https://www.lyellcollection.org/doi/10.1144/SP372.14 doi:10.1144/SP372.14 Dunham, E. M., & Bhat, H. S. (2008). Attenuation of radiated ground motion and stresses from three-dimensional supershear ruptures. <i>J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth</i>, 113(B8), 1–17. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2007JB005182 doi:10.1029/2007JB005182 Dziewonski, A. M., Chou, TA., & Woodhouse, J. H. (1981). Determination of earthquake source parameters from waveform data for studies of global and regional seismicity. <i>J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth</i>, 86(B4), 2825–2852. doi:10.1029/JB086iB04p02825 				

522	2004–2010: Centroid-moment tensors for 13,017 earthquakes. Phys. Earth			
523	Planet. Inter., 200-201, 1-9. doi:10.1016/j.pepi.2012.04.002			
524	Elson, P., de Andrade, E. S., Lucas, G., May, R., Hattersley, R., Campbell, E.,			
525	daryl herzmann (2022). Scitools/cartopy: v0.21.1. Zenodo. Retrieved from			
526	https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7430317 doi:10.5281/zenodo.7430317			
527	Emre, Ö., Duman, T. Y., Özalp, S., Şaroğlu, F., Olgun, , Elmacı, H., & Çan, T.			
528	(2018). Active fault database of Turkey. Bull. Earthq. Eng., 16(8), 3229–3275.			
529	doi:10.1007/s10518-016-0041-2			
530	Fan, W., Okuwaki, R., Barbour, A. J., Huang, Y., Lin, G., & Cochran, E. S. (2022).			
531	Fast rupture of the 2009 M w 6.9 Canal de Ballenas earthquake in the			
532	Gulf of California dynamically triggers seismicity in California. Geo-			
533	<i>phys. J. Int.</i> , 230(1), 528–541. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1093/			
534	gji/ggac059https://academic.oup.com/gji/article/230/1/528/6524846			
535	doi:10.1093/gji/ggac059			
536	Fan, W., & Shearer, P. M. (2015). Detailed rupture imaging of the 25 April 2015			
537	Nepal earthquake using teleseismic P waves. Geophys. Res. Lett., 42(14), 5744-			
538	5752. doi:10.1002/2015GL064587			
539	Fan, W., & Shearer, P. M. (2016). Local near instantaneously dynamically trig-			
540	gered aftershocks of large earthquakes. <i>Science</i> , 353(6304), 1133–1136.			
541	doi:10.1126/science.aag0013			
542	Fan, W., Shearer, P. M., Ji, C., & Bassett, D. (2016). Multiple branching rupture of			
543	the 2009 Tonga-Samoa earthquake. J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth, 121(8), 5809–			
544	5827. doi:10.1002/2016JB012945			
545	Fang, J., Ou, Q., Wright, T. J., Okuwaki, R., Amey, R. M. J., Craig, T. J., Magh-			
546	soudi, Y. (2022). Earthquake Cycle Deformation Associated With the 2021 M			
547	W 7.4 Maduo (Eastern Tibet) Earthquake: An Intrablock Rupture Event on a			
548	Slow-Slipping Fault From Sentinel-1 InSAR and Teleseismic Data. J. Geophys.			
549	Res. Solid Earth, 127(11). Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/			
550	10.1029/2022JB024268 doi:10.1029/2022JB024268			
551	Fliss, S., Bhat, H. S., Dmowska, R., & Rice, J. R. (2005). Fault branching and rupture			
552	directivity. J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth, 110(B6). Retrieved from https://doi			
553	.org/10.1029/2004JB003368 doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JB003368			
554	Hardebeck, J. L., & Shearer, P. M. (2002). A New Method for Determin-			
555	ing First-Motion Focal Mechanisms. Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am., 92(6),			
556	2264–2276. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1785/0120010200			
557	doi:10.1785/0120010200			
558	Hartzell, S. H., & Heaton, T. H. (1983). Inversion of strong ground motion and			
559	teleseismic waveform data for the fault rupture history of the 1979 Imperial			
560	Valley, California, earthquake. Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am., 73(6A), 1553–1583.			
561	doi:10.1785/BSSA07306A1553			
562	Heimann, S., Kriegerowski, M., Isken, M., Cesca, S., Daout, S., Grigoli, F.,			
563	Vasyura-Bathke, H. (2017). Pyrocko - An open-source seismology toolbox and			
564	library. GFZ Data Services. doi:10.5880/GFZ.2.1.2017.001			
565	Hicks, S. P., Okuwaki, R., Steinberg, A., Rychert, C. A., Harmon, N., Abercrom-			
566	bie, R. E., Sudhaus, H. (2020). Back-propagating supershear rupture in			
567	the 2016 Mw 7.1 Romanche transform fault earthquake. <i>Nat. Geosci.</i> , 13(9),			

568	647-653. doi:10.1038/s41561-020-0619-9				
569	Hicks, S. P., & Rietbrock, A. (2015). Seismic slip on an upper-plate normal fault				
570	during a large subduction megathrust rupture. <i>Nat. Geosci.</i> , 8(12), 955–960.				
571	doi:10.1038/ngeo2585				
572	Hu, Y., Yagi, Y., Okuwaki, R., & Shimizu, K. (2021). Back-propagating rup-				
573	ture evolution within a curved slab during the 2019 M w 8.0 Peru in-				
574	traslab earthquake. Geophys. J. Int., 227(3), 1602–1611. Retrieved from				
575	https://academic.oup.com/gji/advance-article/doi/10.1093/gji/ggab303/				
576	6338111https://academic.oup.com/gji/article/227/3/1602/6338111				
577	doi:10.1093/gji/ggab303				
578	Huang, Y. (2018). Earthquake Rupture in Fault Zones With Along-Strike				
579	Material Heterogeneity. J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth, 123(11), 9884–				
580	9898. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2018JB016354				
581	doi:10.1029/2018JB016354				
582	Hunter, J. D. (2007). Matplotlib: A 2D Graphics Environment. <i>Comput. Sci. Eng.</i> ,				
583	9(3), 90–95. doi:10.1109/MCSE.2007.55				
584	Ide, S., & Aochi, H. (2005). Earthquakes as multiscale dynamic ruptures with het-				
585	erogeneous fracture surface energy. J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth, 110(B11),				
586	1–10. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2004JB003591				
587	doi:10.1029/2004JB003591				
588	Ishii, M., Shearer, P. M., Houston, H., & Vidale, J. E. (2005). Extent, duration and				
589	speed of the 2004 Sumatra-Andaman earthquake imaged by the Hi-Net array.				
590	<i>Nature</i> , 435(7044), 933–936. doi:10.1038/nature03675				
591	Jackson, J., & McKenzie, D. (1984). Active tectonics of the Alpine–Himalayan				
592	Belt between western Turkey and Pakistan. <i>Geophys. J. Int.</i> , 77(1), 185–264.				
593	Retrieved from https://academic.oup.com/gji/article-lookup/doi/10.1111/				
594	j.1365-246X.1984.tb01931.x doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.1984.tb01931.x				
595	Jiang, Y., González, P. J., & Bürgmann, R. (2022). Subduction earthquakes con-				
596	trolled by incoming plate geometry: The 2020 M $>$ 7.5 Shumagin, Alaska,				
597	earthquake doublet. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 584, 117447. Retrieved				
598	from https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0012821X22000838				
599	doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2022.117447				
600	Kame, N., Rice, J. R., & Dmowska, R. (2003). Effects of prestress state and rup-				
601	ture velocity on dynamic fault branching. J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth,				
602	108(B5), 1–21. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2002JB002189				
603	doi:10.1029/2002JB002189				
604	Kase, Y., & Day, S. M. (2006). Spontaneous rupture processes on a bending fault.				
605	Geophys. Res. Lett., 33(10), 1-4. Retrieved from http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/				
606	2006GL025870 doi:10.1029/2006GL025870				
607	Kikuchi, M., & Kanamori, H. (1991). Inversion of complex body waves-III. Bull.				
608	Seism. Soc. Am., 81(6), 2335–2350. doi:10.1785/BSSA0810062335				
609	King, G. C., Stein, R. S., & Lin, J. (1994). Static stress changes and the				
610	triggering of earthquakes. Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am., 84(3), 935–953.				
611	doi:10.1785/BSSA0840030935				
612	Laske, G., Masters, T. G., Ma, Z., & Pasyanos, M. (2013). Update on CRUST1.0 - A 1-				
613	degree Global Model of Earth's Crust. Geophys. Res. Abstr. 15, Abstr. EGU2013-				

614	2658, 15, Abstract EGU2013–2658. (https://igppweb.ucsd.edu/~gabi/crust1		
615	.html)		
616	Lay, T., Duputel, Z., Ye, L., & Kanamori, H. (2013). The December 7, 2012 Japan		
617	Trench intraplate doublet (Mw 7.2, 7.1) and interactions between near-		
618	trench intraplate thrust and normal faulting. <i>Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.</i> ,		
619	220, 73–78. Retrieved from https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/		
620	S0031920113000599 doi:10.1016/j.pepi.2013.04.009		
621	Lay, T., & Kanamori, H. (1980). Earthquake doublets in the Solomon Islands. <i>Phys.</i>		
622	Earth Planet. Inter., 21(4), 283–304. Retrieved from https://linkinghub.elsevier		
623	.com/retrieve/pii/003192018090134X doi:10.1016/0031-9201(80)90134-X		
624	Lin, J., & Stein, R. S. (2004). Stress triggering in thrust and subduction earth-		
625	guakes and stress interaction between the southern San Andreas and nearby		
626	thrust and strike-slip faults. <i>I. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth</i> , 109(B2), 1–19.		
627	doi:10.1029/2003jb002607		
628	McKenzie, D. (1972). Active Tectonics of the Mediterranean Region. <i>Geophys.</i>		
629	<i>J. Int.</i> , 30(2), 109–185. Retrieved from https://academic.oup.com/gji/		
630	article-lookup/doi/10.1111/j.1365-246X.1972.tb02351.x doi:10.1111/j.1365-		
631	246X.1972.tb02351.x		
632	Melgar, D., Ganas, A., Taymaz, T., Valkaniotis, S., Crowell, B. W., Kapetani-		
633	dis, V., Öcalan, T. (2020). Rupture kinematics of 2020 January 24		
634	Mw 6.7 Doğanyol-Sivrice, Turkey earthquake on the East Anatolian Fault		
635	Zone imaged by space geodesy. <i>Geophys. J. Int.</i> , 223(2), 862–874. Re-		
636	trieved from https://academic.oup.com/gji/article/223/2/862/5872486		
	1 1 0,		
637	doi:10.1093/gji/ggaa345		
637 638	doi:10.1093/gji/ggaa345 Melgar, D., Taymaz, T., Ganas, A., Crowell, B. W., Öcalan, T., Kahraman, M.,		
637 638 639	doi:10.1093/gji/ggaa345 Melgar, D., Taymaz, T., Ganas, A., Crowell, B. W., Öcalan, T., Kahraman, M., & Tsironi, V. (2023). Sub- and super-shear ruptures during the 2023		
637 638 639 640	doi:10.1093/gji/ggaa345 Melgar, D., Taymaz, T., Ganas, A., Crowell, B. W., Öcalan, T., Kahraman, M., & Tsironi, V. (2023). Sub- and super-shear ruptures during the 2023 Mw 7.8 and Mw 7.6 earthquake doublet in SE Türkiye. <i>EarthArXiv</i> .		
637 638 639 640 641	doi:10.1093/gji/ggaa345 Melgar, D., Taymaz, T., Ganas, A., Crowell, B. W., Öcalan, T., Kahraman, M., & Tsironi, V. (2023). Sub- and super-shear ruptures during the 2023 Mw 7.8 and Mw 7.6 earthquake doublet in SE Türkiye. <i>EarthArXiv</i> . doi:10.31223/X52W9D		
637 638 639 640 641 642	doi:10.1093/gji/ggaa345 Melgar, D., Taymaz, T., Ganas, A., Crowell, B. W., Öcalan, T., Kahraman, M., & Tsironi, V. (2023). Sub- and super-shear ruptures during the 2023 Mw 7.8 and Mw 7.6 earthquake doublet in SE Türkiye. <i>EarthArXiv</i> . doi:10.31223/X52W9D Meng, L., Ampuero, J. P., Stock, J., Duputel, Z., Luo, Y., & Tsai, V. C. (2012).		
637 638 639 640 641 642 643	doi:10.1093/gji/ggaa345 Melgar, D., Taymaz, T., Ganas, A., Crowell, B. W., Öcalan, T., Kahraman, M., & Tsironi, V. (2023). Sub- and super-shear ruptures during the 2023 Mw 7.8 and Mw 7.6 earthquake doublet in SE Türkiye. <i>EarthArXiv</i> . doi:10.31223/X52W9D Meng, L., Ampuero, J. P., Stock, J., Duputel, Z., Luo, Y., & Tsai, V. C. (2012). Earthquake in a maze: Compressional rupture branching during the		
637 638 639 640 641 642 643 644	doi:10.1093/gji/ggaa345 Melgar, D., Taymaz, T., Ganas, A., Crowell, B. W., Öcalan, T., Kahraman, M., & Tsironi, V. (2023). Sub- and super-shear ruptures during the 2023 Mw 7.8 and Mw 7.6 earthquake doublet in SE Türkiye. <i>EarthArXiv</i> . doi:10.31223/X52W9D Meng, L., Ampuero, J. P., Stock, J., Duputel, Z., Luo, Y., & Tsai, V. C. (2012). Earthquake in a maze: Compressional rupture branching during the 2012 Mw 8.6 Sumatra earthquake. <i>Science</i> , 337(6095), 724–726.		
637 638 639 640 641 642 643 644 644	doi:10.1093/gji/ggaa345 Melgar, D., Taymaz, T., Ganas, A., Crowell, B. W., Öcalan, T., Kahraman, M., & Tsironi, V. (2023). Sub- and super-shear ruptures during the 2023 Mw 7.8 and Mw 7.6 earthquake doublet in SE Türkiye. <i>EarthArXiv</i> . doi:10.31223/X52W9D Meng, L., Ampuero, J. P., Stock, J., Duputel, Z., Luo, Y., & Tsai, V. C. (2012). Earthquake in a maze: Compressional rupture branching during the 2012 Mw 8.6 Sumatra earthquake. <i>Science</i> , 337(6095), 724–726. doi:10.1126/science.1224030		
637 638 639 640 641 642 643 644 645 646	doi:10.1093/gji/ggaa345 Melgar, D., Taymaz, T., Ganas, A., Crowell, B. W., Öcalan, T., Kahraman, M., & Tsironi, V. (2023). Sub- and super-shear ruptures during the 2023 Mw 7.8 and Mw 7.6 earthquake doublet in SE Türkiye. <i>EarthArXiv</i> . doi:10.31223/X52W9D Meng, L., Ampuero, J. P., Stock, J., Duputel, Z., Luo, Y., & Tsai, V. C. (2012). Earthquake in a maze: Compressional rupture branching during the 2012 Mw 8.6 Sumatra earthquake. <i>Science</i> , 337(6095), 724–726. doi:10.1126/science.1224030 Meng, L., Bao, H., Huang, H., Zhang, A., Bloore, A., & Liu, Z. (2018). Double		
637 638 639 640 641 642 643 644 645 646 647	doi:10.1093/gji/ggaa345 Melgar, D., Taymaz, T., Ganas, A., Crowell, B. W., Öcalan, T., Kahraman, M., & Tsironi, V. (2023). Sub- and super-shear ruptures during the 2023 Mw 7.8 and Mw 7.6 earthquake doublet in SE Türkiye. <i>EarthArXiv</i> . doi:10.31223/X52W9D Meng, L., Ampuero, J. P., Stock, J., Duputel, Z., Luo, Y., & Tsai, V. C. (2012). Earthquake in a maze: Compressional rupture branching during the 2012 Mw 8.6 Sumatra earthquake. <i>Science</i> , 337(6095), 724–726. doi:10.1126/science.1224030 Meng, L., Bao, H., Huang, H., Zhang, A., Bloore, A., & Liu, Z. (2018). Double pincer movement: Encircling rupture splitting during the 2015 Mw 8.3 Il-		
637 638 639 640 641 642 643 644 645 646 647 648	doi:10.1093/gji/ggaa345 Melgar, D., Taymaz, T., Ganas, A., Crowell, B. W., Öcalan, T., Kahraman, M., & Tsironi, V. (2023). Sub- and super-shear ruptures during the 2023 Mw 7.8 and Mw 7.6 earthquake doublet in SE Türkiye. <i>EarthArXiv</i> . doi:10.31223/X52W9D Meng, L., Ampuero, J. P., Stock, J., Duputel, Z., Luo, Y., & Tsai, V. C. (2012). Earthquake in a maze: Compressional rupture branching during the 2012 Mw 8.6 Sumatra earthquake. <i>Science</i> , 337(6095), 724–726. doi:10.1126/science.1224030 Meng, L., Bao, H., Huang, H., Zhang, A., Bloore, A., & Liu, Z. (2018). Double pincer movement: Encircling rupture splitting during the 2015 Mw 8.3 Il- lapel earthquake. <i>Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.</i> , 495, 164–173. Retrieved from		
637 638 639 640 641 642 643 644 645 646 647 648 649	doi:10.1093/gji/ggaa345 Melgar, D., Taymaz, T., Ganas, A., Crowell, B. W., Öcalan, T., Kahraman, M., & Tsironi, V. (2023). Sub- and super-shear ruptures during the 2023 Mw 7.8 and Mw 7.6 earthquake doublet in SE Türkiye. <i>EarthArXiv</i> . doi:10.31223/X52W9D Meng, L., Ampuero, J. P., Stock, J., Duputel, Z., Luo, Y., & Tsai, V. C. (2012). Earthquake in a maze: Compressional rupture branching during the 2012 Mw 8.6 Sumatra earthquake. <i>Science</i> , 337(6095), 724–726. doi:10.1126/science.1224030 Meng, L., Bao, H., Huang, H., Zhang, A., Bloore, A., & Liu, Z. (2018). Double pincer movement: Encircling rupture splitting during the 2015 Mw 8.3 Il- lapel earthquake. <i>Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.</i> , 495, 164–173. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2018.04.057 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2018.04.057		
637 638 639 640 641 642 643 644 645 646 647 648 649 649	 doi:10.1093/gji/ggaa345 Melgar, D., Taymaz, T., Ganas, A., Crowell, B. W., Öcalan, T., Kahraman, M., & Tsironi, V. (2023). Sub- and super-shear ruptures during the 2023 Mw 7.8 and Mw 7.6 earthquake doublet in SE Türkiye. <i>EarthArXiv</i>. doi:10.31223/X52W9D Meng, L., Ampuero, J. P., Stock, J., Duputel, Z., Luo, Y., & Tsai, V. C. (2012). Earthquake in a maze: Compressional rupture branching during the 2012 Mw 8.6 Sumatra earthquake. <i>Science</i>, 337(6095), 724–726. doi:10.1126/science.1224030 Meng, L., Bao, H., Huang, H., Zhang, A., Bloore, A., & Liu, Z. (2018). Double pincer movement: Encircling rupture splitting during the 2015 Mw 8.3 Il- lapel earthquake. <i>Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.</i>, 495, 164–173. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2018.04.057 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2018.04.057 Met Office. (2015). <i>Cartopy: a cartographic python library with a Mat</i> 		
637 638 639 640 641 642 643 644 645 646 647 648 649 650 651	 doi:10.1093/gji/ggaa345 Melgar, D., Taymaz, T., Ganas, A., Crowell, B. W., Öcalan, T., Kahraman, M., & Tsironi, V. (2023). Sub- and super-shear ruptures during the 2023 Mw 7.8 and Mw 7.6 earthquake doublet in SE Türkiye. <i>EarthArXiv</i>. doi:10.31223/X52W9D Meng, L., Ampuero, J. P., Stock, J., Duputel, Z., Luo, Y., & Tsai, V. C. (2012). Earthquake in a maze: Compressional rupture branching during the 2012 Mw 8.6 Sumatra earthquake. <i>Science</i>, 337(6095), 724–726. doi:10.1126/science.1224030 Meng, L., Bao, H., Huang, H., Zhang, A., Bloore, A., & Liu, Z. (2018). Double pincer movement: Encircling rupture splitting during the 2015 Mw 8.3 Il- lapel earthquake. <i>Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.</i>, 495, 164–173. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2018.04.057 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2018.04.057 Met Office. (2015). <i>Cartopy: a cartographic python library with a Mat- plotlib interface.</i> Retrieved from https://scitools.org.uk/cartopy 		
637 639 640 641 642 643 644 645 646 647 648 649 650 651 651	 doi:10.1093/gji/ggaa345 Melgar, D., Taymaz, T., Ganas, A., Crowell, B. W., Öcalan, T., Kahraman, M., & Tsironi, V. (2023). Sub- and super-shear ruptures during the 2023 Mw 7.8 and Mw 7.6 earthquake doublet in SE Türkiye. <i>EarthArXiv</i>. doi:10.31223/X52W9D Meng, L., Ampuero, J. P., Stock, J., Duputel, Z., Luo, Y., & Tsai, V. C. (2012). Earthquake in a maze: Compressional rupture branching during the 2012 Mw 8.6 Sumatra earthquake. <i>Science</i>, 337(6095), 724–726. doi:10.1126/science.1224030 Meng, L., Bao, H., Huang, H., Zhang, A., Bloore, A., & Liu, Z. (2018). Double pincer movement: Encircling rupture splitting during the 2015 Mw 8.3 II- lapel earthquake. <i>Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.</i>, 495, 164–173. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2018.04.057 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2018.04.057 Met Office. (2015). <i>Cartopy: a cartographic python library with a Mat- plotlib interface.</i> Retrieved from https://scitools.org.uk/cartopy doi:10.5281/zenodo.1182735 		
637 638 639 640 641 642 643 644 645 646 647 648 649 650 651 652 653	 doi:10.1093/gji/ggaa345 Melgar, D., Taymaz, T., Ganas, A., Crowell, B. W., Öcalan, T., Kahraman, M., & Tsironi, V. (2023). Sub- and super-shear ruptures during the 2023 Mw 7.8 and Mw 7.6 earthquake doublet in SE Türkiye. <i>EarthArXiv</i>. doi:10.31223/X52W9D Meng, L., Ampuero, J. P., Stock, J., Duputel, Z., Luo, Y., & Tsai, V. C. (2012). Earthquake in a maze: Compressional rupture branching during the 2012 Mw 8.6 Sumatra earthquake. <i>Science</i>, 337(6095), 724–726. doi:10.1126/science.1224030 Meng, L., Bao, H., Huang, H., Zhang, A., Bloore, A., & Liu, Z. (2018). Double pincer movement: Encircling rupture splitting during the 2015 Mw 8.3 Il- lapel earthquake. <i>Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.</i>, 495, 164–173. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2018.04.057 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2018.04.057 Met Office. (2015). <i>Cartopy: a cartographic python library with a Mat- plotlib interface.</i> Retrieved from https://scitools.org.uk/cartopy doi:10.5281/zenodo.1182735 Nissen, E., Elliott, J. R., Sloan, R. A., Craig, T. J., Funning, G. J., Hutko, A., 		
637 639 640 641 642 643 644 645 646 647 648 649 650 651 651 652 653 653	 doi:10.1093/gji/ggaa345 Melgar, D., Taymaz, T., Ganas, A., Crowell, B. W., Öcalan, T., Kahraman, M., & Tsironi, V. (2023). Sub- and super-shear ruptures during the 2023 Mw 7.8 and Mw 7.6 earthquake doublet in SE Türkiye. <i>EarthArXiv</i>. doi:10.31223/X52W9D Meng, L., Ampuero, J. P., Stock, J., Duputel, Z., Luo, Y., & Tsai, V. C. (2012). Earthquake in a maze: Compressional rupture branching during the 2012 Mw 8.6 Sumatra earthquake. <i>Science</i>, 337(6095), 724–726. doi:10.1126/science.1224030 Meng, L., Bao, H., Huang, H., Zhang, A., Bloore, A., & Liu, Z. (2018). Double pincer movement: Encircling rupture splitting during the 2015 Mw 8.3 II- lapel earthquake. <i>Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.</i>, 495, 164–173. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2018.04.057 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2018.04.057 Met Office. (2015). <i>Cartopy: a cartographic python library with a Mat- plotlib interface.</i> Retrieved from https://scitools.org.uk/cartopy doi:10.5281/zenodo.1182735 Nissen, E., Elliott, J. R., Sloan, R. A., Craig, T. J., Funning, G. J., Hutko, A., Wright, T. J. (2016). Limitations of rupture forecasting exposed by in- 		
637 638 639 640 641 642 643 644 645 646 647 648 649 650 651 652 653 654 654	 doi:10.1093/gji/ggaa345 Melgar, D., Taymaz, T., Ganas, A., Crowell, B. W., Öcalan, T., Kahraman, M., & Tsironi, V. (2023). Sub- and super-shear ruptures during the 2023 Mw 7.8 and Mw 7.6 earthquake doublet in SE Türkiye. EarthArXiv. doi:10.31223/X52W9D Meng, L., Ampuero, J. P., Stock, J., Duputel, Z., Luo, Y., & Tsai, V. C. (2012). Earthquake in a maze: Compressional rupture branching during the 2012 Mw 8.6 Sumatra earthquake. Science, 337(6095), 724–726. doi:10.1126/science.1224030 Meng, L., Bao, H., Huang, H., Zhang, A., Bloore, A., & Liu, Z. (2018). Double pincer movement: Encircling rupture splitting during the 2015 Mw 8.3 II- lapel earthquake. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 495, 164–173. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2018.04.057 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2018.04.057 Met Office. (2015). Cartopy: a cartographic python library with a Mat- plotlib interface. Retrieved from https://scitools.org.uk/cartopy doi:10.5281/zenodo.1182735 Nissen, E., Elliott, J. R., Sloan, R. A., Craig, T. J., Funning, G. J., Hutko, A., Wright, T. J. (2016). Limitations of rupture forecasting exposed by in- stantaneously triggered earthquake doublet. Nat. Geosci., 9(4), 330–336. 		
637 638 640 641 642 643 644 645 646 647 648 649 650 651 652 653 654 655 655	 doi:10.1093/gji/ggaa345 Melgar, D., Taymaz, T., Ganas, A., Crowell, B. W., Öcalan, T., Kahraman, M., & Tsironi, V. (2023). Sub- and super-shear ruptures during the 2023 Mw 7.8 and Mw 7.6 earthquake doublet in SE Türkiye. <i>EarthArXiv</i>. doi:10.31223/X52W9D Meng, L., Ampuero, J. P., Stock, J., Duputel, Z., Luo, Y., & Tsai, V. C. (2012). Earthquake in a maze: Compressional rupture branching during the 2012 Mw 8.6 Sumatra earthquake. <i>Science</i>, 337(6095), 724–726. doi:10.1126/science.1224030 Meng, L., Bao, H., Huang, H., Zhang, A., Bloore, A., & Liu, Z. (2018). Double pincer movement: Encircling rupture splitting during the 2015 Mw 8.3 Il- lapel earthquake. <i>Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.</i>, 495, 164–173. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2018.04.057 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2018.04.057 Met Office. (2015). <i>Cartopy: a cartographic python library with a Mat- plotlib interface.</i> Retrieved from https://scitools.org.uk/cartopy doi:10.5281/zenodo.1182735 Nissen, E., Elliott, J. R., Sloan, R. A., Craig, T. J., Funning, G. J., Hutko, A., Wright, T. J. (2016). Limitations of rupture forecasting exposed by in- stantaneously triggered earthquake doublet. <i>Nat. Geosci.</i>, 9(4), 330–336. doi:10.1038/ngeo2653 		
 637 638 639 640 641 642 643 644 645 646 647 648 649 650 651 652 653 654 655 656 657 	 doi:10.1093/gji/gga345 Melgar, D., Taymaz, T., Ganas, A., Crowell, B. W., Öcalan, T., Kahraman, M., & Tsironi, V. (2023). Sub- and super-shear ruptures during the 2023 Mw 7.8 and Mw 7.6 earthquake doublet in SE Türkiye. <i>EarthArXiv</i>. doi:10.31223/X52W9D Meng, L., Ampuero, J. P., Stock, J., Duputel, Z., Luo, Y., & Tsai, V. C. (2012). Earthquake in a maze: Compressional rupture branching during the 2012 Mw 8.6 Sumatra earthquake. <i>Science</i>, 337(6095), 724–726. doi:10.1126/science.1224030 Meng, L., Bao, H., Huang, H., Zhang, A., Bloore, A., & Liu, Z. (2018). Double pincer movement: Encircling rupture splitting during the 2015 Mw 8.3 Il- lapel earthquake. <i>Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.</i>, 495, 164–173. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2018.04.057 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2018.04.057 Met Office. (2015). <i>Cartopy: a cartographic python library with a Mat- plotlib interface.</i> Retrieved from https://scitools.org.uk/cartopy doi:10.5281/zenodo.1182735 Nissen, E., Elliott, J. R., Sloan, R. A., Craig, T. J., Funning, G. J., Hutko, A., Wright, T. J. (2016). Limitations of rupture forecasting exposed by in- stantaneously triggered earthquake doublet. <i>Nat. Geosci.</i>, 9(4), 330–336. doi:10.1038/ngeo2653 Okubo, K., Rougier, E., Lei, Z., & Bhat, H. S. (2020). Modeling earthquakes with 		
 637 638 639 640 641 642 643 644 645 646 647 648 649 650 651 652 655 656 657 658 	 doi:10.1093/gji/gga345 Melgar, D., Taymaz, T., Ganas, A., Crowell, B. W., Öcalan, T., Kahraman, M., & Tsironi, V. (2023). Sub- and super-shear ruptures during the 2023 Mw 7.8 and Mw 7.6 earthquake doublet in SE Türkiye. <i>EarthArXiv</i>. doi:10.31223/X52W9D Meng, L., Ampuero, J. P., Stock, J., Duputel, Z., Luo, Y., & Tsai, V. C. (2012). Earthquake in a maze: Compressional rupture branching during the 2012 Mw 8.6 Sumatra earthquake. <i>Science</i>, 337(6095), 724–726. doi:10.1126/science.1224030 Meng, L., Bao, H., Huang, H., Zhang, A., Bloore, A., & Liu, Z. (2018). Double pincer movement: Encircling rupture splitting during the 2015 Mw 8.3 II- lapel earthquake. <i>Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.</i>, 495, 164–173. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2018.04.057 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2018.04.057 Met Office. (2015). <i>Cartopy: a cartographic python library with a Mat- plotlib interface</i>. Retrieved from https://scitools.org.uk/cartopy doi:10.5281/zenodo.1182735 Nissen, E., Elliott, J. R., Sloan, R. A., Craig, T. J., Funning, G. J., Hutko, A., Wright, T. J. (2016). Limitations of rupture forecasting exposed by in- stantaneously triggered earthquake doublet. <i>Nat. Geosci.</i>, 9(4), 330–336. doi:10.1038/ngeo2653 Okubo, K., Rougier, E., Lei, Z., & Bhat, H. S. (2020). Modeling earthquakes with off-fault damage using the combined finite-discrete element method. <i>Com</i> 		

660	s40571-020-00335-4https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s40571-020-00335-4		
661	doi:10.1007/s40571-020-00335-4		
662	Okuwaki, R., & Fan, W. (2022). Oblique Convergence Causes Both Thrust and		
663	Strike-Slip Ruptures During the 2021 M 7.2 Haiti Earthquake. <i>Geophys.</i>		
664	Res. Lett., 49(2), 1–12. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/		
665	10.1029/2021GL096373 doi:10.1029/2021GL096373		
666	Okuwaki, R., Hicks, S. P., Craig, T. J., Fan, W., Goes, S., Wright, T. J., & Yagi, Y.		
667	(2021). Illuminating a Contorted Slab With a Complex Intraslab Rupture Evo-		
668	lution During the 2021 Mw 7.3 East Cape, New Zealand Earthquake. Geophys.		
669	Res. Lett., 48(24), 1–13. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/		
670	10.1029/2021GL095117 doi:10.1029/2021GL095117		
671	Okuwaki, R., Hirano, S., Yagi, Y., & Shimizu, K. (2020). Inchworm-like source		
672	evolution through a geometrically complex fault fueled persistent supershear		
673	rupture during the 2018 Palu Indonesia earthquake. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.,		
674	547, 116449. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2020.116449		
675	Okuwaki, R., Yagi, Y., Aránguiz, R., González, J., & González, G. (2016). Rupture		
676	Process During the 2015 Illapel, Chile Earthquake: Zigzag-Along-Dip Rupture		
677	Episodes. Pure Appl. Geophys., 173(4), 1011–1020. doi:10.1007/s00024-016-		
678	1271-6		
679	Olson, A. H., & Apsel, R. J. (1982). Finite faults and inverse theory with applications		
680	to the 1979 Imperial Valley earthquake. Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am., 72(6A), 1969–		
681	2001. Retrieved from + doi:10.1785/BSSA07206A1969		
682	Otsuki, K., & Dilov, T. (2005). Evolution of hierarchical self-similar geometry of		
683	experimental fault zones: Implications for seismic nucleation and earthquake		
684	size. J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth, 110(3), 1–9. doi:10.1029/2004JB003359		
685	Perinçek, D., & Çemen, I. (1990). The structural relationship between the East		
686	Anatolian and Dead Sea fault zones in southeastern lurkey. <i>Iectonophysics</i> , 172(2,4), 221, 240. Detained from https://linkin.chak.alassian.com/natrians/		
687	1/2(5-4), $551-540$. Retrieved from https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/		
688	Phi/004019319090039B doi:10.1010/0040-1931(90)90039-B		
689	Karasözen F. & Tan F. (2020) The 2020 M w 6 8 Elazig (Turkey) Farth-		
690	quake Reveals Runture Behavior of the East Anatolian Fault <i>Coonhus Res</i>		
692	Lett $47(13)$ 1–14 Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/		
693	10.1029/2020GL088136 doi:10.1029/2020GL088136		
694	Ragon, T., Simons, M., Bletery, O., Cavalié, O., & Fielding, F. (2021). A Stochastic		
695	View of the 2020 Elazig M w 6.8 Earthquake (Turkey). Geophys. Res. Lett.		
696	48(3), 1–13. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/		
697	2020GL090704 doi:10.1029/2020GL090704		
698	Reitman, N. G., Briggs, R. W., Barnhart, W. D., Thompson Jobe, J. A., DuRoss, C. B.,		
699	Hatem, A. E., Mejstrik, J. D. (2023). Preliminary fault rupture mapping of		
700	the 2023 M7.8 and M7.5 Türkiye Earthquakes.		
701	doi:10.5066/P985I7U2		
702	Ross, Z. E., Idini, B., Jia, Z., Stephenson, O. L., Zhong, M., Wang, X., Jung, J.		
703	(2019). Hierarchical interlocked orthogonal faulting in the 2019 Ridge-		
704	crest earthquake sequence. Science (80)., 366(6463), 346–351. Re-		
705	trieved from https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/science.aaz0109		

706	doi:10.1126/science.aaz0109		
707	Sato, D., Fukahata, Y., & Nozue, Y. (2022). Appropriate reduction of the poste-		
708	rior distribution in fully Bayesian inversions. <i>Geophys. J. Int.,</i> 231(2), 950–981.		
709	doi:10.1093/gji/ggac231		
710	Satriano, C., Kiraly, E., Bernard, P., & Vilotte, JP. (2012). The 2012 Mw 8.6 Suma-		
711	tra earthquake: Evidence of westward sequential seismic ruptures associ-		
712	ated to the reactivation of a N-S ocean fabric. <i>Geophys. Res. Lett.</i> , 39(15).		
713	doi:10.1029/2012GL052387		
714	Scholz, C. H., Ando, R., & Shaw, B. E. (2010). The mechanics of first order splay		
715	faulting: The strike-slip case. J. Struct. Geol., 32(1), 118–126. Retrieved from		
716	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2009.10.007https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/		
717	retrieve/pii/S0191814109002211 doi:10.1016/j.jsg.2009.10.007		
718	Shimizu, K., Yagi, Y., Okuwaki, R., & Fukahata, Y. (2020). Development of an inver-		
719	sion method to extract information on fault geometry from teleseismic data.		
720	Geophys. J. Int., 220(2), 1055–1065. doi:10.1093/gji/ggz496		
721	Socquet, A., Hollingsworth, J., Pathier, E., & Bouchon, M. (2019). Evidence of su-		
722	pershear during the 2018 magnitude 7.5 Palu earthquake from space geodesy.		
723	Nat. Geosci., 12(3), 192–199. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/		
724	s41561-018-0296-0http://www.nature.com/articles/s41561-018-0296-0		
725	doi:10.1038/s41561-018-0296-0		
726	Tadapansawut, T., Okuwaki, R., Yagi, Y., & Yamashita, S. (2021). Rupture Process of		
727	the 2020 Caribbean Earthquake Along the Oriente Transform Fault, Involving		
728	Supershear Rupture and Geometric Complexity of Fault. Geophys. Res. Lett.,		
729	48(1), 1–9. doi:10.1029/2020GL090899		
730	Taymaz, T., Eyidogan, H., & Jackson, J. (1991). Source parameters of large		
731	earthquakes in the East Anatolian Fault Zone (Turkey). Geophys. J. Int.,		
732	106(3), 537–550. Retrieved from https://academic.oup.com/gji/article		
733	-lookup/doi/10.1111/j.1365-246X.1991.tb06328.x doi:10.1111/j.1365-		
734	246X.1991.tb06328.x		
735	Taymaz, T., Ganas, A., Yolsal-Çevikbilen, S., Vera, F., Eken, T., Erman, C., Öcalan,		
736	T. (2021). Source Mechanism and Rupture Process of the 24 January		
737	2020 Mw 6.7 Doğanyol–Sivrice Earthquake obtained from Seismological		
738	Waveform Analysis and Space Geodetic Observations on the East Anato-		
739	lian Fault Zone (Turkey). Tectonophysics, 804(January), 228745. Retrieved		
740	from https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0040195121000299		
741	doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2021.228745		
742	Taymaz, T., Jackson, J., & McKenzie, D.(1991).Active tectonics of the north		
743	and central Aegean Sea. Geophys. J. Int., 106(2), 433–490. Retrieved from		
744	https://academic.oup.com/gji/article-lookup/doi/10.1111/j.1365-246X.1991		
745	.tb03906.x doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.1991.tb03906.x		
746	Taymaz, T., Westaway, R., & Reilinger, R.(2004).Active faulting and crustal		
747	deformation in the Eastern Mediterranean region. <i>Tectonophysics</i> , 391(1-		
748	4), 1–9. Retrieved from https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/		
749	S0040195104002173 doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2004.07.005		
750	ten Brink, U., Wei, Y., Fan, W., Granja-Bruña, JL., & Miller, N. (2020). Mysterious		
751	tsunami in the Caribbean Sea following the 2010 Haiti earthquake possibly		

752	generated by dynamically triggered early aftershocks. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.,			
753	540, 116269. Retrieved from https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/			
754	S0012821X20302120 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2020.116269			
755	Toda, S., Stein, R. S., Richards-Dinger, K., & Bozkurt, S. B. (2005). Forecast-			
756	ing the evolution of seismicity in southern California: Animations built			
757	on earthquake stress transfer. J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth, 110(5), 1–17.			
758	doi:10.1029/2004JB003415			
759	Trugman, D. T., & Dunham, E. M. (2014). A 2D Pseudodynamic Rupture Model			
760	Generator for Earthquakes on Geometrically Complex Faults. Bull. Seis-			
761	<i>mol. Soc. Am., 104</i> (1), 95–112. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1785/			
762	0120130138https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/bssa/article/104/1/95-112/			
763	332165 doi:10.1785/0120130138			
764	Tsai, V. C., & Hirth, G. (2020). Elastic Impact Consequences for High-			
765	Frequency Earthquake Ground Motion. Geophys. Res. Lett., 47(5), 1–8. Re-			
766	trieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/2019GL086302			
767	doi:10.1029/2019GL086302			
768	Vallée, M., Xie, Y., Grandin, R., Villegas-Lanza, J. C., Nocquet, J. M., Vaca, S.,			
769	Rolandone, F. (2023). Self-reactivated rupture during the 2019			
770	Mw=8 northern Peru intraslab earthquake. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 601,			
771	117886. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2022.117886			
772	doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2022.117886			
773	Wang, J., Xu, C., Freymueller, J. T., Wen, Y., & Xiao, Z. (2021). AutoCoulomb:			
774	An automated configurable program to calculate coulomb stress changes on			
	receiver faults with any orientation and its application to the 2020 Mw7.8			
775	receiver faults with any orientation and its application to the 2020 Mw7.8			
775 776	receiver faults with any orientation and its application to the 2020 Mw7.8 Simeonof Island, Alaska, Earthquake. Seismol. Res. Lett., 92(4), 2591–2609.			
775 776 777	receiver faults with any orientation and its application to the 2020 Mw7.8 Simeonof Island, Alaska, Earthquake. <i>Seismol. Res. Lett.</i> , <i>92</i> (4), 2591–2609. doi:10.1785/0220200283			
775 776 777 778	receiver faults with any orientation and its application to the 2020 Mw7.8 Simeonof Island, Alaska, Earthquake. Seismol. Res. Lett., 92(4), 2591–2609. doi:10.1785/0220200283 Weiss, J. R., Walters, R. J., Morishita, Y., Wright, T. J., Lazecky, M., Wang, H.,			
775 776 777 778 779	 receiver faults with any orientation and its application to the 2020 Mw7.8 Simeonof Island, Alaska, Earthquake. Seismol. Res. Lett., 92(4), 2591–2609. doi:10.1785/0220200283 Weiss, J. R., Walters, R. J., Morishita, Y., Wright, T. J., Lazecky, M., Wang, H., Parsons, B. (2020). High-Resolution Surface Velocities and Strain for Ana- 			
775 776 777 778 779 780	 receiver faults with any orientation and its application to the 2020 Mw7.8 Simeonof Island, Alaska, Earthquake. Seismol. Res. Lett., 92(4), 2591–2609. doi:10.1785/0220200283 Weiss, J. R., Walters, R. J., Morishita, Y., Wright, T. J., Lazecky, M., Wang, H., Parsons, B. (2020). High-Resolution Surface Velocities and Strain for Anatolia From Sentinel-1 InSAR and GNSS Data. Geophys. Res. Lett., 47(17). 			
775 776 777 778 779 780 781	 receiver faults with any orientation and its application to the 2020 Mw7.8 Simeonof Island, Alaska, Earthquake. Seismol. Res. Lett., 92(4), 2591–2609. doi:10.1785/0220200283 Weiss, J. R., Walters, R. J., Morishita, Y., Wright, T. J., Lazecky, M., Wang, H., Parsons, B. (2020). High-Resolution Surface Velocities and Strain for Anatolia From Sentinel-1 InSAR and GNSS Data. Geophys. Res. Lett., 47(17). Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/2020GL087376 			
 775 776 777 778 779 780 781 782 	 receiver faults with any orientation and its application to the 2020 Mw7.8 Simeonof Island, Alaska, Earthquake. Seismol. Res. Lett., 92(4), 2591–2609. doi:10.1785/0220200283 Weiss, J. R., Walters, R. J., Morishita, Y., Wright, T. J., Lazecky, M., Wang, H., Parsons, B. (2020). High-Resolution Surface Velocities and Strain for Anatolia From Sentinel-1 InSAR and GNSS Data. Geophys. Res. Lett., 47(17). Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/2020GL087376 doi:10.1029/2020GL087376 			
775 776 777 778 779 780 781 782 783	 receiver faults with any orientation and its application to the 2020 Mw7.8 Simeonof Island, Alaska, Earthquake. Seismol. Res. Lett., 92(4), 2591–2609. doi:10.1785/0220200283 Weiss, J. R., Walters, R. J., Morishita, Y., Wright, T. J., Lazecky, M., Wang, H., Parsons, B. (2020). High-Resolution Surface Velocities and Strain for Ana- tolia From Sentinel-1 InSAR and GNSS Data. Geophys. Res. Lett., 47(17). Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/2020GL087376 doi:10.1029/2020GL087376 Wessel, P., & Luis, J. F. (2017). The GMT/MATLAB Toolbox. Geochemistry, Geophys. 			
775 776 777 778 779 780 781 782 783 783	 receiver faults with any orientation and its application to the 2020 Mw7.8 Simeonof Island, Alaska, Earthquake. Seismol. Res. Lett., 92(4), 2591–2609. doi:10.1785/0220200283 Weiss, J. R., Walters, R. J., Morishita, Y., Wright, T. J., Lazecky, M., Wang, H., Parsons, B. (2020). High-Resolution Surface Velocities and Strain for Ana- tolia From Sentinel-1 InSAR and GNSS Data. Geophys. Res. Lett., 47(17). Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/2020GL087376 doi:10.1029/2020GL087376 Wessel, P., & Luis, J. F. (2017). The GMT/MATLAB Toolbox. Geochemistry, Geophys. Geosystems, 18(2), 811–823. doi:10.1002/2016GC006723 			
775 776 777 778 779 780 781 782 783 784 785	 receiver faults with any orientation and its application to the 2020 Mw7.8 Simeonof Island, Alaska, Earthquake. Seismol. Res. Lett., 92(4), 2591–2609. doi:10.1785/0220200283 Weiss, J. R., Walters, R. J., Morishita, Y., Wright, T. J., Lazecky, M., Wang, H., Parsons, B. (2020). High-Resolution Surface Velocities and Strain for Ana- tolia From Sentinel-1 InSAR and GNSS Data. Geophys. Res. Lett., 47(17). Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/2020GL087376 doi:10.1029/2020GL087376 Wessel, P., & Luis, J. F. (2017). The GMT/MATLAB Toolbox. Geochemistry, Geophys. Geosystems, 18(2), 811–823. doi:10.1002/2016GC006723 Xu, S., Fukuyama, E., Ben-Zion, Y., & Ampuero, JP. (2015). Dynamic rup- 			
 775 776 777 778 779 780 781 782 783 784 785 786 	 receiver faults with any orientation and its application to the 2020 Mw7.8 Simeonof Island, Alaska, Earthquake. Seismol. Res. Lett., 92(4), 2591–2609. doi:10.1785/0220200283 Weiss, J. R., Walters, R. J., Morishita, Y., Wright, T. J., Lazecky, M., Wang, H., Parsons, B. (2020). High-Resolution Surface Velocities and Strain for Ana- tolia From Sentinel-1 InSAR and GNSS Data. Geophys. Res. Lett., 47(17). Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/2020GL087376 doi:10.1029/2020GL087376 Wessel, P., & Luis, J. F. (2017). The GMT/MATLAB Toolbox. Geochemistry, Geophys. Geosystems, 18(2), 811–823. doi:10.1002/2016GC006723 Xu, S., Fukuyama, E., Ben-Zion, Y., & Ampuero, JP. (2015). Dynamic rup- ture activation of backthrust fault branching. Tectonophysics, 644-645, 			
775 776 777 778 779 780 781 782 783 783 784 785 786 787	 receiver faults with any orientation and its application to the 2020 Mw7.8 Simeonof Island, Alaska, Earthquake. Seismol. Res. Lett., 92(4), 2591–2609. doi:10.1785/0220200283 Weiss, J. R., Walters, R. J., Morishita, Y., Wright, T. J., Lazecky, M., Wang, H., Parsons, B. (2020). High-Resolution Surface Velocities and Strain for Ana- tolia From Sentinel-1 InSAR and GNSS Data. Geophys. Res. Lett., 47(17). Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/2020GL087376 doi:10.1029/2020GL087376 Wessel, P., & Luis, J. F. (2017). The GMT/MATLAB Toolbox. Geochemistry, Geophys. Geosystems, 18(2), 811–823. doi:10.1002/2016GC006723 Xu, S., Fukuyama, E., Ben-Zion, Y., & Ampuero, JP. (2015). Dynamic rup- ture activation of backthrust fault branching. Tectonophysics, 644-645, 161–183. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2015.01 			
 775 776 777 778 779 780 781 782 783 784 785 786 787 788 	 receiver faults with any orientation and its application to the 2020 Mw7.8 Simeonof Island, Alaska, Earthquake. Seismol. Res. Lett., 92(4), 2591–2609. doi:10.1785/0220200283 Weiss, J. R., Walters, R. J., Morishita, Y., Wright, T. J., Lazecky, M., Wang, H., Parsons, B. (2020). High-Resolution Surface Velocities and Strain for Ana- tolia From Sentinel-1 InSAR and GNSS Data. Geophys. Res. Lett., 47(17). Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/2020GL087376 doi:10.1029/2020GL087376 Wessel, P., & Luis, J. F. (2017). The GMT/MATLAB Toolbox. Geochemistry, Geophys. Geosystems, 18(2), 811–823. doi:10.1002/2016GC006723 Xu, S., Fukuyama, E., Ben-Zion, Y., & Ampuero, JP. (2015). Dynamic rup- ture activation of backthrust fault branching. Tectonophysics, 644-645, 161–183. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2015.01 .011https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0040195115000554 			
 775 776 777 778 780 781 782 783 784 785 786 787 788 789 	 receiver faults with any orientation and its application to the 2020 Mw7.8 Simeonof Island, Alaska, Earthquake. Seismol. Res. Lett., 92(4), 2591–2609. doi:10.1785/0220200283 Weiss, J. R., Walters, R. J., Morishita, Y., Wright, T. J., Lazecky, M., Wang, H., Parsons, B. (2020). High-Resolution Surface Velocities and Strain for Ana- tolia From Sentinel-1 InSAR and GNSS Data. Geophys. Res. Lett., 47(17). Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/2020GL087376 doi:10.1029/2020GL087376 Wessel, P., & Luis, J. F. (2017). The GMT/MATLAB Toolbox. Geochemistry, Geophys. Geosystems, 18(2), 811–823. doi:10.1002/2016GC006723 Xu, S., Fukuyama, E., Ben-Zion, Y., & Ampuero, JP. (2015). Dynamic rup- ture activation of backthrust fault branching. Tectonophysics, 644-645, 161–183. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2015.01 .011https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0040195115000554 doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2015.01.011 			
 775 776 777 778 779 780 781 782 783 784 785 786 787 788 789 790 	 receiver faults with any orientation and its application to the 2020 Mw7.8 Simeonof Island, Alaska, Earthquake. <i>Seismol. Res. Lett.</i>, <i>92</i>(4), 2591–2609. doi:10.1785/0220200283 Weiss, J. R., Walters, R. J., Morishita, Y., Wright, T. J., Lazecky, M., Wang, H., Parsons, B. (2020). High-Resolution Surface Velocities and Strain for Ana- tolia From Sentinel-1 InSAR and GNSS Data. <i>Geophys. Res. Lett.</i>, <i>47</i>(17). Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/2020GL087376 doi:10.1029/2020GL087376 Wessel, P., & Luis, J. F. (2017). The GMT/MATLAB Toolbox. <i>Geochemistry, Geophys.</i> <i>Geosystems</i>, <i>18</i>(2), 811–823. doi:10.1002/2016GC006723 Xu, S., Fukuyama, E., Ben-Zion, Y., & Ampuero, JP. (2015). Dynamic rup- ture activation of backthrust fault branching. <i>Tectonophysics</i>, <i>644</i>-645, 161–183. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2015.01 .011https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0040195115000554 doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2015.01.011 Xu, Y., Koper, K. D., Sufri, O., Zhu, L., & Hutko, A. R. (2009). Rupture imag- 			
 775 776 777 778 780 781 782 783 784 785 786 787 788 789 790 791 	 receiver faults with any orientation and its application to the 2020 Mw7.8 Simeonof Island, Alaska, Earthquake. Seismol. Res. Lett., 92(4), 2591–2609. doi:10.1785/0220200283 Weiss, J. R., Walters, R. J., Morishita, Y., Wright, T. J., Lazecky, M., Wang, H., Parsons, B. (2020). High-Resolution Surface Velocities and Strain for Ana- tolia From Sentinel-1 InSAR and GNSS Data. Geophys. Res. Lett., 47(17). Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/2020GL087376 doi:10.1029/2020GL087376 Wessel, P., & Luis, J. F. (2017). The GMT/MATLAB Toolbox. Geochemistry, Geophys. Geosystems, 18(2), 811–823. doi:10.1002/2016GC006723 Xu, S., Fukuyama, E., Ben-Zion, Y., & Ampuero, JP. (2015). Dynamic rup- ture activation of backthrust fault branching. Tectonophysics, 644-645, 161–183. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2015.01 .011https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0040195115000554 doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2015.01.011 Xu, Y., Koper, K. D., Sufri, O., Zhu, L., & Hutko, A. R. (2009). Rupture imag- ing of the Mw 7.9 12 May 2008 Wenchuan earthquake from back projection 			
 775 776 777 778 779 780 781 782 783 784 785 786 787 788 789 790 791 792 	 receiver faults with any orientation and its application to the 2020 Mw7.8 Simeonof Island, Alaska, Earthquake. Seismol. Res. Lett., 92(4), 2591–2609. doi:10.1785/0220200283 Weiss, J. R., Walters, R. J., Morishita, Y., Wright, T. J., Lazecky, M., Wang, H., Parsons, B. (2020). High-Resolution Surface Velocities and Strain for Ana- tolia From Sentinel-1 InSAR and GNSS Data. Geophys. Res. Lett., 47(17). Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/2020GL087376 doi:10.1029/2020GL087376 Wessel, P., & Luis, J. F. (2017). The GMT/MATLAB Toolbox. Geochemistry, Geophys. Geosystems, 18(2), 811–823. doi:10.1002/2016GC006723 Xu, S., Fukuyama, E., Ben-Zion, Y., & Ampuero, JP. (2015). Dynamic rup- ture activation of backthrust fault branching. Tectonophysics, 644-645, 161–183. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2015.01 .011https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0040195115000554 doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2015.01.011 Xu, Y., Koper, K. D., Sufri, O., Zhu, L., & Hutko, A. R. (2009). Rupture imag- ing of the Mw 7.9 12 May 2008 Wenchuan earthquake from back projection of teleseismic P waves. Geochemistry, Geophys. Geosystems, 10(4), Q04006. 			
 775 776 777 778 779 780 781 782 783 784 785 786 787 788 789 790 791 792 793 	 receiver faults with any orientation and its application to the 2020 Mw7.8 Simeonof Island, Alaska, Earthquake. Seismol. Res. Lett., 92(4), 2591–2609. doi:10.1785/0220200283 Weiss, J. R., Walters, R. J., Morishita, Y., Wright, T. J., Lazecky, M., Wang, H., Parsons, B. (2020). High-Resolution Surface Velocities and Strain for Ana- tolia From Sentinel-1 InSAR and GNSS Data. Geophys. Res. Lett., 47(17). Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/2020GL087376 doi:10.1029/2020GL087376 Wessel, P., & Luis, J. F. (2017). The GMT/MATLAB Toolbox. Geochemistry, Geophys. Geosystems, 18(2), 811–823. doi:10.1002/2016GC006723 Xu, S., Fukuyama, E., Ben-Zion, Y., & Ampuero, JP. (2015). Dynamic rup- ture activation of backthrust fault branching. Tectonophysics, 644-645, 161–183. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2015.01 .011https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0040195115000554 doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2015.01.011 Xu, Y., Koper, K. D., Sufri, O., Zhu, L., & Hutko, A. R. (2009). Rupture imag- ing of the Mw 7.9 12 May 2008 Wenchuan earthquake from back projection of teleseismic P waves. Geochemistry, Geophys. Geosystems, 10(4), Q04006. doi:10.1029/2008GC002335 			
 775 776 777 778 780 781 782 783 784 785 786 787 788 789 790 791 792 793 794 	 receiver faults with any orientation and its application to the 2020 Mw7.8 Simeonof Island, Alaska, Earthquake. Seismol. Res. Lett., 92(4), 2591–2609. doi:10.1785/0220200283 Weiss, J. R., Walters, R. J., Morishita, Y., Wright, T. J., Lazecky, M., Wang, H., Parsons, B. (2020). High-Resolution Surface Velocities and Strain for Ana- tolia From Sentinel-1 InSAR and GNSS Data. Geophys. Res. Lett., 47(17). Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/2020GL087376 doi:10.1029/2020GL087376 Wessel, P., & Luis, J. F. (2017). The GMT/MATLAB Toolbox. Geochemistry, Geophys. Geosystems, 18(2), 811–823. doi:10.1002/2016GC006723 Xu, S., Fukuyama, E., Ben-Zion, Y., & Ampuero, JP. (2015). Dynamic rup- ture activation of backthrust fault branching. Tectonophysics, 644-645, 161–183. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2015.01 .011https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0040195115000554 doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2015.01.011 Xu, Y., Koper, K. D., Sufri, O., Zhu, L., & Hutko, A. R. (2009). Rupture imag- ing of the Mw 7.9 12 May 2008 Wenchuan earthquake from back projection of teleseismic P waves. Geochemistry, Geophys. Geosystems, 10(4), Q04006. doi:10.1029/2008GC002335 Yabuki, T., & Matsu'ura, M. (1992). Geodetic data inversion using a Bayesian 			
 775 776 777 778 779 780 781 782 783 784 785 786 787 788 789 790 791 793 794 795 	 receiver faults with any orientation and its application to the 2020 Mw7.8 Simeonof Island, Alaska, Earthquake. Seismol. Res. Lett., 92(4), 2591–2609. doi:10.1785/0220200283 Weiss, J. R., Walters, R. J., Morishita, Y., Wright, T. J., Lazecky, M., Wang, H., Parsons, B. (2020). High-Resolution Surface Velocities and Strain for Ana- tolia From Sentinel-1 InSAR and GNSS Data. Geophys. Res. Lett., 47(17). Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/2020GL087376 doi:10.1029/2020GL087376 Wessel, P., & Luis, J. F. (2017). The GMT/MATLAB Toolbox. Geochemistry, Geophys. Geosystems, 18(2), 811–823. doi:10.1002/2016GC006723 Xu, S., Fukuyama, E., Ben-Zion, Y., & Ampuero, JP. (2015). Dynamic rup- ture activation of backthrust fault branching. Tectonophysics, 644-645, 161–183. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2015.01 .011https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0040195115000554 doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2015.01.011 Xu, Y., Koper, K. D., Sufri, O., Zhu, L., & Hutko, A. R. (2009). Rupture imag- ing of the Mw 7.9 12 May 2008 Wenchuan earthquake from back projection of teleseismic P waves. Geochemistry, Geophys. Geosystems, 10(4), Q04006. doi:10.1029/2008GC002335 Yabuki, T., & Matsu'ura, M. (1992). Geodetic data inversion using a Bayesian information criterion for spatial distribution of fault slip. Geophys. J. Int., 			
 775 776 777 778 780 781 782 783 784 785 786 787 788 789 790 791 792 793 794 795 796 	 receiver faults with any orientation and its application to the 2020 Mw7.8 Simeonof Island, Alaska, Earthquake. Seismol. Res. Lett., 92(4), 2591–2609. doi:10.1785/0220200283 Weiss, J. R., Walters, R. J., Morishita, Y., Wright, T. J., Lazecky, M., Wang, H., Parsons, B. (2020). High-Resolution Surface Velocities and Strain for Ana- tolia From Sentinel-1 InSAR and GNSS Data. Geophys. Res. Lett., 47(17). Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/2020GL087376 doi:10.1029/2020GL087376 Wessel, P., & Luis, J. F. (2017). The GMT/MATLAB Toolbox. Geochemistry, Geophys. Geosystems, 18(2), 811–823. doi:10.1002/2016GC006723 Xu, S., Fukuyama, E., Ben-Zion, Y., & Ampuero, JP. (2015). Dynamic rup- ture activation of backthrust fault branching. Tectonophysics, 644-645, 161–183. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2015.01 .011https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0040195115000554 doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2015.01.011 Xu, Y., Koper, K. D., Sufri, O., Zhu, L., & Hutko, A. R. (2009). Rupture imag- ing of the Mw 7.9 12 May 2008 Wenchuan earthquake from back projection of teleseismic P waves. Geochemistry, Geophys. Geosystems, 10(4), Q04006. doi:10.1029/2008GC002335 Yabuki, T., & Matsu'ura, M. (1992). Geodetic data inversion using a Bayesian information criterion for spatial distribution of fault slip. Geophys. J. Int., 109(2), 363–375. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/ 			

798	article-lookup/doi/10.1111/j.1365-246X.1992.tb00102.x doi:10.1111/j.1365-			
799	246X.1992.tb00102.x			
800	Yagi, Y., & Fukahata, Y. (2011). Introduction of uncertainty of Green's function into			
801	waveform inversion for seismic source processes. Geophys. J. Int., 186(2), 711-			
802	720. doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.2011.05043.x			
803	Yagi, Y., Okuwaki, R., Enescu, B., & Lu, J. (2023). Irregular rupture process of the			
804	2022 Taitung, Taiwan, earthquake sequence. Sci. Rep., 13(1), 1107. Retrieved			
805	from https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-27384-yhttps://www.nature.com/			
806	articles/s41598-023-27384-y doi:10.1038/s41598-023-27384-y			
807	Yamashita, S., Yagi, Y., & Okuwaki, R. (2022). Irregular rupture propagation and			
808	geometric fault complexities during the 2010 Mw 7.2 El Mayor-Cucapah			
809	earthquake. Sci. Rep., 12(1), 4575. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1038/			
810	s41598-022-08671-6https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-022-08671-6			
811	doi:10.1038/s41598-022-08671-6			
812	Yamashita, S., Yagi, Y., Okuwaki, R., Shimizu, K., Agata, R., & Fukahata, Y. (2022).			
813	Potency density tensor inversion of complex body waveforms with time-			
814	adaptive smoothing constraint. Geophys. J. Int., 231(1), 91–107. Re-			
815	trieved from https://academic.oup.com/gji/article/231/1/91/6584392			
816	doi:10.1093/gji/ggac181			
817	Yao, H., Gerstoft, P., Shearer, P. M., & Mecklenbräuker, C. (2011). Compressive			
818	sensing of the Tohoku-Oki Mw 9.0 earthquake: Frequency-dependent rupture			
819	modes. Geophys. Res. Lett., 38(20). doi:10.1029/2011GL049223			
820	Yıkılmaz, M. B., Turcotte, D. L., Heien, E. M., Kellogg, L. H., & Rundle, J. B.			
821	(2015). Critical Jump Distance for Propagating Earthquake Ruptures			
822	Across Step-Overs. Pure Appl. Geophys., 172(8), 2195–2201. Retrieved from			
823	http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00024-014-0786-y doi:10.1007/s00024-			
824	014-0786-y			

Supporting Information for

Multi-scale rupture growth with alternating directions in a complex fault network during the 2023 south-eastern Türkiye and Syria earthquake doublet

Ryo Okuwaki¹, Yuji Yagi¹, Tuncay Taymaz², Stephen P. Hicks³

¹Faculty of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8572, Japan
²Department of Geophysical Engineering, The Faculty of Mines, Istanbul Technical University, Maslak, 34469 Sariyer, Istanbul, Türkiye
³Department of Earth Sciences, University College London, Gower Street, London, WC1E 6BT, UK

Contents

- Table S1
- Figures S1–S6
- Movies S1 and S2 (captions)

Corresponding author: Ryo Okuwaki, rokuwaki@geol.tsukuba.ac.jp

$V_P (\rm km/s)$	$V_S \ (\rm km/s)$	Density (g/cm ³)	Thickness (km)
6.00	3.52	2.72	17.64
6.30	3.68	2.79	8.90
6.60	3.82	2.85	9.64
8.08	4.49	3.33	- (below moho)

Table S1. Near-source structure used for calculating Green's functions, adopted from CRUST1.0model (Laske et al., 2013).



Figure S1. Waveform fits of the initial M_W 7.9 earthquake model. The black and red traces are the observed and synthetic waveforms. The station code and channel, the maximum amplitude of observed waveform (A_{max}^{obs}), the station azimuth (ϕ), and the epicentral distance (Δ) are shown on the left of each panel. The bottom map is an azimuthal equidistant projection of the station distribution (triangle). The star shows the epicenter. The dashed lines are the epicentral distances at 30° and 90°.



Figure S2. Waveform fits of the secondary M_W 7.6 earthquake model. The black and red traces are the observed and synthetic waveforms. The station code and channel, the maximum amplitude of observed waveform (A_{max}^{obs}), the station azimuth (ϕ), and the epicentral distance (Δ) are shown on the left of each panel. The bottom map is an azimuthal equidistant projection of the station distribution (triangle). The star shows the epicenter. The dashed lines are the epicentral distances at 30° and 90°.



Figure S3. Model-fault geometries for the M_W 7.9 (green) and M_W 7.6 (orange) earthquakes used for our potency-density tensor inversion. The colored dots shows the location of the source elements. The hypothesized initial rupture point is marked as a thick black circle on a map. The relocated mainshocks (stars), aftershocks (gray dots), and active faults are the same as shown in Fig. 1.



Figure S4. Cross sections of the total potency-density tensor distributions for (a) the M_W 7.9 (reddish) and (b) the M_W 7.6 (blueish) earthquakes. The beachball is the lower hemisphere projection of the moment tensor drawn by using Pyrocko (Heimann et al., 2017). The size of the beachball is scaled by potency density. The abscissa is a distance from the hypothesized initial rupture point along the non-planar model fault. For each panel, the vertical axis (Y-axis) is stretched by a factor of 2 for the visibility of the figure. The dashed line on panel (a) denotes the point on the EAF, which is closest to the initial rupture point on the splay model fault. The panel (b) is flipped horizontally so that it can intuitively be compared with map view of the corresponding model (M_W 7.6 earthquake) in Fig. 2. The black contours are drawn at every 1.5 m (lower panels) and 2.3 m (upper panel) for the M_W 7.9 and the M_W 7.6 earthquakes, respectively.



Figure S5. Comparison between (a) the potency-rate density tensor distribution and (b) the active faults. The dashed lines indicate the approximate positions of the steps, shown on a map (c) as S1 and S2. Panels (a,b) are from Fig. 2, and the active faults, the mainshocks, and the aftershocks are the same as shown in Fig. 1.



Figure S6. The Coulomb stress change (King et al., 1994; Lin & Stein, 2004; Toda et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2021) from our preferred solution (Fig. S4a) to the target fault of the M_W 7.6 earthquake (inset), averaged over 5–30 km depths. The Coulomb stresses are calculated with a friction coefficient of 0.4, poison ratio of 0.25, and Young's modulus of 8×10⁵ bars. The target fault is of 261°/42°/–8° (strike/dip/rake) from the GCMT solution for the M_W 7.6 earthquake (Dziewonski et al., 1981; Ekström et al., 2012). The relocated mainshocks (stars), aftershocks (gray dots), and active faults are the same as shown in Fig. 1.

Movies S1 and S2 (caption)

Movie S1. Cross sections of the spatiotemporal potency-rate density tensor distribution for (a,b) the M_W 7.9 earthquake and (c) the M_W 7.6 earthquake. Panel (b) is the splay fault domain. The X-axis is the distance along the non-planar model-fault plane. The "0" on the X-axis means our hypothesized initial rupture point, except for Panel (a), which corresponds to the location of junction between the splay fault and the main EAF. The dashed line on Panel (a) denotes the point on the EAF, which is closest to the initial rupture point on the splay model fault. Note that Panel (c) is flipped horizontally so the right-hand side is orienting to east.

Movie S2. Map view of the spatiotemporal potency-rate density tensor distribution for the M_W 7.9 earthquake and M_W 7.6 earthquake. The size of the beachball is scaled by the maximum potency-rate density for each model. The moment-rate function (left top) and the temporal evolution of the potency-rate density distribution (right top) are the same as shown in Fig. 2. The epicenters (stars), aftershocks, and active faults are the same as shown in Fig. 1.

References in the Supporting Information

- Dziewonski, A. M., Chou, T.-A., & Woodhouse, J. H. (1981). Determination of earthquake source parameters from waveform data for studies of global and regional seismicity. *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth*, 86(B4), 2825–2852. doi:10.1029/JB086iB04p02825
- Ekström, G., Nettles, M., & Dziewoński, A. (2012). The global CMT project 2004–2010: Centroid-moment tensors for 13,017 earthquakes. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.*, 200-201, 1–9. doi:10.1016/j.pepi.2012.04.002
- Heimann, S., Kriegerowski, M., Isken, M., Cesca, S., Daout, S., Grigoli, F., ...
 Vasyura-Bathke, H. (2017). *Pyrocko An open-source seismology toolbox and library*. GFZ Data Services. doi:10.5880/GFZ.2.1.2017.001
- King, G. C., Stein, R. S., & Lin, J.(1994).Static stress changes and the
Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am., 84(3), 935–953.doi:10.1785/BSSA0840030935
- Laske, G., Masters, T. G., Ma, Z., & Pasyanos, M. (2013). Update on CRUST1.0 A 1degree Global Model of Earth's Crust. *Geophys. Res. Abstr.* 15, Abstr. EGU2013-2658, 15, Abstract EGU2013–2658. (https://igppweb.ucsd.edu/~gabi/crust1 .html)
- Lin, J., & Stein, R. S. (2004). Stress triggering in thrust and subduction earthquakes and stress interaction between the southern San Andreas and nearby thrust and strike-slip faults. J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth, 109(B2), 1–19. doi:10.1029/2003jb002607
- Toda, S., Stein, R. S., Richards-Dinger, K., & Bozkurt, S. B. (2005). Forecasting the evolution of seismicity in southern California: Animations built on earthquake stress transfer. *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth*, 110(5), 1–17. doi:10.1029/2004JB003415
- Wang, J., Xu, C., Freymueller, J. T., Wen, Y., & Xiao, Z. (2021). AutoCoulomb: An automated configurable program to calculate coulomb stress changes on

receiver faults with any orientation and its application to the 2020 Mw7.8 Simeonof Island, Alaska, Earthquake. *Seismol. Res. Lett.*, *92*(4), 2591–2609. doi:10.1785/0220200283