1 2 3	Projected Changes in Hot, Dry and Compound Hot-Dry Extremes over Global Land Regions
4	Paolo De Luca ¹ and Markus G. Donat ^{1,2}
5 6	¹ Barcelona Supercomputing Center (BSC), Barcelona, Spain ² Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats (ICREA), Barcelona, Spain
7	
8 9	Corresponding author: Paolo De Luca (paolo.deluca@bsc.es)
10	Key Points:
11 12	• Hot extremes are projected to increase in frequency and intensity over almost all land areas by the end of the 21st century.
13 14	• Drought changes depend on measure but increase robustly over central and northern South America, the Mediterranean and southern Africa.
15 16	• Compound hot and dry extremes are sensitive to the drought measure but projected to increase in most regions globally.

17 Abstract

The impacts of hot, dry and compound hot-dry extremes are significant for societies, economies 18 and ecosystems worldwide. Such events therefore need to be assessed in the light of 19 anthropogenic climate change so that suitable adaptation measures can be implemented by 20 governments and stakeholders. Here we show a comprehensive analysis of hot, dry and 21 compound hot-dry extremes over global land regions using 25 CMIP6 models and four future 22 emissions scenarios from 1950 to 2100. Hot, dry and compound hot-dry extremes are projected 23 to increase over large parts of the globe by the end of the 21st century. Hot and compound hot-24 dry extremes show the most widespread increases and dry extreme changes are sensitive to the 25 index used. Many regional changes depend on the strength of greenhouse-gas forcing, which 26 27 highlights the potential to limit the changes with strong mitigation efforts.

28

29 Plain Language Summary

Heatwaves, drought and their joint occurrences can negatively impact populations, economies 30 and natural systems worldwide. It is therefore of paramount importance that governments and 31 stakeholders assess the risk from such events and adapt accordingly. In this study we use 25 32 climate models and four emission scenarios from 1950 to 2100 to assess how hot, dry and 33 compound hot-dry extremes are expected to change in the future when compared to current 34 climate conditions. We find that such extremes are projected to increase by the end of the 21st 35 century over large parts of global land areas under the highest-emission, no-policy, climate 36 change scenario. Hot and compound hot-dry extremes show the most widespread increases, 37 38 whereas dry extreme changes are sensitive and more regionally-limited depending on the method by which they are computed. Most of the regional changes in hot, dry and compound hot-dry 39 extremes can be reduced with strong climate change mitigation efforts to limit future green-40 house gas emissions. 41

42

43

44 **1 Introduction**

Socio-economic and environmental impacts of hot, dry and compound hot-dry meteorological extremes can pose a significant distress to natural and socio-economic systems worldwide (Barriopedro et al., 2011; Zscheischler et al., 2018; Zscheischler & Fischer, 2020). It is therefore of paramount importance to provide information on how these meteorological hazards may change in the future under anthropogenic climate change.

50

51 Hot and dry extremes can occur concurrently (or within a time-frame of a few weeks) at a location (Bevacqua et al., 2022; Hao et al., 2018; Manning et al., 2019; Mukherjee et al., 2022, 52 53 2023; Zscheischler et al., 2018, 2020) and at present, there are no metrics for computing 54 compound hot-dry extremes which gathered the same importance as for example the Climpact indices for univariate extremes (https://climpact-sci.org/). This is because research on compound 55 extremes is a relatively new field of investigation and also because compound events can be 56 57 quantified in many different ways, for example occurring simultaneously or subsequently, at the same location or at different locations (e.g. De Luca, Messori, Pons, et al., 2020; De Luca, 58 Messori, Wilby, et al., 2020), so that the analysis remains complex, hindering a broader 59 consensus about which aspect of compound extremes matters most for a certain application. 60 However, some studies developed pragmatic indices and metrics for hot-dry extremes. Examples 61 are X. Wu et al. (2019) who developed a dry-hot magnitude index, Zhang et al. (2022) who 62

assessed compound agricultural droughts and hot events, Bevacqua et al. (2022) who defined
 compound hot-dry events based on temperature and precipitation mean values within the warm
 season and Ganguli (2023) who explored compound warm-dry events in India by developing an
 index based on (warm) temperature, (lack of) precipitation and (low) wind-speed.

67

There is now a general consensus about a global increase in hot extremes under anthropogenic 68 climate change (e.g. Christidis et al., 2015; Fischer & Schär, 2010; Perkins-Kirkpatrick & Lewis, 69 2020), with such trend mainly attributed to thermodynamic changes, or to an increase in global 70 mean temperature (Rastogi et al., 2020; Vogel, Zscheischler, et al., 2020) and local land-71 atmosphere feedbacks (Donat et al., 2017; Seneviratne et al., 2006), with also changes in the 72 atmospheric circulation playing a role for example in Eurasia and North America (Horton et al., 73 74 2015; Rousi et al., 2022; Schielicke & Pfahl, 2022; Suarez-Gutierrez et al., 2020). Future projected changes in drought are sensitive to the index used (Cook et al., 2018; Dai, 2011, 2013). 75 This is because drought can be computed from precipitation alone (McKee et al., 1993) and also 76 from the combination of precipitation and potential evapotranspiration (PET) (Palmer, 1965; 77 Vicente-Serrano et al., 2010), with the latter case taking into account the effect of increasing 78 temperatures. Future changes in drought based on precipitation deficit point toward an increase 79 in dryness over northern South America, the Mediterranean, southern Africa and South Australia 80 (Ukkola et al., 2020). On the other hand, projections of drought computed from precipitation and 81 PET show increased dryness over the same regions as Ukkola et al. (2020) and also in Central 82 and central-north America, most of the African continent, central Europe, the Middle East, 83 84 southeast Asia and Australia (Dai, 2011, 2013). Lastly, changes in drought can be also sensitive to the equation used to approximate PET, a shown in Begueria et al. (2014). Other factors 85 playing a role in shaping drought events in the short-term over some of these regions are sea-86 surface temperatures anomalies, weakened summer Asian monsoons and likely changes in 87 atmospheric circulation patterns (Dai, 2011, 2013; Schubert et al., 2016; Teuling et al., 2013; 88 Trenberth et al., 2014). Lastly, and reflecting the changes in hot and dry extremes, also 89 90 compound hot-dry extremes are set to increase under anthropogenic climate change (Bevacqua et al., 2022; Ridder et al., 2022; Vogel, Hauser, et al., 2020) and they appear to be modulated by 91 mean precipitation trends (Bevacqua et al., 2022). Most of these studies consider hot, dry and 92 hot-dry compound extremes separately, hindering a robust understanding of how these types of 93 extremes relate to each other. Moreover, they do not use different metrics for the computation of 94 dry extremes, also on several accumulation periods, such as indices that consider precipitation 95 96 and precipitation along with evaporative water demand, that can in turn affect dry and compound hot-dry extreme changes. 97

98

99 Here we build on these works and provide a comprehensive analysis of projected changes in hot, dry and compound hot-dry extremes over global land regions. We use a multi-model ensemble 100 (MME) of 25 Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) models (Eyring et al., 101 102 2016), four emission scenarios, and a suite of different univariate and compound extreme indices. Such indices consider different aspects of drought, such as precipitation and evaporative 103 water demand over multiple accumulation periods, also in compound extremes, which in 104 combination allows us to discuss how the changes in compound extremes relate to their 105 univariate hot and dry contributions. 106

- 107
- 108 2 Data and Methods
- 109 **2.1 Data**

110 We use CMIP6 data (Eyring et al., 2016), namely historical and future Scenario Model Intercomparison Project (ScenarioMIP) (O'Neill et al., 2016) simulations. From the 111 ScenarioMIP we use four Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs): SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, SSP3-112 7.0 and SSP5-8.5. From these simulations we extract daily maximum near-surface temperature 113 (tasmax, K), daily minimum near-surface temperature (tasmin, K) and daily precipitation (pr, 114 kg*m-2*s-1), respectively for the periods 1950-2014 and 2015-2100, for a MME of 25 models 115 (Table S1). From each model we only considered the first ensemble member available (in most 116 cases r1i1p1f1) so that models' structural uncertainty is taken into account (Deser, 2020). 117

118

119 **2.2 Climpact indices**

120 We compute a selection of extreme indices to quantify global hot and dry extremes from 1950 to 2100, using 1981-2010 as a baseline period for the calculation of percentile thresholds. The 121 indices are computed starting in 1949 to avoid obtaining incomplete index calculations in 1950 122 for indices that accumulate across calendar years, namely the Standardized Precipitation Index 123 (SPI, McKee et al., 1993) and Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI, 124 Vicente-Serrano et al., 2010). For hot extremes we calculate the percentage of days when daily 125 maximum temperature exceeds the 90th percentile (tx90p) and the annual maximum of daily 126 maximum temperatures (txx) (Zhang et al., 2011). We also calculate three indices measuring 127 heatwave characteristics, where heatwaves are considered as periods of at least 3 consecutive 128 129 days when daily maximum temperatures exceed the 90th percentile (Perkins & Alexander, 2013). The heatwave amplitude (*hwa tx90*) represents the annual peak daily value (°C) in the 130 hottest heatwave, the heatwave duration (hwd tx90) refers to the length (days) of the longest 131 heatwave within a year and heatwave frequency (hwf_tx90) measures the number of days within 132 a year that contribute to heatwaves (https://climpact-sci.org/). 133

134

To quantify the occurrence of dry extremes we use the SPI and SPEI with 3-, 6- and 12-month 135 accumulation periods. The SPI provides information about meteorological drought in terms of 136 137 lack of precipitation, whereas the SPEI in terms of lack of water availability by considering also the atmospheric water demand. SPI and SPEI include the entire precipitation, or precipitation 138 minus PET, distributions, and do not directly indicate drought occurrences. A caveat is that PET 139 may overestimate drought in very dry regions, where actual evapotranspiration may be lower 140 than PET due to lack of water. We define drought when these monthly index values are ≤ -1 , 141 which represents moderate drought conditions. We use -1 as threshold to ensure a sufficient 142 143 number of monthly values within the SPI and SPEI drought datasets, but lower values could be used as criterion for more severe drought. As a baseline for the estimation of the distribution 144 parameters we use the entire investigation period (151 years, 1950-2100) (Vicente-Serrano et al., 145 2020), to avoid potential biases outside relatively short reference periods as reported for example 146 by Sippel et al. (2015). To allow comparison across SSPs, we use the SPI and SPEI distribution 147 parameters derived for the Historical and one SSP scenario (i.e. SSP1-2.6) to compute SPI and 148 149 SPEI in the other scenarios. We use SSP1-2.6 because this is the scenario with smallest forcing changes. For the SPEI we compute PET following Hargreaves (1994), which is based on 150 maximum and minimum temperatures (K), and latitude to estimate extraterrestrial radiation. 151 SPEI results can be sensitive to how PET is calculated (e.g. Beguería et al., 2014). Therefore, we 152 assess the sensitivity of SPEI to different PET approximations, i.e. following Thornthwaite 153 (1948), and the more complex Penman method (Allen et al., 1994). We perform this comparison 154 for two CMIP6 models, under SSP5-8.5 and SSP2-4.5, for spei3, spei6 and spei12. We find that 155 annual global mean time-series are in agreement between the Hargreaves and Penman methods, 156

but using the Thornthwaite method results in much stronger drying (Figures S1-S2). Similarly, 157 for the drought occurrence measured as spei3_dry, spei6_dry and spei12_dry there is good 158 agreement between calculations using the Hargreaves and Penman methods, but a stronger and 159 more wide-spread increase in drought occurrence is found with the Thornthwaite method 160 (Figures S3-S6). For the analysis of the full MME we therefore calculate PET using the 161 Hargreaves method which gives relatively similar results to the more complex Penman 162 approximation but requires less data. We use the index names *spiN_dry* and *speiN_dry* to refer to 163 the count of dry months, where N stands for the accumulation period of the index (i.e. 3, 6 and 164 12 months). 165

166

167 **2.3 Compound extremes**

We also compute indices that measure the occurrence of (same-day) compound hot-dry 168 extremes. We define this index as *cex d*, which stands for "compound extreme days". Here we 169 use tasmax extremes exceeding the 90th percentile (similar to tx90p, as indicator for hot 170 extremes), SPI and SPEI (3, 6 and 12-month) monthly values ≤ -1 (as indicator for dry 171 extremes). The tasmax percentiles are computed from SSP1-2.6 during the entire 1950-2100 172 period, to make it consistent with the SPI and SPEI baselines, and serve as threshold for extreme 173 temperatures in all SSP scenarios. In order to homogenize the temporal frequencies of the 174 datasets, the SPI and SPEI original monthly time-series are converted into daily time-series by 175 setting each daily value to the SPI and SPEI monthly value in which the day occurs. 176

177

The *cex_d* index assesses the occurrence of same-day compound hot-dry extremes and is computed as follows: i) identify daily hot extremes (tasmax >90th percentile) and daily dry extremes (SPI and SPEI \leq -1); ii) count the number of days with compound (same-day) hot-dry extremes or when the hot days coincide with the occurrence of dry days. We name compound extremes calculated with SPI \leq -1 as *cex_d* (*spiN*) and compound extremes computed with SPEI \leq -1 as *cex_d* (*speiN*), where *N* stands for the number of accumulated months (i.e. 3, 6 or 12).

185 **2.4 Statistical analysis**

We calculate all the indices on the native CMIP6 model grids and then re-grid them to a common latitude-longitude grid of $2^{\circ}x2^{\circ}$ so that MME medians and percentiles can be computed across all models. We then remove the ocean grid-points with a land-sea mask in order to retain only land values and exclude Antarctica. Then, for each index we compute the MME median along with the MME interquartile range (25th and 75th percentiles), the latter used as a measure of intermodel uncertainty.

192

To discuss the projected changes in extremes, we present annual global average time-series 193 (weighted by gridpoint area) and maps of end-of-century changes relative to recent climate 194 conditions. In the former we assess the MME medians using the modified Mann-Kendall test that 195 takes into account autocorrelation (Hamed & Ramachandra Rao, 1998) and also compute the 196 Sen's slopes of the time-series (Sen, 1968). From the modified Mann-Kendall test we extract the 197 p-values of the MME median trends. We calculate the maps of changes by taking the difference 198 of MME medians (computed from single-model 20-year averages) between two periods, namely 199 the four future SSPs during 2081-2100 and the historical simulations during 1981-2000. We 200 assess the statistical significance of the resulting end-of-century changes, for each grid-point, 201 with a two-tailed Wilcoxon rank-sum test (Mann & Whitney, 1947) that assesses if the median 202 203 values are significantly different and does not assume data normally distributed. Then, we further

correct the p-values obtained with a Bonferroni correction (Bonferroni, 1936; Sedgwick, 2014)
 that takes into account Type I errors (or false positives) in relation to multiple testing.

206

As a further assessment to indicate the robustness of the simulated changes across models, we also apply a sign-test, which tests for each gridpoint if at least 80% (n=20) of models have a difference value of the same sign (positive or negative).

210

211 **3 Simulated changes in extremes**

212 **3.1 Hot extremes**

213 The difference maps for SSP5-8.5 show widespread significant (p<0.05) increases in the different hot extremes indices, consistent with a warming climate (Figure 1a,c,e,g,i). The tx90p 214 index shows pronounced increase in the frequency of hot extremes over northern South America, 215 western, central and eastern Africa, the Arabian peninsula, the Tibetan plateau and Indonesia 216 (Figure 1a), whereas txx shows largest increases in the intensity of hot extremes over central 217 South America, central north America and Europe (Figure 1c). The hwa_tx90 shows global 218 relatively homogeneous patterns of increased heatwave amplitude, however with largest 219 increases over central north America, parts of Brazil and Europe (Figure 1e). The hwd_tx90 220 index points toward substantial increase in the duration of heatwaves over northern Africa and 221 the Arabian peninsula (Figure 1g), whereas the *hwf_tx90* index shows overall large increases in 222 heatwave frequency, especially over northern and central parts of South America, northern 223 Africa, the Arabian peninsula and Indonesia (Figure 1i). Similar spatial patterns of projected 224 changes by the end of the 21st century, although less pronounced in terms of statistical 225 226 significance and magnitude, are obtained for the other SSP scenarios (Figure S7-S11). The smaller increases in the lower-forcing scenarios (i.e. SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5 and SSP3-7.0) point out 227 the benefits of implementing strong mitigation measures (O'Neill et al., 2016). Looking at the 228 global average time-series, the MME of historical climate simulations shows relatively slow 229 increase during the late 20th and early 21st centuries, as compared to the future high-forcing SSP 230 scenarios. The historical simulations also show substantial reductions for the duration of 1-2 231 232 years in particular in the global average intensity of heat extremes (e.g. txx and hwa_tx90) in response to, for example, the Pinatubo volcanic eruption in 1991 (Figure 1b,d,f,j). In the future 233 projections, all indices point towards increases in hot extremes, with SSP5-8.5 being the scenario 234 with most pronounced increases, SSP1-2.6 being the one with more moderate changes, and 235 SSP2-4.5 with SSP3-7.0 lying between the two (Figure 1b,d,f,h,j, p<0.01, Table S2) - indicating 236 proportionality between the magnitude of change and the strength of the forcing (Seneviratne et 237 238 al., 2016).

239





Figure 1. MME difference maps and global land average time-series of hot extremes. Maps show MME median changes between the SSP8-8.5 2081-2100 and historical 1981-2000 time slices, and time-series show MME medians (coloured lines) and interquartile ranges (grey shading) for the historical and SSP scenarios. (a)-(b) tx90p; (c)-(d) txx; (e)-(f) hwa_tx90p ; (g)-(h) hwd_tx90p ; and (i)-(j) hwf_tx90p . In (a,c,e,g,i) stippling indicates gridpoints where the difference is not statistically significant (p \geq 0.05) or that did not pass the sign-test (\leq 80%).



248

249 **3.2 Drought**

Results for global dry extremes (Figure 2) differ depending on index, and therefore atmospheric 250 variables taken into consideration, as also shown by Cook et al. (2018). The end-of-century 251 changes for *spi3_dry* under SSP5-8.5 point toward both drying and wetting in different regions 252 across the globe, reflecting e.g. annual mean precipitation changes reported by the IPCC AR6 253 (Masson-Delmotte et al., 2021; Figure SPM.5c). Hence, we find a significant (p<0.05 and sign-254 test >80%) projected increase in drought occurrence (based on SPI) in central and South 255 America, the Mediterranean basin, southern Africa and western Australia. Whereas drought 256 257 occurrence is projected to decrease over China and in high northern latitudes (e.g. Alaska, Canada, Scandinavia and Russia; Figure 2a). Such heterogeneity in the difference maps is 258 reflected in the global average time-series, which show a non-linear trend that cannot be assessed 259 with a Slope value (Figure 2b). Specifically, the *spi3_dry* values of the historical period increase 260 from 1950 to about the 1970s and then decrease until the end of the historical forcing runs in 261 2014. Following the historical period the global average spi3 dry values for SSP5-8.5 and SSP3-262 7.0 increase until the end of the 21st century, with the former showing the strongest upward 263 trend, while the SSP2-4.5 and SSP1-2.6 global average time series remain relatively stationary 264 (Figure 2b). However, although some global average time-series are showing little changes, the 265 regional patterns of drying and wetting still remain in place (Figures S12-S13), but compensate 266 each other in the global average. The results suggest that at stronger forcing levels (SSP5-8.5 and 267 SSP3-7.0) the drought increases found in some tropical and subtropical regions overcompensate 268 the drought decreases in the high northern latitudes. 269

270 271



272

Figure 2. MME difference maps and global land average time-series of dry extremes. (a)-(b) Annual count of dry months computed with SPI 3-month index (*spi3_dry*); (c)-(d) annual count of dry months computed with SPEI 3-month index (*spei3_dry*). Time-periods, stippling and time-series colors are as in Figure 1.

- 277
- 278

The picture is different when considering the count of dry months computed from SPEI and 279 therefore by taking into account PET along with precipitation (*spei3_dry*; Figure 2c,d). Here, the 280 end-of-century changes for SSP5-8.5 project a drying over much larger areas compared to SPI-281 based drought, with regions such as northern Africa, the Mediterranean, the Middle East and 282 central China being the most affected, while only very small regions in high northern latitudes 283 show decreases in drought occurrence based on this measure (Figure 2c). This much wider 284 spread of drought increases is also reflected in the global average time series, which show 285 upward trends from about 2015 to 2100 under all scenarios, with SSP5-8.5 being the one with 286 the largest increases and SSP1-2.6 becoming stationary from about the 2050s. The other two 287 scenarios, SSP3-7.0 and SSP2-4.5, lie between the two (Figure 2d, Table S3), again indicating a 288 289 proportionality of the global drought response to the strength of forcing. Such a larger increase in dryness from *spei3_dry* compared to *spi3_dry* is expected as with warming temperatures also the 290 atmospheric water demand increases (e.g. Pall et al., 2007). 291

292

The difference maps for the other *spi3_dry* and *spei3_dry* SSP scenarios have similar spatial patterns as shown in Figure 2a,c. However, from SSP3-7.0 to SSP1-2.6, we note that for *spi3_dry* the areas with decreasing drought occurrences increase (Figure S12), whereas for *spei3_dry* the areas with increasing drought counts decrease in scenarios with weaker forcing (Figure S13).

The difference maps for *spi6_dry*, *spei6_dry*, *spi12_dry* and *spei12_dry* show very similar patterns as found in Figure 2a,c, however the statistical significance is sometimes lower, especially for the *spi12_dry* and *spei12_dry* (Figures S14-S17). The global average time-series computed for the same dry extremes indices are also very similar to those found for *spi3_dry* and *spei3_dry* (Figure S18, Table S3).

304

298

305 **3.3 Compound hot-dry extremes**

The end-of-century changes for compound hot-dry extremes, under the SSP5-8.5 show a 306 widespread increase in the occurrence of such events (Figure 3a,c). For *cex_d (spi3)* the regions 307 showing stronger increase in compound hot-dry extremes are central and northern South 308 America, central Europe, the Mediterranean, western and southern Africa and Indonesia (Figure 309 3a). Compound hot-dry extremes computed with cex_d (spei3) show large increases over the 310 311 same areas mentioned above but also in northern Africa and the Middle East (Figure 3c). For cex d (spi3) there are areas in high northern latitudes with no increase in compound extremes 312 and this is related to the decreased drought frequency in these regions (Figures 3a and 2a). On 313 the other hand, cex d (spei3) shows significant increases globally (Figure 3b). When looking at 314 the climatologies (1981-2010) of compound hot-dry extremes for both cex_d (spi3) and cex_d 315 (spei3) we notice that the regions where compound extremes occur more frequently under 316 317 current conditions do not necessarily match with the regions where we obtain larger changes by the end of the 21st century (Figures 2a,c; S19-S20). 318

319

In accordance with the difference maps of both *cex_d* (*spi3*) and *cex_d* (*spei3*), also the median

of annual and global average time-series show strong monotonic and positive trends for all the

322 SSP scenarios from about 2015 to 2100, except for the SSP1-2.6 in which the compound extreme

323 occurrences stabilize around the 2050s (p<0.01, Table S4). As for the univariate extremes

324 presented in the previous sections, the SSP5-8.5 is the scenario with the strongest increases,

followed by SSP3-7.0, SSP2-4.5 and SSP1-2.6 (Figure 3b,d) and changes in compound extremes computed with SPEI to detect drought are much stronger than extremes computed with SPI.

327

The cex_d (spi3) and cex_d (spei3) difference maps computed for the other SSP scenarios show 328 similar changes as for SSP5-8.5, although the magnitudes and statistical significance are reduced 329 from SSP3-7.0 to SSP1-2.6 (Figures S21-S22). Also the difference maps of *cex_d* (*spi6*) (*spei6*) 330 (spi12) and (spei12) reflect the changes similar to cex_d (spi3) and (spei3), with both magnitude 331 and statistical significance reduced from SSP5-8.5 to SSP1-2.6 and from cex_d (spil2, speil2) to 332 cex_d (spi3, spei3) (Figures S23-S26). Lastly, the annual global average time-series for the other 333 cex d indices computed with spi6, spei6, spi12 and spei12 also show similar characteristics as 334 335 *cex_d (spi3)* and *cex_d (spei3)* (Figure S27, Table S4).

336 337



Figure 3. MME difference maps and global land average time-series of compound hot-dry extremes. (a)-(b) Annual number of compound hot-dry extremes computed with daily maximum near-surface temperature and SPI3. (c)-(d) same as (a)-(b) but with SPEI3. Time-periods, stippling and time-series colors are as in Figure 1.

343

344345 4 Discussion and conclusions

Our results show significant projected increases in the frequency, intensity and duration of hot extremes in most regions by the end of the 21st century, and these increases are strongest for the SSP5-8.5 scenario and weakest for SSP1-2.6. Such increase in hot extremes reflect the findings of other studies (e.g. Christidis et al., 2015; Fischer & Schär, 2010; Mukherjee et al., 2022; Yin et al., 2022) but can differ from other studies using different temperature extreme indices (e.g. Saeed et al., 2021).

352

Dry extremes, on the other hand, show different regional patterns of change depending on the index used to measure drought (i.e. SPI or SPEI). Such a difference is related to the types of

variables included in the indices (e.g. precipitation or precipitation and PET) (Dai, 2011, 2013).

While there is sensitivity to the specific measures to detect drought, the results are fairly robust

for different drought accumulation periods (i.e. 3, 6 and 12 months). Dry extremes computed 357 with SPI, under SSP5-8.5, increase over central and northern South America, the Mediterranean, 358 southern Africa and western Australia and decrease over China and in the high northern 359 latitudes. On the other hand, dry extremes computed with SPEI show consistent increase 360 generally all over the globe, but especially in the Mediterranean, northern Africa, the Middle 361 East and central China. Our results reflect the expectation that evaporative demand of the 362 atmosphere increases at higher temperatures, and this is a driver of drought when characterized 363 with SPEI. When assessing the global land average time-series, regional drought increases and 364 decreases computed with SPI partly compensate each other and global average increases in 365 drought only occur in the strongest forcing scenarios. On the other hand, the global land averages 366 affected by drought computed with SPEI increase in all scenarios. The increase in dryness can be 367 primarily driven by the changing patterns of precipitation (when based on SPI) and additionally 368 by the increasing atmospheric water demand as the climate warms (when based on SPEI). 369

370

Results for compound hot-dry extremes are consistent with the changes in univariate hot and dry extremes and therefore the difference maps for SSP5-8.5 point toward widespread increases in compound hot-dry extremes for indices computed with both SPI (cex_d (spi3), cex_d (spi6) and cex_d (spi12)) and SPEI (cex_d (spei3), cex_d (spei6) and cex_d (spei12)). These widespread increases are therefore also reflected in the global land average time series, indicating significant increases by the end of the 21st century in all four scenarios.

377

Our findings allow a direct comparison between univariate and compound hot-dry extremes and 378 are in accordance with other studies pointing towards an increase in hot-dry compound extremes 379 under anthropogenic climate change. For instance, Bevacqua et al. (2022) found a projected 380 increase in hot-dry extremes and assessed their uncertainty but only using precipitation as a 381 proxy for dry events. Similarly, Hao et al. (2018) and Ridder et al. (2022) computed dry 382 extremes only from precipitation and the latter study used Excess Heat Factor for assessing 383 heatwaves. Our results show that there is some sensitivity in the projected changes with respect 384 to dry and compound hot-dry extremes, attributed to the way dry extremes are measured. 385

386

Our analysis framework provides insights from considering the different univariate and 387 compound indices in combination. In particular we find that global increases in hot extremes 388 389 alone are driving the increase in compound extremes in regions where dry extremes, computed with SPI, decrease (e.g. northern Europe and China). On the contrary, compound extremes 390 computed with SPEI (and computed with SPI in regions where drought becomes more frequent) 391 are increasing because of the contributions of increasing both univariate hot and dry extremes. 392 The pattern in compound extremes computed with SPI is also in agreement with Bevacqua et al. 393 (2022), who highlighted the role of regional precipitation in driving future changes in compound 394 395 hot-dry extremes. As limitations, we did not take into account models' uncertainty driven by internal climate variability (Deser, 2020) and dry extremes were computed from the SPI and 396 397 SPEI indices at ≥ 3 months accumulation periods so that we may have lost the representation of 398 short dry spells and with a 12-month accumulation, seasonality was implicitly removed.

399

In summary, we provide a comprehensive global analysis of compound hot-dry extreme changes in the context of the corresponding univariate hot and dry extremes for 25 CMIP6 models and

402 four SSP scenarios. We specifically show that the entire set of extremes are projected to increase

in the future under the highest emission scenario (SSP5-8.5) and that such increase could be partly mitigated under the lowest emission scenario (SSP1-2.6). We conclude that the risk of hot and dry extremes will significantly increase in the next decades in many regions, and encourage particular attention from governments and stakeholders worldwide to implement suitable adaptation measures and put into practice strong mitigation policies to limit the increases of such events.

- 409
- 410

411 Acknowledgements

This study is a contribution to the Horizon2020 LANDMARC project, and has been carried out with funding from this grant (grant agreement No. 869367). PDL has also received funding from the European Union's Horizon Europe Research and Innovation Programme under grant agreement No 101059659. The authors would like to thank Margarida Samso-Cabre for downloading, storing and reformatting the CMIP6 data used in the analyses.

417

418419 Open Research

The CMIP6 data are freely available and have been downloaded from the Earth System Grid Federation (ESGF) website (<u>https://esgf-node.llnl.gov/search/cmip6/</u>). We compute the Climpact (<u>https://climpact-sci.org/</u>) univariate extreme indices using the R packages "*climdex.pcic.ncdf*" (<u>https://github.com/ARCCSS-extremes/climdex.pcic.ncdf</u>) and "SPEI"

- 424 (<u>https://github.com/sbegueria/SPEI</u>; Beguería et al., 2014).
- 425 426

427 **References**

- Allen, R. G., Smith, M., Pereira, L. S., & Perrier, A. (1994). An update for the calculation of reference
 evapotranspiration. *ICID Bul.*, 43(2), 35–92.
- Barriopedro, D., Fischer, E. M., Luterbacher, J., Trigo, R. M., & García-Herrera, R. (2011). The Hot Summer of
 2010: Redrawing the Temperature Record Map of Europe. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, 332(6026), 220–224.
- Beguería, S., Vicente-Serrano, S. M., Reig, F., & Latorre, B. (2014). Standardized precipitation evapotranspiration
 index (SPEI) revisited: parameter fitting, evapotranspiration models, tools, datasets and drought monitoring. *International Journal of Climatology*, *34*(10), 3001–3023. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.3887
- Bevacqua, E., Zappa, G., Lehner, F., & Zscheischler, J. (2022). Precipitation trends determine future occurrences of
 compound hot–dry events. *Nature Climate Change*, *12*(4), 350–355. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-02201309-5
- Bonferroni, C. (1936). Teoria statistica delle classi e calcolo delle probabilità. *Pubblicazioni Del R. Istituto Superiore Di Scienze Economiche e Commerciali Di Firenze*, 8, 3–62.
- Christidis, N., Jones, G. S., & Stott, P. A. (2015). Dramatically increasing chance of extremely hot summers since
 the 2003 European heatwave. *Nature Climate Change*, 5(1), 46–50. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2468
- Cook, B. I., Mankin, J. S., & Anchukaitis, K. J. (2018). Climate Change and Drought: From Past to Future. *Current Climate Change Reports*, 4(2), 164–179. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40641-018-0093-2
- 444 Dai, A. (2011). Drought under global warming: A review. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change, 2(1),
 445 45–65. https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.81
- Dai, A. (2013). Increasing drought under global warming in observations and models. *Nature Climate Change*, 3(1),
 52–58. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1633
- 448 Deser, C. (2020). "Certain Uncertainty: The Role of Internal Climate Variability in Projections of Regional Climate
 449 Change and Risk Management." *Earth's Future*, 8(12), e2020EF001854.
 450 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2020EF001854
- 451 Donat, M. G., Pitman, A. J., & Seneviratne, S. I. (2017). Regional warming of hot extremes accelerated by surface
 452 energy fluxes. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(13), 7011–7019.
- 453 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL073733

- Eyring, V., Bony, S., Meehl, G. A., Senior, C. A., Stevens, B., Stouffer, R. J., & Taylor, K. E. (2016). Overview of
 the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) experimental design and organization. *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 9(5), 1937–1958. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-1937-2016
- Fischer, E. M., & Schär, C. (2010). Consistent geographical patterns of changes in high-impact European heatwaves.
 Nature Geoscience, *3*, 398.
- Ganguli, P. (2023). Amplified risk of compound heat stress-dry spells in Urban India. *Climate Dynamics*, 60(3),
 1061–1078. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-022-06324-y
- Hamed, K. H., & Ramachandra Rao, A. (1998). A modified Mann-Kendall trend test for autocorrelated data.
 Journal of Hydrology, 204(1), 182–196. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(97)00125-X
- Hao, Z., Hao, F., Singh, V. P., & Zhang, X. (2018). Changes in the severity of compound drought and hot extremes
 over global land areas. *Environmental Research Letters*, *13*(12), 124022. https://doi.org/10.1088/17489326/aaee96
- Hargreaves, G. H. (1994). Defining and Using Reference Evapotranspiration. *Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering*, *120*(6), 1132–1139. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9437(1994)120:6(1132)
- Horton, D. E., Johnson, N. C., Singh, D., Swain, D. L., Rajaratnam, B., & Diffenbaugh, N. S. (2015). Contribution of changes in atmospheric circulation patterns to extreme temperature trends. *Nature*, 522(7557), 465–9.
- De Luca, P., Messori, G., Wilby, R. L., Mazzoleni, M., & Di Baldassarre, G. (2020). Concurrent wet and dry
 hydrological extremes at the global scale. *Earth Syst. Dynam.*, *11*(1), 251–266. https://doi.org/10.5194/esd11-251-2020
- De Luca, P., Messori, G., Pons, F. M. E., & Faranda, D. (2020). Dynamical Systems Theory Sheds New Light on
 Compound Climate Extremes in Europe and Eastern North America. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, (146), 1636–1650. https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3757
- Mann, H. B., & Whitney, D. R. (1947). On a Test of Whether one of Two Random Variables is Stochastically
 Larger than the Other. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, *18*(1), 50–60. https://doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177730491
- Manning, C., Widmann, M., Bevacqua, E., Van Loon, A. F., Maraun, D., & Vrac, M. (2019). Increased probability
 of compound long-duration dry and hot events in Europe during summer (1950–2013). *Environmental Research Letters*, *14*(9), 94006. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab23bf
- Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S. L., Péan, C., Berger, S., et al. (2021). *IPCC*, 2021: Summary for Policymakers. In: Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
- McKee, T. B., Doesken, N. J., & Kleist, J. (1993). The relationship of drought frequency and duration to time scales.
 In AMS 8th Conference on Applied Climatology (pp. 179–184). Anaheim: AMS 8th Conference on Applied
 Climatology.
- Mukherjee, S., Mishra, A. K., Ashfaq, M., & Kao, S.-C. (2022). Relative effect of anthropogenic warming and
 natural climate variability to changes in Compound drought and heatwaves. *Journal of Hydrology*, 605,
 127396. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2021.127396
- Mukherjee, S., Mishra, A. K., Zscheischler, J., & Entekhabi, D. (2023). Interaction between dry and hot extremes at
 a global scale using a cascade modeling framework. *Nature Communications*, *14*(1), 277.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-35748-7
- 494 O'Neill, B. C., Tebaldi, C., van Vuuren, D. P., Eyring, V., Friedlingstein, P., Hurtt, G., et al. (2016). The Scenario
 495 Model Intercomparison Project (ScenarioMIP) for CMIP6. *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 9(9), 3461–3482.
 496 https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-3461-2016
- Pall, P., Allen, M. R., & Stone, D. A. (2007). Testing the Clausius–Clapeyron constraint on changes in extreme
 precipitation under CO2 warming. *Climate Dynamics*, 28(4), 351–363. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-006 0180-2
- 500 Palmer, W. (1965). Meteorological Drought. US Weather Bur., US Res. Pa(Washington, D.C.).
- Perkins-Kirkpatrick, S. E., & Lewis, S. C. (2020). Increasing trends in regional heatwaves. *Nature Communications*, *11*(1), 3357. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16970-7
- Perkins, S. E., & Alexander, L. V. (2013). On the Measurement of Heat Waves. *Journal of Climate*, 26(13), 4500–
 4517. https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00383.1
- Rastogi, D., Lehner, F., & Ashfaq, M. (2020). Revisiting Recent U.S. Heat Waves in a Warmer and More Humid
 Climate. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 47(9), e2019GL086736.
- 507 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL086736
- Ridder, N. N., Ukkola, A. M., Pitman, A. J., & Perkins-Kirkpatrick, S. E. (2022). Increased occurrence of high
 impact compound events under climate change. *Npj Climate and Atmospheric Science*, 5(1), 3.

- 510 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-021-00224-4
- Rousi, E., Kornhuber, K., Beobide-Arsuaga, G., Luo, F., & Coumou, D. (2022). Accelerated western European
 heatwave trends linked to more-persistent double jets over Eurasia. *Nature Communications*, *13*(1), 3851.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-31432-y
- Saeed, F., Schleussner, C.-F., & Ashfaq, M. (2021). Deadly Heat Stress to Become Commonplace Across South
 Asia Already at 1.5°C of Global Warming. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 48(7), e2020GL091191.
 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL091191
- Schielicke, L., & Pfahl, S. (2022). European heatwaves in present and future climate simulations: A Lagrangian
 analysis. *Weather Clim. Dynam. Discuss.*, 2022, 1–36. https://doi.org/10.5194/wcd-2022-45
- Schubert, S. D., Stewart, R. E., Wang, H., Barlow, M., Berbery, E. H., Cai, W., et al. (2016). Global Meteorological
 Drought: A Synthesis of Current Understanding with a Focus on SST Drivers of Precipitation Deficits.
 Journal of Climate, 29(11), 3989–4019. https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0452.1
- Sedgwick, P. (2014). Multiple hypothesis testing and Bonferroni's correction. *BMJ : British Medical Journal*, 349,
 g6284. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.g6284
- Sen, P. K. (1968). Estimates of the Regression Coefficient Based on Kendall's Tau. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 63(324), 1379–1389. https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1968.10480934
- Seneviratne, S. I., Lüthi, D., Litschi, M., & Schär, C. (2006). Land–atmosphere coupling and climate change in
 Europe. *Nature*, 443(7108), 205–209. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05095
- Seneviratne, S. I., Donat, M. G., Pitman, A. J., Knutti, R., & Wilby, R. L. (2016). Allowable CO2 emissions based
 on regional and impact-related climate targets. *Nature*, 529(7587), 477–483.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16542
- Sippel, S., Zscheischler, J., Heimann, M., Otto, F. E. L., Peters, J., & Mahecha, M. D. (2015). Quantifying changes
 in climate variability and extremes: Pitfalls and their overcoming. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 42(22),
 9990–9998. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL066307
- Suarez-Gutierrez, L., Müller, W. A., Li, C., & Marotzke, J. (2020). Dynamical and thermodynamical drivers of
 variability in European summer heat extremes. *Climate Dynamics*, 54(9), 4351–4366.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-020-05233-2
- Teuling, A. J., Van Loon, A. F., Seneviratne, S. I., Lehner, I., Aubinet, M., Heinesch, B., et al. (2013).
 Evapotranspiration amplifies European summer drought. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 40(10), 2071–2075. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/grl.50495
- Thornthwaite, C. W. (1948). An Approach toward a Rational Classification of Climate. *Geographical Review*, 38(1),
 55–94. https://doi.org/10.2307/210739
- Trenberth, K. E., Dai, A., van der Schrier, G., Jones, P. D., Barichivich, J., Briffa, K. R., & Sheffield, J. (2014).
 Global warming and changes in drought. *Nature Climate Change*, 4(1), 17–22.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2067
- 545 Ukkola, A. M., De Kauwe, M. G., Roderick, M. L., Abramowitz, G., & Pitman, A. J. (2020). Robust Future
 546 Changes in Meteorological Drought in CMIP6 Projections Despite Uncertainty in Precipitation. *Geophysical* 547 *Research Letters*, 47(11), e2020GL087820. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL087820
- Vicente-Serrano, S. M., Beguería, S., & López-Moreno, J. I. (2010). A Multiscalar Drought Index Sensitive to
 Global Warming: The Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index. *Journal of Climate*, 23(7), 1696–
 1718. https://doi.org/10.1175/2009JCLI2909.1
- Vicente-Serrano, S. M., Domínguez-Castro, F., McVicar, T. R., Tomas-Burguera, M., Peña-Gallardo, M., Noguera,
 I., et al. (2020). Global characterization of hydrological and meteorological droughts under future climate
 change: The importance of timescales, vegetation-CO2 feedbacks and changes to distribution functions.
 International Journal of Climatology, 40(5), 2557–2567. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.6350
- Vogel, M. M., Zscheischler, J., Fischer, E. M., & Seneviratne, S. I. (2020). Development of Future Heatwaves for
 Different Hazard Thresholds. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, *125*(9), e2019JD032070.
 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD032070
- Vogel, M. M., Hauser, M., & Seneviratne, S. I. (2020). Projected changes in hot, dry and wet extreme events'
 clusters in CMIP6 multi-model ensemble. *Environmental Research Letters*, 15(9), 94021.
 https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab90a7
- Wu, H., Švoboda, M. D., Hayes, M. J., Wilhite, D. A., & Wen, F. (2007). Appropriate application of the
 standardized precipitation index in arid locations and dry seasons. *International Journal of Climatology*, 27(1),
 65–79. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1371
- Wu, X., Hao, Z., Hao, F., Singh, V. P., & Zhang, X. (2019). Dry-hot magnitude index: a joint indicator for
 compound event analysis. *Environmental Research Letters*, *14*(6), 64017. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-

566 9326/ab1ec7

- Yin, J., Slater, L., Gu, L., Liao, Z., Guo, S., & Gentine, P. (2022). Global Increases in Lethal Compound Heat
 Stress: Hydrological Drought Hazards Under Climate Change. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 49(18),
 e2022GL100880. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL100880
- Yu, B., Li, G., Chen, S., & Lin, H. (2020). The role of internal variability in climate change projections of North
 American surface air temperature and temperature extremes in CanESM2 large ensemble simulations. *Climate Dynamics*, 55(3), 869–885. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-020-05296-1
- Zhang, X., Alexander, L., Hegerl, G. C., Jones, P., Tank, A. K., Peterson, T. C., et al. (2011). Indices for monitoring
 changes in extremes based on daily temperature and precipitation data. *WIREs Climate Change*, 2(6), 851–
 870. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.147
- Zhang, Y., Hao, Z., Feng, S., Zhang, X., & Hao, F. (2022). Changes and driving factors of compound agricultural
 droughts and hot events in eastern China. *Agricultural Water Management*, 263, 107485.
 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2022.107485
- Zscheischler, J., & Fischer, E. M. (2020). The record-breaking compound hot and dry 2018 growing season in
 Germany. *Weather and Climate Extremes*, 29, 100270.
- 581 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2020.100270
- Zscheischler, J., Westra, S., van den Hurk, B. J. J. M., Seneviratne, S. I., Ward, P. J., Pitman, A., et al. (2018).
 Future climate risk from compound events. *Nature Climate Change*, 8(6), 469–477.
- 584 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0156-3
- 585 Zscheischler, J., Martius, O., Westra, S., Bevacqua, E., Raymond, C., Horton, R. M., et al. (2020). A typology of
- compound weather and climate events. *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017 020-0060-z
- 588